

Direct Visualization of a Gold Nanoparticle Electron Trapping Effect

Oscar Bentley Jerdmyr Williams^{a,‡}, Khabiboulakh Katsiev^{b,‡}, Byeongjin. Baek^c, George Harrison^d, Geoff Thornton^{*a}, Hicham Idriss^{*a,b,#}.

[‡] Equal contribution

^aDepartment of Chemistry and London Centre for Nanotechnology (LCN), University College London (UCL), WC1H 0AH, London, UK

^bSurface Science and Advanced Characterisation, SABIC-CRD at King Abdullah University for Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal, 23955, Saudi Arabia

^cSABIC Global Corporate Research, Sugar Land, Texas 77478, United States

^dKAUST Solar Center (KSC), Physical Sciences and Engineering Division (PSE), King Abdullah University for Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal, 23955, Saudi Arabia

[#]Present address: Institute of Functional Interfaces (IFG), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany.

KEYWORDS

Gold nanoclusters, photo-oxidation, benzoic acid, TiO₂, rutile (110), anisotropic photoreaction, DFT, adsorption energy, activation energy, Bader charge

ABSTRACT

A new atomic scale anisotropy in the photoreaction of surface carboxylates on rutile TiO₂(110) induced by gold clusters is found. STM and DFT+U are used to study this phenomenon by monitoring the photoreaction of a prototype hole-scavenger molecule, benzoic acid, over stoichiometric (s) s-TiO₂, Au₉/s-TiO₂, and reduced (r) Au₉/r-TiO₂. STM results show that benzoic acid adsorption displaces a large fraction of Au clusters from the terraces towards their edges. DFT calculations explains that Au₉ clusters on stoichiometric TiO₂ are distorted by benzoic acid adsorption. The influence of sub-monolayers of Au on the UV/visible photoreaction of benzoic acid was explored at room temperature, with adsorbate depletion taken as a measure of activity. The empty sites, observed upon photoexcitation, occurred in elongated chains (2 to 6 molecules long) in the [1 $\bar{1}$ 0] and [001] directions. A roughly three-fold higher depletion rate is observed in the [001] direction. This is linked to the anisotropic conduction of excited electrons along [001], with subsequent trapping by Au clusters leaving a higher concentration of holes and thus an increased decomposition rate. To our knowledge this is the first-time atomic scale directionality of a chemical reaction is reported upon photoexcitation of the semiconductor.

1. INTRODUCTION

Global environmental concerns have given rise to a pressing demand for clean, sustainable sources of energy. The photocatalytic production of hydrogen via water splitting is one of the most promising technologies to achieve this. Despite decades of work on different photocatalytic systems employing a variety of technical concepts, using sunlight to attain pure water splitting to molecular hydrogen and oxygen remains elusive¹. This is due to the high level of complexity of the photocatalytic systems, wherein knowledge needs to be drawn from several scientific domains. To design a photocatalytic system with both long term stability and high photocatalytic rates, a profound knowledge of photo-physics, energy transfer and kinetics of surface reactions, and material science is required. One approach to tackle this problem is to conduct model photo-catalytic studies in order to understand the fundamental steps of the process.

Following the pioneering work of Fujishima and Honda², there has been significant interest in TiO₂-based photocatalysis, both to understand the fundamental science and to harness this effect for industrial applications. Extensive research has been carried out on various forms of metal deposited on titania powder and single crystals. One of the most studied and understood surfaces of an oxide semiconductor is the rutile TiO₂(110), making it an ideal system to conduct model catalyst studies.

Reactions of oxygen containing organic molecules on photo-excited TiO₂ proceed through a hole scavenging mechanism in which, upon photoexcitation, electrons are transferred from the valence band (VB) to the conduction band (CB) of the semiconductor leading to an electron-hole pair “exciton”. The electron-hole separation creates a hole (electron) oxidant (reductant). On TiO₂ and other oxide semiconductors with similar energy gaps, the excited electrons in the conduction band can then be transferred to surface adsorbed O₂ forming O₂⁻ (oxygen anion radicals), which decreases the re-combinative electron (e) - hole (h) rate.^{3,4,5} For example, in the photo-oxidation of ethanol/ethoxides (CH₃CH₂O-(a)) on TiO₂(110) the first step involves the abstraction of a hydrogen atom (strictly H⁺ + 2e) from the α -carbon atom, which leads to CH₃CHO desorption. The amount of acetaldehyde detected in the gas phase was found to increase with increasing O₂ partial pressure. A fraction of the acetaldehyde undergoes a further oxidation step and is converted, via carboxylate species, to CO₂.⁶ Carboxylates were detected by noting their XPS C 1s signal upon UV light exposure in the presence of O₂.⁷ This mechanism is also seen on powder catalysts by in-situ and in-operando IR spectroscopy, wherein the photo-oxidation of primary and secondary alcohols as well as ketones leads to the formation of carboxylate species.^{8,9}

Carboxylic acids have been studied in detail on the surfaces of rutile TiO₂(110)^{10,11}, (100)¹², and (011)^{13,14} by many experimental and computational methods. Dissociative adsorption results in ordered structures with close to 0.5 monolayer (ML, which is defined as a fraction of the number density of Ti_{5c} sites on an ideal planar surface, 5.2×10^{14} Ti atoms cm⁻²) saturation coverage on the (110) surface, with bidentate bonding of carboxylates to two Ti cations along the [001] direction. The ordered structures allow the study of both electronic and geometric effects and therefore provide unique systems to decouple these interconnected properties.

As for gold deposition, this has been studied in detail for $\text{TiO}_2(110)$, with a variety of nanoparticle shapes and sizes being observed.^{15,16} Within the context of this work, we focus on Au clusters with diameters between 0.3 and 1 nm. We have also previously studied the photoreaction of ethanol/ethoxides on these clusters over $\text{TiO}_2(110)$.¹⁷ Their effect was found to be similar to that of molecular oxygen, their high electron affinity trapping excited electrons and consequently promoting photocatalytic reactions. The adsorption energies of gold atoms and clusters on $\text{TiO}_2(110)$ have previously been computed and found to be dependent on the nature of the binding site in addition to the number of gold atoms per cluster. Values ranging from 0.5 to 3 eV per atom have been reported.^{18,19} Au clusters have a key function in photoreaction. They heal most “ground state” Ti^{3+} sites upon their deposition.²⁰ These Ti^{3+} sites have been proposed to be hole traps during photoexcitation²¹, hence their depletion will increase the photocatalytic reaction rate. As a metal with a high work function, like Pt and Pd, Au nanoparticles trap excited electrons generated upon light excitation of a semiconductor like TiO_2 .²² Au clusters and particles of finite sizes also have pronounced plasmon resonances, responding to light with cluster-size dependent wavelengths in the visible region.²³ It has been found by using polarized excitation light that Au clusters on $\text{TiO}_2(110)$ terraces have a strong plasmon response perpendicular to the surface²⁴ extending over wavelengths from 300 to 600 nm. The gold plasmon oscillating frequency is typically in the 10^{15} s^{-1} range, which is many orders of magnitude higher than the period of excited charge propagation in TiO_2 (typically in the ps to ns range²⁵). For this reason, the electric field induced at the semiconductor interface decreases the e-h recombination rate. Hence Au clusters on $\text{TiO}_2(110)$ have at least three beneficial effects on its photoreaction: (1) They heal Ti^{3+} upon deposition, (2) they trap excited electrons, and (3) their plasmon response can accelerate charge carrier propagation.

Anisotropy of charge diffusion has been recognized early on for rutile $\text{TiO}_2(110)$.^{26,27} It was observed that the conductivity was 3 ~ 6 times higher along the [001] direction, when compared to that along the $[\bar{1}\bar{1}0]$ direction. It has also been observed that Ar ion sputtering causes anisotropy of Ti-O species along the [001] direction²⁸ irrespective of the azimuth of sputtering.

Among the most studied carboxylic acids over $\text{TiO}_2(110)$ single crystal is trimethyl acetic (TMA) acid under photon irradiation.^{29,30} Like other carboxylic acids^{31,32}, the presence of molecular oxygen enhances their photodecomposition. It was also found that deliberately reducing the surface of $\text{TiO}_2(110)$ was not beneficial for the reaction³³, instead decreasing its yield.³⁴ Reduced sites (Ti^{3+}) repel excited electrons which in turn increases their recombination rate with holes.³⁵

In this study, we have investigated the photoreaction of benzoic acid (BA) as a prototype hole-scavenger organic molecule adsorbed on rutile $\text{TiO}_2(110)$. We used STM and DFT+U calculations to gain insights into the photocatalysis of BA on the s- $\text{TiO}_2(110)$ and Au/r- $\text{TiO}_2(110)$ surfaces, with gold pre-deposited at sub-monolayer coverages (ca. 0.1 MLE).

