

# Arsenic contaminated water remediation: a state-of-the-art review in synchrony with sustainable development goals

Sayan Bhattacharya<sup>1\*</sup>, Avishek Talukdar<sup>2</sup>, Shubhalakshmi Sengupta<sup>3</sup>, Tuyelee Das<sup>4</sup>, Abhijit Dey<sup>4</sup>, Kaushik Gupta<sup>5</sup>, Nalok Dutta<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Ecology and Environment Studies, Nalanda University, Bihar-803116, India.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Zoology, University of Calcutta, Kolkata –700019, West Bengal, India.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Chemistry, School of Applied Science and Humanities, Vignan's Foundation for Science, Technology and Research (VFSTR), deemed to be university, Andhra Pradesh-522213, AP, India.

<sup>4</sup> Department of Life Sciences, Presidency University, West Bengal-700073, India.

<sup>5</sup> Belur High School (H.S), Howrah, West Bengal, India.

<sup>6</sup> Biochemical Engineering Department, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK.

\*Corresponding author, email: sbhattacharya@nalandauniv.edu.in, sayan.evs@gmail.com

**Abstract.** Arsenic (As) is a highly abundant metalloid with detrimental effects on ecosystems and human health. Several research works have focused on the development and application of suitable materials capable of removing arsenic effectively from water. In this regard, nano-materials have been given considerable importance due to their unique properties. In addition to nano-materials, single, multi and doped metal oxides have also received substantial attention because of their high surface-to-volume ratio, increased magnetic properties, catalytic properties, etc. These metal oxides have been developed using several methods like solid state reaction, vapour deposition, chemical precipitation, etc. among which chemical precipitation is quite user friendly. Single and mixed metal oxides have been applied widely

in arsenic removal since they usually have high arsenic adsorption capacity. Several biomaterials including biochar showed promising results in arsenic removal from water. Desorption studies showed that NaOH, KOH were effective in regenerating the adsorbents from the nanomaterials. Graphene based materials usually show very high surface area due to their open structure, thus, they are effective materials in arsenic removal from water. Water treatment using nanomaterials can be one of the sustainable solutions and in synchrony with Goal 6 in UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), which aims to ensure availability and sustainable water management and sanitation for the global population. Nevertheless, there is a significant research gap between the application of these nano-materials in laboratory settings and their real-world field conditions. Additionally, only a limited number of studies have investigated the potential effects of these nanomaterials on the environment and living organisms. However, by carefully selecting appropriate materials and conducting thorough environmental risk assessments, we can overcome these challenges and move towards successful implementation of long term arsenic remediation.

**Keywords:** Arsenic, Water, Adsorption, Desorption, Nanomaterials, Sustainable development goals (SDGs).

## 1. Introduction:

Arsenic, a toxic metalloid, has been utilized by human societies since ancient times. Unfortunately, its use has resulted in numerous cases of illness and even fatalities due to its toxic nature. Arsenic exposure occurs in humans through multiple routes, including ingestion of contaminated water, consumption of contaminated food, inhalation of arsenic in air particles, and contact with arsenic-contaminated soil (Mondal and Suzuki, 2002). In terms of abundance, arsenic is the 20<sup>th</sup> most found element in earth's crust. It is more abundant in

seawater (14<sup>th</sup>) and even more abundant in the human body (12<sup>th</sup>) (Mondal and Suzuki, 2002). There are more than 200 naturally existing mineral forms of arsenic in the form of arsenates, sulphides, arsenides, sulfosalts, oxides, etc. (Bissen and Frimmel, 2003; Bhattacharya et al., 2012). Contamination of groundwater by arsenic is mainly reported from South Asian countries like China, India, Taiwan, etc. and several North and South American countries (Bhattacharya et al., 2012). In these countries, the situation of arsenic contamination is severe, with arsenic concentrations in specific regions exceeding the permissible limits prescribed by the World Health Organization (WHO) (WHO, 2001). Arsenic has bioaccumulated in the food chain as a result of contamination in irrigation water (Bhattacharya et al., 2012; Bhattacharya et al., 2021).

Numerous methods exist for arsenic removal and treating contaminated water, and their effectiveness largely depends on the chemical state in which arsenic is present in a particular area (Ray and Shipley, 2015, Alka et al., 2021). Metals, metal oxides and nanoparticle-based materials are commercially available for arsenic removal. Some low-cost nanotubes, nanoparticle impregnated adsorbents, and other nanocomposites are also available commercially for use (Ray and Shipley, 2015; Bhattacharya et al., 2013; Bhattacharya et al., 2021; Maity et al., 2021; Türkmen et al., 2022). Arsenic removal from water and other environmental sources requires multiple separation processes and adsorbents. Membrane separation processes are regarded as viable alternatives for the removal of arsenic (Moreira et al., 2021). Adsorption is commonly utilized for removal, especially in economically poor countries. The use of natural, easily accessible adsorbents in diverse processes provides multiple benefits to impoverished countries, including cost-effectiveness, increased accessibility, and lower carbon dioxide emissions (Asere et al., 2019). Scientific research has examined several types of arsenic-removing adsorbents. Activated carbon can adsorb a wide

range of pollutants, including arsenic, due to its large surface area and porosity (Zhang et al., 2007). Iron oxides, aluminium and hydroxides can also adsorb arsenic (Giles et al., 2011). Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), which are porous and adjustable, can be optimized for arsenic removal (Wang et al., 2015). Zero-valent iron (ZVI) efficiently removes arsenic and converts it into less hazardous molecules (Mamindy-Pajany et al., 2011). Arsenic can be taken up by graphene-based adsorbents and their derivatives because they have a large surface area and are capable of adsorption (Tolkou et al., 2020). These adsorbents may reduce arsenic in water, improving water quality and human health.

Nanoscience is the study and application of materials at the nanoscale dimension (1-100 nm) (Rotello, 2003; Gregory, 2008). It involves the systematic development of two- or three-dimensional structures (nanomaterials) from molecular scale building blocks (Rosi and Mirkin, 2005). Nanoparticles (NPs) can be of two major types: engineered and non-engineered. Engineered nanoparticles can be synthesized in the laboratory conditions, with a general size range of 1-100 nm. and having quite different properties from the bulk material of similar composition (Auffan et al., 2009). NPs could be classified as carbon-based materials such as carbon nanotubes (SWNT, MWNT), graphene; inorganic nanoparticles and polymer nanocomposites (Mauter and Elimelech, 2008). Inorganic nanoparticles include pure metal oxides, quantum dots, mixed metal oxides and pure metals (Shah and Ahmed, 2011; Savage and Diallo, 2005). Different nanomaterials have diverse morphologies and shapes like tubes, thin films, spheres, rods, prisms, buds etc. The materials can execute various enhanced properties (catalytic, optical, electric, magnetic etc.) in the nanoscale range (Roco and Brainbridge, 2001). Researchers from different parts of the world have given considerable attention to these unusual and enhanced properties of nanomaterials. Government organizations and Industrial sectors are also giving attention and raising research funds to

develop this prospective research area. Nanomaterials have diverse fields of application, including electronics, biosensors, pharmaceuticals, biomedicines, environmental monitoring tools, catalysis, cosmetics, agricultural products, optics and photography, sports, textiles etc (Schmid, 2004). Several factors are responsible for the development of special properties in the nanomaterials:

1. Size: Nanomaterials are nearly the molecular dimension that affects the mean free path of electrons or phonons, a coherency length, or screening length. These size factors control the electrical, optical, and magnetic properties of the materials.
2. Surface area: Due to their minuscule dimensions, nanomaterials usually have large surface area which makes them useful in the field of catalysis.
3. Structural defects: Different types of structural defects in the nanocrystals control the properties of the materials (Khan et al., 2019).

However, such factors predominate in nanocrystals containing different atoms or molecules from several phases rather than single-phase nanocrystalline materials.

Several methods are available for the synthesis of size-regulated and well-defined nanomaterials, though the synthesis processes are not easy for standardization and execution (Shah and Ahmed, 2011; Savage and Diallo, 2005); many of these techniques are low-yielding. Researchers have made several modifications to the techniques to increase the production of materials, making them more applicable in field conditions. Nanomaterials possess various properties, such as a large surface-to-volume ratio, high catalytic potential, self-assembly ability, and high reactivity. These unique attributes make nanomaterials suitable for pollution control and environmental protection measures, as they offer promising capabilities to safeguard the environment (Bhattacharya et al., 2013).

Several papers have been published previously which demonstrated the applications of novel materials in arsenic treatment. However, the present article brings the holistic view of arsenic remediation by including both the conventional and recent technologies, including the cutting-edge techniques which have emerging very recently, highlighting their usefulness and economic feasibility in application. The paper has an objective to show the gradual development in arsenic mitigation technologies, their comparative performances and how these approaches are synchronized with some of the sustainable development goals (SDGs). Among all other reviews published in recent times, this paper, for the first time, established the connections between arsenic mitigation and SDGs.

## **2. Conventional arsenic removal technologies:**

Several technologies are available for treating drinking water with arsenic contamination which have been tested both under laboratory and field conditions. The chemical form in which arsenic exists in water is an important factor that needs to be considered before water treatment (Singh et al., 2015a). Since arsenite ( $\text{As}^{+3}$ ) is uncharged at pH below 9.2, most of the available technologies are efficient in removing arsenate ( $\text{As}^{+5}$ ) (Johnston and Heijnen, 2015). Some commonly used techniques for arsenic removal are (i) oxidation followed by precipitation, (ii) ion exchange, (iii) coagulation/electrocoagulation/co-precipitation, (iv) membrane technique and (v) adsorption onto sorptive media (Nicomel et al., 2016; Ghosh (Nath) et al., 2019; Yadav et al., 2021).

### ***2.1. Oxidation followed by precipitation***

This method is a simple, low-cost process of *in situ* removal of arsenic (Mohan and Pittman, 2007, Samuel et al., 2022). Since arsenic is mostly present as arsenite near neutral pH, oxidation is an important step in remediation. The oxidizing agents may be oxygen, ozone, free chlorine, hypochlorite, permanganate, and Fenton's reagent (Mohan and Pittman,

2007; Nicomel et al., 2016). An important factor that needs special consideration before the selection of an appropriate oxidant is the presence of other interfering substance/s which have an influence on the kinetics of As(III). The oxidation process, particularly of drinking water, may be problematic due to the chemical residue of oxidant, by-product formation from oxidation of the organic and inorganic matters present in water, and operational complication (efficient control of pH and oxidation step). Therefore, oxidants should be selected carefully to remove arsenic efficiently from a solution by oxidation (Nicomel et al., 2016).

## **2.2. Ion exchange**

In this physiochemical method, an equivalent number of ions from the aqueous substrate are exchanged for solid-phase ions (Paul and Sarkar, 2016). The ion exchange process generally extracts arsenite from water, not arsenate (Jadhav et al., 2015). Efficacy of arsenic removal in ion-exchange process is hindered by dissolved salts (Jadhav et al., 2015), competitive ions (Litter et al., 2010), concentration of arsenic, and high sulfate (salts) (Sarkar and Paul, 2016). Ion exchange resins are cross-linked polymer matrix to which charged functional groups (carboxylate, sulphonate, tertiary or quaternary amines) are attached by covalent bonding. Anion exchange resins are categorized into two types: strong base and weak base, depending on the adhered functional groups. Strong base anion exchange resins are quite effective over a wide range of pH. On the other hand, weak base anion exchange resins function in acidic range. Several factors influence ion exchange among which pH, resin type, arsenic concentration and other competing ions are the major determinants (Paul and Sarkar, 2016). The metal-loaded resins are more efficient in arsenic removal (Dambies, 2005). Costly resins, costly and skilled operation, higher maintenance cost and disposal of toxic wastes are some of the drawbacks of this method (Mohan and Pittman, 2007). The removal of arsenic from potable water by ion exchange resin was reported by Karakurt

(2019). Arsenic remediation below the permissible limit was achieved using Hybrid Ion Exchange/Electrodialysis (IXED) method (Ortega et al., 2017), similarly, Rivero et al. (2018) also conducted the same for arsenate ion removal using a laboratory scale IXED found satisfactory results. Dong (2019) reported about a Hybrid Ion Exchange Process (HIX-CO<sub>2</sub>) which can remove contaminants from brackish water without the requirement of a semi-permeable membrane.

### **2.3. Coagulation/ electrocoagulation/co-precipitation**

Coagulation and precipitation (by chemical processes) and filtration (by physical processes) are very cost-effective and user-friendly. Iron salts and aluminum sulphate have been commonly used for coagulating arsenic. Treatment of water using alum and ferric salts can remove arsenic effectively from contaminated water (Bissen and Frimmel, 2003). However, both ferric and alum are more efficient in As(V) removal than over a wide range of pH. Removal efficiency is strongly dependent on the solution pH, not on the coagulant dosage or initial As(V) concentration. In case of As(III), the removal is independent of solution pH and strongly dependent on the coagulant dosage and As(III) concentration (Happer and Kingham, 1992). Ferric chloride has been found to be the most effective arsenic coagulant. Though it is an efficient method for arsenic removal, this method suffers from the problem of sludge disposal and dose control in rural condition are extremely difficult. Additionally, this method enhances total dissolved solids plus anions like chloride in treated water. Alum coagulation has also other implications like the production of toxic sludge, low removal of arsenic, and requirement of pre-oxidation (Mohan and Pittman, 2007; Samuel et al., 2022).

Electrocoagulation (EC) involves complicated and interdependent mechanisms with compact treatment facility, complete automation, and high-efficiency removals, though it



possesses some pitfalls like electrode passivation, EC reactor design optimization, and huge power consumption (Mohora et al. 2018) but can remove both arsenate and arsenite with efficiency in the range 93-99.9% (Demirbas et al., 2019). Metals like iron, aluminum, titanium can be used for As removal by electrocoagulation (Kumar et al., 2004). Various authors reported complete arsenic remediation by the EC process (Ucar et al., 2013; Vasudevan et al., 2010a) also removal of arsenite can be achieved by oxidizing to arsenate through EC method (Ratna Kumar et al., 2004). Adding an oxidant like hypochlorite oxidizes arsenite to arsenate which improves the efficiency of EC process (Flores et al., 2013). With the progression of time the EC process can rapidly remove As contamination owing to floc formation (Ratna Kumar et al. 2004). Recently, an alternative technique called Metal-Air Fuel Cell EC (MAFCEC) was proposed to resolve various drawbacks relating to high energy requirement in conventional EC process (Kobya et al., 2020; Maitlo et al., 2019), the integration of air-fuel cell with EC cycle is a fruitful way for As remediation without electricity requirement (Kobya et al., 2020; Maitlo et al., 2019).

#### **2.4. Membrane technique**

Membrane techniques, including ultra-filtration, nano-filtration (NF), reverse osmosis (RO), and electro-dialysis can remove various contaminants dissolved in water, including arsenic (Wiesner, 1993). Membrane technology is popularly regarded as the most effective technology for arsenic removal with efficiency around 96%. The membrane operational requirements are negligible, and no chemical is required (Ungureanu et al., 2015). Factors that significantly affect membrane performance are pore size and their distribution, surface charge, degree of hydrophilicity, flow of solution and presence of any functional groups (Abdullah et al., 2019). Generally, it was seen that As(V) removal is more effective than As(III). RO and NF remove As(V) with 91-99% efficiency, however, in case of As(III) only

20-55% removal efficiency is observed. Membrane techniques have high efficiency in diverse contaminant removal (including arsenic) without producing any harmful solid wastes during the process. However, the techniques are of high cost and consume considerable time for functioning (Mohan and Pittman, 2007; Samuel et al., 2022). Of all the processes employed for arsenic remediation from water viz. microfiltration, nanofiltration, ultra-filtration and reverse osmosis, nanofiltration and reverse osmosis are the most promising (Figoli et al., 2016). Membrane distillation (MD) is also an efficient process for the remediation of arsenic-contaminated water. The partial pressure developed over the hydrophobic microporous membrane facilitates the removal of water vapour and volatiles leaving the impurities below, for example, Arsenic (Criscuoli and Figoli, 2019). An adsorptive membrane was developed by membrane support layer modification by  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  microspheres immobilization through reverse filtration followed by dopamine polymerization, which showed higher arsenic adsorption capacity compared to virgin and blended membranes (Zhang et al. 2018).

## 2.5. Adsorption method

Adsorption technology has advantages over other methods and is widely accepted by different researchers across the world. This method can remove various contaminants from water including heavy metals and offers some advantages like high removal efficiency, low energy and technical requirement, and minimal chances of secondary pollution (Burakov et al., 2018). Absorbent should possess some desired characteristics like a larger specific surface area, high mechanical strength, greater thermal stability, environmental friendliness, controlled morphology, and easy processing, which would result in greater performance in terms of adsorption capacity and efficiency, selectivity, cheap cost, and reusability. This

method can be applied conveniently in low-income countries because of its cost effectiveness (Bhattacharya et al., 2012).

pH is an important factor for arsenic adsorption. As(V) removal is more efficient than As(III) at pH below 7 (Grafe et al., 2001; Wilkie and Hering, 1996; Zhu et al., 2013; Raven et al., 1998). Competing ions are also a limiting factor as they have competition with arsenic for accessing the adsorption sites (e.g. phosphate, silicate,  $\text{HCO}_3$  and  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ) (Zhu et al., 2013; Kanematsu et al., 2013; Giles et al., 2011).

*Adsorption by carbon:* Temperature, ionic strength, pH and activated carbon influence the adsorption efficiency of materials (Mohan and Pittman, 2007; Samuel et al., 2022). Commercially available activated carbons are reported to adsorb up to 2860 mg/g in the case of commercial coal-derived carbon (Navarro and Alguacil, 2002; Lorenzen et al., 1995). Both As(III) and As(V) are adsorbed by activated carbon at different pH although the two forms may be adsorbed differently (Eguez and Cho, 1987). Activated carbon having a high amount of ash is more effective in As(V) removal (Navarro and Alguacil, 2002; Lorenzen et al., 1995). Recent research focused on the use of iron oxide and activated carbon composites which showed an adsorption efficiency of 95% for arsenic. This is achieved due to the magnetic properties of iron and the adsorption properties of activated carbon (Gallios et al., 2017; Yao et al., 2014).

*Adsorption by inorganic materials and biomaterials:* Because of their biocompatible and environment-friendly nature, bio-composites are considered useful materials in arsenic removal from contaminated water. Composite materials are composed of matrix and activated components (Samuel et al., 2022).

In certain cases, agricultural waste and its byproducts like rice husk have been reported to be used for arsenic adsorption (Asif and Chen, 2017; Amin et al., 2006; Pillai et al., 2020).

Inexpensive agricultural waste when used for removing arsenite from contaminated water showed good adsorption capacities (Mohan et al. 2019). Similarly industrial wastes like coals and chars have also been utilized (Allen et al., 1997, Sharma et al., 2022). Char from different plant species shows differential adsorption of arsenic with pine bark char showing maximum efficiency (Mohan et al., 2007). Compared to non-loaded biochar, the birnessite biochar has the potential to enhance arsenic adsorption in soil and water (Zhu et al., 2019). Interestingly, red mud also exhibits arsenic adsorption properties with favorable As(III) removal at high pH and As(V) removal at acidic pH (Wu et al., 2017; Altundoan et al., 2002). Treated and coated sands have also been used for removing arsenic (Devikarani et al., 2006). Coated sand with iron oxide is highly efficient in arsenic adsorption. Further modification by sulfate enhances its property to adsorb both As(III) and As(V) at alkaline and acidic pH respectively (Devi et al., 2014; Vaishya and Gupta, 2003). Both natural as well as synthetic zeolites also display As(III) and As(V) adsorption properties (Chutia et al., 2009; Khatamian et al., 2017). Hydrous iron (III) oxide incorporated with calcium ion shows 90% arsenite removal efficiency and this occurs through a chelation mechanism (Ghosh et al., 2019). An Adsorption-Ultrafiltration (UF) process was applied based on arsenic-spiked water by Hao et al. (2018), the resulting adsorbent after six adsorption-regeneration cycles retained extremely high adsorption using the combined adsorption-UF method with a 10 wt percent NaOH and NaCl eluant.

Researchers also studied the adsorption of arsenic from water by applying eucalyptus bark fibers (hybrid of *Eucalyptus urophylla* and *E. grandis*) and aquatic macrophyte leaves. Treated eucalyptus bark has good efficiency in adsorption of arsenic anions, and can be considered as a promising, cost effective and ecologically sustainable material in arsenic removal (Guisela et al., 2022).

In recent researches, fly ash was collected from several coal power stations and its arsenic removal capacity from contaminated water was studied. Lignite based fly ash showed higher efficiency in arsenic removal at pH 4.0 compared to pH 7.0. The maple wood ash adsorbent was efficient in remediating more than 80% arsenic in dynamic column experiments (Samuel et al., 2022).

However, due to issues related to permeability, stiffness, thermal stability, crystallinity and processing issues, these compounds cannot be applied effectively in some cases. Further research is required to increase the efficiency of bio-composites in heavy metals and arsenic removal from contaminated wastewater (Samuel et al., 2022).

The arsenic sorption mechanism on bioadsorbent is shown in figure 1. Possible chemical interactions like electrostatic interaction, hydrogen bonding, chelation, surface oxidation, ion exchange, precipitation etc. are shown on the surface of the bioadsorbent.

