STUDY PROTOCOL



REVISED Estimating epidemiological and economic burden and

community derived disability weights for snake bite in Kerala:

a study protocol [version 2; peer review: 2 approved]

Jaideep C. Menon^{1,2}, Denny John², Geeta R. Menon³, Joseph K. Joseph⁴, P. Rakesh Suseela², VV Pillay⁵, Amitava Banerjee⁶

¹Cardiology, Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences, Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, Kochi, Kerala, 682041, India
 ²Public health, Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences, Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, Kochi, Kerala, 682041, India
 ³Biostatistics, National Institute of Medical Statistics, Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi, Delhi, 110029, India
 ⁴Nephrology, Little Flower hospital and Research centre, Angamaly, Kerala, 683572, India
 ⁵Poison Care Centre, Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences, Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, Kochi, Kerala, 682041, India

⁶Institute of Health Informatics, University College London, London, NW1 2DA, UK

V2 First published: 01 Mar 2021, 10:167 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.50970.1

Latest published: 11 Jun 2021, 10:167 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.50970.2

Abstract

Background: In India, lack of data and underreporting of cases and deaths due to snakebite makes it difficult to estimate its socioeconomic burden. Previous studies measuring economic burden of snakebite in low-and-middle-income countries (LMICs) using different approaches have been conducted, but none in India. The proposed study aims to provide evidence on disability weights, epidemiological and economic burden due to snakebites in Kerala state, India. Protocol: The study is a community based cross-sectional study recruiting victims of snakebite occurring over a 12 month period prior to start of the study, across Ernakulam district, Kerala state, India. For the community-derived disability weights,70 adult patients who were treated within a 3 month period prior to commencement of the study at Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences, Kochi or Little Flower Hospital, Angamaly would be interviewed. The study will measure annual incidence, mortality, treatment cost of snakebites along with community-derived disability weights for snakebites in Ernakulam district.. Standard methods for analysis and reporting of mortality, morbidity, Years of Lives Lost (YLL), Years lived with disability (YLD), disability weights, and costs of treatment will be calculated. The study will be started in April 2021 and is expected to be completed by July2021..

Discussion: This protocol is the first published for estimating epidemiological, economic burden and community derived disability weights for snakebites in India. Besides, the Global Burden of Disease has not attached a particular disability weight to snakebite and this would be an attempt to do so. The protocol has been developed using

Open Peer Review

Reviewer Status 🗹 🗸

2
×.
report
1
?
•

1. **Abul M. Faiz**, Dev Care Foundation, Dhaka, Bangladesh

2. Louis W Niessen (D), Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK

Any reports and responses or comments on the article can be found at the end of the article.

guidelines for cross-sectional studies, cost of illness studies and international guidelines for conducting community derived disability weights. The evidence generated by this study will contribute significantly to knowledge regarding the epidemiology, economic burden and community-derived disability weights for snakebites in India and other countries where incidence of snakebite is high.

Keywords

snakebite, epidemiology, economic burden, disability weight, DALY



This article is included in the Snakebite

collection.



This article is included in the Neglected Tropical

Diseases collection.

Corresponding author: Jaideep C. Menon (menon7jc@gmail.com)

Author roles: Menon JC: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Funding Acquisition, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation; John D: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Validation, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Menon GR: Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal Analysis, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Joseph JK: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – Review & Editing; Suseela PR: Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Banerjee A: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – Original Draft Preparation

Competing interests: JCM and DJ are members of the WHO constituted Expert Group on Snakebite envenomation in 2021. DJ was previous member of WHO Expert Group on Snakebite Envenomation in 2018-2019. Other authors have no competing interests to declare.

Grant information: This study is funded by Hamish Ogston Foundation (UK) as a part of their small educational grants. *The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.*

Copyright: © 2021 Menon JC *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Menon JC, John D, Menon GR *et al.* Estimating epidemiological and economic burden and community derived disability weights for snake bite in Kerala: a study protocol [version 2; peer review: 2 approved] F1000Research 2021, **10** :167 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.50970.2

First published: 01 Mar 2021, 10:167 https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.50970.1

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

The major differences are in aligning more with the GBD method of DALY calculation. In addition to the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) we are corroborating that with a EuoQoL for quality of life. The methods have been given in more detail with a flow chart added which makes for easier understanding of the two arms - first, the epidemiology and economic burden and second the DALY weight calculation. Amitava Banerjee, a long-term GBD investigator, was also added as an author for this version.

Any further responses from the reviewers can be found at the end of the article

Abbreviations

ASHA; Accredited Social Health Activist

CMO: Chief Medical Officer

DALY: Disability-adjusted life years

DW: Disability Weights

DMO: District Medical Officer

DPM: District Programme Management

EQ-5D: EuroQoL5 Dimension

GBD: Global Burden of Disease

NHM: National Health Mission

VAS: Visual Analogue Scale

YLD: Years lived with disability

YLL: Years of lives lost

Introduction

Snakebite is a major public health problem in the rural communities of Asia, Africa, and Latin America, and most neglected among all the neglected tropical diseases. Many studies on the bio-medical perspectives of snakebite exist but very few studies have been conducted from a socio-economic viewpoint¹. Global estimates of snakebite range from 4.5 million to 5.4 million annually with an estimated 2 million of them in India, with tremendous socioeconomic consequences². As per the Registrar General of India-Million Death Study (RGI-MDS), the number of deaths due to venomous snakebite in India is 46,900 per year³. Reports suggest that only 20–30% victims of snakebite in rural India seek treatment in hospitals⁴. A recent update of the MDS suggests that the number of deaths are higher still at 58,000 per year⁵.

The geographical variation, lack of data and underreporting on cases of snakebites and deaths make it difficult to estimate socio-economic burden of snakebite in India. Few studies have provided data on mortality, cause of death , hospital based case series (in Maharashtra, West Bengal, Kerala and Andhra Pradesh states), compensations paid to snakebite victims and socio-economic impact^{6–14}. Along with mortality, snakebite may lead to physical and psychological impairment, scarring, permanent residual disability, blindness, malignant ulcers, pregnancy loss and of productivity following hospitalisation and incapacitation¹⁵. The Disability Adjusted-Life Years (DALYs) is a widely used metric for quantifying disease burden¹⁶. One DALY is equal to one lost year of healthy life. The sum of the DALYs for all diseases, across all age groups and either gender is a measure of the gap between current health status and an ideal health situation where the entire population lives to an advanced age, free of disease and disability.