2. Experimental details

Experiments were undertaken in a UHV system consisting of two chambers separated by a gate valve. The analysis chamber had a base pressure of 1×10^{-10} mbar and houses a Specs Aarhus HT-150 variable temperature STM, a sputter gun and an e-beam heater for sample preparation. The auxiliary chamber had a base pressure of 5×10^{-10} mbar and contains a precision leak valve for the dosing of benzoic acid. UV-visible light irradiation was conducted through a boron silicate UHV window in the auxiliary chamber using a Xenon Arc 300-W MAX-303 Asahi Spectra Xe lamp. The lamp delivered 690 mWcm^{-2} over a range of wavelengths from 320 - 630 nm. This power output equates to a flux of around 1.64×10^{18} photons $\text{s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ on the sample surface.

A rutile (110) single crystal (Matek) of dimensions $10 \times 5 \times 2 \text{ mm}^3$ was used in this work. The crystal was held in place on a Ta sample plate using two spot-welded Ta strips. In order to prepare the $\text{TiO}_2(110)(1 \times 1)$ surface under UHV conditions, cycles of Ar^+ sputtering (10 – 15 min, 1 keV, 5 μA , $P = 1 \times 10^{-5}$ mbar) and UHV annealing (10 min, 950 K) were carried out until a flat, contaminant-free surface was seen, as verified by STM imaging. During preparation, the transparent rutile crystal turns a deep blue colour, the surface having 3 – 10 % ML bridging oxygen vacancies. The surface was considered clean once a minimal coverage of large contaminant species (roughly 0.005 ML or below) was visible using STM, with characteristic steps and a surface unit cell of $3 \times 6.5 \text{ \AA}^2$. The surface was subsequently hydroxylated through exposure to residual water present in the UHV chamber, resulting in a coverage of around 0.1 ML of bridging hydroxyls (within minutes at room temperature). This $\text{h-TiO}_2(110)$ surface was selected for investigation because it is a stable surface and probably the most representative of the (110) facets seen in polycrystalline materials. Au nucleation and cluster growth have been investigated previously^{36,37} [10, 11]. Calibration of the three STM piezos (x, y and z piezos) was carried out using the size of the rutile surface unit cell and the known step height of 3.25 \AA .

The STM tip was fabricated by electrochemical etching of a 0.2 mm diameter tungsten wire. Prior to measurements, the tip was conditioned using repetitive pulses of +5 V sample bias until atomic resolution was attained. Benzoic acid (99.9%, Sigma-Aldrich) was contained in a glass vial attached to a gas manifold, and this was dosed onto the sample by backfilling the auxiliary UHV chamber using a high precision leak valve. The purification of benzoic acid was found to be a crucial step. The cleanest conditions were obtained upon pumping the vial and acid for 48 hours at room temperature, using a turbomolecular pump. Because it is solid at room temperature, to build up sufficient pressure in the gas line a baking tape was used to heat the gas manifold and leak valve. The solid acid was sublimed by submerging the vial in a bath of hot water ($\sim 360 \text{ K}$), the baking tape being employed to maintain the acid at temperature ($\sim 350 \text{ K}$) in the line in order to avoid condensation on its interior wall. The purity of the gas was monitored during dosing through RGA mass spectrometry. Exposures of benzoic acid in this body of work are quoted in Langmuir (L) ($1 \text{ L} = 1.33 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mbar s}$), where the uncompensated chamber pressure, connected to the STM chamber via the gate valve, was roughly at 4×10^{-9} mbar. This avoided contaminating the STM chamber.

Gold was deposited onto the sample surface under UHV at room temperature, through physical vapour deposition of an ultrahigh purity gold wire (Goodfellow) wrapped around a filament that was resistively heated. The sizes of Au clusters were monitored over time at room temperature, and no size or morphological changes were observed. The gold coverage is defined as a fraction of the coverage of gold atoms on the Au(111) surface (MLE), and this was measured using STM with a full monolayer defined as 1.387×10^{15} atoms cm^{-2} .

3. Computational details

Density functional theory (DFT) simulations were performed using the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP).^{38,39,40} The projector augmented wave (PAW) method and generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhoff (PBE) in combination of BEEF-vdW functionals solved the Kohn-Sham equations.^{41,42} The Atomic Simulations Environment (ASE) was used to create a bulk TiO_2 and slabs.⁴³ All surface calculations had a cutoff energy of 400 eV for the plane-wave basis set. The on-site Coulombic interaction of the localized Ti 3d states was corrected by the Dudarev's approach using the previously reported U_{eff} value of 4.2 eV.^{44,45} The Brillouin zone with Monkhorst-Pack meshes was sampled with $(13 \times 13 \times 15)$ for bulk calculations and $(4 \times 4 \times 1)$ for surface relaxations.⁴⁶ The optimized lattice constants for rutile TiO_2 are $a = 4.705 \text{ \AA}$, $c/a = 0.641$, which are in good agreement with experimental values.⁴⁷ The optimized bulk structure was used to build a periodically repeated four layers of stoichiometric $(5 \times 3) \text{ TiO}_2(110)$, $s\text{-TiO}_2(110)$, of which the top two layers were fully relaxed, while the bottom two layers were fixed at their bulk positions. To minimize the surface interactions, a vacuum space of 20 \AA over the slab was added. Nine gold atom (Au_9) clusters were modeled on the reduced $(5 \times 3) \text{ TiO}_2$ surface, $r\text{-TiO}_2(110)$ and $(5 \times 3) s\text{-TiO}_2(110)$ surfaces. The cluster size was dictated by the needed stability on the surface (upon relaxation) and to be close to the experimental value. On the $r\text{-TiO}_2(110)$, one bridge oxygen atom was removed to anchor the Au cluster. Several configurations of Au clusters were explored to find the most stable Au structure on slabs - the Au cluster was distorted as it interacted with neighbor bridge oxygen atoms and the underneath vacancy. An energy minimization of four Au atoms (initially 3D Au cluster) resulted in the formation of a 2D island. Clusters made of nine Au atoms maintained the 3D configuration after minimization (hcp crystal structure). The most stable configuration had a slightly distorted equilateral triangle, composed of six atoms, as a base, with a side of ca. 7.2 \AA and three on top. The nine configurations of the Au_9 clusters studied on $s\text{-TiO}_2$ and 13 configurations on $r\text{-TiO}_2$ are included in the supporting information (see SI Figure S1). Surface relaxations were conducted with a force convergence of 0.05 eV/\AA . The binding energies (E_b) were calculated as given by:

$$E_b(i) = E_{i@S} - E_S - E_g, \quad (1)$$

where $E_{i@S}$ is the total energy of the surface with adsorbate ' i ' (benzoic acid or benzoate), E_S is the energy of clean surfaces - $s\text{-TiO}_2(110)$, $\text{Au}_9/s\text{-TiO}_2(110)$, or $\text{Au}_9/r\text{-TiO}_2(110)$, and E_g is the total energy of gas-phase species - hydrogen and benzoic acid. Relaxations of gas-phase species (benzoic acid and hydrogen) were performed in a 20

$\times 20 \times 20 \text{ \AA}^3$ box using only Γ point integration with a force convergence of 0.01 eV/\AA . Transition states were located with the climbing image nudged elastic band (cNEB) method by using five or six images.⁴⁸ To study the electronic structure, the density of states (DOS) was calculated using k-point of $(8 \times 8 \times 1)$ for integrating the Brillouin zone. The surface coverage is with respect to surface Ti^{4+}_{5c} .

