# Analysis of the UK Government's 10-Year Drugs Strategy—a resource for practitioners and policymakers

Adam Holland<sup>1</sup>, Alex Stevens<sup>2</sup>, Magdalena Harris<sup>3</sup>, Dan Lewer<sup>4</sup>, Harry Sumnall<sup>5</sup>, Daniel Stewart<sup>1</sup>, Eilish Gilvarry<sup>6</sup>, Alice Wiseman<sup>7</sup>, Joshua Howkins<sup>8</sup>, Jim McManus<sup>9</sup>, Gillian W Shorter<sup>10</sup>, James Nicholls<sup>11</sup>, Jenny Scott<sup>12</sup>, Kyla Thomas<sup>1</sup>, Leila Reid<sup>13</sup>, Edward Day<sup>14</sup>, Jason Horsley<sup>15</sup>, Fiona Measham<sup>16</sup>, Maggie Rae<sup>17</sup>, Kevin Fenton<sup>18</sup>, Matthew Hickman<sup>1</sup>

Address correspondence to Dr Adam Holland, E-mail: adam.holland@bristol.ac.uk.

#### **ABSTRACT**

In 2021, during a drug-related death crisis in the UK, the Government published its ten-year drugs strategy. This article, written in collaboration with the Faculty of Public Health and the Association of Directors of Public Health, assesses whether this Strategy is evidence-based and consistent with international calls to promote public health approaches to drugs, which put 'people, health and human rights at the centre'. Elements of the Strategy are welcome, including the promise of significant funding for drug treatment services, the effects of which will depend on how it is utilized by services and local commissioners and whether it is sustained. However, unevidenced and harmful measures to deter drug use by means of punishment continue to be promoted, which will have deleterious impacts on people who use drugs. An effective public health approach to drugs should tackle population-level risk factors, which may predispose to harmful patterns of drug use, including adverse childhood experiences and socioeconomic deprivation, and institute evidence-based measures to mitigate drug-related harm. This would likely be more effective, and just, than the continuation of policies rooted in enforcement. A more dramatic re-orientation of UK drug policy than that offered by the Strategy is overdue.

Keywords addiction, Government and Law, public health

#### Introduction

In 2021, the UK Government published its 10-year drugs strategy, From Harm to Hope<sup>1</sup> (hereafter referred to as 'the Strategy') following Dame Black's Independent Review of Drugs.<sup>2</sup> This is during a period of escalating drug-related deaths in the UK<sup>3-5</sup> surpassing the rates of many countries.<sup>6</sup> The following analysis, undertaken with the Faculty of

Public Health and Association of Directors of Public Health, assesses whether the Strategy is evidence-based and consistent with the call from the highest coordination forum of the United Nations (UN) to ensure drug strategies promote public health and human rights.<sup>7</sup> The Strategy is structured under three strategic priorities: to 'Break drug supply chains', 'Deliver a world-class treatment and recovery system', and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 2BN, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>School of Social Policy, Sociology and Social Research, University of Kent, Canterbury, CT2 7NZ

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Public Health, Environments and Society, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, WC1E 7HT, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Public Health Specialty Registrar, Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, London, WC1E 6BT, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Public Health Institute, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, L3 5UX, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Population Health Sciences Institute, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle, NE1 7RU, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Association of Directors of Public Health, London, EC4Y 0HA, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 2BN, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Association of Directors of Public Health, London, EC4Y 0HA, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>School of Psychology, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, BT7 1NN

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Faculty of Health Sciences and Sport, University of Stirling, Stirling, FK9 4LA, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Department of Pharmacy & Pharmacology, University of Bath, Bath, BA2 7AY

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Hepatitis C Trust, London, SE1 3YD, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Institute of Mental Health, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>National Institute for Health Research Evaluation Trials and Studies Coordinating Centre, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>Department of Sociology, Social Policy and Criminology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 3BX

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>Epidemiological and Public Health Section, Royal Society of Medicine, London, W1G 0AE, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>Faculty of Public Health, London, NW1 4LB, UK

'Achieve a generational shift in demand for drugs'. This article discusses drug-related harm in the UK, the Strategy's three pillars, and highlights missing elements of policy.

### **Drug-related harm in the UK**

'Drug-related harm' encompasses the negative health and social impacts associated with illicit drug use, and drug market involvement. As acknowledged by the Strategy, and the Black Review, which preceded it, current approaches have not effectively reduced many of these harms. Various health and social issues including socioeconomic deprivation, mental and physical health problems, stigma, trauma and homelessness may both predispose to and be exacerbated by drug dependence. 8–10

Amongst the health harms related to drug use, drug-related deaths provide the most obvious metric. Between 2010 and 2019, age standardized drug-related mortality rates increased in Scotland by 171% (from 90 to 244 per million)<sup>3</sup>; Northern Ireland by 149% (from 35 to 87 per million)<sup>4</sup>; and England and Wales by 61% (from 31 to 49 per million).<sup>5</sup> There are likely multiple reasons for these increases.<sup>2,11</sup> A common argument is that deaths increased because people with drug dependencies are older, with comorbidities increasing overdose risk. Two recent studies, however, demonstrated ageing alone does not explain the increase. 12,13 Other potential contributory factors include: (i) increasing polydrug use, with the risk of opioid overdose increasing with concomitant benzodiazepine, gabapentinoid, and alcohol use<sup>14–17</sup>; (ii) increasing homelessness and incarceration, which are associated with mortality risk, and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and hepatitis C (HCV) transmission<sup>9,10,18</sup>; (iii) changing patterns of socioeconomic deprivation, which is strongly associated with drug-related harm<sup>19–21</sup> and (iv) cuts to services that protect against all-cause and drug-related mortality.<sup>2,21,22</sup>

The Strategy makes some unsupported assumptions about the relationship between drugs and social problems. It suggests that drugs 'blight' neighbourhoods, stopping them from reaching their potential, implying drugs cause socioeconomic deprivation as opposed to the latter creating conditions in which drug markets flourish. Socioeconomic deprivation and adverse childhood experiences are inter-related<sup>23</sup> with both associated with harmful patterns of drug use, <sup>19,20,24</sup> Furthermore, disinvestment in health and social services in socioeconomically deprived areas since 2010 may have contributed to increasing harm. <sup>22</sup>

Drug-related harms to third parties include acquisitive crime and drug-related violence. However, in some instances the Strategy exaggerates the causative relationship between drugs and crime. For example, it states drugs 'contribute' to almost half of all homicides, seemingly implying causation. In 2020, 48% of homicides were in some way related to drugs—in most cases, the victim or perpetrator was known to use or deal drugs, sometimes recently.<sup>25</sup> In a small proportion of cases, motives were related to obtaining drugs or drug proceeds,<sup>25</sup> but for the most part, it is not clear that drugs caused the homicides, and in no cases is it clear stricter drug controls would have prevented them.

