

Are we repeating mistakes of the past? A review of the evidence for esketamine

Mark A. Horowitz\*<sup>1,2</sup>, Joanna Moncrieff <sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Division of Psychiatry, University College London. Maple House, 149 Tottenham Court Road, Fitzrovia, London W1T 7BN

<sup>2</sup> North East London Foundation Trust. Goodmayes Hospital, 157 Barley Lane, Goodmayes, Ilford IG3 8XJ.

\*Corresponding author.

Email address: mark\_horo@hotmail.com

Word count: 2,462

## **Abstract**

Esketamine has been licensed for 'treatment-resistant depression' in the US, UK and Europe. Licensing trials did not establish efficacy: two trials were negative, one showed a statistically significant but clinically uncertain effect, and a flawed discontinuation trial was included, against FDA precedent. Safety signals – deaths, including suicides and bladder damage - were minimised.

Esketamine was approved for use in ‘treatment-resistant depression’ in the United States, Europe and the United Kingdom in 2019. Some commentators have “cautiously welcomed” esketamine,<sup>1</sup> while others have praised its novel mode of action.<sup>2</sup> NICE issued draft guidance in January 2020 recommending that esketamine not be used for treatment-resistant depression due to a lack of clinical and cost effectiveness. We here briefly review the evidence for esketamine’s effectiveness and safety in trials submitted to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and other regulators.

### Ketamine - anaesthetic agent

Ketamine has been licensed for use as an anaesthetic agent for five decades, favoured for use in emergency circumstances, because it rapidly induces a trance-like state (often called dissociation) associated with pain relief, sedation, and memory loss.<sup>3</sup> However, as patients often report a variety of unusual symptoms when recovering from ketamine anaesthesia, including delusions, hallucinations, delirium and confusion, and sometimes ‘out of body’ or ‘near death’ experiences,<sup>3</sup> it was withdrawn from mainstream anaesthesia, and restricted for specialist purposes (including veterinary and paediatrics).

Ketamine commonly elevates blood pressure and heart rate,<sup>4</sup> which has been associated with fatal heart failure and myocardial infarction,<sup>4</sup> as well as stroke and cerebral haemorrhage<sup>3</sup> more often in those with pre-existing risk factors.

### Ketamine – recreational drug

Ketamine has also been used recreationally from the 1970s, with nicknames 'Special K', 'New Ecstasy', or 'Psychedelic Heroin'. At sub-anaesthetic doses ketamine produces a dissociative state that some users enjoy, characterised by a sense of detachment from one's physical body and the external world, often referred to as the 'K-hole' by recreational users.<sup>3</sup> It is usually ingested through insufflation (snorting).<sup>3</sup> A usual recreational dose is between 60 to 250mg of ketamine.<sup>5</sup>

Recreational use of ketamine has climbed rapidly in recent years, especially amongst young people, where 3.1% of 16-24 year olds used the drug in 2017-2018.<sup>6</sup> Between 1993 and 2016 there were at least 117 deaths attributed to the drug,<sup>7</sup> with an increase of ten-fold from 1999 to 2008,<sup>3</sup> but reliable figures are not available because ketamine is not routinely tested in all cases of unexplained deaths.<sup>3</sup>

Deaths from ketamine include accidental poisonings, drownings, traffic accidents and suicide.<sup>8,9</sup> As a dissociative anaesthetic, ketamine can reduce awareness of the environment, increasing risk of accidental harm.<sup>3</sup> It impairs hand-eye co-ordination and balance, putting people at increased risk of driving accidents.<sup>3</sup> In Hong Kong, where it achieved particular popularity, ketamine was used in 9% of the fatal traffic accidents between 1996 and 2000.<sup>9</sup>

Ketamine also induces ulcerative cystitis, found in 30% of regular UK ketamine users, known as 'ketamine bladder'.<sup>3</sup> The condition can lead to difficulty passing urine, hydronephrosis and kidney failure.<sup>3</sup> Regular ketamine use also causes cognitive impairment, in particular difficulty with working memory.<sup>10</sup> Increased depression scores have been found in both

daily users and ex-ketamine users in a longitudinal study, though not more infrequent users.<sup>10</sup>

Ketamine is also addictive.<sup>3,11</sup> It quickly induces tolerance<sup>3</sup> and stopping regular use causes a withdrawal syndrome characterised by anxiety, dysphoria and depression, shaking, sweating and palpitations, and craving the drug.<sup>3,11</sup> Frequent users report using the drug compulsively until supplies run out.<sup>3</sup> Ketamine has a complex array of actions on many neurochemical systems, including blockade of N-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA) receptors. The mechanism through which it produces its psychoactive and addictive effects is not completely clear.<sup>12</sup>