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Gold and benzoic acid adsorption on rutile $\text{TiO}_2(110) - (1 \times 1)$

Stoichiometric rutile $\text{TiO}_2(110)$ displays a (1×1) surface termination with mono-atomic steps of 3.25 \AA and terraces of around 150 \AA size. As seen in Figure 1(a), at high resolution the STM image contrast is dominated by bright features of apparent height 0.8 \AA and aligned in rows along the $[001]$ direction separated by 3 \AA . These bright features are bridging hydroxyls (HO_{br}) that sit at the position of bridging oxygen atoms (O_{br}).⁴⁹ The coverage of hydroxyls is calculated to be ca. 0.1 ML , where a monolayer is defined as one adsorbate per primitive surface unit cell (a density of approximately $5.2 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$). Therefore, the surface can be considered to be partially hydrated. In atomically resolved STM images, when imaging empty states (under a positive sample bias) with a lower density of OH_{br} sites, bright rows of Ti_{5c} cations run along the $[001]$ direction, alternating with darker rows of O_{br} surface ions.⁵⁰ Rutile $\text{TiO}_2(110)$ surfaces exposed to benzoic acid contain bright protrusions in empty states STM, with different structured overlayers depending on the deposition method. It forms a nearly perfect (2×1) benzoate monolayer with few defects (approximately 0.04 ML) when benzoic acid deposited from the gas phase. This is in contrast to the (2×2) dimer benzoate arrangements (or bi-layered), when benzoic acid deposited from liquid phase.^{51,52}

The surface exposed to benzoic acid (10 L) formed a (2×1) benzoate overlayer. This is consistent with a dissociative bidentate binding geometry of benzoate bridging two neighbouring Ti_{5c} ions in the $[001]$ direction, as seen in the previous work.⁵³ The corresponding image can be seen in Figure 1(b), with the inset highlighting the dark point defects, or molecular vacancies. A vacancy in Figure 1(b) is defined as an empty adsorption site that is composed of two Ti^{4+} cations along the $[001]$ direction. In STM images, a coverage of 0.48 ML is observed. The (2×1) spacing is evidenced by the line profile in Figure 1(c). The line profile includes a molecular vacancy as measured from a single isolated vacancy on an atomic terrace, giving an apparent height of $\sim 70 \text{ pm}$ (0.7 \AA) at a sample bias of $+2.1 \text{ V}$, which is roughly 3 times smaller than that reported in the literature.⁵⁵ This discrepancy is likely due to tip convolution effects, and the 12 \AA width of the vacancy, observed in Figure 1(c), is similar to that previously reported. A boundary between (2×1) domains is occasionally seen on the monolayer, whereby there is a dislocation of one single lattice spacing between the two regions. This phenomenon was also observed in other studies of small carboxylic acids deposited on the $\text{TiO}_2(110)$ surface.⁵⁴ Horizontal streaks were frequently seen when imaging the benzoate monolayer, indicative of tip-surface interactions or structural change in the monolayer.

This may involve tip induced benzoate diffusion across the surface. We evidence this when the same area of the surface is imaged consecutively to create an STM movie with around 120 s between each frame. The comparison between frames helps to map out the position of all molecular vacancies in the initial frame, and then compare them to the next frame, highlighting those vacancies that have stayed immobile, those that have disappeared between one frame and another, and ‘new’ vacancies that appear in the second frame. It was often found that the vacancy sites that disappeared and the new vacancy sites that appeared are paired, suggesting a diffusion of molecules between the two. This gives a proportion of vacancies, or molecules, that are mobile, determined to be at an average of 8 % on the benzoic acid-dosed TiO₂(110) surface. The proportion of mobile molecules can give an insight into the diffusion dynamics on the surface, and an example of two frames analysed in this way is shown in SI Figure S2.




Figure 1. STM images of TiO₂ rutile (110) surface before and after exposure to 10 L benzoic acid: (a) large area image of clean rutile (110), ($V_s=+2.09$ V, 0.03 nA). Inset of $50 \text{ \AA} \times 50 \text{ \AA}$ (see SI figure S3 for more details); (b) large area image of the near-pristine benzoate monolayer formed on the clean TiO₂ rutile (110); (+1.90 V, 0.03 nA). Inset of $100 \text{ \AA} \times 100 \text{ \AA}$ highlights the point (adsorbate) defect vacancies, seen as dark spots on the overlayer. This overlayer gives a coverage of 0.48 ML; and (c) line profile taken from the STM image, as depicted by the blue line in the inset of (b), across four benzoate molecules and a benzoate vacancy showing the (2×1) spacing of 12 \AA as measured by the red dotted line between two adjacent benzoate molecules, and an apparent height of the molecules of ~ 70 pm.

Table 1.

Calculated energies associated with adsorption, diffusion, and O-H bond scission. BA and B stand for benzoic acid ($\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{COOH}$) and benzoates ($\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{COO(a)}$), respectively. Ti_{5c} and O_{br} indicate the binding site of the five-coordinated Ti and bridge oxygen atoms. The O^l and O^r correspond to the left and right oxygen atoms in the $-\text{COO}-$ group of benzoate. The subscript (g) stands for gas-phase. The E_b , ΔE , and E_a are binding energy, energy difference between initial and final states, and activation energy, respectively. The nomenclature of bond length consists of adsorbate - binding sites and corresponding bond. For example, $d_{\text{O-C}}^{\text{BA-Ti}_{5c}}$ indicates the bond length of O-C in BA that adsorbs on the Ti_{5c} site.

| Reactions | Surfaces | ΔE , E_b [eV] | E_a [eV] | Distance [\AA] |
|---|---|----------------------------|---------------|--|
| $\text{BA}_{(g)} + \text{Ti}_{5c} \rightarrow \text{BA-Ti}_{5c}$ | s-TiO ₂ (110) | -1.59 | - | |
| $\text{BA-Ti}_{5c} + \text{O}_{br} \rightarrow \text{B-Ti}_{5c} + \text{HO}_{br}$ | s-TiO ₂ (110) | -0.84 | 0.38 | $d_{\text{O-C}}^{\text{BA-Ti}_{5c}}=1.27$, $d_{\text{C-O}}^{\text{BA-Ti}_{5c}}=1.30$, $d_{\text{O-H}}^{\text{BA-Ti}_{5c}}=1.04$ |
| $\text{BA}_{(g)} + \text{Ti}_{5c} \rightarrow \text{BA-Ti}_{5c}$ | Au ₉ /s-TiO ₂ (110) | -1.62 | - | $d_{\text{O-H}}^{\text{BA-Ti}_{5c}}=1.57$ |
| $\text{BA}_{(g)} + \text{Ti}_{5c} \rightarrow \text{BA-Ti}_{5c}$ | Au ₉ /r-TiO ₂ (110) | -1.68 | - | $d_{\text{O-H}}^{\text{BA-Ti}_{5c}}=1.02$ |
| $\text{BA-Ti}_{5c} + \text{O}_{br} \rightarrow \text{B-Ti}_{5c} + \text{HO}_{br}$ | Au ₉ /r-TiO ₂ (110) | -0.83 | 0.06 | $d_{\text{O-C}}^{\text{BA-Ti}_{5c}}=1.26$, $d_{\text{C-O}}^{\text{BA-Ti}_{5c}}=1.31$, $d_{\text{O-H}}^{\text{BA-Ti}_{5c}}=1.02$ |
| $\text{B-Ti}_{5c} \rightarrow \text{B-Ti}_{5c}$ (diffusion) | s-TiO ₂ (110) | <u>0.03</u> | 1.46 | |
| $\text{B-Ti}_{5c} \rightarrow \text{B-Ti}_{5c}$ (diffusion) | 1HO _{br} +s-TiO ₂ (110) | <u>-0.01</u> | 1.51 | $d_{\text{O}^1-\text{HO}_{br}}^{\text{B-Ti}_{5c}}=2.65$ |
| $\text{B-Ti}_{5c} \rightarrow \text{B-Ti}_{5c}$ (diffusion) | 2HO _{br} +s-TiO ₂ (110) | <u>0.05</u> | 1.56 | $d_{\text{O}^1-\text{HO}_{br}}^{\text{B-Ti}_{5c}}=2.67$, $d_{\text{O}^r-\text{HO}_{br}}^{\text{B-Ti}_{5c}}=2.62$ |
| $\text{B-Ti}_{5c} \rightarrow \text{B-Ti}_{5c}$ (diffusion) | 3HO _{br} +s-TiO ₂ (110) | <u>0.01</u> | 1.59 | $d_{\text{O}^1-\text{HO}_{br}}^{\text{B-Ti}_{5c}}=3.71$, $d_{\text{O}^r-\text{HO}_{br}}^{\text{B-Ti}_{5c}}=2.48$ |

To understand the thermodynamics and adsorption configurations of benzoic acid and benzoate, we performed DFT+U calculations on both s-TiO₂(110) and r-TiO₂(110) surfaces. The computed values including binding energies (E_b) and energy barriers (E_a) are tabulated in Table 1. Two adsorption configurations of benzoic acid, with the benzene ring parallel and perpendicular to the [001] direction, were initially studied. Figure 2(a) shows its most stable configuration, in which the benzene ring is perpendicularly orientated to the [001] direction. This structure has an interaction between the proton of the hydroxyl group (HO_m - 'm' denotes molecule) of the adsorbed benzoic acid and the O_{br} site. The distance between the O_{br} and the HO_m is 1.47 \AA . The length of C=O bond in direct interaction with Ti_{5c}⁴⁺ is equal to 1.27 \AA (vs. 1.22 \AA in the gas-phase benzoic acid). The adsorption of benzoic acid is thermodynamically stable with a binding energy of -1.59 eV. This adsorbed benzoic acid undergoes OH bond scission with a barrier of 0.38 eV, forming surface benzoate and HO_{br}, see Figure 2(b). The computed binding energy of the dissociated benzoate and HO_{br} is thermodynamically more downhill by -0.84 eV than the binding energy of benzoic acid. With these energetics, benzoic acid is likely to convert into benzoate on the TiO₂(110) surface, as seen before¹¹, with an adsorption energy of -2.43 eV at a coverage of 0.06 ML.




