1	ractors associated with symptoms of anxiety and depression in children who stutter
2	
3	Ria Frances Louisa Bernard ¹ & Courtenay Frazier Norbury ^{1,2}
4	Affiliations:
5	¹ Department of Language & Cognition, University College London (UCL), UK.
6	² Department of Special Needs Education, University of Oslo, Norway.
7	Corresponding Author: Ria Bernard
8	Correspondence address: Language & Cognition, Division of Psychology, Chandler House, 2
9	Wakefield Street, London, WC1N 1PF
10	Email: ria.bernard@ucl.ac.uk
11	Key words: stutter, anxiety, depression, bullying
12	Conflicts of interest
13	None.
14	Funding
15	This work was supported by a Doctoral CASE Studentship from the Economic and Social
16	Research Council (ESRC) and Action for Stuttering Children Charity awarded to Ria Bernard
17	[ES[/P000592/1]. To comply with Green Open Access, the author has applied a Creative
18	Commons Attribution (CC BY) license to the accepted version of this manuscript
19	
20	
21	

Purpose: Children and adolescents who stutter may be at risk of elevated anxiety and 23 24 depression symptoms, although studies have indicated variability in reported internalizing symptoms in this population. This study considers the association between anxiety and 25 depression symptoms and stuttering, as well as child, family, and contextual factors that may 26 affect this association. 27 Method: Thirty-five school-aged children who stutter completed the Revised Children's 28 29 Anxiety & Depression Scale-short version (RCADS-25). We fitted regression models to examine the association between anxiety and depression symptoms with bullying, stuttering 30 severity, family history of adverse mental health, and age in children who stutter. 31 Results: Family history of adverse mental health was found to significantly predict anxiety 32 and depression scores. Age also predicted depression scores, with older children reporting 33 34 higher scores. Conclusion: Family history of adverse mental health is associated with higher self-reported 35 internalizing symptoms in children who stutter. The interaction between child, family, and 36 contextual factors may change with age, and this requires further exploration in larger, 37 longitudinal studies. The association between bullying and anxiety scores indicates the 38 importance of anti-bullying initiatives in promoting psychosocial development in school-aged 39 children who stutter. This study also highlights the contribution of known risk factors for 40 mental health, such as family history, to variability in symptom reporting. 41 42

22

43

Abstract.

Developmental stuttering is a complex, neurodevelopmental condition characterized by behavioral, affective, and cognitive features (Bloodstein, et al., 2021). Behavioral features include speech characteristics that result in disruptions in the rhythm and timing of speech, such as repetitions, prolongations, and blocks of airflow, as well as secondary behaviours, such as the head nods, blinking, and muscle tension observed in some individuals who stutter. These disruptions in spoken fluency may be accompanied by emotional responses (affective features), such as fear of speaking (Bloodstein et al., 2021). Additionally, cognitive factors may develop over time and include attitudes and beliefs about stuttering and communicating, such as anticipation of stuttering and avoidance of words and situations (Bloodstein et al., 2021).

Many individuals who stutter report negative attitudes from peers, bullying victimization, and teasing related to their speech (Blood et al., 2011; Blood & Blood, 2007; Davis et al., 2002). Stuttering has also been associated with low self-perceived communication competence, heightened communication apprehension and fear of negative evaluation in adolescence (Erickson & Block, 2013; Mulcahy et al., 2008; Blood et al., 2001). These negative experiences may put children and young people who stutter at greater risk of adverse mental health outcomes, particularly anxiety, relative to non-stuttering peers (Smith et al., 2014).

Internalizing conditions refer to those characterized by disordered mood or emotion, such as anxiety and depression (Kovacs & Devlin, 1998; Liu et al., 2011). Internalizing problems in childhood are associated with adverse educational, social, and quality of life outcomes (Liu et al, 2011; Stevanovic, 2013). Anxiety and depression are among the most common mental health disorders that affect children and young people, and commonly cooccur in adolescents and adults (Brady & Kendall, 1992; Lewinsohn et al., 1997). Anxiety refers to a negative emotional state involving anticipation of threat, which although a natural

fight or flight response, becomes pathological when it interferes with everyday functioning (Essau, 2007). Depression is characterized by excessive sadness and loss of interest in otherwise enjoyable activities (Liu et al., 2011). While the onset of anxiety may occur in childhood, depression typically develops during mid to late adolescence (Huberty, 2012). Several social, familial, and psychological factors are associated with increased risk for anxiety and mood disorders among children and adolescents, including female sex, economic deprivation, parental history of either condition, childhood adversity and stressful life events (Hyland et al., 2016; Kovacs & Devlin, 1998). Experiencing anxiety and depression in adolescence also increases the likelihood for recurrence in adulthood (Kovacs & Devlin, 1998), further underscoring the importance of early identification and appropriate support for childhood mental health.

Community studies have indicated that stuttering in adolescence is associated with higher levels of psychological distress and holding lower status jobs in adulthood (McAllister et al., 2013; McAllister et al., 2012). It has been suggested that the difficulties with communication, negative peer reactions, and bullying experienced in social contexts may increase the risk for anxiety amongst children who stutter (Briley et al., 2019; Smith et al., 2014). Improving our understanding of factors that may be involved in any association between internalizing problems and stuttering would serve to inform clinical management of this population. If children who stutter are at elevated risk of internalizing problems relative to children who do not stutter, there is a need to focus on how clinicians work with this population to offset the adverse consequences of poor mental health.

Stuttering and mental health

Stuttering can be associated with negative attitudes and emotions, and adults who stutter experience increased risk for anxiety and social anxiety disorder compared to the non-stuttering population (Craig & Tran, 2014; Iverach & Rapee, 2014). It has been proposed that

maintenance of social anxiety disorder in adults who stutter may be related to several interrelated risk factors, such as fear of negative evaluation, attentional biases, negative social-evaluative cognition and safety behaviours, such as avoiding socially threatening situations and difficult words (Iverach et al., 2017a).

However, findings regarding anxiety in children who stutter have been more mixed. A recent meta-analysis indicated that some children and adolescents who stutter are at risk of elevated anxiety symptoms relative to non-stuttering peers (g = 0.42, 95% CI [0.10, 0.74]), although findings were variable across studies (Bernard et al., 2022). Previous reviews of the literature have cited several factors that may contribute to these inconsistent findings, such as small sample sizes, under-powered analyses, selection bias, use of anxiety measures that are insufficiently sensitive to the experiences of individuals who stutter, and limited control of potential confounding variables, including co-occurring disorders and previous mental health intervention (Bernard et al., 2022; Menzies et al., 1999; Smith et al, 2014). Although the approximate age of onset for anxiety in children who stutter is unclear, Smith et al. (2014) suggested that anxiety levels may increase as children reach adolescence and young adulthood until they exceed normal limits.

There have been fewer studies to date focusing on depression symptoms in the stuttering population, despite the comorbidity between anxiety and depression in the typical population (Brady & Kendall, 1992; Lewinsohn et al., 1997). There appears to be little evidence that children and adolescents who stutter (< 18 years) report elevated depression symptoms (Bernard et al., 2022; Livingstone-Pountney & Mitrevski, 2019). However, some studies have found a significant relationship between stuttering and depression in adults (see Livingstone-Pountney & Mitrevski, 2019), while higher anxiety scores have been found to significantly predict higher depression symptoms in adolescents seeking treatment for stuttering (Iverach et al., 2017b).

Contextual factors: Bullying and mental health

Bullying or victimization can be defined as repeated exposure to negative actions by one or more peers, and typically implies an imbalance of strength or power (Olweus, 1993). Negative actions are characteristic of aggressive behaviours in which an individual intends to inflict injury or discomfort; these may be verbal, for example teasing and name calling, or physical, for instance kicking and punching. Similarly, a distinction can be drawn between direct bullying, in which the victim is openly attacked, and indirect bullying, which may involve efforts to socially exclude an individual (Olweus, 1993).

Bullying is associated with a number of educational and health consequences during childhood, adolescence, and adulthood, which are determined by the frequency and type of bullying, whether the individual is the perpetrator, victim or both, and the point at which these consequences are observed (Armitage, 2021). Meta-analyses of cross-sectional and longitudinal studies indicate a strong association between bullying victimization in childhood and internalizing problems, particularly depression and anxiety (Moore et al., 2017; Reijntjes, et al., 2010). Bullying experienced in childhood and adolescence has also been associated with increased risk for depression and anxiety in adulthood (Lereya et al., 2015; Sigurdson et al., 2015). On the other hand, Shoeler et al. (2018) performed a meta-analysis of quasi-experimental studies (k = 16) to examine the consequences of bullying victimization, and found that the adverse effects on mental health reduced in the longer term. They concluded that bullying may be causally associated with adverse mental health outcomes in the short term, especially anxiety and depression, but that the reduction in these effects over time highlights the potential for resilience (Schoeler, et al., 2018).