### **Breaking drug supply chains**

The first pillar of the strategy aims to: reduce drug availability by targeting supply chains, including international, wholesale and retail providers, with a particular focus on 'county lines' dealing' (when drugs are transported from cities to other areas, and sold using a mobile phone 'line').

There is some evidence that limiting the supply of a drug increases its purity adjusted price, <sup>26</sup> which can reduce demand for that drug, <sup>27</sup> thereby reducing hospital attendances and overdoses related to its use. <sup>28</sup> There are, however, three issues with enforcement-led efforts to reduce drug supply.

First, there is limited evidence of their effectiveness. The Government has highlighted there is a lack of relevant evaluative research, <sup>29</sup> and available evidence does not suggest that arresting dealers or seizing drugs has a long-term impact on supply. <sup>30</sup> Internationally, there have been some isolated reductions in drug supply, for example, after global market disruption interrupted heroin supply in Australia in 2000<sup>31</sup> and Western Europe in 2010<sup>32</sup>; and controls on precursor chemicals in the USA in 1989 and 2006 impacted cocaine availability. <sup>33</sup> These reductions were, however, temporary, and it is not clear what caused them when other efforts have not had the same impact. Despite recent seizures, global production and purity of drugs continues to increase <sup>34</sup> and the UK has amongst the cheapest heroin and cocaine in Europe. <sup>35</sup>

Second, there is limited understanding of how restricting the supply of certain drugs affects the supply of, demand for, and harm related to other drugs. For example, during 'droughts' of specific drugs, people may use adulterated drugs, alternative drugs, or resort to polydrug use. <sup>36–39</sup>

Third, enforcement may have unintended consequences on the drug market and people who use drugs, leading to increased harm. Focussing on the most violent and exploitative forms of supply, such as those associated with county lines dealing 40 may shape the market to adopt less harmful practices. 41,42 However, as the Black Review highlighted, 2 arresting suppliers can create conditions that favour competition, promoting innovation and violence. 41–51

# Delivering a world-class treatment and recovery system

The second pillar of the Strategy aims to: rebuild treatment services following significant disinvestment; promote integration of drug treatment, health and criminal justice services; and improve employment and accommodation opportunities.

Additional drug treatment funding promised by the Strategy is welcome; however, this follows years of sustained disinvestment,<sup>2</sup> associated with reductions in numbers of people in treatment,<sup>52</sup> and an increase in the proportion of people using opioids and crack cocaine not engaged with services.<sup>53</sup> Furthermore, drugs workers have experienced increasing caseloads and greater administrative responsibilities, sometimes limiting their capacity to provide psychosocial interventions.<sup>54</sup>

The Strategy suggests 'recovery from drug addiction' is a key aspect of its approach. As the UK Government Recovery Champion highlights, recovery and harm reduction should not be considered as opposing approaches, and the full range of evidence-based interventions should be provided. Deprivational agonist therapy (OAT—treatment of opioid dependence with methadone or buprenorphine) reduces the risks of all-cause mortality, overdose, suicide, self-harm, HIV and HCV, improves quality of life hard duration of OAT improves survival. Whilst modelling demonstrates comprehensive OAT and harm reduction programmes reduce drug-related mortality, this is dependent on retention in treatment, which should be a key indicator. Focusing on treatment completion may incentivise premature OAT cessation, limiting treatment benefits and the impact of additional funding.

Increased funding and targeted commissioning could allow the introduction of innovative interventions, including drug checking and diamorphine-assisted treatment—neither of which the Strategy mentions. No intervention alone will avert the drug-related death crisis, but in combination with wider treatment systems, these evidence-based interventions could have beneficial impacts on patterns of harm. <sup>69–72</sup> Local areas may need additional funding and technical support to commission diamorphine-assisted treatment, which is more expensive than oral OAT. <sup>73</sup> These costs, however, are compensated by greater savings to wider services, including related to reductions in acquisitive crime. <sup>73</sup> Drug checking, on the other hand, is expanding, as the UK's first regular Home Office licensed, local authority funded drug checking service launches in Bristol. <sup>74</sup>

Despite their relevance, the Strategy does not mention HCV and HIV prevention. An estimated 89% of people infected with HCV in the UK have injected drugs<sup>75</sup> and a recent outbreak of HIV occurred amongst people who inject

drugs in Glasgow.<sup>76</sup> The UK is a leader in providing HCV treatment for people who inject drugs, with clear reductions in chronic infections and liver-related deaths.<sup>12,77–80</sup> However, achieving the World Health Organization (WHO) target of 'eliminating HCV as a public health problem', will depend on preventing reinfection, with HCV infection a critical indicator for assessing the success of drug treatment and harm reduction systems.<sup>81</sup>

People with drug dependencies often have co-occurring health problems. People in drug treatment are getting older, and more deaths are caused by long-term conditions than overdoses. 12,82 Office for Health Improvement and Disparities data suggest 63% of people starting drug treatment have a mental health need<sup>53</sup> and people with substance dependence are at greater risk of suicide.<sup>83</sup> A recent study demonstrated that one in fourteen opioidrelated deaths in England occur amongst people recently discharged from hospital, 84 highlighting the need to improve integration between healthcare and drug treatment services. Drug services will need to recruit more clinically trained staff to identify and manage co-occurring health issues, which will be challenging as the workforce has been depleted by disinvestment. Furthermore, hospital care for people with drug dependence requires improvement. Stigmatizing attitudes towards people who use drugs and fear of opioid withdrawal are key barriers to healthcare access, 85-87 underpinned by hospital policies that create significant procedural barriers to providing OAT.88

Drug-related harm remains a key issue in prison, with overdose risk substantially elevated in the month following release<sup>89-91</sup> and incarceration a risk factor for HIV and HCV.<sup>18</sup> Prison OAT reduces mortality and drug use in prison and critically also mortality following release. 92,93 The Strategy's proposed zero-tolerance approach to drugs is inconsistent with the Inspectorate of Prisons acknowledgement of the importance of harm reduction strategies in prisons.<sup>94</sup> Proposed alternatives to prison OAT, including detoxification, are experimental, and it is necessary to demonstrate they do not increase drug-related deaths (during and after incarceration) compared to OAT. Evaluations of previous Drug Recovery Wings, which utilized abstinence and harm reduction-based approaches, highlighted potential benefits but identified challenges, particularly related to limited support on release.<sup>95</sup> The Strategy recognizes the need for improved inter-agency coordination during and following incarceration, however recommendations from the Advisory Council on the Misuse of Drugs (ACMD) to improve custody-community transitions have not been realized.96

# Achieving a generational shift in the demand for drugs

The third pillar of the Strategy aims to: reduce demand for drugs by applying 'tougher and more meaningful consequences' to deter use, delivering education programmes in schools and supporting at risk families.