Figure 2. Side and top views of molecular (a) and dissociative (b) adsorption of benzoic acid over stoichiometric rutile TiO₂(110). The surface coverage is around 0.06 ML. Colour code: carbon – dark grey; oxygen – red; hydrogen – white; titanium – grey.

Figure 3 presents diffusion barriers of benzoate along the [001] direction with respect to the number of adjacent HO_{br} sites. The diffusion of benzoate is kinetically limited with an average barrier of 1.52 eV due to the strong interaction between Ti_{5C}⁴⁺ sites and oxygen atoms of the –COO– group in benzoate. During the diffusion, an intermediate species is formed that has seven-fold coordinated Ti⁴⁺ cations to the two oxygen atoms of the carboxylic function. This can be rationalized by the delocalization of one electron along the –COO– group. The large distance between the Ti atoms and the two oxygen atoms (2.19 Å) is most likely a consequence of the high coordination number of the Ti cation. The presence of surface hydroxyls increases the diffusion barrier and the effect seems to be additive up to the second hydroxyl (Figure 3); the presence of three adjacent HO_{br} increases the diffusion barrier by 0.12 eV compared to the diffusion barrier in the absence of HO_{br}. This can be attributed to hydrogen bonding between HO_{br} sites and the carboxylate group and to the associated twisting of the ring during the diffusion along the [001] direction.




Figure 3. Calculated diffusion barriers for an isolated benzoate species along the [001] direction on the *s*-TiO₂(110) surface with respect to the number of HO_{br}. The roman numeral corresponds to each final state of benzoate diffusion: (I) benzoate on the TiO₂(110), (II) benzoate on the HO_{br}/*s*-TiO₂(110), (III) benzoate on the 2HO_{br}/*s*-TiO₂(110), and (IV) benzoate on the 3HO_{br}/*s*-TiO₂(110). Colour code: carbon – dark grey; oxygen – red; hydrogen – white; titanium – grey.

A room temperature STM image of gold deposited on *s*-TiO₂(110) is shown in Figure 4(a), which corresponds to a coverage of around 0.12 ML. Sub-nanometer hemispherical features are identified as gold clusters, and these are formed at room temperature through surface diffusion and nucleation⁵⁵. Before dosing the surface with benzoic acid, the majority (> 90%) of clusters had a diameter below 1 nm, as evidenced by the height-width plot shown in blue in Figure 4(c). This is similar to what we have observed before on the same surface using the same dosing technique and setup¹⁷ (more information can be found in tables S1 and S2 of reference 17; the mean diameter of Au particles was found to be between 7 and 9 Å, from which the number of atoms per cluster was extracted and found to be between 11 and 15). The line profile of a representative gold species on the surface is indicated by the blue line in Figure 4(a), with the height profile as shown in the inset of Figure 4(c). The Au/TiO₂(110) surface was successively exposed to benzoic acid (10 L), and a (2 × 1) benzoate overlayer was still formed as described above on the clean surface and shown in Figure 4(b). After dosing benzoic acid, the gold sinters together and forms larger clusters. This change in the size of the gold species is demonstrated through the difference in the height distributions before and after dosing, the latter being plotted in red in Figure 4(c). Further, this is also highlighted by the inset line profiles, with the much larger profile in red showing the typical size after exposure to benzoic acid. The height of a gold cluster nucleated at a step edge is taken to be the average of the height of the gold from the lower terrace and the height from the upper. This indicates that the adsorption of benzoic acid molecules provides enough energy for Au atoms to overcome the energetic barrier of their diffusion, until they sinter to form larger clusters with higher adsorption energies. In other words, it seems that the adsorption energy of about -2.4 eV per benzoic acid molecule is enough to overcome the energy barrier for Au clusters diffusion within the investigated size range ($E_{a(diffusion)}$ = ca. 0.5 eV for Au₉; see section 4.2).




Figure 4. Large scale STM images of the Au-loaded TiO₂ rutile (110) surface before and after dosing benzoic acid: (a) A typical rutile (110) surface following gold deposition of 0.12 ML, taken at a tip voltage of +2.2 V, 0.03 nA; (b) The same surface after dosing benzoic acid. (c) The height distribution of gold species on the surface before benzoic acid is dosed, in blue, and after dosing in red. Inset shows corresponding line profiles of the average gold species before (blue) and after (red) benzoic acid dosage, to highlight the difference in sizes.

To further understand the characteristics of Au clusters on TiO₂(110) and consequently their effect on photo-reactions, DFT calculations for an Au₉ cluster on both s- and r-TiO₂ surfaces were performed. We have opted to use a gold cluster of 9 atoms after many trials in which we wanted to have a stable cluster with at least two layers and with a size comparable to the one observed experimentally. The number of Au atoms and their shape are similar to those adopted in previous studies of Au on TiO₂.^{56,57} Figure 5 shows the relaxed geometries calculated for Au₉ clusters deposited on s- and r-TiO₂(110). All studied configurations of Au₉ after relaxation are given in SI Figure S1 and the most stable configurations are chosen for further analysis. The computed adsorption energies of the cluster are -2.15 eV on s-TiO₂(110) and -2.85 eV on r-TiO₂(110); these values are referenced to the gas-phase Au₉ cluster. On s-TiO₂(110), the Au₉ cluster adsorption transfers a charge to TiO₂ with a total Bader charge of +0.65e. On the other hand, on the reduced surface, charge transfer occurs from r-TiO₂(110) to the Au₉ cluster, which consequently shows a Bader charge of avg. -0.21e. Recent modelling of one, two and three gold atoms on TiO₂(110) found that for Au₁ and Au₃, that electron transfer occurs from Au to TiO₂ on the stoichiometric surface and vice versa on the reduced surface.⁵⁸ The local Bader charge of the Au₉ cluster on r-TiO₂(110) depends on their location. For instance, the excess charge is preferentially distributed on Au atoms away from the interface with r-TiO₂; see SI Figure S4 for the local charge distribution of Au cluster on the r-TiO₂. One Au atom on r-TiO₂(110) strongly interacts with the bridge vacancy site, giving the Au cluster a distorted pyramidal shape (on s-TiO₂(110), the Au₉ cluster forms a truncated pyramidal structure). As previously reported⁵⁹, the O_{br} sites interact with Au atoms, resulting in the average distance 2.29 Å on the s-TiO₂(110) and 2.25 Å on r-TiO₂(110). The shorter bond length is also the reflection of a stronger binding of Au clusters to r-TiO₂(110) than to s-TiO₂(110).




Figure 5. Top and side views of the relaxed Au_9 clusters on (a) $s\text{-TiO}_2$ and on (b) $r\text{-TiO}_2$. Color code: oxygen – red; titanium – grey; and gold – yellow.

