Symptom-triggered Attention to Self as a Possible Trigger of Functional Comorbidity

Anne-Catherine M. L. Huys, MD PhD ¹; Kailash P. Bhatia, DM ¹; Patrick Haggard, PhD ²; Mark J. Edwards, PhD ³

¹ Department of Clinical and Movement Neurosciences, University College London Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK

² Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience, University College London, London, UK

³ Neuroscience Research Centre, Institute of Molecular and Cell Sciences, St George's University of London, London, UK

Corresponding author

Dr Anne-Catherine M. L. Huys Department of Clinical and Movement Neurosciences University College London Queen Square Institute of Neurology 33 Queen Square London WC1N 3BG e-mail: <u>anne-catherine.huys.15@ucl.ac.uk</u> Telephone: 0044 20 3448 8723

Word count: 484

Running title: Symptom-triggered Attention to Self

Key words: functional overlay, functional movement disorders, movement disorders, attention, movement specific reinvestment scale

Abstract: N/A

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/mdc3.13120

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

Attention is crucial in functional movement disorders (FMD), demonstrated by worsening of functional movement disorders when attention is directed towards the affected limb and improvement by distraction.

When professional sportspeople perform their highly skilled movements their attentional focus is on the goal of the movement. A strongly replicable finding in sports-related research is that adopting an "internal, body- focused attention" impairs performance compared to adopting an "external, goal-focused attention" [1–3]. How does misallocation of attention to the mechanics of motor execution lead to impaired movements? Most authors agree that automatic motor processes provide more optimal fine motor control than the slower, effortful, more conscious processes characterised by voluntary attention [1,4].

The Movement Specific Reinvestment Scale (MSRS) is a ten-item questionnaire testing a person's tendency to consciously monitor their movements [5]. It contains two subscales, the conscious motor processing subscale, which evaluates awareness of the processes of one's own movements, and the more interpersonal movement self-consciousness scale, which evaluates the concern about one's "style" of movement (Table 1).

We administered the MSRS, and the hospital anxiety and depression scale to 52 people with FMD (action tremor, dystonia, myoclonus, gait disorder, weakness), to 41 age and gender matched patients with equivalent non-functional, other movement disorders (OMD) (action tremor, dystonia, myoclonus, weakness) and to 57 age and gender matched healthy controls

(HC). The patients were primarily recruited from the clinical practice of experts in functional and movement disorders (MJE and KPB).

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

As detailed in Table 1, we found that functional and other movement disorders patients scored equally highly on the MSRS, with both groups scoring significantly higher than healthy controls in the total and the two sub-scores. The FMD group had significantly higher anxiety and depression scores than their organic counterparts, which in turn had significantly higher scores than the healthy controls. There were only weak correlations between the MSRS total score and either anxiety (Pearson's correlation coefficient FMD r=.25, Spearman's rho OMD ρ =.36, HC ρ =.38) or depression (FMD r=.36, OMD ρ =.43, HC ρ =.15).

These findings suggest that having a movement disorder, regardless of whether it is of functional origin or not, and largely independently of anxiety or depression, induces increased attention to one's movement. This increase in conscious motor processing may be adaptive, and may be necessary for the patient to move safely and efficiently, if automatic motor control mechanisms are impaired. Importantly, more conscious motor processing (perhaps corresponding to MSRS subscale 1) seems to be accompanied by increased movement self-consciousness (corresponding to MSRS subscale 2) (Pearson's correlation coefficient FMD r=.54; OMD r=.75, Spearman's rho HC ρ =.73).

These observations might help explain why an estimated 10-15% of movement disorders patients have an additional functional movement disorder and why 12% of patients with a neurological disease also display "symptoms unexplained by the disease" [6,7]. The increased attention to the body in patients with movement disorders triggered by the presence

of physical symptoms might make them more susceptible to developing a functional neurological disorder in addition.

Ethical Compliance Statement

The study was approved by the local ethics committee (London-Bromley Research Ethics Committee, reference: 16/LO/1463), and participants gave their written, informed consent. We confirm that we have read the Journal's position on issues involved in ethical publication and affirm that this work is consistent with those guidelines.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank all study participants.

