## Figure 2. Pooled effect estimates for unadjusted correlation coefficients for with BMI z-scores, by CEBQ scale (continued). Part A. Food Responsiveness

		%	Sample	
Study	ES (95% CI)	Weight	size	
Domoff* (2015) -	0.10 (0.04, 0	.16) 6.45	1002	
Frankell (2014) -	0.18 (0.07, 0	.29) 5.65	296	
Fuemmeler* (2013)	0.20 (0.07, 0	.33) 5.30	213	
Gregory (2010)	0.20 (0.01, 0	.39) 4.28	106	
Hankey (2016) —	0.22 (0.03, 0	.41) 4.27	104	
Jansen (2012)	0.22 (0.18, 0	.25) 6.74	3157	
Koch (2014)	0.45 (0.41, 0	.49) 6.66	1657	
Silva Garcia* (2016)	0.15 (0.01, 0	.29) 5.10	186	
Soussignan* (2012)	• 0.40 (0.11, 0	.69) 2.81	40	
Vollmer* (2015)	0.17 (0.02, 0	.33) 4.81	150	
Webber (a)* (2009)	0.15 (0.03, 0	.27) 5.53	265	
Boswell (2018)	0.10 (0.03, 0	.16) 6.44	977	
Larsen (2017)	0.30 (0.17, 0	.43) 5.32	206	
Pesch (2018)	0.29 (0.16, 0	.42) 5.41	223	
Roach (2017)	• 0.37 (0.13, 0	.61) 3.51	61	
Cross* (2014) —	0.18 (0.07, 0	.29) 5.66	299	
Rudy (2016)	0.16 (0.02, 0	.30) 5.07	181	
Emond* (2017)	<b>0.37 (0.23, 0</b>	.51) 5.20	178	
Escobar* (2014) -	0.18 (0.08, 0	.28) 5.79	340	
Overall (I-squared = 88.0%, p = 0.000)	0.22 (0.16, 0	.29) 100.00		
NOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis				
0	.25 .5 .75			

Figure 2. Pooled effect estimates for unadjusted correlation coefficients for with BMI z-scores, by CEBQ scale (continued). Part B. Enjoyment of Food

Domoff* (2015) Frankell (2014) Fuemmeler* (2013) Hankey (2016) Jansen (2012) Koch (2014) McPhie (2011) Vollmer* (2015)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.18 \ (0.16, \ 0.20) \\ 0.18 \ (0.10, \ 0.26) \\ 0.18 \ (0.12, \ 0.24) \\ 0.20 \ (0.09, \ 0.31) \\ 0.17 \ (0.04, \ 0.30) \\ 0.20 \ (0.01, \ 0.39) \\ 0.16 \ (0.12, \ 0.19) \\ 0.25 \ (0.20, \ 0.30) \\ 0.03 \ (-0.12, \ 0.18) \end{array}$	14.38 6.38 8.54 4.13 3.18 1.74 12.31 10.50	10364 572 1002 296 213 104 3157 1657
Carnell (b) Community (2008) Domoff* (2015) Frankell (2014) Fuemmeler* (2013) Hankey (2016) Jansen (2012) Koch (2014) McPhie (2011) Vollmer* (2015) Webber (a)* (2009)	0.18 (0.12, 0.24) 0.20 (0.09, 0.31) 0.17 (0.04, 0.30) 0.20 (0.01, 0.39) 0.16 (0.12, 0.19) 0.25 (0.20, 0.30) 0.03 (-0.12, 0.18)	8.54 4.13 3.18 1.74 12.31 10.50	1002 296 213 104 3157
Frankell (2014) Fuemmeler* (2013) Hankey (2016) Jansen (2012) Koch (2014) McPhie (2011) Vollmer* (2015)	0.20 (0.09, 0.31) 0.17 (0.04, 0.30) 0.20 (0.01, 0.39) 0.16 (0.12, 0.19) 0.25 (0.20, 0.30) 0.03 (-0.12, 0.18)	4.13 3.18 1.74 12.31 10.50	296 213 104 3157
Fuemmeler* (2013)   Hankey (2016)   Jansen (2012)   Koch (2014)   McPhie (2011)   Vollmer* (2015)	0.17 (0.04, 0.30) 0.20 (0.01, 0.39) 0.16 (0.12, 0.19) 0.25 (0.20, 0.30) 0.03 (-0.12, 0.18)	3.18 1.74 12.31 10.50	213 104 3157
Hankey (2016) Jansen (2012) Koch (2014) McPhie (2011) Vollmer* (2015)	0.20 (0.01, 0.39) 0.16 (0.12, 0.19) 0.25 (0.20, 0.30) 0.03 (-0.12, 0.18)	1.74 12.31 10.50	104 3157
Jansen (2012) Koch (2014) McPhie (2011) Vollmer* (2015)	0.16 (0.12, 0.19) 0.25 (0.20, 0.30) 0.03 (-0.12, 0.18)	12.31 10.50	3157
Koch (2014) McPhie (2011) Vollmer* (2015)	0.25 (0.20, 0.30) 0.03 (-0.12, 0.18)	10.50	
McPhie (2011) Vollmer* (2015)	0.03 (-0.12, 0.18)		1657
Vollmer* (2015)			
		2.64	175
Webber (a)* (2009)	0.09 (-0.07, 0.25)	2.33	150
	0.06 (-0.06, 0.18)	3.71	266
Boswell (2018)	0.07 (0.01, 0.13)	8.34	977
Pesch (2018)	0.22 (0.09, 0.35)	3.35	223
Roach (2017)	0.36 (0.12, 0.60)	1.15	61
Cross* (2014)	0.20 (0.08, 0.31)	4.16	299
Rudy (2016)	0.16 (0.02, 0.30)	2.78	181
Emond* (2017)	0.22 (0.08, 0.36)	2.79	178
Bergmeier (2014)	0.22 (0.08, 0.36)	3.08	201
Escobar* (2014)	0.14 (0.04, 0.25)	4.50	340
Overall (I-squared = 49.4%, p = 0.008)	0.17 (0.14, 0.20)	100.00	

