

Effects of periodontal therapy on arterial blood pressure, vascular function and immunometabolic status: A systematic review and meta-analysis.

Short running title: Periodontal treatment and hypertension

Shiv Sharma¹, Swathi Sridhar¹, Alasdair McIntosh⁵, Claudia-Martina Messow⁵, Eva-Munoz Aguilera⁶, Rita Del Pinto⁷, Davide Pietropaoli⁸, Renata Górska⁹, Mateusz Siedlinski⁴, Maciej Tomaszewski¹⁰, Tomasz J. Guzik^{2,4}, Francesco D'Aiuto⁶, Marta Czesnikiewicz-Guzik^{1,3}

1.Oral Sciences Research Group, Glasgow Dental Hospital and School, School of Medicine, Dentistry and Nursing, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK.

2.Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

3.Department of Experimental Dentistry and Dental Prophylaxis, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland;

4.Department of Internal and Agricultural Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Cracow, Poland

5.Robertson Centre for Biostatistics, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

6.Periodontology Unit, University College London, Eastman Dental Institute, London, UK;

7. Department of Life, Health and Environmental Sciences, San Salvatore Hospital, University of L'Aquila - Unit of Internal Medicine and Nephrology, Center for Hypertension and Cardiovascular Prevention - Oral Diseases and Systemic interactions study group (ODISSY group), L'Aquila, Italy.

8. Department of Life, Health and Environmental Sciences, San Salvatore Hospital, University of L'Aquila - Dental Clinic - Unit of Oral Diseases, Prevention and Translational Research – Oral Diseases and Systemic interactions study group (ODISSY group), L'Aquila, Italy.

9. Department of Periodontology and Oral Mucosa Disorders, University Dental Center, University of Warsaw, Warszawa, Poland

10. Division of Cardiovascular Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

Funding:

- 1) Postgraduate research fund of University of Glasgow
- 2) Statutory grant of Jagiellonian University N41/DBS/000241

Corresponding author:

Dr Marta Czesnikiewicz-Guzik BDS, PhD, FDS RCPS(Glasg)

Dental School, School of Medicine, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences
University of Glasgow
Glasgow Dental School
378 Sauchiehall St
room I6
Glasgow G2 3JZ
Direct Line: +44 (0) 141 211 9651
E-mail: marta.czesnikiewicz-guzik@glasgow.ac.uk

name	ORCID number	e-mail	Authors contribution
Shiv Sharma	0000-0002-0108-896X	2434782S@student.gla.ac.uk	Collected the data, preformed analysis, wrote a manuscript
Swathi Sridhar	0000-0002-7901-3327	2501780S@student.gla.ac.uk	Supported writing the method section
Alasdair McIntosh	0000-0003-2534-8647		verified the analytical methods
Claudia-Martina Messow ⁵	0000-0003-0082-9946	Martina.Messow@glasgow.ac.uk	verified the analytical methods
Eva-Munoz Aguilera	0000-0002-2225-5624.	eva.aguilera.15@ucl.ac.uk	contributed to the final version of the manuscript.
Rita Del Pinto	0000-0003-4058-0655	ritadelpinto@gmail.com	contributed to the final version of the manuscript.
Davide Pietropaoli	0000-0001-9585-1809	davidepietropaoli@gmail.com	verified results and contributed to the final version of the manuscript.
Renata Górská	0000-0002-2769-7587	r_gorska@interia.pl	contributed to the final version of the manuscript.
Mateusz Siedlinski	0000-0001-7682-6122	davidepietropaoli@gmail.com	discussed and verified the results and contributed to the final manuscript.
Maciej Tomaszewski	0000-0001-8215-6567	maciej.tomaszewski@manchester.ac.uk	contributed to the final version of the manuscript.
Tomasz J. Guzik	0000-0002-5039-7849)	Tomasz.Guzik@glasgow.ac.uk	helped supervise the project, verified results and contributed to the final version of the manuscript.
Frencesco D'Aiuto	0000-0001-8654-935X	f.daiuto@ucl.ac.uk	contributed to the final version of the manuscript.
Marta Czesnikiewicz-Guzik	0000-0002-7444-1373	marta.czesnikiewicz-guzik@glasgow.ac.uk	Conceived and designed the analysis, collected the data, preformed analysis, wrote a manuscript

Abstract

Aim: Quantitative comparison of the effects of intensive (IPT) or conventional (CPT) periodontal treatment on arterial blood pressure, endothelial function and inflammatory/metabolic biomarkers.

Materials and Methods: A systematic search was conducted to identify randomized controlled trials (RCT) of IPT (supra and subgingival instrumentation). Eight RCTs were included in the meta-analysis. Difference in change of systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) before and after IPT or CPT were the primary outcomes. The secondary outcomes included: endothelial function and selected inflammatory (CRP, IL-6, IL-10, IFN- γ) and metabolic biomarkers (HDL, LDL, TGs).

Results: The overall effect estimates (pooled Weighted Mean Difference (WMD)) of the primary outcome for SBP and DBP was -4.3 mmHg [95%CI:-9.10-0.48], $p=0.08$ and -3.16 mmHg [95%CI:-6.51-0.19], $p=0.06$ respectively. These studies were characterised by high heterogeneity. Therefore, random effects model for meta-analysis was performed. Sub-group analyses confirmed statistically significant reduction in SBP [WMD =-11.41mmHg(95%CI:-13.66,-9.15) $P<0.00001$] and DBP [WMD=-8.43 mmHg (95%CI:-10.96,-5.91) $P<0.00001$] after IPT vs CPT among prehypertensive/hypertensive patients, while this was not observed in normotensive individuals. The meta-analyses showed significant reductions in CRP and improvement of endothelial function following IPT at all analysed timepoints.

Conclusions: IPT leads to improvement of the cardiovascular health in hypertensive and prehypertensive individuals.

Keywords: Periodontitis, hypertension, endothelial dysfunction, inflammation, LDL

Conflict of Interest Statement:

None of the authors declare conflict of interests in relation to the date of the submitted article.

