## TASK FORCE OF THE WORKING GROUP ON MYOCARDIAL AND PERICARDIAL DISEASE ON:

"Diagnosis and treatment of cardiac amyloidosis. A position statement of the ESC Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Disease."

## AUTHORS:

- WG Task Group / writing group: Pablo Garcia-Pavia (SP) (Coordinator), Michael Arad (IL), Thibaud Damy (FR), Marianna Fontana (UK), Julian D Gillmore (UK), Esther Gonzalez-Lopez (SP), Massimo Imazio (IT), Arnt V Kristen (GE), Ales Linhart (CZ), Mathew S Maurer (US), Giampaolo Merlini (IT), Claudio Rapezzi (IT).
- WG Nucleus members (co-authors): Yehuda Adler (IL), Antonio Brucato (IT),
  Ivana Burazor (RS), Alida Caforio (IT), Urs Eriksson (CH), Ingrid Kindermann (GE),
  Antonis Pantazis (UK), Sabine Pankuweit (GE), Angelos G Rigopoulos (GE).
- Internal reviewers (co-authors): Cristina Basso (IT), Martha Grogan (US), Stephane Heymans (NL).

## WG Task Group / writing group affiliations:

Pablo Garcia-Pavia; 1) Heart Failure and Inherited Cardiac Diseases Unit. Department of Cardiology, Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro Majadahonda, CIBERCV, Madrid, Spain; 2) Universidad Francisco de Vitoria (UFV), Pozuelo de Alarcon, Spain; 3) Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Cardiovasculares (CNIC), Madrid, Spain; pablogpavia@yahoo.es

Michael Arad, Israel; Heart Failure Institute, Leviev Heart Center, Sheba Hospital and Sackler School of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Israel; michael.arad@sheba.health.gov.il Thibaud Damy, French Referral Center for Cardiac Amyloidosis, Amyloidosis Mondor Network, GRC Amyloid Research Institute, CHU Henri Mondor; Créteil, France; thibaud.damy@gmail.com

Marianna Fontana, National Amyloidosis Centre, Division of Medicine, University College London, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK; m.fontana@ucl.ac.uk

Julian D Gillmore, National Amyloidosis Centre, Division of Medicine, University College London, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK; j.gillmore@ucl.ac.uk

Esther Gonzalez-Lopez, 1) Heart Failure and Inherited Cardiac Diseases Unit. Department of Cardiology, Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro Majadahonda, CIBERCV, Madrid, Spain; esthgonzalez@hotmail.com

Massimo Imazio, University Division of Cardiology, AOU Città della Salute e della Scienza di Torino.Torino, Italy; massimo\_imazio@yahoo.it

Arnt V Kristen, University of Heidelberg, Department of Cardiology, Germany; Cardiovascular Center Darmstadt, Heidelberg, Germany; arnt.kristen@med.uniheidelberg.de

Ales Linhart, 2nd Department of Medicine, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, General University Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic; ales.linhart@vfn.cz

Mathew S. Maurer, Cardiac Amyloidosis Program, Center for Advanced Cardiac Care, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York Presbyterian Hospital, New York, NY, USA; msm10@cumc.columbia.edu

Giampaolo Merlini, 1) Amyloidosis Research and Treatment Center, Fondazione Istituto di Ricovero e Cura a Carattere Scientifico Policlinico San Matteo, Pavia, Italy; 2) Department of Molecular Medicine, University of Pavia, Italy; gmerlini@unipv.it Claudio Rapezzi, 1) Cardiologic Center, University of Ferrara, Italy; 2) Maria Cecilia Hospital, GVM Care & Research, Cotignola, Italy. claudio.rapezzi@unibo.it

### ESC WG Myocardial and pericardial disease Nucleus members affiliations (co-authors):

Yehuda Adler, Leviev Heart Center, Chaim Sheba Medical Center (affiliated to Tel Aviv University), Israel. yehuda.adler@sheba.health.gov.il

Antonio Brucato, Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences "Sacco", Fatebenefratelli Hospital, University of Milano, Italy. antonio.brucato@unimi.it Ivana Burazor, Department of Cardiology, Institute for Rehabilitation, Belgrade, Serbia. ivana.burazor@gmail.com

Alida LP Caforio, Cardiology, Dept of Cardiac Thoracic Vascular Sciences and Public Health, University of Padova, Padova, Italy; e-mail: alida.caforio@unipd.it

Urs Eriksson, GZO – Zurich Regional Health Center, Wetzikon & Cardioimmunology, Center for Molecular Cardiology, University of Zurich, Switzerland; urs.eriksson@uzh.ch Ingrid Kindermann, Department of Internal Medicine III (Cardiology, Angiology and Intensive Care), Saarland University Medical Center, Saarland University, Homburg/Saar, Germany, ingrid.kindermann@uks.eu

Sabine Pankuweit, Philipps-University Marburg, Dept. Of Cardiology, Marburg, Germany, pankuwei@staff.uni-marburg.de

Antonis Pantazis, Cardiomyopathy Service, Royal Brompton Hospital, London, UK. a.pantazis@rbht.nhs.uk

Angelos G Rigopoulos, Mid-German Heart Center, Department of Internal Medicine III, Division of Cardiology, Angiology and Intensive Medical Care, University Hospital Halle, Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Halle (Saale), Germany; angelos.rigopoulos@gmail.com

## Internal reviewers' affiliations (co-authors):

Cristina Basso, Cardiovascular Pathology Unit, University Hospital; Department of Cardiac, Thoracic and Vascular Sciences and Public Health, University of Padua, Padua, Italy; cristina.basso@unipd.it

Sthephane Heymans; 1) Department of Cardiology, Maastricht University, CARIM School for Cardiovascular Diseases, Maastricht, Netherlands; 2) Centre for Molecular and Vascular Biology, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; 3) ICIN-Netherlands Heart Institute, Holland Heart House, Utrecht, Netherlands. s.heymans@maastrichtuniversity.nl Martha Grogan; Cardiac Amyloid Clinic, Division of Circulatory Failure, Department of Cardiovascular Diseases, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA. grogan.martha@mayo.edu

## **Conflict of Interest Disclosure:**

Michael Arad reports receiving an Advisory board fee and a Research Grant from Pfizer and from Sanofi Genzyme.

Urs Eriksson reports consulting fees and grant support from Pfizer and Sanofi. Institutional grants from Pfizer.

Marianna Fontana is supported by a British Heart Foundation Intermediate Clinical Research Fellowship (FS/18/21/33447) and reports consulting fees from Pfizer, Akcea, Ionis, Alnylam, Alexion, Sanofi and research grant from Pfizer.

Pablo Garcia-Pavia reports speaking fees from Pfizer, Eidos, Alnylam, and Akcea. Consulting fees from Pfizer, Eidos, Neuroinmmune, Alnylam, Prothena and Akcea. Research support to his institution from Pfizer, Eidos and Alnylam.

Julian D Gillmore reports consulting and speaking fees from Pfizer, Akcea, Alnylam, and Eidos

Esther Gonzalez-Lopez reports speaking fees from Pfizer and Alnylam. Consulting fees from Pfizer and Proclara. Research support to her institution from Pfizer, Eidos and Alnylam.

Martha Grogan reports grant/clinical trial support from Alnylam, Eidos, Prothena, and Pfizer.

Ingrid Kindermann reports speaking fees from Akcea Therapeutics Germany and Pfizer and consulting fees from Akcea Therapeutics Germany.

Arnt V Kristen reports consulting fees from Pfizer, Akcea, Alnylam, and Neurimmune as well as speaking fees from Pfizer, Akcea, and Alnylam.

Ales Linhart reports speaking fees from Pfizer. Consulting fees from Pfizer, Alnylam.

Mathew S. Maurer reports grant support from National Institutes of Health [R01HL139671-01], [R21AG058348] and [K24AG036778], consulting income from Pfizer, GSK, Eldos, Prothena, Akcea and Alnylam, and institution received clinical trial funding from Pfizer, Prothena, Eidos and Alnylam

Claudio Rapezzi reports speaking fees from Pfizer, Alnylam, and Akcea. Consulting fees from Pfizer, Alnylam, Prothena and Akcea. Institutional Research Grants from Pfizer.

Angelos G. Rigopoulos reports honoraria for presentations from Astra-Zeneca.

Other authors declare no conflict of interest.

# Words: 4933 (includes only text. It does not include references, tables and figure legends)

## **Correspondence:**

Pablo Garcia-Pavia, MD, PhD Heart Failure and Inherited Cardiac Diseases Unit, Department of Cardiology Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro Manuel de Falla, 2; 28222 Madrid, Spain. e-mail: pablogpavia@yahoo.es twitter: @dr\_pavia

## Introduction

Cardiac amyloidosis is characterized by extracellular deposition of misfolded proteins in the heart with the pathognomonic histological property of green birefringence when a tissue specimen is examined under cross polarized light after staining with Congo red<sup>(1)</sup>. Although previously considered a rare disease, recent data suggest that cardiac amyloidosis is underappreciated as cause of common cardiac diseases or syndromes<sup>(2)</sup>. Recent advances in cardiac imaging, diagnostic strategies and therapies have improved recognition and treatment of cardiac amyloidosis<sup>(1,2)</sup>.

