

## Nonalcoholic or metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease?

### The epidemic of the 21<sup>st</sup> century in search of the most appropriate name

Stergios A. Polyzos,<sup>1</sup> Eun Seok Kang,<sup>2</sup> Emmanuel A. Tsochatzis,<sup>3</sup> Stergios Kechagias,<sup>4</sup> Mattias Ekstedt,<sup>4</sup> Stavra Xanthakos,<sup>5</sup> Amedeo Lonardo,<sup>6</sup> Alessandro Mantovani,<sup>7</sup> Herbert Tilg,<sup>8</sup> Isabelle Côté,<sup>9</sup> Aldo Grefhorst,<sup>10</sup> Michael W. Greene,<sup>11</sup> David Araujo-Vilar,<sup>12</sup> Anna Alisi,<sup>13</sup> Felipe Casanueva,<sup>14</sup> Christos S. Mantzoros<sup>15</sup>

<sup>1</sup>First Laboratory of Pharmacology, School of Medicine, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

<sup>2</sup>Severance Hospital Diabetes Center, Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

<sup>3</sup>UCL Institute for Liver and Digestive Health, Royal Free Hospital and UCL, London, UK

<sup>4</sup>Department of Health, Medicine, and Caring Sciences, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden

<sup>5</sup>Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology & Nutrition, Department of Pediatrics, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA

<sup>6</sup>Internal Medicine, Ospedale Civile di Baggiovara, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria, Modena, Italy

<sup>7</sup>Section of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, University and Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Integrata of Verona, Verona, Italy

<sup>8</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology, Endocrinology & Metabolism, Medical University Innsbruck, Austria

<sup>9</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

<sup>10</sup>Department of Experimental Vascular Medicine, Amsterdam University Medical Center, location AMC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

<sup>11</sup>Department of Nutrition, Auburn University, Auburn, AL, USA

<sup>12</sup>Thyroid and Metabolic Diseases Unit (UETeM), Biomedical Research Institute (CIMUS/IDIS), Department of Psychiatry, Radiology, Public Health, Nursing and Medicine, University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain

<sup>13</sup>Research Unit of Molecular Genetics of Complex Phenotypes, Bambino Gesù' Children Hospital, Rome, Italy

<sup>14</sup>Division of Endocrinology, Department of Medicine, Santiago de Compostela University (USC), Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria de Santiago (IDIS), Santiago, Spain

<sup>15</sup>Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

**Corresponding author**

Christos S. Mantzoros, MD, DSc, PhD hc

Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

E-mail: [cmantzor@bidmc.harvard.edu](mailto:cmantzor@bidmc.harvard.edu)

**Word count:** 1369; **references:** 6

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Abstract

Not required for Commentaries.

**Key words:** fibrosis; insulin resistance; metabolic associated fatty liver disease; nomenclature; nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; nonalcoholic steatohepatitis

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; DAFLD, dysmetabolism-associated fatty liver disease; DXA, dual energy X-absorptiometry; IR, insulin resistance; MAFLD, metabolic (dysfunction)-associated fatty liver disease; MetS, metabolic syndrome; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; PCOS, polycystic ovary syndrome; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

"Ἀρχὴ Σοφίας ἢ τῶν ὀνομάτων Ἐπίσκεψις" (Ἀντισθένης ὁ Ἀθηναῖος, 445 - 360 π.Χ.)

"The beginning of the journey to wisdom is the appropriate definition of terminology"  
(Antisthenes the Athenean; 445 - 360 B.C.)

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is closely associated with obesity, insulin resistance (IR) syndrome or metabolic syndrome (MetS) and related comorbidities, including type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), dyslipidemia and cardiovascular diseases [1]. Despite its high prevalence (approximately 25% in the general population), its identification as a leading cause of advanced liver disease, liver transplantation, and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, the diagnosis and treatment of NAFLD remain unmet medical needs [2]. Thus, the American Gastroenterological Association and the Endocrine Society recently issued a “Call to Action” and the American Gastroenterological Association is drafting a nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) Clinical Care Pathway, a practical tool for clinicians. Importantly, several position articles recommended that NAFLD should be renamed to metabolic (dysfunction)-associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD) [3,4]. However, it was soon realized that this change would bear much more implications than a simple change in the nomenclature.

Indeed, the use of “nonalcoholic” emphasizes the minimal alcohol consumption, as contrasted to alcoholic fatty liver disease, in which excessive alcohol consumption leads to almost similar histological disturbances. Although initially necessary, this distinction now appears to be outdated. Furthermore, the term “nonalcoholic” tends to cast a shadow on the impact of metabolic conditions on the development and progression of NAFLD and may divert our attention away from etiology based research, diagnostic approaches and management. However, the newly proposed name came together with a new definition, which may be problematic.

