

# Functional neuroimaging of resilience to trauma: convergent evidence and challenges for future research

Agnes Norbury<sup>1</sup>, Saren H. Seeley<sup>2</sup>, M. Mercedes Perez-Rodriguez<sup>2</sup>, Adriana Feder<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Max Planck UCL Centre for Computational Psychiatry and Ageing Research, University College London, London, UK*

<sup>2</sup> *Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA*

Corresponding author: Adriana Feder, MD, Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA. Email: [adriana.feder@mssm.edu](mailto:adriana.feder@mssm.edu)

## **Word counts:**

Abstract: 119

Main text: 4998

## **Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by the CDC National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (1U01OH011473-01) and the NIH National Institute on Mental Health (T32MH122394). This content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the CDC or NIH.

## **Conflict of Interest**

Dr Feder is named co-inventor on a patent application in the US, and several issued patents outside the US filed by the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai related to the use of ketamine for the treatment of PTSD. This intellectual property has not been licensed. Dr. Perez-Rodriguez has received research grant funding from Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc, Millennium Pharmaceuticals, Takeda, and AI Cure. She is a consultant for Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc. and Alkermes. She has served on an advisory board for Neurocrine Biosciences Inc. Drs. Norbury and Seeley have no relevant conflicts of interest to declare.

## **Abstract**

Resilience is broadly defined as the ability to adapt successfully following stressful life events. Here, we review functional MRI studies that investigated key psychological factors that have been consistently linked to resilience to severe adversity and trauma exposure. These domains include emotion regulation (including cognitive reappraisal), reward responsivity, and cognitive control. Further, we briefly review functional imaging evidence related to emerging areas of study that may potentially facilitate resilience: namely social cognition, active coping, and successful fear extinction. Finally, we also touch upon ongoing issues in neuroimaging study design that will need to be addressed to enable us to harness insight from such studies to improve treatments for – or, ideally, guard against the development of – debilitating post-traumatic stress syndromes.

## 1 Introduction

2 Trauma exposure does not invariably result in adverse psychiatric outcomes.  
3 Epidemiological estimates for clinically significant posttraumatic stress symptoms range from 5-  
4 22% after exposure to natural disasters, severe injuries, or assault, to 46-65% after sexual  
5 violence (Shalev et al., 2017; Bromet et al., 2018; Watson, 2019). Similarly, 10-25% of those  
6 exposed to significant childhood maltreatment show better-than-expected functioning (Walsh et  
7 al., 2010).

8 Broadly, resilience is defined as successful adaptation following trauma, adversity, or  
9 stressful life events (Feder et al., 2019; Kalisch et al., 2017). Beyond vulnerability or risk for  
10 psychiatric disorders, resilience requires having experienced adversity or trauma (Yehuda &  
11 Flory, 2007) (**Box 1: Quantifying trauma**). Resilience research aims to identify mechanisms to  
12 improve prevention and treatment of posttraumatic stress and trauma-related disorders. In  
13 neuroimaging studies, resilience is typically defined as absence of psychopathology despite  
14 exposure to adversity or trauma, but in some studies *alternatively* as high scores on measures  
15 of trait resilience, e.g., the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC) (Connor & Davidson,  
16 2003a) (**Box 2: Quantifying resilience**), notwithstanding significant variation in its  
17 operationalization and measurement (Denckla et al., 2020; Feder et al., 2019; Kalisch et al.,  
18 2017; Scheffer et al., 2018; Southwick et al., 2022).

19

## 20 Resilience-related psychological factors

21 Despite these definitional differences,, decades of research have identified psychological  
22 factors that promote resilience to trauma and severe adversity. Some widely replicated and  
23 potentially modifiable factors include effective emotion regulation, positive emotionality,  
24 cognitive flexibility and control, facing fears and active coping, and ability to harness social  
25 support (reviewed in (Seeley et al., in press; Southwick et al., 2023)). Effective emotion  
26 regulation is associated with higher executive control and supports adaptive coping. Facing  
27 fears, likely facilitated by successful extinction of conditioned fear coupled with cognitive  
28 flexibility, allows a person to critically appraise threats and actively cope by tackling stressors or  
29 problem solving. Positive emotions and related reward system function also promote resilience

1 by supporting positive reframing as well as ability to harness social support, which in turn serves  
2 as a safety net and facilitates stress recovery. The ability to harness social support draws in part  
3 on competent social cognition – the ability to accurately ‘read’ and respond to the intentions of  
4 others – making social cognition a potential factor in resilience.

5

## 6 **The current review: neural correlates of psychological factors in resilience**

7 Building on work identifying core psychological factors associated with resilience (Feder  
8 et al., 2019; Seeley et al., In press; Southwick et al., 2022) and previous neuroimaging resilience  
9 reviews in adults and/or youth (Méndez Leal & Silvers, 2021; Moreno-López et al., 2020; van  
10 der Werff et al., 2013), our narrative review covers human fMRI studies of neural circuitry  
11 underlying psychological factors associated with resilience to trauma and severe adversity. We  
12 organize fMRI studies around *emotion regulation*, *reward responsivity*, and *cognitive control*,  
13 e.g., (Dennison et al., 2016; Holz et al., 2020; Kaldewaij et al., 2021; van der Werff et al., 2013).  
14 As described above, *active coping*, *facing fears* (and related fear extinction) (Careaga et al.,  
15 2016), and the ability to harness *social support* (including competent *social cognition*) (Lepore &  
16 Kliever, 2019; Stevens & Jovanovic, 2019) have also been linked to resilience, yet there have  
17 been fewer neuroimaging studies focusing on these as resilience-related psychological factors.  
18 Here, we include them under emerging areas for future investigation, as they might shed light  
19 on additional pathways to resilience and its underlying neural circuitry.

20 Cross-sectional fMRI studies of resilient individuals typically focus on (1) youth and adults  
21 who have experienced childhood maltreatment or (2) adults with a history of trauma exposure in  
22 adulthood such as severe accidents, assaults, or occupation-related incidents (e.g., first-  
23 responders, military). In this narrative review, we only discuss cross-sectional studies with a key  
24 comparison group of healthy, non-trauma-exposed participants (in addition to resilient and  
25 symptomatic groups), allowing researchers to disentangle effects of trauma exposure and  
26 psychopathology (see van der Werff et al., 2013). Also included are several studies of neural  
27 correlates of high trait resilience.

28 We focus on literature published after the van der Werff and colleagues’ 2013 review.  
29 Due to the emergence of recent large cohort studies and improved reporting standards for  
30 neuroimaging, this allows us to focus on better powered work. Within each section, we first cover

1 cross-sectional findings in resilient trauma survivors (children; adults) and high trait resilience  
2 (when available). Additionally, we discuss a growing number of longitudinal studies and a  
3 handful of available interventional studies that contribute new insights on the neural  
4 underpinnings of resilience. Although most studies reviewed here focus on task-based regional  
5 brain activity underlying specific resilience-related mechanisms, we also include relevant  
6 functional connectivity findings, including from resting state fMRI.

7 [Box 1 around here]

8 [Box 2 around here]

9

## 10 Functional neuroimaging of resilience mechanisms

### 11 Emotion regulation

12 Successful emotion regulation is key in resilience (Troy & Mauss, 2011). Resilient  
13 individuals downregulate neural responses to stress or threat via medial prefrontal cortex  
14 (mPFC) inhibition of emotional reactivity in a network of brain regions, including the amygdala  
15 and anterior cingulate cortex (ACC); conversely, absent or low resilience is associated with  
16 hyperreactivity to emotional stimuli, both trauma-related and -unrelated (Patel et al., 2012;  
17 Whittle et al., 2013). In an earlier review, resilient adults (exposed to trauma in childhood and/or  
18 adulthood) showed greater mPFC engagement, including rostral ACC, and lesser activity in the  
19 dorsal ACC and amygdala, compared to symptomatic trauma-exposed adults across several  
20 studies (van der Werff et al., 2013).

21 Cross-sectional studies in youth with a history of childhood maltreatment show increased  
22 frontolimbic connectivity in resilient youth (Demers et al., 2018; Moreno-López et al., 2020); for  
23 example greater down-regulation of amygdala activity during emotion regulation (Schweizer et  
24 al., 2016). Higher-trait resilience youth living in adverse environments spent more time than their  
25 lower-trait resilience peers in a dynamic resting state functional connectivity pattern of less  
26 salience network connectivity with both the central executive and default mode networks. This  
27 could suggest lesser influence of emotional reactivity during unconstrained thought (Iadipalo et  
28 al., 2018).

1 Cross-sectional findings are bolstered by evidence from several recent longitudinal  
2 studies of early adversity. Among adopted children who experienced early institutional care, self-  
3 reported anxiety at three-year follow-up decreased more in children who showed reduced  
4 amygdala responses to images of their adoptive parents (versus strangers) at baseline  
5 (Callaghan et al., 2019). Similarly, previously-institutionalized youth showing stronger  
6 ventromedial (vm)PFC-hippocampal functional connectivity during an aversive learning task  
7 reported lower anxiety at two-year follow-up (Silvers et al., 2016). A longitudinal study of  
8 internalizing symptoms in older adolescents and youth with a childhood maltreatment history  
9 compared to propensity-matched controls found that greater baseline amygdala threat reactivity  
10 predicted later internalizing symptoms – independently of stressful life events and factors such  
11 as socioeconomic status, suggesting that lesser limbic reactivity may be a unique predictor of  
12 resilience to childhood maltreatment (Gerin et al., 2019).

13 Cross-sectional findings in adults are similar to those in youth. Healthy adults with a  
14 childhood maltreatment history demonstrated greater frontal inhibition of emotional distractors  
15 (Demers et al., 2021). In another study of resilient adults with a childhood maltreatment history,  
16 blunted amygdala response correlated with higher depression symptoms (Yamamoto et al.,  
17 2017). However, in Yamamoto and colleagues' sample of healthy individuals without clinically  
18 significant symptoms, it is notable that higher amygdala reactivity was accompanied by a  
19 compensatory increase in prefrontal functional connectivity, linked to top-down emotion  
20 regulation. Studies of adulthood trauma exposure similarly suggest lesser amygdala reactivity  
21 and greater mPFC regulation in resilient adults. Lower baseline amygdala reactivity to fearful  
22 faces at 2-3 weeks post-incident was associated with lower self-reported PTSD symptoms at  
23 one-year follow-up, in individuals recruited from a hospital emergency department (Stevens et  
24 al., 2017). Another study, using Granger causality analysis, revealed more resting state mPFC  
25 inhibition of the amygdala in resilient typhoon survivors, compared to both symptomatic survivors  
26 and non-trauma-exposed controls (Chen et al., 2018b).

27 Longitudinal work in adults links pre-exposure neural functioning to later outcomes. In a  
28 large prospective sample of trainee police officers, pre-exposure anterior PFC activity during an  
29 emotional action control task predicted lower PTSD symptoms at follow-up. Anterior PFC activity  
30 moderated the positive relationship between previous trauma load (a risk factor for PTSD) and  
31 PTSD symptom levels at follow-up, such that cumulative number of lifetime trauma exposures

1 was not linked to higher PTSD symptoms in trainees with higher anterior PFC activity (Kaldewaij  
2 et al., 2021). In another cohort of police trainees scanned pre- and post-trauma exposure, those  
3 with higher post-traumatic intrusion symptoms showed increased salience network resting state  
4 connectivity after stress induction (Zhang et al., 2022). Together, these studies provide evidence  
5 that prefrontal regulation of limbic reactivity to emotional threat cues may predict resilience to  
6 posttraumatic stress and anxiety symptoms. In Kaldewaij et al. (2021), amygdala reactivity  
7 appeared to be acquired, rather than a prospective marker of PTSD vulnerability. However,  
8 previous longitudinal studies linked stress vulnerability to higher baseline amygdala reactivity  
9 (Admon et al., 2009; Swartz et al., 2015). Longitudinal prospective cohort studies, such as the  
10 ongoing Advancing Understanding of Recovery After Trauma (AURORA) study (McLean et al.,  
11 2020), which follows patients scanned 2-3 weeks after visiting the emergency department post-  
12 trauma, hold promise for a more granular understanding of emotional responding in resilience.  
13 Notably, there may be multiple pathways to risk/resilience: cross-task cluster analysis in an  
14 AURORA cohort, replicated in a different cohort with a wider range of trauma exposures,  
15 identified two vulnerability-related “biotypes” characterized by heightened emotional reactivity to  
16 threat, but differential reactivity to reward, detailed in a later section (Stevens et al., 2021).