Previous studies measuring economic burden of snakebites in low and middle income countries have used different approaches for estimating DALYs for snakebites. Kasturiratne et al. (2017), in measuring economic burden of snakebites in Sri Lanka, used disability weights for poisoning from the 2013 Global Burden of Disease (GBD) study as a surrogate¹⁷. The duration of an episode of snakebite with envenoming was considered to be 0.3 years. For snakebite without envenoming disability weight of 0.006 (lower estimate) and 0.108 (higher estimate) were used; the higher estimate being a surrogate for open wounds as per the GBD study. The duration of illness of snakebite without envenoming was considered to be 0.04 years. Habib et al. (2015) used a meta-analytic approach to project annual epidemiological burden of snakebite envenoming in sub-Saharan Africa using pooled rates of incidence, amputation, and mortality rates¹⁸. These estimates were applied to sub-Saharan population for deriving estimates of mortality and amputations. The standard loss functions based on projected frontier period life expectancy at birth for Japan and South Africa in the year 2050 estimated at 91.9 years (undiscounted) minus the mean age at the time of envenoming was used to calculate years of lives lost (YLL). Years lived with disability (YLD) were estimated by multiplying the number of amputations by the respective disability weight of 0.13 and applying this disability weight for the remainder of undiscounted local life expectancy. In Nigeria, Habib et al. (2015) used cost per DALY averted to measure the cost-effectiveness of antivenoms for snakebite envenoming¹⁹. The study used associated amputation-related disability weight of 0.12.

This proposed study aims to address some of these issues by conducting a retrospective incidence study to provide evidence on disability weights, epidemiological and socio-economic burden due to snakebites in Kerala state, India.

The study will be conducted in Ernakulam district of Kerala to provide a state specific estimate on incidence, mortality, pattern of injuries, treatment seeking behaviour and cost of illness among snakebite victims. Additionally, the study will conduct a health state valuation to account for community perspectives in estimating disability weights for snakebites.

Rationale for the study

There is lack of data and underreporting on cases of snakebites and deaths that makes it difficult to estimate socioeconomic burden of snakebite in India. Disability-weights (DWs) are values obtained from an individual's perception of health states. It is to be noted that Global Burden of Disease (GBD) disability weights are not universal in nature as social and cultural contexts of health states were not accounted for in the GBD process²⁰. This is mainly because the GBD valuers generally, were educated professionals either from medical or health fields and could easily participate in the cognitively demanding valuation methods^{20–25}. Although of late GBD and numerous other studies started including the general population along with professionals as participants, these studies were unable to capture the community-level perception of individual health states thus eliciting over-or-under-estimation of health states^{20,26–31}.

It is envisaged that the derived DWs from this proposed study along with the epidemiological and economic burden estimations, would be useful for future researchers and policymakers in the country to guide further research and policy in management of snakebites in the country.

Aim and objectives

The aim of this study is to estimate the epidemiological and socio-economic burden and community-derived disability weights due to snakebites in Kerala state, India.

Primary objectives

- 1. To determine the prevalence, morbidity and mortality due to snakebite in Ernakulam district of Kerala state, India.
- 2. To determine the economic burden due to snakebites in the community.
- 3. To determine the community-derived disability weights for snakebites.

Methods

Study design

A cross-sectional study involving adult populations for estimating epidemiological and economic burden of snakebite will be conducted. These adults will be recruited over a total period of 12 months, prior to start of study across various Gram Panchayats in Ernakulam district, Kerala state. For the community-derived disability weights, 70 adult patients admitted in the three months prior to start of data collection at either of Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences (AIMS), Kochi Hospital or Little Flowers Hospital (LF), Angamaly would be interviewed. (Figure 1) The study will be started in March 2021 and is expected to be completed by June 2021.

Study geography

Ernakulam district, with an area of 3063 sq. km, has a population of 3.47 million. Industry and service sectors are the main sources of occupation in the urban areas with agriculture being so in the eastern part of the district. Export oriented fishing industry is also a major source of revenue and occupation towards the coast.

Study participants

Epidemiological and economic burden. Victims and family members, identified by community health workers (ASHAs), with a history of snakebite in the preceding 12 months will be included for the socio-demographic and economic costs aspect of the study.

Community-derived disability weights. The victims interviewed would include individuals who received treatment for snakebite either as an out or inpatient in the immediate nine months preceding the date of start of the study. The victims would include those identified at the community level by ASHA workers in addition to patients admitted and treated for snakebite within the three months of study duration in hospitals in the district treating snakebite. Victims thus identified from in-patient records of treating hospitals would be included, if residents of Ernakulam district. The length of hospital stay of individual patients would be accessed from both hospital records of patients admitted within the three month period of study and copies of discharge records of victims identified from community screening by ASHA workers.

Vignettes and 6D3L description system. Health states with description of symptoms along with functional statues are highly effective in health state valuation studies^{32,33}. Along with the preparation of health state vignettes, a modified EQ-5D+ (Euro-QoL) instrument will be used alongside the vignettes to further describe the valuer's functional status. In order to ensure the understanding of long, complicated sentences (vignettes) among the valuers in the sample population (which are mainly rural and semi-literature), a reduced version of 5 dimensions of EQ-5D to 3 dimensions that has been previously validated in India will be used³³. Table 1 provides the 6D3L description system.

The application of EQ-6D-3L will be conducted using a modified card sort (CS) method rather than the cognitively demanding techniques such as standard gamble (SG), time trade-off (TTO), and patient trade-off (PTO) that required certain level of education to comprehend and use for estimating disability weights³⁴. Any process of eliciting valuation of health states irrespective of the technique used requires valuers (i.e. the patient or care-giver) to visualize the entire description of health states. The modified CS process used prior to VAS will not only be a validation tool but also a "warm up" for the entire valuation process.

We will be using a set of pictorial narrations describing the three severity levels under each of the six dimensions, i.e., 18 pictures will be prepared. The local social context relevant to the geographical and cultural settings are depicted through the pictures. Several drafts of pictures were drawn by a commercial artist and shared with the study team for final set of 18 pictures to be used (Figure available in *Extended data*: Annexure 3^{35}).

To overcome the issue of ranking and assigning scores, the study team decided to divide the rank order into two parts



Figure 1. Patient inclusion flow chart for the epidemiologic and disability weight sub-sets of the study.

for ease of understanding. Thus, during CS, the description of each health state was read out to the valuers in random order by the interviewer, who would then be instructed to rank their preference between 1 and 5 for less severe health states (according to their choice) and 6–11 for more severe.

