

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 **Background** There are a lack of studies on the association between obesity and conversion from a
3 clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) to MS.

4 **Objective** To determine whether obesity predicts disease activity and prognosis in patients with CIS.

5 **Methods** BMI at baseline was available for 464 patients with CIS in BENEFIT. Obesity was defined
6 as BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² and normal weight as $18.5 \leq \text{BMI} < 25$. Patients were followed up for 5 years
7 clinically and by magnetic resonance imaging. Hazard of conversion to clinically definite (CDMS)
8 or to 2001 McDonald criteria (MDMS) MS; annual rate of relapse; sustained progression on EDSS,
9 change in brain and lesion volume, and development of new brain lesions were evaluated.

10 **Results** Obese individuals were 39% more likely to convert to MDMS (95% CI:1.02-1.91; p = 0.04)
11 and had a 59% (95% CI:1.01-2.31; p = 0.03) higher rate of relapse than individuals with normal
12 weight. No associations were observed between obesity and conversion to CDMS, sustained
13 progression on EDSS or MRI outcomes, except for a larger reduction of brain volume in obese
14 smokers as compared to normal weight smokers (-0.82%; 95% CI: -1.51 to -0.12, p= 0.02).

15 **Conclusions** Obesity was associated with faster conversion to MS (MDMS) and a higher relapse
16 rate.

17

18

19

20

21

22 **INTRODUCTION**

23 MS is an important cause of neurological disability in young people. The majority of patients
24 present with treatable bouts of inflammatory demyelination followed years after by treatment
25 resistance and brain atrophy.^{1,2} The cause of MS is unknown, but is related to genetic and
26 environmental risk factors.^{3,5} Obesity in early life has consistently been associated with an increased
27 risk of MS.⁶⁻⁹ The chronic low-grade inflammatory state linked to obesity and its relationship with
28 endothelial dysfunction, inflammatory, and autoimmune diseases, could in part explain this
29 association¹⁰. However, few studies have evaluated the relationship between body mass index (BMI)
30 and activity and progression of MS. Therefore, we evaluated whether BMI at the time of a clinically
31 isolated syndrome (CIS) is related to risk of conversion to MS, and MS activity and progression,
32 over 5 years of follow-up, among participants in the Betaferon/Betaseron in Newly Emerging
33 Multiple Sclerosis for Initial Treatment (BENEFIT) clinical trial,¹¹⁻¹³ and whether smoking status
34 modified this association as smoking also has detrimental effects on immune system function and
35 has been associated with MS disease activity and progression.

36 **METHODS**

37 **Study population.** The BENEFIT trial enrolled 468 participants between 2002 and 2003 who were
38 randomized to receive either interferon beta-1b (INF β -1b) or placebo within 60 days of experiencing
39 a CIS suggestive of MS. Detailed information on the BENEFIT trial design and participants is
40 provided elsewhere.¹¹ Briefly, participants were followed for conversion to MS (both clinically
41 definite MS [CDMS]¹⁴ and 2001 McDonald MS [MDMS]¹⁵). By current diagnostic criteria,¹⁶ most
42 BENEFIT participants would have been considered to have MS at baseline. However, for
43 consistency with the original trial and subsequent publications, we continue to utilize the definitions
44 of CIS and MS as set forth in the trial. After conversion to CDMS or after the initial 24-month
45 period, placebo patients were placed on INF β -1b. Participants were then followed through month 60
46 post-baseline.

47 A specially trained evaluating physician conducted all standardized neurological evaluations and
48 determined the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) score. Relapses were assessed and defined
49 using established guidelines.¹⁴

50 Serum samples were obtained at baseline (before beginning treatment) and at 6, 12, and 24 months
51 and were received by the central laboratory within 3 days of collection and stored at -20°C .

52 Brain MRI was conducted every 3 months in the first year and then at 18, 24, 36, 48, and 60 months.
53 The MRI procedures used in BENEFIT have been previously described.¹¹⁻¹³ Briefly, T2- and T1-
54 weighted images (following administration of 0.1 mmol/kg of gadolinium–diethyl-
55 enetriaminepentaacetic acid) were analyzed centrally at the Image Analysis Centre at the VU
56 University Medical Center in Amsterdam where the number of new lesions (including newly active
57 lesions) and lesion volume were determined. Brain volume was quantified using the SIENAX
58 (structural image evaluation using normalization of atrophy cross-sectional) algorithm. Owing to
59 rigorous criteria with respect to scan quality and brain coverage, approximately 20% of the images
60 were excluded from brain-volume analyses.

