://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/alr.23100 by University College London UCL Library Services, Wiley Online Library on [28/11/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/erms

and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules

High prevalence of persistent smell loss and qualitative smell dysfunction during the COVID-19 pandemic in the United States: urgent need for clinical trials

Running Title: Persistent COVID-19 associated smell dysfunction

Matt Lechner MD<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>, Jacklyn Liu MSc<sup>2</sup>, Nicholas Counsell MSc<sup>4</sup>, Carol H. Yan MD<sup>5</sup>, Santdeep Paun FRCS<sup>1</sup>, Nicholas Eynon-Lewis FRCS<sup>1</sup>, Liam Sutton FRCS<sup>1</sup>, Samuel Jayaraj FRCS<sup>1</sup>, Rachel L. Batterham PhD<sup>6,7,8</sup>, Claire Hopkins FRCS<sup>9</sup>, Carl Philpott MD<sup>10,11</sup>, Valerie J. Lund MD<sup>12</sup>, Matthew Hatter BSc<sup>13</sup>, Mohamed Abdelwahab PhD<sup>13</sup>, F. Christopher Holsinger MD<sup>13</sup>, Robson Capasso MD<sup>13</sup>, Jayakar V. Nayak MD<sup>13</sup>, Peter H. Hwang MD<sup>13</sup>, Zara M. Patel MD<sup>13,\*</sup>

## **Affiliations**

- 1. ENT Department, Barts Health NHS Trust, London, UK
- 2. UCL Cancer Institute, University College London, London, UK
- Academic Head and Neck Centre, UCL Division of Surgery and Interventional Science, University College London, London, UK
- 4. CRUK & UCL Cancer Trials Centre, University College London, UK
- 5. Division of Otolaryngology, University of San Diego School of Medicine, San Diego, USA
- 6. Centre for Obesity Research, University College London, London, UK.
- 7. Bariatric Centre for Weight Management and Metabolic Surgery, University College London Hospitals NHS Trust, London, UK.
- 8. National Institute for Health Research, UCLH Biomedical Research Centre, London, UK.
- 9. Guy's Hospital, Guy's and St. Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK
- 10. The Norfolk Smell & Taste Clinic, Norfolk & Waveney ENT Service, UK
- 11. Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK
- 12. Royal National ENT Hospital, UCLH Foundation Trust, London, UK
- 13. Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, California, USA

This is the author manuscript accepted for publication and has undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the <u>Version of Record</u>. Please cite this article as <u>doi:</u> 10.1902/alr.23100.

\*Corresponding Authors:

Matt Lechner, MD PhD

Associate Professor, UCL Division of Surgery and Interventional Science and UCL Cancer Institute, University College London

m.lechner@ucl.ac.uk

Zara M. Patel, MD

Professor, Stanford University School of Medicine

zmpatel@stanford.edu

The COVID-19 pandemic has put unprecedented strains on healthcare systems across the globe and this is thought to continue with the advent of long COVID. Long COVID is a condition of persistent sequelae for longer than one month after initial infection and may involve any body system. The associated disability and long-term morbidity has placed an immense burden across communities, from the impact on daily living and interpersonal relationships to reduced workforce capacity and the consequent economic cost. One presentation that can be regarded as part of the long COVID spectrum is persistent smell and taste dysfunction. However, the burden and prevalence of this problem is still not fully recognized, especially within the United States. In an attempt to estimate the burden of persistent smell loss in the US, we interviewed a gender and age-matched representative sample of the US population, comprising 4,534 adults across all fifty states, using a previously reported questionnaire (supplemental material).

1.0426984, i., Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/w/01/10022lr.23100 by University College London UCL Library Services, Wiley Online Library to (2/11/1022). See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/rems-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

Twenty percent (872/4,369, 95% CI: 18.8%-21.1%) of responders reported having experienced a loss of sense of smell since January 2020; extrapolated to the entire US population (World Bank, 2020, estimated 329.5 million), this is equivalent to 65.8 million (95% CI: 61.9 million to 69.7 million) individuals having experienced smell loss during the COVID-19 pandemic. For those who reported a loss of sense of smell, roughly half recovered their smell within one month (47.5%, 360/757). Subjective smell loss persisted for 1-3 and 3-6 months for 16.4% (124/757) and 20.2% (153/757), respectively. For the

remaining 15.5% (120/757), smell loss persisted beyond 6 months. Taking a rate of persistent smell loss of 2.7% (120/4,369; 95% CI: 2.3%-3.2%), this is equivalent to 9.0 million individuals in the US (95% CI: 7.4 million to 10.6 million).

Participants were asked to rate their sense of smell and taste on a scale of 0 (completely absent) to 10 (normal) both at its worst and at the time of the survey. For sense of smell, the mean scores at its worst and at the time of survey were 6.49 (Std. Dev. 3.31) and 6.96 (Std. Dev. 3.04) in those who reported smell loss, respectively. For sense of taste, the mean scores were 6.72 (Std. Dev. 3.09) and 6.95 (Std. Dev. 2.93), respectively.

Parosmia and phantosmia were reported in 17.9% (148/829) and 21.1% (175/829) of participants, who initially reported smell loss, respectively. For these participants who reported parosmia, 29.3% (43/147) had fully recovered on the date of the survey with improvements observed in 38.8% (57/147). Parosmia remained unchanged or worsened in 9.5% (14/147) and 18.4% (27/147) respectively. When considering all survey responders, unchanged or worsened parosmia (i.e. persistent) has a rate of 0.9% (95% CI: 0.7-1.2%), which is equivalent to 3.1 million individuals in the US (95% CI: 2.1 million to 4.0 million). For those who reported phantosmia, 26.3% (46/175) had fully recovered, whilst 40.6% (71/175) had seen improvements. Phantosmia remained unchanged or worsened in 15.4% (27/175) and 14.3% (25/175), respectively. Seventy one percent (589/831) reported having experienced sensations of burning, cooling, or tingling in their nose or mouth (chemesthesis).