For our study we will be using the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) to valuate health states on a continuous graduated line segment, one end labelled as 'death' and the other labelled as 'perfect health' ranging from 0 to 100. The VAS allows the user to rate a particular health state between the mentioned

Dimension	Dimension description	Severity level
Mobility	Getting around in the community, walking, climbing stairs, etc.	 No problems walking about Some problems walking about Confined to bed
Self-care	Bathing, cleaning, washing, toileting et.	 No problems with self-care Some problems washing or dressing self Unable to wash or dress self
Usual activity	Performance of usual role activities such as working at a job, housework, childcare, volunteer work, etc.	 No problems with performing usual activities Some problems with performing usual activities Unable to perform usual activities
Pain/Discomfort	Subjective feeling of bodily distress of discomfort	 No pain or discomfort Moderate pain or discomfort Extreme pain or discomfort
Anxiety/depression	Negative psychological states including anxiety, depression, behavioural emotional control, loneliness, etc.	 Not anxious or depressed Moderately anxious or depressed (social isolation or loss of appetite) Extremely anxious or depressed (suicidal ideation)
Cognition	Cognitive problems, such as forgetfulness, difficulty in concentrating, loss of tempero-spatial orientation, etc.	 No problems in cognition Some problem with memory and concentration Severe problem in cognition (loss of tempero-spatial orientation)

Table 1. 6D-3L description system.

anchor points, i.e. death and perfect health. A picture of a happy face near "100" on one side and a picture of a sad face near "0" will further help the valuer to the direction of severity (*Extended data*: Annexure 3³⁵).

At the end of both exercises, the CS rank and VAS scores will be checked for concordance, by the investigator. In instances where the values and ranks did not correspond, the valuer will be requested to review his/her responses through iterations by the investigator. Multiple iterations would be conducted until the valuer's response for each health state was final.

The interview schedule will have four sections: (1) sociodemographic profile of valuer; (2) "own health state" valuation using VAS; (3) Hospitalisation details, (4) EQ-6D-3L scores, and (5) VAS scores.

So as to account for victims with different degrees of severity of envenoming we shall interview twenty each victims of mild, moderate and severe envenoming and ten of non-venomous / dry bites. We shall go by the classification; **mild** envenoming as victims who had symptoms limited to local reaction at the bite site without signs and symptoms of systemic envenoming and or a hospital stay of < 3 days, **moderate** qualified as presence of signs and symptoms and laboratory parameters suggestive of systemic envenoming and or a hospital stay of 3-5 days and **severe** being qualified by any one of life threatening, hospital stay > 7 days, need for a surgical procedure, ventilation support, dialysis or requirement of blood products. Non-venomous/ dry bites are characterised by absence of signs and symptoms of either local or systemic envenoming.

For the study component related to disability weights, victims admitted and treated for snakebite at AIMS, Kochi or Little Flower Hospital, Angamaly 3 months prior to the start of study would be interviewed. The main reason to choose admitted patients over the community based individuals is that the VAS and EQ-6D-3L being complex to administer will be conducted by JCM.

For the epidemiological and economic burden components of the study, victims with a history of snakebite in the preceding 12 months would be interviewed.

Symptoms related to poisoning other than due to snakebite or non-ophid bites and those not willing to provide consent will be excluded.

Sample size calculation

Epidemiological and economic burden. For estimating the epidemiological and economic burden, a population-level epidemiological study will be conducted covering all gram panchayats

(n= 82) in Ernakulam district of Kerala state, India, using a pre-specified questionnaire to capture demographic characteristics (area of residence, age, gender, education, household income etc.), details of snakebite (envenomation, site, wound type), treatment (hospitalisation, outpatient, investigations), outcomes (number of days of hospitalisation, death) and costs (outpatient, investigations, hospitalisation, funeral). In a study from Sri Lanka, using the prevalence of snakebite as 153 per 100,000 population (0.15%), the estimated sample size was 5868 with a precision of 0.1% from a population of 3.47 million residents^{36,37}. Assuming 15% cases being unreported a total of 6904 have to be covered to identify 11 cases of snakebites in a 100,000 population.

For our study, the annual mortality of snakebites is estimated at 6/100,000^{3,5}, and the sample size to estimate a mortality of 0.006 percent will be 92,190 persons. Assuming a 15 % loss of information the actual sample size to determine the mortality rate is 108,458 persons in Ernakulam (representing 3.18% of total population (3.4 million as per Census of India 2011 estimates) of Ernakalum district.

The following formula is used to estimate sample size for our study:

$$n = \frac{(Z^2)P(1-P)}{d^2}$$

 $Z_{1-a/2}$ = Is standard normal variate [at 5% type 1 error (*P*<0.05) it is 1.96]. p = Expected proportion in population based on previous studies or pilot studies.

d = Absolute error or precision

Community derived disability weights. The interviews will be conducted using a purposive sampling method and the VAS would be administered in about 70 adults currently admitted in AIMS and LF hospitals or admitted three months prior to data collection.

Outcomes

The study will measure annual incidence, mortality, and treatment costs of snakebites in Ernakulam district of Kerala state, India. Additionally, the study will also calculate community-derived disability weights for snakebites in the district.

Statistical analysis

Epidemiological components. Population based incidence rates will be calculated using the "Survey" package in R programming language. Individual level variables (e.g. age, sex) will be considered only for descriptive analysis. The explanatory variables for snakebite incidence will include population density, sex, occupation, education, and income. The categorical variables will be presented in the form of frequencies and percentages and the continuous variables will be presented as means and standard deviations.

Incidence risk =	Number of incident cases of snakebites in the time period X 100,000
	Population at risk

Mortality risk =	Number of deaths in the time period X 100,000
	Population at risk

Case-fatality rate = $\frac{\text{Number of deaths from snakebites in the time period X 100,000}}{\text{Number of new cases of snakebites in the time period}}$

Cost of treatment. The median out-of-pocket cost of different cost elements (direct medical and non-medical and indirect) will be estimated based on the data reported by the victims or a household member. We will use cost of treatment episode to calculate direct medical and non-medical costs. For indirect costs the number of days of work loss along with daily wage/total wage loss will be calculated³⁸.

Health state valuation. For the health valuation descriptive statistics of the socio-demographic profile of the valuers will be presented using appropriate summary statistics—number with percentage for categorical variables and median with inter-quartile range for quantitative variables. The mean of the VAS scores for each disease sequelae will be calculated. The computation of DWs will be done using the formula: DW = $1 - VAS / 100^{33}$. 95% Confidence Intervals will be provided for the DWs.