17 From an interventional perspective, a pilot trial of fMRI-guided real-time neurofeedback  
18 training decreased amygdala activation and increased amygdala-vmPFC connectivity in combat  
19 veterans, but was not superior to sham in reducing PTSD symptoms (Zotев et al., 2018).  
20 However, training a pre-deployment military sample to downregulate EEG-derived amygdala  
21 signal successfully decreased amygdala BOLD signal and increased amygdala-mPFC  
22 functional connectivity at follow-up (Keynan et al., 2019). Active training improved experimental  
23 emotional regulation indices, but had no effect on self-reported anxiety (Keynan et al., 2019).  
24 Neurofeedback training represents a step towards developing new interventions for at-risk  
25 individuals. However, whether this approach will be effective in preventing or mitigating post-  
26 traumatic distress requires further study.

27

28 **Cognitive reappraisal.** Cognitive reappraisal is a deliberate form of emotion regulation  
29 shown to buffer risk for adverse outcomes following trauma exposure (Rodman et al., 2019).  
30 The ability to re-evaluate the meaning of experiences promotes adaptation after trauma, and

1 represents a core part of psychological interventions (Hofmann et al., 2013). For example, in an  
2 ecological momentary assessment study in individuals remitted from depression, positive  
3 appraisal (ability to “*focus on positive meaning*”) and feelings of resilience were mutually  
4 reinforcing. Positive reappraisal was also associated with lower incidence of residual depressive  
5 symptoms (Hoorelbeke et al., 2019). Neuroimaging meta-analyses highlight ventrolateral  
6 (vl)PFC and dorsolateral (dl)PFC, dorsal ACC, and amygdala function in effortful emotional  
7 regulation in healthy individuals – and highlight functional differences in healthy vs. symptomatic  
8 participants (Zilverstand et al., 2017).

9       Laboratory studies of trauma-exposed individuals found inconsistent evidence for a link  
10 between neural activity during experimental cognitive reappraisal tasks (which instruct  
11 participants to deliberately modulate their emotional response to affective images using cognitive  
12 reframing) and psychological symptoms. A longitudinal study of maltreated youth found a  
13 relationship between prefrontal recruitment during effortful cognitive reappraisal (and associated  
14 downregulation of amygdala reactivity to negative stimuli) and subsequent risk for mood  
15 symptoms, but no relation to anxiety symptoms (Rodman et al., 2019). In a small sample of  
16 adolescent girls exposed to violent assault, participants who responded better to trauma-focused  
17 CBT demonstrated decreased functional connectivity between the amygdala and mid-posterior  
18 insula cortex during reappraisal of negative images following treatment (Cisler et al., 2016).  
19 However, in a larger sample of adults with PTSD, regional BOLD signal during a cognitive  
20 reappraisal task was not associated with exposure-based psychotherapy outcomes (Fonzo et  
21 al., 2017). Together, these data suggest that individual differences in ability to successfully  
22 engage active emotion regulation strategies like reappraisal may buffer against the deleterious  
23 effects of trauma exposure on mood, but are not necessarily related to changes in anxiety and  
24 PTSD symptom levels.

25

## 26 Reward responsivity

27       Responsivity to reward underlies key psychological factors linked to resilience such as  
28 optimism and positive emotionality (Feder et al., 2019). Resilient individuals show comparatively  
29 preserved ventral striatum response both when anticipating and receiving rewards, whereas  
30 PTSD and history of childhood maltreatment have been linked to anhedonia and blunted reward



1 responses – particularly to social reward cues (Dillon et al., 2009; Hanson et al., 2015, 2016;  
2 Sailer et al., 2008; Elman et al., 2009; Nawijn et al., 2015). In a cross-sectional community-based  
3 sample of adolescents (a substantial proportion of whom had a history of severe childhood  
4 maltreatment), greater pallidal activation in response to positive images was associated with  
5 lower depression symptoms, and higher putamen activation was associated with lesser increase  
6 in depression two years later (Dennison et al., 2016). Among a large sample of university  
7 students ( $n = 820$ ) in the Duke Neurogenetics Study cohort, increased ventral striatal activation  
8 to both anticipatory and consummatory reward during a card guessing game significantly  
9 weakened the relationship between childhood trauma exposure and adult anhedonia – including  
10 when controlling for other depression symptoms and recent life stress (Corral-Frías et al., 2015).

11 In a cross-sectional study, trauma-exposed adults without PTSD had greater ventral  
12 striatal responses to happy faces compared to those with PTSD (Felmingham et al., 2014).  
13 Amongst individuals who felt negatively affected by the outcome of the 2016 US presidential  
14 election (and belonged to historically marginalized groups), higher nucleus accumbens BOLD  
15 signal and higher mPFC-accumbens connectivity during reward receipt attenuated the  
16 relationship between election-related distress and depression symptoms (Tashjian & Galván,  
17 2018). In a longitudinal assessment of combat-exposed paramedics, decreased reward  
18 response in the nucleus accumbens post-, but not pre-exposure, was found to be related to self-  
19 reported PTSD symptoms – suggesting that preserved reward responses may be a marker of  
20 resilience, rather than a prospective indicator (Admon et al., 2013).

21 While greater reactivity to rewarding social/environmental cues could promote resilience,  
22 the resilience-reward responsivity association may be more complex. The longitudinal AURORA  
23 biotypes study (Stevens et al., 2021) identified two clusters associated with resilience in adults  
24 scanned 2-3 weeks after seeking emergency department care for a serious injury or medical  
25 condition. One (a “high reward reactivity” cluster with low threat reactivity and low inhibitory  
26 control) did not replicate in the test cohort. The other, replicable, cluster had low reactivity to  
27 both threat and reward, with higher hippocampal and vmPFC engagement during the inhibitory  
28 control task, suggesting that resilience is associated with better inhibition of emotional reactivity  
29 broadly. The association between resilience and lower general reactivity echoes an earlier small  
30 cross-sectional study in Special Forces soldiers – considered highly resilient individuals –, who  
31 showed lower differential nucleus accumbens and subgenual PFC responses to monetary

1 reward versus no-reward, compared to non-trauma-exposed civilians (Vythilingam et al., 2009).  
2 These findings illustrate challenges for resilience research when trying to synthesize findings  
3 across different populations – e.g., repeated occupational trauma exposures may differ from a  
4 singular exposure, and certain occupations (like first responders) may select for traits like higher  
5 sensation-seeking and higher reactivity threshold. For example, a recent, rigorous attempt to  
6 replicate Stevens et al. (2021) AURORA biotypes identified threat- and reward-related clusters  
7 in their cohort, but these were not identical to those in AURORA and not related to PTSD  
8 vulnerability (Ben-Zion et al., 2023), potentially due to some differences in sample composition  
9 and methods.

## 10 Cognitive flexibility and cognitive control

11 Resilient individuals engage prefrontal and hippocampal regions during executive  
12 function tasks e.g., (Ben-Zion et al., 2018). Conversely, deficits in inhibition, working memory,  
13 attentional control, and cognitive flexibility are observed in both adults with a history of childhood  
14 maltreatment and individuals with a diagnosis of PTSD, not accounted for by comorbid  
15 psychopathology, substance use, or prior traumatic brain injury (Gould et al., 2012; Scott et al.,  
16 2015) (although the relationship between PTSD symptom severity and cognitive function may  
17 be bidirectional; Jacob et al., 2019). In an early study, both resilient and non-trauma-exposed  
18 healthy adults showed greater vIPFC, mPFC, and dIPFC activation during an inhibitory control  
19 task, compared to individuals with PTSD (Falconer et al., 2008). Resilient individuals have also  
20 shown greater dIPFC and superior frontal gyrus activation during an attentional control task with  
21 emotional distractors, compared to both individuals with PTSD and non-trauma-exposed  
22 controls (Blair et al., 2013). Recently, both resilient and non-trauma-exposed adults (but not  
23 adults with PTSD) exhibited significant decoupling between prefrontal regions and subcortical  
24 structures when attempting to suppress experimentally-induced memories (Mary et al., 2020):  
25 top-down prefrontal modulation of subcortical memory structures (hippocampus,  
26 parahippocampal gyrus, and precuneus) was associated with successful memory suppression,  
27 whereas the PTSD group showed bottom-up modulation of information flow. Indeed, greater  
28 whole-brain resting state hippocampal functional connectivity was a significant predictor of PTSD  
29 symptoms at six months in a prospective study in traumatically-injured adults recruited from the

1 emergency department (Fitzgerald et al., 2022). Optimal prefrontal-subcortical cognitive control  
2 network function may contribute to resilience.

3 A longitudinal study in the Duke Neurogenetics Study cohort ( $n=120$ ) identified that  
4 greater neural responses to threat and reward predicted future increases in anxiety in university  
5 students with average or low but not *high* prefrontal activity during a working memory task,  
6 controlling for childhood maltreatment and stressful life events (Scult et al., 2019). These findings  
7 echo (Stevens et al., 2021) in suggesting that lower general reactivity and higher prefrontal  
8 inhibitory control could protect against adverse outcomes post-trauma exposure. Further, a  
9 longitudinal assessment of recently trauma-exposed adults found that increased inhibitory  
10 control-related hippocampal activation (at 1-2 months post-trauma) predicted decreased PTSD  
11 symptom severity at three and six months, and this finding was replicable (van Rooij et al., 2018).  
12 The hippocampus links cognition, memory and emotion (Speer & Delgado, 2017), and is thus  
13 likely relevant to resilience to PTSD symptoms like alterations in mood, cognitive function, and  
14 intrusive thoughts and memories.

15 Finally, NCANDA cohort ( $n=392$ ) resting state fMRI showed that the association between  
16 childhood trauma severity and executive function difficulties in adolescents was mediated by  
17 lower functional connectivity between a network of the postcentral and precentral gyri, dorsal  
18 ACC, intraparietal sulcus, and anterior insula cortex – hub regions implicated in  
19 cognitive/behavioral control and sensorimotor integration. Preserved interconnectivity between  
20 the postcentral gyrus and dorsal ACC was related to lower reported executive dysfunction at  
21 baseline, and predicted lower likelihood of high-risk drinking 1-4 years later (Silveira et al., 2020).  
22 In addition to protecting against PTSD symptoms, preserved prefrontal function may reduce  
23 vulnerability to maladaptive coping strategies like substance use.

24

## 25 Emerging areas of interest for resilience neuroimaging research

26 **Social support and social cognition.** Social support is vital for resilience to trauma exposure  
27 occurring in childhood and/or adulthood (van Harmelen et al., 2016; van Harmelen et al., 2017;  
28 Fritz, de Graaff, et al., 2018; Yule et al., 2019). Conversely, non-supportive social contexts like  
29 discrimination and rejection impact emotion-responsive regions including the amygdala, insula,  
30 and ACC, even in the absence of (or after controlling for) associated psychological symptoms

1 (Akdeniz et al., 2014; Clark et al., 2018). Supportive social environments may converge with  
2 resilience-promoting traits to upregulate perigenual ACC and other PFC regions during acute  
3 social (and non-social) threats (Holz et al., 2020). Social support also has a protective effect  
4 against prolonged stressors. For example, supportive parenting during childhood attenuates the  
5 link between childhood adversity (e.g., maltreatment, poverty) and neural responses to negative  
6 emotional faces in childhood (Wymbs et al., 2020), and resting state functional connectivity by  
7 adulthood within executive and emotion regulation networks (Brody et al., 2019). However, a  
8 longitudinal study of adolescents found no association between childhood adversity resilience  
9 (no DSM Axis-1 disorder diagnosis following significant family discord) and neural responses to  
10 social rejection, although the authors suggest that the null finding might be due to the generally  
11 low frequency of abuse and higher socioeconomic status of the sample (Fritz et al., 2019).

12 Importantly, higher levels of social cognitive function (ability to infer the thoughts of others  
13 and navigate the social world) may help foster adaptive outcomes following trauma, by  
14 conferring the ability to recruit and maintain social ties (Stevens & Jovanovic, 2019; Lepore &  
15 Kliewer, 2019). Hudson et al. (2018) administered a theory-of-mind task to women with  
16 childhood maltreatment exposure and unexposed controls. Women with history of childhood  
17 maltreatment without sub-clinical or clinical PTSD symptoms in adulthood showed greater  
18 functional connectivity between dorsomedial (dm)PFC and right temporoparietal junction (TPJ)  
19 during implicit theory of mind, whereas unexposed controls vs. women with PTSD symptoms  
20 showed similar dmPFC-right TPJ functional connectivity. Those with PTSD symptoms also  
21 demonstrated right TPJ *hypoactivation* during theory of mind, relative to unexposed controls.  
22 The TPJ and dmPFC represent nodes in the default network dorsomedial subsystem, involved  
23 in mentalizing and social processing (Spreng & Andrews-Hanna, 2015). In summary, we need  
24 further research on the proximal neural mechanisms underlying social support and social  
25 cognitive functioning in resilience. Considering the broader social environment would improve  
26 our understanding of mechanisms underlying resilience (**Box 2**; Biglan et al., 2012).