61 Participants in the BENEFIT clinical trial (NCT001185211) provided written informed consent, and
62 this study was approved by Harvard T.H. Chan’s School of Public Health’s institutional review
63 board. We used deidentified data.

64 **BMI**

65 Height and weight at baseline were registered for all participants at enrollment in BENEFIT. BMI
66 was calculated as weight (kilograms) divided by the square of the height (meters), and categorized
67 using the WHO classification of overweight and obesity in adults: underweight ($<18.5\text{ kg/m}^2$),
68 normal weight ($18.5\text{-}<25\text{ kg/m}^2$), overweight ($25\text{-}<30\text{ kg/m}^2$), and obese ($\geq 30\text{ kg/m}^2$).

69 **Smoking status**

70 Cotinine levels were measured using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) per
71 manufacturer's (DiaMedix Corp) instruction in the baseline, 6-, 12-, and 24-month serum samples.
72 "Smokers" had serum cotinine levels in all measured samples >25 ng/mL—levels of cotinine
73 indicative of regular nicotine use, and "non-smokers" had cotinine levels <10 ng/mL in all measured
74 samples indicative of no nicotine use, as previously described.¹⁹ Individuals with both high and low
75 levels over time were "mixed" and treated as their own category.

76 **Statistical analysis**

77 BMI was modeled as categorical variable as described above. The median BMI within each category
78 was modeled as a continuous variable to assess the linear trend across BMI categories.

79 There were three broad outcomes of interest based on clinical and MRI assessments: time to a
80 definite diagnosis of MS, MS activity, and MS progression.

81 The primary outcome of BENEFIT was conversion to CDMS and the secondary outcome was
82 conversion to 2001 MDMS. Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate the hazard and
83 95% confidence intervals for the association between BMI and time to MS conversion.

84 The effect of BMI on MS activity was assessed by rate of relapses and number of new active lesions
85 on brain MRI, defined as new or enlarging T2 lesions or new gadolinium-enhancing lesions from
86 baseline through month 60. Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate the effect of
87 BMI on relapse rates and negative binomial regression models were used in analyses of number of
88 lesions.

89 EDSS was assessed every 6 months. Clinical progression on EDSS was defined as an increase of at
90 least 1.0 step from the baseline EDSS that was sustained for at least 6 months (yes/no). Logistic
91 regression models were used to assess the association between BMI and EDSS progression and Cox
92 proportional hazards models were used to assess whether BMI was associated with time to sustained
93 EDSS progression. Progression on MRI was assessed by percentage change in T2 lesion volume,

94 and percentage change of brain volume. Due to inflammatory processes related to CIS, changes were
95 determined with respect to either the 6-month (EDSS) or 12-month (brain and T2 lesion volume)
96 values.^{17,18} Generalized mixed models, treating the participants as a random effect, and including
97 BMI by time interaction, were used to assess associations between BMI and MRI progression
98 outcomes.

99 All analyses were adjusted for baseline age as a continuous variable, sex, smoking status, region of
100 residence (Central Europe: Belgium, Netherlands, Germany, Austria, Switzerland, Denmark, France,
101 Great Britain, Hungary, Czech Republic, Poland, Slovenia; Southern Europe: Spain, Portugal, Italy,
102 Israel; Scandinavia: Finland, Norway, Sweden; North America: Canada), initial treatment group
103 (INF β -1b or placebo), number of T2 lesions at baseline, EDSS score at baseline, steroid treatment
104 for CIS (yes/no), and onset type (monofocal or multifocal) for severity of the CIS. Baseline serum
105 levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D with seasonal correction, and baseline serum Epstein-Barr virus
106 nuclear antigen-1 (EBNA-1) IgG levels were measured as previously described^{20,21} and also
107 included in the adjusted analyses.

108 We also conducted the above analyses stratified by smoking status (non-smoker or smoker) to
109 determine whether smoking modified associations between BMI and MS outcomes.

110 **Data Availability Statement** The datasets analyzed in the current study are not publicly available
111 because of restricted access, but further information about the datasets is available from the
112 corresponding author on reasonable request.

113 **RESULTS**

114 **Participant Characteristics and BMI**

115 There were 468 participants enrolled in BENEFIT; 292 were randomized to treatment with INF β -1b
116 and 176 to placebo. Compared with participants with BMI <30 kg/m², those with a BMI \geq 30 kg/m²
117 at baseline were older and a higher percentage were smokers. (Table 1) They were more likely to

118 present with a monofocal event at onset, fewer T2 lesions, and lower T2 lesion volume at baseline
119 than participants in other BMI categories. Only 51% of obese individuals were randomized to
120 treatment with INF β -1b as compared to over 60% in other BMI groups. EDSS score and steroid use
121 at baseline were similar across BMI categories. Other baseline characteristics of participants are
122 given in table 1.