Clinical relevance

Scientific rationale for the study: Observational and genetic evidence strongly suggest causal relationship between periodontitis and hypertension. However, the evidence that periodontitis treatment affects on blood pressure is currently inconclusive

Principal findings: Intensive periodontal intervention results in significant reduction of the systolic and diastolic blood pressure in hypertensive and pre-hypertensive patients. These changes were accompanied by the improvement of endothelial function and reduction in CRP level in all both hypertensive/prehypertensive and normotensive patients who underwent intensive periodontal treatment

Practical implications: Improvement of periodontal health leads to better blood pressure control in hypertensive and pre-hypertensive subjects. Periodontal treatment could enhance the efficacy of anti-hypertensive therapy.

1.INTRODUCTION

Hypertension, defined as chronically increased blood pressure above 140/90 mmHg, affects more than 30% of adults of the world population becoming a leading risk factor for heart attack, stroke, chronic kidney disease, heart failure, cognitive impairment and dementia (Williams et al., 2018) . According to the World Health Organisation, hypertension is the main contributor to human mortality and accounts for 51% of deaths from strokes and 45% of overall mortality from cardiovascular diseases (Muñoz Aguilera et al., 2020; Williams et al., 2018). The pathogenesis of hypertension is complex involving several organs such as: heart, vasculature, brain with sympathetic nervous system and kidney (Drummond, Vinh, Guzik, & Sobey, 2019; T. J. Guzik, Marvar, Czesnikiewicz-Guzik, & Korbut, 2007). For years, the focus of research has been mostly placed on two key systems of blood pressure regulation: sympathetic nervous system (SNS) and the renin–angiotensin– aldosterone system (RAAS) (Drummond et al., 2019). A majority of current treatment strategies for hypertension target these BP regulatory mechanisms. Nevertheless, lowering blood pressure to the target is unsuccessful in almost 40% of patients with hypertension (Drummond et al., 2019) . This fact is partially explained by poor treatment adherence and indicates that additional mechanisms may regulate blood pressure. Over the past decade, the role of systemic activation of the immune system in hypertension has been uncovered (Tomasz J. Guzik et al., 2007; Harrison et al., 2011; Higaki, Caillon, Paradis, & Schiffrin, 2019). An overarching concept postulates that activated immune cells may accumulate in blood vessels, kidneys and brain and promote local chronic inflammatory response that disrupts the blood pressure-regulating functions of these organs, leading to hypertension(T. J. Guzik, P. J. Marvar, et al., 2007; T. J. Guzik, Skiba, Touyz, & Harrison, 2017). However, the mechanisms of immune cells activation that interfere with blood pressure regulation are not fully elucidated. There are a few hypotheses regarding T cells activation ranging from the search of neoantigens to the concept of other chronic inflammatory diseases, such as periodontal diseases and rheumatoid arthritis, being the trigger of immune cells pre-activation. Accumulating body of evidence from mice model experiments, clinical trials, mendelian randomization and meta-analytical approaches documents periodontal disease as a new risk factor for hypertension (Czesnikiewicz-Guzik, Nosalski, et al., 2019; Czesnikiewicz-Guzik, Osmenda, et al., 2019; Muñoz Aguilera et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2017). All these studies demonstrate a significant contribution of periodontal disease to the development of hypertension. In this context, periodontal treatment appears as a new, attractive non-

pharmacological treatment of hypertension. Unfortunately, randomized clinical trials available that address this question are relatively small (Czesnikiewicz-Guzik, Osmenda, et al., 2019; Francesco D'Aiuto et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2017). To address this question in a more robust statistical manner, we performed a systematic review and meta-analysis.

Herein, we conducted a critical appraisal and synthesis of the evidence on the impact of IPT on blood pressure, vascular function and immunometabolic parameters in comparison to CPT. The specific research design was based on the following PICO elements: Population: normotensive, hypertensive and pre-hypertensive individuals with moderate or severe periodontitis; Intervention: Non-surgical periodontal therapy with both supra and subgingival instrumentation (intensive periodontal therapy - IPT); Comparison: No intervention group or supragingival debridement only (control periodontal therapy – CPT); Outcome: Changes in mean SBP and DBP levels following periodontal therapy

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Protocol registration

The systematic review protocol was registered in PROSPERO (International prospective register of systematic reviews) with ID CRD42020173133. The PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist was used (Shamseer et al., 2015) (**Appendix S1**).

2.2 Primary and secondary outcomes

The primary outcomes of interest in this meta-analysis were the differences in change in blood pressure (SBP and DBP) between patients undergoing IPT and control patients with no treatment or supragingival scaling alone.

The secondary outcomes included:

- 1) the difference in change in the endothelial function as measured by the flow mediated dilatation (FMD)
- 2) the difference in change in systemic levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, IL-10, IFN- γ) and CRP)
- 3) the difference in change in levels of lipids (HDL, LDL and triglycerides) between patients undergoing IPT and CPT

2.3 Inclusion/exclusion criteria

Randomized clinical trials/studies using intensive and control periodontal therapy in subjects with diagnosis of generalized moderate and severe periodontitis in which periodontal status and SBP/DBP values were reported at the beginning and at the end of the follow-up period were included. **(Appendix S2.)**

2.4 Types of periodontal interventions

Intervention groups undergoing non-surgical periodontal therapy in the form of supra- and subgingival instrumentation were compared with either no periodontal treatment or supra-gingival scaling in the control group.

2.5 Search methods for identification of the studies

The detailed search strategy with search terms appropriate for each database is provided in **Appendix S3**. Five electronic databases MEDLINE(OVID), EMBASE (OVID), Cochrane CENTRAL, Web of Science and CINAHL were searched up to 23 March 2020 without any restriction for the time frame. Reference lists from the included studies were hand searched to retrieve relevant studies not identified through other search methods. We employed no language restrictions in our search.