27 **Active coping.** Active coping focuses on approach-oriented behaviors for managing stressors.  
28 Active or problem-focused coping (such as “*I take additional actions to get rid of the problem*” or  
29 “*I concentrate my effort on doing something about it*”) is associated with lower cross-sectional  
30 PTSD symptoms (e.g., Stratta et al., 2015; Bistricky et al., 2019; Getnet et al., 2019). Further,  
31 there is preliminary evidence that a switch toward active coping accompanies response to

1 cognitive-behavioral therapy for PTSD (Bourdon et al., 2019). mPFC function in resilience to  
2 prolonged stressors is implicated in individual differences in coping styles: healthy men with high  
3 early life stress but low trait rumination decreased amygdala and increased vmPFC perfusion  
4 during a mental arithmetic stress task, whereas men with high early life stress and high trait  
5 rumination showed the opposite (Wang et al., 2013). Healthy volunteers undergoing an acute  
6 stress paradigm exhibited initial vmPFC deactivation during early task runs of the task. vmPFC  
7 signal recovery later in the task was correlated with self-reported active coping and  
8 anticorrelated with maladaptive coping behaviors (Sinha et al., 2016), consistent with preclinical  
9 evidence that downregulating prefrontal function during *acute* stress is adaptive, but should be  
10 modified when stress is prolonged (Maier & Watkins, 2010; Sinha et al., 2016). However, a single  
11 laboratory study session represents a very different timescale than naturalistic prolonged human  
12 stress, and directionality of the relationship between mPFC recovery during chronic stress and  
13 coping style is unclear. Gender roles and social norms can also differentially reinforce active  
14 versus avoidant coping styles (McLean and Anderson, 2009; Street and Dardis, 2018; **Box 2**);  
15 interrelationships between these factors may warrant attention.

16 **Fear extinction.** It is important to update fear memories when transitioning from threat to safety,  
17 in order to respond appropriately to context (Lissek & van Meurs, 2015). vmPFC and  
18 hippocampus are key in fear extinction maintenance, in both human and animal studies (Milad  
19 et al., 2007; Fullana et al., 2018). Enhanced extinction learning is associated with surprise-  
20 related learning signals in the vmPFC, and amygdala connectivity with a ventral mPFC  
21 subregion (Dunsmoor et al., 2019); the same circuitry might be critical for extinction learning as  
22 an interventional mechanism (Fullana et al., 2020). Indeed, greater success of prolonged  
23 exposure therapy for PTSD – which promotes extinction learning – is linked to pre-treatment  
24 neural responses to emotion, including higher vmPFC signal during emotional regulation, greater  
25 magnitude of PFC responses during viewing of fearful faces, and greater inhibition of the  
26 amygdala by lateral PFC transcranial magnetic stimulation (Fonzo et al., 2017). Further, vmPFC  
27 activity has been demonstrated to be central to the success of *imagined* fear extinction, which  
28 may be particularly relevant to the psychotherapeutic context, as in-vivo exposure is not always  
29 feasible (Reddan et al., 2018). Together, these studies suggest that vmPFC recruitment during  
30 emotional contexts may be important to later success of exposure-based treatment strategies –  
31 and may play a role in successful maintenance of extinction learning broadly.

1 Resting state findings support the relevance of vmPFC recruitment. Occupational trauma-  
2 exposed firefighters with greater insula-vmPFC functional connectivity had fewer PTSD  
3 symptoms, although firefighters had greater insula functional connectivity with other fear circuitry  
4 regions compared to healthy non-firefighter adults, regardless of PTSD symptoms (Jeong et al.,  
5 2019). Similarly, typhoon survivors with PTSD showed greater vmPFC connectivity with the  
6 basolateral amygdala, relative to trauma-exposed controls. The basolateral amygdala has a  
7 central role in fear learning and emotional responding. This latter study illustrates the difficulty in  
8 inferring a directional relationship between brain regions from most functional neuroimaging  
9 studies. However, a resting state study in typhoon survivors and unexposed healthy adults using  
10 Granger causality identified that both individuals with PTSD and trauma-exposed controls  
11 exhibited greater amygdala-to-mPFC effective connectivity vs. unexposed controls, but  
12 inhibitory mPFC-to-amygdala connectivity was only observed in trauma-exposed controls.  
13 (Chen et al., 2018a).

14 [Figure 1 around here]

## 15 Discussion

16 Here, we summarized task-based and resting state fMRI studies of resilience to childhood  
17 and adulthood trauma, focusing on psychological factors widely linked to resilient responses to  
18 severe adversity and trauma: effective emotion regulation, reward responsiveness, and cognitive  
19 control. We also reviewed findings related to social cognition, fear extinction, and active coping,  
20 as emerging areas of interest in resilience research (**Figure 1**). Across studies, we found robust  
21 evidence for preserved mPFC function in downregulation of limbic responses to emotional  
22 stimuli in resilient individuals. This is consistent with evidence from animal and human  
23 experimental models implicating mPFC engagement in adaptive coping with prolonged stress  
24 (Maier & Watkins, 2010). Chronic social defeat stress-susceptible mice showing social  
25 impairment exhibit selective reductions in vmPFC spike frequencies – suggesting a potential  
26 role of preserved vmPFC function in mediating adaptive *social* behaviour following chronic stress  
27 (Abe et al., 2019), in line with emerging human evidence for perigenual cingulate and prefrontal  
28 function as mediators of social support's positive effects on responses to stress and pain (Holz  
29 et al., 2020). However, we lack knowledge of neural correlates of social functioning and social  
30 cognition *in resilient humans* despite their role in fostering positive outcomes (Stevens &

1 Jovanovic, 2019). Considering the broader social environment is also critical for understanding  
2 the brain-environment interactions underlying resilience (**Box 2**).

3 Preserved reward signal processing in the ventral striatum has been linked to resilience  
4 to anhedonia and depression symptoms. One possibility is that preserved reward system  
5 function promotes participation in social and other rewarding experiences, thereby buffering the  
6 impacts of stress. Intact reward circuitry could also contribute to positive prospection – e.g., a  
7 hippocampal-midbrain-vmPFC circuit has recently been implicated in imagined future positive  
8 outcomes (Iigaya et al., 2019). However, reward system findings have been mixed; resilient  
9 people may be less reactive both to reward and threat. Lesser reactivity might allow for greater  
10 stability in the face of a changing environment, for example via lower emotional lability or greater  
11 ability to remain focused on goals by inhibiting salient yet distracting cues (e.g., Stevens et al.,  
12 2021).

13 Cognitive control-related brain activation predicts positive outcomes following trauma,  
14 specifically frontal (dlPFC) and hippocampal. Adult hippocampal neurogenesis is shown to play  
15 an important role in cognitive flexibility, which may enable individuals to disengage from trauma-  
16 related memories and thoughts, decreasing cardinal PTSD symptoms such as intrusive thoughts  
17 and flashbacks (Aupperle et al., 2012; Anacker & Hen, 2017). Prefrontal-subcortical connectivity  
18 (including hippocampal) in cognitive control appears important for suppressing unwanted  
19 memories (Mary et al., 2020). Although perhaps not specific to PTSD, activity in this circuit may  
20 represent an important factor in vulnerability to PTSD and maladaptive coping mechanisms (e.g.,  
21 substance use problems) following trauma (Ersche, 2020). Recent work in this area highlights  
22 the importance of considering within-person interactions between resilience-relevant factors –  
23 specifically, how higher levels of prefrontally-mediated executive function may ‘rescue’ risk for  
24 anxiety in individuals who are more reactive to threat and less responsive to rewards (Sculthorpe et  
25 al., 2019). We note that the brain regions highlighted across the resilience-linked psychological  
26 factors also broadly correspond to those described in recent models of psychological  
27 *vulnerability* to trauma (e.g., Pitman et al., 2012; Patel et al., 2012; Yehuda et al., 2015).

## 28 Longitudinal findings

29 Given that resilience inherently involves a temporal relationship between stressor and  
30 response, large longitudinal studies are better able to answer key questions – for example, are

1 the differences between resilient people and those who develop a psychiatric disorder already  
2 present prior to the stressor, or do the differences emerge afterward in a compensatory manner?  
3 Can we identify people at greater risk of negative consequences at the time of the event or soon  
4 after, and intervene early to prevent PTSD and related disorders? Several of the studies  
5 reviewed here illustrate the potential of longitudinal, cohort-based neuroimaging research.  
6 Though their samples differ in terms of trauma exposure type and developmental stage, the work  
7 by Scult et al. (2019) in the Duke Neurogenetics cohort, Silveira et al. (2020) in the NCANDA  
8 cohort, and Stevens et al. (2021) in the AURORA cohort suggest that greater general bottom-  
9 up neural reactivity to emotional stimuli – both positive and negative – is linked to poorer  
10 outcomes unless accompanied by the capacity for inhibitory hippocampal and prefrontal  
11 engagement.

## 12 Limitations

13 It is important to clarify the scope of inference we can draw from the current data. There  
14 are too few studies to differentiate results by developmental stage at the time of the traumatic  
15 event and/or the study. In addition to differences in brain development, another difference  
16 between studies of adults and youth is that the measures used in adult studies typically focus  
17 on symptoms (psychopathology), whereas youth studies tend to operationalize resilience more  
18 broadly (**Box 2**). Many studies are retrospective and cross-sectional. Only longitudinal studies  
19 can tease out whether differences seen in resilient individuals represent pre-existing  
20 vulnerabilities or adaptations. There are also methodological weaknesses, including small  
21 sample sizes and low power (Szucs & Ioannidis, 2020). Many older studies used liberal multiple  
22 comparison corrections, which increases risk for type 1 errors (Eklund et al., 2016; Cox et al.,  
23 2017). However, some key findings replicate in well-powered samples (e.g., (Corral-Frías et al.,  
24 2015; McLean et al., 2020; Silveira et al., 2020) – with the caveat that these findings may not  
25 necessarily be robust to cohort and methodological differences between studies (Ben-Zion et  
26 al., 2023). Finally, identifying robust neuroimaging biomarkers requires establishing  
27 intrapersonal reliability of fMRI measures (Nord et al., 2017; Elliott et al., 2019, 2020).  
28 Multivariate approaches exploiting the high dimensionality of neuroimaging data may be more  
29 appropriate (Dubois & Adolphs, 2016; Finn et al., 2020).



## 1 Conclusions and future directions

2 Real-world settings have started to translate neuroscientific findings to interventions  
3 (Greenberg, 2006; Waugh & Koster, 2015; Keynan et al., 2019). Examining neural correlates of  
4 treatment response may illuminate neural resilience mechanisms 'activated' by successful  
5 treatment. Ongoing and future longitudinal cohort studies with a peritraumatic baseline, such as  
6 AURORA (McLean et al., 2020), along with additional consideration of interindividual  
7 sociodemographic differences (**Box 3**), will contribute to further understanding resilience to  
8 severe adversity and trauma.

9 [Box 3 around here]

10

11

12

13

14

15

### Box 1: Quantifying trauma

Assessing the severity of different traumatic experiences is not a trivial task. Indeed, to a large extent, the degree to which any event is traumatic is determined by the psychological impact on the person or people involved (Green, 1990). However, such a definition poses some difficulties for studies of resilience, which aim to investigate individual differences in response to similarly adverse events. Studying trauma-exposed samples optimally involves several considerations, including severity of trauma exposure and chronicity, and in the case of childhood maltreatment, developmental stage at the time of trauma exposure (Harpur et al., 2015; Dunn et al., 2019; Gee, 2020).

Events sufficient to fulfill criteria for a diagnosis of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) have been codified within the American Psychiatric Association's Diagnostic and Statistical Manual (DSM) as 'Category A' events – specifically, in DSM-5, as being “exposed to death, threatened death, actual or threatened serious injury, or actual or threatened sexual violence” (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). In the World Health Organization's International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10), the requirement is simply that a person has experienced an event or situation “of exceptionally threatening or catastrophic nature, which would be likely to cause pervasive distress in almost anyone” (World Health Organization, 1993). Under either scheme, presence of such an event in an individual's history is usually probed using a structured clinical interview (e.g., Weathers et al., 2013).