123 **Conversion from CIS to MS**

124 During the 5 years of follow-up, 216 patients (46.6 %) converted to CDMS and 377 (81.3 %)
125 converted to MDMS. In unadjusted analyses, obesity did not predict the conversion from CIS to
126 CDMS (HR=0.95, 95% CI: 0.62-1.46) or MDMS (HR=1.18, 95% CI: 0.89-1.58); however, in
127 multivariable analyses, obesity was associated with conversion to MDMS (HR=1.39, 95% CI: 1.02-
128 1.91) through year 5 (Table 2). In multivariable analyses stratified by smoking status, obese non-
129 smokers had a 65% increased hazard of conversion to MDMS as compared to normal weight non-
130 smokers (Table 2). No association was observed in smokers.

131 **MS Activity**

132 *New Active MRI Lesions*

133 BMI at baseline was not associated with the number of new active brain lesions on MRI through
134 month 60 (Table 3). There was a suggestion of a 3-fold increased rate of new active lesions
135 associated with being underweight among smokers (Table 3). Among BENEFIT participants
136 randomized to IFNB-1b there was no difference in no new lesions by BMI over the first 24 months
137 (18.5-<25 kg/m²: 33%; \geq 25 kg/m²: 31%).

138 *Relapses*

139 On average, patients in BENEFIT experienced 0.2 relapses per year. In unadjusted analyses, there
140 was a non-statistically significant increase in rate of relapse among obese individuals (HR=1.38,

141 95% CI: 0.88-2.17, p-trend=0.19). In adjusted analyses, the rate of relapse increased to 53% higher
142 in the obese versus the normal weight group (Table 3), with a statistically significant trend across the
143 groups (p-trend=0.03). The overall association between BMI and relapse rate was similar in both
144 smokers and non-smokers (HR for 1 kg/m² increase in BMI: smokers: 1.05, 95% CI: 1.01-1.10,
145 p=0.03; non-smokers: 1.04, 95% CI: 1.00-1.08 p=0.04). Obese smokers had an about 2-fold increased
146 relapse rate compared to normal weight smokers (Table 3). Obese non-smokers had a non-
147 statistically significant 42% increased rate of relapse as compared to normal weight non-smokers
148 (Table 3).

149 **Progression of MS**

150 *Change in T2 Lesion Volume*

151 Obese participants had a lower T2 lesion volume at screening than other BMI groups (Table 1).
152 Overall, there was a positive association between BMI and percent change in T2 lesion volume (%
153 change=3.6, 95% CI: 0.55-6.7, p=0.02), but this association was driven by two extreme outliers with
154 a change in T2 lesion volume > 1,000%. In analyses excluding these individuals, obesity was not
155 associated with percent change of T2 lesion volume from month 12 through month 60 (Table 4).
156 There were also no significant associations between BMI group and percent change in T2 lesion
157 volume when stratifying by smoking status, though the interaction between BMI and time was
158 statistically significant among smokers (p=0.008) (Table 4).

159 *Change in brain volume*

160 BMI was also not associated with percent change in brain volume over months 12 to 60 of the trial
161 (Table 4). However, stratification by smoking status showed a larger reduction of brain volume in
162 overweight and obese smokers as compared to normal weight smokers with a statistically significant
163 trend (p=0.03) and the interaction between BMI and time in smokers was statistically significant

164 (p=0.03) (Table 4). There was no association between BMI and percent change in brain volume
165 among non-smokers.

166 *Sustained Clinical Progression on EDSS*

167 Over the 60 months of follow-up, 110 participants met the criteria for sustained clinical progression
168 on EDSS. In both unadjusted and adjusted analyses, there were no associations observed between
169 BMI and either having sustained EDSS progression or time to sustained EDSS progression overall
170 or stratified by smoking status. (Table 4) .

171

172 **DISCUSSION**

173 In this large prospective investigation, obesity was independently associated with an increased
174 hazard of conversion from CIS to MDMS and a higher rate of relapses, but not with other MS
175 related outcomes. Obesity was associated with decreased brain volume only in smokers.

176 While some of our results may seem paradoxical—for example, obesity was associated with an
177 increased rate of conversion to MDMS and a higher relapse rate but not a higher number of new
178 active lesions, it is important to note that most conversions to MDMS occurred within the first 24
179 months, but our follow-up goes through 60 months, thus included 3 years or more after MDMS
180 conversion. Additionally, MRIs were only performed at pre-determined study times and not in
181 conjunction with the occurrence of a relapse.

