Doose Delphi Round 2

Please complete the survey below.

Thank you!

The following statements are made on basis of results of round 1. We would like to test strength of consensus now. Pls answer all questions. Follow up questions will come up depending on your answers- please write in answers for all subsequent questions. Clinical presentation (Age at presentation and prior history)

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree		
In the majority of patients with EMAS a diagnosis of EMAS is strongly suspected by 6-12 months AFTER the first afebrile seizure	0	0	0	0	O		
EMAS is highly unlikely when the age at first afebrile seizure is < 12 months.	0	0	0	0	0		
Diagnosis of EMAS is highly unlikely when the age at first afebrile seizure is >6 years.	0	0	0	0	0		
A minority of patients with EMAS (up to 30%) could have suspected delay prior to seizure	0	0	0	0	0		
onset. A minority of patients with EMAS (20-30%) could have mild developmental delay prior to the first seizure.	0	0	0	0	0		
Moderate to severe developmental delay preceding the first seizure is highly unlikely in patients with EMAs and suggests alternative diagnoses.	0	0	0	0	0		
Less than 50% patient s with EMAS have a personal history of prior febrile seizures .	0	0	0	0	0		
A family history of febrile seizures is noted is < 25% cases of EMAS.	0	0	0	0	0		
A family history of epilepsy is noted in < 50% cases with EMAS.	0	0	0	0	0		
Reason: In the majority of patients with EMAS a diagnosis of EMAS is strongly suspected by 6-12 months AFTER the first afebrile seizure							

₹EDCap°

01/05/2021 8:56pm

Reason: EMAS is highly unlikely w afebrile seizure is < 12 months.	hen the age at firs	t -			
Reason: Diagnosis of EMAS is high age at first afebrile seizure is >6 y		ne –			
Reason: A minority of patients wit could have suspected delay prior		%) _			
Reason: A minority of patients wit could have mild developmental deseizure.		st _			
Reason: Moderate to severe deve preceding the first seizure is highl patients with EMAs and suggests	y unlikely in	ses. –			
Reason: Less than 50% patient s v personal history of prior febrile se		_			
Reason: A family history of febrile is < 25% cases of EMAS.	seizures is noted	_			
Reason: A family history of epileps cases with EMAS.	sy is noted in < 50	%			
Clinical presentation (seizu	re types and w	hen seen)			
We would like to test streng	gth of consensi	us now base	d on your prev	vious answers	regarding
seizure types and when the			•		
up depending on your answ	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly
	Strongly agree	Agree	Nederal	Disagree	disagree
Myoclonic seizures are NOT mandatory for a diagnosis of EMAS	0	0	0	0	0
Myoclonic seizures are seen in a majority of cases with EMAS	0	0	0	0	0
Myoclonic seizures most commonly present within the first year after the first afebrile seizure	0	0	0	0	0
Atypical absence seizures are NOT mandatory for a diagnosis of EMAS	0	0	0	0	0
Atypical absence seizures are seen in 10-25 % cases with EMAS	0	0	0	0	0

Of patients with EMAS who have atypical absences, these are seen in a minority of patients within the first year after the first afebrile seizure.	0	0	0	0	0	
Generalized tonic clonic seizures are NOT mandatory for a diagnosis of EMAS	0	0	0	0	0	
Generalized tonic clonic seizures are seen in less than half of cases with EMAS	0	0	0	0	0	
When present, generalized tonic clonic seizures most commonly begin within the first year after the first afebrile seizure	0	0	0	0	0	
Atonic seizures are NOT mandatory for a diagnosis of EMAS	0	0	0	0	0	
Atonic seizures are seen in upto 50% of cases with EMAS	0	\circ	0	\circ	0	
When present, atonic seizures most commonly begin within the first year	0	0	0	0	0	
NCSE is seen in a minority of patients with EMAS (less than 50%)	0	0	0	0	0	
NCSE, when present, usually starts within 12 months after first afebrile seizure.	0	0	0	0	0	
Tonic seizures are seen in a minority of patients with EMAS	\circ	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	
Tonic seizures are seen in < 25% cases within first year .	0	0	0	0	0	
Tonic seizures do not exclude diagnosis of EMAS	0	0	0	0	0	
Reason: Myoclonic seizures are NOT diagnosis of EMAS	mandatory fo	ra —				
Reason: Myoclonic seizures are seen in a majority of cases with EMAS						
Reason: Myoclonic seizures most cor within the first year after the first afe		nt				
Reason: Atypical absence seizures a for a diagnosis of EMAS	re NOT manda	atory				