Experience of childhood trauma in younger research participants may be assessed using developmentally sensitive interviews with a child's primary caregiver (e.g., Goodyer et al., 2010). In comparison, in studies involving adult participants, experience of childhood trauma is often assessed using retrospective questionnaires, such as the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (Bernstein et al., 1994). Notably, a recent meta-analysis reported poor agreement between prospective and retrospective measures of childhood maltreatment (Baldwin et al., 2019). Although both prospective and retrospective measures identify groups of at-risk individuals, they appear to highlight largely non-overlapping sets of people – meaning that it may not be valid to assume the same risk mechanisms apply to individuals identified using different methods.

Further, although both intensity and chronicity are important dimensions of trauma, it is not always clear how to combine these different aspects of adverse event exposure in a standardized way. Some studies have addressed this issue by restricting recruitment to individuals exposed to a specific precipitating event, for example in volunteers from the World Trade Center rescue and recovery worker cohort (Pietrzak, Feder, et al., 2014), or individuals with combat trauma (Keane et al., 1989). However, even in such samples, there is likely to be additional lifetime trauma exposure in many participants. One approach to this problem is to use a data reduction technique such as principal components analysis (PCA) to combine several different continuous measures into a single index of trauma exposure severity (e.g., to combine different aspects of childhood family experience; van Harmelen et al., 2017). It is important to bear in mind when synthesizing data in this way that there may also be trauma-specific considerations to take into account during analysis – for example when considering responses to differently gendered angry faces in people exposed to intimate partner violence (Fonzo et al., 2010).

### Box 2: Quantifying resilience

**In trauma-exposed populations.** In neurobiological studies with adult participants, resilience has often been defined based on the absence of a DSM Axis-1 disorder diagnosis following significant trauma exposure. Such categorical analyses may be complemented by dimensional approaches that relate measures to current severity scores for PTSD, depression, or anxiety symptoms. However, it is increasingly acknowledged that symptom sum scores for specific diagnoses are not the only key outcome variable in studies of resilience. Indeed, trauma-related psychopathology is highly heterogeneous, and different symptom dimensions may differentially relate to overall burden of disability (e.g., Pietrzak, el-Gabalawy, et al., 2014) – suggesting more nuanced approaches may be warranted. Conversely, studies of the effects of childhood maltreatment have tended to take a more holistic approach towards assessing resilience, including a greater focus on psychosocial outcomes, and examining functioning across multiple domains (McGloin & Widom, 2001; Cicchetti & Rogosch, 2012). Recent examples of this approach across the literature include examining resilience across different functional outcome categories in

children (Burt et al., 2016), analysing disruption to work, social, and family life in addition to PTSD symptomatology in trauma-exposed adults (Horn et al., 2016), and the use of PCA-derived cross-domain psychosocial functioning scores in an adolescent cohort (A. L. van Harmelen et al., 2017).

The notion of good functioning invoked by definitions of resilience is *environment-dependent*: adaptive behaviour in violent, volatile, or resource-poor environments may not conform to normative accounts of behaviour in more stable or resource-rich environments (Luthar et al., 2006). Further, psychosocial environments may differ across individuals within a shared wider context – e.g. for individuals who identify as different genders and/or belong to different racial or ethnic groups (Tolin & Foa, 2008; Portnoy et al., 2018; Street & Dardis, 2018; Brondolo, 2015). For example, differences in resilience between men and women have been found to be explained by differences in trauma type exposure across genders (particularly sexual violence; Tolin & Foa, 2008; Portnoy et al., 2018), and socialized gender norms may further contribute to differences in chronic environmental stress exposure and propensity to engage in less adaptive coping strategies (Street & Dardis, 2018). In particular, racism is an additional source of trauma in minoritized people that may be under-recognized by current clinical assessments (Carter, 2007; Williams et al., 2018). For example, previous experiences of racial discrimination has recently be shown to add significant risk for PTSD symptom development following traumatic injury (Bird et al., 2021).

Importantly, the expected level of psychosocial functioning for a given individual should take into account their lifetime trauma burden (Karam et al., 2014; Feder et al., 2016). Various researchers have therefore proposed that resilience can best be quantified by regressing metrics of psychosocial functioning against an appropriate measure of trauma exposure severity: such that positive residuals from this model represent better than expected ('resilient') outcomes, and negative residuals represent worse than expected ('vulnerable') outcomes – compared to what would be predicted based on the group as a whole (Amstadter et al., 2014, 2016; A. L. van Harmelen et al., 2017; Ioannidis et al., 2020). Resilience-promoting factors can then be described as any resource (biological, psychological, social/socioeconomic) that decreases the risk of poor outcomes following adverse circumstances. Resilience-promoting factors may include both protective factors that help buffer the impact of stress, and resources that are able to foster positive compensatory changes following trauma exposure (Luthar et al., 2006; Schultze-Lutter et al., 2016). Critically, resilience-promoting factors are not independently additive, but interact in complex ways (Luthar et al., 2006; Fritz, Fried et al., 2018; Liebenberg, 2020). It is therefore vital not to study such factors in isolation, but rather in the context of each other – ideally within the same individuals.

**In the general population.** Some researchers have also examined population variation in self-reported trait resilience. For example, the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC) probes how likely individuals are to endorse statements such as "*I am able to adapt to change*" and "*I tend to bounce back after illness or hardship*" (Connor & Davidson, 2003b). There is somewhat equivocal evidence regarding the relationship between trait resilience and outcomes following trauma exposure (Daniels et al., 2012; Powers et al., 2014). Interestingly, a recent study of emergency department attendees found that a negative association between CD-RISC score close to admission and PTSD symptoms six months in the future was mediated by lower social withdrawal in higher trait resilience individuals – suggesting that the impacts of trait resilience on functional outcome may be via increased ability to maintain or recruit social support (N. J. Thompson et al., 2018). Further, most questionnaire measures of resilience relate to a specific conceptualisation of resilience that focuses on individual 'grit' or 'hardiness' and that may not translate well to non-Western cultural settings – particularly those that emphasise the role of communities rather than individuals in fostering resilience (Meili & Maercker, 2019; Mendenhall & Kim, 2019). An alternative approach for studies of resilience in the general population is to study the *mechanisms* underlying resilience based on experimental intervention data – for example as reflected in lower self-reported fear or physiological reactivity during stress induction paradigms.

**Box 3: Considerations for future work**

Studying multiple potential resilience-promoting factors within the same individual is necessary to tease apart how putative neurobiological resilience factors interact both with each other and with other sociodemographic factors known to affect resilience to trauma-related psychopathology. We would encourage greater consideration of an individual's social, cultural, and socioeconomic environment in future studies of neural mechanisms related to resilience.

The trade-off for high power in large cohort studies is often the selective pressure on study measures (for inclusion, brevity, and ease of administration). However, accurate assessment of both resilience and the sociodemographic factors described above requires in-depth clinical phenotyping and trauma history screening, often by clinical interview with appropriately trained study personnel. To build our understanding of the neural correlates of resilience, future work should aim to hit a sweet spot in this trade-off between appropriate power and depth of individual phenotyping.

An important next step will be to integrate neuroimaging metrics related to resilience with other biological measurements relevant to both individual differences in neural function and environmental exposure. Novel analytic approaches such as the calculation of polygenic risk scores, or quantification of gene co-expression modules may yield sufficient power in (single site) achievable imaging sample sizes to link neural correlates of psychological constructs to underlying biology (Dima & Breen, 2015; Bogdan et al., 2017). Greater integration of neuroimaging data into such pathways should improve our ability to interpret existing findings in terms of underlying molecular mechanisms. The cost of well-powered samples in neuroimaging genetics is typically prohibitively expensive for a single researcher or work group, but large-scale multi-site efforts e.g., the international ENIGMA [Enhancing NeuroImaging Genetics through Meta Analysis] Consortium have been extremely successful in facilitating robust and reproducible research, and will continue to be a tremendous resource (Thompson et al., 2020).

### Figure Caption

**Figure 1.** Brain regions most commonly associated with higher resilience to trauma, organized by psychological factor (including emerging areas of interest) and underlying neural circuitry, based on review of fMRI BOLD activation literature.

*Note.* All figures use parcellations from the Desikan-Killiany Cortical Atlas parcellation or Freesurfer's automatic subcortical segmentation, implemented with `ggseg()` and `ggsegExtra()` packages for R (Mowinckel & Vidal-Piñero, n.d., 2019), except for the subcortical Reward Responsivity plot (approximate location of the nucleus accumbens drawn by hand) and the cortical Social Support & Cognition plot (which uses the AAL2 parcellation). ACC = anterior cingulate, PFC = prefrontal cortex, d = dorsal, dl = dorsolateral, m = medial, l = lateral, r = rostral, v = ventral, vm = ventromedial. Upward arrows indicate regions of greater activation resilient individuals vs. others; downward arrows indicate regions of lower activation in resilient individuals vs. others; and both arrows together indicate mixed findings.

## 1 References

- 2 Abe, R., Okada, S., Nakayama, R., Ikegaya, Y., & Sasaki, T. (2019). Social defeat stress causes  
3 selective attenuation of neuronal activity in the ventromedial prefrontal cortex. *Scientific*  
4 *Reports*, 9(1), 9447. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-45833-5>
- 5 Admon, R., Lubin, G., Rosenblatt, J. D., Stern, O., Kahn, I., Assaf, M., & Hendler, T. (2013).  
6 Imbalanced Neural Responsivity to Risk and Reward Indicates Stress Vulnerability in Humans.  
7 *Cerebral Cortex*, 23(1), 28–35. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhr369>
- 8 Admon, R., Lubin, G., Stern, O., Rosenberg, K., Sela, L., Ben-Ami, H., & Hendler, T. (2009). Human  
9 vulnerability to stress depends on amygdala's predisposition and hippocampal plasticity.  
10 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106(33),  
11 14120–14125. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0903183106>
- 12 Akdeniz, C., Tost, H., Streit, F., Haddad, L., Wüst, S., Schäfer, A., Schneider, M., Rietschel, M., Kirsch,  
13 P., & Meyer-Lindenberg, A. (2014). Neuroimaging Evidence for a Role of Neural Social Stress  
14 Processing in Ethnic Minority–Associated Environmental Risk. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 71(6), 672–  
15 680. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.35>
- 16 American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (Fifth  
17 Edition). American Psychiatric Association. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425596>
- 18 Amstadter, A. B., Maes, H. H., Sheerin, C. M., Myers, J. M., & Kendler, K. S. (2016). The relationship  
19 between genetic and environmental influences on resilience and on common internalizing and  
20 externalizing psychiatric disorders. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 51(5), 669–  
21 678. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-015-1163-6>
- 22 Amstadter, A. B., Myers, J. M., & Kendler, K. S. (2014). Psychiatric resilience: Longitudinal twin study.  
23 *The British Journal of Psychiatry: The Journal of Mental Science*, 205(4), 275–280.  
24 <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.113.130906>

- 1 Anacker, C., & Hen, R. (2017). Adult hippocampal neurogenesis and cognitive flexibility—Linking  
2 memory and mood. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 18(6), 335–346.  
3 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2017.45>
- 4 Aupperle, R. L., Melrose, A. J., Stein, M. B., & Paulus, M. P. (2012). Executive function and PTSD:  
5 Disengaging from trauma. *Neuropharmacology*, 62(2), 686–694.  
6 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2011.02.008>
- 7 Baldwin, J. R., Reuben, A., Newbury, J. B., & Danese, A. (2019). Agreement Between Prospective and  
8 Retrospective Measures of Childhood Maltreatment: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis.  
9 *JAMA Psychiatry*, 76(6), 584. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.0097>
- 10 Ben-Zion, Z., Fine, N. B., Keynan, N. J., Admon, R., Green, N., Halevi, M., Fonzo, G. A., Achituv, M.,  
11 Merin, O., Sharon, H., Halpern, P., Liberzon, I., Etkin, A., Hendler, T., & Shalev, A. Y. (2018).  
12 Cognitive Flexibility Predicts PTSD Symptoms: Observational and Interventional Studies.  
13 *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 9, 477. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2018.00477>
- 14 Ben-Zion, Z., Spiller, T. R., Keynan, J. N., Admon, R., Levy, I., Liberzon, I., Shalev, A. Y., Hendler, T., &  
15 Harpaz-Rotem, I. (2023). Evaluating the Evidence for Brain-Based Biotypes of Psychiatric  
16 Vulnerability in the Acute Aftermath of Trauma. *American Journal of Psychiatry*,  
17 [appi.ajp.20220271](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.20220271). <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.20220271>
- 18 Bernstein, D. P., Fink, L., Handelsman, L., Foote, J., Lovejoy, M., Wenzel, K., Sapareto, E., &  
19 Ruggiero, J. (1994). Initial reliability and validity of a new retrospective measure of child abuse  
20 and neglect. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 151(8), 1132–1136.  
21 <https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.151.8.1132>
- 22 Biglan, A., Flay, B. R., Embry, D. D., & Sandler, I. N. (2012). The Critical Role of Nurturing  
23 Environments for Promoting Human Wellbeing. *The American Psychologist*, 67(4), 257–271.  
24 <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026796>
- 25 Bird, C. M., Webb, E. K., Schramm, A. T., Torres, L., Larson, C., & deRoon-Cassini, T. A. (2021).  
26 Racial Discrimination is Associated with Acute Posttraumatic Stress Symptoms and Predicts

