



**A retrospective case study of the thematic content of
psychotic experiences in a first episode psychosis
population**

Journal:	<i>Journal of Mental Health</i>
Manuscript ID	CJMH-2019-0364.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Subject Area:	Psychosis
Further Detail:	Thematic content, Qualitative analysis, Thematic analysis

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

A retrospective case study of the thematic content of psychotic experiences in a first episode psychosis population

Abstract:

Background. Historically, the content of psychotic experiences has tended to be of little importance to biological psychiatry, with hallucinations and delusions being seen as symptoms of mental illness rather than meaningful experiences or responses to life circumstances.

Aims. This study aims to explore the thematic content of psychotic phenomena in a sample of clients with a first episode of psychosis.

Methods. The electronic medical records of 160 services users of two Early Intervention for Psychosis Services were comprehensively reviewed. A thematic analysis was used to explore the thematic content of psychotic symptoms recorded by healthcare professionals.

Results. The results illustrate 30 themes and 85 sub-themes. This includes delusional beliefs (e.g. “being harmed, attacked or killed”, “being monitored or followed by others”, “special powers or abilities”) and hallucinations (e.g. “commanding voice”, “derogatory/critical voice”, “commentary”).

Conclusions. The results illustrate the extensive and varied experience of psychosis within this sample. Based on the findings of this study, it is hoped that future research studies and mental health services will attend to the meaning and content of psychotic experiences.

Keywords:

Psychosis, schizophrenia, retrospective case study, content, experience, thematic analysis

Acknowledgements:

The authors would like to thank James Sinclair and Ritchard Ledgerd at North East London Foundation Trust for their practical help and support.

1
2
3 **Data availability statement:**
4

5 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding
6 author upon reasonable request.
7
8
9

10
11 **Word count: 3,998** plus 175 word abstract
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review Only

Introduction

Historically, the content of psychotic experiences has been of little importance to biological psychiatry, with hallucinations and delusions seen as symptoms of mental illness (Bentall, 2005; Read & Dillon, 2013) and delusions described as “meaningless speech acts” (Berrios, 1991). However, there is an increasing focus in research endeavours and clinical settings on the personal relevance of the thematic content of psychotic symptoms.

There is an emerging evidence base which has qualitatively explored the content of psychotic symptoms. In a review of 100 clinical cases, Corsten and Longden (2013) reported that prevalent themes of auditory hallucinations include hearing negative and malicious voices, which criticise, command or threaten the hearer. Several studies have demonstrated significant heterogeneity in the phenomenology of auditory hallucinations (Beavan & Read, 2010; Haarmans, Vass & Bentall, 2016; McCarthy-Jones et al., 2015), leading to proposals that auditory hallucinations can be classified under five subtypes: hypervigilance; autobiographical memory; inner speech; epileptic and deafferentation (McCarthy-Jones et al., 2014).

Similarly, qualitative studies have explored thematic content of delusional beliefs.

Interpretive phenomenological analysis has been employed to generate a typology of themes within delusional content. This revealed 34 themes corresponding to the domains of negative self, negative interaction, special self, identity and relationships,

1
2
3 specific mental experience, and entities (Rhodes, Jakes & Robinson, 2005). Themes of
4
5
6 persecution, grandiosity, religion, guilt and love have consistently been found and
7
8
9 have been posited as universal to all delusional beliefs (Kiran & Chaudhury, 2009;
10
11 Stompe, Ortwein-Swoboda, Ritter & Schanda, 2003).

12
13
14
15
16 Qualitative research has also demonstrated that delusional content has personal
17
18
19 relevance for service users, with a statistically significant association found between
20
21
22 themes of a person's life goals and themes of their delusions (Jakes, Rhodes & Issa,
23
24
25 2009). It has been proposed that individuals experience psychotic symptoms that
26
27
28 make psychological sense in the context of life events, and which can be formulated to
29
30
31 embody underlying emotional conflicts such as low self-worth, anger, shame and guilt
32
33 (Corstens & Longden, 2013).

