

Major flaws in a meta-analysis of short-term psychodynamic therapy (STPP) for depression

Falk Leichsenring ^{a, b}, Nikolas Heim ^c, John R. Keefe ^d, Peter Lilliengren^e, Patrick Luyten ^f

^a University of Giessen, Department of Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, Giessen, Germany

^b University of Rostock, Department of Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, Rostock, Germany

^c International Psychoanalytic University, Berlin, Germany

^d Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Bronx, New York, USA

^e Department of Psychology, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

^f University of Leuven, Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences, Belgium and Research Department of Clinical, Educational and Health Psychology, University College London, UK

Corresponding author:

Prof. Dr. Falk Leichsenring

University of Giessen

Department of Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy

Ludwigstr. 76, 35392 Giessen, Germany

Fon: +49-641-99 45660

Fax: +49-641-99 45664

Mail: Falk.Leichsenring@psycho.med.uni-giessen.de

In this journal, Caselli and colleagues recently published a meta-analysis on short-term psychodynamic therapy (STPP) for depression (Caselli et al., 2023). Although we welcome their attempt to evaluate the efficacy of STPP in depression, their systematic review and meta-analysis contains a large number of serious shortcomings, listed in the following.

1) In the analysis comparing STPP with treatment as usual (TAU) with regard to symptom severity ($k=2$), several studies listed in their supplement as meeting their inclusion criteria are missing (de Roten et al., 2017; Town et al., 2017; Vitriol et al., 2009). These studies have been included in previous meta-analyses (Barber et al., 2021; Driessen et al., 2015).

2) In the comparison of STPP with CBT ($k=9$) with regard to symptom severity, at least three studies are missing (Barkham, 1996; Driessen et al., 2013; Fonagy et al., 2020). Further, the study by Liberman (1981) is an outlier in several comparisons of STPP and CBT since its confidence interval is completely outside the confidence interval of the pooled effect size (see supplementary material). However, no sensitivity analyses were conducted.

3) For STPP vs. CBT with regard to symptom severity, the authors reported an effect size (standardized mean difference, SMD) of 0.19 in favor of CBT, while in the supplement an effect size of 0.16 was reported. It is not clear which is the correct one.

4) In the analysis of STPP versus supportive therapy ($k=9$) with regard to symptom severity, we note the following shortcomings:

- In the study by Burnand et al. (2002), STPP combined with clomipramine was compared clomipramine alone. No supportive therapy was included.
- In the study by Maina et al. (2007), STPP combined with medication was compared to supportive therapy combined with medication. This study is thus only valid for the

comparisons involving combined treatment, but not for STPP alone. It is not clear if and how pharmacotherapy affects the results of STPP.

- A similar problem applies to the study by Martini et al. (2011), which compared STPP combined with pharmacotherapy with supportive therapy combined with pharmacotherapy. Again, the results are only valid for the combined treatment, but not for STPP alone.
- Furthermore, Martini et al. (2011) included patients with panic disorder who had concurrent depressive symptoms. Martini et al (2011) used HAM-D ≥ 17 as one inclusion criterion; however, the average baseline HAM-D was approximately 13.5. Thus, it is not clear how many subjects of the sample fulfilled the criteria of a depressive disorder. The inclusion of this study contradicts Caselli and colleagues' inclusion criteria.
- In the study by Johansson et al. (2012) guided self-help based on psychodynamic principles was compared to supportive self-help. It is unclear whether this constitutes "psychotherapy" per Caselli and co.'s inclusion criteria. Furthermore, while internet-based CBT was shown to be as efficacious as face-to face CBT (Andersson et al., 2014), it is not yet clear if this applies to internet-based STPP as well.
- The study by Connolly Gibbons et al. (2012) compared STPP with treatment as usual (TAU). TAU was classified as supportive therapy in the meta-analysis by Caselli et al., while no supportive therapy protocol was used as a comparator in this trial.