*Adsorption by metal oxides:* Metal oxides can adsorb both As(III) and As(V) from contaminated water (Min et al., 2009; Violante et al., 2009; Wen et al., 2014; Yamani et al., 2012). Manganese dioxide ( $\text{MnO}_2$ ) can oxidize As(III). This also leads to  $\text{MnO}_2$  surface alteration resulting in higher adsorption of As(V) (Wen et al., 2014; Manning et al., 2002). Similarly, titanium dioxide can oxidize As(III) to As(V) (Lata and Samadder, 2016; Nicomel et al., 2015). Iron oxides as discussed previously are similarly very effective in adsorbing both As(III) and As(V) at different pH (Guo and Chen, 2005; Wang et al., 2015; Basu et al., 2015). Several factors determine the efficiency of arsenic adsorption. For example, goethite nanoparticles adsorb As(V) maximally at pH 3.0. The pattern of adsorption was monolayer, as evidenced by the Langmuir isotherm equation. An adsorbent dose of  $6\text{ g L}^{-1}$  in  $50\text{ mg L}^{-1}$  As(V) containing solution removed 99% As(V) (Ghosh et al., 2012). Amorphous  $\text{FeO}(\text{OH})$  has a high surface area resulting in its high adsorption capacity (Li et al., 2017). Cai et al

(2022) reported the removal of As(III) by iron-manganese composite coupled with sulfite (FeMnOx/S(IV)). Almost all of As(III) was oxidized to As(V) in a 10 minutes time frame. Recently an iron/olivine hybrid was employed for arsenic adsorption, together with an artificial neural network and surface response approach (Ghosal et al. 2018). Calcined polyvinyl alcohol and sodium alginate present in alum sludge was also employed column studies, batch-test capabilities and adsorption kinetics for enhancing arsenic remediation kinetics (Kang et al., 2019).

*Adsorption by activated alumina:* The large surface area of activated alumina (AA) due to its micro and macro pores makes it a suitable material for arsenic adsorption (Singh and Pant, 2004; Das et al., 2013). As(V) adsorption is quite effective at a pH range of 6-8 on positively charged AA. Manganese oxide or ferric hydroxide modification of AA surface further enhances AA adsorption efficiency (Lescano et al., 2015; Maliyekkal et al., 2009).

The high iron content of groundwater is a major setback to the adsorption method since it clogs the filters and reduces its lifespan (AIIH, 2001).

### **3. Synthesis and applications of nanostructured materials in arsenic removal:**

Nanoparticles (NPs) and nanocomposites are more efficient in removing arsenic in comparison to micro sized materials (Lata and Samadder, 2016). The synthesis and applications of nanostructured materials in arsenic removal have gained significant attention in recent years. Nanostructured materials, characterized by their unique properties at the nanoscale, offer enhanced surface areas, high reactivity, and improved adsorption capacities, making them promising candidates for efficient arsenic removal from water sources.

The synthesis of nanostructured materials for arsenic removal involves various methods, such as sol-gel, hydrothermal, co-precipitation, and chemical vapor deposition. These techniques allow precise control over the size, shape, and composition of the

nanomaterials, enabling the tailoring of their properties to suit specific arsenic removal requirements.

Some common nanostructured materials used for arsenic removal include:

1. Metal Oxide Nanoparticles: Metal oxides such as iron oxide ( $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ ), titanium dioxide ( $\text{TiO}_2$ ), and aluminum oxide ( $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ) nanoparticles have shown excellent arsenic adsorption capabilities due to their high surface area and surface reactivity.

2. Carbon-Based Nanomaterials: Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and graphene oxide (GO) have been explored for arsenic removal due to their large surface area and strong adsorption interactions with arsenic species.

3. Nanocomposites: Hybrid materials, such as metal oxide-graphene composites, have demonstrated synergistic effects in arsenic removal, combining the advantages of both components.

4. Biomaterials: Several adsorptive materials derived and developed from biological materials (including biochar) are cost-effective materials useful in arsenic removal from water.

The applications of nanostructured materials in arsenic removal include both batch experiments in laboratory settings and continuous flow systems in field conditions. Some advantages of using nanostructured materials for arsenic removal include higher removal efficiencies, rapid kinetics, and the potential for regeneration and reusability.

However, challenges remain in implementing these nanomaterials on a larger scale. Issues such as material stability, cost-effectiveness, and potential environmental impacts require further investigation. Moreover, careful consideration of the risks and benefits associated with nanotechnology in arsenic removal is essential to ensure safe and sustainable applications. Research efforts are ongoing to bridge the gap between laboratory-scale success

and real-world field conditions, bringing these promising nanostructured materials closer to practical and effective arsenic removal solutions. As discussed earlier, nanoparticles have a high surface to volume ratio with other special properties of high catalytic efficiency, reactivity, etc. (Qu et al., 2013; Hristovski et al., 2007) which makes them suitable materials of choice as adsorbents (Mohan and Pittman, 2007; Nicomel et al., 2016; Bhattacharya et al., 2013).

**3.1. Metal-based nanomaterials:** Several metal-based nanomaterials were synthesized and tested for their efficiency by different researchers and many of them were found to be useful in arsenic remediation. Hydrated Cerium Oxide nanoparticle was synthesized by precipitation method and applied for arsenic sorption study (Li et al., 2012). A kinetic study using the adsorbent showed that 87% As(III) and 83% As(V) were removed from the solution in the first 30 minutes of contact time. It was found that the adsorbent was highly effective in arsenic removal even at very low concentrations.  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$ - phase manganese dioxide nano-adsorbents were synthesized and studied for their As(V) adsorption behavior (Singh et al., 2010).  $\alpha$ -MnO<sub>2</sub> nanosphere having diameter within the range 1-3 $\mu$ m was synthesized by the process of catalytic oxidation of Mn(II) acetate (Zhang and Sun, 2013). The maximum monolayer As(V) sorption capacity of the adsorbent was 14.5mg/g. 56% of adsorbed As(V) was desorbed with 1mol/L NaOH solution. As(V) sorption on the adsorbent surface took place by inner-sphere surface complex formation. The MnO<sub>2</sub> sphere could be effectively recovered by the microfiltration process and reused (Zhang and Sun, 2013).

Hierarchical nanostructure CuO had been synthesized and high arsenic adsorption capacity was found (Cao et al., 2007). The mono-layer As(III) sorption capacity was 5.7 mg/g. The CuO adsorbent could be successfully regenerated by rinsing the material with arsenic-free water and could be reused for arsenic sorption. CuO nanoparticles were



synthesized and arsenic removal from groundwater was studied (Martinson and Reddy 2009). Arsenic adsorption happened in a short period of time and CuO nanoparticles were able to remove both As(III) and As(V) efficiently between pH 6 and 10, having a maximum adsorption capacity was 26.9 mg/g and 22.6 mg/g for As(III) and As(V) respectively (Martinson and Reddy 2009). Cylindrical shape CuO nanoparticles were efficient in arsenic removal from groundwater samples containing different ions like silicate, sulphate, phosphate, nitrate etc (Martinson and Reddy 2009). The adsorbent could be regenerated by using NaOH (Reddy et al., 2013).

A novel photo-oxidation and adsorption-based CuO-Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic material has been synthesized for As(III) removal. Under light irradiation, The nanoparticles are able to oxidize As(III) to As(V) completely through photo-oxidation within 60 minutes (Sun et al., 2017). Subsequently As(V) is adsorbed on the nanoparticles efficiently. Figure 2 shows the synthesis pathway of novel photo-oxidation and adsorption based CuO-Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic material for As(III) removal.

**3.2. Mixed oxide and multi-metal nanomaterials:** Nano-agglomerates of mixed oxides like iron-titanium, iron-chromium, iron-cerium, iron-manganese, iron-zirconium, cerium-aluminium, cerium-manganese etc. have been applied to remove arsenic from contaminated groundwater (Mohan and Pittman, 2007; Bhattacharya et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2007; Gupta et al., 2011; Gupta et al., 2012). The characterization of these oxides was done using a transmission electron microscope (TEM), Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), scanning electron microscope (SEM), X-ray powder diffraction (XRD), and BET surface area analysis. The thermodynamic properties for sorption, the effect of pH and sorption kinetics of these materials have also been studied (Stanić, M.H., Nujić, 2015). Gupta et al. synthesized and characterized ceria-associated manganese oxide nanoparticles. TEM images of the

nanoparticles showed garland-like chain structure with void space, with varied particle sizes of 70-90 nm. and 15-20 nm. in two different samples (figure 3). SEM images showed that the particles were interconnected in a sheet-like structure (figure 4). Cerium manganese nanoparticles demonstrated their efficiency in arsenic (V) adsorption (Gupta et al., 2011).

Multi-metal oxide nanomaterials were synthesized and studied for arsenic remediation. Several experiments proved that multi-metal oxides have greater sorption capacity than individual metal oxides. The underlying reason may be due to the increase of surface energy, number of active sites per unit volume, surface area etc. In many studies, multivalent metal oxides show magnetic properties which make the separation process easier during the sorption process. Several nanostructured binary metal oxides like iron-titanium, iron-zirconium, iron-manganese and cerium-manganese mixed oxides were synthesized, characterized and applied for arsenic removal from groundwater (Gupta et al., 2008; Gupta et al., 2009; Gupta and Ghosh, 2009; Gupta et al., 2010; Gupta et al., 2011; Gupta et al., 2012). All of these metal oxides executed very high capacity of arsenic removal (> 90%) in both As(III) and As(V). Desorption studies showed that NaOH and KOH were effective in regenerating the adsorbents. Nanostructured Fe(III)-Cu(II) binary oxide was synthesized by a simple precipitation method and was applied for arsenic removal (Zhang et al., 2013). The effect of solution pH showed that the material could be effectively used within the pH range 6.5-8.5 for the removal of both forms of arsenic. The adsorbent could be regenerated by NaOH application. Micro and nano multi-functional polymeric adsorbents doped with bimetals like Fe, Al was synthesized and studied for arsenic removal from contaminated water. As(V) sorption capacity of these materials was found to be very high (40 mg/g). Several metal oxide nanomaterials had been utilized in fixed bed columns for arsenic sorption

from groundwater. All the nanomaterials showed very high As(V) adsorption (Mohan and Pittman, 2007; Kumar et al., 2011; Bhattacharya et al., 2013).

A synergistic approach of molecular imprinting and metal–organic ligand chemistry was applied for synthesizing a new polymer-based nano-adsorbent specific for As(V). The imprinted polymer with nanopores (nano MIP) displayed high arsenic adsorption capacity with an efficiency of 98%. Washing with 0.1 M HNO<sub>3</sub> solution regenerated the material, leading to its reuse for up to 10 consecutive cycles (Mankar et al., 2020).

Combustion synthesis of iron oxide/iron-coated carbons like As activated carbon, cellulose fibre, anthracite, and silica are also efficient nano-materials in arsenic sorption (Hristovski et al., 2019). Alumina crucibles are applied for performing chemical reactions. The arsenic removal percentage varied between 25.8%-96.7% for different samples (Hristovski et al., 2019).

**3.3. Iron-based nanomaterials:** Iron-based compounds and its oxides and hydroxides have been successfully used for arsenic removal. Various forms of iron used for the purpose include goethite, hematite, iron-based LDHs, iron nanoparticles, activated carbon doped with iron, mineral oxides doped with iron, etc. (Maity et al., 2021). Due to the existence of unpaired electrons in Fe(II) and Fe(III), their oxides exhibit magnetic behaviour, this property is exploited for the remediation of arsenic from water. Various iron oxide derivatives like magnetite (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>), hematite ( $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), maghemite ( $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), goethite ( $\alpha$ -FeOOH), and amorphous hydrous ferric oxide (Fe(O)-OH) assist in Arsenic remediation (Bach et al., 2010; Cornell and Schwertmann, 2003).

High-gradient magnetic separation (HGMS) is a useful method to separate iron nanoparticles from other solutions like water (Yeap et al., 2017). Additionally, the high surface-to-volume ratio, ease of surface modifications as per need, and low toxicity make

iron nanoparticles and their derivatives a material of choice for arsenic removal (Mohmood et al., 2013). Iron compounds like maghemite ( $\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ ), hematite ( $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ ), goethite ( $\alpha\text{-FeOOH}$ ), ferrihydrite ( $\text{Fe}_5\text{HO}_8\cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ), akaganeite ( $\beta\text{-FeOOH}$ ) and lepidocrocite ( $\delta\text{-FeOOH}$ ) are widely used for arsenic remediation. All these materials have a low leaching property (Ghanizadeh et al., 2010; Feng et al., 2012; Nassar, 2012).

Magnetite nano-particles were synthesized by different researchers and used for the purpose of both As(III) and As(V) removal (Khodabakhshi et al., 2011; Yavuz et al., 2010; Mayo et al., 2007; Yavuz et al., 2006; Yean et al., 2005; Chowdhury and Yanful, 2011). Magnetite nano-particles can be separated from the liquid phase after adsorption by the application of an external magnetic field column separator. The percentages of As(III) and As(V) removal depend on the size of the particles. Nanosized Hydrated Ferric Oxide (HFO)-Loaded Polymeric hybrid sorbents were synthesized for arsenate removal (Zhang et al., 2008). The sorbents were very efficient in removing arsenic in the column experiment. A mixture of 4% NaCl and 8% NaOH was used to regenerate the sorbent (Zhang et al., 2008). Many researchers reported that nano-sized iron oxide is much more efficient in arsenic removal than micro sized iron oxide (Raven et al., 1998; Siddiqui and Chaudhry, 2017a, b; Mayo et al., 2007). Tang et al. (2011) used a solvent thermal technique to create sphere-shaped ultrafine iron oxide,  $\alpha\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  NPs having size of 5 nm. On particle aggregation, a highly porous structure with a large surface area was formed, where the surfaces of the NPs were coated by hydroxyl groups, which aided in the removal of arsenic.  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  NPs (90 nm) can remediate 60–80% of As(III) from contaminated water (Prasad et al., 2011) having 1.94mg/g of highest monolayer removal success. Iron oxy hydroxide NPs having highly porous structure ( $\delta\text{-FeOOH}$ ) showed efficiency for arsenic remediation, with a size of 20 nm, surface area of 135 m<sup>2</sup>/g, pore diameters of 18 nm, and zero charge potential of 8.4 (Faria et

al., 2014) with highest adsorption capacity in non-ideal monolayer formation was found to be 37.3 mg/g. However, arsenic (As(III) and As(V)) decreased to 50% from 90% under anoxic conditions. Liu et al. (2016a) used graphene-like super paramagnetic  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nano sheets, reported adsorption of 100 and 39 mg/g for As(III) and As(V) respectively. Ferrihydrite particles of nano sized have potential to become suitable absorptive substance. Similarly, naturally occurring iron oxide minerals like  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>,  $\alpha$ -FeOOH and iron-rich laterite soil have been utilised to remediate arsenic at pH levels ranging from 4 to 11 (Aredes et al., 2012), with efficiencies in the order  $\alpha$ -FeOOH > Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> >  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Raul et al., (2014) used non-magnetic polycrystalline iron oxide hydroxide NPs for remediation of As(III) contamination in drinking water with highest removal efficiency of 475  $\mu$ g/g for As(III). Various report findings indicating that granular Fe(OH)<sub>3</sub> offers promise in the field of arsenic water remediation, Badruzzaman et al. (2004), Banerjee et al. (2008), and Westerhoff et al. (2005) found that it has a substantial removal capability of granular Fe(OH)<sub>3</sub> for arsenic. In both natural waters and model systems, GFH has a high potential for adsorption (Hassan, 2023). Other iron oxides, such as akaganeite, ferrihydrite, and lepidocrocite, had also been studied for arsenic removal from water. Because akaganeite has a larger surface area than  $\alpha$ -FeOOH and ferrihydrite and a hollandite-like crystal structure with a tunnel, it has a higher adsorption capacity than  $\alpha$ -FeOOH and ferrihydrite. Kolbe et al. (2011) calculated akaganeite's maximal adsorption capacities for As(III) and As(V) to be 45.5 and 108.3 mg/g, respectively.

Amine-rich Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/bacterial cellulose nano-composite (BC@MH nano-composite) was able to remediate 90 mg/g of As(V) from water (Nata et al., 2011). FeO<sub>x</sub> composite can remove 10 and 12.5 mg/g, of As(III) and As(V), respectively (Thakkar et al., 2015). Iron-oxide nano-composite (CINs) modified with Chitosan can remove 267.2 mg/g of As(III) at

pH 6.0 from water (Gerard et al., 2016). Electro spun polyacrylonitrile modified super paramagnetic iron oxide NPs (SPION) were developed which were used for As(V) ions removal from water (Morillo et al., 2016). Batch studies with porous charred granulated attapulgite-supported hydrated iron oxide showed maximum As(III) and As(V) removal capacity of 3.25 and 5.09 mg/g respectively (Yin et al., 2017). More recently, mercaptobenzothiazole (MBT)- functionalized SiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nano-composite have shown 93% As(V) remediation under optimum conditions (Sheikhmohammadi et al., 2018). Other nano composites that have demonstrated intense affinity towards arsenic having higher capacity for adsorption and are easily separable includes  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/SBA-15 nano-composite (Peng et al., 2018), manganese iron oxide (MnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) (Qi et al., 2018), and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-ZIF-8 core-shell composites (Huo et al., 2018), calcium-based magnetic biochar (Ca-MBC) (Wu et al., 2018b). Yttrium-doped iron oxide magnetic adsorbent was found to have maximum adsorption capacity of 170.48 and 84.22 mg/g for As(III) and As(V) respectively (Yu et al., 2018). clay-activated carbon composite beads modified by iron oxide have been found to be efficient for arsenic remediation (Pawar et al., 2018).

**3.4. Nanostructured alumina:** Arsenate sorption on nano active alumina was reported by X.H. Guan and co-workers (Guan et al., 2009). The average particle size of the material was 2.99 nm., with a specific surface area of 359 m<sup>2</sup>/g. Effect of initial solution pH study on As(V) sorption showed that the sorption capacity depends on the initial loading of arsenic. W. Li also reported an arsenic sorption study of highly ordered mesoporous alumina (Li et al., 2011). The team synthesized different alumina samples having pore sizes ranging from 3.8 nm. to 6.6 nm. The mesoporous alumina has a wide pH range at which it can remove arsenate ions (pH 4-9). The material showed arsenate and arsenite sorption capacity of 19.8 mg/g and 5.0 mg/g respectively at low concentration range. Mesoporous  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sized between 2–10

nm showed excellent results when employed for removal of arsenic from water (Patra et al., 2012). Various types of activated nonporous alumina with large surface area (200-300 m<sup>2</sup>/g) are used for arsenic remediation; the large surface area increases the sorption sites. Recently Prabhakar and Samadder (2018) constructed a cheaper Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NP and observed an adsorption capacity of 500 µg/g at 25 °C. Alumina supported iron nanoparticles was reported to totally remediate As(III) from water at optimal concentrations (Jain and Agarwal 2017), having maximum adsorption capacity of 15.50 mg/g.

**3.5. Nanoscale zerovalent iron:** Zerovalent iron (nZVI) has the ability to adsorb several toxic metals which includes arsenic. Due to its high capacity of adsorption, nZVI is a good material of choice for treating arsenic-contaminated water (Li et al., 2006; Morgada et al., 2009). nZVI has greater efficiency to adsorb arsenic in comparison to sorptive media and granular iron particles (Li et al., 2006). A combination of ZVI with potassium permanganate and ferrous ions (Fe(II)-KMnO<sub>4</sub>-ZVI) is highly efficient and is able to remove toxic As (III) at a broad pH range and concentration (20-100 mg/L) (Deng et al., 2017). However, the mechanism associated with nZVI immobilization is unclear. Arsenic removal by nZVI involves surface precipitation, reduction, adsorption, co-precipitation with different iron corrosion products like ferric/ferrous oxides and hydroxides (Mak et al., 2009).

Recently, green routes have been followed for synthesizing low cost nZVI. Leaf extracts of cherry, mulberry and oak have been used for the preparation of nZVI (Sofija et al., 2016). During green synthesis of zZVI, as reducing agents, extracts of *Vaccinium corymbosum* leaves and shoots have been used (Cerdeira et al., 2017). However, green nZVI is less efficient than chemically synthesized nZVI.

Now-a-days nanoscale zero valent iron (NZVI) is successfully used to remediate contaminants due to their low cost and high remediation efficiency. Due to the low particle



size and high surface area of very low amount of NZVI can effectively and safely remediate arsenic (As(III)) from water (Rahmani et al. 2011). NZVI is also successful in removing As(V) in acidic environment (Visanu et al. 2011). Presence of phosphate ( $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ ), Bicarbonate ( $\text{HCO}_3^-$ ) and Humic Acid (HA) inhibits AS(III) remediation by NZVI while Chloride ( $\text{Cl}^-$ ) and Calcium ( $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ) enhances AS(III) remediation, Chloride ( $\text{Cl}^-$ ) and Bicarbonate ( $\text{HCO}_3^-$ ) slightly affects removal of As(V) (Haoran et al., 2012). 100% arsenic removal was achieved from smelting wastewater using NZVI with average removal capacity of 239 mg/g (Li et al., 2014a). Li et al. (2017b) obtained 100% As(III) concentration reduction. Plant leaf extracts have been used to manufacture NZVI for cost reduction (Sofija et al., 2016), which showed good results (Adio et al. 2017). But, green NZVI are slower than chemically synthesised NZVI (green NZVI optimum time 120 min against 60 min of chemical NZVI) though the performance can be improved by addition of oxidants in the solution like persulfate (PS), peroxymonosulfate (PMS) and hydrogen peroxide (HP) for As(III) removal (Kang et al., 2018).