The continuation of the method description is placed in the Appendix session **(Appendix S4)**

3. RESULTS

3.1 Study selection

The combined search of the five databases resulted in the identification of a total of 729 potentially eligible studies and subsequently 506 papers, after removal of duplicates. 506 studies were then screened for relevance by examining the titles and abstracts. This screening resulted in 33 studies eligible for full text assessment. After a reading of the full text, 25 studies were excluded. This left eight randomized controlled trials which met the inclusion criteria and were included for data extraction and meta-analysis **(Figure 1)**.

3.2 Study characteristics – Appendix S10

3.2 Impact of periodontal treatment on clinical periodontal parameters

All the reported studies demonstrated significant improvement in the clinical measures of periodontitis such as probing pocket depth (PPD), clinical attachment loss (CAL) and bleeding on probing (BOP) assessment after treatment in the intervention group compared to the controls **(Appendix S11)**. One of the most important criteria in this review was the documentation of the improvement in periodontal status. Most of the studies published in the past did not report

periodontal outcomes following periodontal therapy, focusing mainly on the cardiovascular parameters.

3.4 Synthesis of results (Meta-analysis)

Primary outcome

In this review, eight RCTs were subjected to meta-analysis and the overall effect of IPT on change in SBP/DBP between the intervention and the control groups was estimated. **Figure 2** shows that the overall effect on reduction of SBP and DBP has the trend towards favouring the intervention group, although it was not statistically significant. The amount of heterogeneity, I^2 in our meta-analyses was 76% and 73% respectively, representing considerable heterogeneity between the included studies. Therefore, the results of the random effects model are presented. The funnel plot for these analyses shows some degree of asymmetry (**Appendix S8**), in particular for SBP.

In 3/8 analysed studies, 212 patients randomized were hypertensive or pre-hypertensive, while in remaining 5 studies, 586 subjects were normotensive. Accordingly, we next analysed the effects of IPT on blood pressure in subgroups of hypertensive/pre-hypertensive and normotensive patients. This analysis demonstrated a statistically significant reduction in mean SBP and DBP changes in the intervention group when compared to the controls in studies with hypertensive/pre-hypertensive patients (**Figure 3**). In studies with normotensive patients the reduction in SBP and DBP was not significant. The test for subgroup differences indicates that there is a statistically significant subgroup effect ($P < 0.00001$).

A second key element suspected to add heterogeneity was different length of follow up. Summarizing together the results which were obtained 2,3,6 and 12 months after treatment did not give biological plausibility. Therefore, the studies were categorized into time-point 1 (follow-up up to 3 months), time-point 2 (values at 6 months) and time-point 3 (values at 12 months follow-up). **Appendix S12** shows the results obtained when analysed separately by time point. Within each time point, there remained considerable heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0.78$ at time

1 and 2). To further investigate this, a mixed effects meta-regression model predicting the difference in change from baseline in blood pressure between the intervention and the control group from hypertension at baseline, time point of follow-up (as a continuous variable) and the interaction of both was used. The interaction of time of follow-up and hypertension was significant for both SBP and DBP ($p=0.0002$ and $p=0.0014$ respectively). While there was little difference in blood pressure change between the intervention and the control group in the studies in normotensive participants across all time points. The difference in blood pressure change between the intervention and the control group in studies in hypertensive participants was found to increase over time. **Figure 4** shows the pooled effect estimates for SBP and DBP by time point and hypertension at baseline.

Secondary outcomes

Effect of periodontal treatment on endothelial function:

The pooled estimate of the treatment effect on the endothelial function (measured by % FMD) was 1.49% (95% CI 0.9 to 2.08, $p<0.0001$) favouring the intervention group (**Figure 5**).

Effect on circulating proinflammatory mediators and cardiometabolic markers:

Our meta-analyses confirmed that IPT is associated with significant reductions in the serum levels of CRP at all timepoints as shown in (**Figure 6 A**). However, no significant differences in change of the levels of other pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-6 and IFN- γ were observed (**Figure 6 B, D**). Additionally, no significant differences in change of anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 levels were found (**Figure 6 C**). Lipids (HDL-C, LDL-C and TGs) showed no statistically significant differences in change between the intervention and control groups at all investigated timepoints (**Figure 6 E-G**).

3.6 Sensitivity analyses in relation to correlation assumptions

Sensitivity analyses have been carried out to assess the effect of the assumption made about the correlation between baseline and follow-up measurement when calculating the standard

deviation of the change from baseline. Three alternative assumptions have been considered: (1) a correlation of 0.1 between baseline and follow-up measurements, (2) a correlation of 0.5 between baseline and follow-up measurements and (3) using the measurement at follow-up instead of change from baseline. **Appendix S13** shows the resulting pooled intervention effect estimates. The effect of the amount of correlation assumed is only minimal and does not affect any of the conclusions. When using the measurement at follow-up only, there is no longer a significant intervention effect on DBP. All other conclusions remain unchanged.

3.7 Risk of bias within studies

The results of the risk of bias assessment are provided in *Supplementary material, Appendix S7*. All the included trials described their random sequence generation and allocation concealment methods and were classified as low risk/unclear risk. Most studies used computer generated permuted block technique for randomization and opaque envelope method for allocation concealment. The information regarding randomization technique was not clear in two studies (Higashi et al., 2008; Tonetti et al., 2007) and two trials were unclear about their method of allocation concealment (Higashi et al., 2009; Higashi et al., 2008). These were categorized as unclear risk of bias. Only in one trial, the statistician was masked (Hada, Garg, Ramteke, & Ratre, 2015). The main reasons for high risk of bias were due to blinding of participants and outcome assessment.

3.8 Strength of Recommendation

Evidence from the RCTs on the impact of IPT on blood pressure regulation were vigorously assessed for a SORT recommendation. The final recommendation, assessed on three essential elements of the individual studies: quality, quantity and consistency of evidence, was grade C(SORT C) based on the disease-oriented evidence. (Newman, Weyant, & Hujoel, 2007).

