Aortic leaflet stress in surgery for genetically determined root aneurysms: biomechanical insights

To the Editor

We are grateful to Tasca, Selmi and colleagues for their comparative biomechanical study of valve sparing root replacement by Sleeve or David techniques.[1] We believe that their results and our own studies of flow and wall stress after personalised external aortic root support (PEARS) are complementary.[2-5] We have not studied the aortic valve leaflets but their observations add further reassuring information.[1] In the 1990s when surgeons were achieving consistently good results with the modern Bentall, one of the unknowns in adopting a valve sparing approach was whether the valve leaflets were prone to tissue failure. The leaflets have proved durable but this study indicates that preserved leaflet-sinus continuity may be a favourable feature of the Sleeve compared with David. This advantage is likely to apply with PEARS in which all the relationships are completely unchanged and the vascular endothelium is intact. Simplifying and reducing complications seem to be worthwhile and achievable goals. Considering our own biomechanical results,[2-5] our animal studies[6;7] and autopsy findings[8] we are optimistic that the PEARS mesh/aorta composite will offer the stability required to allow long term aortic valve function.

Cemil Izgi¹ Raad Mohiaddin^{1,2} Xiao Yun Xu² John Pepper^{1,2} Tom Treasure³

¹Royal Brompton Hospital ²Imperial College London ³University College London

Reference List

- 1 Tasca G, Selmi M, Votta E, Redaelli P, Sturla F, Redaelli A, Gamba A: Aortic Root Biomechanics After Sleeve and David Sparing Techniques: A Finite Element Analysis. Ann Thorac Surg 2017;103:1451-1459.
- 2 Izgi C, Nyktari E, Alpendurada F, Bruengger AS, Pepper J, Treasure T, Mohiaddin R: Effect of personalized external aortic root support on aortic root motion and distension in Marfan syndrome patients. Int J Cardiol 2015;197:154-160.
- 3 Singh SD, Xu XY, Pepper JR, Treasure T, Mohiaddin RH: Biomechanical properties of the Marfan's aortic root and ascending aorta before and after personalised external aortic root support surgery. Med Eng Phys 2015;37:759-766.

- 4 Singh SD, Xu XY, Wood NB, Pepper JR, Izgi C, Treasure T, Mohiaddin RH: Aortic flow patterns before and after personalised external aortic root support implantation in Marfan patients. J Biomech 2016;49:100-111.
- 5 Singh SD, Xu XY, Pepper JR, Izgi C, Treasure T, Mohiaddin RH: Effects of aortic root motion on wall stress in the Marfan aorta before and after personalised aortic root support (PEARS) surgery. J Biomech 2016;49:2076-2084.
- 6 Van Hoof L, Verbrugghe P, Verbeken E, Treasure T, Famaey N, Meuris B, Herijgers P, Rega F: Support of the aortic wall: a histological study in sheep comparing a macroporous mesh with low-porosity vascular graft of the same polyethylene terephthalate material. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2017.
- 7 Verbrugghe P, Verbeken E, Pepper J, Treasure T, Meyns B, Meuris B, Herijgers P, Rega F: External aortic root support: a histological and mechanical study in sheep. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2013;17:334-339.
- 8 Pepper J, Goddard M, Mohiaddin R, Treasure T: Histology of a Marfan aorta 4.5 years after personalized external aortic root support. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2015;48:502-505.