Recently, a nanoscale polyaniline/Fe<sup>0</sup> composite was developed which showed As(III) and As(V) ions removal capacities of 232.5 mg/g and 227.3 mg/g, respectively (Bhaumik et al., 2015). The montmorillonite-supported core-shell Fe(0) nanostructured composite showed maximum adsorption capacity of 59.9 and 45.5 mg/g, for As(III) and As(V), respectively (Bhowmick et al. 2014). Similarly, fuller's earth immobilized NZVI, and removed 50.08 and 91.42 mg/g of As(III) and As(V), respectively, from aqueous solution (Yadav et al., 2016). Starch-derived mesoporous carbonaceous material after modification with NZVI resulted in the removal of 27 mg/g As(III) (Baikousi et al., 2015). Similarly, chitosan after NZVI modification was able to remove 115 mg/g and 87 mg/g of As(III) and As(V) respectively (Su et al., 2016). Horzum et al., (2013) and Liu et al., (2016b) reported



arsenic remediation by using chitosan fiber supported NZVI (CFS-NZVI) and chitosan modified NZVI supported pumice (CS-P-NZVI) respectively. For large scale applications nanobunches (NBZI) have been put forward for arsenic removal and have shown 60 times greater As(III) removal capacity than NZVI at pH 7 (Tang et al., 2017). Wang et al., (2017) found that a stabilizer needs to be used for NZVI since its aggregation affects the As(V) removal capacity. Also, nowadays various modified nano-composites of NZVI like hydroxyl-functionalized  $\text{TiO}_2@\text{SiO}_2@\text{Ni}/\text{NZVI}$  nano-composite (Huang et al., 2018e), and Zeolite-supported NZVI (Li et al., 2018) have showed better results compared to virgin NZVI.

**3.6. Carbon nanotubes:** Due to their superior adsorption capability, carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and their composites have received considerable interest in recent times (Bhattacharya et al., 2013). High metal enrichment and detection sensitivity, and selectivity are characteristics of CNTs. CNTs can directly interact with water pollutants through various interactions due to their carbonaceous nature and highly reactive surface area (Hasnain and Nayak, 2019). Their surface can be modified easily by processes like acid treatment, metal impregnation, and functional molecules/group grafting. Although CNTs are challenging to remove from adsorption solutions, the introduction of CNTs-based magnetic hybrids has solved the issue. Iron nanoparticle-enriched modified activated carbons and zeolites showed increased heavy metal adsorption capabilities (Stani, M.H., Nuji, 2015). Cerium oxide supported on carbon nanotubes ( $\text{CeO}_2\text{-CNTs}$ ) was created as a unique sorbent having a high surface area ( $189 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ ), and a high capacity for removing arsenic.  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  eliminated 81.9 and 78.8 mg/g of As(V) respectively (Peng et al., 2005). Magnetite-coated multiwalled carbon nanotubes ( $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4\text{-MWNTs}$ ) was synthesized which was capable to remove both As(V) and As(III) (Mishra and Ramaprabhu, 2010). Magnetic iron oxide nanoparticles combined with multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MIO-MWCNTs) were capable of adsorbing

As(III) at pH 1.6 to 6.8 and As (V) at pH 1.7 to 7.9 (Li et al., 2016). As(III) and As(V) were more readily absorbed by a nanocomposite synthesized by trapping iron oxide within a carbon nanosphere compared to activated carbon, mesoporous carbon and CNTs (Su et al., 2017).

An important advantage of CNTs is that they can be functionalized for increasing their metal ion removal efficiency. Polyethylene glycol (PEG) functionalization of CNTs results in its improved capacity to remove As(V) from contaminated water. Metal ion adsorption on PEG CNTs shows strong pH dependence (Nicomel et al., 2016; Velickovic et al., 2013).

As(V) removal efficiency of Ti-loaded basic yttrium carbonate (BYC) from contaminated media was studied. The composite showed high capacity of adsorption in a wide pH range of 3 to 11 (Lee et al., 2015).

**3.7. Titanium based nanomaterials:** Research has indicated that titanium dioxide ( $\text{TiO}_2$ ) and  $\text{TiO}_2$ -based materials are quite potent in arsenic removal (Ashraf et al., 2019; Nazari et al., 2021, Bhattacharya et al., 2021).  $\text{TiO}_2$  has strong photo-oxidizing power and redox selectivity which is helpful for the oxidizing step for As(III) oxidation and their nanofibers have also demonstrated arsenic adsorption capability (Demirel et al., 2017; Jegadeesan et al., 2010; Yan et al., 2016). It has been observed that equilibrium adsorption of As(III) and As(V) by nanocrystalline  $\text{TiO}_2$  follows pseudo-second-order kinetics (Guan et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2005). It is reported that 70% of trivalent arsenic is adsorbed in 30 minutes by crystalline hydrous titanium dioxide (Manna et al., 2004).  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ - $\text{TiO}_2$  nanoparticle which displays super paramagnetism, has the ability to remove both As(III) and As(V). These nanoparticles removed about 100  $\mu\text{g/L}$  of As(V) with complete efficiency and 100  $\mu\text{g/L}$  of As(III) with 93% efficiency (Beduk, 2016).  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ - $\text{TiO}_2$  porous ceramic (Fe/TiPC) beads are extensively reusable and within 2

hours, remove up to 90% As (V) and As(III) by UV irradiation. These nanomaterials exhibit photocatalytic properties (Su et al., 2017). Crystalline TiO<sub>2</sub> adsorbed As(V) better while amorphous TiO<sub>2</sub> showed partial oxidation of As(III) (Jegadeesan et al. 2010), Xu et al., (2010) successfully remediated As(III) using highly porous, hydrous TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs (3–8 nm). Anatase (a form of TiO<sub>2</sub>) has shown maximum adsorption of 16.98 mg/g for arsenic (Kocabas and Yurum, 2013). TiO<sub>2</sub> nano-crystals show maximum adsorption efficiency between pH 8 and 4, while at pH 12 adsorption capacity was lowest for both As(III) and As(V) (Wei et al. 2016).

Chitin hydro-gel with reinforced TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs showed 3.1 mg/g of maximum arsenic removal capacity (Ramos et al., 2016). nano-composite of TiO<sub>2</sub> polymer was reported to remediate 150 mg/g of As(V) (Urbano et al., 2015). cysteine@ZnS:TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs modified molecularly imprinted biofouling-resistant 3D filtration membrane is able to remediate 95% of As(III) and As(V) ions (Roy et al., 2016). High As(III) adsorption capacity of 114 mg/g was shown by Granular TiO<sub>2</sub>-La composite (Yan et al., 2017). Munoz ~ et al. (2017) found higher oxidation rate of As(III) onto TiO<sub>2</sub> + zero valent iron (ZVI) compared to ZVI. Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub> nano sorbent showed maximum adsorption capacity at pH 9 of 31.4 mg/g and 10.2 mg/g for As(III) and As(V), respectively (Feng et al. 2017). Nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>/feldspar embedded chitosan composite was able to adsorb arsenic via linear and nonlinear modelling (2 and 2.02 mg/g) respectively (Yazdani et al., 2017). Recently, for photocatalytic oxidation and subsequent adsorption of arsenic various materials were utilised namely microscopic TiO<sub>2</sub> and TiOF<sub>2</sub> materials (Gomaa et al., 2018), hydroxyl-functionalized TiO<sub>2</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>@Ni/NZVI nano-composites (Huang et al., 2018e), cerium-doped titanium (Li et al., 2011), and g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> (Jiang et al., 2018b). Fe-TiO<sub>x</sub> magnetic NPs was developed on surface of pineapple-peelings (CPa-Fe/TiO<sub>x</sub>) which showed 40 mg/g of adsorption (Rosales

et al. 2018). MgO/TiO<sub>2</sub>/Ag composite also showed excellent affinity for As(V) having maximum adsorption capacity of 90.66 mg/g at pH 7.0 (Zhang and Jia 2018).

**3.8. Zirconia and zirconia-based nanomaterials:** Zirconia nanoparticles were applied for both As (III) and As (V) removal (Ma et al., 2011; Zheng et al., 2012). Granular activated carbon media impregnated with zirconium dioxide nanoparticles (Zr-GAC) is quite effective in arsenic removal from contaminated water (Sandoval et al., 2011). Though limited literature is available, but ZrO<sub>2</sub> NPs are stable, more suitable to regenerate, and have high adsorption capacities for arsenic compared to iron or aluminium NPs. ZrO<sub>2</sub> NPs have shown high absorptivity especially for arsenic, and can effectively remediate As(III) without the need for any pre-oxidation step (Zheng et al. 2012). Dual pore structured nano-sized ZrO<sub>2</sub> spheres having large surface area (98 m<sup>2</sup>/g) were more efficient compared to ZrO<sub>2</sub> NPs for remediation of As(III) and As(V) (Cui et al., 2013).

**3.9. Graphene:** Distinct features of graphene materials are their large surface area and presence of surface functional groups which make them great choices for water remediation (Bhattacharya et al., 2013). Additionally, it is reusable, can be separated magnetically, has a high removal efficiency and kinetics. Graphene sheets have been developed by hydrogen-induced exfoliation of graphitic oxide. This is followed by functionalization to further improve its arsenic binding properties (Mishra and Ramaprabhu, 2011). The sheets are very efficient in removing As(III) and As(V) from both contaminated fresh water and sea water. Nanohybrids of graphene oxide and manganese ferrite (MnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) magnetic NPs have also been developed and their efficiency in arsenic removal been evaluated (Kumar et al., 2014). In a study, graphene nanoplate-supported CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> composite (GNPs/CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) adsorbed up to 58mg/g at various different pH conditions (La et al., 2017). A nanocomposite of Cu-exchanged zeolite A

(Cu-ZEA), reduced graphene oxide (RGO), and magnetite nanoparticles ( $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ ) has a high surface area and removes 50.51 mg/g arsenic (Khatamian et al., 2017).

Graphene oxide has been used as a substrate to support zirconium hydroxide ( $\text{ZrO}(\text{OH})_2$ ) nanoparticles and it removes both As(III) and As(V) from contaminated media. It displayed high adsorption efficiency for both arsenic forms (Luo et al., 2013).

Arsenic removal efficiency was studied by using two-dimensional and three-dimensional graphene-based nanomaterials from contaminated water. Removal of arsenic was studied as a function of varying pH, temperature, co-existing ions and loaded metal or metal oxide. Maximum removal capacity for As(III) was 138.79 mg/g and that of As(V) was 183.11 mg/g respectively (Yang et al., 2016).

Kumar and Jiang (2017) have studied b-cyclodextrin decorated functionalized graphene oxide as a material for As(III) and As(V) adsorption. In the presence of hydroxyl and carboxyl groups on the surface of graphene oxide modified magnetite ( $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ ) nanoparticles, this adsorbent shows high adsorption capacity for As(III) and As(V). It is also separable magnetically which is an added advantage. Another advantage is that the adsorbent is reusable as it can be regenerated by NaOH (Kumar and Jiang, 2017). Magnetic graphene oxide (MGO) has also been synthesized in order to remove As(III) from aqueous solutions. It is reusable and does not significantly lose its performance even after 4 adsorption cycles (Sherlala et al., 2018). Chitosan-magnetic-graphene oxide (CMGO) nanocomposites' adsorption isotherm has shown that the adsorption data fits well to Langmuir isotherm model, suggesting that the adsorption is a homogeneous process. Thermodynamic analysis has indicated that As(III) adsorption is exothermic and spontaneous. The superparamagnetic properties of the nanocomposite assist in magnetic recovery (Sherlala et al., 2019).

Macroporous 3D GO hydrogel (MGOH) was effective in adsorbing both the arsenic species, having a sorption capacity of 74.2 mg g<sup>-1</sup> and 25.1 mg g<sup>-1</sup> for As(V) and As(III), respectively (Liang et al., 2019).

By using a sol-gel process technique, iron/iron oxide (Fe/FexOy) core-shell structured iron nanoparticles (FeNPs) have been coated on the surface of graphene oxide to create a graphene oxide iron nanohybrid (GFeN) (GO). In the instance of GFeN, removal capacities of 306 mg/g for As(III) and 431 mg/g for As(V) have been observed. About 99% arsenic is removed in less than 10 minutes using this nanohybrid. It has been suggested that electrostatic interaction and surface complexation are involved in arsenic removal by GFeN (Das et al., 2020).

Materials based on Graphene oxide have also been used in heavy metal remediation (Liu et al., 2019a) also graphene in its reduced form is successfully used for water treatment (Saikia et al., 2019; Upadhyay et al., 2020). Barik et al. (2020) created a mesoporous silica 3D scaffold doped with graphene oxide flakes (GOFs) and showed its ability to remove Pb<sup>2+</sup> and As<sup>3+</sup> ions from groundwater samples.

A novel nanocomposite adsorbent material was synthesized by a group of researchers, using graphene oxide (GO) and a zirconium-based metal-organic framework, i.e., UiO-66-NDC [Zr<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>(OH)<sub>4</sub>(1,4-NDC)<sub>6</sub>]<sub>n</sub>. The adsorbent was applied as an adsorbent to remove As(V) from water. The UiO-66-NDC/GO showed good performance in As(V) removal across a wide pH range of 1 to 10; the best adsorption efficiency was noted at pH 3. At the ideal pH, UiO-66-NDC/GO showed extremely high efficiency in As(V) removal (147.06 mg/g), which is the highest arsenate adsorption capacity recorded so far (Singh et al., 2022).

**3.10. Nanocellulose materials:** For removing hazardous compounds from waste water, cellulose nanocrystals (CNCs), cellulose fibres (CF), and nanocellulose (NC) are all thought

to be particularly effective adsorbents. Owing to the functionalization of its surface O-H groups it can be used for a wide range of applications (Abou-Zeid et al., 2018; Curvello et al., 2019; Norrrahim et al., 2021). Dialdehyde nanocrystalline cellulose grafted with diethylene triamine (DETA-g-DA-NCC) was effectively used for adsorption of As(III) and As(V). The results showed 92.84% removal for As(III) and 97.86% removal for As (V) respectively at equilibrium (Singh et al., 2015b). Nath et al (2016) showed the application of a bimodal nanocomposite fabricated from ZnO, CeO<sub>2</sub>: nanocellulose and polyaniline exhibiting arsenic removal efficiency of about 95% along with antibacterial properties. So, dual properties involving arsenic removal and antimicrobial properties was demonstrated using this novel bio-nanocomposite. Nanocomposite synthesized using hydroxyapatite-bentonite-clay-nanocrystalline cellulose was used to remove As(III) from water solution with a removal efficiency of 95% within 5 minutes (Hokkanen et al., 2019).

Likewise, Chai et al (2021) synthesized pH-sensitive nanomaterials based on nanocellulose (NC) crosslinked with polyethyleneimine (PI) using the crosslinker glutaraldehyde (GA). This adsorbent was pH sensitive and achieved an adsorption capacity of 255.19 mg/g for As(V) in 10 minutes time at pH 3. Along with its enhanced adsorption in acidic pH, it also showed improved adsorption properties after undergoing eight regeneration cycles. Thus, pH sensitive nanomaterial based on nanocellulose executed high efficiency in removal of arsenic from wastewater.

Iron impregnated micro-fibrillated cellulose (FeNP/MFC) and chitosan beads (MICB) both showed arsenic adsorption capacity. FeNP/MFC remediated As(V) by 2.46 mmol/g (Hokkanen et al., 2015) while MICB capacity to remediate As(V) and As(III) was 35.7 and 35.3 mg/g, respectively (Wang et al., 2014b).



**3.11. Biochar materials:** Biochars are sustainable, cost-effective and efficient materials for remediation of toxic metals including arsenic from contaminated water. Biochar is synthesized by the process of thermochemical conversion of biomass in oxygen-deprived or oxygen-free conditions (Lee et al., 2019). Biochar is generally produced by using biological wastes generated from wood, leaves, manure, agricultural by-products and residues, sewage sludge etc., which are easily available and cheap. Use of various organic wastes to produce biochar can be a useful strategy in waste management (Alkurdi et al., 2019). Biochar has various oxygen-containing functional groups and minerals, which play significant roles in arsenic removal by adsorption and oxidation mechanisms (Deng et al., 2020). However, pristine biochar has limited capacity to adsorb arsenic, as its negatively charged surface limits arsenic adsorption because of the electrostatic repulsion between biochar and As oxyanions (Sun et al., 2022). Several modification processes were experimented in order to improve arsenic removal or immobilization by enhancing both chemical and physical properties of biochar. Such modification processes include acid and alkali modification, iron modification, multi-metal modification (Sun et al., 2022). Biochar coating with metal oxides, carbon nanotubes or graphene were recently developed to enhance the properties of biochar for the removal of selected contaminants including toxic metals. Coating of metal oxides with different particle sizes (micro to nano scale range) was applied to improve arsenic removal potential. Pristine biochar is found to be comparatively less efficient in arsenic sorption from contaminated media than modified or engineered biochars (Amen et al., 2020). The modified biochar has better capacity to retain arsenic because of the higher porosity of the materials, higher surface area and presence of the useful functional groups, which are capable of removing comparatively more arsenic from contaminated media (Amen et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2022). Biochars which are pyrolyzed at high temperature are



comparatively more efficient in removal of arsenic from contaminated water than those synthesized at lower temperature, which may be because of the high aromaticity and porous structure, as well as abundant presence of mineral-phases (e.g.,  $\text{CaPO}_4$ ,  $\text{CaCO}_3$ ) (Amen et al., 2020). Adsorption on biochar can be increased during or after the initial manufacturing processes. Ni/Mn modification in post-pyrolysis phase can lead to higher capacity of adsorption (6.52 g/kg) than modification in pre-pyrolysis phase (0.549 g/kg). Chemical, physical, steam activation, impregnation of metals or their oxides, gas purging, and other methods are applied to improve sorption capacity of biochar, based on the target pollutant (Hassan, 2023). Figure 5 illustrates the possible mechanisms for arsenic removal on biochar-based materials.

Various feedstock materials are applied in biochar synthesis to study their usefulness in arsenic removal, such as cotton wood, mulberry wood, coconut shell, corn stem, poplar wood, pinewood, pine bark, rice straw, perilla leaf, Japanese oak wood, oak bark, grape seeds, peanut shell, even sewage sludge materials (Sun et al., 2022; Alkurdi et al., 2019). Application of biochar materials can help in developing more effective management of wastes, leading to the reduction of greenhouse gas emission from the landfills. However, more research works are required to study the utility of biochar materials in natural field conditions, their cost-effectiveness and environmental risk assessment (Amen et al., 2020). Wang et al., (2016) developed two composites from biochar for arsenic remediation from water, one through Ni/Mn oxide-modified pinewood feedstock (NMMF) pyrolysis and another one by Ni/Mn-LDHs (NMMB) precipitation. The maximum adsorption capacity of the two composites NMMF and NMMB were found to be 0.549 and 6.52 g/kg of As(V), respectively. Wang et al. (2015b) developed Fe-Mn binary oxide onto pinewood biomass (FMM) and pinewood biochar (FMB) for removal of arsenic from water, having As(V)

adsorption capacity of 3.44 and 0.50 mg/g for FMB and FMM respectively. (Fe)-impregnated biochar (FBC) was manufactured by pyrolysis of corn straw treated with  $\text{FeCl}_3$  and showed As(V) adsorption efficiency of 6.80 mg/g, which was more than unmodified biochar (He et al. 2018). Recently, researchers applied a spectral induced polarization (SIP) method for monitoring of As adsorption on Fe-modified biochar, synthesized from date-palm leaves. The technique was sensitive to the interfacial conductivity and adsorption properties of biochar, and can be a novel technique to monitor wastewater treatment (Kirmizakis et al., 2022).

Several mechanisms have been studied and explained for As(III) and As(V) adsorption by nanomaterials (Sun et al., 2017; Samuel et al., 2022). Most of the research works established the prominence of electrostatic interactions followed by the redox reactions between the As species and the adsorbent material. General schematic diagram of As sorption on the surface of agglomerated nanoparticles by different pathways like H-bonding, electrostatic interaction, surface oxidation, complex formation, pore diffusion are demonstrated in Figure 6.

An overview of various methods (like adsorption, ion-exchange, phytoremediation, Nano phytoremediation, phytobial remediation, reverse osmosis, chemical precipitation membrane technology, electrocoagulation etc.) applied for arsenic removal is shown in Table 1. Comparative arsenic removal capacity of different adsorbents is shown in Table 2.

#### **4. Nanomaterial applications in arsenic treatment for achieving SDGs:**

Cost-effectiveness of the nanomaterials is essential for wide applications in the low income and lower middle-income countries around the world. Many of these regions suffer from resource poor conditions, which further affect the livelihoods of the local inhabitants. This is also in synchrony with one of the significant goals (Goal 6) in UN Sustainable Development

Goals (SDGs), which aims to ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all. Especially, target 6.a. under Goal 6 mentions “By 2030, expand international cooperation and capacity-building support to developing countries in water-and sanitation-related activities and programmes, including water harvesting, desalination, water efficiency, wastewater treatment, recycling and reuse technologies” (UN Sustainable Development Goals, 2022); water treatment using nanomaterials can be one of the sustainable solutions in this regard. The utilization of nanomaterials for arsenic removal plays a direct role in advancing Sustainable Development Goal 6, which aims to ensure the availability and sustainable management of clean water. The removal of arsenic from water sources provides communities with the opportunity to access water that is safe and suitable for consumption, thereby mitigating the potential health risks associated with arsenic exposure and fostering overall well-being (UNDP 2015). Moreover, the implementation of nanomaterial-based arsenic removal techniques can contribute to the advancement of Sustainable Development Goal 12 by promoting the adoption of responsible production and consumption practices. The proposed methodology aims to mitigate waste generation and enhance resource efficiency through the utilization of nanomaterials possessing exceptional adsorption capabilities and regenerative properties (Popescu et al., 2021).