34
35
36
37
38 The significance of thematic content of psychotic experiences is most developed in
39
40
41 relation to trauma. Several studies have demonstrated that the content of psychotic
42
43
44 symptoms is related to adverse life experiences (Bentall et al., 2014; Falukozi &
45
46
47 Addington, 2012; Read & Argyle, 1999; Reiff, Castille, Muenzenmaier, & Link,
48
49
50 2012). Pivotal research by Romme and Escher (1989) suggested that 70% of voice
51
52
53 hearers have experienced trauma which they connect with their voices. These findings
54
55
56 have been replicated by studies which estimate that half of psychotic symptoms either
57
58
59 directly or indirectly relate to histories of abuse, including themes of humiliation,
60
intrusiveness, guilt, threat and fear (Read & Argyle, 1999; Hardy et al., 2005; Reiff,

1
2
3 Castille, Muenzenmaier & Link, 2012). Differences in thematic content have also been
4
5 observed across a range of adverse childhood events. For example, survivors of
6
7 physical abuse reported perceiving an everyday world of aggression and contempt by
8
9 others, pervasive mistrust, and feeling isolated (Rhodes & Healey, 2017).
10
11
12
13
14 Contrastingly, themes reported by survivors of sexual abuse included condemnation
15
16 by external entities and topics of sexual abuse (Rhodes, O'Neill & Nel, 2018).
17
18
19
20
21

22 Assessing and understanding the content of psychotic experiences has important
23
24 clinical implications, with voice content predictive of emotional distress and contact
25
26 with mental health services (Beavan & Read, 2010). Anecdotal reports consistently
27
28 describe the value of making sense of psychotic experiences as part of recovery
29
30 (British Psychological Society, BPS, 2017). Qualitative studies exploring key
31
32 components of psychological therapies highlight that service users and therapists value
33
34 the process of understanding the onset of psychotic symptoms including how this links
35
36 to life events (Berry & Hayward, 2011; Wood, Burke & Morrison, 2013). As such, it
37
38 has been suggested that treatment should include a greater focus on addressing past
39
40 experiences and linking them to psychotic symptom content in order to make
41
42 symptoms less frightening and more understandable (Catone et al., 2016).
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 As psychotic symptoms often persist after treatment, it has been proposed that
55
56 interventions could be better tailored according to symptoms presentation (including
57
58 phenomenology and content), therefore increasing treatment efficacy (McCarthy-
59
60

1
2
3 Jones et al., 2015). It has been proposed that, for example, if a person is presenting
4
5
6 with auditory hallucinations closely related to a traumatic memory, they may benefit
7
8
9 from techniques traditionally associated with posttraumatic stress disorder, such as
10
11 trauma-based CBT or eye movement desensitization and reprocessing (McCarthy-
12
13
14 Jones et al., 2014).

15
16
17
18
19 Despite this recent increased focus on content, feedback from service users suggests
20
21
22 that mental health professionals often fail to consider the content and meaning of
23
24
25 psychotic episodes (BPS, 2017).
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 **Aims of the current study**

33
34
35 This study aims to explore the thematic content of psychotic symptoms in a sample of
36
37
38 service users with a first episode of psychosis. This study aims to explore the themes
39
40
41 within service users' psychotic experiences as directly reported in their electronic
42
43
44 medical records.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Method

Study design

The current study utilised a retrospective case study design. The electronic medical records of 160 users of Early Intervention for Psychosis Services (EIPS) between 2012 and 2017 were comprehensively reviewed, using the Clinical Record Interactive Search System (CRIS). CRIS is software which removes identifying information from pre-existing electronic medical records. It then produces a de-identified database that can be accessed by researchers, allowing access to service users' pre-existing electronic records which have been entirely anonymised.

Ethical approval

The study was approved by the NHS Health Research Authority (IRAS ID 229787) and the collaborating NHS Trust's Research and Development Department. The study was also registered with the ClinPsyD sub-group of School Research Degrees Sub-Committee at the university at which the first author was undertaking their doctoral training. As this study was limited to using pre-existing, non-identifiable data provided by CRIS, a research database already approved by a Research Ethics Committee (REC), a full ethical review by a REC was not required.