Discussion

Link between periodontitis and hypertension has been postulated for many years based on observational evidence, however cause-effect association between these two diseases is difficult to study due to existence of many confounding factors. Recently, more clinical and experimental studies supported the role of inflammation and the immune system in the pathogenesis of HTN (Drummond et al., 2019). This has stimulated interest in the relationship between periodontitis-driven chronic inflammatory disease and the development of high blood pressure. Since, the activation of the cells of the immune system appears to play a role in the pathogenesis of hypertension (T. J. Guzik, N. E. Hoch, et al., 2007), it is important to consider potential new prevention strategies and new therapies for hypertension by reducing inflammatory burden. The evidence in support of periodontal treatment to lower blood pressure is still limited as interventional studies are lacking.

Two previous meta-analyses in this field have investigated the link between PD and HTN (Martin-Cabezas et al., 2016; Muñoz Aguilera et al., 2020). Muñoz-Aguilera et al. reported the most recent evidence from cross-sectional and case-control studies about odds ratio of association between periodontitis and hypertension. However, to the best of our knowledge, the current study is the first systematic review with meta-analysis involving only the RCTs, which examined the effect of the periodontitis treatment on blood pressure in patients with moderate to severe periodontitis.

The overall summary from our qualitative and quantitative analyses indicates that IPT decreases SBP and DBP. The pooled effect estimates of all eight trials for SBP and DBP reduction after periodontal treatment is 4.31 mmHg with 95% CI [-9.10 to 0.48] mmHg and 3.16 with 95% CI [-6.51 to 0.19] mmHg respectively. Even though not statistically significant, this could be of clinical significance (Law, Morris, & Wald, 2009). The reason for lack of statistical significance of the analysis might be associated with the huge heterogeneity of

available studies which differ in length of the post-treatment observation, in type of the investigated cohorts in terms of cardiological status and in the genetic background of investigated patients.

Eight studies in the current review were conducted in different geographic locations (cohorts from Europe, Asia and Australia) and therefore might have presented considerable ethnic variability. The general health status of the study participants varied and included subjects with hypertension, pre-hypertension, coronary artery disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus, stable coronary heart disease and normotensives. Moreover, the blood pressure measurement methods used in each study were quite diverse from single to triplicate office blood pressure measurement to 24-hour blood pressure monitoring. The substantial disadvantage of office blood pressure is a potential confounding by white coat effect – especially when the measurements are taken in the dental surgery. According to the recent European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) 2018 Guidelines, Ambulatory BP Monitoring (ABPM) has more clinical and prognostic value (Williams et al., 2018). However, this method is also criticized as giving huge variation in the blood pressure levels during 24-hour observation. The new method recently introduced is unsupervised office blood pressure measurement which is done by the patients without presence of the supervising physician. Nevertheless, none of the published study used this method so far. In the included studies, only one trial by Czesnikiewicz-Guzik et al used 24-hour ABPM. However, it is expensive and has limited availability. Other diversity comes from the fact that control group was either completely untreated or had supragingival scaling done. Supragingival scaling did not destroy anaerobic microbiome in the pocket area but still interfere with the balance of the bacterial load. This diversity comes from the fact that in many countries leaving patients untreated for months of the observation with diagnosis of periodontitis creates significant

ethical issues. This remains a challenging issue in designing the studies and needs to be addressed, so that clear benefit of the intervention is observed.

One of the prerequisite conditions of the study assessing the impact of PT on BP is to monitor if periodontal therapy improved the periodontal status. Some studies in the past, have neglected this aspect, showing no influence of the periodontal therapy on blood pressure without documenting the fact that periodontal therapy was successful. Without curing inflammation in periodontal tissue, one cannot expect any effect on the systemic health improvement. The most important periodontal indices for the assessment of periodontitis status are bleeding on probing (BOP) and probing pocket depth which reflects current status of inflammation. It has been previously shown that gingival bleeding was independently associated with greater odds (OR1/41.42; 95% CI1/41.19–1.68; $P < 0.001$) of high/uncontrolled blood pressure in 5396 adults NHANES III cohort (Pietropaoli et al., 2020). Additionally, clinical attachment loss (CAL) is describing the advancement of the periodontal disease by indicating the amount of the bone loss (Claffey, Nylund, Kiger, Garrett, & Egelberg, 1990; Haffajee et al., 1991). All the included trials showed significant improvement in the clinical periodontal parameters. However, two of the trials (F. D'Aiuto et al., 2018; Tonetti et al., 2007), used presence of plaque and number of pockets deeper more than 4 mm as assessment criteria. Presence of plaque may not be a reliable criterion as host inflammatory response to plaque is highly variable (Darcey & Ashley, 2011). Also, number of pockets deeper more than 4 mm is not fully describing the amount of inflammation. Another major challenge in assessing the link between PD and systemic diseases is the variability in the classification of periodontitis definitions. Even though standardized case definitions for periodontitis have been reported (Eke, Page, Wei, Thornton-Evans, & Genco, 2012; Preshaw, 2009), we found lack of consistency among studies in defining the disease.

Another fact, which might influence the result is a small number of RCTs choosing BP monitoring as a primary outcome, making our analysis a mixture of primary and secondary outcomes studies. In the last 15 years (2006-2020), thirteen RCTs have examined the effect of PT on BP, the most recent in 2019 (Czesnikiewicz-Guzik, Osmenda, et al., 2019). However, only two trials reported changes in BP following PT as the primary outcome (Czesnikiewicz-Guzik, Osmenda, et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2017) and demonstrated significant reduction in both SBP and DBP following the periodontal treatment. This lack of consistency in choosing BP change as the primary outcome can make its interpretation difficult, thereby, limiting its clinical usefulness (Choudhary & Garg, 2011; Meher & Alfirevic, 2014).

