

Evaluation of recipients with significant comorbidity – Patients with cardiovascular disease

Emmanuel A. Tsochatzis^{1,2}, Kymberly D. Watt³, Lisa B. VanWagner⁴, Elizabeth Verna⁵, Annalisa Berzigotti⁶

1. UCL Institute for Liver and Digestive Health, Royal Free Campus, London, UK

2. Sheila Sherlock Liver Unit, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK

3. Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA

4. University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Texas, USA

5. Center for Liver Disease and Transplantation, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, New York, USA

6. Department of Visceral Surgery and Medicine, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

Corresponding author: Professor Emmanuel A. Tsochatzis, Sheila Sherlock Liver Unit and UCL Institute for Liver and Digestive Health, Royal Free Hospital and UCL, London, UK. Email: e.tsochatzis@ucl.ac.uk

Conflicts of interest: LBV receives grant support from W.L. Gore & Associates and Astra Zeneca, and consults for Novo Nordisk and Numares.

Financial support statement: No financial support received.

Keywords: liver transplantation, MELD, cirrhosis, type II diabetes, ischaemic heart disease, cardiopulmonary reserve, cardiomyopathy, NAFLD.

Tables: 3

Figures: 2

Authors contributions: Each author drafted a section of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and approved the final draft.

Abstract

Liver transplantation (LT) is the most effective treatment for patients with decompensated liver disease. The increasing prevalence of obesity and type II diabetes and the growing number of patients with NAFLD being evaluated for liver transplantation, resulted in a greater proportion of LT candidates presenting with a higher risk of cardiovascular disease. As cardiovascular disease is a major cause of morbidity and mortality after liver transplantation, a thorough evaluation pre LT is crucial. In this review, we discuss the latest evidence on the cardiovascular evaluation of LT candidates and we focus on the most prevalent conditions, namely ischaemic heart disease, atrial fibrillation and other arrhythmias, valvular heart disease and cardiomyopathies. LT candidates undergo electrocardiogram, a resting transthoracic echocardiography and an assessment of their cardiopulmonary functional ability as part of their standardized pre-LT work up. Further diagnostic work-up is undertaken based on the results of the baseline evaluation and may include a coronary computed tomography angiography in patients with cardiovascular risk factors. The evaluation of potential LT candidates for cardiovascular disease requires a multidisciplinary approach, with input from anaesthetists, cardiologists, hepatologists and transplant surgeons.

The increasing rates of obesity and the growing prevalence of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease as an indication for liver transplantation (LT) has led to a greater proportion of LT candidates presenting with a higher risk of or with established cardiovascular disease. Cardiovascular complications are the leading cause of non-graft related mortality in the early period after liver transplantation [1]. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality is even more prevalent after the first year pre-transplantation, even in patients with no identified pre-existing risk factors [2]. This warrants a thorough pre-transplant cardiovascular evaluation of potential recipients. Therefore, in this review, we focus on the cardiovascular assessment of LT candidates, focusing on the assessment of cardiac functional assessment and the evaluation and management of the most common cardiovascular comorbidities, namely coronary artery disease, atrial fibrillation and arrhythmias, valvular disease and cardiomyopathies. The evaluation and management of porto-pulmonary hypertension and the hepatopulmonary syndrome are outside the remit of this review.

Cardiovascular risk assessment of the LT candidate

LT candidates undergo electrocardiogram and resting transthoracic echocardiography as part of their standardized pre-LT work up. Clinical history reveals the presence of cardiovascular risk factors, such as obesity, type II diabetes, hypertension, hyperlipidaemia, past history of smoking or familial history of early cardiovascular disease. Although patients with NASH cirrhosis are considered at high risk of developing CV events both before and after LT, there is insufficient evidence to recommend a specific CV risk algorithm [3]. Further diagnostic work-up is undertaken

based on the results of the baseline evaluation described above. In Figure 1, we present an indicative algorithm for cardiovascular assessment of the LT candidate.

Assessment of cardiopulmonary reserve and functional ability

Notably, baseline assessment does not provide complete information on the ability of subjects to use oxygen in stress-induced conditions such as surgery or infections. For this aim, dynamic tests able to address the so-called *cardiopulmonary reserve*, which can be defined by aerobic exercise capacity integrating different systems response such as the cardiovascular, pulmonary, neurological and skeletal muscle, are required (**Figure 2**).

It is useful to remind that in resting state, Fick's equation describes the different components of oxygen uptake (VO₂)[4]:

$$VO_2: VO_2 = (SV * HR) * (CaO_2 - CvO_2)$$

where SV is the stroke volume, HR is the heart rate, CaO₂ is the arterial oxygen content, and CvO₂ is the mixed venous oxygen content. Hence, VO₂ is the product of cardiac output times the arterial minus mixed venous oxygen content.

Metabolic equivalents (METs) express the resting oxygen uptake in a sitting position normalized to body weight and expressed in ml per minute. One MET equals 3.5 ml/kg/min [4].

At maximal exercise, the Fick's equation reflects the maximal capacity of the subject to take in, transport and use oxygen and defines the functional aerobic capacity of the subject:

$$VO_{2max} = (SV_{max} * HR_{max}) * (CaO_{2max} - CvO_{2max})$$

Functional cardiopulmonary reserve is impaired in patients with decompensated chronic liver disease [5], and in a systematic review addressing patients listed for LT the mean peak VO₂ across studies was 17.4 mL/kg/min [6], which is below the threshold of 18 mL/kg/min required for a normal independent living. This can be due to several factors related to the decompensated stage affecting different systems and organs [7];[8]: 1.circulatory system (hyperdynamic circulation due to portal hypertension; cirrhotic cardiomyopathy). 2.respiratory system (hydrothorax; hepatopulmonary syndrome; porto-pulmonary hypertension). 3.skeletal muscle system: malnutrition, sarcopenia; obesity and sedentary behaviour

In addition to the above, patients with underlying cardiovascular disease might show further decreased cardiopulmonary reserve due to features specific to their underlying disease process. Whenever cardiopulmonary reserve is impaired, at a tissue level (skeletal muscle; cardiac muscle) mitochondrial capacity to use oxygen is reduced, and tissues are unable to adapt to pathophysiological stresses.

Not surprisingly, several studies have demonstrated that decreased cardiopulmonary reserve (also referred to as “deconditioning”) is associated with mortality both on the LT waiting list and after LT independent of liver function [9];[6].

Objective tools to study the cardiopulmonary reserve include cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) as reference standard, and also indirect tests which have the advantage of being simpler to apply and inexpensive. In general, all the described tests are highly reproducible and have been extensively validated in the setting of chronic extrahepatic diseases and in the geriatric population.

Liver frailty index (LFI), a simple test aiming at quantifying physiological reserve, will be discussed elsewhere.

Reference standard: cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET)

This test is performed in an exercise/physical medicine setting, and requires dedicated resources and expertise [4]. The subject exercises on a treadmill or stationary bicycle, and during cardiac, blood pressure, pulse oximetry and expired gas analysis monitoring, the workload is increased until exhaustion [6]. The data output include the ventilatory anaerobic threshold and peak exercise oxygen uptake (VO₂), as well as minute ventilation/carbon dioxide production gradient and slope (the latter looks superior in characterizing muscle deconditioning in the general population). In patients awaiting liver transplantation, CPET anaerobic threshold predicts pre- and post-transplant, however the thresholds vary significantly among publications between <9% and <50% predicted [6]; [10]. The current data do not provide predictive data for intra-operative or post operative cardiovascular events.

The advantages of CPET testing is the granular data on cardiopulmonary reserve. The disadvantages include the complex infrastructure that may not be widely available, cost, and practicality of patients with severe decompensated liver disease performing the test. Thus, although CPET is incredibly important in facilitating our understanding of cardiopulmonary reserve in patients awaiting liver transplantation, it has not been widely adopted as a screening tool to assess cardiovascular risk across transplant centers.

6 minutes walk test (6MWT)

As its name suggests, the 6MWT measures the distance walked on a flat surface for 6 minutes. It is designed to measure functional exercise capacity in the cardiology and thoracic medicine setting [11], and has been validated as a predictor of mortality in patients with cirrhosis awaiting LT [12]. A recent study in 694 patients awaiting LT showed that for every 100-m increase in 6MWT, there was a significant decrease in mortality (HR 0.48) [13]. A cut-off threshold of 250 meters is associated with increased waitlist mortality [12].

Although the data derived is not as detailed as the CPET, the advantages of the 6MWT is the ease of testing, wide availability and relatively lower cost to administer. The disadvantage being the inability to accommodate physically impaired individuals and challenges on testing severely ill individuals.

In conclusion, there is clear evidence on worse waitlist outcomes for patients with poorer cardiopulmonary reserve, and tests aimed at quantifying cardiopulmonary reserve are an important part of risk stratification of candidates for liver transplantation. Interventions aimed at improving cardiopulmonary reserve are needed. Published studies investigating “pre-habilitation”(including 2-50 patients, largely well compensated cirrhosis), utilize exercise-based interventions (mostly supervised and hospital-based) to improve debility and to some extent cardiopulmonary reserve [14]. The combination of aerobic and resistance exercises at moderate-high intensity appears most effective in improving physical debility[14]. An additional small study of 12 weeks of home-based physical activity program combined with essential amino acid supplementation (12 g/day) proved to improve aerobic fitness in patients with cirrhosis and poor cardiopulmonary reserve [15].

Experience is severely limited in CPET testing due to limited access to the test. These other functional tests should be utilized to determine who may benefit from intervention and improve waitlist survival. These tests should not necessarily preclude patients as transplant candidates but serve as tools to inform risk-based discussions and to plan interventions.

Evaluation of LT candidates for Coronary Heart Disease (CHD)

The prevalence of coronary heart disease (CHD) in patients with end-stage liver disease (ESLD) is higher than in the general population.[16-19] This increased risk may be partly explained by systemic inflammation that contributes to thrombo-inflammation.[20] Liver transplant (LT) candidates with CHD are at high risk for intraoperative, perioperative and long-term complications, including death.[21, 22] The term CHD refers to both macrovascular and microvascular diseases of the myocardium, although the primary focus of most practice guidance recommendations on CHD in LT candidates is on epicardial coronary artery obstruction. Herein, CHD is defined as a history of myocardial infarction (MI), revascularization (coronary artery bypass grafting [CABG] or percutaneous coronary intervention [PCI]) or known $\geq 50\%$ stenosis in a major epicardial coronary artery. CHD screening refers to testing modalities (e.g., noninvasive stress tests) used to detect the presence of previously unknown but clinically significant CHD.