The assumption that the threat of punishment will reduce demand is not supported by evidence, with no clear relationship between the stringency of drug laws and drug use prevalence. 97–101 The Home Office previously concluded 'levels of drug use are influenced by factors more complex and nuanced than legislation and enforcement alone'. These may include socioeconomic deprivation and adverse childhood experiences that may be exacerbated by the health and social harms associated with contact with the criminal justice system. Additionally, the stigma associated with punitive policies may deter people with drug dependence from seeking support. 104

The Strategy's proposed 'tough consequences out of court disposal schemes' provide an opportunity to divert people from the criminal justice system. Available evidence tentatively suggests diversion schemes reduce re-offending more effectively and cost-effectively than criminal sanctions. <sup>105–108</sup> However, there is limited research evaluating their impacts on drug-related harms <sup>109</sup> and existing diversion schemes vary in approach and ethos. Whilst diversion schemes may mitigate some of the harms associated with criminal sanctions, most are still designed to negatively impact people who use drugs, which may exacerbate the issues predisposing to harmful use.

The Government's subsequent White Paper, SWIFT, CERTAIN, TOUGH (in consultation), proposes escalating consequences for drug possession including: mandatory drugs awareness courses, random drug testing (and expansion of drugs tested for on arrest), passport and driving licence confiscation, wearable drug monitors and exclusion orders prohibiting attendance of particular venues. 110 These proposals raise significant concerns. Mandatory drugs awareness courses will require payment, with non-attendance and nonpayment punished with fines or criminal charges, placing an inequitable burden on the socioeconomically deprived, who are the most likely to be caught. Passport and driving license confiscations may affect employment prospects and will disproportionately impact the rights of people who use drugs. The intention to ensure 'more people face consequences of their use' with expanded drug testing is likely to 'widen the net', with more people receiving punishments that may escalate to criminal sanctions with questionable justification. Furthermore, the Strategy implies people could be coerced into drug treatment, contravening human rights and medical

ethics norms, <sup>111</sup> with limited evidence that coerced treatment reduces future drug use. <sup>111</sup>, <sup>112</sup>

It remains to be seen how proposed schemes will contribute to the stigma faced by people who use drugs, and whether they will reproduce the ethnic and socioeconomic disparities apparent in current enforcement. People who are black are nearly nine times more likely to be stopped and searched for drugs than people who are white and are more likely to be arrested, prosecuted, and sentenced to immediate custody.<sup>113</sup> Whilst the Strategy recognizes the problem of disproportionate policing, plans to expand punishments that inequitably impact the socioeconomically deprived do not align with efforts to reduce inequalities and 'level up' communities.<sup>114</sup>

## What's missing?

The Strategy states it is taking a new approach; however, most elements are a continuation of former approaches proposed in the context of existing legislation, rather than allowing for legislative reform to decriminalize the possession of drugs and facilitate innovative interventions.

The Strategy suggests that decriminalization risks increasing drug use; however, this is not supported by evidence. 97-101 Whilst criminalization has no clear benefits, it causes significant harm to people who use drugs. 115 Since the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971 was introduced, more than three million criminal records have been generated for drugs offences. 116 In 2017, 60% of prosecutions for drug offences in England and Wales were for possession rather than supply, including 36% for the possession of cannabis. 113 In the UK, decriminalization has been recommended by bodies including the 2019 Health and Social Care Committee on Drug Policy<sup>117</sup>; the Royal College of Physicians<sup>118</sup>; the Royal Society of Public Health and the Faculty of Public Health. 119 Internationally, over 30 countries have some degree of decriminalization, <sup>101</sup> and it has been recommended by the highest coordination forum of the UN, comprising the Executive Heads of organizations including the WHO and the UN Office for Drugs and Crime.7

The Government has resisted the introduction of overdose prevention centres, <sup>120</sup> despite promising evidence they could reduce drug-related deaths and engage the most marginalized with services. <sup>70,121</sup> The introduction of pilot sites has been recommended by numerous health, academic and third sector organizations, <sup>122,123</sup> the ACMD, <sup>21</sup> the 2019 Health and Social Care Committee on Drugs Policy <sup>117</sup> and the Scottish Drug Deaths Taskforce. <sup>124</sup> Although overdose prevention centres may be provided in the UK with agreement from local agencies, <sup>125</sup> legislative change would facilitate pilots, allowing

evaluations of their effectiveness and cost-effectiveness. <sup>120</sup> Currently, legislation also creates barriers to providing smoking paraphernalia to engage people who use crack cocaine with services, <sup>126</sup> as is the case in other countries. <sup>127</sup>

There was no opportunity for public consultation in the Strategy's development. For other health and social policies, research and commissioning, the views of the public are included as a matter of priority. Generally, the views of people who use drugs, who entreat that there should be 'nothing about us without us', have not been adequately considered when developing drugs strategies. Communities of people who use drugs, and UN agencies, have highlighted human rights implications, including the right to non-discrimination, should be a primary consideration in developing drug strategies. The Strategy does not mention human rights, and punitive policies and restrictions on access to harm reduction programmes are often at odds with human rights norms. 115,134

Stigma related to drug use, including that propagated by the language used to describe people who use drugs, <sup>135</sup> creates barriers to seeking support. <sup>104</sup> The Strategy identifies the need to reduce stigma. However, the Government has also suggested that stigma is a valued means to deter drug use initiation. <sup>136</sup> Elements of the Strategy could be seen as promoting stigma, for example referring to acquisitive crime in terms of '[t]he innocent families whose homes are broken into by addicts seeking to feed their habits'. <sup>1</sup> Independent antistigma campaigns have been launched, <sup>137</sup>, <sup>138</sup> but the evidence for their effectiveness is limited, <sup>139</sup> as sources of stigma are complex, <sup>140</sup> and efforts would need to translate into policy and practice to have meaningful impact.