- 1 Future Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Symptom Severity in Trauma-Exposed Black Adults in the  
2 United States. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.22670>
- 3 Bistricky, S. L., Long, L. J., Lai, B. S., Gallagher, M. W., Kanenberg, H., Elkins, S. R., Harper, K. L., &  
4 Short, M. B. (2019). Surviving the storm: Avoidant coping, helping behavior, resilience and  
5 affective symptoms around a major hurricane-flood. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *257*, 297–  
6 306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2019.07.044>
- 7 Blair, K. S., Vythilingam, M., Crowe, S. L., McCaffrey, D. E., Ng, P., Wu, C. C., Scaramozza, M.,  
8 Mondillo, K., Pine, D. S., Charney, D. S., & Blair, R. J. R. (2013). Cognitive control of attention is  
9 differentially affected in trauma-exposed individuals with and without post-traumatic stress  
10 disorder. *Psychological Medicine*, *43*(1), 85–95. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291712000840>
- 11 Bogdan, R., Salmeron, B. J., Carey, C. E., Agrawal, A., Calhoun, V. D., Garavan, H., Hariri, A. R.,  
12 Heinz, A., Hill, M. N., Holmes, A., Kalin, N. H., & Goldman, D. (2017). Imaging Genetics and  
13 Genomics in Psychiatry: A Critical Review of Progress and Potential. *Biological Psychiatry*,  
14 *82*(3), 165–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2016.12.030>
- 15 Bourdon, D.-É., El-Baalbaki, G., Girard, D., Lapointe-Blackburn, É., & Guay, S. (2019). Schemas and  
16 coping strategies in cognitive-behavioral therapy for PTSD: A systematic review. *European*  
17 *Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*, *3*(1), 33–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejtd.2018.09.005>
- 18 Brody, G. H., Yu, T., Nusslock, R., Barton, A. W., Miller, G. E., Chen, E., Holmes, C., McCormick, M., &  
19 Sweet, L. H. (2019). The Protective Effects of Supportive Parenting on the Relationship  
20 Between Adolescent Poverty and Resting-State Functional Brain Connectivity During  
21 Adulthood. *Psychological Science*, *30*(7), 1040–1049.  
22 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797619847989>
- 23 Bromet, E. J., Karam, E. G., Koenen, K. C., & Stein, D. J. (2018). *Trauma and Posttraumatic Stress*  
24 *Disorder: Global Perspectives from the WHO World Mental Health Surveys*. Cambridge  
25 University Press.



- 1 Brondolo, E. (2015). Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health: Examining the Contexts That Shape  
2 Resilience and Risk. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 77(1), 2–5.  
3 <https://doi.org/10.1097/PSY.0000000000000149>
- 4 Burt, K. B., Whelan, R., Conrod, P. J., Banaschewski, T., Barker, G. J., Bokde, A. L., Bromberg, U.,  
5 Büchel, C., Fauth-Bühler, M., Flor, H., Galinowski, A., Gallinat, J., Gowland, P., Heinz, A.,  
6 Ittermann, B., Mann, K., Nees, F., Papadopoulos-Orfanos, D., Paus, T., ... Garavan, H. (2016).  
7 Structural brain correlates of adolescent resilience. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry,*  
8 *and Allied Disciplines*, 57(11), 1287–1296. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12552>
- 9 Callaghan, B. L., Gee, D. G., Gabard-Durnam, L., Telzer, E. H., Humphreys, K. L., Goff, B., Shapiro,  
10 M., Flannery, J., Lumian, D. S., Fareri, D. S., Caldera, C., & Tottenham, N. (2019). Decreased  
11 Amygdala Reactivity to Parent Cues Protects Against Anxiety Following Early Adversity: An  
12 Examination Across 3 Years. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*,  
13 4(7), 664–671. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2019.02.001>
- 14 Careaga, M. B. L., Girardi, C. E. N., & Suchecki, D. (2016). Understanding posttraumatic stress  
15 disorder through fear conditioning, extinction and reconsolidation. *Neuroscience and*  
16 *Biobehavioral Reviews*, 71, 48–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.08.023>
- 17 Carter, R. T. (2007). Racism and Psychological and Emotional Injury: Recognizing and Assessing  
18 Race-Based Traumatic Stress. *The Counseling Psychologist*, 35(1), 13–105.  
19 <https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000006292033>
- 20 Chen, F., Ke, J., Qi, R., Xu, Q., Zhong, Y., Liu, T., Li, J., Zhang, L., & Lu, G. (2018a). Increased  
21 Inhibition of the Amygdala by the mPFC may Reflect a Resilience Factor in Post-traumatic  
22 Stress Disorder: A Resting-State fMRI Granger Causality Analysis. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 9,  
23 516. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2018.00516>
- 24 Chen, F., Ke, J., Qi, R., Xu, Q., Zhong, Y., Liu, T., Li, J., Zhang, L., & Lu, G. (2018b). Increased  
25 Inhibition of the Amygdala by the mPFC may Reflect a Resilience Factor in Post-traumatic

- 1           Stress Disorder: A Resting-State fMRI Granger Causality Analysis. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 9,  
2           516. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2018.00516>
- 3   Cicchetti, D., & Rogosch, F. A. (2012). Gene × Environment interaction and resilience: Effects of child  
4           maltreatment and serotonin, corticotropin releasing hormone, dopamine, and oxytocin genes.  
5           *Development and Psychopathology*, 24(2), 411–427.  
6           <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579412000077>
- 7   Cisler, J. M., Sigel, B. A., Steele, J. S., Smitherman, S., Vanderzee, K., Pemberton, J., Kramer, T. L., &  
8           Kilts, C. D. (2016). Changes in functional connectivity of the amygdala during cognitive  
9           reappraisal predict symptom reduction during trauma-focused cognitive–behavioral therapy  
10           among adolescent girls with post-traumatic stress disorder. *Psychological Medicine*, 46(14),  
11           3013–3023. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291716001847>
- 12   Clark, U. S., Miller, E. R., & Hegde, R. R. (2018). Experiences of Discrimination Are Associated With  
13           Greater Resting Amygdala Activity and Functional Connectivity. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive*  
14           *Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, 3(4), 367–378. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2017.11.011>
- 15   Connor, K. M., & Davidson, J. R. T. (2003a). Development of a new resilience scale: The Connor-  
16           Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC). *Depression and Anxiety*, 18(2), 76–82.  
17           <https://doi.org/10.1002/da.10113>
- 18   Connor, K. M., & Davidson, J. R. T. (2003b). Development of a new resilience scale: The Connor-  
19           Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC). *Depression and Anxiety*, 18(2), 76–82.  
20           <https://doi.org/10.1002/da.10113>
- 21   Corral-Frías, N. S., Nikolova, Y. S., Michalski, L. J., Baranger, D. A. A., Hariri, A. R., & Bogdan, R.  
22           (2015). Stress-related anhedonia is associated with ventral striatum reactivity to reward and  
23           transdiagnostic psychiatric symptomatology. *Psychological Medicine*, 45(12), 2605–2617.  
24           <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291715000525>

- 1 Cox, R. W., Chen, G., Glen, D. R., Reynolds, R. C., & Taylor, P. A. (2017). FMRI Clustering in AFNI:  
2 False-Positive Rates Redux. *Brain Connectivity*, 7(3), 152–171.  
3 <https://doi.org/10.1089/brain.2016.0475>
- 4 Daniels, J. K., Hegadoren, K. M., Coupland, N. J., Rowe, B. H., Densmore, M., Neufeld, R. W. J., &  
5 Lanius, R. A. (2012). Neural correlates and predictive power of trait resilience in an acutely  
6 traumatized sample: A pilot investigation. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 73(3), 327–332.  
7 <https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.10m06293>
- 8 Demers, L. A., Hunt, R. H., Cicchetti, D., Cohen-Gilbert, J. E., Rogosch, F. A., Toth, S. L., & Thomas,  
9 K. M. (2021). Impact of childhood maltreatment and resilience on behavioral and neural patterns  
10 of inhibitory control during emotional distraction. *Development and Psychopathology*, 1–12.  
11 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579421000055>
- 12 Demers, L. A., McKenzie, K. J., Hunt, R. H., Cicchetti, D., Cowell, R. A., Rogosch, F. A., Toth, S. L., &  
13 Thomas, K. M. (2018). Separable Effects of Childhood Maltreatment and Adult Adaptive  
14 Functioning on Amygdala Connectivity During Emotion Processing. *Biological Psychiatry:  
15 Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, 3(2), 116–124.  
16 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2017.08.010>
- 17 Denckla, C. A., Cicchetti, D., Kubzansky, L. D., Seedat, S., Teicher, M. H., Williams, D. R., & Koenen,  
18 K. C. (2020). Psychological resilience: An update on definitions, a critical appraisal, and  
19 research recommendations. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 11(1), 1822064.  
20 <https://doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2020.1822064>
- 21 Dennison, M. J., Sheridan, M. A., Busso, D. S., Jenness, J. L., Peverill, M., Rosen, M. L., &  
22 McLaughlin, K. A. (2016). Neurobehavioral markers of resilience to depression amongst  
23 adolescents exposed to child abuse. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 125(8), 1201–1212.  
24 <https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000215>
- 25 Dillon, D. G., Holmes, A. J., Birk, J. L., Brooks, N., Lyons-Ruth, K., & Pizzagalli, D. A. (2009). Childhood  
26 Adversity Is Associated with Left Basal Ganglia Dysfunction During Reward Anticipation in

- 1 Adulthood. *Biological Psychiatry*, 66(3), 206–213.  
2 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.02.019>
- 3 Dima, D., & Breen, G. (2015). Polygenic risk scores in imaging genetics: Usefulness and applications.  
4 *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, 29(8), 867–871. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269881115584470>
- 5 Dubois, J., & Adolphs, R. (2016). Building a Science of Individual Differences from fMRI. *Trends in*  
6 *Cognitive Sciences*, 20(6), 425–443. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2016.03.014>
- 7 Dunn, E. C., Soare, T. W., Zhu, Y., Simpkin, A. J., Suderman, M. J., Klengel, T., Smith, A. D. A. C.,  
8 Ressler, K. J., & Relton, C. L. (2019). Sensitive Periods for the Effect of Childhood Adversity on  
9 DNA Methylation: Results From a Prospective, Longitudinal Study. *Biological Psychiatry*,  
10 85(10), 838–849. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2018.12.023>
- 11 Dunsmoor, J. E., Kroes, M. C. W., Li, J., Daw, N. D., Simpson, H. B., & Phelps, E. A. (2019). Role of  
12 Human Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex in Learning and Recall of Enhanced Extinction. *Journal*  
13 *of Neuroscience*, 39(17), 3264–3276. <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2713-18.2019>
- 14 Eklund, A., Nichols, T. E., & Knutsson, H. (2016). Cluster failure: Why fMRI inferences for spatial extent  
15 have inflated false-positive rates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,  
16 201602413. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1602413113>
- 17 Elliott, M. L., Knodt, A. R., Cooke, M., Kim, M. J., Melzer, T. R., Keenan, R., Ireland, D., Ramrakha, S.,  
18 Poulton, R., Caspi, A., Moffitt, T. E., & Hariri, A. R. (2019). General functional connectivity:  
19 Shared features of resting-state and task fMRI drive reliable and heritable individual differences  
20 in functional brain networks. *NeuroImage*, 189, 516–532.  
21 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.01.068>
- 22 Elliott, M. L., Knodt, A. R., Ireland, D., Morris, M. L., Poulton, R., Ramrakha, S., Sison, M. L., Moffitt, T.  
23 E., Caspi, A., & Hariri, A. R. (2020). What Is the Test-Retest Reliability of Common Task-  
24 Functional MRI Measures? New Empirical Evidence and a Meta-Analysis. *Psychological*  
25 *Science*, 31(7), 792–806. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797620916786>