182 There have been a few prospective studies of the association between BMI and MS activity and
183 progression.²²⁻²⁴ In the AusLong study²³, BMI was measured at four time points over 5-years of
184 follow-up of individuals with a CIS and did not predict conversion to MS, but higher BMI (in 5
185 kg/m² increments) was associated with an increased risk of relapse and with an increased risk of
186 annualized worsening in EDSS. Other studies were conducted in individuals with established MS of

187 average duration between 5 and 12 years.^{24,25} One study found no association between BMI and
188 change in EDSS.²⁵ The only other prospective study to evaluate MRI outcomes was conducted
189 among 469 individuals with relapsing-remitting MS in the U.S. and increases in BMI were
190 associated with decreases in normalized gray matter volume and brain parenchymal volume over an
191 average of 4.1 years of follow-up.²⁴ In our study, there were no associations seen between BMI and
192 MRI outcomes except for a higher percentage brain volume lost with increasing BMI among
193 smokers.

194 A study in Norway conducted among 86 RRMS participants taking INFB-1a in the OFAMS trial
195 found that overweight/obese individuals were less likely to have no MRI activity (20%) over 24
196 months as compared with normal weight individuals (52%),²⁶ and the authors suggested that doses
197 of INFB-1a may need to be higher among overweight/obese individuals. In our study, among
198 participants in BENEFIT who were randomized to IFNB-1b for the first 24 months there was no
199 difference in the percentage exhibiting no new lesions (overweight/obese: 31% versus normal
200 weight: 33%). IFNB-1b appears to have similar efficacy with respect to MRI activity regardless of
201 BMI.

202 In our previous study on cotinine levels and MS outcomes in BENEFIT²⁷, we did not find any
203 associations between smoking and clinical or MRI outcomes over 5 years of follow-up. While
204 obesity was associated with an increase in relapse rate in both smokers and non-smokers, obesity
205 was associated with a decrease in brain volume only among the smokers. Similarly, a study among
206 the GEMS and EIMS case-control studies in Sweden reported that obesity at age 20 was associated
207 with risk of conversion to SPMS only among ever smokers.²² Components of cigarette smoke are
208 known to disrupt immune system function and have neurotoxic effects and the increased adipose
209 tissue in obesity creates a chronic low-grade inflammatory state¹⁰ characterized by an increase of
210 inflammatory and reduction of anti-inflammatory chemokines secreted by adipocytes, increase of
211 type 1 macrophages, increase of Th1 and Th17 lymphocyte proliferation and down-regulation of T

212 regulatory lymphocytes¹⁰ In a recent study of a small cohort of MS patients, having a BMI >24
213 kg/m² appears to modulate monocyte numbers through ceramide-induced DNA methylation of anti-
214 proliferative genes.²⁸ Obesity is associated with brain volume loss in the general population.²⁹ While
215 it is possible that we would observe a decrease in brain volume among obese non-smokers if we had
216 a longer follow-up, smoking may accelerate brain volume loss in obese individuals with MS.

217 Strengths of this study include the longitudinal design, recruitment of all patients at the time of CIS,
218 the large number of participants, standardized treatment (early vs late IFN β -1b), rigorous clinical,
219 including standardized measures of BMI, and MRI assessment of all patients during 5-year period,
220 and information on other predictors of MS activity and progression that we adjusted the analyses
221 for.¹¹⁻¹³ Our study also has limitations to consider. First is that BMI was only measured at baseline.
222 Therefore, we cannot examine whether and how changes in BMI over the course of follow-up are
223 associated with MS disease activity and progression. Second, we did not have a history of smoking
224 status, but rather a biomarker of nicotine exposure. Although stringent criteria were used to define
225 smokers and non-smokers, cotinine does not capture past smoking and any associations on future
226 MS disease activity and progression by past smoking cannot be independently assessed. Third, most
227 participants were eventually treated with IFN β -1b, and although uniform treatment is an important
228 advantage, our results may not apply to patients treated with other disease modifying therapies.
229 Additionally, this was a post-hoc analysis of clinical trial data and multiple comparisons were not
230 corrected for. Nearly all BENEFIT participants were white individuals of European ancestry, thus
231 limiting generalizations to individuals of other races or ethnicities.

232 **CONCLUSIONS**

233 In our study we found that obesity is associated with an increased rate of conversion from CIS to
234 MDMS and with increased MS disease activity (high rate of relapses). Obese smokers may have an

235 increased rate of brain atrophy. These results suggest that prevention and treatment of obesity may
236 have disease-specific benefits in individuals with MS.

