

1 **INTRANASAL IMMUNIZATION WITH CHITOSAN MICROPARTICLES ENHANCES LACK-**
2 **DNA VACCINE PROTECTION AND INDUCES SPECIFIC LONG-LASTING IMMUNITY**
3 **AGAINST VISCERAL LEISHMANIASIS**

4

5 Daniel Claudio Oliveira Gomes^{1,2*}; Beatriz Lilian da Silva Costa Souza²; Rodrigo Porto
6 Schwedersky², Luciana Polaco Covre^{1,3}; Herbert Leonel de Matos Guedes^{4,5}; Ulisses Gazos
7 Lopes¹ Maria Inês Ré⁶ and Bartira Rossi-Bergmann^{1*}.

8

9 ¹Núcleo de Doenças Infecciosas, Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo, Vitoria, Brazil;

10 ²Instituto de Biofísica Carlos Chagas Filho, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil;

11 Division of Medicine, University College London, London, United Kingdom; Instituto Oswaldo

12 Cruz, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, Instituto de Microbiologia Professor

13 Paulo de Goes, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; ⁶Mines Albi, UMR-CNRS 5302,

14 [Centre RAPSODEE , Université de Toulouse , Campus Jarlard, Albi , France](#)

15

16

17 Corresponding author. E-mail address: dgomes@ndi.ufes.br

18 Núcleo de Doenças Infecciosas – UFES. Av. Marechal Campos, 1468 - Maruipé, Vitória – ES,

19 Cep 29040-091

20 Tel: +55 27 33357210/Fax 55 27 33357207

21

22

23 Running Title: DNA-Chitosan based vaccine protects against visceral leishmaniasis

24

25 **Key Words:** Visceral leishmaniasis; *Leishmania infantum*; intranasal delivery; DNA vaccine;

26 LACK.

1 **SUMMARY:**

2 Development of a protective vaccine against *Leishmania* depends on antigen formulation and
3 adjuvants that induce specific immunity and long-lasting immune responses. We previously
4 demonstrated that BALB/c mice intranasally vaccinated with a plasmid DNA encoding the
5 p36/LACK leishmanial antigen (LACK-DNA) develop a protective immunity for up to 3 months
6 after vaccination, which was linked with the systemic expression of vaccine mRNA in peripheral
7 organs. In this study, LACK-DNA vaccine was associated with biocompatible chitosan
8 microparticles cross-linked with glyceraldehyde (CMC) to boost the long-lasting immunity
9 against the late *L. infantum* challenge. Infection at 7 days, 3 or 6 months after vaccination
10 resulted in significantly lower parasite loads when compared with non-vaccinated controls.
11 Besides, LACK-DNA-chitosan vaccinated mice showed long-time protection observed after the
12 late time point challenge. The achieved protection was correlated with an enhanced spleen cell
13 responsiveness to parasite antigens, marked by increased proliferation and IFN- γ as well as
14 decreased IL-10 production. Moreover, we found diminished systemic levels of TNF- α that was
15 compatible with the better health condition observed in LACK-DNA/ CMC vaccinated-infected
16 mice. Together, our data indicate the feasibility of chitosan microparticles as a delivery system
17 tool to extend the protective immunity conferred by LACK-DNA vaccine, which may be explored
18 in vaccine formulations against *Leishmania* parasite infections.

19

20 **INTRODUCTION**

21 Leishmaniasis is a neglected tropical disease affecting over 12 million individuals
22 worldwide with approximately 2 million new cases reported yearly. In humans, the disease
23 manifestation ranges from self-healing cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) to severe visceral
24 leishmaniasis (VL), which is a fatal and systemic disease, if left untreated [1].

1 Disease control depends exclusively on chemotherapy, based on pentavalent
2 antimonials, oral miltefosine, liposomal amphotericin B and paromomycin. The use of these
3 drugs has significantly reduced mortality caused by VL, however, they are very toxic, expensive
4 and have frequently been associated with induction of drug-resistant strains [2–4]. Thus, the
5 development of a prophylactic or therapeutic vaccine is the most cost-effective way of controlling
6 this infectious disease [3,5,6], but currently no vaccine against human VL exists.