The aim of this multidisciplinary position paper by the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Disease is to help cardiologists and other physicians in recognizing, diagnosing, and treating patients with cardiac amyloidosis.

## Types of cardiac amyloidosis:

While more than 30 proteins are known to be capable of aggregating as amyloid *in vivo*, only 9 amyloidogenic proteins accumulate in the myocardium producing significant cardiac disease<sup>(3)</sup>.

Nevertheless, some forms (AApoAI, AApoAII, AApoAIV, Aβ2M, AFib, AGel) are very rare and cardiac amyloidosis secondary to chronic inflammatory and infectious diseases (AA), although still encountered, is now much less frequent. Therefore, >98% of currently diagnosed cardiac amyloidosis result from fibrils composed of either monoclonal immunoglobulin light chains (AL) or transthyretin (ATTR), either in its hereditary (ATTRv) or acquired (ATTRwt) form. Table 1 describes the main characteristics of each type of cardiac amyloidosis.

## Pathophysiology

Two main mechanisms of tissue and organ damage are known: chronic infiltration and acute proteotoxic effect of circulating precursors and nonamyloid aggregates. The latter is particularly evident and documented in AL but has also been shown in ATTRv and ATTRwt. Myocardial infiltration by rigid, space-occupying amyloid fibrils leads to increased stiffness and dysfunction of ventricles and atria. Infiltration is generally diffuse

but can be mainly subendocardial or with patchy areas of transmural involvement<sup>(4)</sup>. A base to apex gradient in left ventricular (LV) myocardial infiltration has been frequently advocated to explain the apex sparing of longitudinal strain abnormalities. Atrial involvement is frequent, leading to poor atrial function and increased rates of atrial fibrillation. While histologic involvement of the epicardial coronary vessels does not appear to be a cause of vascular stenosis, amyloid can deposit in the small intramural coronary arteries could cause myocardial ischemia. The conduction system can be affected both at sinus node level and the A-V node or His bundle causing varying degrees of heart block as well as bundle branch block<sup>(4,5)</sup>. A-V valves are frequently thickened, often with mild to moderate regurgitation. Pericardial involvement can lead to small pericardial effusions (large effusions are rare)<sup>(1,2)</sup>.

Cardiac amyloidosis is commonly considered a form of restrictive cardiomyopathy or to have restrictive physiology in the presence of increased wall thickness. This hemodynamic profile is typical of the fully evolved disease but can be absent or mild in the initial phases. The progressive increasing parietal and chamber stiffness leads to an upward and leftward shift in the end-diastolic pressure–volume relationship with concomitant declines in stroke volume, cardiac output, (and frequently blood pressure)<sup>(6)</sup>. Parallel declines in stroke volume and end-diastolic volume explain why LV ejection fraction (LVEF) remains preserved during the course of the disease until the late phases, whereas myocardial contraction fraction is reduced. LVEF is <50% in about 35% of cases in some types of cardiac amyloidosis when the disease is diagnosed<sup>(7)</sup>. LV systolic function is indeed compromised even in many patients with normal LVEF as documented by the reduction in LV longitudinal function and strain. Furthermore, myocardial contractility and inotropic reserve during exercise are reduced in almost all patients.

## Diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis

Diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis includes two critical phases: 1) *suspicious phase* and 2) *definite diagnosis phase*. The definite diagnosis phase includes also appropriate typing of the amyloid which is critical to guide specific treatment.

## When to suspect cardiac amyloidosis

## Red-flags

Cardiac amyloidosis usually appears within a constellation of extracardiac signs and symptoms that are extremely useful to suspect the disease in the presence of compatible cardiac imaging findings. These signs and symptoms receive the name of "red-flags" and include macroglossia, skin bruises and carpal tunnel syndrome among others (Table 2). Furthermore, there are also a variety of red-flags at cardiac level that can also be used to suspect the disease. As such, heart failure (including disproportionately high NT-proBNP) which appears to be in disproportion to "objective" findings on echocardiogram, "unexplained" right heart failure in the presence of what appears to be a "normal" ventricular and valvular function or "idiopathic" pericardial effusion. Persistent troponin elevation, disproportionally low QRS voltage or early conduction system disease are also signs that should evoke a consideration of a unique etiology such as infiltrative myocardial disease. Another "red-flag" should be intolerance to blood pressure or heart failure medications (Table 2).

## Clinical scenarios

Further to cardiac and extracardiac specific findings fostering the suspicion, there are several clinical situations in which cardiac amyloidosis should always be considered. Cardiac disease in the presence of a typical systemic condition such as plasma cell dyscrasia, nephrotic syndrome, peripheral neuropathy or chronic systemic inflammatory condition should evoke consideration of amyloidosis, especially if compatible cardiac imaging findings are present.

Increased wall thickness in a nondilated LV is a prominent characteristic of cardiac amyloidosis and should lead to further evaluation when found in elderly patients with common cardiac syndromes like heart failure with preserved ejection fraction or hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. A relevant proportion (up to 7 to 19%) of elderly heart failure patients with increased LV wall thickness has been described to suffer ATTRwt<sup>(7-12)</sup> and in patients with LV wall thickness  $\geq$  15 mm, ATTRv was found in 11% of patients aged 65 to 84 years<sup>(13)</sup>.

Other studies have reported a significant prevalence of ATTRwt (ranging from 5% to 16%) in elderly individuals with severe aortic stenosis (AS), particularly among those undergoing transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) with low-flow low-gradient phenotype<sup>(14,15)</sup>.

Based on abovementioned values and with the possibility of non-invasive diagnosis we recommend ascertainment of cardiac amyloidosis in individuals with increased wall thickness and non-dilated LV with either heart failure, aortic stenosis or red flag signs/symptoms, particularly if older than 65 years (Figure 1).

## Definite and etiologic diagnosis

Definite diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis has not been standardized but both invasive and non-invasive diagnostic criteria have been proposed. Invasive diagnostic criteria apply to all forms of cardiac amyloidosis and non-invasive criteria are currently accepted only for ATTR (Figure 2).

## Invasive diagnostic criteria

Cardiac amyloidosis is confirmed when an endomyocardial biopsy demonstrates amyloid deposits on Congo red staining irrespective of the degree of LV wall thickness. Identification of amyloid should be followed by identification of the amyloid fibril protein that can be achieved by referring histological preparations to specialized centers<sup>(16)</sup>. Although the gold standard for defining the type of amyloid remains mass spectrometry, immunohistochemistry or immunoelectron microscopy are routinely employed for amyloid typing in specialized centers<sup>(4)</sup>.

Diagnosis is also confirmed if amyloid deposits within an extra-cardiac biopsy are accompanied either by characteristic features of cardiac amyloidosis by echocardiography in the absence of an alternative cause for increased LV wall thickness or by characteristic features on cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) (Table 3). CMR is particularly useful to unravel amyloid infiltration when comorbidities such as chronic kidney disease, hypertension, or valvular heart disease are present.

A recent multicentre study has proposed an echocardiographic score to facilitate echocardiographic diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis in the presence of increased LV wall

thickness<sup>(17)</sup>. Although not externally validated yet, a score  $\geq$  8 points in the presence of LV wall thickness  $\geq$ 12 mm in combination with amyloid deposits in an extra-cardiac biopsy should also be considered diagnostic of cardiac amyloidosis (Table 3).

## Non-Invasive diagnostic criteria

Cardiac ATTR amyloidosis can be diagnosed in the absence of histology in the setting of typical echocardiographic/CMR findings, when <sup>99m</sup>Tc-PYP, -DPD or -HMDP scintigraphy shows grade 2 or 3 myocardial uptake of radiotracer (Figure 3) if clonal dyscrasia is excluded by <u>all</u> the following tests: serum free light chain assay, serum immunofixation (SPIE) and urine immunofixation (UPIE)<sup>(18)</sup>. The combination of SPIE, UPIE and quantification of serum free light chains has a 99% sensitivity for identifying abnormal proamyloidotic precursor in AL amyloidosis<sup>(19)</sup>. It is important to stress that serum and urine protein electrophoresis should always be performed with immunofixation to increase the sensitivity of the assays for detecting low-level monoclonal proteins (Supplemental material).

In absence of a detectable monoclonal protein and/or an abnormal serum free light chain ratio, the specificity of grade 2 or 3 bone scintigraphy for cardiac ATTR when the disease is suspected has been proposed to be almost 100%<sup>(19)</sup>. Nevertheless, recent reports have shown that rare situations can also lead to positive cardiac uptake<sup>(20)</sup>. These situations should always be considered when interpreting scintigraphy results (Table 4).