Peer reactions and bullying in childhood stuttering

Children and adolescents who stutter appear to be at increased risk of negative peer reactions, peer rejection, and bullying (Blood & Blood, 2004; Blood & Blood, 2007; Davis et

al., 2002). Negative peer reactions may be associated with increasing age and stuttering severity (Bloodstein et al., 2021). Blood et al. (2011) reported that 44% of the adolescents who stutter (13 – 18 years) experienced bullying victimization, compared to 9% of the non-stuttering group. A higher proportion of adults who stutter have also retrospectively reported being bullied in primary and secondary school (30.6% and 27.8% respectively) compared with 13.9% of the non-stuttering group (Blood & Blood, 2016). Using the Teasing/Bullying Questionnaire for Children who Stutter (TBQ-CS; Langevin et al., 1998), studies have reported bullying victimization and teasing in 53% to 59% of children and adolescents who stutter (Erikson & Block, 2013; Langevin et al., 1998).

Similar to the general population, bullying victimization has been associated with poorer psychosocial and quality of life outcomes in adolescents who stutter. Studies have reported that bullying victimization correlates with lower self-esteem, poorer communication competence, and higher anxiety scores (Blood & Blood, 2004; Blood & Blood, 2007; Blood et al., 2011; Cook & Howell, 2014). The increased rates of bullying experienced by children and adolescents who stutter may therefore increase risk for anxiety and depression in this clinical population.

Child factors: stuttering severity

Stuttering severity could be considered a risk factor for elevated anxiety as more frequent disruptions in fluency may result in negative reactions from peers. For instance, when listening to school-aged children who stutter, positive reactions from peers decrease as stuttering severity increases (Panico et al., 2015).

Negative communication attitudes and poor self-perceived communication competence have also been observed in children and adolescents who stutter relative to peers who do not stutter, and these negative speech-related attitudes have been found to increase with age but not differ by sex (Blood et al., 2001; Guttormsen et al., 2015). Blood et al.

(2001) found that stuttering severity was significantly associated with poorer self-perceived communication competence and higher communication apprehension in adolescents who stutter. Studies with children who stutter have also shown that negative communication attitudes increase with stuttering severity (Kawai et al., 2012; Vanryckeghem & Brutten, 1996). Negative communication attitudes have been found to mediate the relationship between stuttering severity and self-esteem in adolescents who stutter (Adriaensens et al., 2015). Low self-esteem is associated with internalizing disorders, particularly depression, in children and young people (Keane & Loades, 2017). Therefore, the severity of one's stutter may affect the way an individual perceives their communicative ability, which could have implications for self-reported emotional well-being, such as anxiety or depression.

However, evidence that stuttering severity is associated with anxiety levels has been mixed. Although they found stuttering severity to be significantly associated with negative communication attitudes, Miller and Watson (1992) reported no significant association between self-rated stuttering severity and anxiety or depression in adults who stutter. Craig et al. (2003) found that adults with more severe stuttering did not report significantly higher trait anxiety than those with less severe stuttering, as measured by per cent syllables stuttered (%SS). On the other hand, Ezrati-Vinacour and Levin (2004) found that stuttering severity was related to state anxiety, which is situation dependent. This led them to conclude that "it is not the mere stuttering that perpetuates the state anxiety but the severity of the stuttering accounts for the extent to experience anxiety in social communication" (Ezrati-Vinacour & Levin, 2004, p143). Several studies have found no significant correlation between stuttering severity and anxiety symptom scores in children and adolescents (see Bernard et al., 2022), though others have (Iverach et al., 2017b; Veerabhadrappa et al., 2021).

Family factors: history of mental health and stuttering

Family history of mental health conditions, particularly parental anxiety and depression, are acknowledged risk factors for child anxiety and depression (Hyland et al., 2016; Thapar et al., 2012). Examination of social, psychological, and family risk factors in anxiety and mood disorders highlights the cumulative effect of a number of risk factors in development of internalizing problems (Hyland et al., 2016). As well as child and contextual factors that may increase risk for elevated symptoms in stuttering, family history of mental health issues may also be contributing to the variability in symptom reporting across studies. We therefore hypothesized that family history of adverse mental health would have a significant effect on anxiety and depression scores in the present sample.

Stuttering is a disorder with high heritability (Frigerio-Domingues & Drayna, 2017). We anticipated a substantial proportion of respondents would report a positive family history of stuttering in our sample. Positive family history of stuttering may influence parents' perceptions of the impact of stuttering on their children (Rocha et al., 2020), which could affect the way parents and children interact. Family experience of stuttering may also result in increased understanding of the condition, the challenges it can pose and the broader experience of living with a stutter. This may mean that families can draw on their own experiences and strategies to support their children. We hypothesize that increased exposure to stuttering through close family members will facilitate greater understanding and support, which may help to offset adverse mental health symptoms.

The present study

This study aimed to investigate the factors that affect associations between anxiety and depression symptoms and stuttering in children. In this study we consider the association between self-reported anxiety and depression symptoms and child, family, and contextual factors identified from the literature that may predict anxiety and depression symptoms in this

population. We used the Revised Children's Anxiety and Depression Scale-short version (RCADS-25) to measure self-reported anxiety and depression symptoms in a cohort of children who stutter in the UK. Should RCADS-25 scores, bullying and stuttering severity ratings correlate, we intend to explore whether bullying victimization mediates the relationship between stuttering severity and RCADS-25 subscale score.

Because we recruited a smaller sample than anticipated, we focused on only three of our pre-registered hypotheses (https://osf.io/cr392/) for this study, which are as follows:

- There will be an association between family history of mental health conditions and RCADS-25 scores.
- There will be a negative association between family history of stuttering and RCADS scores.
 - 3. Self-reported experience of bullying mediates the relationship between stuttering severity and RCADS-25 scores.

230 Method

This study was pre-registered on the Open Science Framework in October 2019 and amended in March 2021 in response to the COVID-19 pandemic prior to data analysis (https://osf.io/4fgex). Ethical approval was granted by University College London (UCL) Research Ethics Committee (15535/001). Parental consent and child assent were obtained at the start of the questionnaire.

Participants

Recruitment. Details about the study were made available on the website of a UK stuttering charity and advertised to families engaged in the work of that charity. Additionally, information about the study was advertised through other organizations in the UK that work with families of children who stutter and speech and language therapists, online support groups and via social media platforms. Study leaflets were distributed to mainstream primary

and secondary schools in London. Participants were recruited to this study through volunteer self-selection; individuals who registered interest in the study were sent a link to the questionnaire.

Inclusion criteria to take part in the questionnaire were as follows: (i) child has a developmental stutter; (ii) child is aged between 8 and 13 years; (iii) child lives in the UK. Five participants who completed the questionnaire fell outside this age range. As the Revised Children's Anxiety and Depression Scale (RCADS-25) is normed on children aged 8 - 18 years, data for children under eight were removed (n = 3). One participant aged 14 years was retained in the analyses. Demographic data (age, sex, SES) were unavailable for one participant but complete data for all outcome and predictor variables was available for this individual and therefore their data were retained in the analyses. Participants completed the questionnaire between February 2020 and August 2021.

Sample characteristics. In total, 46 families took part in the questionnaire, however only 35 children completed the child version of the RCADS-25 and therefore the final sample for this study included 35 children and adolescents. Background information, such as family history, access to intervention, and confirmation of stuttering, was available for all participants (n = 35). Complete demographic data (sex, age, socio-economic status) were available for 30 participants: four participants had no SES data and one participant reported no information about sex, age or SES. We imputed the mean sample age for the sole participant with missing age data.

Socio-economic status (SES) was measured using the Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index (IDACI), which is a supplementary index of the English Indices of Deprivation (IoD) and measures the proportion of children (0-15 years) living in income deprived families (Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government, 2019). The IoD ranks all neighborhoods in England from 1 (most deprived area) to 32,844 (least deprived

area). For those living outside of England, it was not possible to calculate the IDACI rank as a UK-wide version of the IoD is unavailable. SES data were missing for five participants in total.

The sample comprised nine female and 25 male participants (one undisclosed), with a mean age of 10 years (M = 128 months, SD = 20.4 months). All participants spoke English as their first language, and six participants reported speaking an additional language. The IDACI ranks for this sample ranged from 2,569 to 32,200 (M = 17,868, SD = 8,404).