7 Non-invasive immunizations including mucosal administration of vaccines have emerged
8 in order to reduce or eliminate disadvantages observed with parenteral route delivery, which
9 includes cross-contamination, needlestick injury, under-or overdosing, increased cost as well as
10 low acceptance [6,7]. By using this strategy, several vaccine candidates based on whole
11 parasite antigens, purified proteins and DNA have been tested in order to promote specific
12 protection against pathogens [8–11]. DNA represents a promising technology that has shown
13 advantages over traditional, attenuated and subunit vaccines, especially related to its low cost
14 of production, stability and ability to induce both cellular and humoral immunity [12–14].

15 We have successfully used for many years the intranasal route to deliver the LACK-DNA
16 vaccine candidate, a plasmid encoding the cytoplasmic LACK protein from *L. infantum* that have
17 provided protective immune responses in hamsters and mice against both cutaneous and
18 visceral leishmaniasis [12,14–16]. Nevertheless, we have also shown that vaccinated mice with
19 LACK-DNA alone did not have protective immunity against the late parasite challenge (6 months
20 post-vaccination), suggesting the need for formulation adjustment in order to mediate a long-
21 lasting immunity [12].

22 Particles based techniques as a delivery system to antigens and DNA have emerged as
23 one of the most promising strategies to induce strong immune responses [3,17,18]. Besides,
24 this approach can protect the antigen from premature degradation by proteolytic enzymes,
25 promoting an efficient antigen uptake by APCs or M cells [19]. In this regard, chitosan
26 microparticles have been widely used offering several advantages compared to other

1 biodegradable polymers such as mucoadhesive properties and low toxicity [20]. Moreover, they
2 are highly biodegradable and biocompatible as well as efficient to increase residual time at the
3 site of absorption, prolonging the release of antigens and promoting long last immunity
4 [17,18,21].

5 Herein, we present a novel strategy to combine the highly successful LACK-DNA
6 intranasal delivery with chitosan microparticles to optimize a vaccine formulation against *L.*
7 *infantum*. We found that this association can significantly boost the antigen-immunogenicity and
8 provide a better protective and long-lasting host immune response.

9

10 **METHODS**

11 **Animals:** BALB/c mice were originally purchased from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, Maine).
12 They were bred and maintained at our own facilities, using sterilized bedding, filtered water and
13 pelleted food. Female animals were used at 6–8 weeks of age. The experimental protocols were
14 approved by the Ethical Committee for Experimental Animal Use established at the Federal
15 University of Rio de Janeiro under registration number IBCCF 118.

16

17 **Parasites and antigens:** *L. infantum* strain MHOM/BR/1974/M2682 amastigotes were routinely
18 isolated from the spleens of infected mice and cultured at 25°C as promastigotes in DMEM
19 medium pH 6,8 supplemented with 20% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 2 mM l-glutamine,
20 25 mM HEPES, and 20 µg/ml of gentamicin (herein named DMEM 20% HIFCS). For *L. infantum*
21 antigen (LiAg), late-log-phase culture promastigotes were centrifuged, washed three times in
22 phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and disrupted by three rounds of freezing and thawing. Protein
23 content was determined by the Lowry method. The recombinant LACK antigen was kindly
24 provided by Dr. Vicente Larraga (Centro de Investigaciones Biologicas, Madrid, Spain). For pCI-
25 neo-LACK (LACK-DNA), the gene encoding the p36 *Leishmania infantum* LACK protein was
26 inserted downstream of the cytomegalovirus promoter in the EcoRI/XbaI site of the pCI-neo

1 expression vector (Promega), as described previously [26]. Endotoxin-free control and LACK-
2 encoding plasmids were isolated using EndoFreePlasmid Mega kit (Qiagen) according to the
3 manufacturer's instruction.

4

5 ***Microparticles of chitosan cross-linked with glyceraldehyde (CMC)***: Chitosan
6 microparticles were prepared by spray drying technique as described by Oliveira (2005) [27].
7 For cross-linking, chitosan microparticles were suspended in acetone: water solution (2:1)
8 containing 1.5% of glyceraldehyde and maintained under agitation at 500 rpm for 30 minutes at
9 room temperature, followed by filtration in 0.22 µm membrane and dried in vacuum at room
10 temperature for 24 hours. The particles obtained presented an average size of 5 µm (Malvern
11 MasterSizer, model E, UK), span index of 2.21 (Malvern MasterSizer, model E, UK) and zeta
12 potential of + 55.3 ± 0.6 mV (Zetamaster Malvern, UK).