The adsorption of benzoic acid was further studied on both s - and $r\text{-TiO}_2(110)$ (see Figure 6). Benzoic acid adsorbs on the $\text{Ti}_5^{\text{C}}\text{Ti}$ site with a binding energy of -1.62 eV on the $\text{Au}_9/s\text{-TiO}_2$ and -1.68 eV on the $\text{Au}_9/r\text{-TiO}_2$. The presence of an Au cluster facilitates the deprotonation of benzoic acid. As shown in Figure 6(a), the O-H bond appears to be located at a meta-stable point as its bond length stretches to 1.57 Å by interacting with the O_{br} site (forming hydrogen bonding). On $\text{Au}/r\text{-TiO}_2(110)$, this meta-state of benzoic acid was not observed as shown in Figure 6(b). Instead, the OH group of benzoic acid interacts with the neighbouring O_{br} site by the Au cluster. The estimated barrier of O-H bond scission on $\text{Au}_9/r\text{-TiO}_2$ is 0.06 eV (virtually barrier-less), which is much lower than on stoichiometric TiO_2 (0.38 eV). This might be a result of the charge transfer from $r\text{-TiO}_2$ to the Au cluster, as observed in this work, resulting in a change of electronic structure nearby Au cluster, as reported elsewhere.^{60,61} On $\text{Au}/s\text{-TiO}_2$, the adsorption of benzoic acid (before its dissociation) deforms the Au cluster considerably, while on $r\text{-TiO}_2$, the Au atoms remain in their initial positions. To further verify this, we recomputed the system, but in this case took the final adsorption configuration of benzoic acid on the stoichiometric surface shown in Figure 6(a), and used it as the starting configuration for the Au cluster on the reduced surface. Negligible deformation of the Au cluster occurred (see SI Figure S5). It is to be noted that the aromatic ring points towards the Au cluster on the stoichiometric TiO_2 , but away from Au cluster on the $r\text{-TiO}_2$ and this is probably the cause for distortion. This might be related to an electrostatic interaction where the aromatic ring (high electron density) interacts with the positively charged Au cluster on the stoichiometric TiO_2 , but is repelled by the negatively charged Au cluster

on *r*-TiO₂. This interaction therefore contributes to the Au cluster deformation, which leads to the diffusion of the Au cluster. To analyse this further, we have calculated the projected density of states (pDOS) for the C atom of benzoic acid and the Au shown in Figure 6(a). Overlaps between C(p) and Au(d) located at around -3 eV indicates a π -type interaction between the Au cluster and the benzene ring of benzoic acid (see SI Figure S6).

The diffusion barrier for the Au₉ cluster along the [001] direction was calculated for *s*-TiO₂(110). We found that a barrier of 0.48 eV is required, which is much lower than the energy gained by the adsorption of benzoic acid. Li et al. reported⁶² a similar barrier of 0.42 eV for Au diffusion along the [001] direction. It has been also reported⁶³ that Au atoms on *s*-TiO₂ have a preference to diffuse along [001]. Having calculated the diffusion barrier for Au₉, we postulate that the energy gained by the adsorption of benzoic acid provides the necessary energy for the Au cluster to diffuse to the step edges shown in the STM images (see Figure 4).




Figure 6. Side and top views of benzoic acid adsorption (with a coverage of ca. 0.07 ML) on (a) Au₉/*s*-TiO₂(110) and (b) Au₉/*r*-TiO₂(110). Colour code: carbon – dark grey; oxygen – red; hydrogen – white; titanium – grey; gold – yellow.

4.2. Effect of gold on the photo-dynamics of benzoate on TiO₂(110)

Empty benzoate sites are formed when the (2 × 1) benzoate overlayer is exposed to UV-Vis light, appearing as dark patches on the (2 × 1) monolayer. This is in contrast to a previous STM study of benzoic acid adsorbed onto the TiO₂(110) surface that reported this surface to be stable under UV irradiation even after several hours.⁶⁴ The coverage of benzoate after irradiation is used as a measure of the rate of the photo-induced surface reaction, which is likely to involve oxidation of the benzoate species.

After irradiation, an interesting phenomenon is observed in the benzoate layer, whereby ‘chains’ of consecutive empty benzoate sites are preferentially aligned either perpendicular to the Ti_{5c} rows (in the $[1\bar{1}0]$) or parallel to the rows (along $[001]$). Examples of these chains are presented in Figure 7(a) in the absence of Au cluster and (b) in the presence of Au. It should be noted that both images were selected to display similar coverages of benzoate for a direct comparison. More information regarding the time effect is given in SI, figure S7. We have not monitored the reaction products because the configuration of the STM set up does not allow for excitation and gas phase product monitoring at the same time. The reaction is most likely akin to other hole driven reactions of carboxylic acids (yielding CO_2 and a hydrocarbon from the chain). In this case, in its simplest expression it can be written as: $C_6H_5-COO(a) + OH(a) + (h + e) \rightarrow C_6H_6 + CO_2$ ($\Delta G^\circ = 28 \text{ kJmol}^{-1}$, $E^\circ_{ox} = -0.14 \text{ V}$ (versus SHE: Standard hydrogen electrode); where ΔG° is the Gibbs free energy of the reaction and the redox potential E°_{ox} is $= -\Delta G^\circ/nF$; n is equal 1 (number of electrons) and F is Faraday constant (96485 C mol^{-1}).

A distinct change in the orientation of these one-dimensional arrays of benzoate empty sites appears after irradiation when gold is present. Figure 7(c) compares the number of chains in the $[001]$ direction to the number of chains in the $[1\bar{1}0]$ direction as a function of exposure time. A dotted line in Figure 7(c) superposed at $[001]:[1\bar{1}0] = 1$ represents an equal distribution of the chain in both directions (i.e. isotropic or non-directional coverage). In Figure 7(a), the bare benzoate layer has an equal number of chains in both directions, showing a lack of anisotropy. However, in the presence of Au clusters, we observed maximum 3.5 times higher chains oriented in the $[001]$ direction (parallel to the Ti_{5c} ions) than those along the $[1\bar{1}0]$ direction, see Figure 7(b). Lateral interaction of benzoate rings can have an attractive⁶⁵ (van der Waals) and repulsive (steric) nature.⁶⁶ Hence, removal of one adsorbate will affect the stability of adjacent molecules, which should occur in the presence or absence of Au clusters. This is, to our knowledge, the first time that anisotropy has been observed following a photoreaction of an organic molecule upon excitation of a metal/semiconductor system.

Anisotropic behaviour has been observed at the atomic scale in the literature on metal oxides during mechanisms such as the deposition of metals to produce one-dimensional nanowires⁶⁷ or the adsorption of molecules.^{68,69} These behaviours are attributed to the anisotropic diffusion of adsorbates on the surfaces, aided by morphological and electronic structure effects. Although the anisotropic conductivity in rutile along $[001]$ or topographical landscape of the surface may play an important role in the underlying mechanism behind the creation of chains, they are difficult to explain adequately this anisotropic phenomenon.⁷⁰ If either were the sole driving physical mechanism, we would also see a preference in the orientation of the chains of empty sites on the bare benzoate layer. In literature, DFT calculations found that the adsorption of benzoic acid causes a strong relaxation of sub-surface layers of $TiO_2(110)$, leading to disruption of the Ti-O electronic hybridisation.⁷¹ One, however, would expect the hybridisation to happen regardless of Au clusters.




Figure 7. Images taken of benzoate overlayers (0.41 ML) following irradiation of TiO₂(110) and Au/TiO₂(110). (a) shows the bare benzoate covered (110) surface after three minutes of exposure to UV-Vis light and (b) shows the gold-doped surface after six minutes UV-Vis irradiation (both images are recorded at a tip voltage $V_s = +2.0$ V, $I_t = 0.03$ nA). The choice of different time is to compare “reaction selectivity” at a similar “coverage”. (c) is a scatter plot comparing the direction of ‘chains’ of empty benzoate sites at different irradiation times for the two surfaces, by recording the ratio of the number of chains along the [001] to those oriented along the [110]. There is a dotted line at [001]:[110] = 1, which indicates the value for an isotropic distribution. The values for the bare surface are plotted in red and the gold-loaded surface in green. (d) A schematic representation of the excited electronic state upon light excitation. The green area represents a high hole concentration area, because electrons are preferentially diffusing along [001] and are trapped at Au clusters. The blue area is a representation of a domain that does not contain Au clusters therefore will not have a preferential directional removal of benzoates. The length of black arrows represents the magnitude of electron conductivity along and perpendicular to the [001] direction. The dashed lines represent Ti cations on top of which benzoates are formed. To compute for the anisotropic reaction displayed in (c), we have counted each instance of two or more adjacent molecular vacancies as a ‘chain’, then compared the total number of chains in each direction, irrespective of length. Five images for each irradiation time were used from which the average ratio is taken.