The optimal approach to CHD evaluation and management of LT candidates has been debated for decades with multiple guidance and consensus statements published (**Table 1**).[23-30] Controversy exists related to *who* to screen, *how* to screen, and *why* to screen and treat chronic CHD in LT candidates. A major goal of CHD risk stratification is to identify patients who may be at risk for perioperative death, to improve assessment of the intermediate risks and benefits of LT,

and to guide better medical or interventional management for long-term risk mitigation. There are two epidemiologic trends in LT that influence current recommendations for CHD screening: changing LT demographics leading to an increasing prevalence of CHD risk factors (e.g., older age, high prevalence of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)), [31] and improved medical management of CHD leading to declining rates of CHD-related morbidity with a concurrent rise in rates of non-coronary cardiac events after LT. [32, 33] Results of CHD screening in LT candidates should inform considerations regarding the benefit of LT, perceived risk of perioperative complications and projected long-term outcomes. [34]

Table 2 outlines the test characteristics of different screening approaches for prediction of significant CHD and CHD events. Traditional CHD risk factors (male sex, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, smoking, age >60 years, left ventricular hypertrophy, prior cardiovascular disease or diabetes mellitus) are the strongest predictors for moderate coronary artery stenosis ($\geq 50\%$ stenosis) in LT candidates; LT candidates with 3 or more traditional CHD risk factors are most likely to have obstructive CHD (sensitivity and specificity 75% and 77%, respectively) [17, 35, 36] and cardiac events after LT. [37] Two or more CHD risk factors has sensitivity of 75% for prediction of obstructive CHD, but specificity of only 60% and may not reliably predict post-LT CVEs [36] [30]. NASH, the second leading and fastest growing indication for LT in the United States and Europe, [38, 39] is an independent risk factor for both obstructive CHD and adverse cardiac outcomes after LT and when added to traditional CHD risk factors improves specificity for obstructive CHD and prediction of post-LT events. [30, 32, 40] Various biomarkers (e.g., troponin-I, [41] high sensitivity c-reactive protein [42]) may also predict CHD risk in LT candidates, although their ability to reclassify risk beyond established CHD risk factors has not been confirmed. [37]

Coronary artery calcium score (CACS) has a strong NPV (95-100%) for significant CHD, and therefore may be incorporated into algorithms to risk stratify LT candidates.[43-46] In one study, a threshold CACS of 251 maximized the sensitivity and specificity for detection of obstructive CHD, while a CACS > 400 predicted both the need for revascularization and early complications after LT.[44, 45] The 'CAD in LT' (CAD-LT) score (available at www.cad-lt.com) predicts significant (e.g., obstructive) CHD (internal cross-validation C-statistic, 0.76), and when applied may avoid invasive coronary angiography (ICA) without increasing the risk of CHD events.[47]

A risk-based approach should be considered to guide further testing for CHD in asymptomatic LT candidates (**Figure 3**). Evidence supports angiography (noninvasive or invasive) over universal stress imaging in intermediate or high risk LT candidates.[28, 31] Low risk patients may not require additional testing.[48]

Both pharmacologic and exercise stress testing have low sensitivity (13-37%) and suboptimal negative predictive values (negative predictive value (NPV), 75-80%) for both detection of significant CHD[49] and prediction of post-operative CHD events in LT candidates.[50] This is due to blunted chronotropy that limits ability to reach target heart rate and reduced cardiorespiratory fitness in ESLD.[50-57] Resting vasodilation in ESLD also limits the predictive accuracy of myocardial perfusion scintigraphy.[58] Cardiac magnetic resonance stress imaging has an excellent NPV of 98% in LT candidates, but its use is limited by its high cost, requirement for significant center expertise, and overall low sensitivity (50%) for CHD.[59, 60] In the general population, cardiac positron emission tomography (PET) with calculation of myocardial flow reserve detects coronary ischemia with high accuracy.[61] PET perfusion imaging is highly

attractive in LT candidates given its renal safety profile and the fact that it is not affected by chronic vasodilation, though data evaluating its use is limited.[62]

Noninvasive angiography with coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA) should be considered as the initial testing strategy in LT candidates who are at high risk for significant CAD. [30, 31, 49, 63, 64] CCTA is contraindicated (or impractical) in patients with a severe or anaphylactic allergy to contrast media, atrial fibrillation, or intolerance to beta-blockers. While both CCTA and ICA are associated with nephrotoxicity, the risk of nephrotoxicity may be lower with CCTA.[65, 66] Coronary angiography may be performed in LT candidates with kidney dysfunction in consultation with nephrology.[26, 28] When an ICA strategy is chosen, it may be performed despite coagulopathy in patients with ESLD.[67, 68] However, periprocedural risks do exist, and thus ICA should be reserved as the last test performed in an otherwise appropriate candidate for LT listing.[69] Notably, anatomic evaluations may miss functional microvascular disease that can contribute to type 2 MI after LT.[70, 71] However, universal functional testing is not supported by data incorporating cost-effectiveness and utility measures.[57] Therefore, stress testing alone should be reserved in intermediate risk patients (**Figure 2**).

Under current U.S. allocation policies based on acuity circles, some patients (e.g., those with MELD exceptions) may wait for over a year for LT.[72] CHD risk will not be static over that time and thus risk assessment should be considered at least yearly. The intensity of repeat testing will depend on both patient and programmatic factors, but at minimum should include assessment of change in prevalence or severity CHD risk factors and risk factor control. This recommendation is in line with U.S. practice patterns, where 85% of transplant centers repeat risk assessment with ECG and resting echocardiography at least yearly.[31]

Patients with high MELD who present simultaneously for LT evaluation and the operation can be in varying levels of decompensation with fluctuating levels of stress-induced cardiac ischemia and other cardiac dysfunctions.[73] Studies do not distinguish between outpatient and inpatient evaluations for CHD. Therefore, the timing, selection, and interpretation of testing must take the severity of the patient's illness and cirrhosis physiology at the time of evaluation into consideration.

Data to support or refute routine revascularization prior to LT are limited. Management decisions of identified disease, including medical, percutaneous interventions, and surgical revascularization must be considered in the context of a high-risk patient population being considered for a lifesaving and resource-intensive LT procedure in conjunction with cardiology and thoracic surgeon specialists [30]. LT candidates with significant CHD ($\geq 50\%$ luminal stenosis in ≥ 1 segment of the three major coronary arteries, or stenosis $\geq 70\%$ in at least moderate-size branch vessels) without revascularization options should be considered to have prohibitively high risk for LT [30, 74, 75]. Table 3 summarizes management considerations for identified CHD in LT candidates based on currently published practice guidance. Importantly, the discussion for evaluating CHD risk excludes patients with *symptomatic* CHD, who should be immediately considered for evidence-based interventions for acute coronary syndrome.

Cardiac Arrhythmias

Cardiac arrhythmias are common among LT candidates and recipients, and have been associated with major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) including stroke and decreased post-LT survival.[32, 76-84] While both atrial and ventricular arrhythmias occur, atrial fibrillation

(AF) remains the most common arrhythmia in this setting, and is the entity with the most data in the LT population.

Atrial Fibrillation

In systematic review and meta-analysis data, the prevalence of pre-existing AF prior to LT (~3-6%) as well as post-operative AF (up to 10%) appears to be higher than rates in the general population (which is reported to be 1-2% below age 65 and 9% over 65).[77, 84, 85] AF is likely the most common MACE to occur in the early post-operative period, accounting for 43% of these events in the first 90 days post-LT in analysis of national UNOS data.[32] As LT candidates get older and with more significant cardiac comorbidities, atrial fibrillation is likely to be even more common.

Risk factors for pre-existing AF in LT candidates include elevated body mass index (BMI), hypertension, diabetes mellitus, CHD, and prior cerebrovascular accidents (CVA).[77] It has also been hypothesized that AF may be a manifestation of underlying cirrhotic cardiomyopathy which is an increasingly recognized important factor in LT patient outcomes. It is also notable that both as isolated intra-operative[81] or new or persistent post-LT AF[82, 86] appear to be associated with important clinical events including MACE as well as post-LT kidney dysfunction, length of stay and survival. Described risk factors for post-LT AF have included age, BMI, MELD score at LT, diabetes mellitus, pre-LT AF, CHD, and perioperative factors including the use vasopressors prior to LT and pulmonary artery diastolic pressure at the end of the LT surgery.[76, 79, 80, 82, 85, 87] Risk indices for post-operative AF have been developed, including in a large single center experience, demonstrating that patients with the high-risk index had more than 60% chance of

developing post-LT AF.[82] This type of risk stratification could be used to drive monitoring or perhaps preventative therapies, such as ?

In terms of screening, identification of patients with AF in the pre-LT period and/or with CCM is essential. While all patients undergo electrocardiogram (ECG) in the LT evaluation process, this may not be sensitive in the setting of paroxysmal AF and has not been extensively studied in the context of LT risk stratification. Given the high index of suspicion that is required, confirmatory ECGs should be performed if tachycardia is noted in follow up visits or if tachycardia or an irregular rhythm is noted on physical exam. In addition, ambulatory monitoring is at times needed to diagnose arrhythmias in consultation with cardiology, for patients with symptoms that warrant this evaluation.[85] Similarly, patients at high risk, including those with transient AF during the LT surgery or hospitalization, require ongoing monitoring and specialty, cardiology consultation and the consideration for addition of rate control agents to prevent hemodynamically significant episodes.

The management of AF in LT recipients remains an area in need of ongoing investigation. No large-scale clinical trials of prevention or management in the setting of transplant have occurred. Thus, recommendations are extrapolated from the non-transplant setting.[88, 89] The mainstays of current AF treatment recommendations include rate (and/or rhythm) control as well as antithrombotic therapies. In terms of rate control, consensus and guideline recommendations focus on the use of beta blockers or calcium channel blockers, depending on ejection fraction and the clinical scenario[88, 89], both of which are frequently deployed in the setting of post-LT AF. Use of these agents is an important consideration in the peri-operative period particularly among patients with pre-LT AF who may be on these agents chronically. Pre-

emptively choosing betablockers for hypertension management in patients at higher risk for AF may be beneficial. Antiarrhythmics such as amiodarone may be required for patients intolerant or resistant to rate control, though prolonged use of amiodarone is often avoided pre- and post-transplant due to the potential for hepatotoxicity.[83, 86] Occasionally, more intensive therapies are required when the limits of medical management are reached, including direct-current cardioversion or catheter ablation, especially among peri-operative patients who do not tolerate beta blockade due to hypotension. However, there are no data in the liver transplant population or in the immediate post-operative period to direct the utilization of these approaches.

Finally, the use of antithrombotic therapy is an important consideration in the treatment of AF, balancing the risk of stroke and bleeding in an individualized manner. The risk of thromboembolic stroke in patients with post-LT atrial fibrillation may be up to 8-fold higher than those without atrial fibrillation, and traditional risk stratification approaches including CHA₂DS₂VASc may assist in indications for anticoagulation in this setting.[78, 90] The HAS-BLED score may be used for bleeding risk assessment[91], especially as liver function is considered in this model, though in the immediate post-operative period surgical input should be obtained regarding initiation of anticoagulation and surgical bleeding risk. The availability of direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) has been a major advance in the antithrombotic approach in AF, as these agents have been shown in the nontransplant setting to have superior efficacy and safety compared to warfarin, and overall fewer drug-drug interactions. However, DOAC pharmacokinetics are influenced by liver function, and patients with advanced liver disease were excluded from the pivotal trials.[92] While the European Medicines Agency and the US Food and

Drug Administration don't restrict the use of DOACs in patients with CTP class A cirrhosis, for CTP B, rivaroxaban and edoxaban are contraindicated while dabigatran and apixaban may be used with caution. In CTP class C cirrhosis, DOACs are associated with a high risk of spontaneous bleeding events and are contraindicated.[87, 93] Thus, caution with DOACs is needed in patients with advanced liver disease pre-LT, and in the dynamic peri-operative period.