Additionally, the effect of periodontal treatment on hypertension reduction might be diminished due to the different mean age of the investigated cohort. SBP elevation is the most prevalent type of HTN in individuals with age 50 or above. The rise in SBP with ageing is associated with arterial stiffness (AS) (Pinto, 2007; Wu et al., 2019). In the trial by Czesnikiewicz-Guzik et al, a subgroup analysis showed that the beneficial effect of PT on SBP was found to be less discernible beyond the age of 58 years. This finding could be attributed to age-related increase in arterial stiffness. Possibly, when the studied group is younger with the less arterial stiffness developed, there is more room for improvement of blood pressure regulation due to successful treatment of periodontal disease.

Consequently, we did sub-group analysis to reduce variability of analysed studies. Interestingly, when we investigated only hypertensive and pre-hypertensive cohort of patients, we observed the statistically significant difference in BP change which was -11.41[-13.66, -9.15] mmHg and -8.43[-10.96, -5.91] mmHg for SBP and DBP respectively in favour of IPT. This was observed despite the fact that hypertensive cohort was not controlled for age and the duration of hypertension. The fact that, the longer the hypertension is uncontrolled the more

difficult it is to treat dysregulated system with significant wall stiffening, has been already well demonstrated (Tomasz J Guzik & Touyz, 2017).

The sub-group analysis in respect of duration of the follow up showed that BP reduction was more pronounced, however still not significant in the early (up to 3 months) follow-up period. The effect diminished with increase in follow-up duration. Similar findings were observed when comparing two intervention trials by Graziani et al with different follow-ups (6 months and 12 months) (Graziani, Cei, La Ferla, et al., 2010; Graziani, Cei, Tonetti, et al., 2010). They found no reduction in either SBP or DBP in the trial with longer duration of follow-up. This observation might be associated with the fact that the key to success of sustained periodontal treatment effect is effective personal oral hygiene and many patients fail to maintain pristine oral hygiene in the long term.

In our study, we have also analysed influence of periodontal treatment on endothelial function. Data from the Framingham offspring cohort suggest that HTN is associated with endothelial dysfunction (ED) (Tsao & Vasan, 2015). ED ensues even before the development of hypertension and is an early stage finding of atherogenesis (Davignon & Ganz, 2004; Gimbrone & García-Cardena, 2016). Studies have demonstrated diminished endothelial function (EF) in patients with PD (Amar et al., 2003; Higashi et al., 2009; Holtfreter et al., 2013). Flow-mediated dilatation (FMD) is a reliable technique to assess endothelial function and correlates with the ED in the coronary artery (Broxterman et al., 2019; Teragawa et al., 2005). In this systematic review, we attempted to evaluate the change in endothelial function (EF) following PT. The meta-analysis findings indicate that the IPT significantly improves the EF. These findings are further corroborated by the results of the previous two meta-analyses (Orlandi et al., 2014; Teeuw et al., 2014). Our results have shown that IPT in the patients with moderate to severe periodontitis had increased FMD by 1.49% [95% CI 0.9, 2.08; $p < 0.00001$]. However, it should be noted that EF was the primary outcome in only one of our trials (Tonetti

et al., 2007) and the number of studies included was low. Despite low statistical heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$) in our analysis, unexplained additional sources of heterogeneity include: the underlying general health conditions (e.g.: diabetes, hypertensives, healthy individuals), variable durations of follow-up ranging from 2 months-12 months and the different ethnic origin of the participants. Lastly, the inherent technical, methodological and physiological factors involved in the assessment of EF with FMD could have influenced the validity of results in our studies. Nevertheless, looking for the evidence supporting the beneficial effect of IPT on endothelial function is important as improvement in EF could reduce the risk of adverse cardiovascular events. Studies have shown that with every 1% increase in FMD, future CVD risk decreases by 8-13% (Inaba, Chen, & Bergmann, 2010; Ras, Streppel, Draijer, & Zock, 2013).

The association between PD and hypertension is hypothesised to stem from the activation of immune system with up-regulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines driving high blood pressure. If treatment of periodontal diseases is beneficial for the cardiovascular status, the reduction of systemic inflammation due to periodontal treatment must be documented. Therefore, we analysed the levels of cytokines and cardiometabolic markers, which were available in selected RCTs. Interestingly, we observed significant decrease in CRP levels in patients undergoing IPT at each point of our observation. This observation is in line with previous observational studies reporting beneficial influence of periodontal therapy on CRP level (Hussain Bokhari et al., 2009; Lopez et al., 2012; Vidal, Cordovil, Figueredo, & Fischer, 2013). Unfortunately, due to substantial data heterogeneity and low number of studies available we could not draw significant conclusions for other studied inflammatory cytokines and cardiometabolic markers. In our quantitative summary, there was no significant difference in change of IL-6, IL-10, IFN- γ even though in the observational studies some authors were reporting significant decrease in IL-6 (Vidal et al., 2013; Vidal, Figueredo, Cordovil, & Fischer, 2009) and IFN- γ

(Czesnikiewicz-Guzik, Osmenda, et al., 2019) levels after successful periodontal therapy. Also, analysis of metabolic markers such as HDL, LDL and TG did not show significant change after periodontal treatment, however only 5 studies reported lipid levels in the context of periodontal therapy, so much more evidence is needed to investigate this aspect in future.

Conclusions

This systematic review and meta-analysis make an important contribution to the available literature investigating causal association between periodontitis and hypertension. The results of this review show that the IPT improves endothelial function, a process leading to hypertension and its cardiovascular complications. While in overall analysis no significant effect of IPT on blood pressure lowering was observed, subgroup analysis demonstrated that in hypertensive and pre-hypertensive individuals a significant decrease of blood pressure was achieved. Additionally, we could observe a significant decrease in CRP level in successfully treated patients. Although other inflammatory and cardiometabolic markers did not change significantly following IPT, more research is needed to analyse these inflammation markers in detail. Overall, the results suggest that successful periodontal treatment could support anti-hypertensive therapy in hypertensive and pre-hypertensive patients who should be motivated to monitor and maintain periodontal health regularly to reduce cardiovascular risk.