---

#### Ketamine – “fast-acting” antidepressant

Intravenous ketamine was demonstrated to improve depression scores in the 2000s,<sup>13,14</sup> with a trial showing ‘rapid-onset antidepressant effects’ in as little as 2 hours.<sup>13</sup> It would seem difficult to distinguish this ‘rapid-onset antidepressant effect’ from the ‘high’ or altered state known to be induced by ketamine, however. Although some commentators claim it leads to a genuine, long-lasting antidepressant effect<sup>15</sup>, this has not been established in randomised trials, as emphasised in expert guidance.<sup>16</sup> As ketamine was already licensed for use, Janssen applied for licensing of one of its enantiomers, (S)-ketamine, delivered by insufflation (via a nasal spray). (S)-ketamine, or esketamine, is twice as potent as ketamine.<sup>13</sup>

#### Evidence submitted to the FDA

Five studies were submitted by Janssen to the FDA to seek approval of esketamine for adjunctive treatment of ‘treatment-resistant depression’: three efficacy trials, each lasting 4 weeks, one discontinuation trial, and one safety trial lasting 60 weeks. Doses ranged from 56mg to 84mg, in line with recreational doses of ketamine, and were administered twice a week via insufflation.

Although ‘treatment-resistant depression’ sounds rare and severe, Janssen’s definition, consisting of people who have ‘failed’ two different antidepressants, is likely to encompass many current antidepressant users.<sup>17</sup>

The FDA normally requires two positive efficacy trials in order to license a drug, “each convincing on its own”.<sup>18</sup> This requirement has been criticised because short-term trials do not accurately reflect the long periods many drugs are eventually used for in practice,<sup>19</sup> and discount negative trials. However, esketamine did not even meet this standard. Out of the three short-term trials conducted by Janssen only one showed a statistically significant difference between esketamine and placebo.<sup>20,21</sup> These were even shorter than the 6-8 week trials the FDA usually requires for drug licensing.

#### The single positive efficacy trial

The only positive study found a difference of 4 points on the Montgomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) favouring esketamine over placebo.<sup>22</sup> The MADRS scale goes from 0 to 60; average score for patients at baseline was 37. The response to placebo

treatment (a nasal spray with embittering agent) was a 15.8-point reduction on the MADRS score. The response to esketamine was 19.8 points.<sup>21</sup> A 7 to 9 point reduction on the MADRS has been found to correspond to a clinically noticeable (“minimally improved”) change on the Clinical Global Impressions scale (CGI);<sup>23</sup> “much improved” requires a reduction of 16-17 points. A 4-point difference therefore corresponds to less than “minimal” change, and one quarter the size of the placebo response, suggesting doubtful clinical relevance.<sup>18</sup> Furthermore, participants would have been unblinded by the noticeable psychoactive effects of esketamine (dissociation was reported by the majority of participants); expectation effects might therefore inflate the apparent difference between placebo and esketamine.

### The discontinuation trial

As Janssen could not provide two positive efficacy trials, the FDA loosened its rules and allowed a discontinuation trial to provide evidence of efficacy.<sup>18</sup> This trial randomly allocated patients who demonstrated ‘stable remission’ following a 16-week esketamine treatment (a highly selected group of participants) to either continue or stop esketamine, and measured subsequent relapse.<sup>24</sup>

This study design is problematic because withdrawal effects from the drug can be mistaken for relapse of depression. Ketamine is recognised to have withdrawal effects, including lowered mood (dysphoria), fatigue, poor appetite, and anxiety.<sup>11</sup> Although the study reports suggests that there was no evidence of a withdrawal syndrome using the Physician Withdrawal Checklist, scores for the different groups are not reported, and it is not clear

how items in the checklist such as ‘insomnia’, ‘anxiety-nervousness’, ‘dysphoric mood-depression’, ‘difficulty concentrating, remembering’, ‘fatigue’, ‘lack of appetite’ were distinguished from almost identical items in the MADRS (‘apparent sadness’, ‘reported sadness’, ‘inner tension’ ‘reduced sleep’, ‘reduced appetite’, ‘concentration difficulties’, ‘lassitude’).