13

14 ***LACK DNA adsorption in CMC***: LACK-DNA plasmid was extracted by alkaline lysis method
15 using DNA LPS-free extraction kit, according to the manufacturer's instructions (Quiagen Giga-
16 Prep - USA). 50 mg of DNA was mixed with 50 mg of CMC and added to 25 mL of citrate-
17 phosphate buffer and ethanol (2:1) at pH 5.5, for 2 hours at 37°C. The adsorption rate was
18 determined by free DNA amount in the supernatant at 260 nm (NanoDrop 2000, Thermo
19 Scientific). pCi-neo empty plasmid were used as a negative control.

20

21 ***Vaccination and infection***: Mice were vaccinated by intranasal route (i.n.) with LACK-DNA as
22 previously described in [28]. Briefly, animals held upright received 10 µL of PBS containing 30
23 µg of LACK-DNA adsorbed with CMC-Gly in each nostril (LACK-DNA/ CMC). Controls received
24 phosphate buffer solution (PBS) or CMC-Gly alone (CMC). A booster dose was given 7 days
25 later. The infection was done at one week, three months or six post second vaccine dose by the
26 *i.v.* route with 10⁷ *L. infantum* promastigotes at the stationary phase of growth.

1 **Determination of the parasite burden:** On day 30 post infection, the parasite burden in each
2 liver and spleen was determined by Limiting Dilution Assay. Briefly, each organ was weighted
3 and homogenized in DMEM 20% HIFCS. Serial dilutions of single-cell suspensions were
4 cultured for 12 days at 25°C. The original numbers of parasites in each organ was calculated
5 from the reciprocal of the highest dilution containing promastigotes.

6

7 **Splenocyte proliferation assay:** Mice splenocytes were suspended in RPMI-1640 medium
8 supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (Sigma–Aldrich, USA). The
9 concentration of splenocytes was adjusted to 5×10^5 cells/well in a 96-well culture plate. Cells
10 were stimulated in the presence of Con A (10 µg/ml) [Sigma–Aldrich, USA], *L. infantum* antigen
11 (50 µg/ml) or recombinant LACK (5 µg/ml). Cultures were incubated at 37 °C in a CO₂ incubator
12 with 5% CO₂ for 3 days followed by 3H-thymidine addition (1 µCi) for 18 h. Cells were counted
13 in a liquid scintillation counter (Beckman, USA) and the results expressed as stimulation index.

14

15 **Cutaneous hypersensitivity reaction.** On day 1 of i.v. infection, vaccinated and non-
16 vaccinated mice were injected in the hind footpad with 20 µg of LiAg in 20 µl of PBS. Footpad
17 swelling was measured with a dial caliper and the results were expressed as the difference
18 between the thickness of the injected and pre-injected footpads.

19

20 **Cytokines:** Thirty days after infection, single cell suspensions were prepared from spleens at 5
21 $\times 10^6$ cells/ml in DMEM 10 % HIFCS supplemented with 50 µM 2-mercaptoetanol. Cells were
22 incubated at 37°C in 24-well flat-bottom plates in the presence or absence of LiAg (50 µg /ml),
23 rLACK (5 µg /ml) or medium alone for 72h. The cytokine production was determined in the
24 culture supernatants. TNF-α was assessed in sera by ELISA assay following the manufacturer's
25 instructions (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, USA).

26 **Statistics:** Data were statistically analysed using Prisma software. Means of normally

1 distributed variables were compared by ANOVA analysis simple factorial test and by one way
2 ANOVA-Tukey's honestly significant difference (Tukey's HSD) post-hoc method and were
3 considered significantly different when $p < 0.05$.

4

5 **RESULTS**

6 **Long lasting immunity is conferred by intranasal vaccination with LACK-DNA/ chitosan** 7 **microparticles**

8 We previously demonstrated that intranasal immunization of mice with LACK-DNA was
9 able to confer a protective immune response for up to 3 months after vaccination [12]. Now, we
10 investigate whether intranasal vaccination with LACK-DNA in association with chitosan
11 microparticles (CMC) may extend the specific cell-mediated immune responses and protection.
12 Thus, vaccinated-mice were challenged 1 week, 3 months and 6 months after the booster dose
13 and the parasite burden was accessed at the parasitic peak, thirty days after infection. Our data
14 demonstrate that both LACK-DNA- and LACK-DNA/CMC- vaccinated mice had a significant
15 reduction of liver and spleen parasite burden compared to control groups. This was observed at
16 1 week and 3 months after vaccination (Fig 1A and B). Interestingly, only LACK-DNA/CMC
17 vaccination was able to induce parasite control in both liver and spleen at the late challenge (6
18 months) (Fig 1C), suggesting its capacity to confers a protective long lasting immunity.