While atrial fibrillation is relatively common among transplant candidates and recipients, it rarely precludes liver transplant if multidisciplinary care can provide sufficient rate control and no additional cardiac comorbidities such as heart failure or significant valvular dysfunction also exist.

Ventricular Arrhythmias and Sudden Cardiac Death

Ventricular arrhythmias are less well studied in the context of advanced liver disease and LT. Symptomatic premature ventricular contractions in a patient with a structurally normal heart may be treated with beta blockade[94], though CCM should be considered in this setting. Otherwise, patients with symptomatic ventricular arrhythmias that cannot be controlled are not generally considered candidates for isolated LT, thus data on risk stratification and management are not available.

There is a growing body of literature regarding post-LT sudden cardiac death (SCD). The rates of cardiac arrest/ventricular arrhythmias among LT recipients may be 4-fold greater than in other non-cardiac surgeries.[1, 95, 96] While the reasons for this are uncertain, increase in QT interval has been associated with CCM, and is a known risk factor for ventricular arrhythmias. A recent model has been developed to predict cardiac arrest following liver transplant, the cardiac

arrest risk index (CARI).[97] This point-based index includes QTc, MELD score, age and sex, with a score ≥ 3 representing those at high risk of these events. This risk stratification may allow for more vigilance in this population, including peri-LT surveillance, minimization of medications that prolong QT interval, and the potential for the use of beta blockers for those at the highest risk.

Valvular heart disease

The routine use of echocardiography for LT assessment can lead to the diagnosis of asymptomatic valvular heart disease (VHD). NAFLD in particular is associated with 33% higher odds for the development of aortic valve sclerosis [98], whereas in patients with type 2 diabetes the presence of NAFLD is an independent predictor of calcifications in the aortic and mitral valves [99]. The presence of severe VHD precludes patients from LT. Surgical valve repair pre-LT is extremely high risk, with over 30% 30-day mortality in patients with Child Pugh B and C [100]. In a cohort study of 57 patients undergoing open heart surgery, a MELD score of 13.5 could predict post-operative in hospital mortality with an area under the curve of 0.85 [101]. What is of particular relevance is the presence of aortic stenosis. In contrast, the presence of severe mitral or tricuspid regurgitation is often associated with the presence of pulmonary hypertension that is outside the scope of this review.

The emergence of transcatheter approach aortic valve repair, provides a potential bridge to LT in candidates with severe aortic stenosis. Studies in non-cirrhotic patients at low surgical risk suggest that transcatheter aortic valve (TAVR) is equivalent [102] or even better [103] than surgical repair. In the setting of cirrhosis, data is limited. In a series of 105 patients with cirrhosis undergoing aortic valve replacement, surgical replacement and TAVR had acceptable and

comparable short-term outcomes [104]. Among patients with a MELD \geq 12, survival after valve replacement was not superior to medical management alone [104]. Similarly, in a cohort of 85 patients with cirrhosis of variable severity, intra-operative mortality after TAVR or surgical repair was 18.8%, but favourable longer-term survival with TAVR compared to surgical repair [105]. The above suggests that in patients with Child Pugh B and C cirrhosis, aortic valve replacement should be considered only if LT is a realistic option. Ten cases of TAVR to restore LT candidacy in patients with critical aortic stenosis and decompensated liver disease were recently reviewed; all but one patient were successfully transplanted [106]. Such cases would require a multidisciplinary approach with input from cardiologists, interventional radiologists and cardiac surgeons.

Heart failure and cardiomyopathies

Overt heart failure is uncommon in patients undergoing evaluation for LT, as those with poor heart function are unlikely to be referred unless it is for a combined heart-liver transplantation. Subclinical cardiac dysfunction is prevalent, however, and frequently asymptomatic due to inactivity and poor exercise tolerance attributed to end-stage liver disease. Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy (CCM) specifically, is noted in 20-47% of patients listed for LT depending on the underlying disease and comorbidity (NASH 47%, ALD 33%, other aetiologies 20%) [107]. The physiologic milieu is well described in recent reviews and beyond the scope of this discussion [108, 109]. CCM is generally asymptomatic (subclinical) cardiac dysfunction (both systolic and diastolic dysfunction with insufficient response to stress) directly linked to cirrhosis and portal hypertension [110]. CCM criteria (Table 4) has been revised with the advent of

improved diastolic dysfunction metrics and should be specifically sought in all potential transplant recipients[108]. Subclinical cardiac dysfunction impacts post-LT outcomes, with pre-LT diastolic dysfunction predictive of post-LT cardiovascular disease[107] and post-LT heart failure associated with decreased survival[111]. The '*reversibility*' of CCM after liver transplantation has been brought into question and cannot be assumed, as chronic CCM physiologic changes can lead to myocardial fibrosis which may be irreversible [107, 112]. The hyperdynamic state of portal hypertension and cardiac function may take up to 6-12months to recover [113]. Careful cardiac follow-up after LT is therefore highly recommended, with annual echocardiography until normalization of systolic and diastolic function [114].

Pre LT cardiac function assessment is largely performed by transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) but due to a decrease in systemic vascular resistance (afterload) associated with end stage liver disease, left ventricular ejection fraction (EF) is frequently inflated and may not identify the true cardiac dysfunction that can manifest once a normal afterload is restored. EF are commonly expressed as a volumetric EF (three dimensional) or linear EF (one dimension), with the former being the more accurate measure (and may differ from the latter). Thus, EF is not the only metric of focus on the TTE and assessment for diastolic dysfunction, myocardial strain and possibly left atrial dysfunction is of particular importance [107, 115]. By American and European cardiology guidelines, >50% represents preserved EF and EF <50% is reduced (EF >60% is hyperdynamic). Data in LT recipients has suggested an EF <60% to be associated with increased post LT MACE [41] and worse post transplant survival [116], likely reflecting hyperdynamic measures of true cardiac dysfunction. Thus, one could argue that post transplant echocardiographic followup be considered in individuals with pre-LT EF <60% to optimize post transplant cardiac function.

Guidance documents suggest an EF < 40% to be an absolute contraindication for LT with EF 41-49% a relative contraindication that requires routine follow-up TTE while listed every 6 months [28, 108]. An EF <50 % that does not increase with stress may also identify a subset of high risk patients within this category that could be considered a contraindication to LT.

Cirrhosis is not the only factor associated with cardiac dysfunction. Obesity, diabetes, hyperlipidemia and hypertension are highly prevalent in western countries (and particularly highly prevalent in patients with NASH seeking transplant) and the well-established risk for coronary artery disease can lead to ischemic cardiomyopathy. Severe alcohol related cardiomyopathy is uncommon in individuals with advanced alcohol related liver disease but those with liver disease are more likely to have a less severe asymptomatic myocardial dysfunction. This can manifest with myocardial fibrosis associated impaired systolic function and left ventricle dilation[117]. Patients with alcohol related cirrhosis and heavy alcohol use are also at increased risk for CAD[118],(possibly exacerbated by hypertension and cigarette smoke exposure in some studies), heart failure, cerebrovascular and peripheral-vascular disease[119]. Hemochromatosis is an uncommon cause of advanced liver disease nowadays but does confer an associated risk of co-existing cardiac disease due to the iron deposition in the myocardium and conduction system. This cardiac involvement associates with a significant increase in mortality after liver transplantation[120]. Most commonly, cirrhotic patients will have rhythm disturbances (tachyarrhythmias, premature ventricular beats, nodal block) and less commonly overt heart failure or pulmonary hypertension. TTE may show increase atrial and ventricular mass, diastolic dysfunction with or without LV dysfunction. The cardiomyopathy can be of restrictive pattern or a dilated pattern[121].

Unrelated to specific liver disease etiologies is hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM), an autosomal dominant disorder of myocardial hypertrophy that can be associated with left ventricle outflow tract obstruction in 0.2% of the general population and 0.5% of LT evaluated patients[122]. This entity can be further impacted by superimposed CCM (diastolic and systolic dysfunction) and the setting of low SVR and hyperdynamic state of end stage liver disease[123, 124]. Perioperative and in hospital post-transplant cardiac complications and mortality are significantly increased with HCM, with data suggesting as high as 61% increased in-hospital death associated with non-cardiac surgery[124]. Not surprising, early post-operative mortality is significantly increased in LT surgery, with 33% 1-year and 39% 5-year mortality, largely predictive by the LV outflow obstruction gradient[123, 125]. Notably, an inducible left ventricle outflow obstruction on stress, present in up to 40% of individuals on the LT waiting list, may not portend as poor of a prognosis, with only transient intraoperative hypotension but no significant impact on post-operative outcomes[122].

Diagnosis and Management of Cardiac Dysfunction in Transplant Candidates

Diagnosis of cardiomyopathy revolves around the TTE and the stress response to exercise as discussed. The hyperdynamic state of the end stage cirrhotic patient, unfortunately, results in an under-recognition of the cardiac dysfunction and intra-operative and post-operative risk. While on the waiting list, physical exercise and consideration for more aggressive cardiopulmonary rehabilitation is warranted, given the data in the cardiac literature.

Unmet Needs in Cardiovascular assessment

Unfortunately, many gaps in the liver transplant literature are due to the small number of patients relative to those in the cardiac literature, that is prohibitive to large randomized controlled studies. Data often revolves around retrospective, small single center, or larger database studies or small prospective single center studies. The challenges of cardiovascular evaluation in the hyperdynamic state of cirrhotic patients can not be translated from the existing cardiac literature. The data in the transplant literature is heavily biased as it reflects only patients getting listed and receiving a liver transplant in a risk averse environment (due to organ stewardship and punitive oversight metrics). There is severely limited data on patients referred for evaluation that do not make the waitlist. This literature can only guide us in identifying individuals thought to be well enough to survive transplantation that may have had a suboptimal outcome. To optimize access to liver transplant for patients with underlying cardiac disease/dysfunction requires better noninvasive testing, specific to this population, that is easily accessible to identify patients with high perioperative risk. Beyond peri-operative survival, these pre transplant cardiac functional assessments should help us to identify high postoperative outcome risk individuals and better manage these patients to prevent these outcomes.