As half (48.7%) of relapses occurred in the first four weeks following esketamine cessation, the time most likely for withdrawal effects to occur, and as the relapse rate in the placebo group became “closer to esketamine with each week” as highlighted by the FDA, confounding of ‘relapse’ by withdrawal seems likely.<sup>21</sup> Further evidence of a withdrawal effect is also suggested by the marked ‘relapse prevention’ effect of a drug with minimal antidepressant effects in the short term. This pattern is similar to what might be seen in a trial of a benzodiazepine for anxiety: modest effects in the short-term, but marked ‘relapse prevention’ effects on discontinuation, if confounding by withdrawal effects are ignored.

The FDA also highlighted another problem with this study design: “unblinding”,<sup>21</sup> as in the acute efficacy studies. The absence of esketamine’s psychoactive effects would be noticed by participants randomised to placebo and consequent negative expectations would tend to increase their chance of relapse.<sup>21</sup> Higher dissociation scores while on treatment were correlated with shorter time to relapse, consistent with this hypothesis.

Importantly, the FDA also raised the concern that the positive results of this study were driven by a single site in Poland. At this site there was a 100% relapse rate in the placebo arm (n=16 patients), compared with a 33% relapse rate in this arm in all other sites (n=81).<sup>21</sup>

It has been demonstrated that if this outlier site is excluded there is no difference between esketamine and placebo (the p value changes from 0.012 to 0.48),<sup>18</sup> leading to the conclusion that the findings are “not robust.”

#### The safety of esketamine in trials submitted to the FDA

When licensing esketamine, the FDA recommended a Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS) due to concerns about sedation, dissociation and diversion. This requires the drug to be administered in a healthcare setting, and for patients to be monitored for resolution of sedation, dissociation and changes in vital signs for at least two hours. There were, however, some concerning safety signals that many commentators felt were not adequately addressed by these measures.

In the Janssen licensing trials there were 6 deaths,<sup>21</sup> all occurring in the patients who were assigned to esketamine. Three were suicides (Table 1).

One death was a motorcycle accident 26 hours after esketamine administration.<sup>21</sup>

One death was a myocardial infarction, 6 days after esketamine.<sup>21</sup> The third death was due to acute heart and lung failure 5 days after esketamine, in a patient with risk factors. These causes of death have been observed previously in ketamine use: blood pressure spikes cause heart failure and myocardial infarction in patients at risk; impairment of hand-eye coordination and its dissociative effects increase the risk of motor vehicle accidents.<sup>3,4,8,9</sup>

However, the FDA argued that one patients’ cardiovascular parameters had been normal before and after their last administration of esketamine, and in the other that esketamine

only produces short-lasting blood pressure rises, concluding that ‘it is difficult to consider these deaths as drug-related.’<sup>21</sup> In addition to the deaths, one patient on esketamine experienced a non-fatal cerebral haemorrhage,<sup>19</sup> also recognised as a risk in ketamine use due to its blood pressure spikes,<sup>3</sup> and 4 further patients on esketamine arms had non-fatal motor vehicle accidents.<sup>25</sup>

The three suicides occurred in participants 4, 12 and 20 days after the last dose of esketamine.<sup>26</sup> The FDA attributed these deaths to “the severity of the patients’ underlying illness”.<sup>21</sup> However, two of the patients who died by suicide showed no previous signs of suicidal ideas during the study, either at entry to the study or at the last visit (data was not available for the third patient).<sup>21</sup> The FDA accepted Janssen’s assessment that the suicides were not “drug-related.”<sup>21</sup>

However, others have argued that these cases might fit with a pattern of a severe withdrawal reaction, consistent with other reports of suicide associated with recreational ketamine,<sup>8,9</sup> and are significant enough in number to constitute a worrying signal.<sup>26</sup>

An increase in depression and suicidality was also observed during esketamine treatment, compared with placebo. In the short-term and maintenance trials 6 patients (1.4% of the total) in the esketamine group became more depressed, compared to only one on placebo (0.2%); 5 patients expressed increased suicidal ideas in the esketamine group (0.9%), compared to two on placebo (0.5%, Table 2).<sup>21</sup> The drug will be marketed with a ‘black box’ warning including a risk of suicidal ideas and behaviour,<sup>19</sup> but it is not clear that this measure is stringent enough.