The reported age of stuttering onset ranged from two to seven years old (M = 3.69, SD = 1.48). All participants reported that they had accessed speech and language therapy and 31/35 had received a diagnosis of stuttering from a speech and language therapist. Half of participants reported a family history of stuttering (n = 18) and nine of these participants did not have a family history of mental health issues. Of the participants with a positive family history of mental health difficulties (n = 18), nine did not have a family history of stuttering. A quarter of participants (n = 9) reported a positive family history of both mental health and stuttering. Only three respondents reported that their child had accessed support for mental health previously. Sample characteristics can be found in Table 1.

Online questionnaire

The authors involved individuals with experience of stuttering in the development of the questionnaire by piloting it with the Youth Panel of a stuttering charity, composed of young people who stutter (aged 16 – 25 years) who advocate for greater public awareness about stuttering, and the charity's Board of Trustees. Responses collected as part of the pilot testing of the questionnaire were not included in the final sample. The questionnaire comprised two sections, one for parents and one for children who stutter. Parents completed the background questionnaire and the RCADS-25-P (Ebesutani et al., 2017). The children's section of the questionnaire involved completion of the RCAD-25-C (Ebesutani et al., 2012),

Illinois Bullying Scale (IBS; Espelage & Holt, 2001) and self-perceived stuttering severity rating scale. The questionnaire asked that children complete their section independently. Families had up to 60 days to complete the questionnaire and did not have to complete it in one sitting.

Outcome variable

Revised Children's Anxiety & Depression Scale-short version.

The Revised Children's Anxiety & Depression Scale (Chorpita et al., 2000) is a self-report measure that assesses the frequency of anxiety and low mood symptoms relating to DSM-IV symptom criteria in children and adolescents between 8 and 18 years of age. It is one of the Child Outcome Research Consortium (CORC) measures.

A shortened version of the RCADS (RCADS-25; Ebesutani et al., 2012) is available, which provides three scores: 'Total Anxiety', 'Total Depression', and 'Total Anxiety & Depression'. The RCADS-25 is based on the full 47-item RCADS, and retains the 10-item Depression Scale from the full version. However, the Anxiety Scale comprises 15-items and these items are based on the five subtypes of anxiety measured in the full RCADS. Given that we were interested in broad anxiety symptoms and wanted to optimize questionnaire completion, the RCADS-25 was used rather than the 47-item RCADS.

There are parent and child versions of the RCADS-25 (RCADS-25-C, Ebesutani et al., 2012; RCADS-25-P, Ebesutani et al., 2017), which allow for symptom reporting to be obtained from the child (self-report) and their parent. For the purposes of the present analyses, we report data obtained from the child version of the RCADS-25 (RCADS-25-C) Similarly to the RCADS, the RCADS-25-C illustrates good discriminatory validity for anxiety and depression. Both the Depression Scale and Anxiety Scale have good reliability in clinical ($\alpha = 0.80$, $\alpha = 0.96$ respectively) and school ($\alpha = 0.79$, $\alpha = 0.94$ respectively) samples (Ebesutani et al., 2012; Ebesutani et al., 2017). Normative data are provided to calculate T-

scores that indicate whether symptom scores are in the normal, borderline or clinical range (see Ebesutani et al., 2012).

Predictor variables

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

Predictor variables were extracted mainly from the background questionnaire completed by parents. These included factors associated with internalizing symptoms, such as sex, age and family history of mental health. Stuttering severity and bullying victimization measures were based on child-report.

Illinois Bullying Scale

The Illinois Bullying Scale (IBS; Espelage & Holt, 2001) is an 18-item self-report questionnaire that assesses frequency of peer victimization, bullying behavior, and fighting in children and young people (8 - 18 years). It is one of the measures included in the Compendium of Assessment Tools for measuring bullying victimization, perpetration, and bystander experiences (Hamburger et al.2011). The IBS comprises three subscales: Bully, Fight, and Victim. Subscale scores are calculated by summing the scores for subscale items. We were particularly interested in responses to the Victim Subscale as this measures the frequency with which an individual experiences bullying victimization. Participants are presented with a series of statements and asked to indicate how often they experienced the activity in the last 30 days. The victim subscale comprises the following four statements: 'other students picked on me', 'other students made fun of me', 'other students called me names' and 'I got hit or pushed by other students'. Scoring corresponds to the choice of response: 'never' (0), '1 or 2 times' (1), '3 or 4 times' (2), '5 or 6 times' (3) or '7 or 8 times' (4). Scores on the Victim Subscale range from 0 - 16, with higher scores indicating more victimization. Norms are unavailable for this scale and instead scores indicate frequency of bullying victimization. Good reliability ($\alpha = 0.88$) has been reported for this scale (Espelage & Holt, 2001).

Self-perceived stuttering severity

Stuttering severity can be measured in terms of overt surface features, such as stuttering frequency, tension and duration, as well as more subtle features, including word avoidance, escape behaviours, and affective responses (Manning & DiLollo, 2018).

Researchers and clinicians typically assess stuttering frequency by estimating the percentage of stuttered syllables (%SS) or words (%SW). However, self-rating scales of stuttering severity can offer insight into the individuals' perception of their stutter, and can be useful in initial clinical assessment (Manning & DiLollo, 2018). In this study, children were asked to self-rate the severity of stuttering on a Likert-style scale (1 = not very severe/bumpy, 10 = very severe/bumpy). Self-rating scales have been found to correlate well with clinician ratings in adults who stutter (O'Brian et al., 2004), and have been used in previous studies of anxiety in children who stutter (Gunn et al., 2014; Iverach et al., 2017; Messenger et al., 2015). We chose to include a 9-point self-rating scale as we were interested in the association between a number of self-report measures and because it offered a simple and time-efficient way of obtaining a measure of stuttering severity from the child's perspective.

Family history of mental health

Families were asked whether there was a history of mental health conditions in their family as part of the background section of the online questionnaire. Families responded 'yes', 'no', 'not sure' or 'prefer not to say' and were asked to include the types of difficulties experienced, for example anxiety, depression, bipolar disorder. These data were coded as follows for the purposes of analyses: no = 0 (negative family history), yes = 1 (positive family history), not sure/prefer not to say = 2.

Family history of stuttering

The background questionnaire also included a question about family history of stuttering. Families responded 'yes', 'no' or 'not sure' and were asked to indicate whether

family members had continued to stutter into adulthood. Responses were coded as follows: no = 0, yes = 1 (positive family history of stuttering) or not sure = 2.

Analyses

The main objective of the present study was to analyze the influence of child (stuttering severity), family (family history of mental health and stuttering) and contextual (bullying victimization) factors on RCADS-25-C subscale scores.

All analyses were performed in R version 4.0.3 (R Core Team, 2020). The primary outcome was raw scores on the RCADS-25-C anxiety and depression subscales, which were used in all analyses (n = 35). To indicate whether scores reached clinical threshold, mean T-scores, which take into account the child's sex and US school grade, are also reported for this sample. T-scores could be calculated for only 34 participants as sex information was missing for one participant. Scores above 70 are considered to be above clinical threshold, while those between 65 and 70 are considered borderline (Ebesutani et al., 2012).

Predictor variables of interest included age, sex, stuttering severity, IBS score, socioeconomic status and family history of adverse mental health and stuttering. To test the
strength of the association between the outcome variables and continuous dependent
variables and check for multicollinearity amongst predictors (r > .80), Pearson's Product
Moment Correlation Coefficients were generated using the *corr.test* function in the Psych
Package in R (Revelle, 2021). In order to investigate whether family history variables were
associated with symptoms scores, the sample was divided into two groups: positive and
negative family history of (i) stuttering and (ii) mental health. Participants who reported
'don't know' or 'prefer not to say' were omitted from the analyses. Welch two sample t-tests
compared subscale scores in the group of children with a family history of stuttering and the
group with no family history.

Multiple regression models were fitted to the data to examine whether these family, contextual, and child variables predicted anxiety or depression subscale scores. The regression models were fitted using the lm function in R. The lm function automatically generates dummy variables for categorical variables, and family history variables were entered as a dummy variable with two levels (0 = negative family history; 1 = positive family history).

397 Results

Table 1: Participant characteristics (n = 35)

Participant characteristics				
	Mean	SD	n	% of sample
Age (months)	128	20	35	-
Socio-economic status (IDACI Rank)	17869	8404	30	-
Approximate age of stuttering onset (years)	3.69	1.48	35	-
Sex				
Female	-	-	9	25.7%
Male	-	-	25	71.4%
First Language English	-	-	35	100%
Family history of mental health				
Yes	-	-	18	51.4%
No	-	-	17	48.6%
Previous access to mental health services	-	-	3	8.6%
Family history of stuttering	-	-	18	51.4%
Ever accessed Speech and	-	-	35	100%
Language Therapy for stuttering				
Diagnosis of stuttering confirmed	-	-	31	88.6%

Anxiety and depression symptoms

Table 2 presents scores on all child-reported measures for the total sample and by sex. Results of Welch two sample t-tests indicated there were no differences in mean scores by sex for anxiety (t(10.91) = 0.07, 95% CI [-6.01, 6.43], p = .94) or depression symptom scores (t(18.81) = -1.47, 95% CI[-4.74, 0.83], p = .16). The whole sample was included in all subsequent analyses.