5) Three studies (Gallagher-Thompson & Steffen, 1994; Gallagher & Thompson, 1982; Thompson, 1987) investigating depression in a geriatric population were included in the meta-analysis, although patient age ≥ 65 was listed as an exclusion criterion. In addition, however, it is not clear why these studies should be excluded. They were included in other meta-analyses (Barber et al., 2021; Driessen et al., 2015).

6) The comparison of STPP with antidepressants with regard to symptom severity was based on only two studies (Dekker et al., 2008; Hersen, 1984). Risk of bias was high for one of the studies (Hersen, 1984). With only two studies and a total sample size of 198 patients, the sample size is below the “optimal in information” size (OIS, Guyatt et al., 2011; Schünemann et al., 2022). The OIS is the sample size required to detect a clinically meaningful effect size with a power of 0.80 at $\alpha=0.05$ to ensure sufficient precision (Guyatt et al., 2011; Schünemann et al., 2022). If an effect size of $d=\pm 0.24$ is used as a threshold for a clinically meaningful effect size in depression (Cuijpers et al., 2014), a total sample size (OIS) of 432 patients is required. With 198 patients the sample size was clearly below the OIS, indicating severe imprecision. Thus, the confidence in the estimate for the effect size is low.

Furthermore, in the trial by Dekker et al. 2008 patients received only 8 sessions. In addition Caselli et al. (2023) exclude other relevant trials from this analysis, which generally showed no differences between STPP and antidepressant therapy (Barber et al., 2012; Salminen et al., 2008). Hence, the conclusion that “antidepressant pharmacotherapy is resulted to be slightly more effective to STPP” (Caselli et al., 2023, p. 174) is based on weak evidence and should be avoided.

7) The problem of sample size below the OIS implying serious imprecision applies to STPP combined with pharmacotherapy vs. STPP alone as well as it was also based on two RCTs only with a total of 265 patients.

8) Risk of bias and study quality was not statistically taken into account, e.g. by sensitivity analyses.

9) Caselli et al. (2023) did not define one index of depression change as their primary outcome, but used four indices (response, remission, severity of depressive symptoms and “clinical improvement”, the latter defined as pre-to-post differences). Using several measures

as primary gives room for potentially biased interpretation of results and may lead to contradictory conclusions (e.g., because some studies include the data necessary to calculate some but not all of these indices). In addition, problems of type I error inflation arise, not adjusted for by the authors.

10) The meta-analysis was not pre-registered, which leaves room for potential bias.

11) Publication bias was not assessed.

12) The authors restricted the literature search to studies published up to 2018. Hence, possible studies published in the last four years were not included.

13) Caselli et al. (2023, p. 170) the authors defined STPP in the inclusion criteria as being “limited in time with a defined number of sessions” without specifying the number of sessions. Hence, this definition could also include trials of 50 or more sessions.

14) The authors (p. 170) excluded studies when “STPP programs were based on interpersonal approach”. However, a focus on interpersonal dynamics is a key component of STPP for depression (Leichsenring & Schauenburg, 2014), which the authors themselves discuss in their introduction.

15) The authors (p. 170) define “controls with non-psychotherapeutic interventions” as “usual treatments”. However, in many cases treatment as usual (TAU) conditions include psychotherapy.

16) It is not clear which studies were included as The PRISMA flow-chart displays 31 included studies in the article, but there are actually 35 studies listed in the supplemental materials listing the included RCTs. In the supplemental materials, some studies of interpersonal therapy are listed that do not appear to be included in any analysis and which do not fulfill the inclusion criteria which is confusing.

17) Many of the authors' conclusions are difficult to interpret, as the references of the included studies were not reported, the appendices referred to by the authors are not publicly accessible, and the authors seem to have difficulties formulating their conclusions in English.

The numerous shortcomings listed above seriously question the results of this meta-analysis.

For this reason, we ask the authors to retract and replace their article reporting new analyses taking into account the above issues.