- 1 Elman, I., Lowen, S., Frederick, B. B., Chi, W., Becerra, L., & Pitman, R. K. (2009). Functional  
2 Neuroimaging of Reward Circuitry Responsivity to Monetary Gains and Losses in Posttraumatic  
3 Stress Disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*, *66*(12), 1083–1090.  
4 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.06.006>
- 5 Ersche, K. D. (2020). Resilience to trauma: Just a matter of control? *Science*, *367*(6479), 734–735.  
6 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz9451>
- 7 Falconer, E., Bryant, R., Felmingham, K. L., Kemp, A. H., Gordon, E., Peduto, A., Olivieri, G., &  
8 Williams, L. M. (2008). The neural networks of inhibitory control in posttraumatic stress disorder.  
9 *Journal of Psychiatry & Neuroscience : JPN*, *33*(5), 413–422.
- 10 Feder, A., Fred-Torres, S., Southwick, S. M., & Charney, D. S. (2019). The Biology of Human  
11 Resilience: Opportunities for Enhancing Resilience Across the Life Span. *Biological Psychiatry*,  
12 *86*(6), 443–453. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.07.012>
- 13 Feder, A., Mota, N., Salim, R., Rodriguez, J., Singh, R., Schaffer, J., Schechter, C. B., Cancelmo, L. M.,  
14 Bromet, E. J., Katz, C. L., Reissman, D. B., Ozbay, F., Kotov, R., Crane, M., Harrison, D. J.,  
15 Herbert, R., Levin, S. M., Luft, B. J., Moline, J. M., ... Pietrzak, R. H. (2016). Risk, coping and  
16 PTSD symptom trajectories in World Trade Center responders. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*,  
17 *82*, 68–79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.07.003>
- 18 Felmingham, K. L., Falconer, E. M., Williams, L., Kemp, A. H., Allen, A., Peduto, A., & Bryant, R. A.  
19 (2014). Reduced Amygdala and Ventral Striatal Activity to Happy Faces in PTSD Is Associated  
20 with Emotional Numbing. *PLOS ONE*, *9*(9), e103653.  
21 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0103653>
- 22 Finn, E. S., Glerean, E., Khojandi, A. Y., Nielson, D., Molfese, P. J., Handwerker, D. A., & Bandettini, P.  
23 A. (2020). Idiosynchrony: From shared responses to individual differences during naturalistic  
24 neuroimaging. *NeuroImage*, *215*, 116828. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.116828>
- 25 Fitzgerald, J. M., Webb, E. K., Weis, C. N., Huggins, A. A., Bennett, K. P., Miskovich, T. A., Krukowski,  
26 J. L., deRoos-Cassini, T. A., & Larson, C. L. (2022). Hippocampal Resting-State Functional

- 1 Connectivity Forecasts Individual Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Symptoms: A Data-Driven  
2 Approach. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, 7(2), 139–149.  
3 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2021.08.007>
- 4 Fonzo, G. A., Goodkind, M. S., Oathes, D. J., Zaiko, Y. V., Harvey, M., Peng, K. K., Weiss, M. E.,  
5 Thompson, A. L., Zack, S. E., Lindley, S. E., Arnow, B. A., Jo, B., Gross, J. J., Rothbaum, B. O.,  
6 & Etkin, A. (2017). PTSD Psychotherapy Outcome Predicted by Brain Activation During  
7 Emotional Reactivity and Regulation. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 174(12), 1163–1174.  
8 <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.16091072>
- 9 Fonzo, G. A., Simmons, A. N., Thorp, S. R., Norman, S. B., Paulus, M. P., & Stein, M. B. (2010).  
10 Exaggerated and Disconnected Insular-Amygdalar Blood Oxygenation Level-Dependent  
11 Response to Threat-Related Emotional Faces in Women with Intimate-Partner Violence  
12 Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*, 68(5), 433–441.  
13 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.04.028>
- 14 Fritz, J., de Graaff, A. M., Caisley, H., van Harmelen, A.-L., & Wilkinson, P. O. (2018). A Systematic  
15 Review of Amenable Resilience Factors That Moderate and/or Mediate the Relationship  
16 Between Childhood Adversity and Mental Health in Young People. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 9.  
17 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2018.00230>
- 18 Fritz, J., Fried, E. I., Goodyer, I. M., Wilkinson, P. O., & van Harmelen, A.-L. (2018). A Network Model  
19 of Resilience Factors for Adolescents with and without Exposure to Childhood Adversity.  
20 *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 15774. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-34130-2>
- 21 Fritz, J., Stretton, J., Askelund, A. D., Schweizer, S., Walsh, N. D., Elzinga, B. M., Goodyer, I. M.,  
22 Wilkinson, P. O., & van Harmelen, A.-L. (2019). Mood and neural responses to social rejection  
23 do not seem to be altered in resilient adolescents with a history of adversity. *Development and  
24 Psychopathology*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579419000178>
- 25 Fullana, M. A., Albajes-Eizagirre, A., Soriano-Mas, C., Vervliet, B., Cardoner, N., Benet, O., Radua, J.,  
26 & Harrison, B. J. (2018). Fear extinction in the human brain: A meta-analysis of fMRI studies in

- 1 healthy participants. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 88, 16–25.  
2 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.03.002>
- 3 Fullana, M. A., Dunsmoor, J. E., Schruers, K. R. J., Savage, H. S., Bach, D. R., & Harrison, B. J.  
4 (2020). Human fear conditioning: From neuroscience to the clinic. *Behaviour Research and*  
5 *Therapy*, 124, 103528. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2019.103528>
- 6 Gee, D. G. (2020). Caregiving influences on emotional learning and regulation: Applying a sensitive  
7 period model. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 36, 177–184.  
8 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2020.11.003>
- 9 Gerin, M. I., Viding, E., Pingault, J.-B., Puetz, V. B., Knodt, A. R., Radtke, S. R., Brigidi, B. D., Swartz,  
10 J. R., Hariri, A. R., & McCrory, E. J. (2019). Heightened amygdala reactivity and increased  
11 stress generation predict internalizing symptoms in adults following childhood maltreatment.  
12 *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 60(7), 752–761. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13041>
- 13 Getnet, B., Medhin, G., & Alem, A. (2019). Symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder and depression  
14 among Eritrean refugees in Ethiopia: Identifying direct, mediating and moderating predictors  
15 from path analysis. *BMJ Open*, 9(1), e021142. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-021142>
- 16 Goodyer, I. M., Croudace, T., Dunn, V., Herbert, J., & Jones, P. B. (2010). Cohort Profile: Risk patterns  
17 and processes for psychopathology emerging during adolescence: the ROOTS project.  
18 *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 39(2), 361–369. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyp173>
- 19 Gould, F., Clarke, J., Heim, C., Harvey, P. D., Majer, M., & Nemeroff, C. B. (2012). The effects of child  
20 abuse and neglect on cognitive functioning in adulthood. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 46(4),  
21 500–506. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2012.01.005>
- 22 Green, B. L. (1990). Defining Trauma: Terminology and Generic Stressor Dimensions. *Journal of*  
23 *Applied Social Psychology*, 20(20), 1632–1642. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.1990.tb01498.x)  
24 [1816.1990.tb01498.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.1990.tb01498.x)
- 25 Greenberg, M. T. (2006). Promoting Resilience in Children and Youth. *Annals of the New York*  
26 *Academy of Sciences*, 1094(1), 139–150. <https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1376.013>

- 1 Hanson, J. L., Albert, D., Iselin, A.-M. R., Carré, J. M., Dodge, K. A., & Hariri, A. R. (2016). Cumulative  
2 stress in childhood is associated with blunted reward-related brain activity in adulthood. *Social*  
3 *Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 11(3), 405–412. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsv124>
- 4 Hanson, J. L., Hariri, A. R., & Williamson, D. E. (2015). Blunted Ventral Striatum Development in  
5 Adolescence Reflects Emotional Neglect and Predicts Depressive Symptoms. *Biological*  
6 *Psychiatry*, 78(9), 598–605. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.05.010>
- 7 Harpur, L. J., Polek, E., & van Harmelen, A.-L. (2015). The role of timing of maltreatment and child  
8 intelligence in pathways to low symptoms of depression and anxiety in adolescence. *Child*  
9 *Abuse & Neglect*, 47, 24–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2015.05.019>
- 10 Hofmann, S. G., Asmundson, G. J. G., & Beck, A. T. (2013). The Science of Cognitive Therapy.  
11 *Behavior Therapy*, 44(2), 199–212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2009.01.007>
- 12 Holz, N. E., Tost, H., & Meyer-Lindenberg, A. (2020). Resilience and the brain: A key role for regulatory  
13 circuits linked to social stress and support. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 25(2), Article 2.  
14 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-019-0551-9>
- 15 Hoorelbeke, K., Van den Bergh, N., Wichers, M., & Koster, E. H. W. (2019). Between vulnerability and  
16 resilience: A network analysis of fluctuations in cognitive risk and protective factors following  
17 remission from depression. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 116, 1–9.  
18 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2019.01.007>
- 19 Horn, S. R., Pietrzak, R. H., Schechter, C., Bromet, E. J., Katz, C. L., Reissman, D. B., Kotov, R.,  
20 Crane, M., Harrison, D. J., Herbert, R., Luft, B. J., Moline, J. M., Stellman, J. M., Udasin, I. G.,  
21 Landrigan, P. J., Zvolensky, M. J., Southwick, S. M., & Feder, A. (2016). Latent typologies of  
22 posttraumatic stress disorder in World Trade Center responders. *Journal of Psychiatric*  
23 *Research*, 83, 151–159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2016.08.018>
- 24 Hudson, A., Van Hamme, C., Maeyens, L., Brass, M., & Mueller, S. (2018). *Spontaneous mentalizing*  
25 *after early interpersonal trauma: Evidence for hypoactivation of the temporoparietal junction*  
26 [Preprint]. *Neuroscience*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/487363>



- 1 Iadipaolo, A. S., Marusak, H. A., Paulisin, S. M., Sala-Hamrick, K., Crespo, L. M., Elrahal, F., Peters,  
2 C., Brown, S., & Rabinak, C. A. (2018). Distinct neural correlates of trait resilience within core  
3 neurocognitive networks in at-risk children and adolescents. *NeuroImage. Clinical*, *20*, 24–34.  
4 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2018.06.026>
- 5 Iigaya, K., Hauser, T. U., Kurth-Nelson, Z., O’Doherty, J. P., Dayan, P., & Dolan, R. J. (2019).  
6 Hippocampal-midbrain circuit enhances the pleasure of anticipation in the prefrontal cortex.  
7 *BioRxiv*, 588699. <https://doi.org/10.1101/588699>
- 8 Ioannidis, K., Askelund, A. D., Kievit, R. A., & van Harmelen, A.-L. (2020). The complex neurobiology of  
9 resilient functioning after childhood maltreatment. *BMC Medicine*, *18*(1), 32.  
10 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-1490-7>
- 11 Jacob, S. N., Dodge, C. P., & Vasterling, J. J. (2019). Posttraumatic stress disorder and neurocognition:  
12 A bidirectional relationship? *Clinical Psychology Review*, *72*, 101747.  
13 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2019.101747>
- 14 Jeong, H., Park, S., Dager, S. R., Lim, S. M., Lee, S. L., Hong, H., Ma, J., Ha, E., Hong, Y. S., Kang, I.,  
15 Lee, E. H., Yoon, S., Kim, J. E., Kim, J., & Lyoo, I. K. (2019). Altered functional connectivity in  
16 the fear network of firefighters with repeated traumatic stress. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*,  
17 *214*(6), 347–353. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.2018.260>
- 18 Kaldewaij, R., Koch, S. B. J., Hashemi, M. M., Zhang, W., Klumpers, F., & Roelofs, K. (2021). Anterior  
19 prefrontal brain activity during emotion control predicts resilience to post-traumatic stress  
20 symptoms. *Nature Human Behaviour*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-021-01055-2>
- 21 Kalisch, R., Baker, D. G., Basten, U., Boks, M. P., Bonanno, G. A., Brummelman, E., Chmitorz, A.,  
22 Fernández, G., Fiebach, C. J., Galatzer-Levy, I., Geuze, E., Groppa, S., Helmreich, I., Hendler,  
23 T., Hermans, E. J., Jovanovic, T., Kubiak, T., Lieb, K., Lutz, B., ... Kleim, B. (2017). The  
24 resilience framework as a strategy to combat stress-related disorders. *Nature Human*  
25 *Behaviour*, *1*(11), 784. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-017-0200-8>