The diagnosis of NAFLD is based on the presence of hepatic steatosis, after exclusion of other entities leading to fatty liver, e.g. significant alcohol consumption, viral hepatitis, drug-induced liver injury etc. Other less recognized or even unknown causes of fatty liver are,

1 however, not excluded when we make the diagnosis of NAFLD, resulting in mislabeling of  
2 some patients. The novel diagnosis of MAFLD is based on established criteria, according to  
3 which all Caucasian adults with hepatic steatosis and body mass index (BMI)  $\geq 25$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> (or  
4  $\geq 23$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> in Asians) and/or T2DM have NAFLD, whereas lean individuals should fulfill at  
5 least two of seven criteria to be characterized as MAFLD [4].  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 We would like to stress that there is yet significant ambiguity in the definition of  
11 MAFLD. First, overweight/obesity is defined based only on BMI [3]. Then, waist  
12 circumference was one of the seven criteria indicating metabolic abnormalities for lean  
13 individuals. However, waist circumference is widely regarded as a better clinical index of  
14 visceral adiposity than BMI. For a given BMI, body composition may vary significantly from  
15 person to person, due to differences in fat distribution. There are people with seemingly  
16 normal BMI but increased adipose mass, defined as metabolically unhealthy non-obese, who  
17 may have NAFLD, defined as lean NAFLD. Another example are young athletes with normal  
18 waist circumference, despite high BMI, owing to high muscle mass. Thus, the use of both  
19 waist circumference and BMI adds an unnecessary layer, complicating the definition of  
20 MAFLD. We propose either waist circumference or direct assessment of percent fat mass via  
21 dual energy X-absorptiometry (DXA) should replace BMI in the definition of  
22 overweight/obesity.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40 Second, according to the new definition, patients with concomitant diseases (e.g.  
41 alcoholic, viral, autoimmune hepatitis, drug-induced liver injury) are not excluded, which is  
42 an important differentiation as compared with NAFLD [3,4]. Although these groups of mixed  
43 causes of fatty liver are largely understudied, not excluding them adds to the heterogeneity of  
44 MAFLD, despite the fact that the novel definition was created to primarily minimize the  
45 uncertainty of NAFLD due to its heterogeneity.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

53 The third issue is the potential classification of MAFLD into subtypes according to  
54 metabolic risk factors. This may have both prognostic and therapeutic implications. For  
55 example, MAFLD defined as hepatic steatosis with obesity may have different prognosis and  
56 may require distinct management than MAFLD defined as hepatic steatosis with T2DM or,  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 even more, than hepatic steatosis with both obesity and T2DM. This need for further  
2 classification resembles the distinct phenotypes of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS),  
3 another condition highly related to IR, MetS and NAFLD. However, other diseases should be  
4 excluded (e.g. congenital adrenal hyperplasia), before establishing the diagnosis of PCOS,  
5 which is in contrast with the proposed definition of MAFLD. Moreover, the seven criteria for  
6 metabolic abnormalities in MAFLD have largely mirrored the cut-offs used for the definition  
7 of MetS; although this is to a certain degree understandable, it may, at the same time, create a  
8 degree of bias in the definition of MAFLD, until cut-off points specifically for MAFLD are  
9 widely accepted.

10  
11 Finally, the histological severity of MAFLD will reportedly be based on the grade of  
12 activity and the stage of fibrosis, thus resulting in the abolishment of the term nonalcoholic  
13 steatohepatitis (NASH). Although this suggestion follows the classification of the severity of  
14 other chronic liver diseases, the abolishment of NASH may create confusion in terms of  
15 ongoing animal and clinical trials, but may also make results of published studies impossible  
16 to interpret. NASH is currently the main target of many clinical or preclinical trials [2] and an  
17 accepted endpoint for approval of medication for the disease by both the FDA and EMA.  
18 Moreover, the abolishment of NASH practically renders obsolete all noninvasive indices  
19 proposed for NASH, but also endangers ongoing studies of diagnostic accuracy targeting  
20 NASH. This would have immense scientific, clinical, regulatory and financial implications.