19

20 **Intranasal vaccination with LACK-DNA/ CMC does not promote acute systemic toxicity** 21 **and enhances specific protective immune responses**

22 To investigate whether intranasal vaccination with chitosan microparticles could induce
23 acute systemic toxicity, we accessed the levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate
24 aminotransferase (AST) and creatinine 24 h after the booster dose. No toxicity or change in
25 biochemical parameters of AST, ALT and creatinine were found after intranasal delivery of CMC
26 or its association with LACK-DNA (Fig 2). In contrast, positive control mice that were injected

1 with carbon tetrachloride (CCL₄) exhibited a significant increase of all biochemical parameters
2 when compared with negative control or vaccinated groups (Fig. 2).

3 The cutaneous hypersensitivity reaction (DTH) to locally injected *L. infantum* antigen was
4 assessed as an indication of cell-mediated immune response. Previously, LACK-DNA
5 immunized mice demonstrated increased DTH responses up to 3 months after vaccination. To
6 establish the critical effect of association with CMC in the prolongation of LACK DNA immunity,
7 we assessed the DTH 6 months after vaccination. Interestingly, mice that were pre-immunized
8 with LACK-DNA/CMC exhibited significant swelling as compared to non-vaccinated controls as
9 well as LACK-DNA alone (Fig 3A), observed at 24, 48 and 72 hours after skin challenge with
10 antigen. Moreover, compared to control groups, splenocytes from LACK-DNA-vaccinated mice
11 1 week or 3 months prior infection strongly proliferated after LiAg or rLACK recall (Fig 3B).
12 Similarly, LACK-DNA/CMC vaccinated mice showed significant lymphoproliferative response
13 compared to negative controls and LACK-DNA group (Fig 3B).

14 Cytokines analyses showed an increased IFN- γ production by LACK-DNA or LACK-
15 DNA/CMC vaccinated mice when compared to control groups after all evaluated times (Fig 4A).
16 However, higher IFN- γ production was observed by LACK-DNA/CMC as compared to LACK-
17 DNA vaccinated mice at the late challenge (6 months) (Fig 4A). LACK-DNA and LACK-
18 DNA/CMC vaccination induced increased IL-4 production in response to LiAg or rLACK *in vitro*
19 recall and that was not observed after the late challenge (6 months) post vaccination (Fig 4B).
20 At 6 months post vaccination, infected controls or LACK-DNA vaccinated mice were unable to
21 suppress the IL-10 production (Fig 4C). Complementary, both LACK-DNA or LACK-DNA/CMC
22 vaccinated 1 week or 3 months prior infection presented lower TNF- α levels compared to non-
23 vaccinated groups (Fig 4D). Interestingly, only LACK-DNA/CMC vaccinated mice showed a
24 significant reduction of TNF- α levels when challenged 6 months after booster (Fig 4D). This was
25 compatible with their healthy appearance in contrast to non-vaccinated controls, and to a lesser

1 extent LACK-DNA vaccinated mice, that showed unhealthy appearance and prostrate
2 behaviour.

3

4 **DISCUSSION**

5 In the present study, LACK-DNA plasmid was associated with chitosan nanoparticles as
6 a vaccine delivery system to increase its protective immune response against visceral
7 leishmaniasis in mice. This approach has been used to enhance both vaccine efficacy and to
8 protect the DNA from nasal mucosa degradation. Moreover, complexation in microparticles has
9 shown to improve antigen uptake by professional antigen-presenting cells (APCs) and promote
10 a slow antigen release at the targeting site of vaccination [17,18,22]. CMC also offers
11 advantages such as favourable size, stability in the target site, polycationic activity as well as
12 immunomodulatory properties [18]. Here, spherical-shaped CMC microparticles given by
13 intranasal route to BALB/c-vaccinated mice averaged 5 μm (data not shown). It is known that
14 particles smaller than 10 μm are phagocytized by antigen-presenting cells at mucosal surfaces,
15 leading to immune response enhancement. Thus, CMC presented required size for APCs
16 uptake, necessary for immune response induction.