Conclusions

The evaluation of potential LT candidates for cardiovascular disease requires a multidisciplinary approach, with input from anaesthetists, cardiologists, hepatologists and transplant surgeons. The evaluation and decision-making regarding transplant eligibility of patients at higher cardiovascular risk is not standardized and varies depending on local resources and expertise. It is yet unclear what combination of risk factors should trigger further investigations, as shown for

instance in Table 2 for the screening of CHD. Despite the high prevalence of asymptomatic cardiac disease in liver transplant candidates, the potential harm and costs of universal screening may outweigh the potential benefits. However, given limited availability of deceased donor organs for transplant, screening may identify patients deemed to be at excessive risk of cardiac-related adverse outcomes (regardless of intervention) for whom transplantation may not yield sufficient benefit to justify use of a scarce organ. The growing prevalence of obesity, type II diabetes and NAFLD will result in even higher risk candidates in the future and will necessitate robust protocols for assessment and testing. It will also require more pro-active strategies to reduce cardiovascular morbidity and mortality post LT.

Table 1. Published recommendations or suggestions for coronary heart disease screening in asymptomatic liver transplant candidates, 2011–2022.

Guideline or Statement	Society	Recommendations	
		Initial Evaluation	Surveillance after Listing
Raval et al. State-of-the-Art 2011 [23]	American College of Cardiology (ACC)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Perform ICA in candidates with CAD, DM, or ≥ 2 risk factors • Risk factors: age (male >45 years; female >55 years), hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, smoking, family history of early CAD • CCTA may be an acceptable alternative in select patients 	Not discussed
Lentine et al. Scientific Statement 2012[24]	American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology Foundation (AHA/ACCF)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider noninvasive stress testing in candidates without active cardiac conditions based on presence of ≥ 3 risk factors regardless of functional status (Class IIb Level of Evidence C) • Risk factors: DM, CAD, >1 year on dialysis, left ventricular hypertrophy, age >60 years, smoking, hypertension, dyslipidemia 	Not discussed
Martin et al. Clinical Practice Guidelines 2014 [25]	American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assess cardiac risk factors and perform stress echocardiography in all candidates (Strength of Recommendation 1 Quality of Evidence B) • Perform invasive coronary angiography as clinically indicated (Strength of Recommendation 1 Quality of Evidence B) • Consider cardiac revascularization in LT candidates with significant coronary artery stenosis ($>70\%$ stenosis) prior to transplant (Strength of Recommendation 2 Quality of Evidence C) 	Not discussed
Kristensen SD et al. Guidelines on non-cardiac surgery 2014 [126]	European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and European Society of Anaesthesiology (ESA)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clinical risk indices are recommended to be used for peri-operative risk stratification (Class I Level B) • Assessment of cardiac troponins in high-risk patients, both before and 48-72 hours after major surgery, may be considered (Class IIb Level B) • NT-proBNP and BNP measurements may be considered for obtaining independent prognostic information for peri-operative and late cardiac events in high-risk patients (Class IIb Level B) • Pre-operative ECG is recommended for patients who have risk factors(s)⁺ and are scheduled for intermediate- or high-risk surgery (Class I Level C) • Rest echocardiography may be considered in patients undergoing high-risk surgery (Class IIb Level C) 	Not discussed

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Imaging stress testing is recommended before high-risk surgery in patients with more than two clinical risk factors* and poor functional capacity (<4 METS) (Class I Level C) • Imaging stress testing may be considered before high- or intermediate-risk surgery in patients with one or two clinical risk factors* and poor functional capacity (<4 METS) (Class IIb Level C) • Indications for pre-operative coronary angiography and revascularization are similar to those for the non-surgical setting (Class I Level C) 	
EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines 2015[27]	European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Perform electrocardiogram and transthoracic echocardiography in all candidates to rule out underlying heart disease (Grade II-3) • Perform cardiopulmonary exercise testing in patients with multiple risk factors or age>50 years to uncover asymptomatic IHD. If the target heart rate is not achieved during a standard exercise test, a pharmacological stress test is the test of choice (Grade II-3) 	Not discussed
VanWagner et al. Consensus Recommendations 2018[28]	American Society for Transplantation (AST)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider invasive or noninvasive angiography if known CAD, abnormal noninvasive test, or a high pretest probability of CAD (e.g., DM or ≥2 traditional risk factors) (2C) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk factors: age (male >45 years; female >55 years), hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, tobacco use, family history of early CAD • The decision to pursue stress testing should be based on individualized evaluation of the candidate's pretest probability for having CAD (1C) 	Not discussed
Cheng et al. Scientific Statement 2022[30]	American Heart Association (AHA)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All LT candidates without known CHD should have a cardiac physical exam, ECG, and a resting TTE, with further testing guided by risk stratification <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ In LT candidates who are at high risk for significant CHD (diabetes or NASH or ≥2 other CHD risk factors*), anatomic coronary imaging is recommended. ○ In LT candidates who are low risk for significant CHD (age<40 years, able to achieve ≥4METs, no NASH or diabetes, no CHD risk factors*), no further cardiac stress testing may be needed if initial ECG and resting TTE are normal. ○ In LT candidates who are intermediate risk, stress imaging alone can be considered. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reassessment after listing should include at least annual risk assessment** for underlying CHD, along with ECG and resting TTE at a minimum. • Perioperative and postoperative management of high-risk cardiac risk LT

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ICA should be the last procedure performed in the evaluation prior to listing for LT after a patient has already been deemed an acceptable transplant candidate. Multidisciplinary discussions are necessary prior to performing therapeutic ICA in LT candidates to ensure there is agreement as to the management plan if disease is detected. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ In LT candidates with kidney dysfunction, ICA or CCTA may be safely performed. Consultation with nephrology and steps to minimize contrast-induced acute kidney injury should be employed. ○ In LT candidates, ICA may be performed despite coagulopathy. Routine transfusion of blood products to a target or platelet count is not recommended. Multidisciplinary discussion with hematology when appropriate, is warranted to guide peri-procedural transfusions. 	recipients should include a Cardiologist, with additional subspecialist involvement as needed.
--	--	---	--

Abbreviations: AASLD, American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases; AHA, American Heart Association; ACC, American College of Cardiology; ACCF, American College of Cardiology Foundation; AST, American Society for Transplantation; CABG, coronary artery bypass grafting; CAD, coronary artery disease; CCTA, coronary computed tomography angiography; CT, computed tomography; DM: diabetes mellitus; EASL, European Association for the Study of the Liver; ECG, electrocardiogram; ICA, invasive coronary angiography; IHD, ischemic heart disease; LT, liver transplantation; MET, metabolic equivalent tests; TTE, transthoracic echocardiogram

*CHD risk factors include any of the following: hyperlipidemia/dyslipidemia, hypertension/history of hypertension, chronic kidney disease, left ventricular hypertrophy, family history of premature CHD, active or past tobacco use, coronary artery calcification score > 0

**Risk assessment includes repeat consideration of dynamic changes in CHD risk factors or recalculation of risk scores. The level of repeat anatomic or stress imaging should be considered on a case-by-case basis.

***not specific to liver transplant candidates

+ Clinical risk factors according to the revised cardiac risk index: Ischaemic heart disease (angina pectoris and/or previous myocardial infarction), heart failure, stroke or transient ischaemic attack, renal dysfunction (serum creatinine >170 μ mol/L or 2mg/dL or a creatinine clearance < 60 mL/min/1.73m²) or diabetes mellitus requiring insulin therapy

Table 2. Test characteristics for prediction of significant CHD or postoperative CHD or CV events in LT candidates.

Test strategy	Threshold/Abnormality	Predictive ability for significant CHD	Predictive ability for postoperative CHD or CVEs
Resting ECG	Positive CAD ECG = Q wave, ST segment depression, and/or pathologic T wave		Standardized incidence ratio (SIR): 5.01 (95% 3.91-6.34) [127] aHR 2.91, 95%CI, 1.43-5.92 [128]
Functional testing			
Dobutamine stress perfusion	Abnormal if delay in resting replenishment of myocardial contrast following high mechanical index impulse of >4 sec under resting conditions or >2 secs at peak stress	unknown	aHR 7.5, 95% CI: 1.9, 30.7 [129]
DSE	Positive DSE: new or worsening wall motion abnormalities	Pooled sensitivity 25% (95%CI: 9, 51) Pooled specificity 68% (95%CI: 44, 84) Diagnostic OR 0.79 (95% CI: 0.12, 3.84) [49]	No association
SPECT	Positive MPI: variable per study as some considered only reversible perfusion defects positive, with fixed defects or normal perfusion being considered negative, others listed having 1 or more area of ischemia	Pooled sensitivity 62% (95%CI: 37, 83) Pooled specificity 60% (95%CI: 39, 79) Diagnostic OR 2.5 (95% CI: 1.7, 5.64) [49, 130]	No association (random-effects RR: 2.64, 95%CI: 0.67, 10.4, $I^2 = 34.9\%$ (moderate heterogeneity))

		AUC: 0.649	
Stress CMR	Positive stress CMR: Perfusion deficit on CMR using regadenoson/adenosine (patients with eGFR > 30 mL/min/m ² ; 86%) or dobutamine (patients with eGFR ≤ 30 mL/min/m ² ; 9%)	Sensitivity: 50% Specificity: 98% Accuracy: 98% [60]	No association
CPET	No consistent CPET parameters/cut-off values provided [6] Baseline VO _{2peak} was reported in 5 studies (weighted mean 17.4 +/- 1.9 mL/kg/min) Baseline AT reported in 4 studies (weighted mean 11.6 +/- 0.7 mL/kg/min)	Not evaluated for obstructive CAD	Not evaluated for CVEs Sensitivity and Specificity of VO _{2peak} cut-offs for prediction of post-transplant mortality: (1) VO _{2peak} ≤17.6mL/kg/min: Sensitivity 67%, Specificity 77% [131] 2) VO _{2peak} < 14 mL/kg/min: Sensitivity 86%, Specificity 45% [132] 3) VO _{2peak} < 60% predicted: Sensitivity 86%, Specificity 64% [10]
Angiography			
Coronary Computed tomography angiography (CCTA)	Obstructive CAD: coronary plaque ≥1mm and a ≥50% reduction in luminal stenosis in ≥1 segment of the three major coronary arteries	Unknown	NPV of 95% [0.82-0.99] for CVEs NPV 100% [0.85-1.00] for coronary events [64] post-op MI specifically Sensitivity 20.0% Specificity 91.2% PPV 6.2% NPV 97.5% accuracy 89.1%

			aOR: 2.37; 95%CI: 1.18, 4.45; $P = .010$ [71]
Invasive coronary angiography (ICA)	$\geq 50\%$ stenosis in LAD or RCA, or stenosis $\geq 70\%$ in at least moderate-size branch vessels requiring intervention with PCI with or without balloon angioplasty	Gold standard	<p>normal ICA, HR: 1.35, 95%CI: 0.79, 2.33; $P=0.298$;</p> <p>nonobstructive CAD, HR: 1.53, 95%CI: 0.84, 2.77; $P=0.161$;</p> <p>significant CAD, HR: 1.96, 95% CI: 0.93, 4.15; $P=0.080$ [133]</p> <p>Meta-analysis: random-effects RR: 2.14, 95%CI: 0.78, 5.83, $I^2 = 0\%$ [130]</p>
Biomarkers			
Any arterial calcification	Presence of arterial calcification on low dose CT in any location (aortic, coronary artery, or peripheral artery)	Any arterial calcification (OR 6.30, 95% CI, 0.77, 52.06, $P=0.09$)	<p>No difference in intraoperative CVEs (4.7% vs 2.9%, $p = 0.55$)</p> <p>Significant difference in cumulative post-LT admission CVEs (22.3% vs 9.7%, $p = 0.007$)</p>
CACS	<p>CAC>100</p> <p>CAC>400</p>	<p>Sensitivity: 100% Specificity: 28% [45]</p> <p>Sensitivity: 100% Specificity: 44%</p>	<p>No association</p> <p>CVE OR, 4.62; 95%CI: 1.14, 18.72, $P=0.032$ [44]</p> <p>CAC >400 vs. CAC=0 for post-op MI (IPTW-aOR 2.6, 95%CI: 1.51, 4.58; $P=0.001$) [71]</p>