Bibliography:

- Amar, S., Gokce, N., Morgan, S., Loukideli, M., Van Dyke, T. E., & Vita, J. A. (2003). Periodontal disease is associated with brachial artery endothelial dysfunction and systemic inflammation. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*, 23(7), 1245-1249. doi:10.1161/01.Atv.0000078603.90302.4a
- Broxterman, R. M., Witman, M. A., Trinity, J. D., Groot, H. J., Rossman, M. J., Park, S.-Y., . . . Richardson, R. S. (2019). Strong Relationship Between Vascular Function in the Coronary and Brachial Arteries. *Hypertension*, 74(1), 208-215. doi:doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.119.12881
- Choudhary, D., & Garg, P. K. (2011). Primary outcome in a randomized controlled trial: a critical issue. *Saudi journal of gastroenterology : official journal of the Saudi Gastroenterology Association*, 17(5), 369-369. doi:10.4103/1319-3767.84504
- Claffey, N., Nylund, K., Kiger, R., Garrett, S., & Egelberg, J. (1990). Diagnostic predictability of scores of plaque, bleeding, suppuration and probing depth for probing attachment loss. 3 1/2 years of observation following initial periodontal therapy. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 17(2), 108-114. doi:10.1111/j.1600-051x.1990.tb01071.x
- Czesnikiewicz-Guzik, M., Nosalski, R., Mikolajczyk, T. P., Vidler, F., Dohnal, T., Dembowska, E., . . . Guzik, T. J. (2019). Th1-type immune responses to Porphyromonas gingivalis antigens exacerbate angiotensin II-dependent hypertension and vascular dysfunction. *Br J Pharmacol*, 176(12), 1922-1931. doi:10.1111/bph.14536
- Czesnikiewicz-Guzik, M., Osmenda, G., Siedlinski, M., Nosalski, R., Pelka, P., Nowakowski, D., . . . Guzik, T. J. (2019). Causal association between periodontitis and hypertension: evidence from Mendelian randomization and a randomized controlled trial of non-surgical periodontal therapy. *Eur Heart J*, 40(42), 3459-3470. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehz646
- D'Aiuto, F., Gkraniias, N., Bhowruth, D., Khan, T., Orlandi, M., Suvan, J., . . . Deanfield, J. E. (2018). Systemic effects of periodontitis treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes: a 12 month, single-centre, investigator-masked, randomised trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*, 6(12), 954-965. doi:10.1016/s2213-8587(18)30038-x
- D'Aiuto, F., Parkar, M., Nibali, L., Suvan, J., Lessem, J., & Tonetti, M. S. (2006). Periodontal infections cause changes in traditional and novel cardiovascular risk factors: results from a randomized controlled clinical trial. *American Heart Journal*, 151(5), 977-984.
- Darcey, J., & Ashley, M. (2011). See you in three months! The rationale for the three monthly periodontal recall interval: a risk based approach. *British dental journal*, 211(8), 379-385. doi:10.1038/sj.bdj.2011.868
- Davignon, J., & Ganz, P. (2004). Role of Endothelial Dysfunction in Atherosclerosis. *Circulation*, 109(23_suppl_1), III-27-III-32. doi:doi:10.1161/01.CIR.0000131515.03336.f8
- Drummond, G. R., Vinh, A., Guzik, T. J., & Sobey, C. G. (2019). Immune mechanisms of hypertension. *Nature Reviews Immunology*, 19(8), 517-532.
- Eke, P. I., Page, R. C., Wei, L., Thornton-Evans, G., & Genco, R. J. (2012). Update of the case definitions for population-based surveillance of periodontitis. *Journal of Periodontology*, 83(12), 1449-1454. doi:10.1902/jop.2012.110664
- Gimbrone, M. A., Jr., & García-Cardeña, G. (2016). Endothelial Cell Dysfunction and the Pathobiology of Atherosclerosis. *Circulation Research*, 118(4), 620-636. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.115.306301