17 The successful use of chitosan-DNA association given intranasally has been
18 demonstrated in viral respiratory infections models, hepatitis B virus and parasites [21,23–26].
19 In previous work, we demonstrated the correlation between systemic LACK-mRNA expression
20 after LACK-DNA intranasal immunization and the protective immunity duration against visceral
21 leishmaniasis. BALB/c mice infected with *L. infantum* at 7 days or 3 months after vaccination
22 presented significantly lower parasite loads than non-vaccinated controls. However, when
23 challenged 6 months after vaccination, they responded similarly to non-vaccinated controls [12].

24 The ability to confer long protection, preferably for the host's lifetime, is the primary aim
25 for vaccine development. Due to their cationic nature, chitosan microparticles are useful
26 materials to interact with negatively charged substances such as mucosa surfaces and DNA

1 molecules [27], increasing antigen half-life and resulting in improved vaccine efficacy [22,28]. In
2 addition, previous studies have suggested that chitosan microparticles can permeate mucosal
3 epithelium by stretching GAP junctions thus allowing vaccines a better access to the underlying
4 lymphoid tissue [29,30]. Taken together, all these characteristics seem to support the adequacy
5 of CMC as an efficient delivery vector to enhance the duration of LACK DNA intranasal
6 vaccination.

7 The use of chitosan particles as vaccine adjuvants has shown to induce both cellular
8 and humoral immune responses, which has been often related to vaccine success in humans
9 and animal models [18,31]. Although humoral responses do not always correspond to protection
10 [39], a strong antigen-specific cellular immune response can be related to VL healing [32]. In
11 the present study, splenocytes from LACK-DNA/CMC vaccinated mice exhibited enhanced
12 lymphoproliferative responses after LiAg and rLACK *in vitro* antigen recall, demonstrating the
13 correlation between cellular immune response and resistance to *L. infantum* infection, as
14 indicated by the lower parasite burden. Besides blastogenesis, the ability to develop a robust
15 Th1 immune response associated with IFN- γ production is crucial to visceral leishmaniasis
16 control [12] whereas IL-13/IL-4 and IL-10 are key cytokines associated with the disease
17 progression. Notably, LACK-DNA vaccination led to increased memory production of IFN- γ
18 when given in association with CMC. Previous studies also demonstrated the capacity of
19 chitosannanoparticles-DNA to induce a potent IFN- γ production [12,23] and the superior
20 capacity of chitosan to enhance cell-mediated immune responses [33]. Moreover, only LACK-
21 DNA/CMC vaccination led to controlled production of IL-10 and TNF- α in mice infected 6 months
22 after vaccination, suggesting that this formulation provided strong and long-lasting protection
23 against the intracellular parasites.

24 We have previously demonstrated that after intranasal instillation with naked LACK DNA
25 in physiological solution, LACK-DNA is absorbed by the nasal mucosa and LACK mRNA
26 expressed in different organs including the spleen and lymph nodes [12]. In this way, LACK

1 protein may be synthesized and presented by APCs directly in primary lymphoid organs. That
2 may explain why the outcome of *L. infantum* infection in mice is different when LACK-DNA is
3 given by intranasal and subcutaneous routes [34]. The deleterious effect of s.c. vaccination is
4 possibly due to the rapid induction of IL-4- producing LACK-specific CD4⁺ Vβ4-Vα8 T cell
5 repertoire that naturally circulates in susceptible BALB/c mice due to cross-reaction with LACK-
6 like proteins produced by the gut microflora [35,36]. Intranasal vaccination with LACK DNA, on
7 the other hand, may somehow prevent the expansion of that T cell repertoire through mucosal
8 tolerance. The protective T cell repertoire responsible for the protective immunity remains to be
9 determined. Whether or not CMC acts only as a mucoadhesive and transepithelial carrier
10 delivering the LACK DNA more effectively to the lamina propria dendritic cells, or also as an
11 immunostimulatory agent affecting systemic LACK expression is another interesting point for
12 further investigation. Taken together, our results show that CMC is a good adjuvant to enhance
13 systemic immune responses induced by LACK-DNA vaccine in visceral leishmaniasis, and a
14 general potential strategy to improve intranasal vaccination with DNA.