237 **Study Funding** This study was supported by grants from the National Institute of Neurological
238 Disease and Stroke (NS0721082, PI: Ascherio), the National Multiple Sclerosis Society (RG
239 4296A4/2, PI: Ascherio), and by a Research Fellowship from the German Research Foundation DFG
240 to Dr. Cortese (CO 2129/1-1). The BENEFIT trial was funded by Bayer.

241 **Disclosures**

242 Juan Manuel Escobar reports no disclosures.

243 Marianna Cortese reports no disclosures.

244 Gilles Edan reports no disclosures.

245 Mark S. Freedman reports **Receipt of research or educational grants:** Sanofi-Genzyme Canada

246 **Receipt of honoraria or consultation fees:** Actelion (Janssen/J&J), Alexion, Bayer Healthcare,

247 BiogenIdec, Celgene (BMS), EMD Inc., Sanofi-Genzyme, Hoffman La-Roche, Merck Serono,

248 Novartis, Teva Canada Innovation **Member of a company advisory board, board of directors or**

249 **other similar group:** Actelion (Janssen/J&J), Alexion, Atara Biotherapeutics, BayerHealthcare,

250 BiogenIdec, Celgene (BMS), Clene Nanomedicine, Hoffman La-Roche, Magenta Therapeutics,

251 Merck Serono, Novartis, Sanofi-Genzyme, Teva Canada Innovation **Participation in a company**

252 **sponsored speaker's bureau:** Sanofi-Genzyme, EMD Serono

253 Hans-Peter Hartung personal fees for serving on a steering committee of Bayer HealthCare.

254 Xavier Montalbán has received speaking honoraria and travel expenses for participation in scientific

255 meetings, has been a steering committee member of clinical trials or participated in advisory boards

256 of clinical trials in the past years with Actelion, Alexion, Bayer, Biogen, Celgene, EMD Serono,

257 Genzyme, Immunic, Medday, Merck, Mylan, Nervgen, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi-Genzyme, Teva

258 Pharmaceutical, TG Therapeutics, Excemed, MSIF and NMSS.

259 Rupert Sandbrink was a salaried employee and owns stock in Bayer AG.

260 Ernst-Wilhelm Radü reports no disclosures.

261 Frederik Barkhof reports no disclosures.

262 Eva-Maria Wicklein is a salaried employee of Bayer AG.

263 Ludwig Kappos reports no disclosures.

264 Alberto Ascherio reports no disclosures.

265 Kassandra L. Munger has received honoraria for participation in scientific advisory board (Biogen).

266

267 **REFERENCES**

- 268 1. Dwyer MG, Bergsland N, Ramasamy DP, Jakimovski D, Weinstock-guttman B. Atrophied
269 Brain Lesion Volume : A New Imaging Biomarker in Multiple Sclerosis. *Neuroimaging*.
270 2018;28(5):490-495.
- 271 2. Raine CS, Ph D, Sc D. Multiple Sclerosis: The Plaque and Its Pathogenesis. *N Engl J Med*.
272 2006 Mar 2;354(9):942-55.
- 273 3. International Multiple Sclerosis Genetic Consortium et al. Genetic risk and a primary role for
274 cell-mediated immune mechanisms in multiple sclerosis. *Nature*.2011;476:214-19.
- 275 4. Ascherio A, Munger KL, Lünemann JD. The initiation and prevention of multiple sclerosis.
276 *Nat Rev Neurol*. 2012;8(11):602–12.
- 277 5. Bellou V, Belbasis L, Tzoulaki I, Evangelou E, Ioannidis JPA. Environmental risk factors and
278 multiple sclerosis: An umbrella review of meta-analyses. *The Lancet Neurology*.
279 2015;14(3):263-273.
- 280 6. Munger KL, Bentzen J, Laursen B, Stenager E, Koch-Henriksen N, Sørensen TIA, et al.
281 Childhood body mass index and multiple sclerosis risk: A long-term cohort study. *Mult Scler*
282 *J*. 2013;19(10):1323–9.
- 283 7. Langer-Gould A, Brara SM, Beaber BE, Koebnick C. Childhood obesity and risk of pediatric
284 multiple sclerosis and clinically isolated syndrome. *Neurology* 2013;80(6):548–52.
- 285 8. Munger KL, Chitnis T, Ascherio A. Body size and risk of MS in two cohorts of US women.
286 *Neurology*. 2009;73(19):1543–50.
- 287 9. Hedström AK, Olsson T, Alfredsson L. High body mass index before age 20 is associated
288 with increased risk for multiple sclerosis in both men and women. *Mult Scler J*.
289 2012;18(9):1334–6.