Reason: Atypical absence seizures are seen in 10-25 % cases with EMAS		
Reason: Of patients with EMAS who have atypical absences, these are seen in a minority of patients within the first year after the first afebrile seizure.		
Reason: Generalized tonic clonic seizures are NOT mandatory for a diagnosis of EMAS		
Reason: Generalized tonic clonic seizures are seen in less than half of cases with EMAS		
Reason: When present, generalized tonic clonic seizures most commonly begin within the first year after the first afebrile seizure		
Reason: Atonic seizures are NOT mandatory for a diagnosis of EMAS		
Reason: Atonic seizures are seen in upto 50% of cases with EMAS		
Reason: When present, atonic seizures most commonly begin within the first year		
Reason: NCSE is seen in a minority of patients with EMAS (less than 50%)		
Reason: NCSE, when present, usually starts within 12 months after first afebrile seizure.		
Reason: Tonic seizures are seen in a minority of patients with EMAS		
Reason: Tonic seizures are seen in $<$ 25% cases within first year .		
Reason: Tonic seizures do not exclude diagnosis of EMAS		
Questions related to the STORMY COURSE. In round	1 we found some variability	in the experts'
opinion about what this is and we'd like to get some	further details	
Stormy course can be seen in up to 50% patients with EMAS	○ Strongly agree○ Agree○ Neutral○ Disagree○ Strongly disagree	
Reason: Stormy course can be seen in up to 50% patients with EMAS		

In patients who HAVE a stor	my course:				
		Yes	,	No	
it usually begins within the first year after first afebrile seizure		0		0	
a. It may begin in a minority of patients within 3 months of first afebrile seizure		0		0	
In patients who HAVE a stor	my course:				
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Stormy course typically lasts less than 12 months	0	0	0	\circ	0
Stormy course lasting > 18 months should suggest a diagnosis other than EMAS	0	0	0	0	0
Reason: Reason: Stormy course ty than 12 months	pically lasts less	-			
Reason: Reason: Stormy course la should suggest a diagnosis other t		-			
Questions related to course		e questions	have to do wit	h patients yo	u have
already diagnosed with EMA		Agroo	Neutral	Disagrae	Strongly
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutrai	Disagree	disagree
Upto 50% patients with EMAS can have developmental plateauing	0	0	0	0	0
Developmental plateauing may be seen in patients with EMAS even without stormy course	0	0	0	0	0
Up to half the children with EMAS may develop regression during the course of EMAS	0	0	0	0	0
Hyperactivity and behavioral problems can be seen in at least 25% patients with EMAS	0	0	0	0	0
Hyperactivity and behavioral problems may be seen in patients with EMAS even without stormy course (strongly agree, agree, neutral, disagree, strongly disagree)	0	0	0	0	0