Authors' roles

1) Research project: A. Conception, B. Organization, C. Execution;

2) Statistical Analysis: A. Design, B. Execution, C. Review and Critique;

3) Manuscript: A. Writing of the first draft, B. Review and Critique, C. Final version

Anne-Catherine Huys: 1A, 1B, 1C, 2A, 2B, 3A, 3C

Kailash Bhatia: 3B

Patrick Haggard: 2A, 2C, 3B

Mark Edwards: 2C, 3B

Data availability

Our ethics agreement prevents data being openly available, but individual researchers may request deidentified participant data from the corresponding author.

Funding Sources and Conflict of Interest: The study was funded by Dr Anne-Catherine Huys' Guarantors of Brain Entry scholarship and her Association of British Neurologists Clinical Research Training Fellowship, Patrick Berthoud Charitable Trust (Grant Number: 2016-PBCT-1). The funders had no involvement in any part of the study. The authors have no conflicts of interest.

Financial Disclosures for the previous 12 months: The authors have no disclosures to report.

References

- Wulf G. Attentional focus and motor learning: a review of 10 years of research.
 Bewegung und Train 2007;1:4–14.
- Lohse KR, Sherwood DE, Healy AF. How changing the focus of attention affects performance, kinematics, and electromyography in dart throwing. *Hum Mov Sci* 2010;29:542–55. doi:10.1016/j.humov.2010.05.001
- Zachry T, Wulf G, Mercer J, *et al.* Increased movement accuracy and reduced EMG activity as the result of adopting an external focus of attention. *Brain Res Bull* 2005;67:304–9. doi:10.1016/j.brainresbull.2005.06.035

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

- 4 Kahneman D. *Thinking, fast and slow.* New York: : Farrar, Straus and Giroux 2011.
- Eves FF, Maxwell JP, Masters RSW. Development of a movement specific
 Reinvestment Scale. In: *International Society of Sport Psychology (ISSP) World Congress.* 2005.
- Ranawaya R, Riley D, Lang A. Psychogenic dyskinesias in patients with organic
 movement disorders. *Mov Disord* 1990;5:127–33. doi:10.1002/mds.870050206
- Stone J, Carson A, Duncan R, *et al.* Which neurological diseases are most likely to be associated with 'symptoms unexplained by organic disease'. *J Neurol* 2012;259:33–8. doi:10.1007/s00415-011-6111-0

Table 1: Movement Specific Reinvestment Scale and Hospital Anxiety and Depression scale group averages and statistical analyses with effect sizes