Disability adjusted life years (DALYs). DALYs for a disease or health condition is a combined metric of mortality and morbidity/disability and can be used to compare the disease burden across different countries or across different time periods for the same country. The mortality component is estimated in terms of YLL due to premature mortality, and the morbidity component is defined by the YLD due to that condition or any of its sequelae. DALYs is the sum of YLLs + YLDs³⁴. All the three metrics are defined for a particular health condition, for a pre-specified population whose age and sex wise population distribution, death/ mortality distribution, cause specific mortality distribution and life expectancy is known. The YLLs are then computed as the sum over all ages of the product of number of deaths at a particular age multiplied by the standard life expectancy at that age (YLL= $\sum_{x=1}^{n} N_x L_x$) where N_x = number of deaths at age x, L = standard life expectancy in years at age x and x varies from 0 to n where n is the maximum years for which the population death data is available. YLDs for a particular cause (e.g. snakebite) in a particular time period, is calculated using the number of incident cases in that period multiplied by the average duration of the disease and the weight factor that reflects the severity of the disease from scale from 0 (perfect health) to 1 (death).

YLDs will be calculated using the incidence approach where $YLD=I \times DW \times L$, where I = number of incident cases, DW= disability weight, L= average duration of the case until recovery or death (years).

Data collection, quality checks and monitoring

The study would be facilitated through the offices of the District Program Manager, National Health Mission and the Chief/District Medical Officer of Ernakulam district.

Trained ASHAs attached to each Gram Panchayat will inform 2 Field Officers specially recruited for the study of the families reporting snakebite episodes 365 days prior in a particular village. The field officers will then be responsible to administer the informed consent and study questionnaire (*Extended data:* Annexure 1³⁵).

From the hospital register of AIMS and LF contact details of patients admitted and discharged 3 months to survey period will be identified. These patients and/or care-givers (if patient had died post discharge) will be invited to visit AIMS and will be interviewed by JCM. JCM will also be responsible for extracting hospitalisation information from medical records from AIMS or LF of each interviewed patient/care-giver.

Data gathered at the Panchayat (village) level would be collated on a Tab PC by the field officers from where it would be synced on to the server at Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences, accessible only to JCM,DJ and GRM. De-identified details would be used for statistical analysis and reporting. Details gathered would not be shared on any public domain and would be kept confidential. Any data queries will be handled using a data access committee³⁹.

Informed consent from all participants will be conducted prior to administration of any data collection questionnaire (*Extended data:* Annexure 1³⁵). A pre-specified questionnaire developed for the research study will be used to collect data regarding socio-demographic, hospitalisation, and economic details (*Extended data:* Annexure 2³⁵). The questionnaire has been developed after consultation with experts working in the field of snakebites in the country, and discussion among authors. The interview schedule for valuation will have two sections: (1) socio-demographic profile of valuer, (2) 'own health state' valuation using VAS (*Extended data:* Annexure 3³⁵). Both these schedules will be pre-tested among 5–6 participants prior to any finalisation and administration to the entire sample.

However, for information related to any family member whose age is below 18 years, the mother or the father shall be interviewed. Information about the victim, profile of envenomation and complications thereof, other related characteristics, treatment outcome and any other related details will be noted but kept coded and confidential.

Data entry and storage

Data entry will be conducted by a single data entry operator at the research unit of AIMS. One of the co-authors will review the data entry to check for any discrepancies including any data entry errors from the data entry form. The data will be stored in a desktop computer with access to the data entry operator, and Principal Investigator (JCM). Once the data entry is completed and cleaned, the data sheet will be transferred to the laptops of the co-authors (JCM, GRM & DJ) for further analysis. After analysis these data sheets will be destroyed in these laptops and the data sheet would be available only with the desktop present at research unit of AIMS.

Data gathered at the Panchayat (village) level would be collated on a Tab PC by the field officers from where it would be synced on to the server at AIMS, accessible only to JCM,DJ and GRM. De-identified details would be used for statistical analysis and reporting. Details gathered would not be shared on any public domain and would be kept confidential.

Ethical approval

Participants will be informed about the nature of the study and will be assured that privacy will be maintained, and information provided by the respondent will be held confidentially and only be used for research purposes. Their willingness to participate will be sought and informed written consent in a language understood by the respondent (English or Malayalam) will be taken before including them in the study. For children an assent form will be used. Social and cultural values of the participants will be respected and considered as needed. Information obtained during research will not be used for any other purpose except research and research findings will be disseminated as per research dissemination ethics.

The study received ethics approval from the Institutional Ethics Committee of Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences, Kochi (study reference number IRB-AIMS-2020-1 01) on 13/03/2020.

Study challenges

Snakebite is generally a disease of the working community, being most common among farmers, rubber tappers, tea/coffee estate pickers, brick kiln workers, and plywood industry workers. The majority of bites are accidents, which occur at the workplace or at home with the lower socio-economic groups being most affected. The degree of education and comprehension of the CS and VAS could be a challenge in this group of individuals.

There could be a recall bias in victims bitten close to a year back on degree of disability and other disease details as well. Additionally, the community responses for healthcare expenditure could also be subject to overestimation due to self-reported recall bias.

Distribution of study results

The study results will be submitted to a suitable peerreview publication within 6#six months of study completion. Additionally, the results will also be presented in suitable national/international conferences based on resources available for participation. The study results for epidemiology will be presented using STROBE guidelines for cross-sectional studies⁴⁰ and cost of illness checklist adapted from Larg and Moss (2011)⁴¹.

Study status

The study protocol was discussed and agreed by Steering Group members (clinicians from Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences (AIMS), Kochi Hospital or Little Flowers Hospital (LF), Angamaly handling patients affected with snakebite) prior to start of data collection.

Training session of staff who would be administering the VAS score has been completed and the necessary permission for using frontline health workers in identifying victims of snakebite in the community has been secured from the District Program Manager of the National Health Mission's office, and we expect to start the field work form the 1st April 2021.

Discussion

Our study uses a community survey to estimate incidence of mortality, morbidity and disability and economic burden of snakebites in Ernakulam district along with use of Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) as the tool for obtaining values required for computing community-derived disability weights. We have used sample size as per standard calculations to estimate epidemiological and economic burden at district level. For the community-derived disability weights we will be using VAS which is a tested and validated method since the early 1990s. We have deliberately combined VAS with card sort method rather than the alternate PTO, TTO or SG, or recent paired comparisons (PC) or discrete choice experiments (DCE) to order to ensure easier comprehension by community members across different sections of society and location. Our method follows the standard protocol that has been tested previously in Indian settings^{34,42,43}. We also believe that this pilot initiative for estimating community derived disability weights for snakebite using cognitively less demanding tools on the values would be an appropriate choice for rural and less educated populations for understanding their health preferences in India and similar settings in other developing countries.