- Graziani, F., Cej, S., La Ferla, F., Vano, M., Gabriele, M., & Tonetti, M. (2010). Effects of non-surgical periodontal therapy on the glomerular filtration rate of the kidney: an exploratory trial. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 37(7), 638-643. doi:10.1111/j.1600-051x.2010.01578.x
- Graziani, F., Cej, S., Tonetti, M., Paolantonio, M., Serio, R., Sammartino, G., . . . D'Aiuto, F. (2010). Systemic inflammation following non-surgical and surgical periodontal therapy. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 37(9), 848-854. doi:10.1111/j.1600-051x.2010.01585.x
- Guzik, T. J., Hoch, N. E., Brown, K. A., McCann, L. A., Rahman, A., Dikalov, S., . . . Harrison, D. G. (2007). Role of the T cell in the genesis of angiotensin II-induced hypertension and vascular dysfunction. *The Journal of experimental medicine*, 204(10), 2449-2460. doi:10.1084/jem.20070657
- Guzik, T. J., Hoch, N. E., Brown, K. A., McCann, L. A., Rahman, A., Dikalov, S., . . . Harrison, D. G. (2007). Role of the T cell in the genesis of angiotensin II induced hypertension and vascular dysfunction. *J Exp Med*, 204(10), 2449-2460. doi:10.1084/jem.20070657
- Guzik, T. J., Marvar, P. J., Czesnikiewicz-Guzik, M., & Korb, R. (2007). Perivascular adipose tissue as a messenger of the brain-vessel axis: Role in vascular inflammation and dysfunction. *Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology*, 58(4), 591-610. Retrieved from http://glasgow.summon.serialssolutions.com/2.0.0/link/0/eLvHCXMwIV1LS8NAEB4KlggiahXrizmlt0jzaLLxVmqLeCqiFy9IN7srhTYpTVH7753ZJlpEoR43LHvYHeab78s8AMLgp_u398AmRNsKaMO6kNkwoKPYD7v1E4GZFJtKla5UHD3G_Fz-8dPoNqGeO1RKTg4T_i0zfWlltOQ3nhRMS11ndn8yvUp-4tDMOnAxOrp4Av11HXRWvo5DD_RIV5HM6Kdfi_oJhDq8G-7BxBZrYXVnGATRMfgjNbk4ke7rEa3Spn05Tb8J8SHZY56Si1ONZURpcuDdBWaLEKbcYZ_wEQC4sUM6LiORLeG3-eoPwYl7f4WEwMjnP8OoiMI-xtVRuJMteolyXjKK-P4HnQf-rde9UwBo-8YeJ7RmeZnySx1r71rc6kTaTKjEojLZShC_JTq8gbpDKKMqK6OjKC4FGqQGXctD44hl3JSfv5whX36RNA7rWvko5SmrZbEdKS-B0tQpWYUloWXX1f5Gi2asAx-vEqLfa32darmp5zsf_idLOjz2DHibkuf-Uctiz5BHMB20xtXov3S2cln1Sr42Q
- Guzik, T. J., Skiba, D. S., Touyz, R. M., & Harrison, D. G. (2017). The role of infiltrating immune cells in dysfunctional adipose tissue. *Cardiovasc Res*, 113(9), 1009-1023. doi:10.1093/cvr/cvx108
- Guzik, T. J., & Touyz, R. M. (2017). Oxidative stress, inflammation, and vascular aging in hypertension. *Hypertension*, 70(4), 660-667.
- Hada, D. S., Garg, S., Ramteke, G. B., & Ratre, M. S. (2015). Effect of non-surgical periodontal treatment on clinical and biochemical risk markers of cardiovascular disease: A randomized trial. *Journal of Periodontology*, 86(11), 1201-1211.
- Haffajee, A. D., Socransky, S. S., Lindhe, J., Kent, R. L., Okamoto, H., & Yoneyama, T. (1991). Clinical risk indicators for periodontal attachment loss. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 18(2), 117-125. doi:10.1111/j.1600-051x.1991.tb01700.x
- Harrison, D. G., Guzik, T. J., Lob, H. E., Madhur, M. S., Marvar, P. J., Thabet, S. R., . . . Weyand, C. M. (2011). Inflammation, immunity, and hypertension. *Hypertension*, 57(2), 132-140.
- Higaki, A., Caillon, A., Paradis, P., & Schiffrin, E. L. (2019). Innate and Innate-Like Immune System in Hypertension and Vascular Injury. *Current Hypertension Reports*, 21(1), 4. doi:10.1007/s11906-019-0907-1

- Higashi, Y., Goto, C., Hidaka, T., Soga, J., Nakamura, S., Fujii, Y., . . . Taguchi, A. (2009). Oral infection-inflammatory pathway, periodontitis, is a risk factor for endothelial dysfunction in patients with coronary artery disease. *Atherosclerosis*, *206*(2), 604-610. doi:10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2009.03.037
- Higashi, Y., Goto, C., Jitsuiki, D., Umemura, T., Nishioka, K., Hidaka, T., . . . Taguchi, A. (2008). Periodontal infection is associated with endothelial dysfunction in healthy subjects and hypertensive patients. *Hypertension*, *51*(2), 446-453. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.107.101535>
- Holtfreter, B., Empen, K., Gläser, S., Lorbeer, R., Völzke, H., Ewert, R., . . . Dörr, M. (2013). Periodontitis is associated with endothelial dysfunction in a general population: a cross-sectional study. *PLoS one*, *8*(12), e84603.
- Hussain Bokhari, S. A., Khan, A. A., Tatakis, D. N., Azhar, M., Hanif, M., & Izhar, M. (2009). Non-surgical periodontal therapy lowers serum inflammatory markers: a pilot study. *Journal of Periodontology*, *80*(10), 1574-1580. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1902/jop.2009.090001>
- Inaba, Y., Chen, J. A., & Bergmann, S. R. (2010). Prediction of future cardiovascular outcomes by flow-mediated vasodilatation of brachial artery: a meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*, *26*(6), 631-640. doi:10.1007/s10554-010-9616-1
- Law, M. R., Morris, J. K., & Wald, N. J. (2009). Use of blood pressure lowering drugs in the prevention of cardiovascular disease: meta-analysis of 147 randomised trials in the context of expectations from prospective epidemiological studies. *BMJ*, *338*, b1665. doi:10.1136/bmj.b1665
- Lopez, N. J., Quintero, A., Casanova, P. A., Ibieta, C. I., Baelum, V., & Lopez, R. (2012). Effects of periodontal therapy on systemic markers of inflammation in patients with metabolic syndrome: a controlled clinical trial. *Journal of Periodontology*, *83*(3), 267-278. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1902/jop.2011.110227>
- Martin-Cabezas, R., Seelam, N., Petit, C., Agossa, K., Gaertner, S., Tenenbaum, H., . . . Huck, O. (2016). Association between periodontitis and arterial hypertension: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am Heart J*, *180*, 98-112. doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2016.07.018
- Meher, S., & Alfirevic, Z. (2014). Choice of primary outcomes in randomised trials and systematic reviews evaluating interventions for preterm birth prevention: a systematic review. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*, *121*(10), 1188-1194. doi:10.1111/1471-0528.12593
- Muñoz Aguilera, E., Suvan, J., Buti, J., Czesnikiewicz-Guzik, M., Barbosa Ribeiro, A., Orlandi, M., . . . D'Aiuto, F. (2020). Periodontitis is associated with hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cardiovascular Research*, *116*(1), 28-39.
- Newman, M. G., Weyant, R., & Hujoel, P. (2007). JEBDP improves grading system and adopts strength of recommendation taxonomy grading (SORT) for guidelines and systematic reviews. *J Evid Based Dent Pract*, *7*(4), 147-150. doi:10.1016/j.jebdp.2007.09.014
- Orlandi, M., Suvan, J., Petrie, A., Donos, N., Masi, S., Hingorani, A., . . . D'Aiuto, F. (2014). Association between periodontal disease and its treatment, flow-mediated dilatation and carotid intima-media thickness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Atherosclerosis*, *236*(1), 39-46. doi:10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2014.06.002
- Pietropaoli, D., Monaco, A., D'Aiuto, F., Aguilera, E. M., Ortu, E., Giannoni, M., . . . Del Pinto, R. (2020). Active gingival inflammation is linked to hypertension. *Journal of Hypertension*, *38*(10), 2018-2027.