Mean T-scores (n = 34) were below clinical threshold (Ebesutani et al., 2012). One participant obtained a T-score above clinical threshold on the anxiety subscale. All T-scores for the depression subscale were within the normative range.

Table 2: Summary statistics for all outcome and predictor variables: RCADS-25-C total and subscale scores, IBS victim scale and stuttering severity rating for the total sample (n=35) and by sex.

	Anxiety subscale		Anxiety score	T-	Depress		Depressi	on T-	IBS vict	im	Stutterin	ıg
	M (range)	SD	M (range)	SD	M (range)	SD	M (range)	SD	M (range)	SD	M (range)	SD
Total sample (n = 35)	9.74 (1 – 23)	5.93	44.07 (29.99 - 73.36)	9.39	5.8 (0 – 16)	3.92	43.84 (29.92 – 62.72)	8.86	2.69 (0 – 12)	3.25	6.06 (3 – 10)	1.95
Female (n = 9)	9.89 (1 – 23)	7.82	45.29 (29.99 - 73.36)	14.50	4.44 (0 – 10)	3.13	40.57 (29.92 – 56.51)	8.67	2.78 0 – 12	3.83	4.67 (3 – 7)	1.66
Male (n =25)	9.68 (3 – 23)	5.43	43.63 (34.26 - 62.48)	7.09	6.4 (1 – 16)	4.14	45.02 (32.54 - 62.72)	8.80	2.68 (0 – 12)	3.17	6.56 (3 – 10)	1.87
Undisclosed (n = 1)	10.0	-	-	-	3.0	-	-	-	2.0	-	6.0	-

Note. RCADS-25 subscale score ranges: anxiety (0-45) and depression (0-30). RCADS-25 T-scores above 70 indicate clinical levels. Data unavailable to calculate T-score for participant who did not disclose sex. IBS Victim subscale score range (0-16)

Variables associated with anxiety or depression scores

Child-reported symptom scores were examined in relation to sex, socio-economic status, age, bullying frequency, stuttering severity and family history variables.

Associations between continuous variables

The correlation matrix (Table 3) reports Pearson's product moment correlation coefficients for pairwise comparisons to estimate the strength of association (r) between RCADS-25-C total score, child-reported anxiety, child-reported depression, IBS victim scores, stuttering severity, age and socio-economic status. R-values can be interpreted as effect sizes (Funder & Ozer, 2019), and r-values > 0.20 are likely to be clinically important. Correlation coefficients that remained 'statistically' significant (p < .05) after applying the more conservative Holm adjustment for multiple tests are indicated in bold in the table.

Correlation Matrix showing Pearson's Correlation Coefficients (r) unadjusted for multiple comparisons. Values in bold indicate that correlation coefficient remained significant after applying Holm's correction for pairwise associations.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1. RCADS- 25 Total	-						
2. Anxiety	.93**	-					
3.Depression	.84**	.59**	-				
4. IBS victim scale	.46*	.52*	.25	-			
5. Stuttering Severity	.32	.36*	.18	.38*	-		
6. SES (IDACI)	17	13	18	.14	08	-	
7. Age (months)	.41*	.36*	.40*	.29	.20	.11	-

Note: **p < .01, *p < .05. Correlation coefficients based on total sample size (n = 35) for all variables, except

IDACI (n = 30) due to missing data. IBS = Illinois Bullying Scale; SES = socio-economic status; IDACI =

⁴³⁴ Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index rank

Anxiety subscale scores. A moderate, positive correlation was found between anxiety scores and IBS victim scores (r = 0.52, 95% CI [0.23, 0.73], p < .01, n = 35), suggesting that the more bullying a child reported, the higher their anxiety score. This effect remained statistically significant following application of Holm's adjustment. Positive correlations were also found between anxiety scores and self-perceived stuttering severity, (r = 0.36,95%CI[0.03, 0.62], p = .04, n = 35) as well as anxiety symptoms and age (r = 0.36, 95%CI [0.02, 0.62], p = .04, n = 35).**Depression subscale scores.** There was a moderate positive correlation between depression and age (r = 0.40, 95%CI [0.07, 0.64], p = .02, n = 35) indicating that depression symptoms increased as children got older. Weak correlations were obtained between depression scores and stuttering severity (r = 0.18, 95%CI [-0.17, 0.48], p = .31, n = 35) and IBS victim scores (r = 0.25, 95%CI [-0.09, 0.54], p = .15, n = 35). Here we also see very wide confidence intervals that include zero. **Bullying and stuttering severity.** Two thirds of children (65.7%) reported that they had experienced bullying over the past month, although scores indicated frequency of victimization varied considerably. A moderate, positive correlation was found between bullying score and stuttering severity (r = 0.38, 95%CI [0.06, 0.63], p = .02, n = 35), such that higher self-perceived stuttering severity was associated with more bullying. Relationship between family history variables and RCADS-25 symptom scores Mean scores by family history group are presented in Table 4. Two sample t-tests were performed to compare subscale scores in children who reported a positive (n = 18) or negative (n = 16) family history of stuttering.

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

Table 4: RCADS-25-C subscale scores for family history variables (categorical variables)

RCADS-25 subscales	Anxiety subscale score		Depression subs	scale score
	M	SD	M	SD
Negative family history of stuttering (n=16)	9.25	5.16	6.19	4.34
Positive family history of stuttering (n=18)	10.56	6.58	5.67	3.63
Negative family history of mental health (n=17)	7.35	4.29	4.0	3.26
Positive family history of mental health (n=18)	12.0	6.48	7.5	3.81

There was no significant difference in anxiety scores between children who reported a family history of stuttering and those who did not (t(31.54) = -0.65, p = .52, 95%CI [-5.42, 2.81]). Similarly, groups did not differ in depression scores (t(29.42) = 0.38, p = .71, 95%CI[-2.30, 3.34]).

Regression Analyses

Due to the small sample size, it was not possible to include all hypothetical predictors in the regression models. When pre-registering this study, we stated that independent variables that significantly correlated (r = 0.40) with the outcome variable would be included in the regression model. As indicated in the amended pre-registration, four variables (family history of mental health, IBS score, stuttering severity and age) had been identified as priority variables for inclusion, based on theoretical rationale, should the sample size fall below the anticipated 100 participants. Additionally, the continuous variables were significantly associated with at least one of the outcome variables (anxiety or depression subscale score). We therefore included these four variables in our statistical model.

There was a moderate positive correlation between child anxiety and depression subscale scores (r = 0.59, 95% CI [0.32, 0.77], p < .01, n = 35), which would suggest that children who reported high anxiety symptoms also tended to report high depression

symptoms. This finding is consistent with the broader mental health literature, which has indicated anxiety and depression are highly correlated in adolescents and adults (Brady & Kendall, 1992; Lewinsohn et al., 1997). As these variables were only moderately correlated, the outcome variables for the regression analyses were the anxiety and depression subscale scores, rather than the total RCADS-25-C score. Table 5 presents the results of the multiple regression models for anxiety and depression scores.

Anxiety scores

The multiple regression model, which included all four predictors (model 1), was a significant fit to the data (F(4, 30) = 5.92, p = .001, adj. R² = 0.37), accounting for approximately 37% of the variance in anxiety scores. Family history of mental health was found to significantly predict anxiety scores (β = 3.87, t = 2.32, p = .03), suggesting that a positive family history of adverse mental health is associated with a 3.87 point increase in anxiety scores. Observation of the standardized regression coefficients indicates that bullying is also an important factor when considering risk for anxiety in this population (β = 1.82, t = 1.95, p = .06). Removing age from the model indicated that only IBS scores significantly predicted anxiety scores in this model (β = 2.27, t = 2.43, p = .02). This model (model 2) was also a significant fit to the data (F(3,31) = 6.34, p = .002, adj. R² = 0.32), accounting for 32% of the variance in anxiety scores. Comparison of these two models indicated that the simpler model (model 2) was a better fit to the data (p=.08)

Depression scores

The multiple regression model with depression as the outcome variable and family history of mental health and age entered as predictor variables, was a significant fit to the data (F(2, 32) = 10.44, p < .001, adj. R² = 0.36), accounting for 36% of the variance in depression symptom scores. Both family history of mental health (β = 3.79, t = 3.54, p < .01) and age (β = 1.72, t=3.17, p < .01) significantly predicted depression scores, with a positive

family history of adverse mental health and a one month increase in age each associated with a 3.79 point and 1.72 point increase in subscale scores, respectively.