#### **5. Sustainable approaches towards selecting nanomaterials for arsenic treatment:**

Nanomaterials are always given more preference for filtration than the bulk materials due their very high sorption capacity.

Certain approaches are indispensable for the synthesis, selection and application of nanomaterials in arsenic treatment, as follows:

(1) Nanomaterials need to be synthesized by applications of greener technologies in order to achieve more efficiency.

- (2) The nanomaterials need to be designed in such ways that the materials can have high permeability with improved dynamic spots via the attachment of several metal organic functional groups;
- (3) Formulations and modifications of several functional groups on the nanoadsorbents, depending on the requirements.
- (4) Synthesis path of the nanostructured materials is expected to be simple. Large quantity of nanomaterials is expected to be synthesized in a single set of synthesis process, which is a difficult task till date.
- (5) Ingredients should be of low cost in order to maintain a reasonable production cost.
- (6) Nanomaterials tend to disperse in aqueous medium due to their minute particle sizes. Precautions need to be taken and techniques need to be followed in order to prevent nanomaterial dispersion in water.
- (7) Nanomaterials need to be regenerated and reused for the convenience of using multiple times (Mohan and Pittman, 2007; Bhattacharya et al., 2013; Nicomel et al., 2016).
- (8) Iron oxide nanoparticles have demonstrated remarkable adsorption capabilities for arsenic. The capacity to efficiently eliminate arsenic from water, even when present in low concentrations, has been demonstrated (Diephuis et al., 2022).
- (9) The use of nanomaterials with additional treatment procedures like as membrane filtration has the potential to improve overall efficacy while lowering costs (Kotia et al., 2020).
- (10) Synthesis and applications of multifunctional nanomaterials; focus should be on developing novel nanomaterials capable of removing two or more contaminants effectively.

Several initiatives tested the efficiency of different arsenic removal technologies. In West Bengal, ten different arsenic removal technologies were evaluated at the community level (Nadagouda and Lytle, 2011). In Bangladesh, nine technologies for removing arsenic

from household levels were tested and evaluated (AIIH, 2001). Bangladesh Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (BCSIR) initiated and approved several technologies of arsenic treatment to be marketed, like ZVI (Sono), granular iron oxide (Neelima, SIDKO), activated alumina (MAGC/ALCAN), Ethylene–vinyl alcohol copolymer-born hydrous cerium oxide (Read-F), and Shwadesh (BAMWSP, 2001).

The utilization of nanomaterials in the process of arsenic removal holds promise for enhanced environmental sustainability compared to conventional methods. The utilization of nanomaterials and the implementation of green synthesis processes can effectively mitigate adverse impacts on ecosystems and diminish the overall carbon footprint associated with the treatment process (Feng et al., 2012). It is imperative to perform a life cycle assessment (LCA) on the process of arsenic removal using nanomaterials in order to comprehensively assess the environmental consequences associated with each stage of its life cycle, encompassing material extraction up to disposal. The utilization of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) enables the identification of areas that require improvement and facilitates the informed selection of sustainable nanomaterials and treatment processes. There is a need for future life cycle assessment of arsenic removal using nanomaterials.

## **6. Risk factors and limitations of nanomaterials in arsenic treatment:**

Nanoparticles can be discharged into the environment because of unsustainable environmental activities and introduction of new technologies without proper risk assessment. Studies related to assessment of their possible environmental threats should be performed, focusing on the mobility, bioavailability, toxicity and persistence of these nanoparticles (Jawed et al., 2020). It is still unclear whether nanomaterial exposure can affect aquatic and terrestrial organisms significantly. Engineered nanoparticles are being employed more in urban water systems, in addition to their quick expansion in purification of

wastewater and treatment of drinking water. This raises the issue of how to get rid of these nanoparticles. It might be necessary to remove nanoparticles once their saturation point is reached. In case of metals and organics, combustion is a reliable method for their removal (Mohan and Pittman, 2007; Bhattacharya et al., 2013). However, the major risk associated with combustion is that the volatile arsenic oxides will find their way out into the atmosphere and result in health hazard in a wide area (Khan, 2010). Solidification of arsenic contaminated material followed by proper disposal in sealed landfills can be a better way of disposal. However, it must be ensured that the landfill is not leaky (Mohan and Pittman, 2007; Bystrzejewska-Piotrowska et al., 2009; Saiz et al., 2014; Nicomel et al., 2016). The best alternate seems to be the use of recyclable adsorbents which have a higher recycling frequency. Studies have highlighted that several adsorbents (discussed through the sections of this review) can be recycled form any cycles without losing their properties (Leist et al., 2000; Tuutijärvi et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2005; Hu et al., 2006).

Use of nanomaterials for arsenic removal from ground water is widely applicable since it produces small amount of sludge with less disposal problem. However, indiscriminate and unscientific use of nanomaterials for water treatment may cause environmental pollution (Banerjee and Chen, 2007). Nanoparticles like nanoscale zerovalent iron shows very high mobility into water, which may cause transportation of adsorbed arsenic into water. Nanoparticles, due to their minute sizes, can pass through the cell membrane by endocytosis which is very harmful to all biological systems. However, detail study is needed on the nature and degree of toxic effects of different nanoparticles. Nano titanium dioxide, fullerene, carbon nanotubes etc. were found to be harmful for different species of fishes (Karn et al., 2009; Cheng et al., 2007). The fate of nanomaterials in the environment after use should be studied and analyzed thoroughly.

There is also a chance of leaching of nanoparticles in the treated water; however, there are no such record documented so far. However, thorough investigations on the leaching of sorbents are required to examine the application of various sorbents on a commercial basis. Arsenic adsorption is effectively prevented by phosphate, carbonate, and bicarbonate ions, which can increase arsenic leaching from mineral surfaces (Handy et al., 2008). Figure 7 shows a schematic representation of the risk factors associated with nanomaterial application in arsenic treatment and possible mitigation options to minimize the risk.

## **7. Conclusions:**

Nanotechnology has emerged as one of the newest and widely used buzzwords in recent times. As shown by the growing trends in research, this area has enormous potential to be evolved into an effective way in water treatment in the 21st century. Developing flexible, mechanically stable and multi-functional nanomaterials is a real challenge, yet could be a major driver in water and wastewater treatment processes, if applied and implemented successfully (Siddiqui and Chaudhry, 2017; Siddiqui et al., 2019). This article provides a summary of diverse absorbents reported (both chemical and biological) by various studies for treatment of arsenic-contaminated water. According to the experiments, nanomaterials are far superior to bulk materials at removing arsenic (both As III and AsV) from water. However, many of the techniques of arsenic treatment involving nanotechnology have only been investigated in laboratory scales, and yet to be studied in natural conditions. Natural conditions are much more complex and interactive, in which the efficiency of these particles need to be proved, especially in a long-term and sustainable manner. Another significant obstacle faced by the hydrologists is the inclusion of nanomaterials into the current arsenic purification technologies. More laboratory research works and pilot scale testing are required

to incorporate innovative nanostructured membranes into conventional arsenic purification systems. Combining both inorganic and biomaterials shows promise in enhancing the effectiveness of arsenic removal procedures. Additionally, the application of different metal oxide nanomaterials to decorate graphene oxide can offer improved results. However, while nanotechnology presents opportunities for arsenic purification, potential hazards must be carefully addressed and monitored to ensure long-term sustainability and environmental safety. Vigilance in assessing the benefits and risks of using nanotechnology in arsenic purification is crucial for successful and responsible implementation.

#### References:

- Abdullah, N., Yusof, N., Lau, W.J., Jaafar, J., Ismail, A.F., 2019. Recent trends of heavy metal removal from water/wastewater by membrane technologies. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 76, 17–38. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2019.03.029>.
- Abou-Zeid, R. E., Dacrory, S., Ali, K. A., & Kamel, S. (2018). Novel method of preparation of tricarboxylic cellulose nanofiber for efficient removal of heavy metal ions from aqueous solution. *International journal of biological macromolecules*, 119, 207-214.
- Adio, S.O., Omar, M.H., Asif, M., Saleh, T.A., 2017. Arsenic and selenium removal from water using biosynthesized nanoscale zero-valent iron: a factorial design analysis. *Process Saf. Environ. Prot.* 107, 518–527.
- AIHH, 2001. Arsenic mitigation programme for technology and park on arsenic removal devices. In: Basu, B.B. (Ed.), Convenor Director. School of Fundamental Research, Kolkata, India.
- Alka, S., Shahir, S., Ibrahim, N., Ndejiko, M. J., Vo, D. V. N., & Abd Manan, F. (2021). Arsenic removal technologies and future trends: a mini review. *Journal of cleaner production*, 278, 123805.



Alkurdi, S.S.A., Herath, I., Bundschuh, J., Al-Juboori, R.A., Vithanage, M., Mohan, D. 2019. Biochar versus bone char for a sustainable inorganic arsenic mitigation in water: What needs to be done in future research? *Environ Int.*, 127: 52-69. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2019.03.012.

Allen, S.J., Whitten, L.J., Murray, M., Duggan, O., Brown, P., 1997. The adsorption of pollutants by peat, lignite and activated chars. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* 68, 442–452. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-4660(199704)68:4<442::AID-JCTB643>3.0.CO;2-2

Altin, A., Degirmenci, M., 2005. Lead (II) removal from natural soils by enhanced electrokinetic remediation. *Sci. Total Environ.* 337 (1-3), 1-10.

Altundoan, H.S., Altundoan, S., Tümen, F., Bildik, M., 2002. Arsenic adsorption from aqueous solutions by activated red mud. *Waste Manag.* 22, 357–363. doi:10.1016/S0956-053X(01)00041-1

Amen, R., Bashir, H., Bibi, I., Shaheen, S.M., Niazi, N.K., Shahid, M., Hussain, M.M., Antoniadis, V., Shakoor, M.B., Al-Solaimani, S.G., Wang, H., Bundschuh, J., & Rinklebe, J. 2020. A critical review on arsenic removal from water using biochar-based sorbents: The significance of modification and redox reactions. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 396, 125195.

Amin, N., Kaneco, S., Kitagawa, T., Begum, A., Katsumata, H., Suzuki, T., Ohta, K., 2006. Removal of arsenic in aqueous solutions by adsorption onto waste rice husk. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 45, 8105–8110. doi:10.1021/ie060344j

Aredes, S., Klein, B., Pawlik, M., 2012. The removal of arsenic from water using natural iron oxide minerals. *J. Clean. Prod.* 29-30, 208–213.

Asere TG, Stevens CV, Du Laing G. Use of (modified) natural adsorbents for arsenic remediation: a review. *Science of the total environment.* 2019 Aug 1;676:706-20.

978 Ashraf, S.; Siddiqua, A.; Shahida, S.; Qaisar, S. Titanium-based nanocomposite materials for  
 979 arsenic removal from water: A review. *Heliyon* 2019, 5, e01577.

980 Asif, Z., Chen, Z., 2017. Removal of arsenic from drinking water using rice husk. *Appl.*  
 981 *Water Sci.* 7, 1449–1458. doi:10.1007/s13201-015-0323-x

982 Auffan, M.; Rose, J.; Bottero, J.Y.; Lowry, G.V.; Jolivet, J.P.; Wiesner, M.R. (2009)  
 983 Towards a definition of inorganic nanoparticles from an environmental, health and safety  
 984 perspective. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 4, 634-641.

985 Bach, A., Maor, A.Z., Semiat, R., 2010. Characterization of iron oxide nanocatalyst in  
 986 mineralization processes. *Desalination* 262, 15–20.

987 Badruddoza, A.Z.M., Shawon, Z.B.Z., Rahman, M.T., Hao, K.W., Hidajat, K., Shahabuddin,  
 988 M., 2013. Ionically modified magnetic nanomaterials for arsenic and chromium removal from  
 989 water. *Chem. Eng. J.* 225, 607–615.

990 Badruzzaman, M., Westerhoff, P., Knappe, D.R.U., 2004. Intraparticle diffusion and  
 991 adsorption of arsenate onto granular ferric hydroxide (GFH). *Water Res.* 38, 4002–4012.

992 Baikousi, M., Georgiou, Y., Daikopoulos, C., et al., 2015. Synthesis and characterization of  
 993 robust zero valent iron/mesoporous carbon composites and their applications in arsenic  
 994 removal. *Carbon* 93, 636–647.

995 BAMWSP, DFID, WAB, 2001. Rapid Assessment of Household Level Arsenic Removal  
 996 Technologies. Phase II Report, Dhaka.

997 Banerjee, K., Amy, G.L., Prevost, M., et al., 2008. Kinetic and thermodynamic aspects of  
 998 adsorption of arsenic onto granular ferric hydroxide (GFH). *Water Res.* 42, 3371–3378.

999 Banerjee, S.; Chen, D. Fast removal of copper ions by gum arabic modified magnetic nano-  
 1000 adsorbent. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2007**, 147, 792–799.

1001 Barik, B., Kumar, A., Nayak, P.S., Achary, L.S.K., Rout, L., Dash, P., 2020. Ionic liquid  
 1002 assisted mesoporous silica-graphene oxide nanocomposite synthesis and its application for

1003 removal of heavy metal ions from water. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 239, 122028.  
 1004 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2019.122028>.

1005 Basu, H., Singhal, R.K., Pimple, M. V., Reddy, A.V.R., 2015. Arsenic removal from  
 1006 groundwater by goethite impregnated calcium alginate beads. *Water. Air. Soil Pollut.* 226.  
 1007 doi:10.1007/s11270-014-2251-z

1008 Basu, T., Ghosh, U.C., 2011b. Arsenic(III) removal performances in the absence/presence of  
 1009 groundwater occurring ions of agglomerated Fe(III)-Al(III) mixed oxide NPs. *J. Ind. Eng.*  
 1010 *Chem.* 17, 834–844.

1011 Basu, T., Nandi, D., Sen, P., Ghosh, U.C., 2013. Equilibrium modeling of As(III,V) sorption  
 1012 in the absence/presence of some groundwater occurring ions by iron(III)–cerium(IV) oxide  
 1013 nanoparticle agglomerates., A mechanistic approach of surface interaction. *Chem. Eng. J.*  
 1014 228, 665–680.

1015 Beduk, F., 2016. Superparamagnetic nanomaterial Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>–TiO<sub>2</sub> for the removal of As (V) and  
 1016 As (III) from aqueous solutions. *Environ. Technol.* 37, 1790–1801.  
 1017 doi:10.1080/09593330.2015.1132777

1018 Bezbaruah, A.N., Kalita, H., Almeelbi, T., et al., 2013. Ca-alginate-entrapped nanoscale iron:  
 1019 arsenic treatability and mechanism studies. *J. Nanopart. Res.* 16, 2175–2183.

1020 Bhattacharya, S., Gupta, K., Debnath, S., Ghosh, U.C., Chattopadhyay, D., Mukhopadhyay,  
 1021 A., 2012. Arsenic bioaccumulation in rice and edible plants and subsequent transmission  
 1022 through food chain in Bengal basin: a review of the perspectives for environmental health.  
 1023 *Toxicol. Environ. Chem.* 94, 429–441.

1024 Bhattacharya, S., Saha, I., Mukhopadhyay, A., Chattopadhyay, D., Chand, U., 2013. Role of  
 1025 nanotechnology in water treatment and purification: potential applications and implications.  
 1026 *Int. J. Chem. Sci. Technol.* 3 (3), 59–64.

1027 Bhattacharya, S., Sharma, P., Mitra, S., Mallick, I., Ghosh, A. 2021. Arsenic uptake and  
 1028 bioaccumulation in plants: A review on remediation and socio-economic perspective in  
 1029 Southeast Asia. *Environmental nanotechnology, monitoring and management* 9, Article  
 1030 number 100430.

1031 Bhaumik, M., Noubactep, C., Gupta, V.K., McCrindle, R.I., Maity, A., 2015.  
 1032 Polyani□line/FeO composite nanofibers., an excellent adsorbent for the removal of arsenic  
 1033 from aqueous solutions. *Chem. Eng. J.* 271, 135–146.

1034 Bhowmick, S., Chakraborty, S., Mondal, P., et al., 2014. Montmorillonite-supported  
 1035 nanoscale zero-valent iron for removal of arsenic from aqueous solution, Kinet□ics and  
 1036 mechanism. *Chem. Eng. J.* 243, 14–23.

1037 Bissen, M., and Frimmel, F.H. 2003. *Arsenic – A Review. Part I: occurrence, toxicity,*  
 1038 *speciation and mobility.* *Acta hydrochimica et Hydrobiologica* 31: 9-18.

1039 Burakov, A.E., Galunin, E.V., Burakova, I.V., Kucherova, A.E., Agarwal, S., Tkachev, A.G.,  
 1040 Gupta, V.K., 2018. Adsorption of heavy metals on conventional and nanostructured materials  
 1041 for wastewater treatment purposes: A review. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 148, 702–712.  
 1042 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.11.034>.

1043 Bystrzejewska-Piotrowska, G.; Golimowski, J.; Urban, P.L. Nanoparticles: Their potential  
 1044 toxicity, waste and environmental management. *Waste Manag.* **2009**, 29, 2587–2595.

1045 Cai, G., Li, L., Li, D., Wang, Q., Zhang, L., Zhang, J., ... & Tian, Y. (2022). Rapid  
 1046 purification of As (III) in water using iron–manganese composite oxide coupled with sulfite:  
 1047 Importance of the SO<sub>5</sub>•– radicals. *Water Research*, 118839.

1048 Cao, A.; Monnell, J.D., Matranga, C.; Wu, J.; Cao, L.; Gao, D. (2007) Hierarchical  
 1049 Nanostructured Copper Oxide and Its Application in Arsenic Removal. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 111,  
 1050 18624-18628.

1051 Cerda, K.M., Cruces, E., Rubio, M.A., Reyes, C., Miranda, N.A., 2017. Preparation  
 1052 of nanoscale iron (oxide, oxyhydroxides and zero-valent) particles derived from blueberries:  
 1053 reactivity, characterization and removal mechanism of arsenate. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*  
 1054 145, 69–77.

1055 Chai, F., Wang, R., Yan, L., Li, G., Cai, Y., & Xi, C. (2020). Facile fabrication of pH-  
 1056 sensitive nanoparticles based on nanocellulose for fast and efficient As (V)  
 1057 removal. *Carbohydrate polymers*, 245, 116511.

1058 Chen, M.L., Sun, Y., Huo, C.B., Liu, C., Wang, J.H., 2015. Akaganeite decorated graphene  
 1059 oxide composite for arsenic adsorption/removal and its pre-concentration at ultra-trace level.  
 1060 *Chemosphere* 130, 52–58.

1061 Cheng, J.; Flahaut, E.; Cheng, S.H. (2007) Effect of carbon nanotubes on developing  
 1062 zebrafish (*Danio Rerio*) embryos. *Environ. Tox. Chem.* 26, 708-716.

1063 Chowdhury, S.R.; Yanful, E.K. (2011) Arsenic removal from aqueous solutions by  
 1064 adsorption on magnetite nanoparticles. *Water Environ. J.* 25, 429-437.

1065 Chutia, P., Kato, S., Kojima, T., Satokawa, S., 2009. Arsenic adsorption from aqueous  
 1066 solution on synthetic zeolites. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 162, 440–447.  
 1067 doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.05.061

1068 Ciftci, T.D., Henden, E., 2015. Nickel/nickel boride NPs coated resin., A novel adsorbent for  
 1069 arsenic(III) and arsenic(V) removal. *Powder Technol.* 269, 470–480.

1070 Cornell, R.M., Schwertmann, U., 2003. The Iron Oxides, Structure, Properties, Reactions,  
 1071 Occurrences and Uses. Wiley VCH, ISBN: 3-527-30274-3.

1072 Criscuoli, A., Figoli, A., 2019. Pressure-driven and thermally-driven membrane operations  
 1073 for the treatment of arsenic-contaminated waters: a comparison. *J. Hazard Mater.* 370, 147-  
 1074 155.

1075 Cui, H., Su, Y., Li, Q., Gao, S., Shang, J.K., 2013. Exceptional arsenic (III,V) removal  
 1076 performance of highly porous, nanostructured ZrO<sub>2</sub> spheres for fixed bed reactors and the  
 1077 full-scale system modeling. *Water Res.* 47, 6258–6268.

1078 Curvello, R., Raghuwanshi, V. S., & Garnier, G. (2019). Engineering nanocellulose  
 1079 hydrogels for biomedical applications. *Advances in colloid and interface science*, 267, 47-61.

1080 Dambies, L., 2005. Existing and prospective sorption technologies for the removal of arsenic  
 1081 in water. *Sep. Sci. Technol.* 39 (3), 603e627.

1082 Das, B., Devi, R.R., Umlong, I.M., Borah, K., Banerjee, S., Talukdar, A.K., 2013. Arsenic  
 1083 (III) adsorption on iron acetate coated activated alumina: thermodynamic, kinetics and  
 1084 equilibrium approach. *J. Environ. Heal. Sci. Eng.* 11, 42. doi:10.1186/2052-336X-11-42

1085 Das, G.K., Bonifacio, C.S., Rojas, J.D., et al., 2014a. Ultra-long magnetic nanochains for  
 1086 highly efficient arsenic removal from water. *J. Mater Chem. A* 2, 12974–12981.

1087 Das, T.K., Sakthivel, T.S., Jeyaranjan, A., Seal, S., Bezbaruah, A.N. 2020. Ultra-high arsenic  
 1088 adsorption by graphene oxide iron nanohybrid: Removal mechanisms and potential  
 1089 applications. *Chemosphere*, 253, 126702 (Article in press).