Troponin-I	TnI > 0.07 ng/mL	unknown	HR 2.00, 95% CI, 1.13 - 3.56, p = 0.023 [134]
hsCRP	hsCRP > 3.0mg/dL	unknown	HR 1.03, 95% CI, 1.00 - 1.05, p = 0.047 [42]
Scoring Systems			
Traditional CHD risk factors	>=2 >=3	75% sensitivity 60% specificity 75% sensitivity 77% specificity OR 1.72, CI, 1.12–2.65 per risk factor	Not associated HR, 2.39; 95%CI 0.99-5.77, P=0.044 AUC= 0.76
CAD-LT score [47]	Age Gender DM HTN tobacco pack years family history of CAD personal history of CAD	AUC=0.76 [0.72-0.80] Sensitivity: 21%, specificity: 96%	unknown
CAR-OLT score [135]	Age Sex White/black vs. other race Not working for income Lower Education Pulmonary hypertension No HCC HTN Diabetes HF Respiratory failure on ventilator	unknown	AUC=0.78
MELD+Revised Cardiac Risk Index [136]	high-risk surgery history of ischemic heart disease HF cerebrovascular disease, DM requiring insulin	unknown	AUC=0.80 (95% CI, 0.726-0.874)

	creatinine >2		
Framingham risk score	Sex age (30-74 years) BMI systolic blood pressure DM anti-HTN treatment smoking status	unknown	HR, 1.06; 95% CI, 1.02-1.09; P<0.003 [137] AUC 0.71 [138]
SCORE [138]	Gender age (40-65 years) total cholesterol SBP smoking status	unknown	AUC 0.80
PROCAM [138]	Gender age (35-65 males; 45-65 females) LDL HDL Triglycerides SBP smoking status DM family history of CAD	unknown	AUC 0.78

Abbreviations: CHD: coronary heart disease, CV: cardiovascular, LT: liver transplantation, ECG: electrocardiography, aHR: adjusted hazards ratio, DSE: dobutamine stress echo, SPECT: single photon emission computerized tomography, CMR: cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging, CPET: cardiopulmonary exercise testing, CAD: Coronary artery disease, CVE: cardiovascular event, CACS: coronary artery calcification score, MELD: Model for End stage Liver Disease, hsCRP: high sensitivity c-reactive protein, BMI: Body mass index, SBP: systolic blood pressure, DM: diabetes mellitus, LDL: Low density lipoprotein, HDL: High density lipoprotein, OR: odds ratio, RR: relative risk

Table 3. Considerations for management of asymptomatic identified CHD in LT Candidates

Strategy	Clinical Considerations
Goal-directed Medical Management	<p><i>Statins</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In LT candidates, statin therapy should be based on risk and not lipid levels, especially since lipid profiles do not accurately capture CHD risk in patients with ESLD [139]. - In advanced liver disease, including compensated cirrhosis, statins appear safe and beneficial although the risk of muscle-related side effects is higher and may be additive to risk conferred by other factors. - In LT candidates with Child-Turcotte-Pugh (CTP) Class A or B cirrhosis and clinical CHD, evidence favors statin use for secondary prevention with close monitoring of liver chemistries and markers of rhabdomyolysis [140]. - In patients with acute or acute-on-chronic liver failure, or in those with decompensated CTP Class C cirrhosis, statins should not be used for secondary prevention of asymptomatic CHD due to the higher risk of toxicity [140].
	<p><i>Antiplatelet agents</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There are no data to support an absolute platelet threshold for safety of aspirin use in LT. Thus, risk of bleeding and benefit of aspirin for secondary prevention of CHD events should be considered on a case-by-case basis. - To reduce risk of bleeding in LT candidates with an indication for dual anti-platelet therapy (DAPT), use of a proton pump inhibitor (PPI) to prevent development of upper gastrointestinal bleeding and minimization of duration of DAPT are recommended [30].

	<p><i>Beta-blockers</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Beta blockers may be beneficial in selected LT candidates, with consideration for carvedilol in patients with CHD and compensated cirrhosis [140]. - Peritransplant, patients on chronic beta-blocker therapy should be continued on beta-blockers, in line with guidance in the general population [141]. - No data exist to support the initiation of beta-blocker therapy for primary prevention of perioperative cardiovascular events in LT candidates.
	<p><i>RAAS blockers</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The safety of RAAS blockade is limited in LT candidates due to the physiology of ESLD and should be avoided in patients with decompensated cirrhosis or around the time of LT.
Revascularization	<p>There are no prospective randomized trials of CHD revascularization in LT candidates; however, the presence of angiographically significant stenoses increases the risk of cardiac events and death after LT [37].</p> <p>Revascularization of asymptomatic significant CHD should be performed only if the patient has been deemed to be a suitable LT candidate because routine treatment is associated with significant risk without clear benefit [30].</p> <p>Percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) can be safely performed in LT candidates. [133]</p> <p>According to recent consensus guidance, in LT candidates with significant CHD requiring revascularization, newer-generation drug eluting stents (DES) with a minimum of 3 months of DAPT should be used if possible. In LT candidates who cannot wait to complete guideline-recommended</p>

	<p>duration of DAPT, options include consideration of DES with a very short duration of DAPT (1 month), bare metal stent if available for use, or combined LT-CABG.</p> <p>LT candidates with significant CHD without revascularization options should be considered to have prohibitively high risk for LT [30].</p>
--	---

Abbreviations: LT: liver transplantation, ESLD: end stage liver disease, CHD: coronary heart disease, RAAS: renin angiotensin aldosterone system

Table 4: Cirrhotic cardiomyopathy diagnostic criteria (2020)[108]

Systolic component:

- Reduced LVEF (<50%) or
 - Decline in GLS (absolute value < 18).
-

Diastolic component:

3 of the following:

- Early diastolic transmitral flow to early diastolic mitral annular tissue velocity (E/e') ≥ 15
 - Left atrial volume index (LAVI) > 34 ml/m²
 - Septal e' < 7 cm/second
 - Tricuspid regurgitation maximum velocity > 2.8 m/second in the absence of pulmonary hypertension
-

When diastolic dysfunction is diagnosed: severity can be determined by E/A ratio (0.8-2 = grade II and >2 = grade III).

Patients with only two out of the four criteria need further echocardiographic evaluation to define diastolic dysfunction and its grade.

Figure 1: Indicative algorithm for cardiovascular work-up in patients listed for liver transplantation.

Figure 2. The concept of cardiopulmonary reserve. This refers to the ability to increase peak oxygen consumption (y axis) with increasing oxygen requirements (x axis). There are several tests to assess cardiopulmonary reserve in a liver transplant candidate, such as the cardiopulmonary exercise test, the 6 minute walk test, gait speed, short physical performance battery and the liver frailty index.

Figure 3. Proposed approach for CHD screening in asymptomatic LT Candidates. Known CHD is defined as a history of MI, revascularization (CABG or PCI), or known >50% stenosis in a major epicardial coronary artery. Symptomatic cardiac disease is defined as angina, angina-equivalent, or any possible symptoms referable to known CHF, arrhythmias, or valvular disease.

Footnotes:

* choice of modality based on patient characteristics and center experience

** suggestive of CHD: Silent MI on ECG or TTE with new or unexpected regional left ventricular wall motion abnormality or new or unexpected left ventricular systolic (LVEF<50% or absolute global longitudinal strain<18%).

CHD risk factors: dyslipidemia, HTN history, chronic kidney disease, left ventricular hypertrophy, family history of premature CHD, active or past tobacco use, coronary artery calcification score >0.

& ≥ 4 METs: Patient can climb ≥ 1 flight of stairs without stopping or walk up hill for ≥ 1 -2 blocks or scrub floors or move furniture or golf, dance, run or play tennis.

^ Stress echocardiography (SE): Exercise SE preferred; dobutamine SE if patient cannot exercise; consider cardiac PET as an alternative if available. In patients whose critical illness precludes stress echocardiography, consider CCTA or coronary angiography (choice of modality depending on patient and center factors).

Abbreviations: ACC/AHA, American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association; CCTA, coronary computed tomography angiography; CHD, coronary heart disease; CHF, congestive heart failure; ECG, electrocardiogram; HTN, hypertension; LT, liver transplant; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MET, metabolic equivalent; MI, myocardial infarction; NASH, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis; PET, positron emissions tomography; RV, right ventricle; RVSP, right ventricular systolic pressure; SE, stress echocardiography; TTE, transthoracic echocardiogram.

- [1] VanWagner LB, Lapin B, Levitsky J, Wilkins JT, Abecassis MM, Skaro AI, et al. High early cardiovascular mortality after liver transplantation. Liver transplantation : official publication of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the International Liver Transplantation Society 2014;20:1306-1316.
- [2] De Luca L, Kalafateli M, Bianchi S, Alasaker N, Buzzetti E, Rodríguez-Perálvarez M, et al. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality is increased post-liver transplantation even in recipients with no pre-existing risk factors. Liver international : official journal of the International Association for the Study of the Liver 2019;39:1557-1565.
- [3] Tsochatzis E, Coilly A, Nadalin S, Levitsky J, Tokat Y, Ghobrial M, et al. International Liver Transplantation Consensus Statement on End-stage Liver Disease Due to Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis and Liver Transplantation. Transplantation 2019;103:45-56.
- [4] Albouaini K, Egred M, Alahmar A, Wright DJ. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing and its application. Heart 2007;93:1285-1292.
- [5] Lemyze M, Dharancy S, Wallaert B. Response to exercise in patients with liver cirrhosis: implications for liver transplantation. Dig Liver Dis 2013;45:362-366.
- [6] Ney M, Haykowsky MJ, Vandermeer B, Shah A, Ow M, Tandon P. Systematic review: pre- and post-operative prognostic value of cardiopulmonary exercise testing in liver transplant candidates. Alimentary pharmacology & therapeutics 2016;44:796-806.
- [7] Jones JC, Coombes JS, Macdonald GA. Exercise capacity and muscle strength in patients with cirrhosis. Liver transplantation : official publication of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the International Liver Transplantation Society 2012;18:146-151.
- [8] Tandon P, Ismond KP, Riess K, Duarte-Rojo A, Al-Judaibi B, Dunn MA, et al. Exercise in cirrhosis: Translating evidence and experience to practice. Journal of hepatology 2018;69:1164-1177.
- [9] Crespo G, Hessheimer AJ, Armstrong MJ, Berzigotti A, Monbaliu D, Spiro M, et al. Which preoperative assessment modalities best identify patients who are suitable for enhanced recovery after liver transplantation? - A systematic review of the literature and expert panel recommendations. Clin Transplant 2022:e14644.