15 REFERENCES

- 16 [1] World Health Organization. OMS | Leishmaniasis. WHO 2015;375.
17 [2] Taslimi Y, Zahedifard F, Rafati S. Leishmaniasis and various
18 immunotherapeutic approaches. *Parasitology* 2016;1–11.
19 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118201600216X>.
20 [3] Modabber F. Leishmaniasis vaccines: Past, present and future. *International*
21 *Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* 2010;36:S58–61.
22 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.06.024>.
23 [4] Kumari S, Kumar A, Samant M, Singh N, Dube A. Discovery of Novel Vaccine
24 Candidates and Drug Targets Against Visceral Leishmaniasis Using
25 Proteomics and Transcriptomics. *Current Drug Targets* 2008;9:938–47.
26 <https://doi.org/10.2174/138945008786786091>.
27 [5] Kumar R, Engwerda C. Vaccines to prevent leishmaniasis. *Clinical &*
28 *Translational Immunology* 2014;3:e13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/cti.2014.4>.
29 [6] Pavot V, Rochereau N, Genin C, Verrier B, Paul S. New insights in mucosal
30 vaccine development. *Vaccine* 2012;30:142–54.
31 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.11.003>.
32 [7] Macdonald TT. The mucosal immune system. *Parasite Immunology*
33 2003;25:235–46. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3024.2003.00632.x>.

- 1 [8] Aina A, Suzuki T, Tamura S, Hasegawa H. Intranasal Administration of
2 Whole Inactivated Influenza Virus Vaccine as a Promising Influenza Vaccine
3 Candidate. *Viral Immunology* 2017;30:451–62.
4 <https://doi.org/10.1089/vim.2017.0022>.
- 5 [9] Carapau D, Mitchell R, Nacer A, Shaw A, Othoro C, Frevert U, et al. Protective
6 humoral immunity elicited by a needle-free malaria vaccine comprised of a
7 chimeric *Plasmodium falciparum* circumsporozoite protein and a Toll-like
8 receptor 5 agonist, flagellin. *Infection and Immunity* 2013;81:4350–62.
9 <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00263-13>.
- 10 [10] Fernandez S, Cisney ED, Hall SI, Ulrich RG. Nasal immunity to
11 staphylococcal toxic shock is controlled by the nasopharynx-associated
12 lymphoid tissue. *Clinical and Vaccine Immunology* 2011;18:667–75.
13 <https://doi.org/10.1128/CVI.00477-10>.
- 14 [11] Stegmiller NP, Barcelos EC, Leal JM, Covre LP, Donatele DM, de Matos
15 Guedes HL, et al. Intranasal vaccination with adjuvant-free *S. aureus*
16 antigens effectively protects mice against experimental sepsis. *Vaccine*
17 2016;34:3493–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.04.018>.
- 18 [12] de Oliveira Gomes DC, Schwedersky RP, De-Melo LDB, da Silva Costa Souza
19 BL, de Matos Guedes HL, Lopes UG, et al. Peripheral expression of LACK-
20 mRNA induced by intranasal vaccination with PCI-NEO-LACK defines the
21 protection duration against murine visceral leishmaniasis. *Parasitology*
22 2012;139:1562–9. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182012000868>.
- 23 [13] Méndez S, Gurunathan S, Kamhawi S, Belkaid Y, Moga MA, Skeiky YAW, et al.
24 The Potency and Durability of DNA- and Protein-Based Vaccines Against
25 *Leishmania major* Evaluated Using Low-Dose, Intradermal Challenge. *The*
26 *Journal of Immunology* 2001;166:5122–8.
27 <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.166.8.5122>.
- 28 [14] de Oliveira Gomes DC, da Silva Costa Souza BL, de Matos Guedes HL, Lopes
29 UG, Rossi-Bergmann B. Intranasal immunization with LACK-DNA promotes
30 protective immunity in hamsters challenged with *Leishmania chagasi*.
31 *Parasitology* 2011;138:1892–7.
32 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182011001417>.
- 33 [15] Pinto EF, Pinheiro RO, Rayol A, Larraga V, Rossi-Bergmann B. Intranasal
34 vaccination against cutaneous leishmaniasis with a particulated leishmanial
35 antigen or DNA encoding LACK. *Infection and Immunity* 2004;72:4521–7.
36 <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.72.8.4521-4527.2004>.
- 37 [16] Gomes DCDO, Pinto EF, Melo LDBD, Lima WP, Larraga V, Lopes UG, et al.
38 Intranasal delivery of naked DNA encoding the LACK antigen leads to
39 protective immunity against visceral leishmaniasis in mice. *Vaccine*
40 2007;25:2168–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2006.11.060>.
- 41 [17] Xia Y, Fan Q, Hao D, Wu J, Ma G, Su Z. Chitosan-based mucosal adjuvants:
42 Sunrise on the ocean. *Vaccine* 2015;33:5997–6010.
43 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.07.101>.
- 44 [18] Smith A, Perelman M, Hinchcliffe M. Chitosan a promising safe and immune-
45 enhancing adjuvant for intranasal vaccines. *Human Vaccines and*