References

- Andersson, G., Cuijpers, P., Carlbring, P., Riper, H., & Hedman, E., 2014. Guided Internet-based vs. face-to-face cognitive behavior therapy for psychiatric and somatic disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis *World Psychiatry* 13(3), 288-295.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20151>
- Barber, J., Barrett, M., Gallop, R., Rynn, M., & Rickels, K., 2012. Short-term dynamic psychotherapy versus pharmacotherapy for major depressive disorder: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry* 73, 66-73.
- Barber, J. P., Muran, J. C., McCarthy, K. S., Keefe R.J., & Zilcha-Mano, S. (2021). Research on psychodynamic therapies In Barkham; M., L. G. Castonguay, ,, & W. Lutz (Eds.), *Bergin and Garfield's Handbook of Psychotherapy and Behavior Change (7th ed.)* (pp. 387-419). Wiley.
- Barkham, M., Rees, A, Shapiro, DA, Stiles, WB, Agnew, RM, Halstead, J, Culverwell, AI, & Harrington, V., 1996. Outcomes of time-limited psychotherapy in applied settings: Replication of the second Sheffield psychotherapy Project *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 64, 1079-1085.
- Burnand, Y., Andreoli, A., Kolatte, E., Venturini, A., & Rosset, N., 2002. Psychodynamic psychotherapy and clomipramine in the treatment of major depression *Psychiatric Services* 53, 585-590.
http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=PubMed&dopt=Citation&list_uids=11986508
- Caselli, I., Ielmini, M., Bellini, A., Zizolfi, D., & Callegari, C., 2023. Efficacy of short-term psychodynamic psychotherapy (STPP) in depressive disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis *Journal of Affective Disorders* 325, 169-176.
- Connolly Gibbons, M. B., Thompson, S. M., Scott, K., Schauble, L. A., Mooney, T., Thompson, D., Green, P., MacArthur, M. J., & Crits-Christoph, P., 2012. Supportive-expressive dynamic psychotherapy in the community mental health system: a pilot effectiveness trial for the treatment of depression *Psychotherapy* 49, 303-316.
- Cuijpers, P., Turner, E. H., Koole, S. L., van Dijke, A., & Smit, F., 2014. What is the threshold for a clinically relevant effect? The case of major depressive disorders *Depression and Anxiety* 31(5), 374-378. <https://doi.org/10.1002/da.22249>
- de Roten, Y., Ambresin, G., Herrera, F., Fassassi, S., Fournier, N., Preisig, M., & Despland, J. N., 2017. Efficacy of an adjunctive brief psychodynamic psychotherapy to usual inpatient treatment of depression: Results of a randomized controlled trial *Journal of Affective Disorders* 209, 105-113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.11.013>
- Dekker, J. J., Koelen, J. A., Van, H. L., Schoevers, R. A., Peen, J., Hendriksen, M., Kool, S., Van Aalst, G., & De Jonghe, F., 2008. Speed of action: the relative efficacy of short psychodynamic supportive psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy in the first 8 weeks of a treatment algorithm for depression *Journal of Affective Disorders* 109(1-2), 183-188.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2007.10.015>
- Driessen, E., Hegelmaier, L. M., Abbass, A. A., Barber, J. P., Dekker, J. J., Van, H. L., Jansma, E. P., & Cuijpers, P., 2015. The efficacy of short-term psychodynamic psychotherapy for depression: A meta-analysis update [Review] *Clinical Psychology Review* 42, 1-15.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2015.07.004>
- Driessen, E., Van, H. L., Don, F. J., Peen, J., Kool, S., Westra, D., Hendriksen, M., Schoevers, R. A., Cuijpers, P., Twisk, J. W. R., & Dekker, J. J. M., 2013. The efficacy of cognitive-behavioral therapy and psychodynamic therapy in the outpatient treatment of major depression: a randomized clinical trial *American Journal Psychiatry* 170, 1041-1050.
- Fonagy, P., Lemma, A., Target, M., O'Keeffe, S., Constantinou, M. P., Ventura Wurman, T., Luyten, P., Allison, E., Roth, A., Cape, J., & Pilling, S., 2020. Dynamic interpersonal therapy for moderate to severe depression: a pilot randomized controlled and feasibility trial *Psychological Medicine* 50(6), 1010-1019. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291719000928>