Ataxia will be seen in upto 50% patients with EMAS	0	0	0	0	0
Ataxia may be seen in patients with EMAS even without stormy course	0	0	0	0	0
Reason: Upto 50% patients with E developmental plateauing	MAS can have	_			
Reason: Developmental plateauin patients with EMAS even without		_		_	
Reason: Up to half the children wi regression during the course of El		elop –			
Reason: Hyperactivity and behavi seen in at least 25% patients with		be _			
Reason: Hyperactivity and behavi seen in patients with EMAS even v (strongly agree, agree, neutral, di disagree)	vithout stormy cou				
Reason: Ataxia will be seen in upt EMAS	o 50% patients wit	th _			
Reason: Ataxia may be seen in pa without stormy course	tients with EMAS e	even –			
Questions about remission	(dofine remise	ion as no sai	Tures off mod	-1	
Questions about remission	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Complete remission (ability to achieve seizure freedom off meds) occurs in at least 50% patients with EMAS	0	0	0	0	O
In cases who remit- the majority will do so within 24 months after the first afebrile seizure	0	0	0	0	0
Patients who continue to have seizures 5 years after the first afebrile seizure are highly unlikely to achieve remission	0	\circ	0	\circ	\circ
drinkery to define ve remission					

In children who experience complete remission, at least 25% will have a learning disorder without intellectual disability	0	0	0	0	0
In children who experience complete remission, < 25% will be left with moderate to severe intellectual disability	0	0	0	0	0
In children who DO NOT experience complete remission, the majority will have mild to moderate ID	0	0	0	0	0
It is rare to see severe ID even in children who DO NOT experience complete remission	0	0	0	0	0
Reason: Complete remission (ability freedom off meds) occurs in at least EMAS					
Reason: In cases who remit- the maj within 24 months after the first afeb		0 _			
Reason: Patients who continue to ha after the first afebrile seizure are hig to achieve remission		years _			
Reason: In children who experience more than half will be developmenta follow up					
Reason: In children who experience at least 25% will have a learning discintellectual disability	complete rem order without	nission, –			
Reason: In children who experience < 25% will be left with moderate to sintellectual disability		nission, _			
Reason: In children who DO NOT expremission, the majority will have mile					
Reason: It is rare to see severe ID ev		en			



Questions about prognostic	factors and th	eir influence	e (how predict	ive are these)	on eventual
outcome					
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Tonic seizures are at least moderately predictive of poor seizure outcome	0	0	0	0	0
Vibratory Tonic seizures are at least moderately predictive of poor seizure outcome	0	0	0	0	0
Number of NCSE episodes are at least mildly- moderately predictive of poor seizure outcome	0	0	0	0	0
Duration of NCSE is moderately to highly predictive of poor seizure outcome	0	0	0	0	0
Interictal EEG showing slow spike wave outside of stormy phase is mildly predictive of poor seizure outcome	0	0	0	0	0
Interictal EEG showing PFA is mildly predictive of poor seizure outcome	0	0	0	0	0
Focal spikes on EEG are mildly predictive of poor seizure outcome	0	0	0	0	0
High frequency of drops/ myoclonus is mildly predictive of poor seizure outcome	0	0	0	0	0
Generalized tonic clonic seizures in the first two years is mildly predictive of poor seizure outcome	0	0	0	0	0
Family history of epilepsy is mildly predictive of poor seizure outcome	0	0	0	0	0
Earlier age at seizure onset is mildly predictive of poor seizure outcome	0	0	0	0	0
Later age at onset is mildly predictive of poor seizure outcome	0	0	0	0	0
Reason: Tonic seizures are at leas predictive of poor seizure outcome					

Reason: Vibratory Tonic seizures a moderately predictive of poor seiz			
Reason: Number of NCSE episodes moderately predictive of poor seiz			
Reason: Duration of NCSE is mode predictive of poor seizure outcome			
Reason: Interictal EEG showing slo of stormy phase is mildly predictiv outcome			
Reason: Interictal EEG showing PF predictive of poor seizure outcome			
Reason: Focal spikes on EEG are n poor seizure outcome	nildly predictive of		
Reason: High frequency of drops/ predictive of poor seizure outcome			
Reason: Generalized tonic clonic s two years is mildly predictive of po			
Reason: Family history of epilepsy predictive of poor seizure outcome			
Reason: Earlier age at seizure ons predictive of poor seizure outcome			
Questions that address diag	gnostic switching: facto	ors that would lead to i	econsidering the
diagnosis of EMAS			
Please indicate how impact	ful each of these facto	rs is, in leading you to	reconsider the
diagnosis of EMAS			
	High Impact - this factor alone, regardless of the rest of the clinical picture, would cause me to reconsider the diagnosis	Moderate impact - this factor may contribute to me reconsidering the diagnosis, but only if other atypical features were also present	Low Impact - this factor would have no impact on me reconsidering the diagnosis
Tonic seizures	0	0	0
Vibratory tonic seizures	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ
Greater number of NCSE	\circ	\circ	\circ
episodes Longer duration of NCSE	0	\bigcirc	\circ
episodes EEG showing frequent or near continuous irregular generalized spike wave activity	0		0