- 290 10. Engin A. The Pathogenesis of Obesity-Associated Adipose Tissue Inflammation. *Obesity and*
291 *Lipotoxicity*. 2017;221-245.
- 292 11. Kappos L, Polman CH, Freedman MS, Edan G, Hartung HP, Miller DH, et al. Treatment with
293 interferon beta-1b delays conversion to clinically definite and McDonald MS in patients with
294 clinically isolated syndromes. *Neurology*. 2006;67(7):1242-1249.
- 295 12. Kappos L, Freedman MS, Polman CH, Edan G, Hartung H, Miller DH, et al. Effect of early
296 versus delayed interferon beta-1b treatment on disability after a first clinical event suggestive
297 of multiple sclerosis : a 3-year follow-up analysis of the BENEFIT study. *Lancet* 2007; 370:
298 389–97.
- 299 13. Kappos L, Freedman MS, Polman CH, Edan G, Hartung HP, Miller DH, et al. Long-term
300 effect of early treatment with interferon beta-1b after a first clinical event suggestive of
301 multiple sclerosis: 5-year active treatment extension of the phase 3 BENEFIT trial. *Lancet*
302 *Neurol* 2009;8:987–97.
- 303 14. Poser CM, Paty DW, Scheinberg L, McDonald WI, Davis FA, Ebers GC, et al. New
304 Diagnostic Criteria for Multiple Sclerosis : Guidelines for Research Protocols. *Ann*
305 *Neurol*.1983;13(3):227-231.
- 306 15. McDonald WI, Compston A, Edan G, Goodkin D, Hartung H, Lublin FD, et al. Recommended
307 Diagnostic Criteria for Multiple Sclerosis : Guidelines from the International Panel on the
308 Diagnosis of Multiple Sclerosis. *Ann Neurol*. 2001;50(1):121-127.
- 309 16. Thompson AJ, Banwell BL, Barkhof F, Carroll WM, Coetzee T, Comi G, et al. Position Paper
310 Diagnosis of multiple sclerosis : 2017 revisions of the McDonald criteria. *Lancet Neurol*.
311 2018 Feb;17(2):162-173.
- 312 17. Barkhof F, Polman C, Radue E, Kappos L, Freedman M, Edan G et al. Magnetic Resonance

- 313 Imaging Effects of Interferon Beta-1b in the BENEFIT Study: Integrated 2-year results.
314 Archives of Neurology. 2007;64(9):1292.
- 315 18. Zivadinov R, Reder A, Filippi M, Minagar A, Stuve O, Lassmann H, et al. Mechanisms of
316 action of disease-modifying agents and brain volume changes in multiple sclerosis.
317 Neurology. 2008;71(2):136-144.
- 318 19. Vine M, Hulka B, Margolin B, Truong Y, Hu P, Schramm M, Griffith J, McCann M ER.
319 Cotinine Concentrations in Semen , Urine , and Blood of Smokers and Nonsmokers. Am J
320 Public Heal. 1993;83:1335–8.
- 321 20. Bliss CI. Periodic regression in biology and climatology. Connecticut Agricultural
322 Experiment Station. 1958;615:3-55.
- 323 21. Munger KL, Levin LI, Holls BW, Howard NS, Ascherio A. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D
324 levels and risk of multiple sclerosis. JAMA. 2006;296(23):2832–8.
- 325 22. Manouchehrinia A, Hedström AK, Alfredsson L, Olsson T, Hillert J, Ramanujam R.
326 Association of pre-disease body mass index with multiple sclerosis prognosis. Front Neurol.
327 2018;9:232.
- 328 23. Tettey P, Simpson S, Taylor B, Ponsonby A, Lucas RM, Dwyer T, et al. An adverse lipid
329 profile and increased levels of adiposity significantly predict clinical course after a first
330 demyelinating event. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2017;88(5):395-401.
- 331 24. Mowry EM, Azevedo CJ, Mcculloch CE, Okuda DT. Body mass index , but not vitamin D
332 status , is associated with brain volume change in MS. Neurology. 2018 Dec 11;91(24):e2256-
333 e2264. .
- 334 25. Bove R, Musallam A, Xia Z, Baruch N, Messina S, Healy BC, Chitnis T. Longitudinal BMI
335 trajectories in multiple sclerosis: Sex differences in association with disease severity. Mult

336 Scler Relat Disord. 2016 Jul;8:136-40.

337 26. Kvistad SS, Myhr K-M, Holmøy T, Šaltytė Benth J, Wergeland S, Beiske AG, et al. Body
338 mass index influence interferon-beta treatment response in multiple sclerosis. J
339 Neuroimmunol 2015;288:92–7.