## **Conclusion**

There are significant inconsistencies between the Strategy and the call from the highest coordination forum of the UN to promote public health approaches to drugs, putting 'people, health and human rights at the centre'. A public health approach should tackle upstream factors predisposing to harmful drug use alongside many other health and social disadvantages. Whilst promised investment in drug treatment is welcome and likely to be beneficial, this alone will not solve the drug-related death crisis. Realizing the potential benefits of additional funding and achieving the ambition to develop a 'world class treatment and recovery system' will depend on addressing fundamental flaws in the Strategy's approach. Furthermore, an effective public health strategy should reflect best evidence. Whilst the Strategy states evidence is 'at the heart' of its approach, this is not always the case as it continues

to promote un-evidenced and harmful measures to deter drug use with punishment.

We believe a public health approach to drugs would be more effective than policies rooted in criminalization and enforcement. Framing drug use as something deserving of punishment promotes stigmatizing attitudes, which pose a barrier to accessing support and approaches that do not adequately consider the views and human rights of people who use drugs. For more than fifty years, this has failed to effect improvements and a more dramatic re-orientation of the UK response to drugs is overdue.

## **Acknowledgements**

This article was written in collaboration with the Faculty of Public Health and the Association of Directors of Public Health.

## **Conflict of Interests**

AH is a volunteer harm reduction adviser for the Loop—a not-for-profit drug checking service provider. AS is a trustee for Harm Reduction International. DS is a volunteer for the Loop. JN is a Trustee at Cranstoun, a third sector drug and alcohol treatment provider. JS works as a pharmacist prescriber with a third sector drug and alcohol treatment provider. LR is Director of Corporate Services for the Hepatitis C Trust. ED is the UK Government Recovery Champion. FM is the Director of the Loop.

## **Funding**

No funding supported this research.

## **Data availability**

No new data were generated or analyzed in support of this research.

## References

- HM Government. From harm to hope A 10-year drugs plan to cut crime and save lives. 2021. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/1043484/ From\_harm\_to\_hope\_PDF.pdf (26 May 2021, date last accessed).
- 2. Home Office and Department of Health and Social Care. *Independent review of drugs by Professor Dame Carol Black*. 2021. https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/independent-review-of-drugs-by-professor-dame-carol-black (26 May 2022, date last accessed).
- National Records of Scotland. Drug-related Deaths in Scotland in 2020.
   https://www.nrscotland.gov.uk/statistics-and-data/statisti

- cs/statistics-by-theme/vital-events/deaths/drug-related-deaths-in-scotland/2020 (15 April 2022, date last accessed).
- Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency. Drug-Related Deaths. 2022. https://www.nisra.gov.uk/statistics/cause-death/dru g-related-deaths (15 April 2022, date last accessed).
- Office for National Statistics. Deaths related to drug poisoning in England and Wales: 2020 registrations. 2021. https://www.ons.gov.uk/people populationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths/bu lletins/deathsrelatedtodrugpoisoninginenglandandwales/2020 (15 April 2022, date last accessed).
- European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. Drugrelated deaths and mortality in Europe - Update from the EMCDDA expert network. 2021. https://www.emcdda.europa.eu/system/files/ publications/13762/TD0221591ENN.pdf (15 April 2022, date last accessed).
- United Nations Chief Executives Board for Coordination. United Nations system common position supporting the implementation of the international drug control policy through effective inter-agency collaboration.
   https://unsceb.org/sites/default/files/2021-01/2018%20
   Nov%20-%20UN%20system%20common%20position%20on%20drug%20policy.pdf (24 May 2022, date last accessed).
- Strang J, Volkow ND, Degenhardt L et al. Opioid use disorder. Nat Rev Dis Primers 2020;6(1):1–28.
- Degenhardt L, Grebely J, Stone J et al. Global patterns of opioid use and dependence: harms to populations, interventions, and future action. Lancet 2019;394(10208):1560–79.
- Stone J, Artenie A, Hickman M et al. The contribution of unstable housing to HIV and hepatitis C virus transmission among people who inject drugs globally, regionally, and at country level: a modelling study. Lancet Public Health 2022;7(2):136–45.
- Public Health England. Understanding and preventing drug-related deaths.
   https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/669308/Understanding\_and\_preventing\_drug\_related\_deaths\_report.pdf (16 March 2022, date last accessed).
- Lewer D, Brothers TD, Van Hest N et al. Causes of death among people who used illicit opioids in England, 2001–18: a matched cohort study. Public Health 2022;7(2):e126–35.
- McDonald SA, McAuley A, Hickman M et al. Increasing drug-related mortality rates over the last decade in Scotland are not just due to an ageing cohort: A retrospective longitudinal cohort study. Foreign Policy 2021;96:103286.
- Macleod J, Steer C, Tilling K et al. Prescription of benzodiazepines, z-drugs, and gabapentinoids and mortality risk in people receiving opioid agonist treatment: Observational study based on the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink and Office for National Statistics death records. PLoS Med 2019;16(11):e1002965.
- 15. McAuley A, Matheson C, Robertson JR. From the clinic to the street: the changing role of benzodiazepines in the Scottish overdose epidemic. *Int J Drug Policy* 2022;**100**:103512.
- Lyndon A, Matheson C, Robertson JR. Risk to heroin users of polydrug use of pregabalin or gabapentin. Addiction 2017;112(9):1580–9.
- Hill R, Lyndon A, Withey S et al. Ethanol reversal of tolerance to the respiratory depressant effects of morphine. Neuropsychopharmacology 2016;41(3):762–73.