1090 Demirbas, E., Kobya, M., Oncel, M.S., S, ık, E., Goren, A.Y., 2019. Arsenite removal from  
 1091 groundwater in a batch electrocoagulation process: optimization through response surface  
 1092 methodology. *Separ. Sci. Technol.* 54 (5), 775-785.

1093 Demirel, C.S.U., Birben, N.C., Bekbolet, M., 2017. Elucidation of background organic matter  
 1094 matrix effect on photocatalytic treatment of contaminants using TiO<sub>2</sub>: a review. *Catal. Today*  
 1095 284, 202–214.

1096 Deng, W., Zhou, Z., Zhang, X., Yang, Y., Sun, Y., Wang, Y., Liu, T., 2017. Remediation of  
 1097 arsenic (III) from aqueous solutions using zero-valent iron (ZVI) combined with potassium  
 1098 permanganate and ferrous ions. *Water Sci. Technol.*

1099 Devi, R.R., Umlong, I.M., Das, B., Borah, K., Thakur, A.J., Raul, P.K., Banerjee, S., Singh,  
 1100 L., 2014. Removal of iron and arsenic (III) from drinking water using iron oxide-coated sand  
 1101 and limestone. *Appl. Water Sci.* 4, 175–182. doi:10.1007/s13201-013-0139-5  
 1102 Devikarani, R., Thiruvengkatachari, V., Yee-Chung, J., 2006. Iron Oxide Coated Sand for  
 1103 Arsenic Removal: Investigation of Coating Parameters Using Factorial Design Approach.  
 1104 *Pract. Period. Hazardous, Toxic, Radioact. Waste Manag.* 10, 198–206.  
 1105 doi:10.1061/(ASCE)1090-025X(2006)10:4(198)  
 1106 doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.126702  
 1107 Diephuis WR, Molloy AL, Boltz LL, Porter TB, Aragon Orozco A, Duron R, Crespo D,  
 1108 George LJ, Reiffer AD, Escalera G, Bohloul A. The effect of agglomeration on arsenic  
 1109 adsorption using iron oxide nanoparticles. *Nanomaterials*. 2022 May 9;12(9):1598.  
 1110 Dong, H., 2019. "Development of Hybrid Ion Exchange Processes Driven by Carbon Dioxide  
 1111 (Hix-Co<sub>2</sub>).\" Thesis. Type. Lehigh University.  
 1112 Dong, H., Guan, X., Lo, I.M.C., 2012. Fate of As(V)-treated nano zero-valent iron,  
 1113 Determination of arsenic desorption potential under varying environmental conditions by  
 1114 phosphate extraction. *Water Res.* 46, 4070–4080.  
 1115 Eguez, H.E., Cho, E.H., 1987. Adsorption of Arsenic on Activated Charcoal. *Jom* 39, 38–41.  
 1116 doi:10.1007/BF03258040  
 1117 Faria, M.C.S., Rosemberg, R.S., Bomfeti, C.A., et al., 2014. Arsenic removal from  
 1118 contaminated water by ultrafine  $\delta$ -FeOOH adsorbents. *Chem. Eng. J.* 237, 47–57.  
 1119 Feng, C., Aldrich, C., Eksteen, J.J., Arrigan, D.W.M., 2017. Removal of arsenic from  
 1120 alkaline process waters of gold cyanidation by use of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>@TiO<sub>2</sub> nanosorbents.  
 1121 *Minerals Eng.* 110, 40–46.  
 1122 Feng, L., Cao, M., Ma, X., Zhu, Y., Hu, C., 2012. Superparamagnetic high-surface-area Fe

1123  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles as adsorbents for arsenic removal. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 217–218, 439–446.  
 1124 doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.03.073

1125 Feng, L., Cao, M., Ma, X., Zhu, Y., Hu, C., 2012a. Superparamagnetic high-surface-area  
 1126 Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs as adsorbents for arsenic removal. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 217–218, 439–446.

1127 Figoli, A., Hoinkis, J., Bundschuh, J. (Eds.), 2016. *Membrane Technologies for Water*  
 1128 *Treatment: Removal of Toxic Trace Elements with Emphasis on Arsenic, Fluoride and*  
 1129 *Uranium*. CRC Press.

1130 Flores, O.J., Nava, J.L., Carreño, G., Elorza, E., Martínez, F., 2013. Arsenic removal from  
 1131 groundwater by electrocoagulation in a pre-pilot-scale continuous filter press reactor. *Chem.*  
 1132 *Eng. Sci.* 97, 1–6.

1133 Gallios, G.P., Tolkou, A.K., Katsoyiannis, I.A., Stefusova, K., Vaclavikova, M., Deliyanni,  
 1134 E.A., 2017. Adsorption of arsenate by nano scaled activated carbon modified by iron and  
 1135 manganese oxides. *Sustain.* 9, 1–18. doi:10.3390/su9101684

1136 Gerard, N., Krishnan, R.S., Ponnusamy, S.K., Cabana, H., Vaidyanathan, V.K., 2016.  
 1137 Adsorptive potential of dispersible chitosan coated iron-oxide nanocomposites toward the  
 1138 elimination of arsenic from aqueous solution. *Process Saf. Environ. Prot.* 104, 185–195.

1139 Ghanizadeh, G., Ehrampoush, M.H., Ghaneian, M.T., 2010. Application of Iron Impregnated  
 1140 Activated Carbon for Removal of Arsenic From Water. *Iranian J. Environ. Health Sci. Eng.*  
 1141 7, 145–156. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.09.064

1142 Ghosal, P.S., Kattil, K.V., Yadav, M.K., Gupta, A.K., 2018. Adsorptive removal of arsenic  
 1143 by novel iron/olivine composite: insights into preparation and adsorption process by response  
 1144 surface methodology and artificial neural network. *J. Environ. Manag.* 209, 176–187.



1145 Ghosh (Nath), S., Debsarkar, A., Dutta, A., 2019. Technology alternatives for  
 1146 descontamination of arsenic-rich groundwater – a critical review. *Environ. Technol.*  
 1147 *Innovation* 13, 277–303.

1148 Ghosh MK, Poinern GEJ, Issa TB, Singh P (2012) Arsenic adsorption on goethite  
 1149 nanoparticles produced through hydrazine sulfate assisted synthesis method. *Korean J Chem*  
 1150 *Eng* 29(1):95–102

1151 Ghosh, A., Paul, S., Bhattacharya, S., Sasikumar, P., Biswas, K., Ghosh, U.C., 2019.  
 1152 Calcium ion incorporated hydrous iron(III) oxide: synthesis, characterization, and property  
 1153 exploitation towards water remediation from arsenite and fluoride. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*  
 1154 26(5), 4618-4632. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3872-3>

1155 Giles, D.E., Mohapatra, M., Issa, T.B., Anand, S., Singh, P., 2011. Iron and aluminium based  
 1156 adsorption strategies for removing arsenic from water. *J. Environ.Manage.* 92, 3011–3022.

1157 Gilhotra, V., Das, L., Sharma, A., Kang, T.S., Singh, P., Dhuria, R.S., Bhatti, M.S., 2018.  
 1158 Electrocoagulation technology for high strength arsenic wastewater: process optimization and  
 1159 mechanistic study. *J. Clean. Prod.* 198, 693-703.

1160 UNDP. Goal 6: Clean water and sanitation". Retrieved on July 21, 2023, retrieved from:  
 1161 [https://www.unep.org/explore-topics/sustainable-development-goals/why-do-sustainable-](https://www.unep.org/explore-topics/sustainable-development-goals/why-do-sustainable-development-goals-matter/goal-6)  
 1162 [development-goals-matter/goal-6.](https://www.unep.org/explore-topics/sustainable-development-goals/why-do-sustainable-development-goals-matter/goal-6)

1163 Gomaa, H., Shenashen, M.A., Yamaguchi, H., et al., 2018. Highly-efficient removal of AsV,  
 1164 Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>, and Al<sup>3+</sup> pollutants from water using hierarchical, microscopic TiO<sub>2</sub> and TiOF<sub>2</sub>  
 1165 adsorbents through batch and fixed-bed columnar techniques. *J. Clean. Prod.* 182, 910–925.

1166 Gomes, J.A., Daida, P., Kesmez, M., Weir, M., Moreno, H., Parga, J.R., Irwin, G.,  
 1167 McWhinney, H., Grady, T., Peterson, E., Cocke, D.L., 2007. Arsenic removal by

1168 electrocoagulation using combined AlFe electrode system and characterization of products.  
 1169 J. Hazard Mater. 139 (2), 220-231.

1170 Grafe, M., Eick, M.J., Grossl, P.R., 2001. Adsorption of arsenate (V) and arsenite (III) on  
 1171 goethite in the presence and absence of dissolved organic carbon. Soil Sci.Soc. Am. J. 65,  
 1172 1680–1687.

1173 Gregory, T. (2008) *Nanotechnology*, New York: Springer.

1174 Guan, X., Du, J., Meng, X., Sun, Y., Sun, B., Hu, Q., 2012. Application of titanium dioxide  
 1175 in arsenic removal from water: A review. J. Hazard. Mater. 215–216, 1–16.  
 1176 doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.02.069

1177 Guan, X.H.; Su, T. Wang, J. (2009) Quantifying effects of pH and surface loading on arsenic  
 1178 adsorption on NanoActive alumina using a speciation-based model. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 166,  
 1179 39-45.

1180 Guisela, Z., Ohana N, D.A., Dalvani S, D., Fermin G, V., Francisco Hm, L., Luis, N.-G.,  
 1181 2022. Adsorption of arsenic anions in water using modified lignocellulosic adsorbents.  
 1182 Results Eng. 13, 100340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rineng.2022.100340>.

1183 Guo, X., Chen, F., 2005. Removal of arsenic by bead cellulose loaded with iron  
 1184 oxyhydroxide from groundwater. Environ. Sci. Technol. 39, 6808–6818.  
 1185 doi:10.1021/es048080k

1186 Gupta, A., Yunus, M., Sankararamakrishnan, N., 2012. Zerovalent iron encapsulated chitosan  
 1187 nanospheres—A novel adsorbent for the removal of total inorganic Arsenic from aqueous  
 1188 systems. Chemosphere 86, 150–155.

1189 Gupta, K., Bhattacharya, S., Chattopadhyay, D., Mukhopadhyay, A., Biswas, H., Dutta, J.,  
 1190 Ray, N.R., Ghosh, U.C., 2011. Ceria associated manganese oxide nanoparticles: Synthesis,  
 1191 characterization and arsenic(V) sorption behavior. Chem. Eng. J. 172, 219–229.

doi:10.1016/j.cej.2011.05.092

Gupta, K., Ghosh, U.C., 2009. Arsenic removal using hydrous nanostructure iron(III)-titanium(IV) binary mixed oxide from aqueous solution. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 161, 884–892.

Gupta, K.; Basu, T.; Ghosh, U.C. (2009) Sorption Characteristics of Arsenic(V) for Removal from Water Using Agglomerated Nanostructure Iron(III)–Zirconium(IV) Bimetal Mixed Oxide. *J. Chem. Eng. Data.* 54, 2222–2228.

Gupta, K.; Bhattacharya, S.; Chattopadhyay, D.J.; Mukhopadhyay, A.; Biswas, H.; Dutta, J.; Ray, N.R.; Ghosh, U.C. (2011) Ceria associated manganese oxide nanoparticles: Synthesis, characterization and arsenic(V) sorption behavior. *Chem. Eng. J.* 172, 219–229.

Gupta, K.; Bhattacharya, S.; Nandi, D.; Dhar, A.; Maity, A.; Mukhopadhyay, A.; Chattopadhyay, D.J.; Ray, N.R.; Sen, P.; Ghosh, U.C. (2012) Arsenic(III) sorption on nanostructured cerium incorporated manganese oxide (NCMO): a physical insight into the mechanistic pathway. *J. Colloid. Interf. Sci.* 377, 269–276.

Gupta, K.; Biswas, K.; Ghosh, U.C. (2008) Nanostructure Iron(III)–Zirconium(IV) Binary Mixed Oxide: Synthesis, Characterization, and Physicochemical Aspects of Arsenic(III) Sorption from the Aqueous Solution. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 47, 9903–9912.

Gupta, K.; Ghosh, U.C. (2009) Arsenic removal using hydrous nanostructure iron(III)-titanium(IV) binary mixed oxide from aqueous solution. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 161, 884–892.

Gupta, K.; Maity, A.; Ghosh, U.C. (2010) Manganese associated nanoparticles agglomerate of iron(III) oxide: synthesis, characterization and arsenic(III) sorption behavior with mechanism. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 184, 832–842.

Gupta, K.; Saha, S.; Ghosh, U.C. (2008) Synthesis and characterization of nanostructure hydrous iron–titanium binary mixed oxide for arsenic sorption. *J. Nanopart. Res.* 10, 1361–1368.

1216 Han, C., Pu, H., Li, H., et al., 2013a. The optimization of As(V) removal over mesoporous  
 1217 alumina by using response surface methodology and adsorption mechanism. *J. Hazard.*  
 1218 *Mater.* 254-255, 301–309.

1219 Handy, R.D.; Kammer, Fv.; Lead, J.R.; Hassellöv, M.; Owen, R.; Crane, M. (2008) The  
 1220 ecotoxicology and chemistry of manufactured nanoparticles. *Ecotoxicol.* 17, 287-314.

1221 Hao, L., Wang, N., Wang, C., Li, G., 2018. Arsenic removal from water and river water by  
 1222 the combined adsorption-UF membrane process. *Chemosphere* 202, 768-776.

1223 Haoran, D., Xiaohong, G., Irene, M.C.L., 2012. Fate of As(V)-treated nano zero-valent iron.,  
 1224 Determination of arsenic desorption potential under varying environmental conditions by  
 1225 phosphate extraction. *Water Res.* 46, 4071–4080.

1226 Happer, T.R.; Kingham; N.W. (1992) Removal of arsenic from waste water using chemical  
 1227 precipitation methods. *Water Environ. Res.* 64, 200-203.

1228 Hasnain, M.S., Nayak, A.K., 2019. Background: Carbon nanotubes for targeted drug  
 1229 delivery. *SpringerBriefs Appl. Sci. Technol.* [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-0910-0\\_1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-0910-0_1).

1230 Hassan, H.R. 2023. A review on different arsenic removal techniques used for  
 1231 decontamination of drinking water, *Environmental Pollutants and Bioavailability*, 35:1,  
 1232 2165964, DOI: 10.1080/26395940.2023.2165964

1233 He, R., Peng, Z., Lyu, H., Huang, H., Nan, Q., Tang, J., 2018. Synthesis and characterization  
 1234 of an iron-impregnated biochar for aqueous arsenic removal. *Sci. Total Environ.* 612, 1177–  
 1235 1186.

1236 Hokkanen, S., Doshi, B., Srivastava, V., Puro, L., & Koivula, R. (2019). Arsenic (III)  
 1237 removal from water by hydroxyapatite-bentonite clay-nanocrystalline cellulose.  
 1238 *Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy*, 38(5), 13147.

1239 Hokkanen, S., Repo, E., Lou, S., Sillanpää, M., 2015. Removal of arsenic(V) by magnetic  
 1240 nanoparticle activated microfibrillated cellulose. *Chem. Eng. J.* 260, 886–894.  
 1241 Horzum, N., Demir, M.M., Nairat, M., Shahwan, T., 2013. Chitosan fiber-supported zero-  
 1242 valent iron NPs as a novel sorbent for sequestration of inorganic arsenic. *RSC Adv.* 3, 7828–  
 1243 7837.  
 1244 Hristovski, K., Baumgardner, A., Westerhoff, P., 2007. Selecting metal oxide nanomaterials  
 1245 for arsenic removal in fixed bed columns: From nanopowders to aggregated nanoparticle  
 1246 media. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 147, 265–274. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.01.017  
 1247 Hu, J.; Chen, G.; Lo, I. Removal and recovery of Cr(VI) from wastewater by maghemite  
 1248 nanoparticles. *Water Res.* **2005**, 39, 4528–4536.  
 1249 Hu, J.; Chen, G.; Lo, I.; Asce, M. Selective removal of heavy metals from industrial  
 1250 wastewater using maghemite nanoparticle: Performance and mechanisms. *Environ. Technol.*  
 1251 **2006**.  
 1252 Hu, Y., Boyer, T.H., 2018. Removal of multiple drinking water contaminants by combined  
 1253 ion exchange resin in a completely mixed flow reactor. *J. Water Supply Res. Technol. - Aqua*  
 1254 *67* (7), 659-672.  
 1255 Hua, J., 2018. Adsorption of low-concentration arsenic from water by co-modified bentonite  
 1256 with manganese oxides and poly (dimethyldiallylammonium chloride). *J. Environ. Chem.*  
 1257 *Eng.* 6, 156–168.  
 1258 Huang, P.P., Cao, C.Y., Wei, F., Sun, Y.B., Song, W.G., 2015. MgAl layered double  
 1259 hydroxides with chloride and carbonate ions as interlayer anions for removal of arsenic and  
 1260 fluoride ions in water. *RSC Adv.* 5 (14), 10412-10417.  
 1261 Huang, Y., Zhang, W., Zhang, M., Zhang, X., Zhao, Y., 2018e. Hydroxyl-functionalized  
 1262 TiO<sub>2</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>@Ni/nZVI nanocomposites fabrication, characterization and enhanced

1263 simultaneous visible light photocatalytic oxidation and adsorption of arsenite. *Chem. Eng. J.*  
 1264 338, 369–382.

1265 Huang, Y.H., Shih, Y.J., Cheng, F.J., 2011. Novel KMnO<sub>4</sub>-modified iron oxide for effective  
 1266 arsenite removal. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 198, 1–6.

1267 Huo, J.B., Xu, L., Yang, J.C.E., et al., 2018. Magnetic responsive Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-ZIF-8 coreshell  
 1268 composites for efficient removal of As (III) from water. *Colloids Surf. A: Physicochem. Eng.*  
 1269 *Asp.* 539, 59–68.

1270 Jadhav, S.V., Bringas, E., Yadav, G.D., Rathod, V.K., Ortiz, I., Marathe, K.V., 2015. Arsenic  
 1271 and fluoride contaminated groundwaters: a review of current technologies for contaminants  
 1272 removal. *J. Environ. Manag.* 162, 306-325.

1273 Jain, A., Agarwal, M., 2017. Kinetic equilibrium and thermodynamic study of arsenic  
 1274 removal from water using alumina supported iron nano particles. *J. Water Process Eng.* 19,  
 1275 51–59.

1276 Jais, F.M., Ibrahim, S., Yoon, Y., Jang, M., 2016. Enhanced arsenate removal by lanthanum  
 1277 and nano-magnetite composite incorporated palm shell-waste based activated carbon. *Sep.*  
 1278 *Purif. Technol.* 169, 93–102.

1279 Jawed, A., Saxena, V., Pandey, L.M. 2020. Engineered nanomaterials and their surface  
 1280 functionalization for the removal of heavy metals: A review. *Journal of Water Process*  
 1281 *Engineering* 33 (2020) 101009.

1282 Jegadeesan, G., Al-Abed, S.R., Sundaram, V., et al., 2010. Arsenic sorption on TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs,  
 1283 Size and crystallinity effects. *Water Res.* 44, 965–973.

1284 Jesitha, K., Harikumar, P.S., 2018. Application of nano-phytoremediation technology for soil  
 1285 polluted with pesticide residues and heavy metals. In: *Phytoremediation*. Springer, Cham, pp.  
 1286 415-439.

- 1287 Jézéquel, H., Chu, K.H., 2005. Enhanced adsorption of arsenate on titanium dioxide using Ca  
1288 and Mg ions. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 3, 132–135.
- 1289 Jiang, W., Chen, X., Niu, Y., Pan, B., 2012. Spherical polystyrene-supported nanoFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> of  
1290 high capacity and low-field separation for arsenate removal from water. *J. Hazard. Mater.*  
1291 243, 319–325.
- 1292 Jiang, X.H., Xing, Q.J., Luo, X.B., et al., 2018b. Simultaneous photoreduction of Uranium  
1293 (VI) and photooxidation of Arsenic (III) in aqueous solution over g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub>  
1294 heterostructured catalysts under simulated sunlight irradiation. *Appl. Catal. B. Environ.* 228,  
1295 29–38.
- 1296 Jin, Y., Liu, F., Tong, M., Hou, Y., 2012. Removal of arsenate by cetyltrimethyl ammonium  
1297 bromide modified magnetic NPs. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 227–228, 461–468.
- 1298 Johnston, R.; Heijnen, H. Safe Water Technology for Arsenic Removal. Available online:  
1299 <http://archive.unu.edu/env/Arsenic/Han.pdf> (accessed on 18 December 2015).
- 1300 Kanematsu, M., Young, T.M., Fukushi, K., Green, P.G., Darby, J.L., 2013. Arsenic(III,  
1301 V)adsorption on a goethite-based adsorbent in the presence of major co-existing ions:  
1302 modeling competitive adsorption consistent with spectroscopic and molecular evidence.  
1303 *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 106, 404–428.
- 1304 Kang, S., Park, S.M., Park, J.G., Baek, K., 2019. Enhanced adsorption of arsenic using  
1305 calcined alginate bead containing alum sludge from water treatment facilities. *J. Environ.*  
1306 *Manag.* 234, 181–188.
- 1307 Kang, Y.G., Yoon, H., Lee, W., Kim, E.J., Chang, Y.S., 2018. Comparative study of peroxide  
1308 oxidants activated by nZVI: removal of 1, 4-dioxane and arsenic (III) in contaminated waters.  
1309 *Chem. Eng. J.* 334, 2511–2519.