Confidentiality and consent

No patient identifiable information was used in this study. Data was collected

1
2
3 exclusively from CRIS, with all identifying information removed. As no service users
4
5 were contacted to directly participate in the research project, consent was not obtained.
6
7
8
9

10 11 ***Participants*** 12

13
14 The sample comprised 160 participants referred to one of two EIPS in London. As
15
16 service users of EIPS, all participants had undergone a multidisciplinary assessment
17
18 which concluded that they had experienced a first episode of psychosis (FEP) within
19
20 the past 3 years, for which they had not received any antipsychotic treatment.
21
22

23
24 Eligibility assessments were completed by community mental health nurses,
25
26 psychiatrists and psychologists within the team.
27
28
29
30
31

32 33 ***Inclusion and exclusion criteria*** 34

35
36 Clients must have had a comprehensive eligibility assessment completed and recorded
37
38 on referral to the service, to ensure that there was sufficient information for analysis.
39

40
41 This assessment included details of presenting problems, mental health history, family
42
43 history and background. Individuals who communicated via an interpreter were
44
45 excluded from the study due to the risk of interpretation impacting on disclosures of
46
47 psychotic experiences.
48
49
50
51

52 53 ***Procedure*** 54

55
56 Healthcare professionals' reports in the 'Progress Notes' section of electronic medical
57
58 records were comprehensively reviewed for all participants. 'Progress Notes' included
59
60

1
2
3 all routine notes from all professional groups in a service user's care. Qualitative data
4
5
6 pertaining to symptom presentation was collected, with all entries copied verbatim
7
8
9 into an electronic data collection sheet.

10 11 12 13 14 *Approach to analysis*

15
16 The current study employed Braun and Clark's (2006) approach to thematic analysis.
17
18 Due to the exploratory nature of the study, an inductive analysis was employed. This
19
20
21 permitted analysis of the data without conforming to existing frameworks.
22
23
24
25

26
27 Following data collection and familiarisation with the data, initial codes were
28
29 generated. A total of 2,306 individual codes were extracted from the qualitative data
30
31
32 obtained from electronic medical records. Codes were sorted into themes by grouping
33
34
35 similar codes, with the aim of making connections between codes and forming broader
36
37
38 themes. More specific sub-themes were created under general themes. This phase
39
40
41 continued until all codes were allocated to themes and sub-themes. After an initial set
42
43
44 was established, a process of reviewing, naming and defining the themes and sub-
45
46
47 themes was conducted. Where codes did not form a coherent pattern within a theme or
48
49
50 sub-theme, their position was reviewed. Themes that had fewer than five extracts
51
52
53 associated with them were collapsed into broader themes or discarded. Themes with
54
55
56 large numbers of extracts were considered for splitting into further sub-themes.
57
58
59 Themes and sub-themes were then labelled to capture the essence of each, and detailed
60
61
62 definitions created.

Inter-rater reliability

The initial 30 themes and 85 sub-themes were provided to the second author, together with their definitions and a list of 230 codes. This included one extract associated with each sub-theme and theme, plus a random selection of 115 extracts. The second author allocated each extract to the theme and/or sub-theme they felt most appropriate. Once basic errors were removed (e.g. typographical errors and codes entered in error), authors' allocations of themes and sub-themes matched at a rate of 85.3%. Several amendments were then made based on discussion of discrepancies between the allocations. For example, the title and definition of the subtheme "monitored by authorities, police or government organisations" was amended to include "people in authority" to account for different interpretation of an extract. Similarly, a subtheme titled "imposters" was amended to "people are not who they seem" to more fully account for the range of participants' experiences. Additional subthemes were created including "inanimate noises" as a subtheme of the theme "hearing noises".

It was noted that the majority of discrepancies were caused by a failure to allocate extracts to multiple themes or subthemes. Therefore, the thematic analysis was reviewed once more paying specific attention to multiple allocations of codes.

Results

Participant characteristics

The sample comprised 160 people under the care of two EIPS, as detailed in Table 1. The diagnostic profile was reflective of FEP populations (Conrad et al., 2014), including diagnoses of ‘paranoid schizophrenia’ and ‘acute and transient psychotic disorder’. Within this sample, 24% did not have a diagnosis listed. This is consistent with the approach of diagnostic uncertainty employed in EIPS (Baird et al., 2012).

Themes of delusional beliefs

Delusional beliefs were recorded in the electronic medical records of 143 participants (89.4%). Results demonstrate an extensive range of delusional themes, presented in Table 2. Due to the large number of themes and sub-themes identified, only a small selection will be explored in more detail.