Once cardiac ATTR amyloidosis is confirmed, genetic testing should be performed to assess for the presence of *TTR* mutations in order to differentiate between ATTRwt and ATTRv. Genetic testing should be performed even in elderly patients as a significant number can have TTR mutations<sup>(21)</sup>.

## Diagnostic algorithm

Once cardiac amyloidosis is suspected, a timely, definitive diagnosis should be obtained. Patient outcomes depend largely on early initiation of therapy (particularly in AL) and physicians should pursuit proper evaluation promptly.

As the large majority of cases of cardiac amyloidosis are AL and ATTR, we propose a diagnostic algorithm focusing on identifying these subtypes by the initial use of <sup>99m</sup>Tc-

PYP, DPD or HMDP scintigraphy coupled with assessment for monoclonal proteins by SPIE, UPIE and quantification of serum free light chains.

The results of these test could lead to 4 scenarios (Figure 4):

**1.** Scintigraphy does not show cardiac uptake and assessment for monoclonal proteins are negative. There is a very low chance of cardiac amyloidosis. Consider false negative of bone scintigraphy, as tracer uptake depends on TTR fibril composition or rare subtypes of cardiac amyloidosis (Table 4). In case suspicion persists consider CMR followed by cardiac or extracardiac biopsy.

**2.** Scintigraphy shows cardiac uptake and assessment for monoclonal proteins are negative. If cardiac uptake is grade 2 or 3, ATTR cardiac amyloidosis can be diagnosed. Proceed with genetic testing to differentiate between ATTRv and ATTRwt forms. In case cardiac uptake is Grade 1, non-invasive diagnosis is not possible and histological confirmation of amyloid (could be extracardiac) is required.

**3.** Scintigraphy does not show cardiac uptake and any of the monoclonal protein tests are abnormal. AL amyloidosis has to be ruled-out. CMR can confirm cardiac involvement. If CMR findings do not support cardiac amyloidosis, the diagnosis is unlikely. In case CMR findings are supportive, cardiac or extracardiac histological demonstration of amyloid would be required to diagnose AL cardiac amyloidosis. A cardiac or other clinically-affected organ biopsy is recommended to avoid time delay until diagnosis<sup>(22)</sup>.

**4.** Scintigraphy shows cardiac uptake and any of the monoclonal protein tests are abnormal. ATTR, AL and coexistence of both types of amyloidosis are possible in this scenario. Diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis in this case requires histology with amyloid typing, usually via endomyocardial biopsy.

## Prognosis in cardiac amyloidosis

Although diverse ways to prognosticate in cardiac amyloidosis have been proposed and a detailed review of individual prognostic factors can be found at supplemental material, focus has moved to multiparametric biomarker-based prognostic scores. In 2004, the Mayo clinic reported the first staging system in AL using serum troponin along with NT-proBNP<sup>(23)</sup>. The identification that free light chain burden also affects prognosis in AL led to a revised Mayo biomarker staging system in 2012<sup>(24)</sup>.

Based on the great clinical utility of these staging systems in AL, two biomarker based staging systems were recently developed in ATTR amyloidosis.

One proposed by the Mayo clinic group for ATTRwt cardiac amyloidosis using NT-proBNP concentration and troponin T with cutoff values of 3000 pg/ml and 0.5 ng/ml, respectively<sup>(25)</sup>.

Another staging system, developed at the UK National Amyloid Centre uses NT-proBNP and eGFR (MDRD formula) with cutoff values of 3000 pg/ml and 45 ml/min, respectively<sup>(26)</sup>. This latter score system has the advantage over the previous one that it relies on two parameters widely available and it is not subject to the troponin subtype and its quantification method. Table 5 shows the three prognostic scores with their reported survival.

## Progression of cardiac amyloidosis

While there have been multiple studies delineating baseline risk factors associated with adverse outcomes (principally mortality) in AL and ATTR and data is emerging from the placebo arm of therapeutic trials<sup>(27)</sup>, there is a paucity of published data on longitudinal aspects of disease progression and none that are population based without referral and ascertainment bias. In the era of emerging effective therapies, this is a major unmet need.

## AL cardiac amyloidosis progression and response

Given the biologic link between light chain toxicity and NT-proBNP, an NT-proBNP response generally follows lowering of the light chains<sup>(28)</sup>, with a cardiac response defined as a decrease in NT-proBNP  $\geq$ 30% and  $\geq$ 300 pg/ml while cardiac progression is defined as NT-proBNP progression NT-proBNP  $\geq$ 30% and  $\geq$ 300 pg/ml<sup>(29)</sup>. The cardiac response criteria have been shown to be a robust predictor of survival and could serve as a surrogate endpoint for clinical trials<sup>(30)</sup>.

## ATTR cardiac amyloidosis progression and response

No formal definition of progression in ATTR cardiac amyloidosis has been accepted. Interpretation of changes in 6MWD in subjects with ATTRv and concomitant neurological disease is complex, but such changes seem to be more associated with the neuropathy than the cardiomyopathy<sup>(31)</sup>.

Response criteria for therapeutic efficacy have not been developed in cardiac ATTR either. Stabilizers have been shown to increase the concentration of tetrameric TTR<sup>(32)</sup>. Silencers, on the contrary, lower TTR levels and the degree of knockdown has been associated with clinical benefits<sup>(33,34)</sup>. Whether following TTR levels during treatment is useful to monitor therapeutic response requires further study.

## Follow-up of patients with cardiac amyloidosis

Although there are no studies addressing what is the optimal follow-up scheme in patients with cardiac amyloidosis, a common scheme consists of 6-month time interval visits with ECG and complete blood tests (including NT-proBNP and Troponin) and yearly echocardiogram and 24h Holter ECG.

Atrial and ventricular arrhythmias are common in patients with both AL and ATTR. While episodes of non-sustained ventricular tachycardia are frequently observed<sup>(35)</sup>, their independent association with adverse outcomes has not been consistently reported<sup>(36)</sup>. On the contrary, atrial fibrillation (AF) is associated with serious thromboembolic risk. Given the known benefits of anticoagulation, routine screening for atrial arrhythmias is endorsed.

Furthermore, if feasible, evaluation by 6MWD and Kansas City Questionnaire every 6 months could be of interest given the availability of natural history data from trial's placebo groups<sup>(27)</sup>.

A summary of recommended follow-up tests can be found in Table 6.

## Follow-up of mutation carriers and genetic counselling

For relatives of patients with an inheritable form of cardiac amyloidosis, genetic testing is recommended. Such testing should occur along with genetic counselling of patients and their families. As all hereditary amyloidoses have an adult onset, genetic testing of minors is discouraged. Genetic testing could be offered during young adulthood if genetic information would seem useful to guide professional choices or for reproductive planning.

Among the over 130 mutations in ATTRv, there is significant heterogeneity regarding penetrance which is largely attributable the specific mutation and is age dependent. Other factors that influence penetrance include sex, region of origin, fibril type (full length or fragmented), maternal inheritance, and/or concomitant inflammation. Specific TTR mutations including Val142IIe, Thr80Ala, Ile88Leu and Leu131Met are diagnosed on average in the 8<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> decade of life. Assessment of penetrance in allele carriers of specific mutations is generally recommended to start ~10 years prior to the age of diagnosis of affected members of the family (or other individuals with the same mutation) or a soon as symptoms compatible with ATTR develop<sup>(37)</sup> (Table 6).

## Treatment

Treatment of cardiac amyloidosis involves two areas: 1) Treatment and prevention of complications including heart failure, arrhythmias, conduction disturbances and thromboembolism; and 2) Stopping or delaying amyloid deposition by specific treatment.

## **Treatment of complications**

Supportive care of patients with cardiac amyloidosis encompasses different clinical aspects (Figure 5).

## Heart failure

Avoiding fluid retention is essential in patients with cardiac amyloidosis. A *low-salt diet*, *daily weight monitoring* and *fluid restriction* ( $\leq$ 1.5 litres/day) should be strongly recommended.

The mainstay of HF treatment in cardiac amyloidosis is based on *loop diuretics* to control congestion and to reduce HF symptoms. Diuretic dose needs to be adapted frequently to maintain euvolemia. *Mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists* at low doses, might be helpful, in combination with loop diuretics, to prevent hypokalaemia, especially if high doses of diuretics are needed.

However, use of diuretics must be extremely carefully managed because excess diuresis can provoke hypotension and clinical deteroration by reducing preload and LV filling pressures in the presence of a restrictive physiology.

*Midodrine*, a selective and peripheral agonist of  $\alpha$ -adrenergic receptors, and elastic stocking could be used in patients with symptomatic hypotension<sup>(38)</sup>.