2042684, ja, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/alr.23100 by University College London UCL Library Services, Wiley Online Library on [281/11/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons

Regarding participants' sense of taste, 33.1% (276/834) reported absent or diminished perception of sweet flavors, 42.6% (354/831) for salty flavors, 41.9% (349/834) for sour flavors and 37.5% (312/832) for bitter flavors. The perception of these flavors was distorted in 12.5% (104/834), 14.2% (118/831), 13.7% (114/834) and 16.7% (139/832), respectively.

69.8% (579/829) of responders with smell loss reported that their smell dysfunction had adversely impacted their quality of life. These participants were further asked to answer a series of quality of life (QoL) -related items, pertaining to the impact of smell dysfunction on daily life, eating habits and mental health. Responses for these were on a 7-point Likert scale where a higher score indicated a worse perceived impact on the corresponding item. For each of the fifteen QoL items, there was a statistically significant difference in scores between participants who either experienced anosmia, hyposmia, parosmia or a heightened

sense of smell. When comparing parosmia to anosmia (i.e. severe smell loss), a higher combined QoL score (scale 15-105, where 105 indicates the worst overall QoL) was observed in the anosmic group (median<sub>anosmic</sub>= 96 (range = 15-105) vs. median<sub>parosmic</sub>= 69 (range = 15-105), p<0.001). Comparing parosmia to hyposmia, the combined QoL score was higher in the parosmic (i.e. mild smell loss) group (median<sub>parosmic</sub>= 69 (range = 15-105) vs. median<sub>hyposmic</sub>= 57 (range = 15-95), p=0.001).

Although there was no psychometric testing to corroborate the subjective self-reporting in this specific population, the smell questionnaire utilized has already been compared with and tested against validated psychometric measures in a UK trial<sup>5</sup>, and has been further utilized in a study of the UK general public<sup>4</sup>, demonstrating its use as an effective means of ascertaining patterns of olfactory dysfunction in a large population.

In summary, our findings show that a large proportion of a sample from the US general population have experienced some degree of smell loss since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic. Although many of these individuals experience spontaneous recovery, there is still a significant number of patients with persistent smell dysfunction beyond 6 months, and that this number is greater than prior estimates.<sup>6,7</sup> Importantly, this work has shed light on an extremely challenging aspect of COVID-19 related smell dysfunction, that of distorted smells, i.e. parosmia. This was reported to have either remained unchanged or worsened in 0.9% of respondents, indicating that roughly 3 million US adults may have persistent parosmia. Adding to the 9 million individuals with long term hyposmia or anosmia, this is a huge burden and aligns with recent evidence of its emerging role in the overall symptomology of long COVID.8,9 Crucially, few treatments for parosmia are available in clinical practice, most of which rely on anecdotal evidence or small case series. This is of particular importance as the impact of parosmia on patient quality of life appears to be substantial. Through this study and what the authors' own clinical observations, there is a significant psychological and emotional consequence related to parosmia, and other qualitative smell disorders, that should not be overlooked. As such, there remains an urgent need to address the therapeutic gap in their management, with studies currently underway.

2042684, ja, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/alr.23100 by University College London UCL Library Services, Wiley Online Library on [281112022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons

## References

1. Phillips S, Williams MA. Confronting Our Next National Health Disaster - Long-Haul Covid. *N Engl J Med*. Aug 12 2021;385(7):577-579. doi:10.1056/NEJMp2109285

- 2. Lechien JR, Cabaraux P, Chiesa-Estomba CM, et al. Psychophysical Olfactory Tests and Detection of COVID-19 in Patients With Sudden Onset Olfactory Dysfunction: A Prospective Study. *Ear Nose Throat J.* Nov 2020;99(9):579-583. doi:10.1177/0145561320929169
- 3. Lechner M, Counsell N, Liu J, et al. Anosmia and hyposmia in health-care workers with undiagnosed SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Lancet Microbe*. Aug 2020;1(4):e150. doi:10.1016/S2666-5247(20)30096-3
- 4. Lechner M, Liu J, Counsell N, et al. The burden of olfactory dysfunction due to COVID-19 in the United Kingdom. *Rhinology*. 2022;
- 5. Lechner M, Liu J, Counsell N, et al. The COVANOS trial insight into post-COVID olfactory dysfunction and the role of smell training. *Rhinology*. Jul 28 2022;doi:10.4193/Rhin21.470
- 6. Renaud M, Thibault C, Le Normand F, et al. Clinical Outcomes for Patients With Anosmia 1 Year After COVID-19 Diagnosis. *JAMA Netw Open*. Jun 1 2021;4(6):e2115352. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.15352

20426984, ja, Downloaded from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/alr.23100 by University College London UCL Library Services, Wiley Online Library on [28/11/2022]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/rems/

on Wiley Online Library for rules

of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

- 7. Tan BKJ, Han R, Zhao JJ, et al. Prognosis and persistence of smell and taste dysfunction in patients with covid-19: meta-analysis with parametric cure modelling of recovery curves. *BMJ*. Jul 27 2022;378:e069503. doi:10.1136/bmj-2021-069503
- 8. Burges Watson DL, Campbell M, Hopkins C, Smith B, Kelly C, Deary V. Altered smell and taste: Anosmia, parosmia and the impact of long Covid-19. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(9):e0256998. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0256998
- 9. Philpott C, Dixon J, Boak D. Qualitative Olfactory Disorders: Patient Experiences and Self-Management. *Allergy Rhinol (Providence)*. Jan-Dec 2021;12:21526567211004251. doi:10.1177/21526567211004251