A physical mechanism behind this anisotropic phenomenon is most likely due to the response of the complete electronic system as shown in Figure 7(d). For example, hybridization effects and its resulting band structures have explained the origins of photochemical anisotropy, observed for the selective reductive deposition of Ag ions on the SrTiO₃(100)⁷². On TiO₂, the diffusion of excited electrons has been studied experimentally and theoretically.^{73,74} As reported, the diffusion of a hole is much slower than that of excited electrons; therefore, the

former is expected to have the dominant role in an anisotropic phenomenon. The activation energy for the diffusion of a hole on the surface of TiO₂(110) along the [001] direction is higher (0.62 eV) than that of excited electrons (0.40 eV)⁷⁵; holes are effectively O⁻ anions (which are two or three-fold coordinated to Ti⁴⁺ cations along the [001] and [1 $\bar{1}$ 0] directions), while excited electrons are Ti³⁺ cations (which are five- or six-fold coordinated to O²⁻ anions). In the presence of Au clusters, excited electrons have a high chance of being trapped. Because the electron diffuses faster along the [001] direction than along the [1 $\bar{1}$ 0] direction, a preferential depletion can occur. The diffusion coefficient (D_e) of excited electrons is expressed as $R^2nk_{et}^{73}$, where R is the distance between two neighbouring sites, n is the number of neighbouring electronic accepting sites, and k_{et} is the adiabatic rate constant for the polaron electron transfer. k_{et} has the form $\nu_n \exp(-\Delta G_{ad}^*/k_B T)$, with $\Delta G_{ad}^* = (1/4 \lambda - V_{ii'})$, where ν_n is the frequency for nuclear motion, ΔG_{ad}^* is the adiabatic activation energy, λ is the reorganisation energy within the framework of Marcus theory, V is the electronic coupling element and ii' are the positions of an excited electron at site i jumping into an empty site i' (D_e is ca. 10^{-5} cm²/s).⁷¹ On the TiO₂(110), the distance between two Ti cations along the [001] direction is smaller than that along the [110] direction; 2.96 Å compared to 6.5 Å, which partly explains the reason for the preferential diffusion, the shorter the distance the smaller would be ΔG_{ad}^* . A similar reasoning, based on bond distance, has been given to explain the directional charge diffusion in V₂O₅⁷⁶. Equally important, molecular dynamics computation using DFT + U (3.9 eV) on the d orbitals of Ti cations indicated that a polaron on a Ti cation is preferentially located on d_{yz} orbitals along the [001] direction and a non-negligible (30%) hybridisation exists with 2p orbitals of the in-plane O anions⁷⁷. These, taken together, may then contribute into the faster excited electron diffusion along this direction which in turn leaves a larger concentration of holes along the [001] direction, amplified by their much slower diffusion rate. Because carboxylic acids reactions over excited TiO₂ are hole driven, they would preferentially react along the [001] direction explaining the observed anisotropy during STM imaging.

5. CONCLUSIONS

STM results reveal that the adsorption of benzoic acid on Au/TiO₂(110) causes the displacement of a large fraction of Au clusters from the terraces to the step edges. A complementary DFT+U study of benzoic acid/benzoate on s-TiO₂, Au₉/s-TiO₂, and Au₉/r-TiO₂ indicates that this arises from the strong dissociative adsorption energy of benzoic acid (about -2.4 eV). This compares with the relatively small activation energy for Au cluster diffusion (E_a of Au₉ = 0.38 eV) as well as the high activation energy for benzoates to diffuse on the surface (E_a about 1.6 eV).

STM studies of light-excited Au/TiO₂(110), with Au nanoparticle diameters less than 10 Å, point to an anisotropic surface reaction of the organic adsorbate (benzoate species) from which a quantitative estimation of the anisotropy was conducted. Around a three times higher depletion rate along [001] direction is observed in

comparison to that found along the $[1\bar{1}0]$ direction. We postulate that this is linked to the already known anisotropic movement of excited electrons along the $[001]$ direction. In the presence of Au cluster, these electrons may be trapped, leaving in turn a high concentrations of holes, thus increasing the reaction rate along this direction.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. DFT+U of different gold (Au_9) clusters configurations deposited on stoichiometric and reduced TiO_2 surfaces. STM images of diffusion of a small fraction of benzoates over $\text{TiO}_2(110)$ surface. Local Bader charge distribution of the Au_9 cluster deposited on the s- and r- $\text{TiO}_2(110)$ surfaces. Benzoic acid adsorption on $\text{Au}_9/\text{r-TiO}_2$. Benzoic acid adsorption on $\text{Au}_9/\text{s-TiO}_2$ and pDOS as extracted from DFT+U for Au(5d) and C(2p) states.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors:

*Geoff Thornton g.thornton@ucl.ac.uk

*Hicham Idriss h.idriss@ucl.ac.uk and hicham.idriss@kit.edu

Author Contributions

O.B.J. Williams[‡], K. Katsiev[‡], conducted the work and had equal contribution throughout the work.

Funding Sources

This work was supported by the European Research Council Advanced Grant ENERGYSURF and EPSRC (U.K.) (EP/L015277/1)

REFERENCES

¹ Idriss, H. The elusive photocatalytic water splitting reaction using sun light on suspended nanoparticles. Is there a way forward? *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *10*, 304-310.

² Fujishima, A.; Honda, K. Electrochemical Photolysis of Water at a Semiconductor Electrode. *Nature* **1972**, *238*, 37-38.

-
- ³ Stevanovic, A.; Büttner, M.; Zhang, Z.; Yates, Jr., J. T. Photoluminescence of TiO₂: Effect of UV Light and Adsorbed Molecules on Surface Band Structure. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 324–332.
- ⁴ Anpo, M.; Chiba, K.; Tomonari, M.; Coluccia, S.; Che, M.; Fox, M. A. Photocatalysis on Native and Platinum-Loaded TiO₂ and ZnO Catalysts - Origin of Different Reactivities on Wet and Dry Metal Oxides. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan* **1991**, *64*, 543-551.
- ⁵ Berger, T.; Sterrer, M.; Diwald, O.; Knozinger, E.; Panayotov, D.; Thompson, T. L.; Yates, Jr, J. T. Light-Induced Charge Separation in Anatase TiO₂ Particles. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2005**, *109*, 6061-6068.
- ⁶ Plata, J. J.; Collico, V.; Márquez, A. M.; Sanz, J. F. Understanding Acetaldehyde Thermal Chemistry on the TiO₂ (110) Rutile Surface: From Adsorption to Reactivity. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2011**, *115* (6) 2819–2825.
- ⁷ Jayaweera, P.M.; Quah, E.L.; Idriss, H. Photoreaction of Ethanol on TiO₂(110) Single-Crystal Surface. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2007**, *111*, 1764-1769.
- ⁸ Yu, Z.; Chuang, S. S. C. In situ IR study of adsorbed species and photogenerated electrons during photocatalytic oxidation of ethanol on TiO₂. *J. Catal.* **2007**, *246*, 118-126.
- ⁹ Rismanchian, A.; Chen, Y.-W. ; Chuang, S. S. C. In situ infrared study of photoreaction of ethanol on Au and Ag/TiO₂. *Catal. Today*, **2016**, *264*, 16-22.
- ¹⁰ Hu, S.; Bopp, P. A.; Österlund, L.; Broqvist, P.; Hermansson, K. Formic Acid on TiO_{2-x} (110): Dissociation, Motion, and Vacancy Healing. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118*, 14876–14887.
- ¹¹ Buchholz, M.; Xu, M.; Noei, H.; Weidler, P.; Nefedov, A.; Fink, K.; Wang, Y.; Wöll, C. Interaction of carboxylic acids with rutile TiO₂(110): IR-investigations of terephthalic and benzoic acid adsorbed on a single crystal substrate. *Surf. Sci.* **2016**, *643*, 11-123.
- ¹² Nadeem, I. M.; Hargreaves, L.; Harrison, G. T.; Idriss, H.; Shluger, A. L.; Thornton, G. Carboxylate adsorption on rutile TiO₂ (100): role of Coulomb repulsion, relaxation, and steric hindrance. *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2021**, *125*, 13770–13779.
- ¹³ Kim, K.S., Barteau, M. A. Structure and Composition Requirements for Deoxygenation, Dehydration, and Ketonization Reactions of Carboxylic Acids on TiO₂(001) Single-Crystal Surfaces. *J. Catal.* **1990**, *12*, 353-375.
- ¹⁴ Titheridge, D. J.; Barteau, M. A.; H. Idriss, Reaction of Acrylic Acid on TiO₂(001) Single Crystal Surfaces. Evidence of Different Pathways for Vinyl and Carboxyl Groups. *Langmuir* **2001**, *17*, 2120-2128.
- ¹⁵ Pang, C. L.; Lindsay, R.; Thornton, G. Structure of Clean and Adsorbate-Covered Single-Crystal Rutile TiO₂ Surfaces. *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 6, 3887–3948.
- ¹⁶ Cosandey, F.; Madey, T. E. Growth, morphology, interfacial effects and catalytic properties of Au on TiO₂(110). *Surface Review and Letters*, **2001**, *8* (01n02) 73-93.
- ¹⁷ Katsiev, K.; Harrison, G.; Thornton, G.; Idriss, H. Gold Cluster Coverage Effect on H₂ Production over Rutile TiO₂(110). *ACS Catalysis*, **2019**, *9*, 8294-8305.
- ¹⁸ Vijay, A.; Mills, G.; Metiu, H. Adsorption of gold on stoichiometric and reduced rutile TiO₂ (110) surfaces. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2003**, *118*, 6536-6551.

