## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

2

1

- 3 Response to: Metacognition in functional cognitive disorder: contradictory
- 4 or convergent experimental results?

5

- 6 Rohan Bhome,<sup>1,\*</sup> Andrew McWilliams,<sup>2,3,4,5,\*</sup> Gary Price<sup>6</sup>, Norman A Poole<sup>7</sup>, Robert J
- 7 Howard<sup>8</sup>, Stephen M Fleming<sup>2,5,9</sup>, Jonathan D Huntley<sup>8</sup>

8

9 \* Rohan Bhome and Andrew McWilliams contributed equally to this work.

10

- 1. Dementia Research Centre, University College London, 8-11 Queen Square, London, UK
- 2. Wellcome Centre for Human Neuroimaging, University College London, UK.
- 3. Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and
- 14 Neuroscience, King's College London, London UK.
- 4. UCL Institute of Child Health, Great Ormond Street, London, UK.
- 5. Department of Experimental Psychology, University College London, London, UK
- 6. National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, London, UK
- 7. South West London and St George's Mental Health NHS Trust, London, UK
- 19 8. Division of Psychiatry, University College London, London, UK
- 20 9. Max Planck University College London Centre for Computational Psychiatry and Ageing
- 21 Research, London, UK

22

- 23 Correspondence to: Dr Rohan Bhome, Dementia Research Centre, University College
- London, 8-11 Queen Square, WC1N 3AR, UK rohan.bhome@ucl.ac.uk

2	Dr Larner <sup>1</sup> highlights the findings of a recent paper by Pennington et al <sup>2</sup> in which the authors
3	"did not find metacognitive deficits in groups of well characterized patients with FCD" and
4	suggests that this is both contradictory to our findings and places our proposed Bayesian
5	account in jeopardy. <sup>3</sup> In fact (as Dr. Larner later admits), the findings from the two studies
6	are convergent. In both our <sup>3</sup> and Pennington et al's <sup>2</sup> studies, local metacognition – the extent
7	to which trial by trial ratings of confidence covary with task performance – was measured in
8	people with FCD. In both studies, across both perceptual and memory tasks, local
9	metacognitive efficiency (meta-d'/d') was unimpaired relative to controls. As Dr. Larner
10	points out, it is difficult to place too much reliability on null results with small samples,
11	which might reflect a consequence of type 2 errors. Replication of intact local metacognition
12	in FCD across two distinct samples is therefore noteworthy, and we were pleased to see
13	Pennington et al.'s data. <sup>2</sup>
14	As Dr Larner further highlights, we found that people with FCD had deficits in global
15	metacognition, which was not investigated by Pennington et al. <sup>2</sup> The reasons for this
16	dissociation remain unclear, and understanding this linkage will benefit from novel tasks that
17	allow the relationship between local and global metacognition to be quantified. <sup>4,5</sup> However,
18	the Bayesian model we proposed in our paper sought to accommodate the observed null
19	findings with respect to local metacognition – and is therefore supported, rather than
20	contradicted, by Pennington et al.'s convergent findings. <sup>2</sup> There are no doubt alternative
21	possibilities, and the suggestion that neural networks might overfit to experience due to
22	impaired sleep and dreaming, leading to selective impairment in global metacognition, is
23	certainly interesting and warrants further investigation.
24	Data availability

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed.

26

## **1** Competing interests

2 The authors report no competing interests.

## 3 Funding

- 4 R.B. is supported by a Wolfson-Eisai Clinical Research Training Fellowship. R.J.H. is
- 5 supported by the National Institute for Health Research University College London Hospitals
- 6 Biomedical Research Centre (NIHR UCLH BRC). A.M. is supported by the Mental Health
- 7 and Justice Project funded by the Wellcome Trust (203376/2/16/Z). The Wellcome Centre for
- 8 Human Neuroimaging is sup-ported by core funding from the Wellcome Trust (203147/
- 9 Z/16/Z). S.M.F. is supported by a Sir Henry Dale Fellowship jointly funded by the Wellcome
- 10 Trust and the Royal Society (206648/Z/17/Z). J.D.H. is funded by a Wellcome Clinical
- 11 Research Career Development Fellowship (214547/Z/18/Z).

12

## 12 References

3

- 4 1. Larner AJ. Metacognition in functional cognitive disorder: contradictory or convergent
- 5 experimental results? Brain Commun 2022, in press.
- 6 2. Pennington C, Ball H, Swirski M, Newson M, Coulthard E. Metacognitive performance on
- 7 memory and visuospatial tasks in functional cognitive disorder. *Brain Sci.* 2021;11(10):1368.
- 8 3. Bhome R, McWilliams A, Price G, Poole NA, Howard RJ, Fleming SM, Huntley JD.
- 9 Metacognition in functional cognitive disorder. *Brain Commun.* 2022;4(2):fcac041.
- 4. Rouault M, Dayan P, Fleming SM. Forming global estimates of self-performance from
- local confidence. Nat Commun. 2019;10:1141.
- 5. Seow TXF, Rouault M, Gillan CM, Fleming SM. How Local and Global Metacognition
- 13 Shape Mental Health. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2021;90(7):436-446.

14