- Stone J, Fraser H, Lim AG et al. Incarceration history and risk of HIV and hepatitis C virus acquisition among people who inject drugs: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis 2018;18(12):1397–409.
- Marmot M. Fair Society, Healthy Lives The Marmot Review. *Institute of Health Equity* 2010. http://www.instituteofhealthequity.org/resources-reports/fair-society-healthy-lives-the-marmot-review/fair-society-healthy-lives-full-report-pdf.pdf 19 February 2022, date last accessed.
- Kontopantelis E, Buchan I, Webb RT et al. Disparities in mortality among 25–44-year-olds in England: a longitudinal, population-based study. Lancet Public Health 2018;3(12):567–75.
- Advisory Council on the Misuse of Drugs. Reducing Opioid-Related Deaths in the UK. 2016. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.u k/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/fi le/576560/ACMD-Drug-Related-Deaths-Report-161212.pdf (6 September 2021, date last accessed).
- 22. Marmot M, Allen J, Boyce T, Goldblatt P, Morrison J. *Health Equity in England: The Marmot Review 10 Years On.* 2020. https://www.instituteofhealthequity.org/resources-reports/marmot-review-10-years-on/the-marmot-review-10-years-on-full-report.pdf (5 May 2022, date last accessed).
- Lewer D, King E, Bramley G et al. The ACE Index: mapping childhood adversity in England. J Public Health 2020;42(4):487–95.
- Public Health Wales. Welsh Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study.
   http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/2648/1/ACE%20Report %20FINAL%20%28E%29.pdf (26 May 2022, date last accessed).
- 25. Office for National Statistics. *Homicide in England and Wales: Year Ending March 2020.* 2021. https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopula tionandcommunity/crimeandjustice/articles/homicideinenglanda ndwales/yearendingmarch2020#drug-and-alcohol-related-homicides (19 April 2022, date last accessed).
- MacCoun RJ, Reuter P. Drug War Heresies: Learning from Other Vices. Times & Places. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- 27. Payne J, Manning M, Fleming C, Pham H-T. Trends & issues in crime and criminal justice The price elasticity of demand for illicit drugs: A systematic review. 2020. https://www.aic.gov.au/sites/default/files/2020-10/ti606\_price\_elasticity\_of\_demand\_for\_illicit\_drugs.pdf (28 March 2022, date last accessed).
- Hughes C, Hulme S, Ritter A. The relationship between drug price and purity and population level harm. 2020. https://www.aic.gov.au/sites/ default/files/2020-07/ti598\_relationship\_between\_drug\_price\_a nd\_purity.pdf (13 April 2022, date last accessed).
- HM Government. An evaluation of the Government's Drug Strategy 2010.
   https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/628100/Drug\_Strategy\_Evaluation.PDF (14 March 2022, date last accessed).
- 30. Eggins E, Hine L, Higginson A, Mazerolle L. The impact of arrest and seizure on drug crime and harms: A systematic review. *Trends Issues Crime Crim Justice* 2020. http://www.aic.gov.au/sites/default/files/2020-09/ti602\_impact\_of\_arrest\_and\_seizure\_on\_drug\_crime\_and\_harms.pdf (10 October 2022, date last accessed).
- Weatherburn D, Jones C, Freeman K, Makkai T. Supply control and harm reduction: lessons from the Australian heroin 'drought'. Addiction 2003;98(1):83–91.

- 32. Griffiths P, Mounteney J, Laniel L. Understanding changes in heroin availability in Europe over time: emerging evidence for a slide, a squeeze and a shock. *Addiction* 2012;**107**(**9**):1539–40.
- Cunninham JK, Callaghan RC, Liu L-M. US federal cocaine essential ('precursor') chemical regulation impacts on US cocaine availability: an intervention time-series analysis with temporal replication. *Addiction* 2015;110(5):805–20.
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. Global Overview: Drug Demand Drug Supply. 2021. https://www.unodc.org/res/wdr2021/ field/WDR21\_Booklet\_2.pdf (13 April 2022, date last accessed).
- Groshkova T, Cunningham A, Royuela L et al. Drug affordability– potential tool for comparing illicit drug markets. Int J Drug Policy 2018;56:187–96.
- Harris M, Forseth K, Rhodes T. "It's Russian roulette": adulteration, adverse effects and drug use transitions during the 2010/2011 United Kingdom heroin shortage. *Int J Drug Policy* 2015;26(1):51–8.
- Kesten JM, Holland A, Linton M-J et al. Living Under Coronavirus and Injecting Drugs in Bristol (LUCID-B): A qualitative study of experiences of COVID-19 among people who inject drugs. Int J Drug Policy 2021;98:103391.
- Matheson C, Parkes T, Schofield J, et al. Understanding the health impacts of the COVID-19 response on people who use drugs in Scotland (PWUD). 2020. https://www.cso.scot.nhs.uk/wp-content/u ploads/COVSTG2010-1.pdf (28 March 2022, date last accessed).
- Pascoe M, Radley S, Simmons HTD, Measham F. The Cathinone Hydra: Increased Cathinone and caffeine adulteration in the English MDMA market after Brexit and COVID-19 lockdowns. *Drug Science*, policy and Law 2022;8.
- Spicer J, Moyle L, Coomber R. The variable and evolving nature of 'cuckooing' as a form of criminal exploitation in street level drug markets. *Trends in Organized Crime* 2020;23:301–23.
- Stevens A. Applying harm reduction principles to the policing of retail drug markets. 2013. https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/19567/1/MDLEreport-3\_Applying-harm-reduction-to-policing-of-retail-markets. pdf (14 March 2022, date last accessed).
- 42. Waal H, Clausen T, Gjersing L, Gossop M. Open drug scenes: responses of five European cities. *BMC Public Health* 2014;**14**(**853**).
- Bowlin B. Criminal Iatrogenesis. Leicester: British Society of Criminology, 2010.
- 44. Curtis R, Wendel T. "You're Always Training the Dog": Strategic Interventions to Reconfigure Drug Markets. *Journal of Drug Issues* 2007;**37**(4):867–91.
- Dickenson M. The Impact of Leadership Removal on Mexican Drug Trafficking Organizations. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology* 2014;30:651–76.
- Bowling B. Transnational criminology and the globalization of harm production. In: Bosworth M, Hoyle C (eds). What is Criminology. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011.
- 47. Calderón G, Robles G, Diaz-Cayeros A, Magaloni B. The Beheading of Criminal Organizations and the Dynamics of Violence in Mexico. *J Confl Resolut* 2015;**59**(8):1455–85.
- Moeller K, Hesse M. Drug market disruption and systemic violence: Cannabis markets in Copenhagen. European Journal of Criminology 2013;10(2):206–21.