- 1 Karam, E. G., Friedman, M. J., Hill, E. D., Kessler, R. C., McLaughlin, K. A., Petukhova, M., Sampson,  
2 L., Shahly, V., Angermeyer, M. C., Bromet, E. J., Girolamo, G. de, Graaf, R. de, Demyttenaere,  
3 K., Ferry, F., Florescu, S. E., Haro, J. M., He, Y., Karam, A. N., Kawakami, N., ... Koenen, K. C.  
4 (2014). Cumulative Traumas and Risk Thresholds: 12-Month PTSD in the World Mental Health  
5 (WMH) Surveys. *Depression and Anxiety*, 31(2), 130–142. <https://doi.org/10.1002/da.22169>
- 6 Keane, T. M., Fairbank, J. A., Caddell, J. M., Zimering, R. T., Taylor, K. L., & Mora, C. A. (1989).  
7 Clinical evaluation of a measure to assess combat exposure. *Psychological Assessment: A*  
8 *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1(1), 53–55. [https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-](https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.1.1.53)  
9 3590.1.1.53
- 10 Keynan, J. N., Cohen, A., Jackont, G., Green, N., Goldway, N., Davidov, A., Meir-Hasson, Y., Raz, G.,  
11 Intrator, N., Fruchter, E., Ginat, K., Laska, E., Cavazza, M., & Hendler, T. (2019). Electrical  
12 fingerprint of the amygdala guides neurofeedback training for stress resilience. *Nature Human*  
13 *Behaviour*, 3(1), 63. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-018-0484-3>
- 14 Lepore, S. J., & Kliewer, W. (2019). Social Intelligence Attenuates Association between Peer  
15 Victimization and Depressive Symptoms among Adolescents. *Psychology of Violence*, 9(6),  
16 644–652. <https://doi.org/10.1037/vio0000234>
- 17 Liebenberg, L. (2020). Reconsidering interactive resilience processes in mental health: Implications for  
18 child and youth services. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 48(5), 1365–1380.  
19 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcop.22331>
- 20 Lissek, S., & van Meurs, B. (2015). Learning models of PTSD: Theoretical accounts and  
21 psychobiological evidence. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 98(3, Part 2), 594–605.  
22 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2014.11.006>
- 23 Luthar, S. S., Sawyer, J. A., & Brown, P. J. (2006). Conceptual Issues in Studies of Resilience. *Annals*  
24 *of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1094(1), 105–115.  
25 <https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1376.009>

- 1 Maier, S. F., & Watkins, L. R. (2010). Role of the medial prefrontal cortex in coping and resilience. *Brain*  
2 *Research*, 1355, 52–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2010.08.039>
- 3 Mary, A., Dayan, J., Leone, G., Postel, C., Fraisse, F., Malle, C., Vallée, T., Klein-Peschanski, C.,  
4 Viader, F., Sayette, V. de la, Peschanski, D., Eustache, F., & Gagnepain, P. (2020). Resilience  
5 after trauma: The role of memory suppression. *Science*, 367(6479).  
6 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay8477>
- 7 McGloin, J. M., & Widom, C. S. (2001). Resilience among abused and neglected children grown up.  
8 *Development and Psychopathology*, 13(4), 1021–1038.
- 9 McLean, C. P., & Anderson, E. R. (2009). Brave men and timid women? A review of the gender  
10 differences in fear and anxiety. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 29(6), 496–505.  
11 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2009.05.003>
- 12 McLean, S. A., Ressler, K., Koenen, K. C., Neylan, T., Germine, L., Jovanovic, T., Clifford, G. D., Zeng,  
13 D., An, X., Linnstaedt, S., Beaudoin, F., House, S., Bollen, K. A., Musey, P., Hendry, P., Jones,  
14 C. W., Lewandowski, C., Swor, R., Datner, E., ... Kessler, R. (2020). The AURORA Study: A  
15 longitudinal, multimodal library of brain biology and function after traumatic stress exposure.  
16 *Molecular Psychiatry*, 25(2), 283–296. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-019-0581-3>
- 17 Meili, I., & Maercker, A. (2019). Cultural perspectives on positive responses to extreme adversity: A  
18 playing field for metaphors. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 56(5), 1056–1075.  
19 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1363461519844355>
- 20 Mendenhall, E., & Kim, A. W. (2019). How to Fail a Scale: Reflections on a Failed Attempt to Assess  
21 Resilience. *Culture, Medicine, and Psychiatry*, 43(2), 315–325. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11013-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11013-018-9617-4)  
22 [018-9617-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11013-018-9617-4)
- 23 Méndez Leal, A. S., & Silvers, J. A. (2021). Neurobiological Markers of Resilience to Early-Life  
24 Adversity During Adolescence. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and*  
25 *Neuroimaging*, 6(2), 238–247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2020.08.004>

- 1 Milad, M. R., Wright, C. I., Orr, S. P., Pitman, R. K., Quirk, G. J., & Rauch, S. L. (2007). Recall of Fear  
2 Extinction in Humans Activates the Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex and Hippocampus in  
3 Concert. *Biological Psychiatry*, 62(5), 446–454. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.10.011>
- 4 Moreno-López, L., Ioannidis, K., Askelund, A. D., Smith, A. J., Schueler, K., & van Harmelen, A.-L.  
5 (2020). The Resilient Emotional Brain: A Scoping Review of the Medial Prefrontal Cortex and  
6 Limbic Structure and Function in Resilient Adults With a History of Childhood Maltreatment.  
7 *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, 5(4), 392–402.  
8 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2019.12.008>
- 9 Mowinckel, A. M., & Vidal-Piñeiro, D. (n.d.). *GgsegExtra* [R]. Retrieved November 15, 2022, from  
10 <https://github.com/ggseg/ggsegExtra> (Original work published 2019)
- 11 Mowinckel, A. M., & Vidal-Piñeiro, D. (2019). *Visualisation of Brain Statistics with R-packages ggseg*  
12 *and ggseg3d* (arXiv:1912.08200). arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1912.08200>
- 13 Nawijn, L., van Zuiden, M., Frijling, J. L., Koch, S. B. J., Veltman, D. J., & Olf, M. (2015). Reward  
14 functioning in PTSD: A systematic review exploring the mechanisms underlying anhedonia.  
15 *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 51, 189–204.  
16 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.01.019>
- 17 Nord, C. L., Gray, A., Charpentier, C. J., Robinson, O. J., & Roiser, J. P. (2017). Unreliability of putative  
18 fMRI biomarkers during emotional face processing. *NeuroImage*, 156, 119–127.  
19 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.05.024>
- 20 Patel, R., Spreng, R. N., Shin, L. M., & Girard, T. A. (2012). Neurocircuitry models of posttraumatic  
21 stress disorder and beyond: A meta-analysis of functional neuroimaging studies. *Neuroscience*  
22 *& Biobehavioral Reviews*, 36(9), 2130–2142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2012.06.003>
- 23 Phelps, E. A., Delgado, M. R., Nearing, K. I., & LeDoux, J. E. (2004). Extinction Learning in Humans:  
24 Role of the Amygdala and vmPFC. *Neuron*, 43(6), 897–905.  
25 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2004.08.042>

- 1 Pietrzak, R. H., el-Gabalawy, R., Tsai, J., Sareen, J., Neumeister, A., & Southwick, S. M. (2014).  
2 Typologies of posttraumatic stress disorder in the U.S. adult population. *Journal of Affective*  
3 *Disorders, 162*, 102–106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2014.03.024>
- 4 Pietrzak, R. H., Feder, A., Singh, R., Schechter, C. B., Bromet, E. J., Katz, C. L., Reissman, D. B.,  
5 Ozbay, F., Sharma, V., Crane, M., Harrison, D., Herbert, R., Levin, S. M., Luft, B. J., Moline, J.  
6 M., Stellman, J. M., Udasin, I. G., Landrigan, P. J., & Southwick, S. M. (2014). Trajectories of  
7 PTSD risk and resilience in World Trade Center responders: An 8-year prospective cohort  
8 study. *Psychological Medicine, 44*(1), 205–219. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291713000597>
- 9 Pitman, R. K., Rasmusson, A. M., Koenen, K. C., Shin, L. M., Orr, S. P., Gilbertson, M. W., Milad, M.  
10 R., & Liberzon, I. (2012). Biological studies of post-traumatic stress disorder. *Nature Reviews*  
11 *Neuroscience, 13*(11), 769–787. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3339>
- 12 Portnoy, G. A., Relyea, M. R., Decker, S., Shamaskin-Garroway, A., Driscoll, M., Brandt, C. A., &  
13 Haskell, S. G. (2018). Understanding Gender Differences in Resilience Among Veterans:  
14 Trauma History and Social Ecology. *Journal of Traumatic Stress, 31*(6), 845–855.  
15 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.22341>
- 16 Powers, M. B., Warren, A. M., Rosenfield, D., Roden-Foreman, K., Bennett, M., Reynolds, M. C., Davis,  
17 M. L., Foreman, M. L., Petrey, L. B., & Smits, J. A. J. (2014). Predictors of PTSD symptoms in  
18 adults admitted to a Level I trauma center: A prospective analysis. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders,*  
19 *28*(3), 301–309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2014.01.003>
- 20 Reddan, M. C., Wager, T. D., & Schiller, D. (2018). Attenuating Neural Threat Expression with  
21 Imagination. *Neuron, 100*(4), 994-1005.e4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.10.047>
- 22 Rodman, A. M., Jenness, J. L., Weissman, D. G., Pine, D. S., & McLaughlin, K. A. (2019).  
23 Neurobiological Markers of Resilience to Depression Following Childhood Maltreatment: The  
24 Role of Neural Circuits Supporting the Cognitive Control of Emotion. *Biological Psychiatry,*  
25 *86*(6), 464–473. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2019.04.033>

- 1 Sailer, U., Robinson, S., Fischmeister, F. P. S., König, D., Oppenauer, C., Lueger-Schuster, B., Moser,  
2 E., Kryspin-Exner, I., & Bauer, H. (2008). Altered reward processing in the nucleus accumbens  
3 and mesial prefrontal cortex of patients with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Neuropsychologia*,  
4 46(11), 2836–2844. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2008.05.022>
- 5 Scheffer, M., Bolhuis, J. E., Borsboom, D., Buchman, T. G., Gijzel, S. M. W., Goulson, D., Kammenga,  
6 J. E., Kemp, B., Leemput, I. A. van de, Levin, S., Martin, C. M., Melis, R. J. F., Nes, E. H. van,  
7 Romero, L. M., & Rikkers, M. G. M. O. (2018). Quantifying resilience of humans and other  
8 animals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(47), 11883–11890.  
9 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1810630115>
- 10 Schiller, D., & Delgado, M. R. (2010). Overlapping neural systems mediating extinction, reversal and  
11 regulation of fear. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 14(6), 268–276.  
12 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2010.04.002>
- 13 Schultze-Lutter, F., Schimmelmann, B. G., & Schmidt, S. J. (2016). Resilience, risk, mental health and  
14 well-being: Associations and conceptual differences. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*,  
15 25(5), 459–466. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-016-0851-4>
- 16 Schweizer, S., Walsh, N. D., Stretton, J., Dunn, V. J., Goodyer, I. M., & Dalgleish, T. (2016). Enhanced  
17 emotion regulation capacity and its neural substrates in those exposed to moderate childhood  
18 adversity. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 11(2), 272–281.  
19 <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsv109>
- 20 Scott, J. C., Matt, G. E., Wrocklage, K. M., Crnich, C., Jordan, J., Southwick, S. M., Krystal, J. H., &  
21 Schweinsburg, B. C. (2015). A quantitative meta-analysis of neurocognitive functioning in  
22 posttraumatic stress disorder. *Psychological Bulletin*, 141(1), 105–140.  
23 <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038039>
- 24 Scult, M. A., Knodt, A. R., Radtke, S. R., Brigidi, B. D., & Hariri, A. R. (2019). Prefrontal Executive  
25 Control Rescues Risk for Anxiety Associated with High Threat and Low Reward Brain Function.  
26 *Cerebral Cortex*, 29(1), 70–76. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhx304>