-
- ¹⁹ Pillay, D.; Wang, Y.; Hwang, G. S. A Comparative Theoretical Study of Au, Ag and Cu Adsorption on TiO₂(110) Rutile Surfaces. *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* 2004, *21*, 537-547.
- ²⁰ Mellor, A.; Humphrey, D.; Yim, C. M.; Pang, C. L.; Idriss, H.; Thornton, G. Direct visualization of Au atoms bound to TiO₂(110) O-vacancies. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2017**, *121*, 24721–24725.
- ²¹ Thompson, T. L.; Yates, Jr., J. T. Control of a surface photochemical process by fractal electron transport across the surface: O₂ photodesorption from TiO₂(110). *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, *110*, 7431-7435.
- ²² Dai, X.; Jiao, Z.; Ma, Z.; Liu, K.; Wang, C.; Su, H. Capturing the Long-Lived Photogenerated Electrons in Au/TiO₂ upon UV or Visible Irradiation by Time-Resolved Infrared Spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2019**, *123*, 20325–20332.
- ²³ Derkachova, A.; Kolwas, K.; Demchenko, I. Dielectric Function for Gold in Plasmonics Applications: Size Dependence of Plasmon Resonance Frequencies and Damping Rates for Nanospheres. *Plasmonics*, **2016**, *11*, 941-951.
- ²⁴ Soldo-Olivier, Y.; Abisset, A.; Bailly, A.; De Santis, M.; Garaudée, S.; Lacipière, J.; Coati, A.; Garreauc, Y.; Saint-Lager, M.-C. Localized surface plasmon resonance of Au/TiO₂(110): substrate and size influence from in situ optical and structural investigation. *Nanoscale Adv.* **2020**, *2*, 2448–2461.
- ²⁵ Maity, P.; Katsiev, K.; Mohammed, O. F.; Idriss, H. Bulk Defect Mediated Photoexcited Charge Recombination in Anatase and Rutile TiO₂ Single Crystals. *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2018**, *122*, 8925–8932.
- ²⁶ Hoiland J.r., L. E.; Castro P.L. Anisotropic Conduction in Nonstoichiometric Rutile (TiO₂), *Phys. Rev.* *119*, 1882-1885 (1960).
- ²⁷ Byl, O.; Yates, Jr., J. T. Anisotropy in the Electrical Conductivity of Rutile TiO₂ in the (110) Plane. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **2006**, *110*, 22966-22967.
- ²⁸ Solanki, V.; Joshi, S. R.; Mishra, I.; Kanjilal, D.; Varma, S. Formation of Anisotropic Nanostructures on Rutile TiO₂(110) Surfaces and Their Photo-Absorption Properties. *Metallurgical and materials transactions A* **2018**, *49A*, 3117-3121.
- ²⁹ Henderson, M. A.; White, J. M.; Uetsuka, H.; Onishi, H. Selectivity changes during organic photooxidation on TiO₂: Role of O₂ pressure and organic coverage. *J. Catal.* **2006**, *238*, 153–164.
- ³⁰ Du, Y.; Petrik, N. G.; Deskins, N. A.; Wang, Z.; Henderson, M. A.; Kimmel, G. A.; Lyubinetsky, I. Hydrogen reactivity on highly-hydroxylated TiO₂(110) surfaces prepared via carboxylic acid adsorption and photolysis. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2012**, *14*, 3066-3074.
- ³¹ Structure-Sensitivity and Photo-catalytic Reactions of Semiconductors. Effect of the Last Layer Atomic Arrangement. Wilson, J. N.; Idriss, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 11284-11285.
- ³² Dark and photoreactions of acetates on TiO₂(110) single crystal surface. H. Idriss, H.; P. Légaré, P. G. Maire, G. *Surf. Sci.* **2002**, *515*, 413-420.
- ³³ Quah, E. L.; Wilson, J. N.; Idriss, H. Photoreaction of Acetic Acid on Rutile TiO₂ (011) single crystal surface. *Langmuir* 2010, **26**, 6411-6417.

-
- ³⁴ Sandell, A.; Ragazzon, D.; Chaefer, A.; Farstad, M. H.; Borg, A. Photochemistry of Carboxylate on TiO₂(110) Studied with Synchrotron Radiation Photoelectron Spectroscopy *Langmuir*, **2016**, *32*, 11456–11464.
- ³⁵ Xia, Y.; Zhu, K.; Zhang, Z.; Park, K. Photo-stimulated desorption of trimethyl acetic acid on cross-linked (1×2) TiO₂ (110) probed by scanning tunneling microscopy, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2020**, *511*, 145553.
- ³⁶ Zhang, Z.; Bondarchuk, O.; Kay, B. D.; White, J. M.; Dohnálek, Z. Imaging Water Dissociation on TiO₂(110): Evidence for Inequivalent Geminate OH Groups. *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2008**, *112*, 9006–9015.
- ³⁷ Matthey, D.; Wang, J. G.; Wendt, S.; Matthiesen, J.; Schaub, R.; Laegsgaard, E.; Hammer, B.; Besenbacher, F. Enhanced bonding of gold nanoparticles on oxidized TiO₂(110). *Science*, **2007**, *315*, 1692–1696.
- ³⁸ Kresse, G.; Hafner, J. Ab Initio Molecular-Dynamics Simulation of the Liquid-Metal-Amorphous-Semiconductor Transition in Germanium. *Phys. Rev. B* **1994**, *49*, 251–269.
- ³⁹ Kresse, G.; Hafner, J. Ab Initio Molecular Dynamics for Liquid Metals. *Phys. Rev. B* **1993**, *47*, 558–561.
- ⁴⁰ Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Efficiency of Ab-Initio Total Energy Calculations for Metals and Semiconductors Using a Plane-Wave Basis Set. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **1996**, *6*, 15–50.
- ⁴¹ Wellendorff, J.; Lundgaard, K. T.; Møgelhøj, A.; Petzold, V.; Landis, D. D.; Nørskov, J. K.; Bligaard, T.; Jacobsen, K. W. Density Functionals for Surface Science: Exchange-Correlation Model Development with Bayesian Error Estimation. *Phys. Rev. B* **2012**, *85*, 235149.
- ⁴² Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, 3865–3868.
- ⁴³ Bahn, S. R.; Jacobsen, K. W. An Object-Oriented Scripting Interface to a Legacy Electronic Structure Code. *Comput. Sci. Eng.* **2002**, *4*, 56–66.
- ⁴⁴ Dudarev, S.; Botton, G. Electron-Energy-Loss Spectra and the Structural Stability of Nickel Oxide: An LSDA+U Study. *Phys. Rev. B* **1998**, *57*, 1505–1509.
- ⁴⁵ Yoo, S.; Siemer, N.; Todorova, M.; Marx, D. Deciphering Charge Transfer and Electronic Polarization Effects at Gold Nanocatalysts on Reduced Titania Support. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2019**, *123*, 5495–5506.
- ⁴⁶ Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special Points for Brillouin-Zone Integrations. *Phys. Rev. B* **1976**, *13*, 5188–5192.
- ⁴⁷ Chueh, Y.-L.; Hsieh, C.-H.; Chang, M.-T.; Chou, L.-J.; Lao, C. S.; Song, J. H.; Gan, J.-Y.; Wang, Z. L. RuO₂ Nanowires and RuO₂/TiO₂ Core/Shell Nanowires: From Synthesis to Mechanical, Optical, Electrical, and Photoconductive Properties. *Adv. Mater.* **2007**, *19*, 143–149.
- ⁴⁸ Henkelman, G.; Uberuaga, B. P.; Jónsson, H. A Climbing Image Nudged Elastic Band Method for Finding Saddle Points and Minimum Energy Paths. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2000**, *113*, 9901–9904.
- ⁴⁹ Modelling STM images of TiO₂(110) from first-principles: Defects, water adsorption and dissociation products, G. Teobaldi, W.A. Hofer, O. Bikondoa, C.L. Pang, G. Cabailh, G. Thornton, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2007, *437*, 73–78.
- ⁵⁰ Sánchez-Sánchez, C.; González, C.; Jelinek, P.; Méndez, J.; de Andres, P.L.; Martín-Gago, J. A.; López, M. F. Understanding atomic-resolved STM images on TiO₂(110) - (1 × 1) surface by DFT calculations. *Nanotechnology* **2010**, *21*, 405702.