As per our knowledge, this is the first methodological protocol developed for estimating epidemiological and economic burden along with community derived disability weights on snakebites in a LMIC setting. Our approaches described for each of the study components, i.e. epidemiology, economic, and health state valuation has been described in meticulous detail. We are hopeful that this well-designed, systematic protocol can be used across various locations, cultures and even countries to explore health state values for other diseases as well. Our method describing the community-derived disability weights will be useful to guide researchers to implement such studies at community level in the future.

Conclusion

This paper constitutes the first published protocol for estimating epidemiological and economic burden as also community derived disability weights for snakebite in LMICs. The protocol has been developed using guidelines for cross-sectional studies, and international guidelines for conducting community-derived disability weights. The findings of the study will be useful to inform researchers for a proposed extension of the study in other states as part of ICMR-funded study to be initiated in 2021(five of the authors are also investigators on this study). The evidence generated by this study will contribute significantly to knowledge regarding the epidemiology, economic burden and community-derived disability weights for snakebite in India and other countries where incidence of snakebite is high, thus playing a significant role in policy decision making for resource allocation in snakebite prevention and healthcare provision.

Data availability

Underlying data

No underlying data is associated with this article.

Extended data

Figshare: Estimating epidemiological and economic burden and community derived disability weights for snakebite in Kerala: A study protocol, https://doi.org/10.6084/ m9.figshare.14061215.v3³⁵

This project contains the following extended data:

- Annexure 1. Consent Form.docx
- Annexure 2. Questionnaire.docx
- Annexure 3. VAS tool.docx
- Vignettes for EQ-6D-3L.zip

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC-BY 4.0).

Author contributions

JCM, DJ, JKJ, PSR, VVP contributed to the overall study methodology. DJ contributed to economic burden and disability weights sections, and drafted the manuscript along with JCM. GRM contributed to sample size calculations and statistical analysis and plan. All the authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

References

- Kasturiratne A, Wickremasinghe AR, De Silva N, et al.: The Global Burden of snakebite: a literature analysis and modelling based on regional estimates of envenoming and deaths. PLoS Med. 2008; 5(11): e218. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 2. www.who.int/snakebite/epidemiology/en/. Accessed on 10 January 2021.
- 3. Mohapatra B, Warrell DA, Suraweera W, et al.: Snakebite Mortality in India: A

Nationally Representative Mortality Survey. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2011; 5(4): e1018. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

 Majumder D, Sinha A, Bhattacharya SK, et al.: Epidemiological profile of snake bite in south 24 Parganas district of West Bengal with focus on underreporting of snake bite deaths. Indian J Public Health. 2014; 58(1): 17–21.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Suraweera W, Warrell D, Whitaker R, et al.: Trends in snakebite deaths in India from 2000 to 2019 in a nationally representative mortality study. Elife. 2020; 9: e54076.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Sarkhel S, Ghosh R, Mana K, et al.: A hospital based epidemiological study of snakebite in Paschim Medinipur district, West Bengal, India. Toxicol Rep. 2017; 4: 415–41.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Menon JC, Joseph JK, Whitaker RE: Venomous Snake Bite in India Why do 50,000 Indians Die Every Year? J Assoc Physicians India. 2017; 65(8): 78–81. PubMed Abstract
- Jayanthi GP, Gowda TV: Geographical variation in India in the composition and lethal potency of Russell's viper (Vipera russelli) venom. Toxicon. 1988; 26(3): 257–64.
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Prasad NB, Uma B, Bhatt SK, et al.: Comparative characterisation of Russell's viper (Daboia/Vipera russelli) venoms from different regions of the Indian peninsula. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1999; 1428(2–3): 121–36. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Shashidharamurthy R, Jagadeesha DK, Girish KS, et al.: Variations in biochemical and pharmacological properties of Indian cobra (Naja naja naja) venom due to geographical distribution. Mol Cell Biochem. 2002; 229(1– 2): 93–101.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Sharma M, Gogoi N, Dhananjaya BL, et al.: Geographical variation of Indian Russell's viper venom and neutralization of its coagulopathy by polyvalent anti-venom. Toxins Reviews. 2014; 33(1-2): 7–15. Publisher Full Text
- Dandona R, Kumar GA, Kharyal A, et al.: Mortality due to snakebite and other venomous animals in the Indian state of Bihar: Findings from a representative mortality study. PLoS One. 2018; 13(6): e0198900. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Vaiyapuri S, Vaiyapuri R, Ashokan R, et al.: Snakebite and its socio-economic Impact on the rural population of Tamil Nadu, India. PLoS One. 2013; 8(11): e80090.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Roshnath R, Kunhiraman E, Rajan CV: Incidence of snakebite and corresponding compensation payments in the Kannur district of Kerala, India. Herpetol Bull. 2018; 26–29. Reference Source
- Fatalities from Venomous Animals: Australian Venom Research Unit, Australian Bureau of Statistics. Accessed on 17 December 2020. Reference Source
- Anand S, Hanson K: Disability-adjusted life years: A critical review. J Health Econ. 1997; 16(6): 685–702.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Kasturiratne A, Pathmeswaran A, Wickremasinghe AR, et al.: The socioeconomic burden of snakebite in Sri Lanka. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2017; 11(7): e0005647.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Habib AG, Kuznik A, Hamza M, et al.: Snakebite is Under Appreciated: Appraisal of Burden from West Africa. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015; 9(9):
 - e0004088. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Habib AG, Lamorde M, Dalhata MM, et al.: Cost-effectiveness of antivenoms for snakebite envenoming in Nigeria. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2015; 9(1): e3381.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Sommerfeld J, Baltussen RMPM, Metz L, et al.: Determinants of variance in health state valuations. In Summary Measures of Population Health Concepts, Ethics, Measurement and Applications. 2002. Reference Source
- Read JL, Quinn RJ, Berwick DM, et al.: Preferences for health outcomes. Comparison of assessment methods. Med Decis Making. 1984; 4(3): 315–29. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Nord E: Uncertainties about disability weights for the Global Burden of Disease study. Lancet Glob Health. 2015; 3(11): e661–2.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Lai T, Habicht J, Kiivet RA: Measuring burden of disease in Estonia to support public health policy. Eur J Public Health. 2009; 19(5): 541–7.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