Table 5: Multiple regression models for anxiety and depression symptom scores (RCADS-25-C). Standardized coefficients for continuous predictors are mean-centred and scaled by 1 standard deviation.

Model	Effect		Standardize	ed coefficients	
		β	SE	<i>t</i> -value	p
Anxiety Models					
Model 1	(Constant)	7.75	1.17	6.61	< .01
	Family history of mental health	3.87	1.67	2.32	.03
	Stuttering severity	0.93	0.88	1.05	.30
	IBS score	1.82	0.93	1.95	.06
	Age (months)	1.56	0.86	1.81	.08
Model 2	(Constant)	8.01	1.21	6.65	< .01
	Family history of mental health	3.37	1.71	1.97	.06
	Stuttering severity	1.10	0.91	1.21	.24
	IBS score	2.27	0.93	2.43	.02
Depression Model					
Model 1	(Constant)	3.85	0.76	5.04	< .01
	Family history of mental health	3.79	1.07	3.54	< .01
	Age (months)	1.72	0.54	3.17	< .01

508 Discussion

The aim of the present study was to investigate the extent to which contextual, child, and family factors may be associated with self-reported anxiety and depression symptoms in children and adolescents who stutter.

We first asked whether family history of mental health conditions was associated with increased child-reported symptoms of anxiety or depression. In line with our hypotheses, participants with a family history of mental health difficulties had significantly higher anxiety and depression scores. This is an important finding highlighting the need for future studies comparing anxiety in children who do and do not stutter to account for such familial factors. This would help to tease apart the extent to which the experience of stuttering contributes to anxiety symptoms relative to other putative risk factors for adverse mental health. Another consideration is whether parent-reported anxiety relates to the child's communication challenges or is a pre-existing, longer term problem. For example, it may be that heightened anxiety symptoms may be explained by the genetic risk for anxiety and stuttering per se is not a contributing factor. Alternatively, having a child with communication difficulties may increase parent anxiety, which could have an impact on the child.

Next we asked whether family history of stuttering acted as a protective factor, attenuating child-reported symptoms of anxiety and depression. Contrary to our predictions, child-reported symptom scores on anxiety and depression subscales did not differ according to family history of stuttering. Finally, we asked about the role of bullying in symptom severity of anxiety and depression for young people who stutter. Anxiety symptom scores were moderately correlated with IBS scores; this association was statistically significant even after adjustment for multiple comparisons. Anxiety symptom scores were also moderately correlated with stuttering severity and age. Although the p-value did not reach conventional levels of statistical significance after adjustment for multiple comparisons, they still represent a medium effect size (Cohen 1988, 1992). The small sample size and heterogeneous profiles in this study likely affected our ability to detect significant effects, and therefore there is need for replication in a larger sample. It was not possible to conduct the proposed mediation

analysis as our sample size was too small, and therefore this remains a question for future research.

In sum, the main finding from this study is that family history of adverse mental health is an important factor when assessing risk for internalizing problems in this population. The anxiety model accounted for 37% of the variance in scores. Although, when age was removed from the model, the bullying score was found to be the only significant predictor of anxiety scores. This may suggest an interaction between these variables, and that effects may differ across age. The present study design does not permit examination of these interactions, but there is a need for future studies that employ larger samples and wider age ranges to explore how these contextual, child, and family factors interact at different ages.

The depression model accounted for 36% of the variance in scores and indicated that both family history of mental health and age significantly predicted scores. As evidenced in the literature, prevalence of depression increases with age in the general population, and therefore both of these putative risk factors for depression in the non-stuttering population are also reflected in our sample.

These findings have both theoretical and clinical implications. First, future research comparing anxiety in children who do and do not stutter should account for the influence of family and contextual factors that may moderate the association between anxiety, depression, and stuttering. This would help to enhance our understanding of the relationship between stuttering and internalizing problems, and the extent to which the experience of stuttering contributes to risk for elevated anxiety and depression relative to putative risk factors associated with internalizing problems. Second, our findings point to the need for clinicians to be alert to both child- and broader familial factors associated with adverse mental health when examining risk for poor psychosocial outcomes in children who stutter, to inform ongoing management.

Family history of mental health and stuttering

In the present study, children who had a family history of mental health problems reported significantly higher anxiety and depression symptom scores compared to children who did not disclose a family history of adverse mental health. This is not particularly surprising given that family history of both anxiety and depression are acknowledged risk factors for development of both in children (van Santvoort et al., 2015). Parental anxiety and depression are associated with development of internalizing symptoms and disorders in children as a result of both genetic and environmental factors that can have both a direct and indirect impact on children's emotional development (Bayer et al., 2006; Smith, 2004; Thapar et al., 2012). Nonetheless, in the present sample all but one child reported symptoms that did not reach clinical threshold, perhaps indicating that at this age, there is not an association between family history of adverse mental health and clinical levels of internalizing symptoms.

There were no significant differences in mean scores of participants who reported a family history of stuttering and those who did not. We hypothesized that increased experience of the condition would facilitate greater understanding and parental support, which may help to offset any adverse effect on mental health. The current findings do not support the hypothesis that having a parent who stutters acts as a protective factor in the psychosocial impact of stuttering on young people. However, it must be borne in mind that positive family history could include immediate and extended family members, affecting the extent to which children may have had direct experience of stuttering. Our data cannot tell us anything about self-perceptions of parents who stutter.

Bullying victimization

The mean IBS score indicated reasonably low frequency of bullying victimization in this sample, which seems to contrast with previous studies that found increased risk of

bullying in children and adolescents who stutter (e.g. Blood & Blood, 2004; Blood & Blood, 2007; Langevin et al., 1998). This may relate to the bullying tool used in the present study, which was not designed to tap the unique experiences of children who stutter. Another possibility is that the pandemic disrupted school attendance and therefore perhaps asking children to reflect on bullying experiences over the past month did not capture typical peer experiences as they were not attending school. Nevertheless, two thirds of children reported that they had experienced bullying behavior over the last month, with varying frequency.

A significant, moderate correlation was observed between self-reported anxiety scores and IBS scores, which is consistent with findings of previous research in the stuttering population (Blood & Blood, 2007; Cook & Howell, 2014). It is well documented that individuals who experience bullying are at heightened risk of adverse mental health, particularly elevated anxiety and depression, in the general population (Moore et al., 2017; Reijntjes, et al., 2010; Scholer et al., 2018). However in the present study, depression symptom scores were not correlated with bullying scores.

It seems reasonable that one may expect there to be an association between risk of bullying and stuttering severity given previous studies showing peer perceptions become more negative as stuttering severity increases (Bloodstein et al., 2021) and the fact that the overt speech characteristics may be more noticeable. On the other hand, Langevin et al. (1998) found that frequency of bullying was not causally related to stuttering severity using a tool designed to examine teasing and bullying experienced by children who stutter specifically. We found a moderate correlation between self-reported stuttering severity and victim subscale scores, although this finding requires replication in larger scale studies.

Stuttering severity

Participants varied considerably in ratings of self-perceived severity. Stuttering severity was correlated with anxiety, but not depression, symptom scores. However,

following adjustment for multiple comparisons, this was no longer significant, which is consistent with many published studies that have similarly reported no significant association between stuttering severity and anxiety in children and adolescents (see Bernard et al., 2022). For example, previous investigation of anxiety in a larger sample (n = 96) of similarly aged children who stutter (9 – 14 years), did not find a significant association between %SS and state (r = 0.115) or trait (r = 0.045) anxiety (Craig & Hancock, 1996).

Nevertheless, the size of the effect is still clinically important, which leads us to tentatively suggest that, based on the present sample, the more severe a child perceived their stutter to be, the more anxiety symptoms they reported. Further examination of the association between stuttering severity and anxiety, involving a larger sample and different measures of stuttering severity, is needed.

Stuttering frequency is not the only factor associated with severity, although it often is a significant contributor (Manning & DiLollo, 2018). Manning and Beck (2013) argued that measures of the overt features of stuttering, such as frequency, do not account for the broader experience of stuttering, and suggested this may explain the lack of association between stuttering and anxiety reported in studies. In their study, they found that stuttering severity measured by %SS and the SSI was not associated with anxiety in adults who stutter (n = 50), but scores on the Overall Assessment of the Speaker's Experience of Stuttering (OASES) were associated with anxiety (Manning & Beck, 2013). The OASES is an alternative measure of stuttering severity in that it assesses the broader impact of stuttering. Interestingly, Manning and Beck (2013) found no significant association between depression and any measure of stuttering severity (%SS, SSI, OASES), which is consistent with our findings.