21  
22 Recently, the criteria of NAFLD and MAFLD were tested in a study using data from  
23 the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) III database (n=13,083)  
24 [5]. Interestingly, there were individuals who fulfilled the criteria for NAFLD but not for  
25 MAFLD (n=620; 14.3% of NAFLD patients), and, inversely, individuals who fulfilled the  
26 criteria for MAFLD but not for NAFLD (n=342; 8.4% of MAFLD patients) [5]. Thus, despite  
27 their overlap, NAFLD and MAFLD do not represent one identical entity. What is already  
28 known for NAFLD may or may not be valid for MAFLD, unless we do validate it in specific  
29 MAFLD populations. For example, noninvasive indices for fibrosis and the treatment of  
30 selected NASH patients with vitamin E or pioglitazone, proposed by almost all guidelines,  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 should be re-evaluated in MAFLD patients. This will certainly be time- and resource-  
2 consuming, and may create more confusion, in case that the results of clinical trials in  
3 MAFLD are conflicting compared with those previously shown in NAFLD.  
4  
5

6 In summary, the recently proposed terminology and definitions for MAFLD are  
7 expected to reflect more accurately the heterogeneous pathogenesis of the disease, focusing  
8 on the metabolic abnormalities, which seem to play a key role in its development and  
9 progression. An improving knowledge of the pathogenesis of the disease and the apparent  
10 failure of most clinical trials to date make us ponder and seriously consider the change in  
11 definition and the classification of the disease into subtypes. A new definition needs to be: 1)  
12 precise, yet clinically simple to make; 2) able to make the specific diagnosis distinct from  
13 most if not all other disease states that may be leading to the same or similar phenotypes; 3)  
14 expressed in positive (e.g. MAFLD or dysmetabolism-associated fatty liver disease [DAFLD]  
15 [6]) and not negative terms signifying exclusion (e.g. NAFLD); and 4) must be able to serve  
16 us better in our search for both a more accurate noninvasive diagnosis of the disease subtypes  
17 and more and better therapies for our therapeutic armamentarium.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33 Despite the need for changes in terminology, definition and classification of the  
34 disease, we believe that a more thorough approach should be followed. We need to proceed  
35 cautiously in order to minimize any potential adverse consequences. Most authors have  
36 proposed an international consensus to be reached by all liver societies plus the most  
37 important regulatory agencies. Until then, we will continue to propose that the best practice  
38 may be providing an individualized but holistic management of relevant metabolic  
39 comorbidities (e.g. obesity, T2DM, dyslipidemia, hypertension, cardiovascular disease) by  
40 teams of experts with different medical specialties (i.e., hepatologists, endocrinologists,  
41 cardiologists, internists, pathologists). These efforts and ongoing discussions do provide hope  
42 that one day we may be able to use a more rationale approach towards decreasing the  
43 consequences of the disease and thus possibly mitigating its global burden.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

**Funding:** No sources of financial support for this Perspective.

**Disclosure statement**

SAP, ESK, EAT, SK, AL, AM, HT, IC, AG, MWG, DAV, AA and FC have no competing interests.

ME is a member of the advisory board for AMRA-Medical.

SX has received research funding from Target RWE, restricted research funding from Axcella Health and consulting with Intercept Pharmaceuticals.

CSM has been a shareholder of and reports grants through his institution and personal consulting fees from Coherus Incand Pangea Inc, reports grants through his institution and personal consulting fees from Novo Nordisk, reports personal consulting fees and in kind support with research reagents from Ansh inc, reports personal consulting fees from Genfit, P.E.S., Amgen, Intercept, Astra Zeneca, Aegerion and Regeneron, reports in kind support (meals during educational activities) from Amarin, Jansen, Boehringer Ingelheim and travel support and fees from TMIOA, the California Walnut Commission and the cardio metabolic health conference.

## References

- [1] Diehl AM, Day C. Cause, Pathogenesis, and Treatment of Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis. *N Engl J Med* 2017;377:2063-72.
- [2] Polyzos SA, Kang ES, Boutari C, Rhee EJ, Mantzoros CS. Current and emerging pharmacological options for the treatment of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Metabolism* 2020;111S:154203.
- [3] Eslam M, Sanyal AJ, George J. MAFLD: A Consensus-Driven Proposed Nomenclature for Metabolic Associated Fatty Liver Disease. *Gastroenterology* 2020;158:1999-2014.
- [4] Eslam M, Newsome PN, Sarin SK, Anstee QM, Targher G, Romero-Gomez M, et al. A new definition for metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease: An international expert consensus statement. *J Hepatol* 2020;73:202-9.
- [5] Lin S, Huang J, Wang M, Kumar R, Liu Y, Liu S, et al. Comparison of MAFLD and NAFLD diagnostic criteria in real world. *Liver Int* 2020;40:2082-9.
- [6] Polyzos SA, Mantzoros CS. Making progress in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) as we are transitioning from the era of NAFLD to dys-metabolism associated fatty liver disease (DAFLD). *Metabolism* 2020;111S:154318.