340 27. Munger KL, Fitzgerald KC, Freedman MS, Hartung HP, Miller DH, Montalbán X, et al. No
341 association of multiple sclerosis activity and progression with EBV or tobacco use in
342 BENEFIT. Neurology 2015;85(19):1694–701.

343 28. Castro K, Ntranos A, Amatruda M, Petracca M, Kosa P, Chen EY, et al. Body Mass Index in
344 Multiple Sclerosis modulates ceramide-induced DNA methylation and disease course.
345 EBioMedicine. 2019; 43:392-410.

346 29. Bobb J, Schwartz B, Davatzikos C, Caffo B. Cross-sectional and longitudinal association of
347 body mass index and brain volume. Hum Brain Mapp. 2014; 35(1):75-88.

348

349

350

351

Table 1 Baseline characteristics by BMI at baseline--BENEFIT

	Body mass index at baseline, kg per m ²			
	<18.5 (N = 18)	18.5-<25 (N = 271)	25-<30 (N = 119)	≥30 (N = 57)
BMI, kg per m ²	18.0 (17.0-18.0)	22.0 (21.0-23.0)	27.0(25.0-28.0)	32.5 (31.0-35.0)
Age at baseline, years *	29.6 (5.9)	30.1 (7.4)	31.6 (7.6)	32.7 (7.4)
Female, %	91.3	71.9	62.8	69.5
Active smoker ^a , %	29.6	32.1	33.7	35.8
Country or region	82.3	69.6	66.2	55.7
Central Europe ^b , %				
Canada, %	14.6	4.1	7.1	7.5
Scandinavia, %	0.0	8.9	14.8	16.0
Southern Europe, %	3.1	17.4	11.9	20.8

Table 1 Baseline characteristics by BMI at baseline--BENEFIT

	Body mass index at baseline, kg per m ²			
	<18.5 (N = 18)	18.5-<25 (N = 271)	25-<30 (N = 119)	≥30 (N = 57)
Time to CDMS, days	415 (141-1,497)	1,176 (351-1,804)	1,064 (342-1,805)	672 (136-1,806)
Time to MDMS ^d , days	191 (96.0-372.0)	194 (100-700)	214.0 (96.0-929.0)	100 (86-558)
INFβ-1b treatment group, %	62.1	62.6	68.1	51.0
Multifocal onset, %	52.1	47.4	51.6	29.0
T2 lesion number at screening	33 (9.0-52.0)	19.0 (7.0-40.0)	17.0(8.0-33.0)	13.0 (5.0-35.0)
T2 lesion volume at screening, mm ³	2,044 (1,107-8664)	1,871 (621-4,790)	1,947 (718-4,343)	1,717 (389-2,987)
Brain volume at screening, cm ³	1,073 (1,056-1,097)	1,056 (1,022-1,084)	1,056 (1,019-1,082)	1,048 (1,029-1070)
EDSS at baseline	1.5(1.0-2.0)	1.5(1.0-2.0)	1.5 (1.0-2.0)	1.5(0.0-2.0)
25(OH)D at baseline, nmol/L	45.8 (38.5-61.3)	47.0 (37.6-59.0)	48.0 (37.7- 58.5)	43.9 (34-51.6)

Table 1 Baseline characteristics by BMI at baseline--BENEFIT

	Body mass index at baseline, kg per m ²			
	<18.5	18.5-<25	25-<30	≥30
	(N = 18)	(N = 271)	(N = 119)	(N = 57)
Steroid use at baseline, %	72.9	74.6	62.3	75.6

Values are medians (interquartile ranges) or percentages and are standardized to the age distribution of the study population.

* Value is not age adjusted

^a Active smoker defined as having all cotinine measures—baseline, months 6, 12, and 24— >25 ng/mL

^b **Central Europe:** Belgium, Netherlands, Germany, Austria, Switzerland, Denmark, France, Great Britain, Hungary, Czech Republic, Poland, Slovenia;

Southern Europe: Spain, Portugal, Italy, Israel; **Scandinavia:** Finland, Norway, Sweden

^d 2001 McDonald MS

Table 2. Hazard ratios (HR) of conversion to CDMS/MDMS^a by BMI categories and smoking status

	CDMS ^a			2001 MDMS ^b		
	No. y/n	HR	95% CI	No. y/n	HR	95% CI
BMI (kg/m²)						
All						
<18.5	8/10	1.27	0.61-2.63	14/4	1.07	0.65-1.76
18.5-<25	129/142	1	Ref.	224/47	1	Ref.
25-<30	54/65	1.10	0.79-1.54	94/25	1.11	0.88-1.39
>30	25/32	1.00	0.62-1.59	45/12	1.39	1.02-1.91
Non-smokers						
<18.5	5/5	1.47	0.57-3.82	8/2	1.02	0.50-2.08
18.5-<25	70/82	1	Ref.	125/27	1	Ref.
25-<30	27/36	0.98	0.62-1.56	48/15	1.02	0.75-1.40