- 1 Seeley, S. H., Boukezzi, S., DePierro, J. M., Charney, D. S., & Feder, A. (In press). Biological  
2 mechanisms of stress resilience: Human and animal studies. In *Charney and Nestler's*  
3 *Neurobiology of Mental Illness* (eds. Charney, D.S, Nestler, E.J., Buxbaum, J.D., Binder, E.,  
4 Gordon, J.A., and Picciotto, M.) (6th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- 5 Shalev, A., Liberzon, I., & Marmar, C. (2017). Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. *New England Journal of*  
6 *Medicine*, 376(25), 2459–2469. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1612499>
- 7 Silveira, S., Shah, R., Nooner, K. B., Nagel, B. J., Tapert, S. F., de Bellis, M. D., & Mishra, J. (2020).  
8 Impact of Childhood Trauma on Executive Function in Adolescence—Mediating Functional  
9 Brain Networks and Prediction of High-Risk Drinking. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive*  
10 *Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, 5(5), 499–509. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2020.01.011>
- 11 Silvers, J. A., Lumian, D. S., Gabard-Durnam, L., Gee, D. G., Goff, B., Fareri, D. S., Caldera, C.,  
12 Flannery, J., Telzer, E. H., Humphreys, K. L., & Tottenham, N. (2016). Previous  
13 Institutionalization Is Followed by Broader Amygdala-Hippocampal-PFC Network Connectivity  
14 during Aversive Learning in Human Development. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 36(24), 6420–6430.  
15 <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0038-16.2016>
- 16 Sinha, R., Lacadie, C. M., Constable, R. T., & Seo, D. (2016). Dynamic neural activity during stress  
17 signals resilient coping. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(31), 8837–  
18 8842. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1600965113>
- 19 Southwick, S. M., Charney, D. S., & DePierro, J. M. (2022). *Resilience: The Science of Mastering Life's*  
20 *Greatest Challenges* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- 21 Speer, M. E., & Delgado, M. R. (2017). Reminiscing about positive memories buffers acute stress  
22 responses. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 1(5), 0093. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-017-0093>
- 23 Spreng, R. N., & Andrews-Hanna, J. (2015). The Default Network and Social Cognition. *Brain Mapping:*  
24 *An Encyclopedic Reference*, 3, 165–169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-397025-1.00173-1>
- 25 Stevens, J. S., Harnett, N. G., Lebois, L. A. M., van Rooij, S. J. H., Ely, T. D., Roeckner, A., Vincent, N.,  
26 Beaudoin, F. L., An, X., Zeng, D., Neylan, T. C., Clifford, G. D., Linnstaedt, S. D., Germine, L.

- 1 T., Rauch, S. L., Lewandowski, C., Storrow, A. B., Hendry, P. L., Sheikh, S., ... Ressler, K. J.  
2 (2021). Brain-Based Biotypes of Psychiatric Vulnerability in the Acute Aftermath of Trauma.  
3 *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 178(11), 1037–1049.  
4 <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2021.20101526>
- 5 Stevens, J. S., & Jovanovic, T. (2019). Role of social cognition in post-traumatic stress disorder: A  
6 review and meta-analysis. *Genes, Brain and Behavior*, 18(1), e12518.  
7 <https://doi.org/10.1111/gbb.12518>
- 8 Stevens, J. S., Kim, Y. J., Galatzer-Levy, I. R., Reddy, R., Ely, T. D., Nemeroff, C. B., Hudak, L. A.,  
9 Jovanovic, T., Rothbaum, B. O., & Ressler, K. J. (2017). Amygdala Reactivity and Anterior  
10 Cingulate Habituation Predict Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Symptom Maintenance After Acute  
11 Civilian Trauma. *Biological Psychiatry*, 81(12), 1023–1029.  
12 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2016.11.015>
- 13 Stratta, P., Capanna, C., Dell'Osso, L., Carmassi, C., Patriarca, S., Di Emidio, G., Riccardi, I.,  
14 Collazzoni, A., & Rossi, A. (2015). Resilience and coping in trauma spectrum symptoms  
15 prediction: A structural equation modeling approach. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 77,  
16 55–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2014.12.035>
- 17 Street, A. E., & Dardis, C. M. (2018). Using a social construction of gender lens to understand gender  
18 differences in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 66, 97–105.  
19 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2018.03.001>
- 20 Swartz, J. R., Knodt, A. R., Radtke, S. R., & Hariri, A. R. (2015). A neural biomarker of psychological  
21 vulnerability to future life stress. *Neuron*, 85(3), 505–511.  
22 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.12.055>
- 23 Szucs, D., & Ioannidis, J. PA. (2020). Sample size evolution in neuroimaging research: An evaluation of  
24 highly-cited studies (1990–2012) and of latest practices (2017–2018) in high-impact journals.  
25 *NeuroImage*, 221, 117164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117164>



- 1 Thompson, N. J., Fiorillo, D., Rothbaum, B. O., Ressler, K. J., & Michopoulos, V. (2018). Coping  
2 strategies as mediators in relation to resilience and posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of*  
3 *Affective Disorders*, 225, 153–159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2017.08.049>
- 4 Thompson, P. M., Jahanshad, N., Ching, C. R. K., Salminen, L. E., Thomopoulos, S. I., Bright, J.,  
5 Baune, B. T., Bertolín, S., Bralten, J., Bruin, W. B., Bülow, R., Chen, J., Chye, Y., Dannlowski,  
6 U., de Kovel, C. G. F., Donohoe, G., Eyer, L. T., Faraone, S. V., Favre, P., ... Zelman, V.  
7 (2020). ENIGMA and global neuroscience: A decade of large-scale studies of the brain in health  
8 and disease across more than 40 countries. *Translational Psychiatry*, 10(1), Article 1.  
9 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-020-0705-1>
- 10 Tolin, D. F., & Foa, E. B. (2008). Sex differences in trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder: A  
11 quantitative review of 25 years of research. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice,*  
12 *and Policy*, S(1), 37–85. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1942-9681.S.1.37>
- 13 Troy, A. S., & Mauss, I. B. (2011). Resilience in the face of stress: Emotion regulation as a protective  
14 factor. In B. T. Litz, D. Charney, M. J. Friedman, & S. M. Southwick (Eds.), *Resilience and*  
15 *Mental Health: Challenges Across the Lifespan* (pp. 30–44). Cambridge University Press.  
16 <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511994791.004>
- 17 van der Werff, S. J. A., van den Berg, S. M., Pannekoek, J. N., Elzinga, B. M., & Van Der Wee, N. J. A.  
18 (2013). Neuroimaging resilience to stress: A review. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*, 7.  
19 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2013.00039>
- 20 van Harmelen, A. L., Kievit, R. A., Ioannidis, K., Neufeld, S., Jones, P. B., Bullmore, E., Dolan, R.,  
21 Consortium, T. N., Fonagy, P., & Goodyer, I. (2017). Adolescent friendships predict later  
22 resilient functioning across psychosocial domains in a healthy community cohort. *Psychological*  
23 *Medicine*, 47(13), 2312–2322. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291717000836>
- 24 van Harmelen, A.-L., Gibson, J. L., Clair, M. C. S., Owens, M., Brodbeck, J., Dunn, V., Lewis, G.,  
25 Croudace, T., Jones, P. B., Kievit, R. A., & Goodyer, I. M. (2016). Friendships and Family

- 1 Support Reduce Subsequent Depressive Symptoms in At-Risk Adolescents. *PLOS ONE*, 11(5),  
2 e0153715. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0153715>
- 3 van Rooij, S. J. H., Stevens, J. S., Ely, T. D., Hinrichs, R., Michopoulos, V., Winters, S. J., Ogbonmwan,  
4 Y. E., Shin, J., Nugent, N. R., Hudak, L. A., Rothbaum, B. O., Ressler, K. J., & Jovanovic, T.  
5 (2018). The Role of the Hippocampus in Predicting Future Posttraumatic Stress Disorder  
6 Symptoms in Recently Traumatized Civilians. *Biological Psychiatry*, 84(2), 106–115.  
7 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2017.09.005>
- 8 Vythilingam, M., Nelson, E. E., Scaramozza, M., Waldeck, T., Hazlett, G., Southwick, S. M., Pine, D. S.,  
9 Drevets, W., Charney, D. S., & Ernst, M. (2009). Reward circuitry in resilience to severe trauma:  
10 An fMRI investigation of resilient special forces soldiers. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging*,  
11 172(1), 75–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pscychresns.2008.06.008>
- 12 Walsh, W. A., Dawson, J., & Mattingly, M. J. (2010). How Are We Measuring Resilience Following  
13 Childhood Maltreatment? Is the Research Adequate and Consistent? What is the Impact on  
14 Research, Practice, and Policy? *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 11(1), 27–41.  
15 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1524838009358892>
- 16 Wang, L., Paul, N., Stanton, S. J., Greeson, J. M., & Smoski, M. (2013). Loss of Sustained Activity in  
17 the Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex in Response to Repeated Stress in Individuals with Early-  
18 Life Emotional Abuse: Implications for Depression Vulnerability. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 4.  
19 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00320>
- 20 Watson, P. (2019). PTSD as a Public Mental Health Priority. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 21(7), 61.  
21 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-019-1032-1>
- 22 Waugh, C. E., & Koster, E. H. W. (2015). A resilience framework for promoting stable remission from  
23 depression. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 41, 49–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2014.05.004>
- 24 Weathers, F. W., Blake, D. D., Schnurr, P. P., Kaloupek, D. G., Marx, B. P., & Keane, T. M. (2013).  
25 *Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-5 (CAPS-5)*.  
26 <https://www.ptsd.va.gov/professional/assessment/adult-int/caps.asp>

- 1 Whittle, S., Dennison, M., Vijayakumar, N., Simmons, J. G., Yücel, M., Lubman, D. I., Pantelis, C., &  
2 Allen, N. B. (2013). Childhood Maltreatment and Psychopathology Affect Brain Development  
3 During Adolescence. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, *52*(9),  
4 940-952.e1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2013.06.007>
- 5 Williams, M., Metzger, I., Leins, C., & DeLapp, C. (2018). Assessing Racial Trauma Within a DSM–5  
6 Framework: The UConn Racial/Ethnic Stress & Trauma Survey. *Practice Innovations*, *3*(4),  
7 242–260. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pri0000076>
- 8 World Health Organization. (1993). *The ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioural Disorders:*  
9 *Diagnostic Criteria for Research*. World Health Organization.
- 10 Wymbs, N. F., Orr, C., Albaugh, M. D., Althoff, R. R., O'Loughlin, K., Holbrook, H., Garavan, H.,  
11 Montalvo-Ortiz, J. L., Mostofsky, S., Hudziak, J., & Kaufman, J. (2020). Social supports  
12 moderate the effects of child adversity on neural correlates of threat processing. *Child Abuse &*  
13 *Neglect*, *102*, 104413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2020.104413>
- 14 Yamamoto, T., Toki, S., Siegle, G. J., Takamura, M., Takaishi, Y., Yoshimura, S., Okada, G.,  
15 Matsumoto, T., Nakao, T., Muranaka, H., Kaseda, Y., Murakami, T., Okamoto, Y., & Yamawaki,  
16 S. (2017). Increased amygdala reactivity following early life stress: A potential resilience  
17 enhancer role. *BMC Psychiatry*, *17*(1), 27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-017-1201-x>
- 18 Yehuda, R., & Flory, J. D. (2007). Differentiating biological correlates of risk, PTSD, and resilience  
19 following trauma exposure. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, *20*(4), 435–447.  
20 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.20260>
- 21 Yehuda, R., Hoge, C. W., McFarlane, A. C., Vermetten, E., Lanius, R. A., Nievergelt, C. M., Hobfoll, S.  
22 E., Koenen, K. C., Neylan, T. C., & Hyman, S. E. (2015). Post-traumatic stress disorder. *Nature*  
23 *Reviews Disease Primers*, *1*, 15057. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2015.57>
- 24 Yule, K., Houston, J., & Grych, J. (2019). Resilience in Children Exposed to Violence: A Meta-analysis  
25 of Protective Factors Across Ecological Contexts. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*,  
26 *22*(3), 406–431. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-019-00293-1>

- 1 Zhang, W., Kaldewaij, R., Hashemi, M. M., Koch, S. B. J., Smit, A., van Ast, V. A., Beckmann, C. F.,  
2 Klumpers, F., & Roelofs, K. (2022). Acute-stress-induced change in salience network coupling  
3 prospectively predicts post-trauma symptom development. *Translational Psychiatry*, 12(1), 63.  
4 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-022-01798-0>
- 5 Zilverstand, A., Parvaz, M. A., & Goldstein, R. Z. (2017). Neuroimaging cognitive reappraisal in clinical  
6 populations to define neural targets for enhancing emotion regulation. A systematic review.  
7 *NeuroImage*, 151, 105–116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.06.009>
- 8 Zotev, V., Phillips, R., Misaki, M., Wong, C. K., Wurfel, B. E., Krueger, F., Feldner, M., & Bodurka, J.  
9 (2018). Real-time fMRI neurofeedback training of the amygdala activity with simultaneous EEG  
10 in veterans with combat-related PTSD. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, 19, 106–121.  
11 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2018.04.010>
- 12