- Vargas R. Criminal Group Embeddedness and the Adverse Effects of Arresting a Gang's Leader: A Comparative Case Study. Crim 2014;52(2):143–68.
- Werb D, Rowell G, Guyatt G et al. Effect of drug law enforcement on drug market violence: a systematic review. Int J Drug Policy 2011;22(2):87–94.
- 51. Bretteville-Jensen AL, Mikulic S, Bem P, et al. Costs and Unintended Consequences of Drug Control Policies. 2017. https://rm.coe.int/costs-a nd-unitended-consequences-of-drug-control-policies/16807701a9 (13 April 2022, date last accessed).
- 52. Roscoe S, Pryce R, Buykx P *et al.* Is disinvestment from alcohol and drug treatment services associated with treatment access, completions and related harm? An analysis of English expenditure and outcomes data. *Drug Alcohol Rev* 2022;**41**(1):54–61.
- 53. Office for Health Improvement & Disparities. Adult substance misuse treatment statistics 2020 to 2021: report. 2022. https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/substance-misuse-treatment-for-adults-statistics-2020-to-2021/adult-substance-misuse-treatment-statistics-2020-to-2021-report (25 March 2022, date last accessed).
- 54. Day E, Mitcheson L. Psychosocial interventions in opiate substitution treatment services: does the evidence provide a case for optimism or nihilism? *Addiction* 2017;112:1329–36.
- 55. Home Office and Department of Health & Social Care. *UK Government Recovery Champion Annual Report*. 2021. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/956729/Recovery\_Champion\_First\_Annual\_Report.pdf (30 April 2022, date last accessed).
- Santo T Jr, Clark B, Hickman M et al. Association of Opioid Agonist Treatment With All-Cause Mortality and Specific Causes of Death Among People With Opioid Dependence: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiat 2021;78(9):979–93.
- Padmanathan P, Forbes H, Redaniel MT et al. Self-harm and suicide during and after opioid agonist treatment among primary care patients in England: a cohort study. Lancet Psychiatry 2022;9(2): 151–9.
- 58. Hickman M, Steer C, Tilling K *et al.* The impact of buprenorphine and methadone on mortality: a primary care cohort study in the United Kingdom. *Addiction* 2018;**113**(8):1461–76.
- Pierce M, Bird SM, Hickman M et al. Impact of treatment for opioid dependence on fatal drug-related poisoning: a national cohort study in England. Addiction 2016;111(2):298–308.
- Platt L, Minozzi S, Reed J et al. Needle and syringe programmes and opioid substitution therapy for preventing HCV transmission among people who inject drugs: findings from a Cochrane Review and metaanalysis. Addiction 2018;113(3):545–63.
- Cornish R, Macleod J, Strang J et al. Risk of death during and after opiate substitution treatment in primary care: prospective observational study in UK General Practice Research Database. BMJ 2010;341:c5475.
- Kimber J, Copeland L, Hickman M et al. Survival and cessation in injecting drug users: Prospective observational study of outcomes and effect of opiate substitution treatment. BMJ 2010;341:c3172.
- 63. Nosyk B, Anglin MD, Brecht M-L et al. Characterizing durations of heroin abstinence in the California Civil Addict Program:

- results from a 33-year observational cohort study. Am J Epidemiol 2013;117(7):675–82.
- Hser Y-I, Hoffman V, Grella CE, Anglin MD. A 33-year follow-up of narcotics addicts. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2001;58:503–8.
- 65. Termorshuizen F, Krol A, Prins M et al. Prediction of relapse to frequent heroin use and the role of methadone prescription: an analysis of the Amsterdam Cohort Study among drug users. Drug Alcohol Depend 2005;79(2):231–40.
- Stone J, Degenhardt L, Grebely J et al. Modelling the intervention effect of opioid agonist treatment on multiple mortality outcomes in people who inject drugs: a three-setting analysis. Lancet Psychiatry 2021;8(4):301–9.
- Irvine MA, Kuo M, Buxton JA et al. Modelling the combined impact of interventions in averting deaths during a synthetic-opioid overdose epidemic. Addiction 2019;114(9):1602–13.
- Chaillon A, Bharat C, Stone J et al. Modeling the population-level impact of opioid agonist treatment on mortality among people accessing treatment between 2001 and 2020 in New South Wales. Australia Addiction 2022;117(5):1338–52.
- Strang J, Groshkova T, Uchtenhagen A et al. Heroin on trial: Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised trials of diamorphine-prescribing as treatment for refractory heroin addiction. Br J Psychiatry 2018;207(1):5–14.
- Kilmer B, Taylor J, Caulkins JP, et al. Considering Heroin-Assisted Treatment and Supervised Drug Consumption Sites in the United States. 2018. https://www.rand.org/pubs/research\_reports/RR2693.html (19 April 2022, date last accessed).
- Maghsoudi N, Tanguay J, Scarfone K et al. Drug checking services for people who use drugs: a systematic review. Addiction 2021;117(3):532–44.
- Measham F, Turnbull G, Turnbull G. Intentions, actions and outcomes: A follow up survey on harm reduction practices after using an English festival drug checking service. *Int J Drug Policy* 2021;95:103270.
- European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction.
   EMCDDA Insights New beroin-assisted treatment. 2012. https://www.emcdda.europa.eu/system/files/publications/690/Heroin\_Insight\_335259.pdf (22 February 2022, date last accessed).
- Bushby M, Ellson A. First licence for service to test purity of illegal drugs. The Times 2022. https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/first-licence-for-service-to-test-purity-of-illegal-drugs-9fsdzs7p2 24 May 2022, date last accessed.
- 75. UK Health Security Agency. *Hepatitis C in England 2022 Working to eliminate hepatitis C as a public health problem.* 2022. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/a ttachment\_data/file/1057271/HCV-in-England-2022-full-report.pdf (22 April 2022, date last accessed).
- Ragonnet-Cronin M, Jackson C, Bradley-Stewart A et al. Recent and Rapid Transmission of HIV Among People Who Inject Drugs in Scotland Revealed Through Phylogenetic Analysis. J Infect Dis 2018;217(12):1875–82.
- 77. Harris RJ, Harris HE, Mandal S *et al.* Monitoring the hepatitis C epidemic in England and evaluating intervention scale-up using routinely collected data. *J Viral Hepat* 2019;**26**(**5**):541–51.