- 1 Immunotherapeutics 2014;10:797–807.
2 <https://doi.org/10.4161/hv.27449>.
- 3 [19] Slütter B, Jiskoot W. Sizing the optimal dimensions of a vaccine delivery
4 system: A particulate matter. *Expert Opinion on Drug Delivery*
5 2016;13:167–70. <https://doi.org/10.1517/17425247.2016.1121989>.
- 6 [20] Jia J, Zhang W, Liu Q, Yang T, Wang L, Ma G. Adjuvanticity Regulation by
7 Biodegradable Polymeric Nano/microparticle Size. *Molecular*
8 *Pharmaceutics* 2017;14:14–22.
9 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.6b00434>.
- 10 [21] Zhang W, Yin Z, Liu N, Yang T, Wang J, Bu Z, et al. DNA – chitosan
11 nanoparticles improve DNA vaccine-elicited immunity against Newcastle
12 disease virus through shuttling chicken interleukin-2 gene 2010;27:693–
13 702. <https://doi.org/10.3109/02652048.2010.507881>.
- 14 [22] Rodrigues S, Lakkadwala S, Sharma D, Singh J. Chapter 15. Chitosan for
15 gene, DNA vaccines, and drug delivery. Elsevier Inc.; 2019.
16 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818433-2.00015-7>.
- 17 [23] Xu J, Dai W, Wang Z, Chen B, Li Z, Fan X. Intranasal vaccination with
18 chitosan-DNA nanoparticles expressing pneumococcal surface antigen A
19 protects mice against nasopharyngeal colonization by *Streptococcus*
20 *pneumoniae*. *Clinical and Vaccine Immunology* 2011;18:75–81.
21 <https://doi.org/10.1128/CVI.00263-10>.
- 22 [24] Sawaengsak C, Mori Y, Yamanishi K, Srimanote P, Chaicumpa W, Mitrevej A,
23 et al. Intranasal chitosan-DNA vaccines that protect across influenza virus
24 subtypes. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics* 2014;473:113–25.
25 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2014.07.005>.
- 26 [25] Bhowmik T, D’Souza B, Uddin MN, D’Souza MJ. Oral delivery of
27 microparticles containing plasmid DNA encoding hepatitis-B surface
28 antigen. *Journal of Drug Targeting* 2012;20:364–71.
29 <https://doi.org/10.3109/1061186X.2012.662686>.
- 30 [26] Tripathy S, Mahapatra SK, Chattopadhyay S, Das S, Dash SK, Majumder S, et
31 al. A novel chitosan based antimalarial drug delivery against *Plasmodium*
32 *berghei* infection. *Acta Tropica* 2013;128:494–503.
33 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2013.07.011>.
- 34 [27] Seferian PG, Martinez ML. Immune stimulating activity of two new chitosan
35 containing adjuvant formulations. vol. 19. 2001.
- 36 [28] Shim S, Yoo HS. The Application of Mucoadhesive Chitosan Nanoparticles in
37 Nasal Drug Delivery. *Marine Drugs* 2020;18.
38 <https://doi.org/10.3390/md18120605>.
- 39 [29] Vllasaliu D, Casettari L, Fowler R, Exposito-Harris R, Garnett M, Illum L, et
40 al. Absorption-promoting effects of chitosan in airway and intestinal cell
41 lines: A comparative study. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*
42 2012;430:151–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2012.04.012>.
- 43 [30] Csaba N, Köping-Höggård M, Alonso MJ. Ionically crosslinked
44 chitosan/tripolyphosphate nanoparticles for oligonucleotide and plasmid
45 DNA delivery. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics* 2009;382:205–14.
46 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2009.07.028>.