 Green C, Brazier J, Deverill M: Valuing health-related quality of life. A review of health state valuation techniques. *Pharmacoeconomics*. 2000; 17(2): 151–65.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

 Stouthard MEA, Essink-Bot M, Bonsel G, *et al.*: Disability Weights for Diseases in the Netherlands. 1997; 1–67. (accessed September 4, 2017). Reference Source

- Sung YT, Wu JSL: The Visual Analogue Scale for Rating, Ranking and Paired-Comparison (VAS-RRP): a new technique for psychological measurement. Behav Res Methods. 2018; 50(4): 1694–715.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Neethling I, Jelsma J, Ramma L, et al.: Disability weights from a household survey in a low socio-economic setting: how does it compare to the global burden of disease 2010 study? Glob Health Action. 2016; 9: 31754.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Salomon JA, Vos T, Hogan DR, et al.: Common values in assessing health outcomes from disease and injury: disability weights measurement study for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet. 2012; 380(9859): 2129– 43.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Haagsma JA, Maertens de Noordhout C, Polinder S, et al.: Assessing disability weights based on the responses of 30,660 people from four European countries. Popul Health Metr. 2015; 13: 10.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Sackett DL, Torrance GW: The utility of different health states as perceived by the general public. J Chronic Dis. 1978; 31(11): 697–704.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Gudex C, Dolan P, Kind P, et al.: Health state valuations from the general public using the visual analogue scale. Qual Life Res. 1996; 5(6): 521–31.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Stouthard M, Essink-Bot ML, Bonsel GJ: Methodology: Disability weights for diseases: A modified protocol and results for a Western European region. *Eur J Public Health*. 2000; **10**(1): 24–30. Publisher Full Text
- Schwarzinger M, Stouthard MEA, Burström K, et al.: Cross-nationalagreement on disability weights: the European Disability Weights Project. Popul Health Metr. 2003; 1(1): 9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Lobo E, Nanda L, Akhouri SS, et al.: Describing the Development of a Health State Valuation Protocol to Obtain Community-Derived Disability Weights. Front Public Health. 2019; 7: 276.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- John D, Menon J: Estimating epidemiological and economic burden and community derived disability weights for snakebite in Kerala: A study protocol. *figshare*. Dataset. 2021. http://www.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14061215.v3
- Lopez AD, Mathers CD, Ezzati M, et al.: Chapter 1 Measuring the global burden of disease and risk factors, 1990-2001. In: Global Burden of Diseases and Risk Factors. New York, NY: Oxford University Press. 2006. Reference Source
- Edireweera DS, Kasturiratne A, Pathmeswaran A, et al.: Mapping the risk of Snakebite in Sri Lanka- A national survey with geo-spatial analysis. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016; 10(7): e0004813.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Larg A, Moss JR: Cost-of-illness studies: a guide to critical evaluation. Pharmacoeconomics. 2011; 29(8): 653–71. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Cheah PY, Piasecki J: Data Access Committees. BMC Med Ethics. 2020; 21(1): 12. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Mathers C, Vos T, Lopez E, et al.: National Burden of Diseases Studies; A Practical Guide. Geneva: 2001. Reference Source
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al.: The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE)statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. J Clin Epidemiol. 2008; 61(4): 344–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Mahapatra P, Salomon JA, Nanda L, *et al.*: Measuring Health State Values in Developing Countries. Report of a study in Andhra Pradesh. 2000. Reference Source
- Mahapatra P, Nanda L, Rajshree KT: The 6D5L Description System for Health State Valuation. Institute of Health Systems. 2000. Reference Source

Open Peer Review

Current Peer Review Status: 💉

Version 2

Reviewer Report 13 July 2021

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.57297.r87367

© **2021 Faiz A.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Abul M. Faiz

Scientist, Dev Care Foundation, Dhaka, Bangladesh

There is significant improvement of the document after incorporating comments and adding a new table, providing much clarity.

Some additional points may be considered:

- 1. Introduction: In the last paragraph the sentence beginning with 'The study will be...', may be put before the preceding sentence, 'This proposed study aims...'.
- 2. Aim and objectives: Separate sub-heading for aim may be provided, like 'primary objective' (which may be objective as there is no primary objective mentioned here).
- 3. There is still inconsistency that needs correction: Text mentioned only adult patients will be included, but the Ethical approval section mentioned: 'For children an assent form will be used.'.
- 4. It may be clarified how 70 patients (and from each category 20+20+20+10) will be selected.
- 5. During this COVID-19 pandemic, assuming that public health measures will be ensured during the study, the use of PPE (e.g. masks), social distancing, and use of hand sanitizers may be mentioned in the text.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Clinician with special interest in snakebite, poisoning and infectious diseases

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Reviewer Report 25 June 2021

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.57297.r87366

© **2021 Niessen L.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Louis W Niessen 问

Department of International Public Health, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK

- The major differences have been addressed appropriately and are more in line with the formal GBD method to compute DALYs.
- The addition of the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) helps to clinically assess QoL after snake bites.
- The flow chart outlines in detail the patient inclusion and steps in the analyses, leading to a better picture of the epidemiology and economic burden as well as the DALY calculation.
- External validity is to be addressed and dealt with in careful terms.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Health economics and epidemiology in particular burden of disease methods and clinical studies.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Version 1

Reviewer Report 06 April 2021

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.54070.r81325

© **2021 Niessen L.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

了 🛛 Louis W Niessen 匝

Department of International Public Health, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK

The study protocol describe the various sub-studies needed to estimate the health and economic burden from snakebite in Kerala, conform the global BOD approach. There are 1) hospital-based study including patients with a present snakebite or a history of snakebite, 2) a district level community study in all panchayats, target about 9000 inhabitants to identify and track people who had a recent snakebite. The study is very useful and timely for the India situation and globally in the NTD discussions. Some descriptions of some methods are not very clearly (see three points below). The additional minor points (see further below) can be addressed relatively easily.

To be addressed:

- 1. I would recommend a patient inclusion flow diagram. The exact number of hospital patients to be included is not clear nor the expected number per panchayat. It is unclear how hospital patients with a history of snakebite are identified and included for an interview in addition to the 62 presenting patients. It is unclear if at the community level what the sample framework is (sample 108,458 or 6904 persons? Percentage of total panchayat population?). Will there be an attempt to identify the missing fatal cases in the past, through registries or verbal autopsies? Any limitations to recall bias to take into account?
- 2. A DALY approach is proposed, yet, in spite of the many DALY refs, it is unclear how conform this will be to the international (Seattle-based) standards, especially the way disabilityweights are established, like is done in other countries, through professionals and, possibly, at community levels. Only a (clinical) VAS approach is proposed, which is used in QALYs computations, using EuroQol versions. Presently, this sounds like quite a mixed bag. Perhaps this can be added in a better narrative in a Box. Will there be controls i.e. health matches? How will the findings be validated / compared against the present BOD weights? Against other India studies?
- 3. How is snakebite short-term and long-term morbidity defined? In clinical terms? How are the VAS and clinical data reconciled, statistically?