The most commonly recorded delusional theme was that of “being harmed, attacked or killed”. This theme was recorded for 88 participants (55%) and comprised six sub-themes including the belief that they would be poisoned, harmed by black magic or curse, their home would be broken into, their belonging would be stolen, or that people would harm their family members. For example:

People are trying to harm her [P127]

Someone will put rat poison in her food [P64]

1
2
3 *People from his community have done black magic on him [P1]*
4
5

6 *People have been trying to break into the house at night [P4]*
7
8
9

10
11 The theme of “being monitored or followed by others” was experienced by 77
12
13 participants (48.1%). This theme comprised five sub-themes including the belief that
14
15 they were being monitored by electronic devices or by authorities, police or
16
17 government agencies, or followed by others. For example:
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 *People are watching him when he is out in public [P4]*
26

27 *Neighbours are spying on her [P130]*
28

29
30 *There are surveillance cameras in his smoke alarm and television [P101]*
31

32
33 *Police are running covert surveillance of him [P101]*
34
35
36
37

38 Another commonly reported theme was that of “being talked/laughed about or looked
39
40 at”. This was reported by 48 participants (30.0%). For example:
41
42
43
44
45

46 *People are talking about him [P4]*
47

48
49 *People are laughing at him behind his back [P33]*
50

51
52 *People in public places laugh at her [P47]*
53

54
55 *People are staring at him [P29]*
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The theme “distrust of mental health services or treatment” was reported by 30
4
5 participants (18.8%). This comprised two subthemes: mistrust of staff and mistrust of
6
7 medication. For example:
8
9

10
11
12
13
14 *Mental health staff are out to hurt him [P90]*

15
16
17 *Nurses wanted to hurt her [P58]*

18
19
20 *Medication is affecting the iron levels in his blood which is causing him to feel*
21
22 *weak [P120]*

23
24
25 *She is being poisoned by Quetiapine [P134]*

26
27
28
29
30 Less commonly recorded was the theme of things not being real. This theme included
31
32 sub-themes of people not being who they seem or a belief that everything is a movie,
33
34 game or simulation. This theme was recorded 15 participants (9.4%). Examples
35
36
37 include:
38
39

40
41
42
43 *His mother is not his real mother [P10]*

44
45
46 *Actors are pretending to be nurses, doctors [P67]*

47
48
49 *His life is like the Matrix and he could prove who he really is via telephone*
50
51 *[P9]*

52
53
54 *He lives in the "Truman Show" [P99]*

55
56
57
58
59 ***Subject of delusional belief***
60

1
2
3 The perceived subject of delusional beliefs were included in the electronic records for
4
5
6 93 participants (58.1%), as detailed in Table 3. The most commonly recorded subject
7
8
9 was mental health staff, with 26 participants' beliefs (16.3%) relating to mental health
10
11 staff. For example:

12
13
14
15
16 *Mental health staff are out to hurt him [P90]*

17
18
19 *Nurses wanted to hurt her [P58]*

20
21
22 *The ward doctor was controlling her via a computer [P53]*

23
24
25 *Actors are pretending to be nurses, doctors [P67]*

26
27
28
29
30 Other commonly reported subjects included family members, which was recorded in
31
32 the notes of 24 participants (15.0%). For example:

33
34
35
36
37
38 *Family are plotting against him [P38]*

39
40
41 *Family members were out to destroy her and get her locked up [P75]*

42
43
44 *His mother lives in Buckingham palace [P40]*

45
46
47
48
49 The Devil, demons or spirits were cited as the subject of delusions in the notes 23
50
51 participants (14.4%). For example:

52
53
54
55
56
57 *A lot of people, including the Devil, have plotted for him to be admitted in*
58
59 *hospital [P10]*
60

1
2
3 *She was possessed as a child and taken over as a baby by the demon [P63]*
4

5
6 *Demons communicate to him through animals such as when dogs are barking*
7
8 *[P7]*
9

10
11 *He has a demon inside him [P85]*
12
13

14 15 16 ***Themes of hallucinations*** 17

18
19 Hallucinations were recorded for 129 participants (80.63%), as detailed in Table 4.
20

21
22 The most commonly reported theme of hallucinations was that of a “commanding
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
or kill others. This theme was experienced by 83 participants (51.9%). For example:

