

## Appendix B

### Quality assessment

**Mixed methods appraisal tool, version 2018** (MMAT; Hong et al., 2018)

#### *Screening Questions*

- S1. Are there clear research questions?
- S2. Do the collected data allow to address the research questions?

#### *1. Qualitative Studies*

- Is the qualitative approach appropriate to answer the research question?
- Are the qualitative data collection methods adequate to address the research question?
- Are the findings adequately derived from the data?
- Is the interpretation of results sufficiently substantiated by data?
- Is there coherence between qualitative data sources, collection, analysis and interpretation?

#### *2. Randomized Controlled Trials*

- 2.1. Is randomization appropriately performed?
- 2.2. Are the groups comparable at baseline?
- 2.3. Are there complete outcome data?
- 2.4. Are outcome assessors blinded to the intervention provided?

- 2.5 Did the participants adhere to the assigned intervention?

### *3. Non-Randomised Studies: e.g. case-control studies, cohort-studies, cross sectional analytical studies*

- 3.1. Are the participants representative of the target population?
- 3.2. Are measurements appropriate regarding both the outcome and intervention (or exposure)?
- 3.3. Are there complete outcome data?
- 3.4. Are the confounders accounted for in the design and analysis?
- 3.5. During the study period, is the intervention administered (or exposure occurred) as intended?

### *4. Quantitative Descriptive Studies: incidence and prevalence studies without comparison, surveys*

- 4.1. Is the sampling strategy relevant to address the research question?
- 4.2. Is the sample representative of the target population?
- 4.3. Are the measurements appropriate?
- 4.4. Is the risk of nonresponse bias low?
- 4.5. Is the statistical analysis appropriate to answer the research question?

### *5. Mixed Method Studies*

- 5.1. Is there an adequate rationale for using a mixed methods design to address the research question?
- 5.2. Are the different components of the study effectively integrated to answer the research question?
- 5.3. Are the outputs of the integration of qualitative and quantitative components adequately interpreted?



5	mixed	14/15; 93%	Au-Yeung, S. K., L. Bradley, A. E. Robertson, R. Shaw, S. Baron- Cohen and S. Cassidy	2019	y	y	y	y	y	y	y			y	y	y	n	y			y	y	y	y	y
6	qual	5/5; 100%	Babb, Brede, Jones, Elliott, Zanker, Tchanturia, Serpell, Mandy & Fox		y	y	y	y	y	y	y														
7	qual	5/5; 100%	Camm- Crosbie, L., L. Bradley, R. Shaw, S. Baron- Cohen and S. Cassidy	2019	y	y	y	y	y	y	y														
8	qual	5/5; 100%	Cheak- Zamora, Nancy C.: Teti, Michelle	2015	y	y	y	y	y	y	y														
9	qual	5/5, 100%	Coleman- Fountain, Buckley & Beresford	2020	y	y	y	y	y	y	y														
10	mixed	12/15; 80%	Cooper, K.: Loades, M. E.: Russell, A. J.	2018	y	y	y	y	y	y	y			y	n	y	y	y	n	n	y	y	y		
11	qual	5/5; 100%	Coxon, Sabrina	2016	y	y	y	y	y	y	y														
12	mixed	12/15; 80%	Crane, L., F. Adams, G. Harper, J. Welch and E. Pellicano	2019	y	y	y	y	y	y	y			y	n	y	n	y	y	n	y	y	y		







34	qual	5/5; 100%	Russell, A. Gaunt, D. Cooper, K. Horwood, J. Barton, S. Ensum, I. Ingham, B. Parr, J. Metcalf, C. Rai, D. Kessler, D. Wiles, N.	2019	y	y	y	y	y	y	y											
35	qual	5/5; 100%	Spain, D.: Rumball, F.: O'Neill, L.: Sin, J.: Prunty, J.: Happe, F.	2017	y	y	y	y	y	y	y											
36	qual	5/5; 100%	Tint, A.: Weiss, J. A.	2018	y	y	y	y	y	y	y											
37	mixed	13/15; 87%	Unigwe, S.: Buckley, C.: Crane, L.: Kenny, L.: Remington, A.: Pellicano, E. - not sure if quant aspects should be rated as survey or cross- sectional analytical (3/4) <u>EC - I would have said survey... JB: agreed</u>	2017	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	y	n	y	y	y	

38	qual	5/5; 100%	Van Hees, V.: Moyson, T.: Roeyers, H.	2015	y	y	y	y	y	y	y
----	------	-----------	--	------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

---