There is currently no evidence that patients with cardiac amyloidosis benefit from systolic heart failure therapies, including ACE inhibitors (ACEI), ARBs, sacubitril/valsartan, beta-blockers and ivabradine. In contrast, they could lead to clinical worsening.

Cardiac amyloidosis is frequently associated with hypotension and with a reduced and fixed stroke volume that requires a higher heart rate to maintain adequate cardiac output. Thus, heart failure drugs could be poorly tolerated. In summary, beta-blockers, ivabradine, ACEIs and ARBs should be generally avoided and if needed, they should be used cautiously.

Moreover, non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers are contraindicated due to the risk of A-V block and reduced inotropism.

Regarding digoxin, it has been classically contraindicated but a recent retrospective study in AL patients reported significant arrhythmias in only 11% of them, suggesting that *digoxin* might be used if administrated at lower doses and with frequent drug concentration monitoring along with close monitoring of electrolytes and renal function<sup>(39)</sup>.

## Thromboembolic risk

Thromboembolism is associated with atrial as well as LV systolic and diastolic dysfunction, right ventricular hypertrophy, high heart rate and low velocities in left atrial appendage, in addition to AF, and contributes to cardiac amyloidosis mortality<sup>(40)</sup>.

Anticoagulation should be started in patients with AF in any form, regardless of CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score<sup>(41)</sup>.

Moreover, anticoagulation in sinus rhythm might also be considered on an individual basis as atrial thrombi are present in up to 4.5% of AL and 1.1% of ATTR patients in sinus rhythm possibly due to silent paroxysmal AF and/or atrial myopathy<sup>(42)</sup>. Particularly

consider anticoagulation if atrial standstill is found based on the mitral Doppler flow pattern.

Finally, there is not enough data to recommend direct oral anticoagulants over vitamin K antagonists or vice versa in cardiac amyloidosis and both options are valid.

## Atrial fibrillation

Management of AF in cardiac amyloidosis constitutes a challenge and there is little evidence regarding its impact. In ATTRwt for instance, some studies have associated AF with a higher degree of heart failure with no differences in survival in terms of paroxysmal or permanent AF<sup>(43,44)</sup>. In two retrospective studies no survival differences were found between patients with and without AF (but was strongly associated with prevalent and incident heart failure); these differences were maintained when classifying by AL or ATTRwt subtypes<sup>(43,45)</sup>. Therefore, the decision whether to try rhythm or heart rate control should be individualized.

Amiodarone is the preferred antiarrhythmic drug used. Dofetilide could be considered with careful monitoring of QT interval.

Electrical cardioversion should be considered a high-risk procedure with complications including cerebrovascular events, transient AV block, or ventricular arrhythmias<sup>(46)</sup>. As a significant number of patients could have intracardiac thrombi regardless of AF onset or correct anticoagulation, transoesophageal echocardiogram should be recommended before the procedure<sup>(46)</sup>. Initial success rates of electrical cardioversion are similar between cardiac amyloid patients and control patients<sup>(46)</sup>. Recurrences, however, are very frequent. Data regarding the role of AF ablation in cardiac amyloidosis are scarce and controversial and should only be recommended in an individual basis<sup>(47)</sup>.

## Conduction disorders

Amyloid infiltration of the conduction system can occur either directly by deposition or by adjacent fibrosis and could be the first manifestation of the disease<sup>(9)</sup>. Conduction disorders can be unpredictable and rates of pacemaker (PM) implantation are quite variable among series<sup>(48,49)</sup>.

In terms of conduction disorders, current PM indications in CA include symptomatic patients with complete AV block, 2<sup>nd</sup> degree AV block or sinus node dysfunction,

according to general guidelines<sup>(50)</sup>. Given the progressive nature of conduction disorders, prophylactic PM implantation could be considered individually in asymptomatic patients presenting with trifascicular block or HV interval >70ms or even with gradual widening of PR interval duration during follow-up.

Although single lead or DDD PPM have been the type of PPM traditionally implanted in patients with cardiac amyloidosis, recent data suggest that cardiac resynchronisation (CRT) devices might be more appropriate if high paced burden (>40%) is required<sup>(51)</sup>.

## Ventricular arrhythmias

Although the relation between cardiac amyloidosis and ventricular arrhythmias is known, the usefulness of ICDs in these patients is not well established<sup>(52)</sup>.

SCD in patients with cardiac amyloidosis can occur due to electromechanical dissociation and currently ICD is recommended only for secondary prevention. In case an ICD is implanted, the transvenous ICD is preferred over the subcutaneous ICD as pacing mode could be of interest to avoid low heart rate shown to appear prior to SCD events in some cases<sup>(35)</sup>.

#### Aortic stenosis

Severe AS is independently associated with worst prognosis in patients with concomitant ATTRwt<sup>(53)</sup>. Moreover, concomitant ATTRwt probably represents a risk factor for AS periprocedural a-v block. Robust data on long term outcome post AS intervention are lacking but recent reports suggest that TAVR significantly improves outcome in amyloid-AS, while periprocedural complications and mortality are similar to lone AS<sup>(54)</sup>.

## Advanced Heart Failure

Although LV assist devices (LVAD) appeared not to be suitable for most patients due to small LV end-diastolic volumes, LVAD implantation has been demonstrated to be technically feasible in some patients. Nevertheless, whether this approach has a beneficial role remains uncertain<sup>(55)</sup>.

Heart transplantation could be an option in carefully selected patients with ATTR, predominant heart disease, no significant extra-cardiac amyloidosis and advanced heart failure<sup>(56,57)</sup>.

Combined liver and heart transplantation has been an option in selected ATTRv patients with mixed phenotype with advanced heart failure and mild neurologic involvement but availability of new therapies is challenging the option of combined transplantation. Finally, cardiac transplantation in AL patients could allow aggressive chemotherapy strategies or Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation (ASCT) to ensure haematological remission as well as a solution for advanced cardiac disease in the presence of stable haematological remission in carefully selected patients with absent or minimal extracardiac amyloid deposition<sup>(56,57)</sup>.

## Specific (disease modifying) treatment

In general, treating the process of amyloid deposition should target the production of amyloid precursor protein or the assembly of amyloid fibrils. Future therapies would try dissembling the tissue deposits using small molecules or monoclonal antibodies mobilizing the immune system.

## AL amyloidosis

Specific treatment in cardiac AL amyloidosis should be undertaken by multidisciplinary teams involving oncohaematology and cardiology specialists and whenever possible, patients should be referred to specialized centers<sup>(58)</sup>.

Patients with AL amyloidosis not only have a hematologic malignancy, but their multiorgan involvement makes them particularly fragile and susceptible to treatment toxicity. Therapeutic approaches depend on risk assessment defined in many circumstances by the degree of cardiac involvement (Figure 6). A more detailed state-of-the-art description of therapeutic options and cardiac response probabilities based on the hematological response criteria in AL amyloidosis can be found in supplemental material. Cardiologists' role in AL specific treatment includes: 1. Cardiac assessment for initial hematologic strategy including ASCT consideration (Table 7), 2. Heart transplant evaluation 3. Cardiac monitoring during chemotherapy.

## Cardiac monitoring during chemotherapy

A recent ESC position paper has addressed the diagnosis and management of cardiovascular toxicity of chemotherapy. For high-risk patients, such as those with preexisting LV dysfunction and heart failure, a tailored and detailed plan for cardiac management throughout treatment and beyond should be established<sup>(59)</sup>.

Strategies for screening, detection, and monitoring of cardiotoxicity include biomarkers and cardiac imaging. The choice of modalities depends upon local expertise and availability. Using the same modalities of monitoring with higher reproducibility is recommended<sup>(59)</sup>. The frequency of imaging and biomarker sampling will depend upon the specific chemotherapy, total cumulative dose of cardiotoxic chemotherapy, delivery protocol and duration and the patient's baseline cardiovascular risk. A common scheme includes monthly biomarker evaluation during initial hematological treatment with cardiac imaging every 6 months (table 6).

## ATTR amyloidosis

There is growing availability of novel, effective, targeted therapeutic options for ATTRwt and ATTRv<sup>(60)</sup>. A prompt diagnosis to allow the timely treatment of neurological, cardiac, and other systemic manifestations is essential. In fact, therapy is more effective in the early stages of the disease<sup>(27,33,34)</sup>. Effective therapies reduce the production of mutated (liver transplantation) and overall TTR (gene silencers) or stabilize circulating TTR molecule<del>s</del> (stabilizers) preventing its dissociation or cleavage into amyloidogenic fragments. Several new compounds are under investigation including agents directed to remove amyloid fibrils (Figure 7).