In the present study, we utilized a self-report rating scale of stuttering severity guided by the rationale that it might offer greater insight into the child's perceptions of their own speech. While this is not an objective measure of frequency, the extent to which a child perceives their speech to be dysfluent may be associated with self-reported experience of anxiety symptoms. Nonetheless, inclusion of the OASES would have been a valuable addition to the present study, and permitted comparison of the association between anxiety and stuttering severity measured in two different ways. Limitations associated with data collection during the pandemic meant that we opted for a shorter, simpler measure to minimize missing participant data.

Results of the regression analyses indicated that stuttering severity did not significantly predict anxiety subscale scores. These findings contrast with a larger study (n = 102) involving adolescents seeking treatment for stuttering, which found that higher self-rated stuttering severity predicted higher anxiety and internalizing problems (Iverach et al., 2017b). One possible reason for our divergent findings may relate to sample selection. The fact that participants were seeking treatment may suggest that their stutter had a greater functional impact, influencing perceived severity and self-reported anxiety. It should also be borne in mind that the study by Iverach and colleagues (2017b) comprised an older sample (11 - 17 years). The length of time one has lived with a stutter may influence the association between stuttering severity and internalizing symptoms. Therefore, future studies involving community samples may provide further insight into factors that predict internalizing symptoms.

Stuttering severity, bullying and internalizing symptoms

This study also aimed to address the question of whether bullying mediates any relationship between stuttering severity and anxiety and/or depression symptoms. This question could not be investigated as we did not reach a sufficient sample size, and our study design lacked the necessary temporal elements to reliably estimate a mediation effect.

Instead, larger, and preferably longitudinal, studies are needed to adequately address this research question. The present results do suggest that bullying victimization likely contributes

to heightened anxiety symptoms, although there is a need for future research to consider how these contextual and child factors interact over time. Previous research has indicated that bullying reported in this population is often related to an individuals' stutter (Erikson & Block, 2013; Langevin et al., 1998). Furthermore, population studies of non-stuttering cohorts have shown bullying victimization to be associated with anxiety and depression, therefore these findings highlight the need for families, teachers and clinicians to be aware of the elevated risk for adverse mental health in children who stutter who are subjected to bullying.

Clinical implications

The present findings indicate there is a need for clinicians to take into account family and contextual factors in assessment and management of children who stutter, as well as child factors. In particular, clinicians need to be aware of the elevated risks for internalizing problems associated with family history of adverse mental health, and possibly bullying victimization, when planning ongoing management. Mental health screening may indicate need for multidisciplinary involvement, such as referral to Psychology Services, or indicate a need to incorporate psychological therapies to help offset development of anxiety in children identified as at risk. Consideration of risk for internalizing problems may be particularly pertinent among adolescents given that prevalence of anxiety and depression increases with age in non-stuttering adolescents, and age was a significant predictor of depression in the present study. However, longitudinal community studies are needed to improve our understanding of how these child, family, and contextual factors interact with each other across development.

While appropriate clinical management may help to offset risk for internalizing problems associated with familial factors, initiatives which address contextual factors found to detrimentally effect emotional well-being are also worthy of consideration. In particular,

our findings underscore the role of schools, health services and charities in alleviating risk of bullying in young people who stutter. This could involve, for example, anti-bullying initiatives, increasing awareness and understanding about stuttering in society and efforts to tackle stigma. Programs focused on stuttering education and bullying awareness have shown potential for facilitating positive changes in peer attitudes towards children who stutter and bullying (e.g. Langevin et al., 2012).

Limitations

Most participants in this study completed the questionnaire during the global coronavirus pandemic (from March 2020), which must be taken into consideration when interpreting the findings. Research examining the impact of the pandemic on child and adolescent mental health has reported a mixture of negative and positive experiences, which are likely associated with a number of risk and protective factors (Panchal et al., 2021). For example, a recent systematic review has shown female sex and adolescence (13 – 15 years) were significantly associated with elevated anxiety and depression during lockdown (Panchal et al., 2021). Social stresses and adverse events are known risk factors for development of anxiety and depression in young people. It should be recognised that some of these environmental risk factors will have been experienced by an unprecedented number of families during the pandemic, for example bereavement, family discord, financial pressures and broader social stressors. In spite of the impact of the pandemic on everyday life, our sample did not show clinical levels of anxiety or depression. Nevertheless, symptom scores reported in the present analyses are likely confounded by the experiences of the global coronavirus pandemic, and as such are not comparable with population norms.

We had intended to recruit 100 participants to this study, which should have permitted robust analysis of the original seven predictors. However, recruitment was challenging given the pandemic context and the final sample size was comparatively smaller, resulting in

reduced statistical power. It is important to note the wide confidence intervals associated with many of the correlation coefficients in our analyses, suggesting a reasonable amount of variation within the group. The small sample size may have resulted in overestimation of the effect size (Funder & Ozer, 2019), and therefore there is need for replication in larger studies. The present sample may also be affected by selection bias relating to participant treatment status and accessibility of an online study. First, we recruited through word of mouth and digital advertisement of the study, predominantly through the charity's social media channels. All of the children had accessed previous Speech and Language Therapy and therefore may have been more aware of the psychosocial impact of stuttering on children's mental health and well-being, and this may also have been the focus of therapy. Second, an online questionnaire requires access to a computer and stable internet connection preferably at home. The study therefore automatically excluded those participants who could not access an online study. Third, families who were experiencing unprecedented stresses due to the novel pressures of the pandemic may have been less likely to participate, skewing our sample.

Finally, recent studies have found that children and adolescents who stutter who have co-occurring neurodevelopmental conditions present with elevated anxiety and emotional difficulties compared to those who stutter without additional difficulties (Blood et al., 2007; Briley et al., 2019; Smith et al., 2017). A limitation of the present study is that presence of co-existing diagnoses amongst participants is unknown. This will be an important variable to include in future studies that employ community samples of children and adolescents who stutter as this can inform our understanding of factors that influence anxiety and possibly depression in this population.

Conclusion

The present study aimed to evaluate the association between anxiety and depression symptoms and several child, familial, and contextual factors in a cohort of children who

stutter. Family history of adverse mental health was a significant predictor of anxiety and depression symptoms. Age was also found to significantly predict depression scores. In spite of the limitations, particularly the confound of the COVID-19 pandemic on population mental health, these findings contribute further evidence that there is a need for clinicians to consider a number of family, child, and contextual factors in risk for anxiety in school-age children who stutter. This finding offers an opportunity for schools and clinicians to champion anti-bullying initiatives that could help prevent the negative psychosocial impact of bullying victimization in stuttering. Future research is needed to explore further factors associated with elevated anxiety and depression in children and adolescents who stutter, and how these factors may interact across development, using longitudinal population studies.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the support provided by Action for Stammering Children Charity and the families who participated in this study.

Data availability statement:

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. Information about this study, including analysis scripts, will be made available on the OSF pre-registration page: https://osf.io/cr392/

/59	References
760	Adriaensens, S., Beyers, W., & Struyf, E. (2015). Impact of stuttering severity on
761	adolescents' domain-specific and general self-esteem through cognitive and emotional
762	mediating processes. Journal of Communication Disorders, 58, 43-57.
763	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcomdis.2015.10.003
764	Armitage R. (2021). Bullying in children: impact on child health. <i>BMJ paediatrics open</i> , 5(1)
765	e000939. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjpo-2020-000939
766	Bayer, J., Sanson, A., & Hemphill, S. (2006). Parent influences on early childhood
767	internalizing difficulties. Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 27(6), 542-
768	559. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appdev.2006.08.002
769	Bernard, R., Hofslundsengen, H., & Frazier Norbury, C. (2022). Anxiety and Depression
770	Symptoms in Children and Adolescents Who Stutter: A Systematic Review and Meta-
771	Analysis. Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research, 65(2), 624-644.
772	https://doi.org/10.1044/2021_JSLHR-21-00236
773	Blood, G. W., & Blood, I. M., (2007). Preliminary study of self-reported experience of
774	physical aggression and bullying of boys who stutter: relation to increased anxiety.
775	Perceptual and Motor Skills, 104(3), 1060 – 1066.
776	https://doi.org/10.2466/pms.104.4.1060-1066
777	Blood, G. W., Blood, I. M., Maloney, K., Meyer, C., and Qualls, C. D. (2007). Anxiety levels
778	in adolescents who stutter. Journal of Communication Disorders, 40, 452 – 469.
779	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcomdis.2006.10.005