Potentially paradoxically, Janssen is seeking an expansion of the indications for use of esketamine to acutely suicidal patients (on the basis of two studies that showed a reduction of suicidality on a sub-scale of the MADRS at 24 hours, but no effect on the pre-specified measure of suicidality, the CGI-SS-R).<sup>27</sup>

A large range of other side effects occurred: half of patients experienced dissociation, a third dizziness, and increased blood pressure, vertigo, hypoaesthesia, nausea and sedation were each present in between 10% and 30% of patients (Table 3).<sup>21</sup>

A significant number of patients on esketamine developed signs of bladder irritation, reminiscent of 'ketamine bladder': urinary tract infections, pain, discomfort, cystitis, and nocturia.<sup>21</sup> In the 60-week study, with weekly or bi-weekly esketamine administration (less frequent than the short-term trials), a fifth of subjects reported bladder effects. Some cognitive impairment was detected in the long-term study among older participants. The FDA, however, argued that the lack of a comparison arm and individual variability made it difficult to conclude that these effects were attributable to esketamine, even though they are well known consequences of ketamine use.<sup>21</sup>

#### MHRA and NICE reviews of esketamine

The Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) has concluded based on its review of this evidence to licence esketamine for use. This decision was made just months after Public Health England (PHE) presented its report about 'prescribed drug

dependence' in the UK. The PHE report found that one in four Britons are using at least one drug out of the drug classes of opioids, benzodiazepines, gabapentinoids, z-drugs and antidepressants.<sup>28</sup>

Many of these classes of drug were introduced into clinical practice as 'safe,' and approved for use on the basis of short-term studies in the absence of long-term safety data, including data on dependence and withdrawal. The PHE report comments "Recurring patterns are evident in the history of medicines that may cause dependence or withdrawal. New medicines are seen as an important part of the solution to a condition, resulting in widespread use. Their dependence or withdrawal potential are either unknown at this point, due to a lack of research, or perhaps downplayed. As evidence of harm from dependence or withdrawal emerges, efforts are made to curtail prescribing. The repetition of this pattern is striking."<sup>28</sup>

NICE released draft guidance recommending that esketamine should not be used for 'treatment-resistant depression' in January 2020.<sup>29</sup> Their rationale for this decision included a lack of evidence that esketamine was more effective than existing treatments for 'treatment-resistant depression', including psychological treatment, as well as highlighting the lack of long-term evidence of efficacy or meaningful end points such as quality of life measures. NICE also emphasised the lack of cost-effectiveness of the drug. However, the NICE appraisal did not question the published interpretation of the efficacy data, and lacked focus on the harms of treatment, including suicides, which might be more marked in real-life, longer-term use. As esketamine has been licensed by the MHRA it remains available for prescription 'off-label' in the UK.

It would seem that themes from history are repeating: a known drug of abuse, associated with significant harm is increasingly promoted despite scant evidence of efficacy, and without adequate long-term safety studies. We hope that NICE maintains its sensible position on requiring further evidence of efficacy, as well as paying adequate attention to the harms of esketamine, and calls for long-term efficacy and robust safety trials instead of setting patients up as unwitting guinea pigs in another unregulated pharmaceutical experiment.