Minor points

- How are data queries handled?
- Is there a quality assurance process, collecting data at the community and/or at the hospital? How?
- Is the a specific pilot / testing protocol / field training on the use of VAS in illiterate participants?
- Can one add a scored STROBE check list, please?
- What are the challenges of generalisability? How are findings extrapolated at Kerala / all India level (as state 'country')?
- What kind of costing is taking place? Perspective? Time horizon? Which checklist is used? CHEERS?
- Some wording needs to be improved like in the Abstract: 'methods are calculated and presented'.
- Can the actual guidelines used for the BOD analysis and the community disability weights be added?
- Is EuroQol approval needed for use of the VAS?

Is the rationale for, and objectives of, the study clearly described?

Yes

Is the study design appropriate for the research question?

Yes

Are sufficient details of the methods provided to allow replication by others? Partly

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?

Not applicable

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: health economics and epidemiology in particular burden of disease methods and clinical studies.

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 27 May 2021

Jaideep Menon, Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences, Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, India

Dr Louis Niessen

The study protocol describes the various sub-studies needed to estimate the health and economic burden from snakebite in Kerala, conform the global BOD approach. There are 1) hospital-based study including patients with a present snakebite or a history of snakebite, 2) a district level community study in all panchayats, target about 9000 inhabitants to identify and track people who had a recent snakebite. The study is very useful and timely for the India situation and globally in the NTD discussions. Some descriptions of some methods are not very clearly (see three points below). The additional minor points (see further below) can be addressed relatively easily.

To be addressed:

1. I would recommend a patient inclusion flow diagram. The exact number of hospital patients to be included is not clear nor the expected number per panchayat. It is unclear how hospital patients with a history of snakebite are identified and included for an interview in addition to the 62 presenting patients. It is unclear if at the community level what the sample framework is (sample 108,458 or 6904 persons? Percentage of total panchayat population?). Will there be an attempt to identify the missing fatal cases in the past, through registries or verbal autopsies? Any limitations to recall bias to take into account? Response: We have now included a patient inclusion flow diagram to provide an overview of the patients included for the study. The hospitalised patients will be sub-set of the population survey however they will be subjected to EQ-6D-3L and VAS in addition to other details as mentioned in Annexure 3. The total sample in the population for the

epidemiological component is 108,458 persons representing 3.18% of the total population of Ernakulam district. Our studies uses ASHAs who are attached to each Gram Panchayat to report the episodes related to snakebites, and has regular interaction with the community. Due to long collaborations of working with ASHAs by JCM in Ernakulam district it is assumed that ASHAs will have knowledge of snakebite victims in each Gram Panchayat based on questioning with villagers. We will not be able to corroborate any missing cases with registries as data for 2018 year on causes of death is only available in February 2021. We do not aim to use verbal autopsy methods as snakebite is an easily reported event due to the circumstantial and symptomatic evidence as gathered from the community/family member as well as treating clinician.

2. A DALY approach is proposed, yet, in spite of the many DALY refs, it is unclear how conform this will be to the international (Seattle-based) standards, especially the way disability-weights are established, like is done in other countries, through professionals and, possibly, at community levels. Only a (clinical) VAS approach is proposed, which is used in QALYs computations, using EuroQol versions. Presently, this sounds like quite a mixed bag. Perhaps this can be added in a better narrative in a Box. Will there be controls i.e. health matches? How will the findings be validated / compared against the present BOD weights? Against other India studies?

Response: We have revised the protocol to the use of EQ-6D-3L approach using modified card sorting method to visualise the entire description of health states. The modified CS process using EQ-6D-3L which has been validated in previous studies in Indian settings will not only act as a validation tool but also as a 'warm-up" for the entire valuation exercises. Our use of EQ-6D-3L is is also due to the fact that other techniques such as Standard Gamble,TTO, PTO, patient elicitation and DCE are complicated to administer among rural and semi-literature populations. There are other studies that have used EQ-6D-3L (Lobo et.al. 2019; Mahpatra et. al., 2000) hence our findings will be validated against these studies published previously. We will not be using any healthy controls as this is not the focus of current study, and might be something to be conducted in future. We have also used pictorial diagrams to be used in vignettes of EQ-6D-3L component so that the valuers can visualize the entire description of health states.

3. How is snakebite short-term and long-term morbidity defined? In clinical terms? How are the VAS and clinical data reconciled, statistically?

We are using 1-month period as short-term morbidity and long-term morbidity between 1-3 months duration. We will be capturing clinical data of patients on whom VAS will be administered and will use severity as per definition used in our study using standard statistical methods

Minor points

How are data queries handled?

Any data queries will be handled by a data access committee, the details are now mentioned in the revised manuscript.

• Is there a quality assurance process, collecting data at the community and/or at the hospital? How?

Quality checks of Annexure 1 will be conducted by JCM on 1% of total sampled patients to corroborate data collection collected by field officers. For hospitalised cases, JKJ or VVP will

reconduct among sample of patients (5% of patients) for validation of responses. In case the differences of EQ-6D-3L or VAS scores are substantial then the choice of scores will be used based on discussions with JCM, JKJ or VVP and will be arrived through consensus.

• Is the a specific pilot / testing protocol / field training on the use of VAS in illiterate participants?

Both the questionnaires used in the study will be pre-tested among 5-6 participants prior to any finalisation and administration to the entire sample.

Can one add a scored STROBE check list, please?

STROBE checklists are used for reporting of studies hence we have not provided scores as part of the protocol. We have also added the use of cost of illness checklist by Larg and Moss (2011) to report economic components of the study.

• What are the challenges of generalisability? How are findings extrapolated at Kerala / all India level (as state 'country')?

Our study methods and findings will be adapted after any suitable modifications to the ICMR-funded national study that JCM is PI and other members are Co-PIs. We will also be repeating the community derived disability weights methods in other states for generalisability of findings from our study to other states.

• What kind of costing is taking place? Perspective? Time horizon? Which checklist is used? CHEERS?