1310 Karakurt, S., 2019. Removal of carcinogenic arsenic from drinking water by the application  
 1311 of ion exchange resins. *Oncogen J.* 2 (1), 5.

1312 Karn, B.; Kuiken, T.; Otto, M. (2009) Nanotechnology and in Situ Remediation: A Review of  
 1313 the Benefits and Potential Risks. *Environ. Health. Perspec.* 117, 1823-1831.

1314 Khan, Khalid, S., Khan, I. 2019. Nanoparticles: Properties, applications and toxicities.  
 1315 *Arabian Journal of Chemistry* 12, 908-931.

1316 Khan, M.R., 2010. Situation Analysis of Arsenic Mitigation in Bangladesh 2009.  
 1317 <[http://www.academia.edu/348535/Situation\\_Analysis\\_of\\_Arsenic\\_Mitigation](http://www.academia.edu/348535/Situation_Analysis_of_Arsenic_Mitigation)

1318 Khatamian, M., Khodakarampoor, N., Saket-Oskoui, M., 2017. Efficient removal of arsenic  
 1319 using graphene-zeolite based composites. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 498, 433–441.  
 1320 doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2017.03.052

1321 Khodabakhshi, A.; Amin, M.M.; Mozaffari, M. (2011) Synthesis of magnetite nanoparticles  
 1322 and evaluation of its efficiency for arsenic removal from simulated industrial wastewater.  
 1323 *Iran. J. Environ. Hlth. Sci. Eng.* 8, 189-200.

1324 Kirmizakis, P.; Tawabini, B.; Siddiq, O.M.; Kalderis, D.; Ntarlagiannis, D.; Soupios, P.  
 1325 Adsorption of Arsenic on Fe-Modified Biochar and Monitoring Using Spectral Induced  
 1326 Polarization. *Water* **2022**, 14, 563.

1327 Kobya, M., Soltani, R.D.C., Omwene, P.I., Khataee, A., 2020. A review on  
 1328 decontamination of arsenic-contained water by electrocoagulation: reactor configurations  
 1329 and operating cost along with removal mechanisms. *Environ. Technol. Innovat.* 17, 100519.

1330 Kocabas, Z.O., Yurum, Y., 2013. Synthesis and characterization of anatase nanoadsorbent  
 1331 and application in removal of lead, copper and arsenic from water. *Chem. Eng. J.* 225, 625–  
 1332 635.



1333 Kolbe, F., Weiss, H., Morgenstern, P., et al., 2011. Sorption of aqueous antimony and arsenic  
 1334 species onto akaganeite. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 357, 460–465.

1335 Kotia A, Yadav A, Rohit Raj T, Gertrud Keischgens M, Rathore H, Sarris IE. Carbon  
 1336 nanoparticles as sources for a cost-effective water purification method: A comprehensive  
 1337 review. *Fluids*. 2020 Dec 1;5(4):230.

1338 Kumar SK, Jiang SJ (2017) Synthesis of magnetically separable and recyclable magnetic  
 1339 nanoparticles decorated with b-cyclodextrin functionalized graphene oxide an excellent  
 1340 adsorption of As(V)/(III). *J Mol Liq* 237:387–401.

1341 Kumar, P.R., Chaudhari, S., Khilar, K.C., Mahajan, S.P., 2004. Removal of arsenic from  
 1342 water by electrocoagulation. *Chemosphere* 55 (9), 1245-1252.

1343 Kumar, R., Patel, M., Singh, P., Bundschuh, J., Pittman Jr., C.U., Trakal, L., Mohan, D.,  
 1344 2019. Emerging technologies for arsenic removal from drinking water in rural and peri-urban  
 1345 areas: methods, experience from, and options for Latin America. *Sci. Total Environ.* 694,  
 1346 133427.

1347 Kumar, S., Nair, R.R., Pillai, P.B., Gupta, S.N., Iyengar, M.A.R., Sood, A.K., 2014.  
 1348 Graphene oxide-MnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic nanohybrids for efficient removal of lead and arsenic  
 1349 from water. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 6, 17426–17436. doi:10.1021/am504826q

1350 Kumar, V.; Talreja, N.; Deva, D.; Sankararamakrishnan, N.; Sharma, A.; Verma, N. (2011)  
 1351 Development of bi-metal doped micro- and nano multi-functional polymeric adsorbents for  
 1352 the removal of fluoride and arsenic(V) from wastewater. *Desalination*. 282, 27-38.

1353 La, D.D., Nguyen, T.A., Jones, L.A., Bhosale, S. V., 2017. Graphene-Supported spinel  
 1354 CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> composites: Novel adsorbents for arsenic removal in aqueous media. *Sensors*  
 1355 (Switzerland) 17. doi:10.3390/s17061292

Laatikainen, M., Sillanpää, M., Sainio, T., 2016. Comparison of ion exchange process configurations for arsenic removal from natural waters. *Desalination and Water Treatment* 57 (29), 13770-13781.

Lata, S., Samadder, S.R., 2016. Removal of arsenic from water using nano adsorbents and challenges: A review. *J. Environ. Manage.* 166, 387–406. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.10.039

Lee, C.-G., Kim, S.-B., 2016. Removal of arsenic and selenium from aqueous solutions using magnetic iron oxide nanoparticle/multi-walled carbon nanotube adsorbents. *Desalin. Water Treat.* 57, 28323–28339. doi:10.1080/19443994.2016.1185042

Lee, J., Sarmah, A.K., Kwon, E.E. 2019. Production and formation of biochar. In: Y.S. Ok, D.C.W. Tsang, N. Bolan, J.M. Novak (Eds.), *Biochar from Biomass and Waste*, Elsevier, pp. 3–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-811729-3.00001-7>.

Lee, S.H., Choi, H., Kim, K.W., 2016. Removal of As (V) and Sb (V) in water using magnetic nanoparticle-supported layered double hydroxide nanocomposites. *J. Geochem. Explor.* 184, 247–254.

Lee, S.-H.; Kim, K.-W.; Lee, B.-T.; Bang, S.; Kim, H.; Kang, H.; Jang, A. Enhanced arsenate removal performance in aqueous solution by yttrium-based adsorbents. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2015**, 12, 13523.

Leist, M.; Casey, R.J.; Caridi, D. The management of arsenic wastes: Problems and prospects. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2000**, 76, 125–138.

Lenoble, V., Bouras, O., Deluchat, V., Serpaud, B., Bollinger, J.C., 2002. Arsenic adsorption onto pillared clays and iron oxides. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 255, 52-58.

Lescano, M.R., Passalía, C., Zalazar, C.S., Brandi, R.J., 2015. Arsenic sorption onto titanium dioxide, granular ferric hydroxide and activated alumina: Batch and dynamic studies. *J. Environ. Sci. Heal. Part A* 50, 424–431. doi:10.1080/10934529.2015.987552

1380 Li X-q, Elliott DW, W-x Z (2006) Zero-valent iron nanoparticles for abatement of  
 1381 environmental pollutants: materials and engineering aspects. *Crit Rev Solid State* 31:111–122  
 1382 Li, C., Hou, H., Yang, J., Liang, S., Shi, Y., Guan, R., Hu, Y., Wu, X., Hu, J., Wang, L.,  
 1383 2019. Comparison of electrokinetic remediation on lead-contaminated kaolinite and natural  
 1384 soils. *Clean* 47 (4), 1800337.  
 1385 Li, J., Gyoten, H., Sonoda, A., Feng, Q., Xue, M., 2017. Removal of trace arsenic to below  
 1386 drinking water standards using a Mn–Fe binary oxide. *RSC Adv.* 7, 1490–1497.  
 1387 doi:10.1039/C6RA26806D  
 1388 Li, R.; Li, Q.; Gao, S.; Shang, J.K. (2012) Exceptional arsenic adsorption performance of  
 1389 hydrous cerium oxide nanoparticles: Part A. Adsorption capacity and mechanism. *Chem.*  
 1390 *Eng. J.* 185– 186, 127-135.  
 1391 Li, S., Wang, W., Liang, F., Zhang, W.X., 2017b. Heavy metal removal using nanoscale  
 1392 zero-valent iron (NZVI), Theory and application. *J. Hazards. Mater.* 322, 163–171.  
 1393 Li, S., Wang, W., Liu, Y., Zhang, W.X., 2014a. Zero-valent iron NPs (NZVI) for the  
 1394 treatment of smelting wastewater, A pilot-scale demonstration. *Chem. Eng. J.* 254, 115–123.  
 1395 Li, W., Chen, D., Xia, F., et al., 2016. Extremely high arsenic removal capacity for  
 1396 mesoporous aluminium magnesium oxide composites. *Environ. Sci. Nano* 3, 94–106.  
 1397 Li, W.; Cao, C.Y.; Wu, L.Y.; Ge, M.F.; Song, W.G. (2011) Superb fluoride and arsenic  
 1398 removal performance of highly ordered mesoporous aluminas. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 198, 143-  
 1399 150.  
 1400 Li, Y., Liu, J.R., Jia, S.Y., et al., 2012b. TiO<sub>2</sub> pillared montmorillonite as a photoactive  
 1401 adsorbent of arsenic under UV irradiation. *Chem. Eng. J.* 191, 66–74.  
 1402 Li, Z., Qu, J., Li, H., Lim, T., Liu, C., 2011. Effect of cerium valence on As(V) adsorption by  
 1403 cerium-doped titanium dioxide adsorbents. *Chem. Eng. J.* 175, 207–212.

1404 Li, Z., Wang, L., Meng, J., Liu, X., et al., 2018. Zeolite-supported nanoscale zero-valent iron:  
 1405 new findings on simultaneous adsorption of Cd (II), Pb (II), and As (III) in aqueous solution  
 1406 and soil. *J. Hazards. Mater.* 344, 1–11.

1407 Liang, J.J., He, B.H., Li, P., Yu, J., Zhao, X.L., Wu, H.Y., et al., 2019. Facile construction of  
 1408 3D magnetic graphene oxide hydrogel via incorporating assembly and chemical bubble and  
 1409 its application in arsenic remediation. *Chem. Eng. J.* 358, 552–563.

1410 Lin, S., Lu, D., Liu, Z., 2012. Removal of arsenic contaminants with magnetic-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs.  
 1411 *Chem. Eng. J.* 211-212, 46–52.

1412 Litter, M.I., Morgada, M.E., Bundschuh, J., 2010. Possible treatments for arsenic removal in  
 1413 Latin American waters for human consumption. *Environ. Pollut.* 158 (5), 1105-1118.

1414 Liu, H., Zuo, K., Vecitis, C.D., 2014a. Titanium dioxide-coated carbon nanotube network  
 1415 filter for rapid and effective arsenic sorption. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 13871–13879.

1416 Liu, R., Liu, J.F., Zhang, L.Q., Sun, J.F., Jiang, G.B., 2016a. Low temperature synthesized  
 1417 ultrathin-Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanosheets show similar adsorption behaviour for As(III) and As(V). *J.*  
 1418 *Mater. Chem. A.* 4, 7606–7614.

1419 Liu, S., Kang, S., Wang, G., Zhao, H., Cai, W., 2015. Micro/nanostructured porous Fe–Ni  
 1420 binary oxide and its enhanced arsenic adsorption performances. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 458,  
 1421 94–102.

1422 Liu, T., Yang, Y., Wang, Z.L., Sun, Y., 2016b. Remediation of arsenic(III) from aqueous  
 1423 solutions using improved nanoscale zero-valent iron on pumice. *Chem. Eng. J.* 288, 739–744.

1424 Liu, X., Ma, R., Wang, Xiangxue, Ma, Y., Yang, Y., Zhuang, L., Zhang, S., Jehan, R., Chen,  
 1425 J., Wang, Xiangke, 2019a. Graphene oxide-based materials for efficient removal of heavy  
 1426 metal ions from aqueous solution: A review. *Environ. Pollut.* 252, 62–73.  
 1427 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.05.050>

1428 Lorenzen, L., van Deventer, J.S.J., Landi, W.M., 1995. Factors affecting the mechanism of  
 1429 the adsorption of arsenic species on activated carbon. *Miner. Eng.* 8, 557–569.  
 1430 doi:10.1016/0892-6875(95)00017-K  
 1431 Luo, X.; Wang, C.; Wang, L.; Deng, F.; Luo, S.; Tu, X.; Au, C. Nanocomposites of graphene  
 1432 oxide-hydrated zirconium oxide for simultaneous removal of As(III) and As(V) from water.  
 1433 *Chem. Eng. J.* 2013, 220, 98–106.  
 1434 Ma, Y.; Zheng, Y.M.; Chen, J.P. (2011) A zirconium based nanoparticle for significantly  
 1435 enhanced adsorption of arsenate: Synthesis, characterization and performance. *J. Colloid.*  
 1436 *Interf. Sci.* 354, 785-792.  
 1437 Mahamudur, I., Prakash, C.M., Rajkishore, P., 2011. Arsenate removal from aqueous solution  
 1438 by cellulose-carbonated hydroxyapatite nanocomposites. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 189, 755–763.  
 1439 Mahanta, N., Valiyaveetil, S., 2013. Functionalized poly(vinyl alcohol) based nanofibers for  
 1440 the removal of arsenic from water. *RSC Adv.* 3, 2776–2783.  
 1441 Maitlo, H.A., Kim, J.H., Kim, K.H., Park, J.Y., Khan, A., 2019. Metal-air fuel cell  
 1442 electrocoagulation techniques for the treatment of arsenic in water. *J. Clean. Prod.* 207, 67-  
 1443 84.  
 1444 Maity, J.P., Chen, C.Y., Bhattacharya, P., Sharma, R.K., Ahmad, A., Patnaik, S., Bundschuh,  
 1445 J. 2021. Advanced application of nano-technological and biological processes as well as  
 1446 mitigation options for arsenic removal. *Journal of hazardous materials* 405: 123885.  
 1447 Maji, S., Ghosh, A., Gupta, K., et al., 2018. Efficiency evaluation of arsenic (III) adsorption  
 1448 of novel graphene oxide@ iron-aluminium oxide composite for the contaminated water  
 1449 purification. *Sep. Pur. Technol.* 197, 388–400.  
 1450 Mak MSH, Rao P, Lo IMC (2009) Effects of hardness and alkalinity on the removal of  
 1451 arsenic(V) from humic acid-deficient and humic acid-rich groundwater by zero-valent

1452 iron. Water Res 43:4296–4304

1453 Maliyekkal, S.M., Philip, L., Pradeep, T., 2009. As (III) removal from drinking water using  
1454 manganese oxide-coated-alumina: Performance evaluation and mechanistic details of surface  
1455 binding. Chem. Eng. J. 153, 101–107. doi:10.1016/j.cej.2009.06.026

1456 Mamindy-Pajany Y, Hurel C, Marmier N, Roméo M. Arsenic (V) adsorption from aqueous  
1457 solution onto goethite, hematite, magnetite and zero-valent iron: effects of pH, concentration  
1458 and reversibility. Desalination. 2011 Oct 17;281:93-9.

1459

1460 Mankar, J.S., Sharma, M.D., Krupadam, R.J. 2020. Molecularly imprinted nanoparticles  
 1461 (nanoMIPs): an efficient new adsorbent for removal of arsenic from water. *Journal of*  
 1462 *materials science* **55**: 6810–6825. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-020-04377-0>.

1463 Manna, B., Dasgupta, M., Ghosh, U.C. 2004. *Crystalline Hydrous Titanium (IV) Oxide*  
 1464 *(CHTO): An Arsenic (III) Scavenger*. *Journal of Water Supply: Research and Technology*  
 1465 *53*(7): 483-495.

1466 Manna, B., Ghosh, U.C., 2007. Adsorption of arsenic from aqueous solution on synthetic  
 1467 hydrous stannic oxide. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 144, 522–531.

1468 Manning, B.A., Hunt, M.L., Amrhein, C., Yarmoff, J.A., 2002. Arsenic (III) and Arsenic (V)  
 1469 Reactions with Zerovalent Iron Corrosion Products. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 36, 5455–5461.  
 1470 doi:10.1021/es0206846

1471 Mao, X., Han, F.X., Shao, X., Guo, K., McComb, J., Arslan, Z., Zhang, Z., 2016.  
 1472 Electrokinetic remediation coupled with phytoremediation to remove lead, arsenic and  
 1473 cesium from contaminated paddy soil. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 125, 16-24.

1474 Martinson, C.A.; Reddy, K.J. (2009) Adsorption of arsenic(III) and arsenic(V) by cupric  
 1475 oxide nanoparticles. *J. Colloid. Interf. Sci.* 336, 406-411.

1476 Mauter, M.S.; Elimelech, M. (2008) Environmental Applications of Carbon-Based  
 1477 Nanomaterials *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 5843-5859.

1478 Mayo, J.T.; Yavuz, C.; Yean, S.; Cong, L.; Shipley, H.; Yu, W.; Falkner, J.; Kan, A.;  
 1479 Tomson, M.; Colvin, V. L. (2007) The effect of nanocrystalline magnetite size on arsenic  
 1480 removal. *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.* 8, 71-75.

1481 Min, S.-Y., Kim, B.-K., Park, S.-J., Chang, Y.-Y., Yang, J.-K., 2009. Removal Efficiency of  
 1482 Arsenic by Adsorbents having Different Type of Metal Oxides. *Environ. Eng. Res.* 14, 134–  
 1483 139. doi:10.4491/eer.2009.14.2.134

1484 Mishra, A.K., Ramaprabhu, S., 2010. Magnetite Decorated Multiwalled Carbon Nanotube  
 1485 Based Supercapacitor for Arsenic Removal and Desalination of Seawater. J. Phys. Chem. C  
 1486 114, 2583–2590. doi:10.1021/jp911631w

1487 Mishra, A.K., Ramaprabhu, S., 2011. Functionalized graphene sheets for arsenic removal and  
 1488 desalination of sea water. Desalination 282, 39–45. doi:10.1016/j.desal.2011.01.038

1489 Mohan, D., Dey, S., Dwivedi, S.B., Shukla, S.P., 2019. Adsorption of arsenic using low cost  
 1490 adsorbents: guava leaf biomass, mango bark and bagasse. Curr. Sci. 117 (4), 00113891.

1491 Mohan, D., Pittman, C.U., Bricka, M., Smith, F., Yancey, B., Mohammad, J., Steele, P.H.,  
 1492 Alexandre-Franco, M.F., Gómez-Serrano, V., Gong, H., 2007. Sorption of arsenic, cadmium,  
 1493 and lead by chars produced from fast pyrolysis of wood and bark during bio-oil production. J.  
 1494 Colloid Interface Sci. 310, 57–73. doi:10.1016/j.jcis.2007.01.020

1495 Mohan, D.; Pittman, C.U., Jr. Arsenic removal from water/wastewater using adsorbents—A  
 1496 critical review. J. Hazard. Mater. **2007**, 142, 1–53.

1497 Mohmood, I., Lopes, C.B., Lopes, I., Ahmad, I., Duarte, A.C., Pereira, E., 2013. Nanoscale  
 1498 materials and their use in water contaminants removal-a review. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 20,  
 1499 1239–1260. doi:10.1007/s11356-012-1415-x

1500 Mohora, E., Rončević, S., Agbaba, J., Zrnčić, K., Tubić, A., Dalmacija, B., 2018.  
 1501 Arsenic removal from groundwater by horizontal-flow continuous electrocoagulation (EC) as  
 1502 a standalone process. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 6 (1), 512-519.

1503 Mondal, B.K., and Suzuki, K.T. 2002. *Arsenic round the world: a review*. Talanta 58: 201–  
 1504 235.

1505 Morgada ME, Levy IK, Salomone V, Farías SS, López G, Litter MI (2009) Arsenic(V)  
 1506 removal with nanoparticulate zerovalent iron: effect of UV light and humic acids. Catal  
 1507 Today 143:261–268



1508 Morillo, D., Faccini, M., Amantia, D., et al., 2016. Superparamagnetic iron oxide  
 1509 nanoparticle-loaded polyacrylonitrile nanofibers with enhanced arsenate removal  
 1510 performance. *Environ. Sci. Nano* 3, 1165–1173.

1511 Moreira VR, Lebron YA, Santos LV, de Paula EC, Amaral MC. Arsenic contamination,  
 1512 effects and remediation techniques: A special look onto membrane separation processes.  
 1513 *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*. 2021 Apr 1;148:604-23.

1514 Munoz, ~ M.J.L., Arencibia, A., Segura, Y., Raez, J.M., 2017. Removal of As (III) from  
 1515 aqueous solutions through simultaneous photocatalytic oxidation and adsorption by TiO<sub>2</sub> and  
 1516 zero-valent iron. *Catal. Today* 280, 149–154.

1517 Nabi, D., Aslam, I., Qazi, I.A., 2009. Evaluation of the adsorption potential of titanium  
 1518 dioxide NPs for arsenic removal. *J. Environ. Sci.* 21, 402–408.

1519 Nadagouda, M.N.; Lytle, D.A. (2011) Microwave-Assisted Combustion Synthesis of Nano  
 1520 Iron Oxide/Iron-Coated Activated Carbon, Anthracite, Cellulose Fiber, and Silica, with  
 1521 Arsenic Adsorption Studies. *J. Nanotechnol.* 2011, 1-8.

1522 Najib, N., Christodoulatos, C., 2019. Removal of arsenic using functionalized cellulose  
 1523 nanofibrils from aqueous solutions. *J. Hazard Mater.* 367, 256-266.

1524 Nassar, N.N., 2012. Iron Oxide Nanoadsorbents for removal of various pollutants from  
 1525 wastewater: An overview. *Appl. Adsorbents Water Pollut. Control* 81–118.  
 1526 doi:10.1073/pnas.0703993104

1527 Nata, I.F., Kumar, M.S., Lee, C.K., 2011. One-pot preparation of amine-rich  
 1528 magnetite/bacterial cellulose nanocomposite and its application for arsenate removal. *RSC*  
 1529 *Adv.* 1, 625–631.