33 *Voice told him to smash a window [P12]*
34

35 *Voice tells him to harm himself [P30]*
36

37
38 *Voices tell him to hurt other people [P28]*
39

40
41 *Voices telling to kill her partner [P80]*
42
43
44
45

46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
The second most commonly reported auditory hallucination was that of a “derogatory or critical voice”, which was reported by 58 participants (36.3%). This theme included comments of being worthless, unwanted, unloved, evil or bad, or pertaining to their sexuality, intelligence or actions. For example:

59
60 *Voices telling her "no one loves you" [P124]*

1
2
3 *Voices say "you're thick" [P103]*
4

5
6 *Voices call her ugly [P143]*
7

8
9 *Voices comment on things that she does e.g. "that's rubbish" [P80]*
10

11
12
13
14 Another commonly reported hallucinatory theme was “visual hallucinations”. Visual
15
16 hallucinations were reported by 68 participants (42.5%). This theme comprised nine
17
18 subthemes including hallucinations of people or faces; family members; shadows or
19
20 figures; religious figures; animals or insects; distorted images; lights, shapes or
21
22 colours; and death or blood. For example:
23
24
25

26
27
28
29
30 *Saw face of his [deceased] grandad on the wall [P4]*
31

32
33 *Seeing a bird flying [P8]*
34

35
36 *Images of objects which become warped [P4]*
37

38
39 *Seeing flashing lights [P100]*
40
41

42
43
44 Another commonly reported theme was that of “hearing noises”, which was reported
45
46 by 34 participants (21.3%). This theme involved hearing non-verbal noises and
47
48 included subthemes of inanimate noises; crying or screaming; whispering or
49
50 mumbling; or laughter. For example:
51
52
53

54
55
56
57 *Hears footsteps up the stairs [P115]*
58

59
60 *Hears tap dripping [P115]*

1
2
3 *Hearing a buzzing sound [P45]*
4

5
6 *Hears babies crying [P1]*
7
8
9

10
11 Less commonly experienced were “positive voices”. Positive voices were reported by
12
13
14 26 participants (16.3%) including voices that were reassuring or encouraging, or
15
16
17 voices that offered protection from negative voices. For example:
18
19
20

21
22 *Sometimes voices say nice things about her [P149]*
23

24 *Friendly voice providing him with comfort and advice [P6]*
25

26
27 *Hears his father's voice talking to him reassuringly telling him that everything*
28
29 *is going to be alright [P20]*
30
31

32 *Good voices telling her not to listen to the bad voices [P70]*
33
34
35
36
37

38 ***Subject of hallucination***

39

40
41 The perceived subject of hallucinations was recorded for 76 participants (47.5%), as
42
43 detailed in Table 5. The most commonly reported subject was that of an unknown
44
45 person – the subject of the hallucinatory experience could not be specifically identified
46
47 by 26 participants (15.0%). However, 15 participants (9.4%) reported that their
48
49 hallucinatory experience was related to family members. For example:
50
51
52

53
54
55
56
57 *Voices are of her family who want her and her husband dead [P64]*
58

59 *Sister's voice making derogatory comments about her [P140]*
60

1
2
3 *Voice of her grandfather is reassuring [P151]*
4

5
6 *Hears derogatory comments from her mother [P159]*
7
8
9

10
11 Another commonly reported subject was God, recorded for 14 participants (8.8%). For
12
13 example:
14

15
16
17
18
19 *God had told him that Olanzapine would cause him cancer [P120]*
20

21
22 *Hearing God talking to him [P39]*
23

24
25 *Voice of God told him not to take drugs [P113]*
26

27
28 *Heard God's voice giving her instructions [P42]*
29
30
31

32
33 Celebrities or fictional characters were cited as the subject of hallucinatory
34
35 experiences by 6 participants (3.8%). For example:
36
37

38
39
40 *Voice of Roger [Moore] stops him from doing what the other voices say [P108]*
41

42
43 *He has heard Teresa May's voice [P40]*
44

45
46 *Voices of characters from Lord of the Rings [P11]*
47

48
49 *Used to hear Osama Bin laden before he died [P41]*
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Discussion

This study explored the thematic content of psychotic experiences reported by 160 users of EIPS. The results illustrate the extensive and varied experience of psychosis which, in this sample, encompassed 30 themes and 85 sub-themes.