We will be using an expenditure method to derive cost of treatment and indirect cost to calculate economic costs (i.e. a prevalence approach of cost of illness method). This will be conducted using a societal perspective with a time horizon to a maximum of 12 months prior to data collection. We will be using a questionnaire to capture the resources used for treatment along with costs. Additionally costs of funeral and any subsidy through government insurance and any other means will also be captured. We will use cost of illness checklist by Larg and Moss (2011) to report our study findings.

• Some wording needs to be improved like in the Abstract: 'methods are calculated and presented'.

Abstract has been revised suitably.

• Can the actual guidelines used for the BOD analysis and the community disability weights be added?

We have mentioned use of Vignettes and EQ-6D-3L description system along with DW calculations mentioned. The methods for calculating DW mentioned in our study has been used in previous studies in Indian settings.

• Is EuroQol approval needed for use of the VAS?

No.

In addition Dr Amitava Banerjee (UCL) a long-term GBD collaborator in onboard the study team to help navigate the GBD part of the study.

• Is the rationale for, and objectives of, the study clearly described? Yes

- Is the study design appropriate for the research question?
- Yes

• Are sufficient details of the methods provided to allow replication by others? Partly

• Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format? We have presented the datasets in an online repository available at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14061215.v1

Competing Interests No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise health economics and epidemiology in particular burden of disease methods and clinical studies.

Competing Interests: none

Reviewer Report 23 March 2021

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.54070.r81324

© **2021 Faiz A.** This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

? Abul M. Faiz

Scientist, Dev Care Foundation, Dhaka, Bangladesh

Snakebite is labelled as 'injuries' which is not entirely true. This research will be used for further research and policy formulation, instead may be stated as utilized for policy decision and further research.

Title: aim and objectives may be mentioned/ changed as 'Objectives with division: General objective (s), and specific objectives.

Being an emergency situation snakebite attending at OPD is unlikely and to be replaced by emergency department or in-patient department, also better to include any victims of snakebite.

Study design: some contradictory statement are there: adults but mentioned that consent from a Child below 18 will be taken from parent or guardian. It would be better to include all patients irrespective of age. Why children will be excluded is not clear.

Duration of study was 12 months with 9 months retrospective and three months prospective is a concern as the data for disability should be on a prior fixed interval from bite or else one may underestimate some sequelae. It should be clearly mentioned which time point for detection of sequelae following bite will be used. There are distinct two segments of the study- epidemiological

and economic aspects- should be clarified.

Snakebite is not 'poisoning' which should be corrected.

Data analytical plan to be provided.

Disability: it should be clearly mentioned which group of patients will be interviewed retrospective or prospective or both.

For the data to be collected in the Case record form there should be a check list and definition for uniformity in data collection with special note on the elements of costing.

How the psychological disability will be identified is not clear.

Informed consent: The consent form will be only in English or both in English and local language. For children, assent from will be required.

Annex 2: Clarity is needed in many fields, for example, how the species of snake will be identified, some fields are vague, example- localized reaction.

Definition is required in some files, for example adverse reaction.

Is the rationale for, and objectives of, the study clearly described? Partly

Is the study design appropriate for the research question?

Partly

Are sufficient details of the methods provided to allow replication by others?

Are the datasets clearly presented in a useable and accessible format?

Partly

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Clinician with special interest in snakebite, poisoning and infectious diseases

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 27 May 2021

Jaideep Menon, Amrita Institute of Medical Sciences, Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, India

DR M Abul Faiz

Abul M Faiz

Snakebite is labelled as 'injuries' which is not entirely true. This research will be used for further research and policy formulation, instead may be stated as utilized for policy decision and further research.

Title: aim and objectives may be mentioned/ changed as 'Objectives with division: General objective (s), and specific objectives.

Response: Our study has 3 primary objectives i.e. estimating epidemiological and economic burden and community-derived disability weights. Hence we are not reporting as general and specific objectives.

Being an emergency situation snakebite attending at OPD is unlikely and to be replaced by emergency department or in-patient department, also better to include any victims of snakebite.

Response: The DALY study is planned in patients treated for snakebite in the immediate three months prior to the start of the study, the assessment for which would occur on follow-up visit as an out-patient.

Study design: some contradictory statement are there: adults but mentioned that consent from a Child below 18 will be taken from parent or guardian. It would be better to include all patients irrespective of age. Why children will be excluded is not clear.

Response: We have now added that response from the child along with those from adult parents or care-givers will be conducted.

Duration of study was 12 months with 9 months retrospective and three months prospective is a concern as the data for disability should be on a prior fixed interval from bite or else one may underestimate some sequelae. It should be clearly mentioned which time point for detection of sequelae following bite will be used. There are distinct two segments of the study- epidemiological and economic aspects- should be clarified.

Response: The estimation of epidemiological and economic components will be taken for 12 months duration to estimate annual incidence and economic costs. For the estimation of community-derived disability weights we have used 3 months duration in order to capture the hospitalisation details along with short-term disability aspects. We feel that using a longer time period would induce recall bias of short-term disability by the respondents.

Snakebite is not 'poisoning' which should be corrected.

Response: We have now corrected to injury other than due to snakebite.

Data analytical plan to be provided.

Response: The data analytical plan is mentioned in the Statistical Analysis sections and has detailed all the primary objectives.

Disability: it should be clearly mentioned which group of patients will be interviewed retrospective or prospective or both.

Response: All snakebite victims will be identified who have been bitten in the past. Patient identification is retrospective in nature.

For the data to be collected in the Case record form there should be a check list and definition for uniformity in data collection with special note on the elements of costing.

Response: We have used cost of illness checklist of Larg& Moss to capture cost elements.

How the psychological disability will be identified is not clear.

Response: Vignettes (pictorial) are used for both cognitive, anxiety and depression in line with the Euro-QoL methods. The victim identifies her level of distress from the vignettes presented and not on the basis of any other formal mental health scoring system.

Informed consent: The consent form will be only in English or both in English and local language. For children, assent from will be required.

Response: We will be using consent form in English or Malayalam as understood by the respondent. We have now added that an assent form for children will be used.

Annex 2: Clarity is needed in many fields, for example, how the species of snake will be identified, some fields are vague, example- localized reaction.

Response: Species would be reported only in case of the victim being absolutely sure of the species or if the killed/captured snake was verified and reported so. Local signs of envenomation limited to the bite site is what is intended in the Questionnaire.

Definition is required in some files, for example adverse reaction.

Response: The field officers responsible for entering data would be trained on entry and possible responses. The initial entries are done under supervision of one of the investigators.

Competing Interests: none

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000 Research