1530 Nath, B. K., Chaliha, C., Kalita, E., & Kalita, M. C. (2016). Synthesis and characterization of  
 1531 ZnO: CeO<sub>2</sub>: nanocellulose: PANI bionanocomposite. A bimodal agent for arsenic adsorption  
 1532 and antibacterial action. *Carbohydrate polymers*, 148, 397-405.

1533 Navarro, P., Alguacil, F.J., 2002. Adsorption of antimony and arsenic from a copper  
 1534 electrorefining solution onto activated carbon. *Hydrometallurgy* 66, 101–105.  
 1535 doi:10.1016/S0304-386X(02)00108-1

1536 Nazari, A., Nakhaei, M., Yari, A.R. 2021. Arsenic Adsorption by TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles Under  
 1537 Conditions Similar to Groundwater: Batch and Column Studies. *International journal of*  
 1538 *environmental research* 15: 79–91. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41742-020-00298-7>

1539 Niazi, N.K., Bashir, S., Bibi, I., Murtaza, B., Shahid, M., Javed, M.T., Shakoor, M.B., Saqib,  
 1540 Z.A., Nawaz, M.F., Aslam, Z., Wang, H., 2016. Phytoremediation of arseniccontaminated  
 1541 soils using arsenic hyperaccumulating ferns. In: *Phytoremediation*. Springer, Cham, pp. 521-  
 1542 545.

1543 Nicomel, N.R., Leus, K., Folens, K., Van Der Voort, P., Du Laing, G., 2015. Technologies  
 1544 for arsenic removal from water: Current status and future perspectives. *Int. J. Environ. Res.*  
 1545 *Public Health* 13, 1–24. doi:10.3390/ijerph13010062

1546 Nicomel, N.R.; Leus, K.; Folens, K.; Van Der Voort, P.; Du Laing, G. Technologies for  
 1547 Arsenic Removal from Water: Current Status and Future Perspectives. *Int. J. Environ. Res.*  
 1548 *Public Health* **2016**, *13*, 62.

1549 Nidheesh, P., Singh, T.A., 2017. Arsenic removal by electrocoagulation process: recent  
 1550 trends and removal mechanism. *Chemosphere* 181, 418-432.

1551 Norrrahim, M. N. F., Kasim, N. A. M., Knight, V. F., Misenan, M. S. M., Janudin, N., Shah,  
 1552 N. A. A., ... & Yunus, W. M. Z. W. (2021). Nanocellulose: A bioadsorbent for chemical  
 1553 contaminant remediation. *RSC advances*, 11(13), 7347-7368.

1554 Nwadinigwe, A.O., Ugwu, E.C., 2018. Overview of Nano-Phytoremediation Applications  
1555 Phytoremediation. Springer, pp. 377.

1556 Onnby, L., Kumar, P.S., Sigfridsson, K.G.V., et al., 2014. Improved arsenic(III) adsorption  
1557 by Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> NPs and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>., Evidence of oxidation to arsenic(V) from X-ray absorption  
1558 spectroscopy. Chemosphere 113, 151–157.

1559 Ortega, A., Oliva, I., Contreras, K.E., González, I., Cruz-Díaz, M.R., Rivero, E.P., 2017.  
1560 Arsenic removal from water by hybrid electro-regenerated anion exchange  
1561 resin/electrodialysis process. Separ. Purif. Technol. 184, 319–326.

1562 Pan, B., Li, Z., Zhang, Y., et al., 2014. Acid and organic resistant nano-hydrated zirconium  
1563 oxide (HZO)/polystyrene hybrid adsorbent for arsenic removal from water. Chem. Eng. J.  
1564 248, 290–296.

1565 Parga, J.R., Cocke, D.L., Valenzuela, J.L., Gomes, J.A., Kesmez, M., Irwin, G., Moreno, H.,  
1566 Weir, M., 2005. Arsenic removal via electrocoagulation from heavy metal contaminated  
1567 groundwater in La Comarca Lagunera Mexico. J. Hazard Mater. 124 (1-3), 247–254.

1568 Patra, A.K., Dutta, A., Bhaumik, A., 2012. Self-assembled mesoporous-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> spherical  
1569 NPs and their efficiency for the removal of arsenic from water. J. Hazard. Mater. 201–202,  
1570 170–177.

1571 Paul, B., Sarkar, A. 2016. The global menace of arsenic and its conventional remediation – A  
1572 critical review. Chemosphere, 158, 37–49.

1573 Pawar, R.R., Lalhmunsiam, KimM., Kim, J.G., Lee, S.M., 2018. Efficient removal of  
1574 hazardous lead, cadmium, and arsenic from aqueous environment by iron oxide modified  
1575 clay-activated carbon composite beads. Appl. Clay Sci. 162, 339–350.

1576 Pena, M., Korfiatis, G.P., Patel, M., Lippincott, L., Meng, X., 2005. Adsorption of As(V) and  
1577 As(III) by nanocrystalline titanium dioxide. Water Res. 39, 2327–2337.

1578 Peng, X., Luan, Z., Ding, J., Di, Z., Li, Y., Tian, B., 2005. Ceria nanoparticles supported on  
 1579 carbon nanotubes for the removal of arsenate from water. *Mater. Lett.* 59, 399–403.  
 1580 doi:10.1016/j.matlet.2004.05.090

1581 Peng, X., Zhao, Y., Yang, T., et al., 2018. One-step and acid free synthesis of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/SBA-15  
 1582 for enhanced arsenic removal. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 258, 26–32.

1583 Pillai, P., Kakadiya, N., Timaniya, Z., Dharaskar, S., Sillanpaa, M. 2020. Removal of arsenic  
 1584 using iron oxide amended with rice husk nanoparticles from aqueous solution. *Materials*  
 1585 *Today: Proceedings* 28: 830-835.

1586 Popescu CR. Measuring progress towards the sustainable development goals: Creativity,  
 1587 intellectual capital, and innovation. In *Handbook of research on novel practices and current*  
 1588 *successes in achieving the sustainable development goals 2021* (pp. 125-136). IGI Global.

1589 Prabhakar, R., Samadder, S.R., 2018. Low cost and easy synthesis of aluminium oxide NPs  
 1590 for arsenite removal from groundwater: a complete batch study. *J. Mol. Liq.* 250, 192–201.

1591 Prasad, B., Ghosh, C., Chakraborty, A., Bandyopadhyay, N., Ray, R.K., 2011. Adsorption of  
 1592 arsenite (As<sup>3+</sup>) on nano-sized Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> waste powder from the steel industry. *Desalination*  
 1593 274, 105–112.

1594 Qu, X., Brame, J., Li, Q., Alvarez, P.J.J., 2013. Nanotechnology for a safe and sustainable  
 1595 water supply: Enabling integrated water treatment and reuse. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 46, 834–843.  
 1596 doi:10.1021/ar300029v

1597 Rahmani, A.R., Ghaffari, H.R., Samadi, M.T., 2011. A comparative study on arsenic (III)  
 1598 removal from aqueous solution using nano and micro sized zero-valent iron. *Iran. J. Environ.*  
 1599 *Health Sci. Eng.* 8, 175–180.

1600 Ramos, M.L.P., González, J.A., Albornoz, S.G., et al., 2016. Chitin hydrogel reinforced with  
 1601 TiO<sub>2</sub> NPs as an arsenic sorbent. *Chem. Eng. J.* 285, 581–587.

1602 Ratna Kumar, P., Chaudhari, S., Khilar, K.C., Mahajan, S.P., 2004. Removal of arsenic from  
1603 water by electrocoagulation. *Chemosphere* 55, 1245–1252.

1604 Raul, P.K., Devi, R.R., Umlong, I.M., Thakur, A.J., Veer, V., 2014. Iron oxide hydroxide  
1605 nanoflower assisted removal of arsenic from water. *Mater. Res. Bull.* 49, 360–368.

1606 Raven, K.P., Jain, A., Loeppert, R.H., 1998. Arsenite and arsenate adsorption on ferrihydrite:  
1607 kinetics, equilibrium, and adsorption envelopes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 32, 344–349.

1608 Ray, P.Z., Shipley, H.J. 2015. *Inorganic nano-adsorbents for the removal of heavy metals  
1609 and arsenic: a review*. *RSC Advances* 5: 29885-29907.

1610 Reddy, K.J. McDonald, K.J.; King, H. (2013) A novel arsenic removal process for water  
1611 using cupric oxide nanoparticles. *J. Colloid. Interf. Sci.* 397, 96-102.

1612 Rivero, E.P., Ortega, A., Cruz-Díaz, M.R., González, I., 2018. Modelling the transport of  
1613 ions and electrochemical regeneration of the resin in a hybrid ion exchange/ electrodialysis  
1614 process for As(V) removal. *J. Appl. Electrochem.* 48 (6), 597-610.

1615 Roco, M.; Brainbridge, W.S. (2001) *Societal implication of nanoscience and technology*.  
1616 Kluwer academic publishers.

1617 Rosales, G.G., Longoria-Gándara, L.C., Cruz-Cruz, G.J., Olayo-González, M.G.,  
1618 MejíaCuero, R., Pérez, P.Á., 2018. Fe-TiO<sub>x</sub> nanoparticles on pineapple peel: synthesis,  
1619 characterization and As(V) sorption. *Environ. Nanotech. Monit. Manage.* 9, 112.

1620 Rosales, M., Coreno, O., Nava, J.L., 2018. Removal of hydrated silica, ~ fluoride and arsenic  
1621 from groundwater by electrocoagulation using a continuous reactor with a twelve-cell stack.  
1622 *Chemosphere* 211, 149-155.

1623 Rosi, N.L.; Mirkin, C.A. (2005) Nanostructures in biodiagnostics. *Chem. Rev.* 105, 1547-  
1624 1562.

1625 Rotello, VM. (2003) *Nanoparticles: Building blocks of nanotechnology*. 1st Ed. New York:

Springer.

Roy, E., Patra, S., Madhuri, R., Sharma, P.K., 2016. A single solution for arsenite and arsenate removal from drinking water using cysteine@ZnS:TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle modified molecularly imprinted biofouling-resistant filtration membrane. *Chem. Eng. J.* 304, 259–270.

Saha, S., Sarkar, P., 2012. Arsenic remediation from drinking water by synthesized nano-alumina dispersed in chitosan-grafted polyacrylamide. *J. Hazards. Mater.* 227–228, 68–78.

Saikia, J., Gogoi, A., Baruah, S., 2019. Nanotechnology for water remediation. In: Dasgupta, N., Ranjan, S., Lichtfouse, E. (Eds.), *Environmental Nanotechnology*. Springer International Publishing, pp. 195–211.

Saikia, J., Saha, B., Das, G., 2011. Efficient removal of chromate and arsenate from individual and mixed system by malachite nanoparticles. *J. Hazards. Mater.* 186, 575–582.

Saiz, J.; Bringas, E.; Ortiz, I. New functionalized magnetic materials for As<sup>5+</sup> removal: Adsorbent regeneration and reuse. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2014**, 53, 18928–18934.

Samuel, M.S., Selvarajan, E., Sarswat, A., Muthukumar, H., Jacob, J.M., Mukesh, M., Pugazhendhi, A. 2022. Nanomaterials as adsorbents for As(III) and As(V) removal from water: A review. *J Hazard Mater.* 2022 Feb 15;424(Pt C):127572. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.127572.

Sandoval, R.; Cooper, A.M.; Aymar, K.; Jain, A.; Hristovski, K. (2011) *J. Hazard. Mater.* 193, 296-303.

Sarkar, A., Paul, B., 2016. The global menace of arsenic and its conventional remediation-a critical review. *Chemosphere* 158, 37-49.

Savage, N., Diallo, M.S. 2005. *Nanomaterials and water purification: Opportunities and challenges*. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research* 7: 331–342.

Schmid, G. (2004) *Nanoparticles: from theory to application*. 1st Ed. Wiley-VCH.

1650 Selvakumar, R., Jothi, N.A., Jayavignesh, V., et al., 2011. As(V) removal using carbonized  
 1651 yeast cells containing silver NPs. *Water Res.* 45, 583–592.

1652 Senn, A.C., Hug, S.J., Kaegi, R., Hering, J.G., Voegelin, A., 2018. Arsenate coprecipitation  
 1653 with Fe (II) oxidation products and retention or release during precipitate aging. *Water Res.*  
 1654 131, 334-345.

1655 Shah, M.A., Ahmed, T. 2011. *Principles of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology*. Narosa  
 1656 Publishing House: New Delhi, India, pp. 34-47.

1657 Shakoor, M.B., Nawaz, R., Hussain, F., Raza, M., Ali, S., Rizwan, M., Oh, S.E., Ahmad, S.,  
 1658 2017. Human health implications, risk assessment and remediation of Ascontaminated water:  
 1659 a critical review. *Sci. Total Environ.* 601, 756-769.

1660 Shan G, Surampalli RY, Tyagi RD, Zhang TC (2009) Nanomaterials for environmental  
 1661 burden reduction, waste treatment, and nonpoint source pollution control: a review. *Front*  
 1662 *Environ Sci Eng China* 3(3):249–264.

1663 Sharma, P. K., Kumar, R., Singh, R. K., Sharma, P., & Ghosh, A. (2022). Review on arsenic  
 1664 removal using biochar-based materials. *Groundwater for Sustainable Development*, 17,  
 1665 100740.

1666 Sheikhmohammadi, A., Dahaghin, Z., Mohseni, S.M., et al., 2018. The synthesis and  
 1667 application of the SiO<sub>2</sub>@Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@ MBT nanocomposite as a new magnetic sorbent for the  
 1668 adsorption of arsenate from aqueous solutions: modelling, optimization, and adsorption  
 1669 studies. *J. Mol. Liq.* 255, 313–323.

1670 Sherlala AIA, Raman AAA, Bello MM, Buthiyappan A (2019) Adsorption of arsenic using  
 1671 chitosan magnetic graphene oxide nanocomposite. *J Environ Manag* 246:547–556.

1672 Sherlala AIA, Raman AAA, Bello MM, Synthesis and characterization of magnetic graphene  
 1673 oxide for arsenic removal from aqueous solution. *Environ Technol.* (just-accepted),  
 1674 2018;49:1–9.

1675 Shukla, A., Srivastava, S., 2019. A Review of Phytoremediation Prospects for Arsenic  
 1676 Contaminated Water and Soil Phytomanagement Of Polluted Sites. Elsevier, pp. 243-254.

1677 Siddiqui, S.I., Chaudhry, S.A., 2017. Removal of arsenic from water using nanocomposites,  
 1678 A Review. *Cur. Environ. Eng.* 4, 81–102.

1679 Siddiqui, S.I., Chaudhry, S.A., 2017a. Removal of arsenic from water using nanocomposites,  
 1680 A Review. *Cur. Environ. Eng.* 4, 81–102.

1681 Siddiqui, S.I., Chaudhry, S.A., 2017b. Iron oxide and its modified forms as an adsorbent for  
 1682 arsenic removal: a comprehensive recent advancement. *Process Saf. Environ. Prot.* 111, 592–  
 1683 626.

1684 Siddiqui, S.I., Naushad, M., Chaudhry, S.A., 2019. Promising prospects of nanomaterials for  
 1685 arsenic water remediation: A comprehensive review. *Process Safety and Environmental*  
 1686 *Protection* 126 (2019) 60–97.

1687 Siddiqui, S.I., Chaudhry, S.A., 2019. Nanohybrid composite Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-ZrO<sub>2</sub>/BC for inhibiting the  
 1688 growth of bacteria and adsorptive removal of arsenic and dyes from water. *J. Clean. Prod.*  
 1689 223, 849–868.

1690 Simeonidis, K., Gkinis, T., Tresintsi, S., et al., 2011. Magnetic separation of hematite-coated  
 1691 Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles used as arsenic adsorbents. *Chem. Eng. J.* 168, 1008–1015.

1692 Singh, K., Sinha, T. J. M., & Srivastava, S. (2015b). Functionalized nanocrystalline cellulose:  
 1693 smart biosorbent for decontamination of arsenic. *International Journal of Mineral Processing*,  
 1694 139, 51-63.



1695 Singh, M.; Thanh, D.N.; Ulbrich, P.; Strnadova, N.; Stepanek, F.J. (2010) Synthesis,  
 1696 characterization and study of arsenate adsorption from aqueous solution by  $\alpha$ - and  $\delta$ -phase  
 1697 manganese dioxide nanoadsorbents. *Solid. State. Chem.* 183, 2979-2986.  
 1698 Singh, R.; Singh, S.; Parihar, P.; Singh, V.; Prasad, S. Arsenic contamination, consequences  
 1699 and remediation techniques: A review. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2015a**, 112, 247–270.  
 1700 Singh S, Naik TSSK, U B, Khan NA, Wani AB, Behera SK, Nath B, Bhati S, Singh J,  
 1701 Ramamurthy PC. A systematic study of arsenic adsorption and removal from aqueous  
 1702 environments using novel graphene oxide functionalized UiO-66-NDC nanocomposites. *Sci*  
 1703 *Rep.* 2022 Sep 22;12(1):15802. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-18959-2.  
 1704 Singh, T.S., Pant, K.K., 2004. Equilibrium, kinetics and thermodynamic studies for  
 1705 adsorption of As (III) on activated alumina. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 36, 139–147.  
 1706 doi:10.1016/S1383-5866(03)00209-0  
 1707 Sofija, S.P., Dejan, M.K., Snežana, P.M., 2016. Removal of As(III) and Cr(VI) from aque-  
 1708 ous solutions using “green” zero-valent iron NPs produced by oak., mulberry and cherry leaf  
 1709 extracts. *Ecol. Eng.* 90, 42–49.  
 1710 Song, P., Yang, Z., Zeng, G., Yang, X., Xu, H., Wang, L., Xu, R., Xiong, W., Ahmad, K.,  
 1711 2017. Electrocoagulation treatment of arsenic in wastewaters: a comprehensive review.  
 1712 *Chem. Eng. J.* 317, 707-725.  
 1713 Stanić, M.H., Nujić, M. 2015. Arsenic removal by nanoparticles: a review. *Environ. Sci.*  
 1714 *Pollut. Res.* 22, 8094-8123. doi:10.1007/s11356-015-4307-z  
 1715 Su, F., Zhou, H., Zhang, Y., Wang, G., 2016. Three-dimensional honeycomb-like structured  
 1716 zero-valent iron/chitosan composite foams for effective removal of inorganic arsenic in  
 1717 water. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 478, 421–429.  
 1718 Su, H., Lv, X., Zhang, Z., Yu, J., Wang, T., 2017. Arsenic removal from water by

1719 photocatalytic functional  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\text{-TiO}_2$  porous ceramic. *J. Porous Mater.* 24, 1227–1235.  
 1720 doi:10.1007/s10934-017-0362-9

1721 Su, H., Ye, Z., Hmidi, N., Subramanian, R., 2017. Carbon nanosphere-iron oxide  
 1722 nanocomposites as high-capacity adsorbents for arsenic removal. *RSC Adv.* 7, 36138–36148.

1723 Sun, T., Zhao, Z., Liang, Z., Liu, J., Shi, W., Cui, F. 2017. Efficient As(III) removal by  
 1724 magnetic  $\text{CuO-Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  nanoparticles through photo-oxidation and adsorption under light  
 1725 irradiation. *Journal of colloid and interface science* 495: 168-177.

1726 Taleb, K., Markovski, J., Rusmirovic, Z.V.J., Rancic, M., Pavlovic, V., Marinkovic, A.,  
 1727 2016. Arsenic removal by magnetite-loaded amino modified nano/microcellulose adsorbents:  
 1728 effect of functionalization and media size. *Arabian J. Chem.*,  
 1729 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2016.08.006>, In Press.

1730 Tang, L., Feng, H., Tang, J., et al., 2017. Treatment of arsenic in acid wastewater and river  
 1731 sediment by  $\text{Fe@Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  nanobunches: the effect of environmental conditions and reaction  
 1732 mechanism. *Water Res.* 117, 175–186.

1733 Tang, W., Li, Q., Gao, S., Shang, J.K., 2011. Arsenic (III., V) removal from aqueous solution  
 1734 by ultrafine  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  NPs synthesized from solvent thermal method. *J. Hazards. Mater.* 192,  
 1735 131–138.

1736 Thakkar, M., Randhawa, V., Mitra, S., Wei, L., 2015. Synthesis of diatom- $\text{FeOx}$  composite  
 1737 for removing trace arsenic to meet drinking water standards. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 457,  
 1738 169–173.

1739 Thi, T.M., Thi, N., Trang, H., Nguyen Anh, T.V., 2015. Effects of Mn., Cu doping  
 1740 concentration to the properties of magnetic NPs and arsenic adsorption capacity in  
 1741 wastewater. *Appl. Sur. Sci.* 340, 166–172.

1742 Tolkou AK, Katsoyiannis IA, Zouboulis AI. Removal of arsenic, chromium and uranium  
 1743 from water sources by novel nanostructured materials including graphene-based modified  
 1744 adsorbents: A mini review of recent developments. *Applied Sciences*. 2020 May  
 1745 7;10(9):3241.

1746 Türkmen, D., Özkaya Türkmen, M., Akgönüllü, S., & Denizli, A. (2022). Development of  
 1747 ion imprinted based magnetic nanoparticles for selective removal of arsenic (III) and arsenic  
 1748 (V) from wastewater. *Separation Science and Technology*, 57(6), 990-999.