- [10] Ow MM, Erasmus P, Minto G, Struthers R, Joseph M, Smith A, et al. Impaired functional capacity in potential liver transplant candidates predicts short-term mortality before transplantation. *Liver transplantation : official publication of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the International Liver Transplantation Society* 2014;20:1081-1088.
- [11] Laboratories ATSCoPSfCPF. ATS statement: guidelines for the six-minute walk test. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2002;166:111-117.
- [12] Carey EJ, Steidley DE, Aqel BA, Byrne TJ, Mekeel KL, Rakela J, et al. Six-minute walk distance predicts mortality in liver transplant candidates. *Liver transplantation : official publication of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the International Liver Transplantation Society* 2010;16:1373-1378.
- [13] Dang TT, Ebadi M, Abrales JG, Holman J, Ashmead J, Montano-Loza AJ, et al. The 6-Minute Walk Test Distance Predicts Mortality in Cirrhosis: A Cohort of 694 Patients Awaiting Liver Transplantation. *Liver transplantation : official publication of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the International Liver Transplantation Society* 2021;27:1490-1492.
- [14] Williams FR, Berzigotti A, Lord JM, Lai JC, Armstrong MJ. Review article: impact of exercise on physical frailty in patients with chronic liver disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2019;50:988-1000.
- [15] Chen HW, Ferrando A, White MG, Dennis RA, Xie J, Pauly M, et al. Home-Based Physical Activity and Diet Intervention to Improve Physical Function in Advanced Liver Disease: A Randomized Pilot Trial. *Digestive diseases and sciences* 2020;65:3350-3359.
- [16] Carey WD, Dumot JA, Pimentel RR, Barnes DS, Hobbs RE, Henderson JM, et al. The prevalence of coronary artery disease in liver transplant candidates over age 50. *Transplantation* 1995;59:859-864.
- [17] Tiukinhoy-Laing SD, Rossi JS, Bayram M, De Luca L, Gafoor S, Blei A, et al. Cardiac hemodynamic and coronary angiographic characteristics of patients being evaluated for liver transplantation. *The American journal of cardiology* 2006;98:178-181.
- [18] McAvoy NC, Kochar N, McKillop G, Newby DE, Hayes PC. Prevalence of coronary artery calcification in patients undergoing assessment for orthotopic liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl* 2008;14:1725-1731.

- [19] Schiffrin EL, Lipman ML, Mann JF. Chronic kidney disease: effects on the cardiovascular system. *Circulation* 2007;116:85-97.
- [20] Stark K, Massberg S. Interplay between inflammation and thrombosis in cardiovascular pathology. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2021;18:666-682.
- [21] Johnston SD, Morris JK, Cramb R, Gunson BK, Neuberger J. Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality after orthotopic liver transplantation. *Transplantation* 2002;73:901-906.
- [22] Wang LW, Masson P, Turner RM, Lord SW, Baines LA, Craig JC, et al. Prognostic value of cardiac tests in potential kidney transplant recipients: a systematic review. *Transplantation* 2015;99:731-745.
- [23] Raval Z, Harinstein ME, Skaro AI, Erdogan A, DeWolf AM, Shah SJ, et al. Cardiovascular risk assessment of the liver transplant candidate. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;58:223-231.
- [24] Lentine KL, Costa SP, Weir MR, Robb JF, Fleisher LA, Kasiske BL, et al. Cardiac disease evaluation and management among kidney and liver transplantation candidates: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association and the American College of Cardiology Foundation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;60:434-480.
- [25] Martin P, DiMartini A, Feng S, Brown R, Jr., Fallon M. Evaluation for liver transplantation in adults: 2013 practice guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the American Society of Transplantation. *Hepatology* 2014;59:1144-1165.
- [26] Fleisher LA, Fleischmann KE, Auerbach AD, Barnason SA, Beckman JA, Bozkurt B, et al. 2014 ACC/AHA guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* 2014;130:2215-2245.
- [27] European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: Liver transplantation. *J Hepatol* 2016;64:433-485.
- [28] VanWagner LB, Harinstein ME, Runo JR, Darling C, Serper M, Hall S, et al. Multidisciplinary approach to cardiac and pulmonary vascular disease risk assessment in liver transplantation: An evaluation of the evidence and consensus recommendations. *American journal of transplantation : official journal of the American Society of Transplantation and the American Society of Transplant Surgeons* 2018;18:30-42.

- [29] Chadban SJ, Ahn C, Axelrod DA, Foster BJ, Kasiske BL, Kher V, et al. KDIGO Clinical Practice Guideline on the Evaluation and Management of Candidates for Kidney Transplantation. *Transplantation* 2020;104:S11-S103.
- [30] Cheng XS, VanWagner LB, Costa SP, Axelrod DA, Bangalore S, Norman SP, et al. Emerging Evidence on Coronary Heart Disease Screening in Kidney and Liver Transplantation Candidates: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2022.
- [31] Barman PM, VanWagner LB. Cardiac Risk Assessment in Liver Transplant Candidates: Current Controversies and Future Directions. *Hepatology* 2020.
- [32] VanWagner LB, Serper M, Kang R, Levitsky J, Hohmann S, Abecassis M, et al. Factors Associated With Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events After Liver Transplantation Among a National Sample. *American journal of transplantation : official journal of the American Society of Transplantation and the American Society of Transplant Surgeons* 2016;16:2684-2694.
- [33] Khurmi NS, Chang YH, Eric Steidley D, Singer AL, Hewitt WR, Reddy KS, et al. Hospitalizations for Cardiovascular Disease After Liver Transplantation in the United States. *Liver transplantation : official publication of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the International Liver Transplantation Society* 2018;24:1398-1410.
- [34] Wilson JM, Jungner YG. [Principles and practice of mass screening for disease]. *Bol Oficina Sanit Panam* 1968;65:281-393.
- [35] Skaro AI, Gallon LG, Lyuksemburg V, Jay CL, Zhao L, Ladner DP, et al. The impact of coronary artery disease on outcomes after liver transplantation. *J Cardiovasc Med (Hagerstown)* 2016;17:875-885.
- [36] Alexander S, Teshome M, Patel H, Chan EY, Doukky R. The diagnostic and prognostic utility of risk factors defined by the AHA/ACCF on the evaluation of cardiac disease in liver transplantation candidates. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord* 2019;19:102.
- [37] Konerman MA, Fritze D, Weinberg RL, Sonnenday CJ, Sharma P. Incidence of and Risk Assessment for Adverse Cardiovascular Outcomes After Liver Transplantation: A Systematic Review. *Transplantation* 2017;101:1645-1657.
- [38] Wong RJ, Aguilar M, Cheung R, Perumpail RB, Harrison SA, Younossi ZM, et al. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis is the second leading etiology of liver

disease among adults awaiting liver transplantation in the United States. *Gastroenterology* 2015;148:547-555.

[39] Younossi ZM, Stepanova M, Ong J, Trimble G, AlQahtani S, Younossi I, et al. Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis Is the Most Rapidly Increasing Indication for Liver Transplantation in the United States. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2021;19:580-589 e585.

[40] Patel SS, Nabi E, Guzman L, Abbate A, Bhati C, Stravitz RT, et al. Coronary artery disease in decompensated patients undergoing liver transplantation evaluation. *Liver Transpl* 2018;24:333-342.

[41] Fussner LA, Heimbach JK, Fan C, Dierkhising R, Coss E, Leise MD, et al. Cardiovascular disease after liver transplantation: When, What, and Who Is at Risk. *Liver transplantation : official publication of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the International Liver Transplantation Society* 2015;21:889-896.

[42] Watt KD, Fan C, Therneau T, Heimbach JK, Seaberg EC, Charlton MR. Serum adipokine and inflammatory markers before and after liver transplantation in recipients with major cardiovascular events. *Liver transplantation : official publication of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the International Liver Transplantation Society* 2014;20:791-797.

[43] West BH, Low CG, Bista BB, Yang EH, Vorobiof G, Busuttil RW, et al. Significance of Coronary Artery Calcium Found on Non-Electrocardiogram-Gated Computed Tomography During Preoperative Evaluation for Liver Transplant. *Am J Cardiol* 2019;124:278-284.

[44] Kong YG, Kang JW, Kim YK, Seo H, Lim TH, Hwang S, et al. Preoperative coronary calcium score is predictive of early postoperative cardiovascular complications in liver transplant recipients. *Br J Anaesth* 2015;114:437-443.

[45] Kemmer N, Case J, Chandna S, Neff GW. The role of coronary calcium score in the risk assessment of liver transplant candidates. *Transplant Proc* 2014;46:230-233.

[46] Benrajab K, Godman M, Emhmed Ali S, Sorrell V, Salama F, Shah M, et al. Alcohol-related cirrhosis is associated with high coronary artery calcium scores in patients undergoing evaluation for orthotopic liver transplantation. *Clin Transplant* 2021;35:e14282.

- [47] Rachwan RJ, Kutkut I, Timsina LR, Bou Chaaya RG, El-Am EA, Sabra M, et al. CAD-LT score effectively predicts risk of significant coronary artery disease in liver transplant candidates. *Journal of hepatology* 2021;75:142-149.
- [48] Robertson M, Chung W, Liu D, Seagar R, O'Halloran T, Koshy AN, et al. Cardiac risk stratification in liver transplantation: results of a tiered assessment protocol based on traditional cardiovascular risk factors. *Liver Transpl* 2021.
- [49] Tiwari N, Margapuri J, Katamreddy A, Jubbal S, Madan N. Diagnostic accuracy of cardiac testing for coronary artery disease in potential liver transplant recipients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol Heart Vasc* 2021;32:100714.
- [50] Safadi A, Homsy M, Maskoun W, Lane KA, Singh I, Sawada SG, et al. Perioperative risk predictors of cardiac outcomes in patients undergoing liver transplantation surgery. *Circulation* 2009;120:1189-1194.
- [51] Davidson CJ, Gheorghiade M, Flaherty JD, Elliot MD, Reddy SP, Wang NC, et al. Predictive value of stress myocardial perfusion imaging in liver transplant candidates. *The American journal of cardiology* 2002;89:359-360.
- [52] Donovan CL, Marcovitz PA, Punch JD, Bach DS, Brown KA, Lucey MR, et al. Two-dimensional and dobutamine stress echocardiography in the preoperative assessment of patients with end-stage liver disease prior to orthotopic liver transplantation. *Transplantation* 1996;61:1180-1188.
- [53] Harinstein ME, Flaherty JD, Ansari AH, Robin J, Davidson CJ, Rossi JS, et al. Predictive value of dobutamine stress echocardiography for coronary artery disease detection in liver transplant candidates. *Am J Transplant* 2008;8:1523-1528.
- [54] Williams K, Lewis JF, Davis G, Geiser EA. Dobutamine stress echocardiography in patients undergoing liver transplantation evaluation. *Transplantation* 2000;69:2354-2356.
- [55] Bhutani S, Tobis J, Gevorgyan R, Sinha A, Suh W, Honda HM, et al. Accuracy of stress myocardial perfusion imaging to diagnose coronary artery disease in end stage liver disease patients. *The American journal of cardiology* 2013;111:1057-1061.