- 1 [31] Guo J, Sun X, Yin H, Wang T, Li Y, Zhou C, et al. Chitosan microsphere used as
2 an effective system to deliver a linked antigenic peptides vaccine protect
3 mice against acute and chronic Toxoplasmosis. *Frontiers in Cellular and*
4 *Infection Microbiology* 2018;8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2018.00163>.
- 5 [32] Garg R, Dube A. Animal models for vaccine studies for visceral
6 leishmaniasis. *Indian Journal of Medical Research* 2006;123:439–54.
- 7 [33] Mori A, Oleszycka E, Sharp FA, Coleman M, Ozasa Y, Singh M, et al. The
8 vaccine adjuvant alum inhibits IL-12 by promoting PI3 kinase signaling
9 while chitosan does not inhibit IL-12 and enhances Th1 and Th17
10 responses. *European Journal of Immunology* 2012;42:2709–19.
11 <https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201242372>.
- 12 [34] Ferraz Coelho EA, Pereira Tavares CA, Amorim Carvalho FA, Chaves KF,
13 Teixeira KN, Rodrigues RC, et al. Immune responses induced by the
14 *Leishmania (Leishmania) donovani* A2 antigen, but not by the LACK
15 antigen, are protective against experimental *Leishmania (Leishmania)*
16 *amazonensis* infection. *Infection and Immunity* 2003;71:3988–94.
17 <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.71.7.3988-3994.2003>.
- 18 [35] Launois P, Maillard I, Pingel S, Swihart KG, Xénarios I, Acha-Orbea H, et al.
19 IL-4 Rapidly produced by V α 4 V β 8 CD4⁺ T cells instructs Th2
20 development and susceptibility to leishmania major in BALB/c mice.
21 *Immunity* 1997;6:541–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1074-7613\(00\)80342-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1074-7613(00)80342-8)
22 [8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1074-7613(00)80342-8).
- 23 [36] Launois P, Maillard I, Pingel S. IL-4 Rapidly Produced by V4 V8 CD4 T Cells
24 Instructs Th2 Development and Susceptibility to *Leishmania major* in
25 BALB/c Mice. vol. 6. 1997.

28 Legend to figures

29 Fig. 1. **Parasite burden in infected mice after different times of vaccination.** Mice received
30 two *i.n.* doses of 30 μ g of naked LACK-DNA; 30 μ g of pCI-neo plasmid alone; 30 μ g of LACK-
31 DNA adsorbed to CMC (LACK-DNA/CMC); 1,5 mg of naked CMC or 20 μ l of PBS alone with
32 one week interval. After 1 week, 3 months or 6 months of vaccination, the animals were *i.v.*-
33 challenged with *L. infantum*. The parasite burden in individual organs was measured

34
35 Fig. 2. **Biocompatibility effect of vaccination and parasite-specific cytokines production.**
36 Mice were vaccinated as described in (Fig. 1). Twenty-four hours post booster the levels of
37 transaminase AST, ALT and Creatinine in the serum were evaluated by colorimetric assay. The

1 results are represented as arithmetic means \pm S.D of three independent experiments (n=12/
2 group). ****p < 0.0001.

3

4 **Fig 3. Parasite-specific lymphoproliferative response in infected mice after different times**

5 **of vaccination.** Mice were vaccinated and infected after the indicated times. On day 30 of

6 infection, their spleen cells were harvested and stimulated with LiAg (50 μ g/ml); recombinant

7 LACK protein (5 μ g/ml); or medium alone. The lymphoproliferative response was determined by

8 3H-thymidine incorporation after 3 days of culture. The results are represented as arithmetic

9 means \pm S.D of three independent experiments (n=21/ group). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001

10 as compared with the respective PBS controls. #p < 0.05, ##p < 0.01, ###p < 0.001 as compared

11 with the LACK-DNA group.

12

13 **Fig 4. Parasite-specific cytokine response in mice infected after different times of**

14 **vaccination.** Mice (n=8) were vaccinated and infected after the indicated times. On day 30 of

15 infection, their spleen cells were harvested and stimulated in vitro with LiAg (50 μ g/ml);

16 recombinant LACK protein (5 μ g/ml); or medium alone. Determination of vaccine-induced IFN-

17 γ , IL-4 and IL-10 cytokines were measured in the supernatants by ELISA. TNF- α was accessed

18 by ELISA in the individual sera. The results are represented as arithmetic means \pm S.D of three

19 independent experiments (n=21/ group). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 as compared with the respective

20 PBS controls. #p < 0.05, ##p < 0.01 as compared with the LACK-DNA group.