The thematic content of delusions observed in the current study complements those outlined in previous research. Delusions of persecution are considered by many the most common delusion (e.g. Mitropoulos et al., 2015). The belief of being harmed, attacked or killed was reported by 88% of the present sample, making it the most common theme. Themes of conspiracy, monitoring, religion, delusional relationship, pregnancy, and guilt were all also observed in the current study, consistent with previous research (Mitropoulos et al., 2015; Kiran & Chaudhury, 2009). Though the present study did not seek to condense thematic content into over-arching themes, it can be conceptualised that the delusions observed are consistent with the proposed universal themes of persecution, grandiosity, guilt, religion, hypochondria, jealousy and love (Stompe et al., 2003).

The thematic content of hallucinations observed in the current study is also consistent with previous research. Corsten and Longden (2013) reported that the most prevalent themes include hearing negative and malicious voices, which criticise, command or threaten the hearer. Less frequently experienced are positive or supportive voices, voices in a foreign language and voices related to spiritual frameworks. In the present

1
2
3 study, a commanding voice was the most commonly reported hallucination,
4
5
6 experienced by 51.9% of the sample. This was closely followed by derogatory or
7
8
9 critical voices, experienced by 36.3% of the sample. Positive and supportive voices, or
10
11
12 voices in foreign languages, were reported by fewer participants.

13
14
15
16 The current findings enhance previous research by exploring themes and sub-themes
17
18
19 in more extensive detail. One consequence of this was that thematic content emerged
20
21
22 that might be considered culturally-based or time-specific. The high prevalence of
23
24
25 persecutory and paranoid beliefs is well documented for those experiencing psychosis.
26
27
28 However, the present study demonstrated a specific subsection of participants who
29
30
31 reported beliefs that they were being monitored or followed via electronic devices.
32
33
34 The presence of these delusions might provide some evidence for the variability of
35
36
37 psychotic symptoms across time, including the sensitivity of delusions to culture.

38
39
40
41 The current findings also further existing research by highlighting the interpersonal
42
43
44 nature of the thematic content documented in psychotic symptoms. The subject of
45
46
47 delusional beliefs and hallucinations were reported in 58.1% and 47.5% of the sample
48
49
50 respectively. This equates to roughly half of the current sample reporting psychotic
51
52
53 experiences in direct relation to others, for example holding a belief that a neighbour
54
55
56 was conspiring against them or hearing the voice of a deceased family member. Of
57
58
59 particular note, 18.8% of the sample reported delusional content focussed on mistrust
60

1
2
3 of mental health services, with mental health professionals positioned as the primary
4
5
6 subject of the delusion for 16.3%.
7
8
9

10 11 *Clinical implications* 12

13
14 Results suggest that there is significant variability in the experience of psychosis. The
15
16 number of themes and sub-themes that emerged from the thematic analysis suggests
17
18 that psychosis has a complex and varied presentation. However, current clinical
19
20 practice continues to place a limited emphasis on the meaning of individuals'
21
22 psychotic symptoms. The data collection process for this study highlighted that there
23
24 were instances where the content of psychotic symptoms was not recorded at all,
25
26 despite growing evidence that the content of psychotic experiences is personally
27
28 meaningful (Beavan, Read, & Cartwright, 2011; Geekie & Read, 2009; Mitropoulos et
29
30 al., 2015).
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 It is recommended that the content of psychotic symptoms is assessed and recorded
42
43 during routine clinical care in order to promote better understanding and recovery. It is
44
45 recommended that healthcare professionals routinely ask service users about the
46
47 nature and form of their psychotic experiences, as well as any significance this might
48
49 have for them.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56

57 In line with previous recommendations by researchers (e.g. Rhodes & Healey, 2016)
58
59 the current findings emphasise the importance of offering therapy for interpersonal
60

1
2
3 difficulties including the long-term effects of trauma. Given that many of the themes
4
5
6 outlined in the current study are of an interpersonal nature, it is recommended that the
7
8
9 content of psychotic symptoms, and their meaning, is a focus of treatment. This may
10
11
12 be in the form of psychological therapy, including exploration of the developmental,
13
14
15 social and psychological factors that contribute to the development of psychotic
16
17
18 symptoms (Close & Garety, 1998; Freeman et al., 1998; Morrison, 2001). The efficacy
19
20
21 of psychological interventions may be improved by targeting interventions based on
22
23
24 psychotic symptom content and phenomenology (McCarthy-Jones, 2014). Individuals
25
26
27 with psychosis are also likely to benefit from interventions drawing on the principles
28
29
30 of the Hearing Voices Movement, which highlights the relevance of life experiences in
31
32
33 the development of psychosis (Corstens, Longden, McCarthy-Jones, Waddingham &
34
35
36 Thomas, 2014; Romme & Escher, 1989).