- [56] Duvall WL, Singhvi A, Tripathi N, Henzlova MJ. SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging in liver transplantation candidates. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2020;27:254-265.
- [57] Soldera J, Camazzola F, Rodriguez S, Brandao A. Cardiac stress testing and coronary artery disease in liver transplantation candidates: Meta-analysis. *World J Hepatol* 2018;10:877-886.
- [58] Abele JT, Raubenheimer M, Bain VG, Wandzilak G, AlHulaimi N, Coulden R, et al. Quantitative blood flow evaluation of vasodilation-stress compared with dobutamine-stress in patients with end-stage liver disease using (82)Rb PET/CT. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2020;27:2048-2059.
- [59] Reddy ST, Thai NL, Fakhri AA, Oliva J, Tom KB, Dishart MK, et al. Exploratory use of cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging in liver transplantation: a one-stop shop for preoperative cardiohepatic evaluation. *Transplantation* 2013;96:827-833.
- [60] Reddy ST, Thai NL, Oliva J, Tom KB, Dishart MK, Doyle M, et al. Cardio-hepatic risk assessment by CMR imaging in liver transplant candidates. *Clinical transplantation* 2018;32:e13229.
- [61] Danad I, Raijmakers PG, Driessen RS, Leipsic J, Raju R, Naoum C, et al. Comparison of Coronary CT Angiography, SPECT, PET, and Hybrid Imaging for Diagnosis of Ischemic Heart Disease Determined by Fractional Flow Reserve. *JAMA Cardiol* 2017;2:1100-1107.
- [62] Tincopa MA, Weinberg RL, Sengupta S, Slivnick J, Corbett J, Sonnenday CJ, et al. The Utility of Noninvasive PET/CT Myocardial Perfusion Imaging in Adult Liver Transplant Candidates. *Transplant Direct* 2022;8:e1311.
- [63] Loffler AI, Gonzalez JA, Sundararaman SK, Mathew RC, Norton PT, Hagspiel KD, et al. Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography Demonstrates a High Burden of Coronary Artery Disease Despite Low-Risk Nuclear Studies in Pre-Liver Transplant Evaluation. *Liver Transpl* 2020;26:1398-1408.
- [64] Cassagneau P, Jacquier A, Giorgi R, Amabile N, Gaubert JY, Cohen F, et al. Prognostic value of preoperative coronary computed tomography angiography in patients treated by orthotopic liver transplantation. *European journal of gastroenterology & hepatology* 2012;24:558-562.
- [65] Schonenberger E, Martus P, Bosserdt M, Zimmermann E, Tauber R, Laule M, et al. Kidney Injury after Intravenous versus Intra-arterial Contrast

Agent in Patients Suspected of Having Coronary Artery Disease: A Randomized Trial. *Radiology* 2019;292:664-672.

[66] Bhandari P, Shah Z, Patel K, Patel R. Contrast-induced acute kidney injury following coronary angiography in patients with end-stage liver disease. *J Community Hosp Intern Med Perspect* 2019;9:403-409.

[67] Northup PG, Garcia-Pagan JC, Garcia-Tsao G, Intagliata NM, Superina RA, Roberts LN, et al. Vascular Liver Disorders, Portal Vein Thrombosis, and Procedural Bleeding in Patients With Liver Disease: 2020 Practice Guidance by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. *Hepatology* 2021;73:366-413.

[68] O'Leary JG, Greenberg CS, Patton HM, Caldwell SH. AGA Clinical Practice Update: Coagulation in Cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology* 2019;157:34-43 e31.

[69] Huded CP, Blair JE, Sweis RN, Flaherty JD. Transradial cardiac catheterization in liver transplant candidates. *Am J Cardiol* 2014;113:1634-1638.

[70] Kaski JC, Crea F, Gersh BJ, Camici PG. Reappraisal of Ischemic Heart Disease. *Circulation* 2018;138:1463-1480.

[71] Moon YJ, Kwon HM, Jung KW, Jeong HW, Park YS, Jun IG, et al. Risk stratification of myocardial injury after liver transplantation in patients with computed tomographic coronary angiography-diagnosed coronary artery disease. *American journal of transplantation : official journal of the American Society of Transplantation and the American Society of Transplant Surgeons* 2019;19:2053-2066.

[72] Wey A, Noreen S, Gentry S, Cafarella M, Trotter J, Salkowski N, et al. The Effect of Acuity Circles on Deceased Donor Transplant and Offer Rates Across Model for End-Stage Liver Disease Scores and Exception Statuses. *Liver Transpl* 2022;28:363-375.

[73] Izzy M, VanWagner LB, Lin G, Altieri M, Findlay JY, Oh JK, et al. Redefining Cirrhotic Cardiomyopathy for the Modern Era. *Hepatology* 2020;71:334-345.

[74] Maron DJ, Hochman JS, Reynolds HR, Bangalore S, O'Brien SM, Boden WE, et al. Initial Invasive or Conservative Strategy for Stable Coronary Disease. *The New England journal of medicine* 2020;382:1395-1407.

- [75] Raghunathan D, Palaskas NL, Yusuf SW, Eagle KA. Rise and fall of preoperative coronary revascularization. *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther* 2020;18:249-259.
- [76] Chokesuwattanaskul R, Thongprayoon C, Bathini T, Ungprasert P, Sharma K, Wijarnpreecha K, et al. Liver transplantation and atrial fibrillation: A meta-analysis. *World J Hepatol* 2018;10:761-771.
- [77] So WZ, Tan FL, Tan DJH, Ng CH, Yong JN, Syn N, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis on the impact of pre-existing and new-onset atrial fibrillation on outcomes before and after liver transplantation. *Digestive and liver disease : official journal of the Italian Society of Gastroenterology and the Italian Association for the Study of the Liver* 2022;54:614-621.
- [78] Koshy AN, Enyati A, Weinberg L, Han HC, Horrigan M, Gow PJ, et al. Postoperative Atrial Fibrillation and Long-Term Risk of Stroke in Patients Undergoing Liver Transplantation. *Stroke* 2021;52:111-120.
- [79] Bargehr J, Trejo-Gutierrez JF, Rosser BG, Patel T, Yataco ML, Pungpapong S, et al. Liver transplantation in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Transplant Proc* 2013;45:2302-2306.
- [80] Rachwan RJ, Kutkut I, Hathaway TJ, Timsina LR, Kubal CA, Lacerda MA, et al. Postoperative Atrial Fibrillation and Flutter in Liver Transplantation: An Important Predictor of Early and Late Morbidity and Mortality. *Liver Transpl* 2020;26:34-44.
- [81] Moon YJ, Kwon HM, Park YS, Kim SH, Hwang GS. Brief Episodes of Newly Developed Intraoperative Atrial Fibrillation Predicts Worse Outcomes in Adult Liver Transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 2018;50:1142-1146.
- [82] Xia VW, Worapot A, Huang S, Dhillon A, Gudzenko V, Backon A, et al. Postoperative atrial fibrillation in liver transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2015;15:687-694.
- [83] Amiodarone. *LiverTox: Clinical and Research Information on Drug-Induced Liver Injury*. Bethesda (MD); 2012.
- [84] Izzy M, Fortune BE, Serper M, Bhawe N, deLemos A, Gallegos-Orozco JF, et al. Management of cardiac diseases in liver transplant recipients: Comprehensive review and multidisciplinary practice-based recommendations. *Am J Transplant* 2022.
- [85] January CT, Wann LS, Alpert JS, Calkins H, Cigarroa JE, Cleveland JC, Jr., et al. 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with

atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014;64:e1-76.

[86] von Vital JM, Karachristos A, Singhal A, Thomas R, Jain A. Acute amiodarone hepatotoxicity after liver transplantation. *Transplantation* 2011;91:e62-64.

[87] Semmler G, Pomej K, Bauer DJM, Balcar L, Simbrunner B, Binter T, et al. Safety of direct oral anticoagulants in patients with advanced liver disease. *Liver Int* 2021;41:2159-2170.

[88] January CT, Wann LS, Calkins H, Chen LY, Cigarroa JE, Cleveland JC, Jr., et al. 2019 AHA/ACC/HRS Focused Update of the 2014 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for the Management of Patients With Atrial Fibrillation: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2019;74:104-132.

[89] Cheung CC, Nattel S, Macle L, Andrade JG. Management of Atrial Fibrillation in 2021: An Updated Comparison of the Current CCS/CHRS, ESC, and AHA/ACC/HRS Guidelines. *Can J Cardiol* 2021;37:1607-1618.

[90] Lip GY, Nieuwlaat R, Pisters R, Lane DA, Crijns HJ. Refining clinical risk stratification for predicting stroke and thromboembolism in atrial fibrillation using a novel risk factor-based approach: the euro heart survey on atrial fibrillation. *Chest* 2010;137:263-272.

[91] Pisters R, Lane DA, Nieuwlaat R, de Vos CB, Crijns HJ, Lip GY. A novel user-friendly score (HAS-BLED) to assess 1-year risk of major bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation: the Euro Heart Survey. *Chest* 2010;138:1093-1100.

[92] Qamar A, Vaduganathan M, Greenberger NJ, Giugliano RP. Oral Anticoagulation in Patients With Liver Disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2018;71:2162-2175.

[93] Ballestri S, Capitelli M, Fontana MC, Arioli D, Romagnoli E, Graziosi C, et al. Direct Oral Anticoagulants in Patients with Liver Disease in the Era of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Global Epidemic: A Narrative Review. *Adv Ther* 2020;37:1910-1932.

[94] Al-Khatib SM, Stevenson WG, Ackerman MJ, Bryant WJ, Callans DJ, Curtis AB, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC/HRS Guideline for Management of Patients With Ventricular Arrhythmias and the Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death:

A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2018;72:e91-e220.

[95] Sanaiha Y, Juo YY, Aguayo E, Seo YJ, Dobarina V, Ziaieian B, et al. Incidence and trends of cardiac complications in major abdominal surgery. *Surgery* 2018;164:539-545.

[96] Koshy AN, Gow PJ, Han HC, Teh AW, Lim HS, Testro A, et al. Sudden cardiac death following liver transplantation: Incidence, trends and risk predictors. *Int J Cardiol* 2021;327:171-174.

[97] Koshy AN, Ko J, Farouque O, Cooray SD, Han HC, Cailes B, et al. Effect of QT interval prolongation on cardiac arrest following liver transplantation and derivation of a risk index. *Am J Transplant* 2021;21:593-603.