	HC	OMD (n=41) mean (sd)	FMD (n=52) mean (sd)	One-way ANOVA / Kruskal- Wallis	Two sample t-test /		
	(n=57) mean (sd)				Wilcoxon rank-s		n test FMD
					versus OMD	versus HC	versus HC
Movement Specific Reinvest	ment Sc	ale (MSI	RS)				
MCDC 4a4al	21.9	36.6	36.0	F(2,147)=23.97	<i>t</i> (91)=0.23	Z=-4.94	Z=-5.39
MSRS total	(11.6)	(13.6)	(12.0)	$p_{corr} < .0001$ $\eta^{2=}.25$	p=.81 d=0.05	<i>p</i> <.0001 <i>r</i> =.50	<i>p</i> <.0001 <i>r</i> =52
Conscious motor processing	11.8	18.8	19.0	F(2,147)=18.96	t(91) = -0.18 p = .86	Z=-4.63 <i>p</i> <.0001	Z=-4.99 p<.0001
subscale	(6.8)	(7.1)	(6.9)	$p_{corr} < .0001$ $\eta^{2=}.21$	d=-0.04	r=47	<i>p</i> <.0001 <i>r</i> =48
I rarely forget the times when my	2.5	3.5	3.6	F(2,147)=5.11	t(91) = -0.26		
movements have failed me, however slight the failure.	(2.0)	(1.8)	(1.9)	$p_{corr}=.014$ $\eta^{2=}.065$	p=.79 d=-0.05		
I am always trying to figure out why my actions failed.	2.4	3.1	3.7	F(2,147)=7.06	t(91) = -1.60		
	(1.9)	(2.0)	(1.8)	$p_{corr}=.003$ $\eta^{2=}.088$	p=.11 d=-0.33		
I reflect about my movement a	2.1	4.1	3.9	F(2,147)=23.73	t(91)=0.65		
lot.	(1.6)	(1.7)	(1.8)	$p_{corr} < .0001$ $\eta^{2=}.24$	p=.52 d=0.14		
I am always trying to think about	2.2	3.8	3.9	F(2,147)=14.61	t(91) = -0.21		
my movements when I carry them out.	(1.7)	(1.8)	(2.0)	$p_{corr} < .0001$ $\eta^{2=}.17$	p=.83 d=-0.04		
I am aware of the way my mind	2.7	4.3	3.9	F(2,147)=11.31	Z=1.20		
and body works when I am carrying out a movement.	(1.8)	(1.8)	(1.8)	$p_{corr} < .0001$ $\eta^{2=}.13$	p=.23 r=.12		
Movement self-consciousness	10.1	18.0	16.9	F(2,147)=21.52	t(91)=0.70	Z=-4.85	Z=-5.01
subscale	(6.0)	(7.2)	(6.8)	<i>p_{corr}</i> <.0001 η ²⁼ .23	p=.48 d=0.15	<i>p</i> <.0001 <i>r</i> =49	<i>p</i> <.0001 <i>r</i> =48
I am self-conscious about the	2.5	4.0	4.2	F(2,147)=14.95	Z=-0.49		,
way I look when I am moving.	(1.7)	(1.9)	(1.8)	$p_{corr}=.0001$ $\eta^{2=}.17$	p=.62 r=05		
I sometimes have the feeling that	1.6	3.4	2.9	F(2,147)=16.08	Z=1.43		
I am watching myself move.	(1.2)	(1.9)	(1.9)	$p_{corr} < .0001$ $\eta^{2^{=}}.18$	p=.15 r=.15		
I am concerned about my style of	1.8	3.7	3.7	F(2,147)=24.34	<i>t</i> (91)=0.11		
moving.	(1.4)	(1.8)	(1.7)	$p_{corr} < .0001$ $\eta^{2=}.25$	p=.91 d=0.02		
If I see my reflection in a shop	2.3	2.6	2.4	F(2,147)=0.57	Z=0.58		
window, I will examine my movements.	(1.6)	(1.7)	(1.8)	$p_{corr} = .57$ $\eta^2 = .008$	p=.56 r=.06		
I am concerned about what	1.0	4.1	2.7	F(2,147)=24.33	t(91)=1.01		
people think about me when I am	1.9 (1.4)	4.1 (1.8)	3.7 (1.9)	<i>p</i> _{corr} <.0001	p=.32 d=0.21		
moving. Hospital Anxiety and Depressi			(~)	η²=.25	<i>u</i> =0.21		
many and Depress				$\chi^2(2)=34.35$	t(91) = -2.80	Z=-3.57	
HADS-Anxiety	4.9 (3.3)	7.6 (3.8)	10.2 (4.9)	<i>p</i> =.0001	<i>p</i> =.006	<i>p</i> =.0004 <i>r</i> =36	
				$\eta^{2=.22}$ $\chi^{2}(2)=57.34$	d=-0.59 Z=-4.90	Z=-3.73	
HADS-Depression	2.5 (2.6)	4.4 (2.7)	8.9 (4.6)	<i>p</i> =.0001	<i>p</i> <.0001	<i>p</i> =.0002	
	(=.0)	()	()	η²=.38	r=51	r=38	

MSRS: Each question is rated on a six-point Likert scale (strongly, moderately weakly disagree/agree). The ANOVA *p*-values are Šidák-Holm corrected for multiple comparisons (p_{corr}). Significant results are highlighted in bold. HADS <8: normal, 8–10: mild, 11-14: moderate, 15-21: severe affection.