- ⁵¹ Skibinski, E. S.; Song, A.; De Benedetti, W. J. I.; Ortoll-Bloch, A. G.; Hines, M. A. Solution Deposition of Self-Assembled Benzoate Monolayers on Rutile (110): Effect of π - π Interactions on Monolayer Structure. *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2016**, *120*, 11581–11589.
- ⁵² Grinter, D. C.; Woolcot, T.; Chi-Lun Pang, C.-L.; Thornton, G. Ordered Carboxylates on TiO₂(110) Formed at Aqueous Interfaces, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, **2014**, *5*, 4265–4269.
- ⁵³ Busayaporn, W.; Duncan, D. A.; Allegretti, F.; Wander, A.; Bech, M.; Møller, P. J.; Doyle, B. P.; Harrison, N. M.; Thornton, G.; Lindsay R. Structure of a Model Dye/Titania Interface: Geometry of Benzoate on Rutile-TiO₂ (110)(1 × 1). *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2016**, *120*, 14690–14698.
- ⁵⁴ Onishi, H.; Fukui, K.-i; Iwasawa, Y. Space-Correlation Analysis of Formate Ions Adsorbed on TiO₂ (110). *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **1999**, *38*, 3830–3832.
- ⁵⁵ Kolmakov, A.; Goodman, D. W. In situ scanning tunneling microscopy of oxide-supported metal clusters: Nucleation, growth, and thermal evolution of individual particles. *Chem. Rec.* **2002**, *2*, 446–457.
- ⁵⁶ Koga, H. Conference - ISSS-7 - DFT Study of CO Oxidation Catalyzed by Au / TiO₂: Activity of Small Clusters, *e-Journal Surf. Sci. Nanotechnol.* **2015**, *13*, 129–134.
- ⁵⁷ Wahlström, E.; Lopez, N.; Schaub, R.; Thostrup, P.; Rønnow, A.; Africh, C.; Laegsgaard, E.; Nørskov, J. K.; Besenbacher, F. Bonding of Gold Nanoclusters to Oxygen Vacancies on Rutile TiO₂(110). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2003**, *90*, 1–4.
- ⁵⁸ Yim, C.-M.; Lamoureux, P. S.; Mellor, A.; Pang, C. L.; Idriss, H.; Pacchioni, G.; Thornton, G. Size and Shape Dependence of the Electronic Structure of Gold Nanoclusters on TiO₂. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2021**, *12*, 8363–8369.
- ⁵⁹ Tosoni, S.; Pacchioni, G. Trends in Adhesion Energies of Gold on MgO (100), Rutile TiO₂ (110), and CeO₂ (111) Surfaces : A Comparative DFT Study. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2017**, *2*, 28328–28338.
- ⁶⁰ Li, L.; Yi Gao, Y; Li, H.; Zhao, Y.; Pei, Y.; Chen, Z.; Zeng, X. C. CO Oxidation on TiO₂ (110) Supported Subnanometer Gold Clusters: Size and Shape Effects. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *2*, 19336–19346 (2013).
- ⁶¹ Liu, N.; Yin, P.; Xu, M.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, S.; Zhang, J.; Meng, X.; Zhang, J.; Yu, J.; Man, Y.; Zhang, X.; and Wei, M. The catalytic mechanism of the Au@TiO_{2-x}/ZnO catalyst towards a low-temperature water-gas shift reaction. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *10*, 768–775.
- ⁶² Li, L.; Li, W.; Zhu, C.; Mao, L. F. A DFT+U study about agglomeration of Au atoms on reduced surface of rutile TiO₂ (110). *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **2021**, *271*, 124944.
- ⁶³ Iddir, H.; Ögüt, S.; Browning, N. D.; Disko, M. M. Adsorption and diffusion of Pt and Au on the stoichiometric and reduced TiO₂ rutile (110) surfaces. *Phys. Rev. B* **2005**, *72*, 81407.
- ⁶⁴ Landis, E. C.; Jensen, S. C.; Phillips, K. R.; Friend, C. M. Photostability and Thermal Decomposition of Benzoic Acid on TiO₂, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2012** *116*, 21508–21513.
- Henderson, M. A. Acetone Chemistry on Oxidized and Reduced TiO₂(110) *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2004** *108*, 18932-18941.

-
- ⁶⁵ Buchholz, M.; Xub, M.; Noei, H.; Weidler, P.; Nefedov, A.; Fink, K.; Wang, Y.; Wöll, C. Interaction of carboxylic acids with rutile TiO₂(110): IR-investigations of terephthalic and benzoic acid adsorbed on a single crystal substrate, *Surf. Sci.* **2106**, 643, 117–123.
- ⁶⁶ Heckel, W.; Würger, T.; Müller, S.; Feldbauer, G. Van der Waals Interaction Really Matters: Energetics of Benzoic Acid on TiO₂ Rutile Surfaces, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2017**, 121, 17207–17214.
- ⁶⁷ Katsiev, K.; Batzill, M.; Diebold, U.; Urban, A.; Meyer, B. Growth of One-Dimensional Pd Nanowires on the Terraces of a Reduced SnO₂(101) Surface. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2007**, 98, 186102.
- ⁶⁸ He, Y.; Li, W.-K.; Gong, X.-Q.; Dulub, O.; Selloni, A.; Diebold, U. Nucleation and Growth of 1D Water Clusters on Rutile TiO₂(011)-2×1, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, 113, 10329–10332.
- ⁶⁹ Kimmel, G. A.; Baer, M.; Petrik, N. G.; VandeVondele, J.; Rousseau, R.; Mundy, C. J. Polarization- and Azimuth-Resolved Infrared Spectroscopy of Water on TiO₂(110): Anisotropy and the Hydrogen-Bonding Network. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2013**, 4, 1, 53–57.
- ⁷⁰ Byl, O.; Yates, J. T. Anisotropy in the Electrical Conductivity of Rutile TiO₂ in the (110) Plane. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2006**, 110, 22966–22967.
- ⁷¹ Martsinovich, N.; Jones, D. R.; Troisi, A. Electronic Structure of TiO₂ Surfaces and Effect of Molecular Adsorbates Using Different DFT Implementations. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2010**, 114, 22659–22670.
- ⁷² Giocondi, J. L.; Salvador, P. A.; Rohrer, G. S. The origin of photochemical anisotropy in SrTiO₃. *Top. Catal.* **2007**, 44, 529–533.
- ⁷³ Duzhko, V.; Timoshenko, V. Yu.; Koch, F.; Dittrich, Th. Photovoltage in nanocrystalline porous TiO₂. *Phys. Rev. B*, 2001, 64, 07520 (1-7).
- ⁷⁴ Deskins, N. A.; Dupuis, M. Electron transport via polaron hopping in bulk TiO₂: A density functional theory characterization, *Phys. Rev. B* **2007**, 75, 195212 (1-10).
- ⁷⁵ Deskins, N. A.; Dupuis, M. Intrinsic Hole Migration Rates in TiO₂ from Density Functional Theory. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, 113, 346–358.
- ⁷⁶ Watthaisong, P.; Ungthawan, S.; Pussana Hirunsit, P.; Suthirakun, S. Transport properties of electron small polarons in a V₂O₅ cathode of Li-ion batteries: a computational study. *RSC Adv.*, **2019**, 9, 19483–19494.
- ⁷⁷ Reticcioli, M.; Setvin, M.; Schmid, M.; Diebold, U.; Franchini, C. Formation and dynamics of small polarons on the rutile TiO₂(110) surface. *Phys. Rev. B* **2018**, 98, 045306 (1-14).