- Pinto, E. (2007). Blood pressure and ageing. *Postgraduate Medical Journal*, 83(976), 109-114. doi:10.1136/pgmj.2006.048371
- Preshaw, P. M. (2009). Definitions of periodontal disease in research. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 36(1), 1-2. doi:10.1111/j.1600-051X.2008.01320.x
- Ras, R. T., Streppel, M. T., Draijer, R., & Zock, P. L. (2013). Flow-mediated dilation and cardiovascular risk prediction: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *International Journal of Cardiology*, 168(1), 344-351.
- Shamseer, L., Moher, D., Clarke, M., Gherzi, D., Liberati, A., Petticrew, M., . . . Stewart, L. A. (2015). Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. *BMJ : British Medical Journal*, 349, g7647. doi:10.1136/bmj.g7647
- Teeuw, W. J., Slot, D. E., Susanto, H., Gerdes, V. E., Abbas, F., D'Aiuto, F., . . . Loos, B. G. (2014). Treatment of periodontitis improves the atherosclerotic profile: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 41(1), 70-79.
- Teragawa, H., Ueda, K., Matsuda, K., Kimura, M., Higashi, Y., Oshima, T., . . . Chayama, K. (2005). Relationship between endothelial function in the coronary and brachial arteries. *Clinical Cardiology*, 28(10), 460-466. doi:10.1002/clc.4960281004
- Tonetti, M. S., D'Aiuto, F., Nibali, L., Donald, A., Storry, C., Parkar, M., . . . Deanfield, J. (2007). Treatment of periodontitis and endothelial function. *N Engl J Med*, 356(9), 911-920. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa063186
- Tsao, C. W., & Vasan, R. S. (2015). Cohort Profile: The Framingham Heart Study (FHS): overview of milestones in cardiovascular epidemiology. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 44(6), 1800-1813. doi:10.1093/ije/dyv337
- Vidal, F., Cordovil, I., Figueredo, C. M., & Fischer, R. G. (2013). Non-surgical periodontal treatment reduces cardiovascular risk in refractory hypertensive patients: a pilot study. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 40(7), 681-687. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jcpe.12110>
- Vidal, F., Figueredo, C. M., Cordovil, I., & Fischer, R. G. (2009). Periodontal therapy reduces plasma levels of interleukin-6, C-reactive protein, and fibrinogen in patients with severe periodontitis and refractory arterial hypertension. *Journal of Periodontology*, 80(5), 786-791. doi:<https://dx.doi.org/10.1902/jop.2009.080471>
- Williams, B., Mancia, G., Spiering, W., Agabiti Rosei, E., Azizi, M., Burnier, M., . . . Dominiczak, A. (2018). 2018 ESC/ESH Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: The Task Force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Society of Hypertension (ESH). *European Heart Journal*, 39(33), 3021-3104.
- Wu, S., Jin, C., Li, S., Zheng, X., Zhang, X., Cui, L., & Gao, X. (2019). Aging, Arterial Stiffness, and Blood Pressure Association in Chinese Adults. *Hypertension*, 73(4), 893-899. doi:doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.118.12396
- Zhou, Q. B., Xia, W. H., Ren, J., Yu, B. B., Tong, X. Z., Chen, Y. B., . . . Yang, J. Y. (2017). Effect of Intensive Periodontal Therapy on Blood Pressure and Endothelial Microparticles in Patients With Prehypertension and Periodontitis: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Journal of Periodontology*, 88(8), 711-722. doi:10.1902/jop.2017.160447

Figure legends:

Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram of the study selection process.

Figure 2. Effect of periodontitis treatment on **systolic blood pressure (SBP) (Panel A)** and **diastolic blood pressure (DBP) (Panel B)** changes in all studies at the latest follow-up point available. Summary forest plot for the change in BP post treatment in the intervention and the control groups. The random effects model was used. The results are presented as Weighted Mean Difference (WMD). SD, Standard deviation; CI, Confidence Intervals; IV, Inverse variance.

Figure 3. Subgroup meta-analyses of the effect of IPT on SBP (**Panel A**) and DBP (**Panel B**) using random effects model. The included studies were divided into two subgroups; normotensives and hypertensives based on the inclusion criteria in each study.

Figure 4. Pooled difference in change from baseline between intervention and control group by time point of follow-up in normotensive (black line) and in hypertensive/pre-hypertensive patients (blue line), estimated in a multivariate mixed-effects meta-regression predicting SBP (A) or DBP (B) from time point of follow-up and hypertension at baseline and the interaction of both (p value for interaction between time and hypertensive status for SBP $p=0.0002$ and for DBP $p=0.0014$)

Figure 5. Effect of periodontitis treatment on endothelial function. Summary forest plot for the change in endothelial function post intervention in the two groups (intervention versus control).

Figure 6. Effect of periodontal intervention on serum CRP, pro-inflammatory cytokines and metabolic markers of cardiovascular disease. Subgroup analyses Forest plots for the mean differences in serum levels of various markers in intervention versus control groups at different timepoints. Analysis for CRP, IL-6, IL-10 and IFN gamma (**A-D**). Analysis for HDL-C, LDL-C, TGs (**E-G**). The random effects model was used. In panel B - weighted standardized mean difference for IL-6 is reported due to variability in the units of measurement. (HDL -High density lipoproteins; LDL-C - Low density lipoproteins; TGs -Triglycerides)

Appendices: See the separate file