Figure 2. Pooled effect estimates for unadjusted correlation coefficients for with BMI z-scores, by CEBQ scale (continued). Part C. Emotional Overeating




Figure 2. Pooled effect estimates for unadjusted correlation coefficients for with BMI z-scores, by CEBQ scale (continued). Part D. Desire to Drink




Figure 2. Pooled effect estimates for unadjusted correlation coefficients for with BMI z-scores, by CEBQ scale (continued). Part E. Satiety Responsiveness

				%	Sample
Study			ES (95% CI)	Weight	size
Domoff* (2015)			-0.18 (-0.24, -0.12)	9.53	1002
Frankell (2014) -	•		-0.22 (-0.33, -0.11)	5.71	296
Hankey (2016)			-0.20 (-0.39, -0.01)	2.73	104
Jansen (2012)	<b></b>		-0.24 (-0.27, -0.20)	11.87	3157
Quah* (2017)			-0.11 (-0.19, -0.03)	8.12	636
Silva Garcia* (2016) —	•		-0.24 (-0.38, -0.10)	4.29	186
Soussignan* (2012) 🛛 🔹 💌	— i 🛛 🛔		-0.52 (-0.79, -0.25)	1.50	40
Tay (a)* (2016) -	•		-0.28 (-0.32, -0.24)	10.99	1782
Vollmer* (2015) -	•		-0.20 (-0.36, -0.04)	3.63	150
Webber (a)* (2009)			-0.20 (-0.32, -0.08)	5.32	265
Boswell (2018)			-0.10 (-0.17, -0.04)	9.40	977
Pesch (2018)	•		-0.26 (-0.39, -0.13)	4.87	223
Roach (2017)	•		-0.29 (-0.53, -0.05)	1.80	61
Cross* (2014) -			-0.22 (-0.33, -0.11)	5.75	299
Rudy (2016) —	•		-0.22 (-0.36, -0.08)	4.18	181
Emond* (2017)	•		-0.26 (-0.40, -0.12)	4.19	178
Escobar* (2014)			-0.17 (-0.28, -0.06)	6.11	340
Overall (I-squared = 56.7%, p = 0.002) NOTE: Weights are from random effects an			-0.21 (-0.24, -0.17)	100.00	

Figure 2. Pooled effect estimates for unadjusted correlation coefficients for with BMI z-scores, by CEBQ scale (continued). Part F. Slowness in Eating




Figure 2. Pooled effect estimates for unadjusted correlation coefficients for with BMI z-scores, by CEBQ scale (continued). Part G. Food Fussiness




Figure 2. Pooled effect estimates for unadjusted correlation coefficients for with BMI z-scores, by CEBQ scale (continued). Part H. Emotional Undereating