EEG showing slow spike wave activity	0		0		0
EEG showing generalized paroxysmal fast activity:	0		0		0
EEG showing generalized paroxysmal fast activity	0		0		0
EEG showing focal spikes	\circ		\circ		\circ
Younger age (< 2 years) at seizure onset	0		0		0
Older age (>6 years) at seizure onset	\circ		0		0
Persistence of epilepsy beyond:48 months	0		0		\circ
Lack of response to ketogenic diet	0		0		0
For ongoing care of patient	s with EMAS in	clinic- wl	nat tests do you p	perform? Whe	en and Why?
Following statements are d	esigned based o	on conse		1	
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
You would order an EEG every 1 month	0	\circ	0	0	O
You would order an EEG every 3 months	0	0	0	\circ	0
You would order an EEG every 6 months	0	0	0	0	0
You would order an EEG every 12 months	0	0	0	0	0
You would order an EEG only if clinical concerns	0	0	0	0	0
Reason: You would order an EEG e	every 1 month				
Reason: You would order an EEG e	every 3 months				
Reason: You would order an EEG e	every 6 months				
Reason: You would order an EEG e	every 12 months				
Reason: You would order an EEG of concerns	only if clinical				
An EEG should be done to confirm achieves seizure freedom?	remission if a child	j	○ Yes ○ No		
If yes, how long after seizure free should an EEG be done (months)?					

When should an overnight - prolon? Please explain in terms of time a during course of treatment					
The reason to order a video EEG is looking for on this EEG?	: What are you	_			
In what proportion of your EMAS p neuropsychological testing?	atients do you orc	Č C C	always) usually (>75-99) sometimes (25-) rarely (1-24%)) never		
I would order neuropsych testing Is suspected.	f delay is) Yes) No		
How often should neuropsychologi performed?	cal testing be	Č	every 3 months every 6 months yearly		
Questions related to treatm round 1- we are now testing		_		asea on cons	ensus nom
Please answer all additional following questions.	_	it pop up at i	the end based	on your choic	ces in for
Please answer all additional	_	Agree	Neutral	On your choice Disagree	Strongly
Please answer all additional	questions tha			_	
Please answer all additional following questions. All sodium channel blockers should be avoided in treatment	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Please answer all additional following questions. All sodium channel blockers should be avoided in treatment of EMAS VPA would be your first choice to	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Please answer all additional following questions. All sodium channel blockers should be avoided in treatment of EMAS VPA would be your first choice to treat EMAS LEV can be considered a first tier antiseizure medicine to treat	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Please answer all additional following questions. All sodium channel blockers should be avoided in treatment of EMAS VPA would be your first choice to treat EMAS LEV can be considered a first tier antiseizure medicine to treat EMAS Benzos can be considered a first	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Please answer all additional following questions. All sodium channel blockers should be avoided in treatment of EMAS VPA would be your first choice to treat EMAS LEV can be considered a first tier antiseizure medicine to treat EMAS Benzos can be considered a first tier ASM to treat EMAS KD should be considered as	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree



You would choose to use ETX in EMAS irrespective of prominent seizure type	0	0	0	0	0
Reason: All sodium channel blocker in treatment of EMAS	ers should be avoid	ded -			
Reason: VPA would be your first cl	hoice to treat EMA	S .			
Reason: LEV can be considered a medicine to treat EMAS	first tier antiseizur	e -			
Reason: Benzos can be considered treat EMAS	d a first tier ASM to				
Reason: KD should be considered treat EMAS	as second tier to	-			
Reason: ETX is considered can be tier for EMAS	considered as sec	ond -			
Reason: You would choose to use atypical absence or myoclonic seiz		nt -			
Reason: You would choose to use irrespective of prominent seizure		-			
Following are once again ba In patients with EMAS during treatments				se each of the	following
	FIRST line	SECON	D line THIR	D line or later	Would NOT use
Ketogenic diet	\bigcirc			\circ	\circ
VPA bolus or increased VPA dose	\bigcirc			\bigcirc	\circ
Benzodiazepines	\bigcirc			\bigcirc	\bigcirc
Steroids (ACTH/ Prednisone etc)	0			\circ	0
The next few questions rela	ted to VNS: Plo	ease DO NO	T consider cos	st/ availability	/ restrictions
Please answer all related qu	uestions as the	y pop up at	the end base	d on your res	ponses
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
VNS can be considered but only after failure of 3 ASMs	0	0	0	0	0
VNS can be considered but only after failure of 4 ASMs	0	\circ	0	0	0

VNS can be considered but only after failure of >5ASMs	0	0	0	0	\circ		
The KD should be trialed prior to considering VNS	0	0	0	0	0		
VNS should be considered as soon as intractability is present, regardless of epilepsy duration	0	0	0	0	0		
VNS should only be considered if intractability has persisted longer than 1 year	0	0	0	0	0		
VNS should only be considered if intractability longer than 2 years	0	0	0	0	0		
VNS should only be considered if intractability has persisted longer than 3 years	0	0	0	0	0		
I do not believe VNS is indicated for EMAS	0	0	0	0	0		
Reason: VNS can be considered but only after failure of 3 ASMs							
Reason: VNS can be considered but only after failure of 4 ASMs							
Reason: VNS can be considered but only after failure of >5ASMs							
Reason: The KD should be trialed prior to considering VNS							
Reason: VNS should be considered as soon as intractability is present, regardless of epilepsy duration							
Reason: VNS should only be considered if intractability has persisted longer than 1 year							
Reason: VNS should only be considered if intractability longer than 2 years							
Reason: VNS should only be considered if intractability has persisted longer than 3 years							
Reason: I do not believe VNS is indi							

The next few questions related to Corpus callosotomy (CC): Please DO NOT consider cost/ availability restrictions. Please answer all related questions as they pop up at the end based on your responses.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	
CC can be considered in an EMAS patient with drop seizures but only after failure of 3 ASMs	0	0	0	0	0	
CC can be considered in an EMAS patient with drop seizures but only after failure of 4 ASMs	0	0	0	0	0	
CC can be considered in an EMAS patient with drop seizures but only after failure of >5ASMs	0	0	0	0	0	
The KD should be trialed prior to considering CC	0	0	0	0	0	
Reason: CC can be considered in a drop seizures but only after failure		ith				
Reason: CC can be considered in a drop seizures but only after failure		ith	-			
Reason: CC can be considered in a drop seizures but only after failure		ith				
Reason: The KD should be trialed CC	orior to considering	g				
I would only offer CC irrespective of if drop seizures were problematic AND: Choose ONE BEST answer			 CC can be considered but only after failure of 3 ASMs CC can be considered but only after failure of 4 ASMs CC can be considered but only after failure of >5ASMs The KD should be trialed prior to considering CC 			
For DURATION of intractability: Choose ONE BEST answer			 CC should be considered as soon as intractability is present, regardless of epilepsy duration CC should only be considered if intractability has persisted longer than 1 year CC should only be considered if intractability longer than 2 years CC should only be considered if intractability has persisted longer than 3 years I would offer CC ONLY after VNS is tried first I do not believe that CC is indicated for EMAS 			