## Liver transplant

Isolated liver transplant has been indicated in early onset ATTRv patients with mixed phenotype and mild cardiac disease in the early stage of the neurological disease. Clear benefit in terms of quality of life and survival is observed in patients with Val50Met variant, while the benefit is reduced in non-Val50Met variants<sup>(61)</sup>. Despite the suppression of mutated TTR production at the liver, cardiac and neurological progression of the disease might still occur after transplant as a consequence of continued deposition of wild type TTR in pre-existing mutated amyloid aggregates<sup>(62)</sup>.

With the advent of new genetic silencers that suppress production of both wild type and mutated TTR, liver transplantation probably will become an obsolete approach.

## Tafamidis

Tafamidis, a kinetic TTR stabilizer, binds to the unoccupied thyroxine binding sites of tetrameric TTR, preventing the amyloidogenic cascade<sup>(63)</sup>. It was approved for treatment of stage I (ambulatory without assistance) symptomatic ATTRv polyneuropathy and is the only therapy that has shown effectivity to treat ATTR cardiomyopathy in a randomized placebo-controlled trial so far. Tafamidis (20 and 80 mg once daily pooled) demonstrated a reduction of around 30% in all-cause mortality and in cardiovascularrelated hospitalization and slower decline in QOL in biopsy-proven ATTRwt or ATTRv cardiomyopathy patients with heart failure and NYHA class I to III at 30 months<sup>(27)</sup>. Safety profile of both doses were similar to placebo and the largest benefit was seen in individuals with NYHA class I and II<sup>(27)</sup>. Additional experimental and preliminary data support the use of the higher dose of tafamidis and this has been the basis of the approval by EMA and other regulatory agencies of tafamidis free acid 61 mg (a formulation bioequivalent to tafamidis meglumine 80 mg) for ATTR cardiac amyloidosis. Despite its proven efficacy, concerns about its cost-effectiveness have emerged in the US due to its price<sup>(64)</sup>. Further studies would be needed to address cost-effectiveness of tafamidis outside the US but currently it should be considered the agent of choice in ATTR cardiac patients with reasonable expected survival (Figure 8).

## Diflunisal

Diflunisal is a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug with TTR-stabilizing properties. In a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blinded, study in ATTRv patients, diflunisal at 250mg bid showed decreased neuropathy progression and improved QOL but failed to show statistically significant differences in cardiac parameters among individuals with cardiac disease<sup>(65)</sup>. Although, possible beneficial effects have been reported in small cohorts of ATTR cardiac amyloidosis patients<sup>(66)</sup>, frequent adverse events associated with NSAIDs may preclude its use in patients with heart failure.

## AG10

AG10 is a highly selective, small-molecule TTR stabilizer. Phase I and II studies showed a good toxicity profile and stabilization of both mutant and wild-type TTR<sup>(32)</sup>. A phase 3 efficacy and safety study to evaluate AG10 compared to placebo in subjects with symptomatic ATTR cardiomyopathy is ongoing (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03860935).

#### TTR silencers

Recently, agents capable of silencing the TTR gene and substantially reduce the concentration of circulating TTR have entered clinical practice. They specifically degrade TTR mRNA at the nucleus (inotersen) or cytoplasma (patisiran)<sup>(33,34)</sup>. Both agents have been approved for treatment of ATTRv polyneuropathy after demonstration of their efficacy in randomized placebo-controlled neurologic trials in patients with stage I or II (ambulatory with assistance) neurologic disease<sup>(33,34)</sup>. Patisiran was effective in secondary analysis of cardiac parameters (NT-proBNP, LV thickness and longitudinal strain) in ATTRv patients with cardiac amyloidosis included in its trial<sup>(34,67)</sup>. In contrast, Inotersen did not show significant difference in echocardiographic variables compared with placebo in ATTRv patients with cardiac disease in its neurological trial<sup>(33)</sup> despite a small open-label study has shown stabilization of cardiac parameters in the majority of both ATTRv and ATTRwt patients followed for up to 3 years<sup>(68)</sup>. While waiting for ongoing prospective cardiac studies, these observations support the use of patisiran in ATTRV patients with cardiac involvement in whom gene silencers are prescribed due to symptomatic neurological disease. (Figure 8). Ongoing Phase III trials in patients with ATTRwt or ATTRv cardiomyopathy of patisiran and new gene silencing molecules (Vutrisiran and AKCEA-TTR-LRx) will definitively address if gene silencing approach is beneficial in ATTR cardiac amyloidosis (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifiers: NCT03997383, NCT04153149 and NCT04136171).

## AA amyloidosis

AA amyloidosis is caused by different conditions inducing an increase in the serum concentration of the acute phase protein SAA such as infections, inflammation or malignancies. The kidney is the target organ while significant cardiac involvement is rare. Nonetheless, an autopsy study in patients with rheumatoid arthritis pointed out that subclinical cardiac involvement may be as frequent as clinical renal involvement, conditioning survival<sup>(69)</sup>. Identifying the underlying disease can be challenging but it is essential since provides an opportunity for targeted therapy, that can result in normalization of SAA levels and halt the progression of amyloidosis and possibly rescue the function of the affected organs<sup>(70)</sup>.

## Summary

In this paper the Working Group on Myocardial and Pericardial Disease proposes an invasive and non-invasive definition of cardiac amyloidosis, address clinical scenarios and situations to suspect the condition and proposes a diagnostic algorithm to reach diagnosis. Furthermore, we also provide a detailed review on how to monitor and treat cardiac amyloidosis in an attempt to bridge the gap between latest advances in the field and clinical practice.

#### **References:**

1. Maurer MS, Elliott P, Comenzo R, Semigran M, Rapezzi C. Addressing Common Questions Encountered in the Diagnosis and Management of Cardiac Amyloidosis. Circulation 2017;135:1357-1377.

2. Ruberg FL, Grogan M, Hanna M, Kelly JW, Maurer MS. Transthyretin Amyloid Cardiomyopathy: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019;73:2872-2891.

3. Benson MD, Buxbaum JN, Eisenberg DS, Merlini G, Saraiva MJM, Sekijima Y, Sipe JD, Westermark P. Amyloid nomenclature 2018: recommendations by the International Society of Amyloidosis (ISA) nomenclature committee. Amyloid 2018;25:215-219.

4. Maleszewski JJ. Cardiac amyloidosis: pathology, nomenclature, and typing. Cardiovasc Pathol 2015;24:343-50.

5. James TN. Pathology of the cardiac conduction system in amyloidosis. Ann Intern Med. 1966;65:28-36.

6. Rosenblum H, Burkhoff D, Maurer MS. Untangling the physiology of transthyretin cardiac amyloidosis by leveraging echocardiographically derived pressure-volume indices. Eur Heart J 2020;41:1448-1450.

7. González-López E, Gagliardi C, Dominguez F, Quarta CC, de Haro-Del Moral FJ, Milandri A, Salas C, Cinelli M, Cobo-Marcos M, Lorenzini M, Lara-Pezzi E, Foffi S, Alonso-Pulpon L, Rapezzi C, Garcia-Pavia P. Clinical characteristics of wild-type transthyretin cardiac amyloidosis: disproving myths. Eur Heart J. 2017;38:1895-1904.

8. González-López E, Gallego-Delgado M, Guzzo-Merello G, de Haro-Del Moral FJ, Cobo-Marcos M, Robles C, Bornstein B, Salas C, Lara-Pezzi E, Alonso-Pulpon L, Garcia-Pavia P. Wild-type transthyretin amyloidosis as a cause of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Eur Heart J 2015;36:2585-94.

9. López-Sainz Á, de Haro-Del Moral FJ, Dominguez F, Restrepo-Cordoba A, Amor-Salamanca A, Hernandez-Hernandez A, Ruiz-Guerrero L, Krsnik I, Cobo-Marcos M, Castro V, Toquero-Ramos J, Lara-Pezzi E, Fernandez-Lozano I, Alonso-Pulpon L, González-López E, Garcia-Pavia P. Prevalence of cardiac amyloidosis among elderly patients with systolic heart failure or conduction disorders. Amyloid. 2019;26(3):156-63.

10. Lo Presti S, Horvath SA, Mihos CG, Rajadhyaksha C, McCloskey V, Santana O. Transthyretin Cardiac Amyloidosis as Diagnosed by 99mTc-PYP Scanning in Patients with

Acute Heart Failure and Preserved Ejection Fraction. Crit Pathw Cardiol 2019;18:195-199.

11. Bennani Smires Y, Victor G, Ribes D, Berry M, Cognet T, Méjean S, Huart A, Roussel M, Petermann A, Roncalli J, Carrié D, Rousseau H, Berry I, Chauveau D, Galinier M, Lairez O. Pilot study for left ventricular imaging phenotype of patients over 65 years old with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: the high prevalence of amyloid cardiomyopathy. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging 2016;32:1403-1413.

12. Abou-Ezzeddine OF, Davies D, Sexton JA, Scott CG, Fayyaz A, Akhiyat N, Stromme TA, Askew JW, McKie P, Noseworthy P, Dunlay S, Borlaug B, Chareonthaitawee P, Johnson G, Dispenzieri A, Grogan M, Redfield MM. Prevalence of transthyretin cardiac amyloidosis in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a population based study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020:75(11 Supplement 1):691.