- Bardsley M, Heinsbroek E, Harris R et al. The impact of directacting antivirals on hepatitis C viraemia among people who inject drugs in England; real-world data 2011-2018. J Viral Hepat 2021;28(10):1452–63.
- Byrne CJ, Beer L, Inglis SK et al. Real-world outcomes of rapid regional hepatitis C virus treatment scale-up among people who inject drugs in Tayside, Scotland. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapentics 2021;55(5):568–79.
- Palmateer NE, McAuley A, Dillon JF et al. Reduction in the population prevalence of hepatitis C virus viraemia among people who inject drugs associated with scale-up of direct-acting antiviral therapy in community drug services: real-world data. Addiction 2021;116(10):2893–907.
- Yeung A, Palmateer NE, Dillon JF et al. Population-level estimates of hepatitis C reinfection post scale-up of direct-acting antivirals among people who inject drugs. J Hepatol 2022;76(3): 549–57.
- Larney S, Thi Tran L, Leung J. All-Cause and Cause-Specific Mortality Among People Using Extramedical Opioids. *JAMA Psychiat* 2020;77(5):493–502.
- 83. Ferrari AJ, Norman RE, Freedman G et al. The burden attributable to mental and substance use disorders as risk factors for suicide: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. PLoS One 2014;9(4).
- 84. Lewer D, Eastwood B, White M *et al.* Fatal opioid overdoses during and shortly after hospital admissions in England: A case-crossover study. *PLoS Med* 2021;**18**(**10**).
- Muncan B, Walters SM, Ezell J, Ompad DC. "They look at us like junkies": influences of drug use stigma on the healthcare engagement of people who inject drugs in New York City. Harm Reduct J 2020;17(1):53.
- van Boekel LC, Brouwers EPM, van Weeghel J, Garretsen HFL.
   Stigma among health professionals towards patients with substance use disorders and its consequences for healthcare delivery: systematic review. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2013;131(1-2):23–35.
- 87. Harris M. Normalised pain and severe health care delay among people who inject drugs in London: Adapting cultural safety principles to promote care. *Soc Sci Med* 2020;**260**:113183.
- Harris M, Holland A, Lewer D et al. Barriers to management of opioid withdrawal in hospitals in England: a document analysis of hospital policies on the management of substance dependence. BMC Med 2022;20:151.
- Binswanger IA, Stern MF, Deyo RA et al. Release from Prison
   — A High Risk of Death for Former Inmates. N Engl J Med 2007;356:157–65.
- Seaman SR, Brettle RP, Gore SM. Mortality from overdose among injecting drug users recently released from prison: database linkage study. BMJ 1998;316(7129):426–8.
- Zlodre J, Fazel S. All-cause and external mortality in released prisoners: systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Public Health 2012;102(12):67–75.
- 92. Durjava L. Effectiveness of prison-based opioid substitution treatment: a systematic review. MOJ Addiction Medicine & Therapy 2018;5(4):176–85.

- 93. Marsden J, Stillwel G, Jones H *et al.* Does exposure to opioid substitution treatment in prison reduce the risk of death after release? A national prospective observational study in England. *Addiction* 2017;**112**(8):1408–18.
- 94. HM Inspectorate of Prisons. Changing patterns of substance misuse in adult prisons and service responses. 2015. https://www.justiceinspectorates.gov.uk/hmiprisons/wp-content/uploads/sites/4/2015/12/Substance-misuse-web-2015.pdf (17 March 2022, date last accessed).
- 95. Lloyd C, McKeganey N, Liebling A. *The Evaluation of the Drug Recovery Wing Pilots*. 2017. https://www.york.ac.uk/media/healthsciences/documents/research/mentalhealthresearch/DRWsFinalPublishedReport.pdf (accesed 22 Apr 2022).
- Advisory Council on the Misuse of Drugs. Custody-Community Transitions. 2019. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/gove rnment/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/902762/ CMD\_Custody\_community\_transitions\_report\_June\_2019.pdf (22 April 2022, date last accessed).
- Gabri AC, Galanti MR, Orsini N, Magnusson C. Changes in cannabis policy and prevalence of recreational cannabis use among adolescents and young adults in Europe—An interrupted time-series analysis. *PLoS One* 2022;17(1):e0261885.
- 98. Stevens A. Is policy 'liberalization' associated with higher odds of adolescent cannabis use? A re-analysis of data from 38 countries. *Int J Drug Policy* 2020;**66**:94–9.
- Hughes B, Matias J, Griffiths P. Inconsistencies in the assumptions linking punitive sanctions and use of cannabis and new psychoactive substances in Europe. *Addiction* 2018;113(12):2155–7.
- 100. Scheim AI, Maghsoudi N, Marshall Z et al. Impact evaluations of drug decriminalisation and legal regulation on drug use, health and social harms: a systematic review. BMJ Open 2020;10(9):e035148.
- 101. Eastwood N, Fox E, Rosmarin A. A Quiet Revolution: Drug Decriminalisation Across the Globe. 2016. https://www.release.org.uk/sites/default/files/pdf/publications/A%20Quiet%20Revolution%20-%20Decriminalisation%20Across%20the%20Globe.pdf (27 May 2022, date last accessed).
- Home Office. Drugs: International Comparators. 2014. https://assets. publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/a ttachment\_data/file/368489/DrugsInternationalComparators.pdf (27 May 2022, date last accessed).
- 103. Revolving Doors Agency. Balancing Act Addressing bealth inequalities among people in contact with the criminal justice system. 2013. https://revolving-doors.org.uk/publications/balancing-act/ (28 May 2022, date last accessed).
- 104. UK Drug Policy Commission. Getting Serious about Stigma:: the problem with stigmatising drug users. 2010. https://www.ukdpc.org.uk/wpcontent/uploads/Policy%20report%20-%20Getting%20serious%20about%20stigma\_%20the%20problem%20with%20stigmatising%20drug%20users.pdf (19 April 2022, date last accessed).
- 105. Lösel FA, Koehler JA, Hamilton L, Humphreys DK, Akoensi TD. Strengthening Transnational Approaches to Reducing Reoffending -Final Report. 2018. https://www.cep-probation.org/wp-content/u ploads/2018/10/STARR-final-report.pdf (22 March 2022, date last accessed).
- 106. Holloway K, Bennett T, Farrington D. The effectiveness of criminal justice and treatment programmes in reducing drug-related crime: a systematic