780 Blood, G. W., Blood, I. M., Tellis, G., & Gabel, R., (2001). Communication apprehension and self-perceived communication competence in adolescents who stutter. Journal of 781 Fluency Disorders, 26,161 – 178. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0094-730X(01)00097-3 782 Blood, G. W., Blood, I. M., Tramontana, G. M., Sylvia, A. J., Boyle, M. P., & Motzko, G. R. 783 (2011). Self-Reported Experience of Bullying of Students Who Stutter: Relations with 784 Life Satisfaction, Life Orientation, and Self-Esteem. Perceptual and Motor Skills, 785 113(2), 353-364. https://doi.org/10.2466/07.10.15.17.PMS.113.5.353-364 786 787 Blood, G., & Blood, I. (2004). Bullying in Adolescents Who Stutter: Communicative Competence and Self-Esteem. Contemporary Issues in Communication Science and 788 Disorders, 31, 69-79. https://doi.org/10.1044/cicsd 31 S 69 789 Blood, G., & Blood, I. (2016). Long-term Consequences of Childhood Bullying in Adults 790 who Stutter: Social Anxiety, Fear of Negative Evaluation, Self-esteem, and 791 792 Satisfaction with Life. Journal of Fluency Disorders, 50, 72-84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfludis.2016.10.002 793 Bloodstein, O., Bernstein Ratner, N. & Brundage, S. (2021). A handbook on stuttering. Plural 794 Publishing. 795 Brady, E., & Kendall, P. (1992). Comorbidity of Anxiety and Depression in Children and 796 Adolescents. Psychological Bulletin, 111(2), 244-255. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-797 2909.111.2.244 798 Briley, P.M., O'Brien, K. & Ellis, C. (2019). Behavioral, Emotional, and Social Well-Being 799 in Children Who Stutter: Evidence from the National Health Interview Survey. 800 *Journal of Developmental & Physical Disabilities* 31, 39–53. 801 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10882-018-9625-x 802

Chorpita, B. F., Yim, L., Moffitt, C., Umemoto, L. A., and Francis, S. E., (2000). Assessment 803 of symptoms of DSM-IV anxiety and depression in children: a revised child anxiety 804 and depression scale. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 38, 835 - 855. 805 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7967(99)00130-8 806 Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences (2nd ed). New York: 807 Routledge. 808 Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. Psychological Bulletin, 112(1), 155–159. 809 https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.112.1.155 810 Cook, S., & Howell, P. (2014). Bullying in children and teenagers who stutter and the 811 relation to self-esteem, social acceptance and anxiety. Perspectives on Fluency and 812 Fluency Disorders, 24(2), 46 – 57. https://doi.org/10.1044/ffd24.2.46 813 Craig, A., & Hancock, K. (1996). Anxiety in children and young adolescents who stutter. 814 Australian Journal of Human Communication Disorders, 24(1), 28-38. 815 https://doi.org/10.3109/asl2.1996.24.issue-1.04 816 Craig, A., & Tran, Y. (2014). Trait and social anxiety in adults with chronic stuttering: 817 Conclusions following meta-analysis. *Journal of Fluency Disorders*, 40(1), 35-43. 818 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfludis.2014.01.001 819 Craig, A., Hancock, K., Tran, Y., & Craig, M. (2003). Anxiety levels in people who stutter: a 820 randomized population study. *Journal of speech, language, and hearing research:* 821 JSLHR, 46(5), 1197–1206. https://doi.org/10.1044/1092-4388(2003/093) 822 Davis, S., Howell, P., & Cooke, F., 2002. Sociodynamic relationships between children who 823 stutter and their non-stuttering classmates. Journal of Child Psychology & Psychiatry, 824 43, 939 – 947. https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-7610.00093 825

826 Ebesutani, C., Korathu-Larson, P., Nakamura, B., Higa-McMillan, C., & Chorpita, B. (2017). The Revised Child Anxiety and Depression Scale 25-Parent Version: Scale 827 Development and Validation in a School-Based and Clinical Sample. Assessment, 828 24(6), 712-728. https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191115627012 829 Ebesutani, C., Reise, S. P., Chorpita, B. F., Ale, C., Regan, J., Young, J., Higa-McMillan, C., 830 & Weisz, J. R., (2012). The revised child anxiety and depression scale-short version: 831 scale reduction via exploratory bifactor modelling of the broad anxiety factor. 832 Psychological Assessment 24, 833 – 845. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027283 833 Erikson, S., & Block, S. (2013). The social and communication impact of stuttering on 834 adolescents and their families. Journal of Fluency Disorders, 38(4), 311-324. 835 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfludis.2013.09.003 836 Espelage, D. L., & Holt, M. (2001). Bullying and victimization during early adolescence: 837 Peer influences and psychosocial correlates. Journal of Emotional Abuse, 2, 123–142. 838 https://doi.org/10.1300/J135v02n02 08 839 Essau C. A. (2007). Anxiety in children: when is it classed as a disorder that should be 840 treated?. Expert review of neurotherapeutics, 7(8), 909–911. 841 https://doi.org/10.1586/14737175.7.8.909 842 Ezrati-Vinacour, R., & Levin, I. (2004). The relationship between anxiety and stuttering: A 843 multidimensional approach. Journal of Fluency Disorders, 29(2), 135-148. 844 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfludis.2004.02.003 845 Frigerio-Domingues, C. & Drayna, D. (2017). Genetic contributions to stuttering: the current 846 evidence. Molecular Genetics & Genomic Medicine, 5, 95-102. 847 https://doi.org/10.1002/mgg3.276 848

849	Funder, D. C., & Ozer, D. J. (2019). Evaluating effect size in psychological research: Sense
850	and nonsense. Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science, 2, 156-
851	168. https://doi.org/10.1177/2515245919847202
852	Gunn, A., Menzies, R. G., O'Brian, S., Onslow, M., Packman, A., Lowe, R., Iverach, L.,
853	Heard. R., and Block, S., 2014. Axis I anxiety and mental health disorders among
854	stuttering adolescents. Journal of Fluency Disorders, 4, 58-68
855	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfludis.2013.09.002
856	Guttormsen, L. Kefalianos, E., & Næss, K. B., (2015). Communication attitudes in children
857	who stutter: A meta-analytic review. Journal of Fluency Disorders, 46, 1-14.
858	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfludis.2015.08.001
859	Hamburger ME, Basile KC, Vivolo AM. (2011). Measuring Bullying Victimization,
860	Perpetration, and Bystander Experiences: A Compendium of Assessment Tools.
861	Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Injury
862	Prevention and Control.
863	https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/pdf/BullyCompendium-a.pdf
864	Huberty, T. J. (2012) Anxiety and Depression in Children and Adolescents: Assessment,
865	Intervention, and Prevention, Springer.
866	Hyland, Shevlin, M., Elklit, A., Christoffersen, M., & Murphy, J. (2016). Social, familial and
867	psychological risk factors for mood and anxiety disorders in childhood and early
868	adulthood: a birth cohort study using the Danish Registry System. Social Psychiatry
869	and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 51(3), 331–338. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-016-
870	<u>1171-1</u>

871 Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government (2019). The English Indices of Deprivation 2019 – statistical release. 872 https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachme 873 nt data/file/835115/IoD2019 Statistical Release.pdf 874 Iverach, L., & Rapee, R. (2014). Social anxiety disorder and stuttering: Current status and 875 future directions. Journal of Fluency Disorders, 40(1), 69-82. 876 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfludis.2013.08.003 877 Iverach, L., Lowe, R., Jones, M., O'Brian, S., Menzies, R. G., Packman, A., and Onslow, M., 878 (2017b). A speech and psychological profile of treatment-seeking adolescents who 879 stutter. *Journal of Fluency Disorders*, 51, 24 – 38. 880 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfludis.2016.11.001 881 Iverach, L., Rapee, R. M., Wong, Q. J. J., & Lowe, R. (2017a). Maintenance of social anxiety 882 in stuttering: A cognitive-behavioral model. American Journal of Speech-Language 883 Pathology. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association. 884 https://doi.org/10.1044/2016 AJSLP-16-0033 885 Kawai, N., Healey, E. C., Nagasawa, T., & Vanryckeghem, M., (2012). Communication 886 attitudes of Japanese school-age children who stutter. Journal of Communication 887 Disorders, 45(5), 348 – 354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcomdis.2012.05.004 888 Keane, L. & Loades, M., (2017). Review: Low self-esteem and internalizing disorders in 889 young people – a systematic review. Child and Adolescent Mental Health, 22(1), 4 – 890 15. https://doi-org.libproxy.ucl.ac.uk/10.1111/camh.12204 891