[98] Markus MR, Baumeister SE, Stritzke J, Dörr M, Wallaschofski H, Völzke H, et al. Hepatic steatosis is associated with aortic valve sclerosis in the general population: the Study of Health in Pomerania (SHIP). *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2013;33:1690-1695.

[99] Mantovani A, Pernigo M, Bergamini C, Bonapace S, Lipari P, Valbusa F, et al. Heart valve calcification in patients with type 2 diabetes and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Metabolism: clinical and experimental* 2015;64:879-887.

[100] Arif R, Seppelt P, Schwill S, Kojic D, Ghodsizad A, Ruhparwar A, et al. Predictive risk factors for patients with cirrhosis undergoing heart surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2012;94:1947-1952.

[101] Thielmann M, Mechmet A, Neuhäuser M, Wendt D, Tossios P, Canbay A, et al. Risk prediction and outcomes in patients with liver cirrhosis undergoing open-heart surgery. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2010;38:592-599.

[102] Popma JJ, Deeb GM, Yakubov SJ, Mumtaz M, Gada H, O'Hair D, et al. Transcatheter Aortic-Valve Replacement with a Self-Expanding Valve in Low-Risk Patients. *The New England journal of medicine* 2019;380:1706-1715.

[103] Mack MJ, Leon MB, Thourani VH, Makkar R, Kodali SK, Russo M, et al. Transcatheter Aortic-Valve Replacement with a Balloon-Expandable Valve in Low-Risk Patients. *The New England journal of medicine* 2019;380:1695-1705.

[104] Peeraphatdit TB, Nkomo VT, Naksuk N, Simonetto DA, Thakral N, Spears GM, et al. Long-Term Outcomes After Transcatheter and Surgical

Aortic Valve Replacement in Patients With Cirrhosis: A Guide for the Hepatologist. *Hepatology* 2020;72:1735-1746.

[105] Seppelt PC, Zappel J, Weiler H, Mas-Peiró S, Papadopoulos N, Walther T, et al. Aortic valve replacement in patients with preexisting liver disease: Transfemoral approach with favorable survival. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv* 2020;95:54-64.

[106] Ahmed T, Misumida N, Grigorian A, Tarantini G, Messerli AW. Transcatheter interventions for valvular heart diseases in liver cirrhosis patients. *Trends Cardiovasc Med* 2021.

[107] Izzy M, Soldatova A, Sun X, Angirekula M, Mara K, Lin G, et al. Cirrhotic Cardiomyopathy Predicts Posttransplant Cardiovascular Disease: Revelations of the New Diagnostic Criteria. *Liver transplantation : official publication of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the International Liver Transplantation Society* 2021;27:876-886.

[108] Izzy M, VanWagner LB, Lin G, Altieri M, Findlay JY, Oh JK, et al. Redefining Cirrhotic Cardiomyopathy for the Modern Era. *Hepatology* 2020;71:334-345.

[109] Yoon KT, Liu H, Lee SS. Cirrhotic Cardiomyopathy. *Current gastroenterology reports* 2020;22:45.

[110] Izzy MJ, VanWagner LB. Current Concepts of Cirrhotic Cardiomyopathy. *Clinics in liver disease* 2021;25:471-481.

[111] Aghaolior B, VanWagner LB. Cardiac and Pulmonary Vascular Risk Stratification in Liver Transplantation. *Clinics in liver disease* 2021;25:157-177.

[112] Izzy M, Oh J, Watt KD. Cirrhotic Cardiomyopathy After Transplantation: Neither the Transient Nor Innocent Bystander. *Hepatology* 2018;68:2008-2015.

[113] Torregrosa M, Aguadé S, Dos L, Segura R, González A, Evangelista A, et al. Cardiac alterations in cirrhosis: reversibility after liver transplantation. *Journal of hepatology* 2005;42:68-74.

[114] Izzy M, Fortune BE, Serper M, Bhawe N, deLemos A, Gallegos-Orozco JF, et al. Management of cardiac diseases in liver transplant recipients: Comprehensive review and multidisciplinary practice-based recommendations. *American journal of transplantation : official journal of the American Society of Transplantation and the American Society of Transplant Surgeons* 2022;22:2740-2758.

- [115] Meucci MC, Hoogerduijn Strating MM, Butcher SC, van Rijswijk CSP, Van Hoek B, Delgado V, et al. Left atrial dysfunction is an independent predictor of mortality in patients with cirrhosis treated by transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt. *Hepatology communications* 2022.
- [116] Kwon HM, Moon YJ, Jung KW, Park YS, Kim KS, Jun IG, et al. Appraisal of Cardiac Ejection Fraction With Liver Disease Severity: Implication in Post-Liver Transplantation Mortality. *Hepatology* 2020;71:1364-1380.
- [117] Lazarević AM, Nakatani S, Nesković AN, Marinković J, Yasumura Y, Stojčić D, et al. Early changes in left ventricular function in chronic asymptomatic alcoholics: relation to the duration of heavy drinking. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2000;35:1599-1606.
- [118] Corrao G, Rubbiati L, Bagnardi V, Zambon A, Poikolainen K. Alcohol and coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis. *Addiction* 2000;95:1505-1523.
- [119] Bell S, Daskalopoulou M, Rapsomaniki E, George J, Britton A, Bobak M, et al. Association between clinically recorded alcohol consumption and initial presentation of 12 cardiovascular diseases: population based cohort study using linked health records. *Bmj* 2017;356:j909.
- [120] Kowdley KV, Brandhagen DJ, Gish RG, Bass NM, Weinstein J, Schilsky ML, et al. Survival after liver transplantation in patients with hepatic iron overload: the national hemochromatosis transplant registry. *Gastroenterology* 2005;129:494-503.
- [121] Joshi PK, Patel SC, Shreya D, Zamora DI, Patel GS, Grossmann I, et al. Hereditary Hemochromatosis: A Cardiac Perspective. *Cureus* 2021;13:e20009.
- [122] Maraj S, Jacobs LE, Maraj R, Contreras R, Rerkpattanapipat P, Malik TA, et al. Inducible left ventricular outflow tract gradient during dobutamine stress echocardiography: an association with intraoperative hypotension but not a contraindication to liver transplantation. *Echocardiography* 2004;21:681-685.
- [123] Pai SL, Aniskevich S, 3rd, Logvinov, II, Matcha GV, Palmer WC, Blackshear JL. Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy in Liver Transplantation Patients. *Transplant Proc* 2018;50:1466-1469.
- [124] Hreybe H, Zahid M, Sonel A, Good CB, Shaver J, Saba S. Noncardiac surgery and the risk of death and other cardiovascular events in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Clin Cardiol* 2006;29:65-68.

- [125] Pai SL, Chadha RM, Logvinov, II, Brigham TJ, Watt KD, Li Z, et al. Preoperative echocardiography as a prognostic tool for liver transplant in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. *Clinical transplantation* 2022;36:e14538.
- [126] Kristensen SD, Knuuti J, Saraste A, Anker S, Bøtker HE, De Hert S, et al. 2014 ESC/ESA Guidelines on non-cardiac surgery: cardiovascular assessment and management: The Joint Task Force on non-cardiac surgery: cardiovascular assessment and management of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Society of Anaesthesiology (ESA). *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2014;31:517-573.
- [127] Josefsson A, Fu M, Björnsson E, Kalaitzakis E. Prevalence of pre-transplant electrocardiographic abnormalities and post-transplant cardiac events in patients with liver cirrhosis. *BMC gastroenterology* 2014;14:65.
- [128] Kim KS, Park YS, Moon YJ, Jung KW, Kang J, Hwang GS. Preoperative Myocardial Ischemia Detected With Electrocardiography Is Associated With Reduced 1-Year Survival Rate in Patients Undergoing Liver Transplant. *Transplant Proc* 2019;51:2755-2760.
- [129] Baibhav B, Mahabir CA, Xie F, Shostrom VK, McCashland TM, Porter TR. Predictive Value of Dobutamine Stress Perfusion Echocardiography in Contemporary End-Stage Liver Disease. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2017;6.
- [130] Soldera J, Camazzola F, Rodríguez S, Brandão A. Dobutamine stress echocardiography, myocardial perfusion scintigraphy, invasive coronary angiography, and post-liver transplantation events: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical transplantation* 2018;32:e13222.
- [131] Epstein SK, Freeman RB, Khayat A, Unterborn JN, Pratt DS, Kaplan MM. Aerobic capacity is associated with 100-day outcome after hepatic transplantation. *Liver transplantation : official publication of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the International Liver Transplantation Society* 2004;10:418-424.
- [132] Galant LH, Forgiarini Junior LA, Dias AS, Marroni CA. Maximum oxygen consumption predicts mortality in patients with alcoholic cirrhosis. *Hepato-gastroenterology* 2013;60:1127-1130.
- [133] Kutkut I, Rachwan RJ, Timsina LR, Ghabril MS, Lacerda MA, Kubal CA, et al. Pre-Liver Transplant Cardiac Catheterization Is Associated With Low Rate of Myocardial Infarction and Cardiac Mortality. *Hepatology* 2020;72:240-256.

- [134] Coss E, Watt KD, Pedersen R, Dierkhising R, Heimbach JK, Charlton MR. Predictors of cardiovascular events after liver transplantation: a role for pretransplant serum troponin levels. *Liver transplantation : official publication of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the International Liver Transplantation Society* 2011;17:23-31.
- [135] VanWagner LB, Ning H, Whitsett M, Levitsky J, Uttal S, Wilkins JT, et al. A point-based prediction model for cardiovascular risk in orthotopic liver transplantation: The CAR-OLT score. *Hepatology* 2017;66:1968-1979.
- [136] Park YS, Moon YJ, Jun IG, Song JG, Hwang GS. Application of the Revised Cardiac Risk Index to the Model for End-Stage Liver Disease Score Improves the Prediction of Cardiac Events in Patients Undergoing Liver Transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 2018;50:1108-1113.
- [137] Di Maira T, Rubin A, Puchades L, Aguilera V, Vinaixa C, Garcia M, et al. Framingham score, renal dysfunction, and cardiovascular risk in liver transplant patients. *Liver transplantation : official publication of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the International Liver Transplantation Society* 2015;21:812-822.
- [138] Guckelberger O, Mutzke F, Glanemann M, Neumann UP, Jonas S, Neuhaus R, et al. Validation of cardiovascular risk scores in a liver transplant population. *Liver transplantation : official publication of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the International Liver Transplantation Society* 2006;12:394-401.
- [139] Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, Beam C, Birtcher KK, Blumenthal RS, et al. 2018
AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA
Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: A Report of the
American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on
Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* 2019;139:e1082-e1143.
- [140] de Franchis R, Bosch J, Garcia-Tsao G, Reiberger T, Ripoll C. Baveno VII - Renewing consensus in portal hypertension. *Journal of hepatology* 2022;76:959-974.
- [141] Fleisher LA, Fleischmann KE, Auerbach AD, Barnason SA, Beckman JA, Bozkurt B, et al. 2014 ACC/AHA guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: a report of the American College of

Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. J
Am Coll Cardiol 2014;64:e77-137.