13. Damy T, Costes B, Hagège AA, Donal E, Eicher JC, Slama M, Guellich A, Rappeneau S, Gueffet JP, Logeart D, Planté-Bordeneuve V, Bouvaist H, Huttin O, Mulak G, Dubois-Randé JL, Goossens M, Canoui-Poitrine F, Buxbaum JN. Prevalence and clinical phenotype of hereditary transthyretin amyloid cardiomyopathy in patients with increased left ventricular wall thickness. Eur Heart J. 2016;37(23):1826-34.

14. Castaño A, Narotsky DL, Hamid N, Khalique OK, Morgenstern R, DeLuca A, Rubin J, Chiuzan C, Nazif T, Vahl T, George I, Kodali S, Leon MB, Hahn R, Bokhari S, Maurer MS. Unveiling transthyretin cardiac amyloidosis and its predictors among elderly patients with severe aortic stenosis undergoing transcatheter aortic valve replacement. Eur Heart J 2017;38:2879-2887.

15. Longhi S, Lorenzini M, Gagliardi C, Milandri A, Marzocchi A, Marrozzini C, Saia F, Ortolani P, Biagini E, Guidalotti PL, Leone O, Rapezzi C. Coexistence of Degenerative Aortic Stenosis and Wild-Type Transthyretin-Related Cardiac Amyloidosis. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2016;9:325-7.

16. Leone O, Veinot JP, Angelini A, Baandrup UT, Basso C, Berry G, Bruneval P, Burke M, Butany J, Calabrese F, d'Amati G, Edwards WD, Fallon JT, Fishbein MC, Gallagher PJ, Halushka MK, McManus B, Pucci A, Rodriguez ER, Saffitz JE, Sheppard MN, Steenbergen C, Stone JR, Tan C, Thiene G, van der Wal AC, Winters GL. 2011 consensus statement on endomyocardial biopsy from the Association for European Cardiovascular Pathology and the Society for Cardiovascular Pathology. Cardiovasc Pathol. 2012;21:245-74. 17. Boldrini M, Cappelli F, Chacko L, Restrepo-Cordoba MA, Lopez-Sainz A, Giannoni A, Aimo A, Baggiano A, Martinez-Naharro A, Whelan C, Quarta C, Passino C, Castiglione V, Chubuchnyi V, Spini V, Taddei C, Vergaro G, Petrie A, Ruiz-Guerrero L, Moñivas V, Mingo-Santos S, Mirelis JG, Dominguez F, Gonzalez-Lopez E, Perlini S, Pontone G, Gillmore J, Hawkins PN, Garcia-Pavia P, Emdin M, Fontana M. Multiparametric Echocardiography Scores for the Diagnosis of Cardiac Amyloidosis. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2020;13:909-920.

18. Gillmore JD, Maurer MS, Falk RH, Merlini G, Damy T, Dispenzieri A, Wechalekar AD, Berk JL, Quarta CC, Grogan M, Lachmann HJ, Bokhari S, Castano A, Dorbala S, Johnson GB, Glaudemans AW, Rezk T, Fontana M, Palladini G, Milani P, Guidalotti PL, Flatman K, Lane T, Vonberg FW, Whelan CJ, Moon JC, Ruberg FL, Miller EJ, Hutt DF, Hazenberg BP, Rapezzi C, Hawkins PN. Nonbiopsy Diagnosis of Cardiac Transthyretin Amyloidosis. Circulation. 2016;133:2404-2412.

19. Palladini G, Russo P, Bosoni T, Verga L, Sarais G, Lavatelli F, Nuvolone M, Obici L, Casarini S, Donadei S, Albertini R, Righetti G, Marini M, Graziani MS, Melzi D'Eril GV, Moratti R, Merlini G. Identification of amyloidogenic light chains requires the combination of serum-free light chain assay with immunofixation of serum and urine. Clin Chem. 2009;55:499-504.

20. Layoun ME, Desmarais J, Heitner SB, Masri A. Hot hearts on bone scintigraphy are not all amyloidosis: hydroxychloroquine-induced restrictive cardiomyopathy. Eur Heart J 2020. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa091. Ahead of print.

21. López-Sainz Á, Hernandez-Hernandez A, Gonzalez-Lopez E, Domínguez F, Restrepo-Cordoba MA, Cobo-Marcos M, Gómez-Bueno M, Hernandez-Perez FJ, Oteo JF, Mirelis JG, Cavero MA, Moñivas V, Mingo Santos S, de Haro-Del Moral FJ, Krsnik I, Salas C, Bornstein B, Briceño A, López JA, Vázquez J, Alonso-Pulpón L, Segovia J, Garcia-Pavia P. Clinical spectrum and evolution of cardiac amyloidosis in a Spanish referral center. Rev Esp Cardiol 2020 pii: S1885-5857(20)30098-0. doi: 10.1016/j.rec.2019.12.020. [Epub ahead of print]

22. Sayago I, Krsnik I, Gómez-Bueno M, García-Pavía P, Jaramillo N, Salas C, Mingo S, Oteo JF, Alonso-Pulpón L, Segovia J. Analysis of diagnostic and therapeutic strategies in advanced cardiac light-chain amyloidosis. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2016;35:995-1002.

23. Dispenzieri A, Gertz MA, Kyle RA, Lacy MQ, Burritt MF, Therneau TM, Greipp PR, Witzig TE, Lust JA, Rajkumar SV, Fonseca R, Zeldenrust SR, McGregor CG, Jaffe AS. Serum cardiac troponins and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide: a staging system for primary systemic amyloidosis. J Clin Oncol. 2004;22:3751-3757.

24. Kumar S, Dispenzieri A, Lacy MQ, Hayman SR, Buadi FK, Colby C, Laumann K, Zeldenrust SR, Leung N, Dingli D, Greipp PR, Lust JA, Russell SJ, Kyle RA, Rajkumar SV, Gertz MA. Revised prognostic staging system for light chain amyloidosis incorporating cardiac biomarkers and serum free light chain measurements. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30:989-95.

25. Grogan M, Scott CG, Kyle RA, Zeldenrust SR, Gertz MA, Lin G, Klarich KW, Miller WL, Maleszewski JJ, Dispenzieri A. Natural History of Wild-Type Transthyretin Cardiac Amyloidosis and Risk Stratification Using a Novel Staging System. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016;68:1014-1020.

26. Gillmore JD, Damy T, Fontana M, Hutchinson M, Lachmann HJ, Martinez-Naharro A, Quarta CC, Rezk T, Whelan CJ, Gonzalez-Lopez E, Lane T, Gilbertson JA, Rowczenio D, Petrie A, Hawkins PN. A new staging system for cardiac transthyretin amyloidosis. Eur Heart J. 2018;39:2799-2806.

27. Maurer MS, Schwartz JH, Gundapaneni B, Elliott PM, Merlini G, Waddington-Cruz M, Kristen AV, Grogan M, Witteles R, Damy T, Drachman BM, Shah SJ, Hanna M, Judge DP, Barsdorf AI, Huber P, Patterson TA, Riley S, Schumacher J, Stewart M, Sultan MB, Rapezzi C; ATTR-ACT Study Investigators. Tafamidis Treatment for Patients with Transthyretin Amyloid Cardiomyopathy. N Engl J Med. 2018;379:1007-1016.

28. Palladini G, Lavatelli F, Russo P, Perlini S, Perfetti V, Bosoni T, Obici L, Bradwell AR, D'Eril GM, Fogari R, Moratti R, Merlini G. Circulating amyloidogenic free light chains and serum N-terminal natriuretic peptide type B decrease simultaneously in association with improvement of survival in AL. Blood 2006;107:3854-3858.

29. Palladini G, Dispenzieri A, Gertz MA, Kumar S, Wechalekar A, Hawkins PN, Schönland S, Hegenbart U, Comenzo R, Kastritis E, Dimopoulos MA, Jaccard A, Klersy C, Merlini G. New criteria for response to treatment in immunoglobulin light chain amyloidosis based on free light chain measurement and cardiac biomarkers: impact on survival outcomes. J Clin Oncol 2012;30:4541-9

30. Merlini G, Lousada I, Ando Y, Dispenzieri A, Gertz MA, Grogan M, Maurer MS, Sanchorawala V, Wechalekar A, Palladini G, Comenzo RL. Rationale, application and clinical qualification for NT-proBNP as a surrogate end point in pivotal clinical trials in patients with AL amyloidosis. Leukemia. 2016;30:1979-1986.

31. Vita GL, Stancanelli C, Gentile L, Barcellona C, Russo M, Di Bella G, Vita G, Mazzeo A. 6MWT performance correlates with peripheral neuropathy but not with cardiac involvement in patients with hereditary transthyretin amyloidosis (hATTR). Neuromuscul Disord. 2019;29(3):213-20.