892	Kovacs, M., & Devlin, B. (1998). Internalizing Disorders in Childhood. The Journal of Child
893	Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines, 39(1), 47-63.
894	https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021963097001765
895	Langevin, M., Bortnick, K., Hammer, T., Wiebe, E., (1998). Teasing/bullying experienced by
896	children who stutter: Toward development of a questionnaire. Contemporary Issues in
897	Communication Science and Disorders, 25, 12-24
898	https://doi.org/10.1044/cicsd_25_S_8
899	Langevin, Marilyn, and N.G. Narasimha Prasad (2012). A stuttering education and bullying
900	awareness and prevention resource: a feasibility study. Language, Speech, & Hearing
901	Services in Schools, 43, 344 – 358. https://pubs.asha.org/doi/full/10.1044/0161-
902	1461%282012/11-0031%29
903	Lereya, S. T., Copeland,, W. E., Costello, E. J., and Wolke, D. (2015). Adult mental health
904	consequences of peer bullying and maltreatment in childhood: two cohorts in two
905	countries. The Lancet Psychiatry, 2, 524 - 531. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-
906	0366(15)00165-0
907	Lewinsohn, P., Zinbarg, R., Seeley, J., Lewinsohn, M., & Sack, W. (1997). Lifetime
908	comorbidity among anxiety disorders and between anxiety disorders and other mental
909	disorders in adolescents. Journal of Anxiety Disorders, 11(4), 377-394.
910	https://doi.org/10.1016/S0887-6185(97)00017-0
911	Liu, J., Chen, X., & Lewis, G. (2011). Childhood internalizing behaviour: analysis and
912	implications. Journal of psychiatric and mental health nursing, 18(10), 884-894.
913	https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2850.2011.01743.x

914	Livingstone-Pountney, J., & Mitrevski, J. (2019). Critical review: is there a relationship
915	between stuttering and depression in dysfluent adolescents and adults?
916	https://www.uwo.ca/fhs/lwm/teaching/EBP/2018_19/LivinstonePMitrevski.pdf#:~:tex
917	t=Jessica%20Livingstone-
918	Pountney%20%26%20Erika%20Mitrevski%20M.Cl.Sc.%20S-
919	LP%20Candidates,for%20future%20research%20are%20included%20in%20this%20
920	paper.
921	Manning, W. H. & DiLollo, A. (2018). Clinical decision making in fluency disorders. Plural
922	Plus.
923	Manning, W., & Beck, J. G. (2013). The role of psychological processes in estimates of
924	stuttering severity. <i>Journal of Fluency Disorders</i> , 38(4), 356 – 367.
925	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfludis.2013.08.002
926	McAllister, J., Collier, J. & Shepstone, L. (2012). The impact of adolescent stuttering on
927	educational and employment outcomes: Evidence from a birth cohort study. Journal
928	of Fluency Disorders, 37, 106-121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfludis.2012.01.002
929	McAllister, J., Collier, J. and Shepstone, L. (2013), The impact of adolescent stuttering and
930	other speech problems on psychological well-being in adulthood: evidence from a
931	birth cohort study. International Journal of Language & Communication Disorders,
932	48: 458-468. https://doi.org/10.1111/1460-6984.12021
933	Menzies, R., Onslow, M., & Packman, A. (1999). Anxiety and Stuttering: Exploring a
934	Complex Relationship. American Journal of Speech-language Pathology, 8(1), 3-10.
935	https://doi.org/10.1044/1058-0360.0801.03

936	Messenger, M., Packman, A., Onslow, M., Menzies, R., and O'Brian, S., 2015. Children and
937	adolescents who stutter: further investigation of anxiety. Journal of Fluency
938	Disorders, 46, 15-23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfludis.2015.07.006
939	Miller, S., and Watson, B. C., 1992. The relationship between communication attitude,
940	anxiety and depression in stutterers and nonstutterers. Journal of speech and hearing
941	research, 35, 789 – 798. https://doi.org/10.1044/jshr.3504.789
942	Moore S.E, Norman R.E, Suetani S, Thomas H.J, Sly P.D, Scott J.G, (2017). Consequences
943	of bullying victimization in childhood and adolescence: A systematic review and
944	meta-analysis. World Journal of Psychiatry 7(1), 60-76.
945	https://doi.org/10.5498/wjp.v7.i1.60
946	Mulcahy, K., Hennessey, N., Beilby, J. and Byrnes, M., (2008). Social anxiety and the
947	severity and typography of stuttering in adolescents. Journal of Fluency Disorders, 33,
948	306–319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfludis.2008.12.002
949	O'Brian, S., Packman, A., & Onslow, M. (2004). Self-Rating of Stuttering Severity as a
950	Clinical Tool. American Journal of Speech-language Pathology, 13(3), 219-226.
951	https://doi.org/10.1044/1058-0360(2004/023)
952	Olweus, D., (1993). Bullying at school: What we know and what we can do. Blackwell.
953	Panchal, U., Salazar de Pablo, G., Franco, M., Moreno, C., Parellada, M., Arango, C. &
954	Fusar-Poli, P. (2021). The impact of COVID-19 lockdown on child and adolescent
955	mental health: systematic review. European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry.
956	https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-021-01856-w

957	Panico, J., Healey, E.C. & Knopik, J. (2015). Elementary school students' perceptions
958	stuttering: a mixed model approach. Journal of Fluency Disorders, 15, 1-11.
959	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfludis.2015.06.001
960	R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
961	for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/.
962	Reijntjes, A., Kamphuis, J., Prinzie, P., & Telch, M. (2010). Peer victimization and
963	internalizing problems in children: A meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. Child
964	Abuse & Neglect, 34(4), 244-252. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chiabu.2009.07.009
965	Revelle W (2021). psych: Procedures for Psychological, Psychometric, and Personality
966	Research. Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois. R package version 2.1.9,
967	https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=psych.
968	Rocha M, Yaruss J, S, Rato J, R (2020). Stuttering Impact: A Shared Perception for Parents
969	and Children? Folia Phoniatr Logop, 72, 478-486. https://doi.org/10.1159/000504221
970	Schoeler, T., Duncan, L., Cecil, C. M., Ploubidis, G. B., and Pingault, J., (2018). Quasi-
971	Experimental Evidence on Short- and Long-Term Consequences of Bullying
972	Victimization: A Meta-Analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 144, 1229 – 1246.
973	http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/bul0000171
974	Sigurdson, J.F., Undheim, A.M., Wallander, J.L., Lydersen, S. & Sund, A. M., (2015). The
975	long-term effects of being bullied or a bully in adolescence on externalizing and
976	internalizing mental health problems in adulthood. Child and Adolescent Psychiatry
977	and Mental Health 9 (42). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13034-015-0075-2
978	Smith, K. A., Iverach, L., O'Brian, S., and Mensah, F., Kefalianos, E., Hearne, A., and
979	Reilly, S., (2017). Anxiety in 11-year old children who stutter: findings from a

980	prospective longitudinal community sample. Journal of Speech, Language and
981	Hearing Research, 1 – 12. https://doi.org/10.1044/2016_JSLHR-S-16-0035
982	Smith, K., Iverach, L., O'Brian, S., Kefalianos, E., & Reilly, S. (2014). Anxiety of children
983	and adolescents who stutter: A review. Journal of Fluency Disorders, 40(1), 22-34.
984	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfludis.2014.01.003
985	Smith, M. (2004). Parental mental health: Disruptions to parenting and outcomes for children.
986	Child & Family Social Work, 9(1), 3-11. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
987	<u>2206.2004.00312.x</u>
988	Stevanovic, D. (2013). Impact of emotional and behavioral symptoms on quality of life in
989	children and adolescents. Qual Life Res 22, 333-337. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-
990	012-0158-у
991	Thapar, A., Collishaw, S., Pine, D. S., & Thapar, A. K. (2012). Depression in
992	adolescence. Lancet, 379(9820), 1056–1067. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-
993	<u>6736(11)60871-4</u>
994	van Santvoort, F., Hosman, C.M.H., Janssens, J.M.A.M. et al. The Impact of Various
995	Parental Mental Disorders on Children's Diagnoses: A Systematic Review. Clin Child
996	Fam Psychol Rev 18, 281–299. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-015-0191-9
997	Vanryckeghem, M. & Brutten, G. J. (1996). The relationship between communication
998	attitude and fluency failure of stuttering and non-stuttering children. Journal of
999	Fluency Disorders, 21(2), 109 – 118. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0094-730X(96)00015-0</u>
1000	Veerabhadrappa, R. C., Vanryckeghem, M., & Maruthy, S. (2021) The Speech Situation
1001	Checklist—Emotional Reaction: Normative and comparative study of Kannada-
1002	speaking children who do and do not stutter, International Journal of Speech-

1003 Language Pathology, 23(5), 559-568,

https://doi.org/10.1080/17549507.2020.1862301