- review. 2005. https://www.crim.cam.ac.uk/sites/www.crim.cam.ac.uk/files/olr2605.pdf (22 March 2022, date last accessed).
- 107. Harvey E, Shakeshaft A, Hetherington K et al. The efficacy of diversion and aftercare strategies for adult drug-involved offenders: a summary and methodological review of the outcome literature. Drug Alcohol Rev 2007;26(4):379–87.
- 108. Stevens A, Hughes CE, Hulme S, Cassidy R. Depenalization, diversion and decriminalization: A realist review and programme theory of alternatives to criminalization for simple drug possession. *European Journal of Criminology* 2019;19(1):29–54.
- 109. European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction. Alternatives to punishment for drug-using offenders. 2015. https://www.emcdda.europa.eu/system/files/publications/1020/TDAU14007 ENN.pdf (14 April 2022, date last accessed).
- Home Office. SWIFT, CERTAIN, TOUGH New consequences for drug possession. 2022. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/gove rnment/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/1091385/ Swift\_Certain\_Tough\_-New\_consequences\_for\_drug\_posse ssion\_\_official\_.pdf (29 July 2022, date last accessed).
- Stevens A. The Ethics and Effectiveness of Coerced Treatment of People Who Use Drugs. Human Rights and Drugs 2012;2(1):1.
- 112. Werb D, Kamarulzaman A, Meacham MC *et al.* The effectiveness of compulsory drug treatment: A systematic review. *The International Journal of Drug Policy* 2016;**28**:1–9.
- 113. Shiner M, Carre Z, Delsol R, Eastwood N. *The Colour of Injustice:* 'Race', drugs and law enforcement in England and Wales. 2018. https://www.release.org.uk/sites/default/files/pdf/publications/The%20 Colour%20of%20Injustice.pdf (15 March 2022).
- 114. HM Government. Levelling Up: Levelling Up the United Kingdom. 2022. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/1052706/Levelling\_Up\_WP\_HRES.pdf (9 August 2022, date last accessed).
- Csete J, Kamarulzaman A, Kazatchkine M et al. Public health and international drug policy. The Lancet 2016;387(10026):1427–80.
- 116. Kincová E, Rolles S. *The Misuse of Drugs Act 1971: Counting the Costs.* 2022. https://transformdrugs.org/assets/files/PDFs/Misuse-of-Drugs-Act-Briefing.pdf (15 March 2022, date last accessed).
- House of Commons Health and Social Care Committee on Drugs Policy.
   https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201919/cmselect/cmhealth/143/143.pdf (29 December 2021, date last accessed).
- 118. Royal College of Physicians. *RCP supports Royal Society for Public Health report on drug policy*. 2018. https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/news/rcp-supports-royal-society-public-health-report-drug-policy (22 March 2022, date last accessed).
- 119. Royal Society for Public Health and the Faculty of Public Health. Taking a new line on drugs. 2016. https://www.rsph.org.uk/uploads/a ssets/uploaded/68d93cdc-292c-4a7b-babfc0a8ee252bc0.pdf (22 February 2022, date last accessed).
- 120. Holland A, Harris M, Hickman M *et al.* Overdose prevention centres in the UK. *Lancet Public Health* 2022;**7(3**):e196–7.
- 121. Shorter GW, Harris M, McAuley A *et al.* The United Kingdom's first unsanctioned overdose prevention site; A proof-of-concept evaluation. *Int J Drug Policy* 2022;**104**:103670.
- 122. Faculty of Public Health. FPH lead cross-sector call to pilot Overdose Prevention Centres in the UK. 2021. https://www.fph.org.uk/news-e

- vents/fph-news/fph-lead-cross-sector-call-to-pilot-overdose-pre vention-centres-in-the-uk/ (29 December 2021, date last accessed).
- 123. Faculty of Public Health. *Call to amend Misuse of Drugs Regulations to make it easier to pilot overdose prevention centres*. 2022. https://www.fph.org.uk/news-events/fph-news/call-to-amend-misuse-of-drugs-regulations-to-make-it-easier-to-pilot-overdose-prevention-centres/(9 August 2022, date last accessed).
- 124. Scottish Drug Deaths Taskforce. Report on Drug Law Reform. 2021. https://drugdeathstaskforce.scot/media/1248/drug-law-reform-report-sept-6th-21.pdf (21 October 2021, date last accessed).
- Fortson R, McCulloch L. Evidence and Issues concerning Drug Consumption Rooms. Queen Mary University of London: School of Law, 2018.
- 126. Harris M. An urgent impetus for action: safe inhalation interventions to reduce COVID19 transmission and fatality risk among people who smoke crack cocaine in the United Kingdom. *Int J Drug Policy* 2020:83:102829
- Prangnell A, Dong H, Daly P et al. Declining rates of health problems associated with crack smoking during the expansion of crack pipe distribution in Vancouver, Canada. BMC Public Health 2017;17:163.
- 128. NHS Health Research Authority. *Public Involvement*. 2022. https://www.hra.nhs.uk/planning-and-improving-research/best-practice/public-involvement/ (17 February 2022, date last accessed).
- 129. NHS England. *Patient and Public Participation Policy*. 2017. https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/ppp-policy.pdf (17 February 2022, date last accessed)
- 130. Canadian HIV/AIDS Legal Network, International HIV/AIDS Alliance, Open Society Institute. "Nothing About Us Without Us" Greater, Meaningful Involvement of People Who Use Illegal Drugs: A Public Health, Ethical and Human Rights Imperative International Edition. 2008. https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/publications/nothing-about-us-without-us (17 February 2022, date last accessed).
- 131. Ti L, Tzemis D, Buxton JA. Engaging people who use drugs in policy and program development: A review of the literature. *Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy* 2012;**7**(47).
- International Network of People Who Use Drugs. Drug War Peace.
   https://www.unodc.org/documents/ungass2016/Contribu

- tions/Civil/INPUD/DUPI-Violations\_of\_the\_Human\_Rights\_of\_People\_Who\_Use\_Drugs-Web.pdf (17 March 2022, date last accessed).
- United Nations Development Programme. International Guidelines on Human Rights and Drug Policy. 2019. https://www.undp.org/publica tions/international-guidelines-human-rights-and-drug-policy (17 March 2022, date last accessed).
- 134. Jürgens R, Csete J, Amon JJ et al. People who use drugs, HIV, and human rights. Lancet 2010;376(9739):475–85.
- 135. The Asian Network of People who use Drugs and the International Network of People who use Drugs. WORDS MATTER! Language Statement & Reference Guide. 2020. https://www.inpud.net/sites/default/files/000596\_INP\_Terminology%20booklet\_v11.pdf (12 February 2022, date last accessed).
- UK Government. Problem drug use in Scotland: Government response to the Committee's First Report of Session 2019. 2020. https://publications.pa rliament.uk/pa/cm5801/cmselect/cmscotaf/698/69802.htm (19 April 2022, date last accessed).
- 137. Scottish Drug Deaths Taskforce. A Strategy to Address the Stigmatisation of People and Communities Affected by Drug Use. 2020. https://drugdeathstaskforce.scot/media/1111/stigma-strategy-for-ddtf-final-290720.pdf (22 February 2022, date last accessed).
- NHS Addictions Provider Alliance. Stigma Kills. 2022. https://www.nhsapa.org/\_files/ugd/d8f2eb\_f884f11c3bfe4821abbbc758cc83d7 de.pdf (22 February 2022, date last accessed).
- 139. Lancaster K, Seear K, Ritter A. Reducing stigma and discrimination for people experiencing problematic alcohol and other drug use. 2017. https://ndarc.med.unsw.edu.au/sites/default/files/ndarc/resources/Reducing%20stigma%20and%20discrimination%20for%20people%20experiencing%20problematic%20alcohol%20and%20other%20drug%20use.pdf (19 April 2022, date last accessed).
- 140. Strangl AL, Earnshaw VA, Logie CH et al. The Health Stigma and Discrimination Framework: a global, crosscutting framework to inform research, intervention development, and policy on health-related stigmas. BMC Med 2019; 17.