37 38 ***Strengths and limitations of the study***

39
40
41 The present study was strengthened by the use of a moderately large sample (n=160)
42
43
44 to explore psychotic symptom thematic content, as well as an innovative methodology
45
46
47 which allowed a more detailed and direct understanding of individuals' experience of
48
49
50 psychosis.

51
52
53
54 However, the study's reliance on electronic medical records also had disadvantages.

55
56
57 Recording the symptom content based on medical records meant that the data
58
59
60 collected was subject to biases at two stages. Firstly, at the point of mental health staff

1
2
3 learning about the experiences, for example if participants chose not to disclose their
4
5 experiences to staff. Secondly, there may have been failures of recording experiences,
6
7 where time-pressured mental health staff may not have recorded all the content
8
9 disclosed to them. As such, it is assumed that not all relevant content was recorded,
10
11 and therefore available for analysis.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 A further limitation is that data analysis was subject to bias. An inductive approach to
20
21 thematic analysis was used, with the aim of remaining independent from the author's
22
23 prior knowledge of pre-existing classifications of psychotic symptoms. However, the
24
25 results of the thematic analysis were evidently driven by the pre-existing concepts of
26
27 hallucinations and delusions. The authors note that there may have been alternative
28
29 ways of conceptualising service users' experiences, particularly for those whose
30
31 experiences cross the boundaries of hallucinations and delusions, or those who
32
33 experience additional psychotic symptoms such as thought disorder. The risk of bias in
34
35 data analysis was reduced by employing an inter-rater reliability check. However, the
36
37 robustness of this measure could have been increased by including more than one rater
38
39 and auditor.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 ***Future research***

55
56 Results illustrate the extensive and varied experience of psychosis and support an
57
58 argument that future research should attend to the meaning and content of psychotic
59
60

1
2
3 experiences. Future research might replicate the current study in a range of countries
4
5
6 and across different cultures (Fernando, 2003; Taitimu, Read & McIntosh, 2017),
7
8
9 using similar retrospective case report analysis or in-depth interviews.
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 **Conclusion**

17
18 This study aimed to explore the thematic content of psychotic symptoms in a first
19
20
21 episode psychosis population. The results illustrate the extensive and varied
22
23
24 experience of psychosis within this sample. Based on the findings of this study, it is
25
26
27 hoped that future research studies and mental health services will attend to the
28
29
30 meaning and content of psychotic experiences.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- Baird, J., Bell, S., Brabban, A., Burbach, F., Campbell, A., Dodgson, G., ... & Hember, M. (2012). IRIS Guidelines: Early Intervention in Psychosis IRIS Guidelines Update September 2012.
- Beavan, V. & Read, J. (2010) Hearing voices and listening to what they say: the importance of voice content in understanding and working with distressing voices. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disorders*, 198(3), 201–205.
- Beavan, V., Read, J., & Cartwright, C. (2011). The prevalence of voice-hearers in the general population: A literature review. *Journal of Mental Health*, 20(3), 281–292.
- Bentall, R. P. (2005). Madness explained: Why we must reject the Kraepelinian paradigm and replace it with a “complaint-orientated” approach to understanding mental illness. *Medical Hypotheses*, 66(2), 220–233.
- Bentall, R. P., De Sousa, P., Varese, F., Wickham, S., Sitko, K., Haarmans, M., & Read, J. (2014). From adversity to psychosis: Pathways and mechanisms from specific adversities to specific symptoms. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 49(7), 1011–1022.
- Berrios, G. E. (1991). Delusions as “wrong beliefs”: A conceptual history. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 159(Suppl. 14), 6–13.
- Berry, C. & Hayward, M. (2011). What Can Qualitative Research Tell Us about Service User Perspectives of CBT for Psychosis? A Synthesis of Current Evidence. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 39, 487–494.
- Braun, V., & Clark, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
- British Psychological Society (2017). *Understanding psychosis and schizophrenia*. BPS: Leicester.
- Catone, G., Pisano, S., Broome, M., Lindau, J. F., Pascotto, A., & Gritti, A. (2016). Continuity between stressful experiences and delusion content in adolescents with psychotic disorders – a pilot study. *Scandinavian Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychology*, 4(1), 14–22.
- Close, H., & Garety, P. A. (1998). Cognitive assessment of voices: Further developments in understanding the emotional impact of voices. *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 37(2), 173–188.