## References

- 1 Jauhar S, Morrison P. Esketamine for treatment resistant depression. *BMJ* 2019; **5572**: l5572.
- 2 Devlin H. Ketamine-like drug for depression could get UK licence within the year. *Guard*. (<https://www.theguardian.com/science/2019/jul/12/ketamine-like-drug-for-depression-could-get-uk-licence-within-the-year>).
- 3 Morgan CJA, Curran HV. Ketamine use: A review. *Addiction* 2012; **107**: 27–38.
- 4 Suleiman Z, Ik K, Bo B. Evaluation of the cardiovascular stimulation effects after induction of anaesthesia with ketamine. *J West African Coll Surg* 2012; **2**: 38–52.
- 5 Addiction EMC for D and D. Report on the risk assessment of ketamine in the framework of the joint action on new synthetic drugs. , 2002 ([http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/attachements.cfm/att\\_33342\\_EN\\_Risk3.pdf](http://www.emcdda.europa.eu/attachements.cfm/att_33342_EN_Risk3.pdf)).
- 6 Office H. ONS: Crime Survey for England and Wales. *Comput Fraud Secur* 2018; **2018**: 4.
- 7 Handley S, Ramsey J, Flanagan R. Substance misuse-related poisoning deaths, England and Wales, 1993–2016. *Drug Sci Policy Law* 2018; **4**: 205032451876744.
- 8 Schifano F, Corkery J, Oyefeso A, Tonia T, Ghodse AH. Trapped in the ‘K-hole’: Overview of Deaths Associated With Ketamine Misuse in the UK (1993-2006). *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 2008; **28**.
- 9 Cheng JYK, Chan DTW, Mok VKK. An epidemiological study on alcohol/drugs related fatal traffic crash cases of deceased drivers in Hong Kong between 1996 and 2000. *Forensic Sci Int* 2005; **153**: 196–201.
- 10 Morgan CJA, Muetzelfeldt L, Curran HV. Consequences of chronic ketamine self-administration upon neurocognitive function and psychological wellbeing: A 1-year longitudinal study. *Addiction* 2010; **105**: 121–33.
- 11 Chen WY, Huang MC, Lin SK. Gender differences in subjective discontinuation symptoms associated with ketamine use. *Subst Abus Treat Prev Policy* 2014; **9**: 1–7.
- 12 Sleigh J, Harvey M, Voss L, Denny B. Ketamine – More mechanisms of action than just NMDA blockade. *Trends Anaesth Crit Care* 2014; **4**: 76–81.
- 13 Zanos P, Moaddel R, Morris PJ, Riggs LM, Highland JN, Georgiou P, *et al*. Ketamine and Ketamine Metabolite Pharmacology: Insights into Therapeutic Mechanisms. *Pharmacol Rev* 2018; **70**: 621–60.
- 14 Malhi GS, Byrow Y, Cassidy F, Cipriani A, Demyttenaere K, Frye MA, *et al*. Ketamine: stimulating antidepressant treatment? *BJPsych Open* 2016; **2**: e5–9.
- 15 Atigari OV, Healy D. Sustained antidepressant response to ketamine. *BMJ Case Rep* 2013; **2013**: bcr2013200370.
- 16 Royal College of Psychiatrists. Statement on ketamine to treat depression. 2017. ([https://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/pdf/Ketamine to treat Depression - ECT ctee statement Feb17.pdf](https://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/pdf/Ketamine%20to%20treat%20Depression%20-%20ECT%20ctee%20statement%20Feb17.pdf)).
- 17 Malhi GS, Das P, Mannie Z, Irwin L. Treatment-resistant depression: problematic illness or a problem in our approach? *Br J Psychiatry* 2019; **214**: 1–3.
- 18 Turner EH. Comment Esketamine for treatment-resistant depression : seven concerns about efficacy and FDA approval. *The Lancet Psychiatry* 2019; : 1–2.
- 19 Cristea I, Naudet F. US Food and Drug Administration approval of esketamine and brexanolone. *The Lancet Psychiatry* 2019; : 1–3.
- 20 Fedgchin M, Trivedi M, Daly EJ, Melkote R, Lane R, Lim P, *et al*. Efficacy and Safety of

- Fixed-Dose Esketamine Nasal Spray Combined With a New Oral Antidepressant in Treatment-Resistant Depression: Results of a Randomized, Double-Blind, Active-Controlled Study (TRANSFORM-1). *Int J Neuropsychopharmacol* 2019; **40**: 1–30.
- 21 FDA. Efficacy, safety, and risk-benefit profile of New Drug Application (NDA) 211243, esketamine 28 mg single-use nasal spray device, submitted by Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Inc., for the treatment of treatment-resistant depression. 2019; : 1–135.
- 22 Popova V, Daly EJ, Trivedi M, Cooper K, Lane R, Lim P, *et al*. Efficacy and safety of flexibly dosed esketamine nasal spray combined with a newly initiated oral antidepressant in treatment-resistant depression: A randomized double-blind active-controlled study. *Am J Psychiatry* 2019; **176**: 428–38.
- 23 Leucht S, Fennema H, Engel RR, Kaspers-Janssen M, Lepping P, Szegedi A. What does the MADRS mean? Equipercenile linking with the CGI using a company database of mirtazapine studies. *J Affect Disord* 2017; **210**: 287–93.
- 24 Daly EJ, Trivedi MH, Janik A, Li H, Zhang Y, Li X, *et al*. Efficacy of Esketamine Nasal Spray Plus Oral Antidepressant Treatment for Relapse Prevention in Patients with Treatment-Resistant Depression: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2019; **08560**: 893–903.
- 25 Janssen Research & Development L. Advisory Committee Briefing Document Esketamine Nasal Spray for Patients with Treatment-resistant Depression JNJ-54135419 (esketamine). 2019.
- 26 Schatzberg AF. A word to the wise about intranasal esketamine. *Am J Psychiatry* 2019; **176**: 422–4.
- 27 Bruce Jancin. Esketamine nasal spray may get expanded indication. MDedge-Psychiatry. 2019.  
(<https://www.mdedge.com/psychiatry/article/208362/depression/esketamine-nasal-spray-may-get-expanded-indication>).
- 28 Public Health England. Dependence and withdrawal associated with some prescribed medicines. An evidence review. 2019.  
(<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/prescribed-medicines-review-report>).
- 29 Mahase E. Esketamine for treatment resistant depression is not recommended by NICE. *BMJ* 2020; **368**: m329.
- 30 FDA. Clinical Review of Esketamine. , 2019  
([https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/nda/2019/211243Orig1s000MedR.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2019/211243Orig1s000MedR.pdf)).