>30	16/17	1.07	0.58-1.97	28/57	1.65	1.08-2.50
Active smokers						
<18.5	2/4	0.78	0.17-3.55	5/1	1.27	0.51-3.13
18.5-<25	41/46	1	Ref.	72/15	1	Ref.
25-<30	17/22	1.00	0.54-1.87	32/7	1.06	0.68-1.64
>30	8/12	1.05	0.45-2.48	13/7	0.84	0.47-1.51

*Models adjusted for age, sex, treatment allocation, and baseline: serum 25(OH) vitamin D with seasonal correction, no. T2 lesions, T2 lesion volume, EDSS score, steroid treatment, EBNA-1 IgG levels, smoking status.

^aCDMS: Clinically Definite Multiple Sclerosis.

^b2001 McDonald MS

Table 3: Hazard ratios (HR) for new active brain lesions,relapses and time to sustained EDSS progression according to BMI categories-- baseline to 60 months

	<18.5	18.5-<25	25-<30	≥30	
	HR(95% CI)	Ref	HR(95% CI)	HR(95% CI)	P trend
New active brain lesions					
All	1.11 (0.55-2.22)	1	1.18 (0.86-1.61)	1.34 (0.88-2.04)	0.14
Non-smokers	0.47 (0.18-1.23)	1	0.95 (0.62-1.45)	1.28 (0.75-2.18)	0.28
Active smokers	3.13 (1.04-9.39)	1	1.32 (0.79-2.21)	1.40 (0.70-2.79)	0.83
Relapses					
All	0.71 (0.42-1.23)	1	1.12 (0.80-1.57)	1.53 (1.01-2.30)	0.03
Non-smokers	0.60 (0.28-1.28)	1	0.88 (0.56-1.38)	1.43 (0.87-2.34)	0.20
Active smokers	1.02 (0.37-2.90)	1	1.64 (0.93-2.90)	2.04 (0.99-4.19)	0.03

EDSS						
All	1.16 (0.41-3.34)	1	1.09 (0.70-1.71)	0.89 (0.48-1.65)	0.82	
Non-smokers	2.20 (0.56-8.6)	1	0.72 (0.36-1.42)	0.88 (0.39-1.99)	0.37	
Active smokers	0.84 (0.10-6.81)	1	1.30 (0.61-2.77)	0.51 (0.15-1.71)	0.55	
* Adjusted model by age, sex, smoking status, region of residence, baseline serum levels of anti-EBV IgG antibodies, treatment allocation, treatment allocation, baseline serum 25(OH) vitamin D with seasonal correction, no. T2 lesions and brain volume at baseline, EDSS score, steroid treatment at baseline, and CIS onset type.						

Table 4: Percentage annual change in cerebral T2 lesion volume and brain volume by BMI

	Body mass index categories				P trend
	<18.5	18.5-<25	25-<30	≥30	
T2 lesion volume*^a (% change, 95% CI)					
All	-0.86 (-38.7, 37)		9.8 (-6.8, 26.4)	5.0 (-17.5, 27.6)	0.39
Non-smokers	-10.1 (-55.8, 35.5)	Ref	-3.55 (-23.1, 16)	-0.23 (-25.7, 25.2)	0.97
Active smokers	-2.7 (-91.3, 85.8)		29.9 (-10.4, 70.1)	13.3 (-43.0, 69.6)	0.34
Brain volume, cm³^a (% change, 95% CI)					
All	0.04 (-0.49, 0.56)		-0.09 (-0.34, 0.16)	-0.11 (-0.45, 0.22)	0.39
Non-smokers	0.20 (-0.54, 0.94)	Ref	0.04 (-0.28, 0.36)	-0.02 (-0.44, 0.40)	0.90
Active smokers	0.01 (-0.95, 0.96)		-0.27 (-0.78, 0.23)	-0.78 (-1.47, -0.09)	0.03

Adjusted for age, sex, smoking status, region of residence, treatment allocation, baseline serum 25(OH) vitamin D with seasonal correction, no. T2 lesions at baseline, steroid treatment at baseline, EDSS at baseline (volume analyses only) and CIS onset type.

^a From 12 to 60 months

^b From 6 to 60 months

* Two extreme outliers with very high change in T2 lesion volume were excluded.