32. Judge DP, Heitner SB, Falk RH, Maurer MS, Shah SJ, Witteles RM, Grogan M, Selby VN, Jacoby D, Hanna M, Nativi-Nicolau J, Patel J, Rao S, Sinha U, Turtle CW, Fox JC. Transthyretin Stabilization by AG10 in Symptomatic Transthyretin Amyloid Cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;74(3):285-95.

33. Adams D, Gonzalez-Duarte A, O'Riordan WD, Yang CC, Ueda M, Kristen AV, Tournev I, Schmidt HH, Coelho T, Berk JL, Lin KP, Vita G, Attarian S, Planté-Bordeneuve V, Mezei MM, Campistol JM, Buades J, Brannagan TH 3rd, Kim BJ, Oh J, Parman Y, Sekijima Y, Hawkins PN, Solomon SD, Polydefkis M, Dyck PJ, Gandhi PJ, Goyal S, Chen J, Strahs AL, Nochur SV, Sweetser MT, Garg PP, Vaishnaw AK, Gollob JA, Suhr OB. Patisiran, an RNAi Therapeutic, for Hereditary Transthyretin Amyloidosis. N Engl J Med. 2018;379:11-21.

34. Benson MD, Waddington-Cruz M, Berk JL, Polydefkis M, Dyck PJ, Wang AK, Planté-Bordeneuve V, Barroso FA, Merlini G, Obici L, Scheinberg M, Brannagan TH 3rd, Litchy WJ, Whelan C, Drachman BM, Adams D, Heitner SB, Conceição I, Schmidt HH, Vita G, Campistol JM, Gamez J, Gorevic PD, Gane E, Shah AM, Solomon SD, Monia BP, Hughes SG, Kwoh TJ, McEvoy BW, Jung SW, Baker BF, Ackermann EJ, Gertz MA, Coelho T. Inotersen Treatment for Patients with Hereditary Transthyretin Amyloidosis. N Engl J Med. 2018;379:22-31.

35. Sayed RH, Rogers D, Khan F, Wechalekar AD, Lachmann HJ, Fontana M, Mahmood S, Sachchithanantham S, Patel K, Hawkins PN, Whelan CJ, Gillmore JD. A study of implanted cardiac rhythm recorders in advanced cardiac AL amyloidosis. Eur Heart J 2015;36:1098-105.

36. Sidana S, Tandon N, Brady PA, Grogan M, Gertz MA, Dispenzieri A, Lin G, Dingli D, Buadi FK, Lacy MQ, Kapoor P, Gonsalves WI, Muchtar E, Warsame R, Kumar SK, Kourelis

TV. Prognostic Significance of Holter Monitor Findings in Patients With Light Chain Amyloidosis. Mayo Clin Proc 2019;94:455-64.

37. Conceição I, Coelho T, Rapezzi C, Parman Y, Obici L, Galán L, Rousseau A. Assessment of patients with hereditary transthyretin amyloidosis - understanding the impact of management and disease progression. Amyloid. 2019;26:103-111.

38. Freeman R. Clinical practice. Neurogenic orthostatic hypotension. N Engl J Med. 2008;358(6):615-24.

39. Muchtar E, Gertz MA, Kumar SK, Lin G, Boilson B, Clavell A, Lacy MQ, Buadi FK, Hayman SR, Kapoor P, Dingli D, Rajkumar SV, Dispenzieri A, Grogan M. Digoxin use in systemic light-chain (AL) amyloidosis: contra-indicated or cautious use? Amyloid. 2018;25(2):86-92.

40. Feng D, Syed IS, Martinez M, Oh JK, Jaffe AS, Grogan M, Edwards WD, Gertz MA, Klarich KW. Intracardiac thrombosis and anticoagulation therapy in cardiac amyloidosis. Circulation. 2009;119:2490-2497.

41. Donnellan E, Elshazly MB, Vakamudi S, Wazni OM, Cohen JA, Kanj M, Hanna M, Baranowski B, Saliba W, Jaber W. No Association Between CHADS-VASc Score and Left Atrial Appendage Thrombus in Patients with Transthyretin Amyloidosis. JACC Clin Electrophysiol 2019;5:1473-1474.

42. Martinez-Naharro A, Gonzalez-Lopez E, Corovic A, Mirelis JG, Baksi AJ, Moon JC, Garcia-Pavia P, Gillmore JD, Hawkins PN, Fontana M. High Prevalence of Intracardiac Thrombi in Cardiac Amyloidosis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;73:1733-1734.

43. Longhi S, Quarta CC, Milandri A, Lorenzini M, Gagliardi C, Manuzzi L, Bacchi-Reggiani ML, Leone O, Ferlini A, Russo A, Gallelli I, Rapezzi C. Atrial fibrillation in amyloidotic cardiomyopathy: prevalence, incidence, risk factors and prognostic role. Amyloid. 2015;22:147-155.

44. Mints YY, Doros G, Berk JL, Connors LH, Ruberg FL. Features of atrial fibrillation in wild-type transthyretin cardiac amyloidosis: a systematic review and clinical experience. ESC Heart Fail. 2018;5:772-779.

45. Sanchis K, Cariou E, Colombat M, Ribes D, Huart A, Cintas P, Fournier P, Rollin A, Carrié D, Galinier M, Maury P, Duparc A, Lairez O. Atrial fibrillation and subtype of atrial fibrillation in cardiac amyloidosis: clinical and echocardiographic features, impact on mortality. Amyloid. 2019;26:128-138.

46. El-Am EA, Dispenzieri A, Melduni RM, Ammash NM, White RD, Hodge DO, Noseworthy PA, Lin G, Pislaru SV, Egbe AC, Grogan M, Nkomo VT. Direct Current Cardioversion of Atrial Arrhythmias in Adults With Cardiac Amyloidosis. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;73:589-597.

47. Tan NY, Mohsin Y, Hodge DO, Lacy MQ, Packer DL, Dispenzieri A, Grogan M, Asirvatham SJ, Madhavan M, McLeod CJ.Catheter Ablation for Atrial Arrhythmias in Patients With Cardiac Amyloidosis. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2016;27(10):1167-73.

48. Rapezzi C, Merlini G, Quarta CC, Riva L, Longhi S, Leone O, Salvi F, Ciliberti P, Pastorelli F, Biagini E, Coccolo F, Cooke RM, Bacchi-Reggiani L, Sangiorgi D, Ferlini A, Cavo M, Zamagni E, Fonte ML, Palladini G, Salinaro F, Musca F, Obici L, Branzi A, Perlini S. Systemic cardiac amyloidoses: disease profiles and clinical courses of the 3 main types. Circulation. 2009;120:1203-1212.

49. Givens RC, Russo C, Green P, Maurer MS. Comparison of cardiac amyloidosis due to wild-type and V122I transthyretin in older adults referred to an academic medical center. Aging health. 2013;9:229-235.

50. Brignole M, Auricchio A, Baron-Esquivias G, Bordachar P, Boriani G, Breithardt OA, Cleland J, Deharo JC, Delgado V, Elliott PM, Gorenek B, Israel CW, Leclercq C, Linde C, Mont L, Padeletti L, Sutton R, Vardas PE; ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines (CPG), Zamorano JL, Achenbach S, Baumgartner H, Bax JJ, Bueno H, Dean V, Deaton C, Erol C, Fagard R, Ferrari R, Hasdai D, Hoes AW, Kirchhof P, Knuuti J, Kolh P, Lancellotti P, Linhart A, Nihoyannopoulos P, Piepoli MF, Ponikowski P, Sirnes PA, Tamargo JL, Tendera M, Torbicki A, Wijns W, Windecker S; Document Reviewers, Kirchhof P, Blomstrom-Lundqvist C, Badano LP, Aliyev F, Bänsch D, Baumgartner H, Bsata W, Buser P, Charron P, Daubert JC, Dobreanu D, Faerestrand S, Hasdai D, Hoes AW, Le Heuzey JY, Mavrakis H, McDonagh T, Merino JL, Nawar MM, Nielsen JC, Pieske B, Poposka L, Ruschitzka F, Tendera M, Van Gelder IC, Wilson CM. 2013 ESC Guidelines on cardiac pacing and cardiac resynchronization therapy: the Task Force on cardiac pacing and resynchronization therapy of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J. 2013;34:2281-2329.

51. Donnellan E, Wazni OM, Saliba WI, Baranowski B, Hanna M, Martyn M, Patel D, Trulock K, Menon V, Hussein A, Aagaard P, Jaber W, Kanj M. Cardiac devices in patients with transthyretin amyloidosis: Impact on functional class, left ventricular function, mitral regurgitation, and mortality. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2019;30:2427-2432.