- Gallagher-Thompson, D. E., & Steffen, A. M., 1994. Comparative effects of cognitive-behavioral and brief psychodynamic psychotherapies for depressed family caregivers *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 62, 543-549.
- Gallagher, D. E., & Thompson, L., 1982. Treatment of major depressive disorder in older adult outpatients with brief psychotherapies *Psychotherapy* 19, 482-490.
- Guyatt, G. H., Oxman, A. D., Kunz, R., Brozek, J., Alonso-Coello, P., Rind, D., Devereaux, P. J., Montori, V. M., Freyschuss, B., Vist, G., Jaeschke, R., Williams, J. W., Jr., Murad, M. H., Sinclair, D., Falck-Ytter, Y., Meerpohl, J., Whittington, C., Thorlund, K., Andrews, J., & Schunemann, H. J., 2011. GRADE guidelines 6. Rating the quality of evidence--imprecision *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 64(12), 1283-1293. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.01.012>
- Hersen, M., Bellack, A. S., Himmelhoch, J. M., Thase, M. E. . 1984. Effects of social skill training, amitriptyline, and psychotherapy in unipolar depressed women *Behavior Therapy* 15 21–40.
- Johansson, R., Ekbladh, S., Hebert, A., Lindstrom, M., Moller, S., Petitt, E., Poysti, S., Larsson, M. H., Rousseau, A., Carlbring, P., Cuijpers, P., & Andersson, G., 2012. Psychodynamic guided self-help for adult depression through the internet: a randomised controlled trial *PLoS ONE* 7(5), e38021. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0038021>
- PONE-D-12-06905 [pii]
- Leichsenring, F., & Schauenburg, H., 2014. Empirically supported methods of short-term psychodynamic therapy in depression – Towards an evidence-based unified protocol *Journal of Affective Disorders* 169, 128-143. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2014.08.007>
- Maina, G., Rosso, G., Crespi, C., & Bogetto, F., 2007. Combined brief dynamic therapy and pharmacotherapy in the treatment of major depressive disorder: a pilot study *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics* 76, 298-305.
- Martini, B., Rosso, G., Chiodelli, D. F., De Cori, D., & Maina, M., 2011. Brief dynamic therapy combined with pharmacotherapy in the treatment of panic disorder with concurrent depressive symptoms *Clinical Neuropsychiatry* 8, 204-211.
- Salminen, J. K., Karlsson, H., Hietala, J., Kajander, J., Aalto, S., Markkula, J., Rasi-Hakala, H., & Toikka, T., 2008. Short-term psychodynamic psychotherapy and fluoxetine in major depressive disorder: a randomized comparative study *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics* 77(6), 351-357. <https://doi.org/000151388> [pii]10.1159/000151388
- Schünemann, H. J., Higgins, J. P. T., Vist, G. E., Glasziou, P., Akl, E. A., Skoetz, N., & Guyatt, G. H. o. b. o. t. C. G. M. G. f. A. a. R. M. G. a. t. C. S. M. G. (2022). Chapter 14: Completing ‘Summary of findings’ tables and grading the certainty of the evidence. In T. J. Higgins JPT, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, Welch VA (editors). (Ed.), *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions version 6.3 (updated February 2022)*.
- Thompson, L. W., Gallagher, D., Breckenridge, J.S., 1987. Comparative effectiveness of psychotherapies for depressed elders *J Consult Clinl Psychol* 55, 385-390.
- Town, J. M., Abbass, A., Stride, C., & Bernier, D., 2017. A randomised controlled trial of Intensive Short-Term Dynamic Psychotherapy for treatment resistant depression: the Halifax Depression Study *Journal of Affective Disorders* 214, 15-25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2017.02.035>
- Vitriol, V. G., Ballesteros, S. T., Florenzano, R. U., Weil, K. P., & Benadof, D. F., 2009. Evaluation of an outpatient intervention for women with severe depression and a history of childhood trauma *Psychiatric Services* 60(7), 936-942. <https://doi.org/10.1176/ps.2009.60.7.936>