## Tables

	Placebo + AD Events/total exposed [%]	Esketamine +AD Events/ total exposed) [%]
Completed suicide	0/486 [0%] <sup>a</sup>	3/1861[0.2%] <sup>a</sup>
Fatal motor vehicle accident	0/486[0%] <sup>a</sup>	1/1861 [0.05%] <sup>a</sup>
Death due to acute respiratory and cardiac failure	0/486[0%] <sup>a</sup>	1/1861 [0.05%] <sup>a</sup>
Death due to myocardial infarction	0/486 [0%] <sup>a</sup>	1/1861[0.05%] <sup>a</sup>
Total Deaths	0/486 [0%] <sup>a</sup>	6/1861 [0.3%] <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>total number of patients exposed in Stage 2 and Stage 3 trials up till 4<sup>th</sup> September 2018 <sup>30</sup>

Table 1 Deaths reported in esketamine trials. These data are derived from the FDA review of data submitted by Janssen<sup>30</sup>.

	Placebo + AD Events/total exposed [%]	Esketamine +AD Events/ total exposed) [%]
Worsening of suicidal ideas	2/432 [0.5%] <sup>a</sup>	5/570 [0.9%] <sup>a</sup>
Worsening of depression	1/432 [0.2%] <sup>a</sup>	8/570 [1.4%] <sup>a</sup>
Non-fatal motor vehicle accidents	0/NR [NR] <sup>b</sup>	5/NR [NR] <sup>b</sup>
Increased blood pressure	15/432 [3.5%] <sup>c</sup>	368/3646 [10.0%] <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>total number of patients exposed in trials 3001, 3002, 3005 (4 week efficacy trials) and trial 3003 (maintenance trial)<sup>30</sup>

<sup>b</sup> Reported in Janssen submission to FDA without relevant sample size<sup>25</sup>

<sup>c</sup> total number of patients in completed Stage 3 studies<sup>25</sup>

NR – not reported

Table 2 Selected adverse events reported in esketamine trials. These data are derived from both submissions by Janssen and the FDA review of this data. However, the FDA noted that there was mis-classification, under-reporting and mis-representation of the adverse events so that the presented data likely represents an underestimation of the incidence of adverse events <sup>30</sup>. Differing dosages of esketamine have been combined for simplicity.

	Placebo + AD (%)	Esketamine +AD (%)
Dissociation	18.9	48.3
Dizziness	8.1	29.5
Nausea	8.6	28.9
Headache	18.0	19.7
Sedation	9.9	23.1
Anxiety	7.7	13.3
Vertigo	2.7	22.5
Hypoaesthesia	1.8	12.7
Blood pressure increase	1.4	10.7
Vomiting	1.4	11.3
Cystitis-suggestive adverse events	2.7	10.7

Table 3 – Most common adverse events from esketamine compared with placebo. Data is from the 4 week studies 3001 and 3002 (for subjects < 65 years old)<sup>30</sup>. Differing dosages of esketamine have been combined for simplicity.

Mark A. Horowitz, MBBS, BA, BSc, MSc, PhD, Clinical Research Fellow, Division of Psychiatry, University College London. Maple House, 149 Tottenham Court Road, Fitzrovia, London W1T 7BN, UK.

Joanna Moncrieff, MBBS, MSc, MD, MRCPsych, Professor of Critical and Social Psychiatry, Division of Psychiatry, University College London. Maple House, 149 Tottenham Court Road, Fitzrovia, London W1T 7BN, UK.

Correspondence: Mark A. Horowitz, Division of Psychiatry, University College London. Maple House, 149 Tottenham Court Road, Fitzrovia, London W1T 7BN, UK. Email: mark\_horo@hotmail.com

Funding:

No funding was received for this paper.

Conflict of interests:

JM is co-chair person of the Critical Psychiatry Network. She has no financial conflicts of interest. MH has no conflict of interest.