52. John RM, Stern DL. Use of Implantable Electronic Devices in Patients With Cardiac Amyloidosis. Can J Cardiol. 2020;36:408-415.

53. Chacko L, Martone R, Bandera F, Lane T, Martinez-Naharro A, Boldrini M, Rezk T, Whelan C, Quarta C, Rowczenio D, Gilbertson JA, Wongwarawipat T, Lachmann H, Wechalekar A, Sachchithanantham S, Mahmood S, Marcucci R, Knight D, Hutt D, Moon J, Petrie A, Cappelli F, Guazzi M, Hawkins PN, Gillmore JD, Fontana M. Echocardiographic phenotype and prognosis in transthyretin cardiac amyloidosis. Eur Heart J 2020;41:1439-1447.

54. Scully PR, Patel KP, Treibel TA, Thornton GD, Hughes RK, Chadalavada S, Katsoulis M, Hartman N, Fontana M, Pugliese F, Sabharwal N, Newton JD, Kelion A, Ozkor M, Kennon S, Mullen M, Lloyd G, Menezes LJ, Hawkins PN, Moon JC. Prevalence and outcome of dual aortic stenosis and cardiac amyloid pathology in patients referred for transcatheter aortic valve implantation. Eur Heart J. 2020. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehaa170. Ahead of print.

55. Swiecicki PL, Edwards BS, Kushwaha SS, Dispenzieri A, Park SJ, Gertz MA. Left ventricular device implantation for advanced cardiac amyloidosis. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2013;32:563-568.

56. Rosenbaum AN, AbouEzzeddine OF, Grogan M, Dispenzieri A, Kushwaha S, Clavell A, Daly RC, Edwards BS. Outcomes After Cardiac Transplant for Wild Type Transthyretin Amyloidosis. Transplantation. 2018;102:1909-1913.

57. Kristen AV, Kreusser MM, Blum P, Schönland SO, Frankenstein L, Dösch AO, Knop B, Helmschrott M, Schmack B, Ruhparwar A, Hegenbart U, Katus HA, Raake PWJ. Improved outcomes after heart transplantation for cardiac amyloidosis in the modern era. J Heart Lung Transplant. 2018;37:611-618.

58. Palladini G, Merlini G. What is new in diagnosis and management of light chain amyloidosis? Blood 2016;128:159-168.

59. Zamorano JL, Lancellotti P, Rodriguez Muñoz D, Aboyans V, Asteggiano R, Galderisi M, Habib G, Lenihan DJ, Lip GYH, Lyon AR, Lopez Fernandez T, Mohty D, Piepoli MF, Tamargo J, Torbicki A, Suter TM. 2016 ESC Position Paper on cancer treatments and cardiovascular toxicity developed under the auspices of the ESC Committee for Practice

Guidelines: The Task Force for cancer treatments and cardiovascular toxicity of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur J Heart Fail 2017;19:9-42.

60. Gonzalez-Lopez E, Lopez-Sainz A, Garcia-Pavia P. Diagnosis and Treatment of Transthyretin Cardiac Amyloidosis. Progress and Hope. Rev Esp Cardiol 2017;70:991-1004.

61. Ericzon BG, Wilczek HE, Larsson M, Wijayatunga P, Stangou A, Pena JR, Furtado E, Barroso E, Daniel J, Samuel D, Adam R, Karam V, Poterucha J, Lewis D, Ferraz-Neto BH, Cruz MW, Munar-Ques M, Fabregat J, Ikeda S, Ando Y, Heaton N, Otto G, Suhr O. Liver Transplantation for Hereditary Transthyretin Amyloidosis: After 20 Years Still the Best Therapeutic Alternative? Transplantation. 2015;99:1847-1854.

62. Carvalho A, Rocha A, Lobato L. Liver transplantation in transthyretin amyloidosis: issues and challenges. Liver Transpl. 2015;21:282-292.

63. Miroy GJ, Lai Z, Lashuel HA, Peterson SA, Strang C, Kelly JW. Inhibiting transthyretin amyloid fibril formation via protein stabilization. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1996;93:15051-15056.

64. Kazi DS, Bellows BK, Baron SJ, Shen C, Cohen DJ, Spertus JA, Yeh RW, Arnold SV, Sperry BW, Maurer MS, Shah SJ. Cost-Effectiveness of Tafamidis Therapy for Transthyretin Amyloid Cardiomyopathy. Circulation. 2020;141:1214-1224.

65. Berk JL, Suhr OB, Obici L, Sekijima Y, Zeldenrust SR, Yamashita T, Heneghan MA, Gorevic PD, Litchy WJ, Wiesman JF, Nordh E, Corato M, Lozza A, Cortese A, Robinson-Papp J, Colton T, Rybin DV, Bisbee AB, Ando Y, Ikeda S, Seldin DC, Merlini G, Skinner M, Kelly JW, Dyck PJ. Repurposing diflunisal for familial amyloid polyneuropathy: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2013;310:2658-67.

66. Lohrmann G, Pipilas A, Mussinelli R, Gopal DM, Berk JL, Connors LH, Vellanki N, Hellawell J, Siddiqi OK, Fox J, Maurer MS, Ruberg FL. Stabilization of Cardiac Function With Diflunisal in Transthyretin (ATTR) Cardiac Amyloidosis. J Card Fail. 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.cardfail.2019.11.024. Ahead of print.

67. Solomon SD, Adams D, Kristen A, Grogan M, González-Duarte A, Maurer MS, Merlini G, Damy T, Slama MS, Brannagan TH 3rd, Dispenzieri A, Berk JL, Shah AM, Garg P, Vaishnaw A, Karsten V, Chen J, Gollob J, Vest J, Suhr O. Effects of Patisiran, an RNA Interference Therapeutic, on Cardiac Parameters in Patients With Hereditary Transthyretin-Mediated Amyloidosis. Circulation. 2019;139:431-443.

68. Dasgupta NR, Rissing SM, Smith J, Jung J, Benson MD. Inotersen therapy of transthyretin amyloid cardiomyopathy. Amyloid. 2020;27:52-58.

69. Dubrey SW, Hawkins PN, Falk RH. Amyloid diseases of the heart: assessment, diagnosis, and referral. Heart. 2011;97:75-84.

70. Brunger AF, Nienhuis HLA, Bijzet J, Hazenberg BPC. Causes of AA amyloidosis: a systematic review. Amyloid. 2020;27:1-12.

Tables:

Table 1. Main Characteristics of different types of cardiac amyloidosis.

Table 2. Cardiac and extracardiac amyloidosis Red-Flags.

Table 3. Echocardiographic and CMR criteria for diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis in the presence of extracardiac biopsy-proven amyloidosis or Grade 2-3 diphosphonate myocardial uptake.

Table 4. Possible false positives and false negatives of diphosphonate scintigraphy fordetecting ATTR cardiac amyloidosis.

Table 5. Prognostic staging scores in AL and ATTR amyloidosis.

Table 6. Proposed follow-up schemes in cardiac amyloidosis.

Table 7. Autologous Stem Cell transplantation (ASCT) eligibility criteria.

## Figures

Figure 1. Invasive and non-invasive diagnosis of cardiac amyloidosis.

Figure 2. Screening for cardiac amyloidosis.

**Figure 3. Cardiac uptake grading in diphosponate scintigraphy.** Grade 0: absence of tracer myocardial uptake and normal bone uptake; Grade 1: Myocardial uptake in a lower degree than at bone level; Grade 2: Similar myocardial and bone uptake; Grade 3: Myocardial uptake greater than bone with reduced/absent bone uptake.

**Figure 4. Diagnostic algorithm for cardiac amyloidosis.** ATTRv: hereditary TTR amyloidosis; ATTRwt: wild-type TTR amyloidosis; AL light chain amyloidosis; CMR: cardiac magnetic resonance.

**Figure 5. Treatment of cardiac complications in cardiac amyloidosis.** AA: antiarrhythmic; ACEI: angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors; AF: atrial fibrillation; ARB: Angiotensin receptor blockers; CV: cardioversion; ICD: implantable cardiac defibrillator; LVAD: left ventricular assist device; PPM: Permanent pacemaker.

## Figure 6. Proposed approach for therapy in newly diagnosed AL patients.

<sup>1</sup> Bortezomib-based consolidation

<sup>2</sup> Change in therapy is required early in the treatment course, typically if at least hematological VGPR is not reached within 3-4 cycles.

ASCT: Autologous Stem Cell transplantation; BMDex: Bortezomib, melphalan, dexamethasone; CyBorD: Cyclophosphamide, bortezomib, dexamethasone; CR: Complete response; HDM: High dose Melphalan; VGPR: Very good partial response.

Figure 7. Available and future specific therapies in ATTR amyloidosis. Modified from<sup>(60)</sup>.

Figure 8. Proposed therapeutic alternatives in ATTR patients.