1749 Tuutijärvi, T.; Vahala, R.; Sillanpää, M.; Chen, G. Maghemite nanoparticles for As(V)  
 1750 removal: Desorption characteristics and adsorbent recovery. *Environ. Technol.* **2012**, 33,  
 1751 1927–1936.

1752 Ucar, C., Baskan, M.B., Pala, A., 2013. Arsenic removal from drinking water by  
 1753 electrocoagulation using iron electrodes. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* 30, 1889–1895.

1754 Ungureanu, G., Santos, S., Boaventura, R., Botelho, C., 2015. Arsenic and antimony in water  
 1755 and wastewater: overview of removal techniques with special reference to latest advances in  
 1756 adsorption. *J. Environ. Manag.* 151, 326-342.

1757 United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. 2022. Retrieved from:  
 1758 <https://sdgs.un.org/goals>, retrieved on August 17, 2022.

1759 Upadhyay, A.K., Singh, R., Singh, D.P.P., 2020. Restoration of Wetland Ecosystem: A  
 1760 Trajectory Towards a Sustainable Environment, Restoration of Wetland Ecosystem: A  
 1761 Trajectory Towards a Sustainable Environment. Springer Singapore, Singapore,  
 1762 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-7665-8>.

1763 Uppal, H., Chawla, S., Joshi, A.G., Haranath, D., Vijayan, N., Singh, N., 2019. Facile  
 1764 chemical synthesis and novel application of zinc oxysulfide nanomaterial for instant and  
 1765 superior adsorption of arsenic from water. *J. Clean. Prod.* 208, 458-469.

1766 Urbano, B.F., Villenas, I., Rivas, B.L., Campos, C.H., 2015. Cationic polymer-TiO<sub>2</sub>  
 1767 nanocomposite sorbent for arsenate removal. *Chem. Eng. J.* 268, 362–370.

1768 Vaishya, R.C., Gupta, S.K., 2003. Modelling arsenic (III) adsorption from water by sulfate-  
 1769 modified iron oxide-coated sand (SMIOCS). *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* 78, 73–80.  
 1770 doi:10.1002/jctb.745

1771 Vasudevan, S., Lakshmi, J., Sozhan, G., 2010a. Studies Relating to Removal of Arsenate by  
 1772 Electrochemical Coagulation: Optimization, Kinetics, Coagulant Characterization. *Sep. Sci.*  
 1773 *Technol.* 45, 1313–1325.

1774 Vega-Hernandez, S., Weijma, J., Buisman, C.J., 2019. Immobilization of arsenic as scorodite  
 1775 by a thermoacidophilic mixed culture via as (III)-catalyzed oxidation with activated carbon. *J.*  
 1776 *Hazard Mater.* 368, 221-227.

1777 Velickovic, Z.; Bajic, Z.; Rstic, M.; Djokic, V.; Marinkovic, A.; Uskokovic, P.; Vuruna, M.  
 1778 Modification of multi-wall carbon nanotubes for the removal of cadmium, lead and arsenic  
 1779 from wastewater. *Dig. J. Nanomater Biostruct.* **2013**, 8, 501–511.

1780 Violante, A., Pigna, M., Del Gaudio, S., Cozzolino, V., Banerjee, D., 2009. Coprecipitation  
 1781 of arsenate with metal oxides. 3. Nature, mineralogy, and reactivity of iron (iii) - Aluminum  
 1782 precipitates. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 43, 1515–1521. doi:10.1021/es802229r

1783 Virkutyte, J., Sillanpää, M., Latostenmaa, P., 2002. Electrokinetic soil remediation: a  
 1784 critical overview. *Sci. Total Environ.* 289 (1-3), 97-121.

1785 Visanu, T., Jia, C.H., Nurak, G., Chih, H.L., 2011. Gas-bubbled nano zero-valent iron process  
 1786 for high concentration arsenate removal. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 186, 2123–2128.

1787 Wang, C., Liu, H., Zhang, Y., Zou, C., Anthony, E.J., 2018. Review of arsenic behavior  
 1788 during coal combustion: volatilization, transformation, emission and removal technologies.  
 1789 *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.* 68, 1-28.

1790 Wang, C., Luo, H., Zhang, Z., et al., 2014a. Removal of As(III) and As(V) from aqueous  
 1791 solutions using nanoscale zero valent iron-reduced graphite oxide modified composites. J.  
 1792 Hazards. Mater. 268, 124–131.

1793 Wang, J., Zhang, T., Li, M., Yang, Y., Lu, P., Ning, P., Wang, Q., 2018. Arsenic removal  
 1794 from water/wastewater using layered double hydroxide derived adsorbents, a critical review.  
 1795 RSC Adv. 8 (40), 22694-22709.

1796 Wang, J., Xu, W., Chen, L., Huang, X., Liu, J., 2014b. Preparation and evaluation of magnetic  
 1797 NPs impregnated chitosan beads for arsenic removal from water. Chem. Eng. J. 251, 25–34.

1798 Wang, S., Gao, B., Li, Y., 2016. Enhanced arsenic removal by biochar modified with nickel  
 1799 (Ni) and manganese (Mn) oxyhydroxides. J. Indus. Eng. Chem. 37, 361–365.

1800 Wang, S., Gao, B., Li, Y., Creamer, A.E., He, F., 2017. Adsorptive removal of  
 1801 arsenate from aqueous solutions by biochar supported zero-valent iron nanocomposite: batch  
 1802 and continuous flow tests. J. Hazards. Mater. 322, 172–181.

1803 Wang, S., Gao, B., Li, Y., Wan, Y., Creamer, A.E., 2015b. Sorption of arsenate onto  
 1804 magnetic iron–manganese (Fe–Mn) biochar composites. RSC Adv. 5, 67971–67978.

1805 Wang, S., Gao, B., Zimmerman, A.R., Li, Y., Ma, L., Harris, W.G., Migliaccio, K.W., 2015.  
 1806 Removal of arsenic by magnetic biochar prepared from pinewood and natural hematite.  
 1807 Bioresour. Technol. 175, 391–395. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.10.104

1808 Wang C, Liu X, Chen JP, Li K. Superior removal of arsenic from water with zirconium  
 1809 metal-organic framework UiO-66. Scientific reports. 2015 Nov 12;5(1):16613.\

1810 Wei, Z., Liang, K., Wu, Y., et al., 2016. The effect of pH on the adsorption of arsenic(III) and  
 1811 arsenic(V) at the TiO<sub>2</sub> anatase [101] surface. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 462, 252–259.

1812 Wen, Z., Zhang, Y., Dai, C., Chen, B., Guo, S., Yu, H., Wu, D., 2014. Synthesis of ordered  
 1813 mesoporous iron manganese bimetal oxides for arsenic removal from aqueous solutions.

1814 Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 200, 235–244. doi:10.1016/j.micromeso.2014.08.049

1815 Westerhoff, P., Highfield, D., Badruzzaman, M., Yoon, Y., 2005. Rapid small-scale column  
 1816 tests for arsenate removal in iron oxide packed bed columns. J. Environ. Eng. 131, 262–271.

1817 WHO. 2001. *United Nations synthesis report on arsenic drinking water*. World Health  
 1818 Organization.

1819 Wiesner, M. (1993) *An overview of AP membrane process*. Association of Environmental  
 1820 Engineering professors, San Antonio, Texas, June 7.

1821 Wilkie, J.A., Hering, J.G., 1996. Adsorption of arsenic onto hydrous ferric oxide: effects of  
 1822 adsorbate/adsorbent ratios and co-occurring solutes. Colloids Surf., A 107, 97–110.

1823 Wu, C., Huang, L., Xue, S.G., Huang, Y.Y., Hartley, W., Cui, M. qian, Wong, M.H., 2017.  
 1824 Arsenic sorption by red mud-modified biochar produced from rice straw. Environ. Sci. Pollut.  
 1825 Res. 24, 18168–18178. doi:10.1007/s11356-017-9466-7

1826 Wu, J., Huang, D., Liu, X., Meng, J., Tang, C., Xu, J., 2018b. Remediation of As (III) and Cd  
 1827 (II) co-contamination and its mechanism in aqueous systems by a novel calcium-based  
 1828 magnetic biochar. J. Hazard. Mater. 348, 10–19.

1829 Wu, L.K., Wu, H., Liu, Z.Z., Cao, H.Z., Hou, G.Y., Tang, Y.P., Zheng, G.Q., 2018a. Highly  
 1830 porous copper ferrite foam: a promising adsorbent for efficient removal of As (III) and As  
 1831 (V) from water. J. Hazard. Mater. 347, 15–24.

1832 Wu, L.K., Wu, H., Zhang, H.B., Cao, H.Z., Hou, G.Y., Tang, Y.P., Zheng, G.Q., 2018c.  
 1833 Graphene oxide/CuFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> foam as an efficient adsorbent for arsenic removal from water.  
 1834 Chem. Eng. J. 334, 1808–1819.

1835 Xu, Y., Li, J., Xia, W., Sun, Y., Qian, G., Zhang, J., 2019. Enhanced remediation of arsenic  
 1836 and chromium co-contaminated soil by eletrokinetic-permeable reactive barriers with  
 1837 different reagents. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Control Ser. 26 (4), 3392-3403.

1838 Xu, Z., Li, Q., Gao, S., Shang, J.K., 2010. As(III) removal by hydrous titanium dioxide  
 1839 prepared from one-step hydrolysis of aqueous  $TiCl_4$  solution. *Water Res.* 44, 5713–5721.

1840 Yadav, M.K., Saidulu, D., Gupta, A.K., Ghosal, P.S., Mukherjee, A. 2021. Status and  
 1841 management of arsenic pollution in groundwater: A comprehensive appraisal of recent global  
 1842 scenario, human health impacts, sustainable field-scale treatment technologies. *Journal of*  
 1843 *environmental chemical engineering* 9, article number 105203.

1844 Yadav, R., Sharma, A.K., Babu, J.N., 2016. Sorptive removal of arsenite [As(III)] and  
 1845 arsenate [As(V)] by fuller's earth immobilized nanoscale zero-valent iron NPs (F-nZVI),  
 1846 Effect of  $Fe^0$  loading on adsorption activity. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 4, 681–694.

1847 Yamani, J.S., Miller, S.M., Spaulding, M.L., Zimmerman, J.B., 2012. Enhanced arsenic  
 1848 removal using mixed metal oxide impregnated chitosan beads. *Water Res.* 46, 4427–4434.  
 1849 doi:10.1016/j.watres.2012.06.004

1850 Yan, H., Gao, Y., Wu, L., Wang, L., Zhang, T., Dai, C., Xu, W., Feng, L., Ma, M., Zhu,  
 1851 Y.G., He, Z., 2019. Potential use of the *Pteris vittata* arsenic hyperaccumulation regulation  
 1852 network for phytoremediation. *J. Hazard Mater.* 368, 386–396.

1853 Yan, L., Hu, S., Jing, C., 2016. Recent progress of arsenic adsorption on  $TiO_2$  in the presence  
 1854 of coexisting ions: a review. *J. Environ. Sci.* 49, 74–85.

1855 Yan, L., Tu, H., Chan, T., Jing, C., 2017. Mechanistic study of simultaneous arsenic and  
 1856 fluoride removal using granular  $TiO_2$ -La adsorbent. *Chem. Eng. J.* 313, 983–992.

1857 Yang X, Xia L and Song S (2016) Arsenic adsorption from water using graphene based  
 1858 materials as adsorbents: A critical review. *Surf Rev Lett* 10:1142.

1859 Yang, X., Xia, L., Song, S., 2017. Arsenic adsorption from water using graphene-based  
 1860 materials as adsorbents: a critical review. *Surf. Rev. Lett.* 24, 1730001.

1861 Yao, S., Liu, Z., Shi, Z., 2014. Arsenic removal from aqueous solutions by adsorption onto

1862 iron oxide/activated carbon magnetic composite. J. Environ. Heal. Sci. Eng. 12, 58.  
 1863 doi:10.1186/2052-336X-12-58

1864 Yavuz, C.T.; Mayo, J.T.; Suchecki, C.; Wang, J.; Ellsworth, A.Z.; Couto, H.D.; Quevedo, E.;  
 1865 Prakash, A.; Gonzalez, L.; Nguyen, C.; Kelty, C.; Colvin, V.L. (2010) Pollution magnet:  
 1866 nano-magnetite for arsenic removal from drinking water. *Environ. Geochem. Hlth.* 32, 327-  
 1867 334.

1868 Yavuz, C.T.; Mayo, J.T.; Yu, W.W.; Prakash, A.; Falkner, J. C.; Yean, S.; Cong, L.; Shipley,  
 1869 H.J.; Kan, A.; Tomson, M.; Natelson, D.; Colvin, V.L. (2006) Low-field magnetic separation  
 1870 of monodisperse Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanocrystals. *Science*. 314, 964-967.

1871 Yazdani, M.R., Bhatnagar, A., Vahala, R., 2017. Synthesis, characterization and exploitation  
 1872 of nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>/feldspar-embedded chitosan beads towards UV-assisted adsorptive abatement  
 1873 of aqueous arsenic (As). *Chem. Eng. J.* 316, 370–382.

1874 Yean, S.; Cong, L.; Yavuz, C.T.; Mayo, J.T.; Yu, W.W.; Kan, A.T.; Colvin, V.L.; Tomson,  
 1875 M.B. (2005) Effect of magnetite particle size on adsorption and desorption of arsenite and  
 1876 arsenate. *J. Mater. Res.* 20, 3255-3264.

1877 Yeap, S.P., Lim, J., Ooi, B.S., Ahmad, A.L., 2017. Agglomeration, colloidal stability, and  
 1878 magnetic separation of magnetic nanoparticles: collective influences on environmental  
 1879 engineering applications.

1880 Yin, H., Kong, M., Gu, X., Chen, H., 2017. Removal of arsenic from water by porous charred  
 1881 granulated attapulgite-supported hydrated iron oxide in batch and column modes. *J. Clean.*  
 1882 *Prod.* 166, 88–97.

1883 Yoon, Y., Park, Y.K., Hwang, T.M., Yoon, D.H., Yang, Y.S., Kang, J.W. 2016. Comparative  
 1884 evaluation of magnetite–graphene oxide and magnetite-reduced graphene oxide composite  
 1885 for As(III) and As(V) removal. *Journal of hazardous materials* 304: 196-204.



1886 Yu, L., Ma, Y., Ong, C.N., Xie, J., Liu, Y., 2015b. Rapid adsorption removal of arsenate by  
1887 hydrous cerium oxide–graphene composite. *RSC Adv.* 5, 64983–64990.

1888 Yu, Y., Yu, L., Shih, K., Chen, J.P., 2018. Yttrium-doped iron oxide magnetic adsorbent for  
1889 enhancement in arsenic removal and ease in separation after applications. *J. Colloid Interface*  
1890 *Sci.* 521, 252–260.

1891 Yuan, C., Chiang, T.S., 2007. The mechanisms of arsenic removal from soil by electrokinetic  
1892 process coupled with iron permeable reaction barrier. *Chemosphere* 67 (8), 1533-1542.

1893 Yuan, C., Chiang, T.S., 2008. Enhancement of electrokinetic remediation of arsenic spiked  
1894 soil by chemical reagents. *J. Hazard Mater.* 152 (1), 309-315.

1895 Zakhar, R., Derco, J., Cacho, F., 2018. An overview of main arsenic removal technologies.  
1896 *Acta Chim. Slovaca* 11 (2), 107-113.

1897 Zhang, G.; Ren, Z.; Zhang, X.; Chen, J. (2013) Nanostructured iron(III)-copper(II) binary  
1898 oxide: A novel adsorbent for enhanced arsenic removal from aqueous solutions. *water res.* 47,  
1899 4022-4031.

1900 Zhang, G.-S., Qu, J.-H., Liu, H.-J., Liu, R.-P., Li, G.-T., 2007. Removal Mechanism of As  
1901 (III) by a Novel Fe–Mn Binary Oxide Adsorbent: Oxidation and Sorption. *Environ. Sci.*  
1902 *Technol.* 41, 4613–4619. doi:10.1021/es063010u

1903 Zhang, M., Gao, B., 2013. Removal of arsenic, methylene blue, and phosphate by  
1904 biochar/AlOOH nanocomposite. *Chem. Eng. J.* 226, 286–292.

1905 Zhang QL, Lin YC, Chen X, Gao NY. A method for preparing ferric activated carbon  
1906 composites adsorbents to remove arsenic from drinking water. *Journal of hazardous*  
1907 *materials.* 2007 Sep 30;148(3):671-8.

1908 Zhang, Q.; Pan, B.; Zhang, W.; Pan, B.; Zhang, Q.; Ren, H. (2008) Arsenate removal from  
 1909 aqueous media by nanosized hydrated ferric oxide (HFO)-loaded polymeric sorbents: effect  
 1910 of HFO loadings. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 47, 3957-3962.

1911 Zhang, T.; Sun, D. D. (2013) Removal of arsenic from water using multifunctional micro-  
 1912 /nano-structured MnO<sub>2</sub> spheres and microfiltration. *Chem. Eng. J.* 225, 271-279.

1913 Zhang, W., Fu, J., Zhang, G., Zhang, X., 2014b. Enhanced arsenate removal by novel Fe–La  
 1914 composite (hydr)oxides synthesized via coprecipitation. *Chem. Eng. J.* 251, 69–79.

1915 Zhang, Y., Dou, X., Zhao, B., Yang, M., Takayama, T., Kato, S., 2010. Removal of arsenic  
 1916 by a granular Fe–Ce oxide adsorbent., Fabrication conditions and performance. *Chem. Eng. J.*  
 1917 162, 164–170.

1918 Zhang, Y.X., Jia, Y., 2018. Synthesis of MgO/TiO<sub>2</sub>/Ag composites with good adsorption  
 1919 combined with photodegradation properties. *Mater. Sci. Eng: B* 228, 123–131.

1920 Zhao, D., Yu, Y., Chen, J.P., 2016. Fabrication and testing of zirconium-based nanoparticle-  
 1921 doped activated carbon fiber for enhanced arsenic removal in water. *RSC Adv.* 6, 27020–  
 1922 27030.

1923 Zheng, Y.M., Yu, L., Wu, D., Chen, J.P., 2012. Removal of arsenite from aqueous solution  
 1924 by a zirconia NPs. *Chem. Eng. J.* 188, 15–22.

1925 Zheng, Y.M.; Yu, L.; Wu, D.; Chen, J.P. (2012) Removal of arsenite from aqueous solution  
 1926 by a zirconia nanoparticle. *Chem. Eng. J.* 188, 15-22.

1927 Zhu, J., Lou, Z., Liu, Y., et al., 2015a. Adsorption behavior and removal mechanism of  
 1928 arsenic on graphene modified by iron–manganese binary oxide (FeMnOx/RGO) from  
 1929 aqueous solutions. *RSC Adv.* 5, 67951–67961.

1930 Zhu, J., Pigma, M., Cozzolino, V., Caporale, A.G., Violante, A., 2013. Higher sorption of  
1931 arsenate versus arsenite on amorphous Al-oxide, effect of ligands. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 11,  
1932 289–294.

1933 Zhu, Y., Guo, H., Bhattacharya, P., Ahmad, A., Bundschuh, J., Naidu, R. (Eds.), 2019.  
1934 *Environmental Arsenic in a Changing World: Proceedings of the 7th International Congress*  
1935 *and Exhibition on Arsenic in the Environment (AS 2018)*, July 1- 6, 2018. CRC Press,  
1936 Beijing, PR China.

1937

1938

1939

1940

1941

1942

1943

1944

1945

1946

1947

1948

1949

1950

1951

1952

1953

**Figure captions:**

Figure 1: Mechanism of AS (III) and As (V) sorption on biosorbent surface. Possible chemical interactions like electrostatic interaction, hydrogen bonding, chelation, surface oxidation, ion exchange, precipitation etc. are shown on the surface of the bioadsorbent.

Figure 2: Synthesis pathway of novel photo-oxidation and adsorption based CuO-Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic material for As(III) removal. Under light irradiation, the nanoparticles can oxidize As(III) to As(V) completely through photo-oxidation within 60 minutes. Subsequently As(V) is adsorbed on the nanoparticles effectively.

Figure 3: TEM images of ceria associated manganese oxide nanoparticles showed garland like chain structure with void space, with varied particle sizes of 70-90 nm. And 15-20 nm. in two different samples. Reprinted with permission from Elsevier (Gupta et al., 2011), License number 5460580588820.

Figure 4: SEM images of ceria associated manganese oxide nanoparticles showed that the particles were interconnected in a sheet like structure. Reprinted with permission from Elsevier (Gupta et al., 2011), License number 5460580588820.

Figure 5: Possible mechanisms for arsenic removal on biochar-based materials. Conversion of AS (III) to As (V) and vice versa can happen because of the surface redox reaction. Arsenic species can diffuse into biochar, and can interact through electrostatic precipitation, H-Bonding etc.

Figure 6: General schematic diagram of As sorption on the surface of agglomerated nanoparticles by different pathways like H-bonding, electrostatic interaction, surface oxidation, complex formation, pore diffusion.

Figure 7: Schematic representation of the risk factors associated with nanomaterial application in arsenic treatment and possible mitigation options to minimize the risks.

1981 **Table captions:**

1982 **Table 1:** (Adsorption, ion-exchange, phytoremediation, Nano phytoremediation, phytobial  
1983 remediation, reverse osmosis, chemical precipitation membrane technology,  
1984 electrocoagulation etc.) Table 2: Arsenic removal capacity of various adsorbents.

1985 **Table 2:** As (III) and As (V) removal capacity of various adsorbents.

1986

Accepted Manuscript