1
2
3
4
5 Conrad, A. M., Lewin, T. J., Sly, K. A., Schall, U., Halpin, S. A., Hunter, M., & Carr,
6 V. J. (2014). Ten-year audit of clients presenting to a specialised service for young
7 people experiencing or at increased risk for psychosis, *BMC Psychiatry*, *14*(1), 318.
8
9

10 Corstens, D., & Longden, E. (2013). The origins of voices: links between life history
11 and voice hearing in a survey of 100 cases. *Psychosis: Psychological, Social and*
12 *Psychosis: Psychological, Social and Integrative Approaches*, *5*(3), 270–285.
13
14

15 Corstens, D., Longden, E., McCarthy-Jones, S., Waddingham, R., & Thomas, N.
16 (2014). Emerging perspectives from the hearing voices movement: Implications for
17 research and practice. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, *40*(4), 285–294.
18
19

20 Falukozi, E., & Addington, J. (2012). Impact of Trauma on Attenuated Psychotic
21 Symptoms. *Psychosis*, *4*(3), 203–212.
22
23

24 Fernando, S. (2003). *Cultural Diversity, Mental Health and Psychiatry*. London:
25 Routledge.
26
27

28 Freeman, D., Garety, P. A., Fowler, D., Kuipers, E., Dunn, G., Bebbington, P., &
29 Hadley, C. (1998). The London-East Anglia randomized controlled trial of cognitive-
30 behaviour therapy for psychosis IV: Self-esteem and persecutory delusions. *The*
31 *British Journal of Clinical Psychology*, *37*(4), 415–30.
32
33

34 Geekie, J., & Read, J. (2009). *Making Sense of Madness*. Hove, England: Routledge.
35
36

37 Haarmans, M., Vass, V., & Bentall, R. P. (2016). Voices' use of gender, race and
38 other social categories to undermine female voice-hearers: Implications for
39 incorporating intersectionality within CBT for psychosis. *Psychosis: Psychological,*
40 *Social and Integrative Approaches*, *8*(3), 203–213.
41
42
43

44 Hardy, A., Fowler, D., Freeman, D., Smith, B., Steel, C., Evans, J., ... Dunn, G.
45 (2005). Trauma and hallucinatory experience in psychosis. *Journal of Nervous and*
46 *Mental Disease*, *193*(8), 501–507.
47
48
49

50 Jakes, S., Rhodes, J., & Issa, S. (2004). Are the themes of delusional beliefs related to
51 the themes of life-problems and goals?. *Journal of Mental Health*, *13*(6), 611-619.
52
53

54 Kiran, C., & Chaudhury, S. (2009). Understanding delusions. *Industrial Psychiatry*
55 *Journal*, *18*(1), 3–18.
56
57

58 McCarthy-Jones, S., Romero, M. C., McCarthy-Jones, R., Dillon, J., Cooper-
59 Rompato, C., Kieran, K., Kaufman, M. & Blackman, L. (2015). Hearing the unheard:
60

1
2
3 An interdisciplinary, mixed methodology study of women's experiences of hearing
4 voices (auditory verbal hallucinations). *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 6(1), 1071.
5
6

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

McCarthy-Jones, S., Trauer, T., Mackinnon, A., Sims, E., Thomas, N., & Copolov, D. L. (2014). A new phenomenological survey of auditory hallucinations: evidence for subtypes and implications for theory and practice. *Schizophrenia bulletin*, 40(1), 231-235.

McCarthy-Jones, S., Thomas, N., Strauss, C., Dodgson, G., Jones, N., Woods, A., ... & Kingdon, D. (2014). Better than mermaids and stray dogs? Subtyping auditory verbal hallucinations and its implications for research and practice. *Schizophrenia bulletin*, 40(4), S275-S284.

Mitropoulos, G. B., Gorgoli, D., Houlis, D., Korompili, K., Lagiou, C., & Gerontas, A. (2015). Psychosis and societal prescriptions of gender; a study of 174 inpatients. *Psychosis: Psychological, Social and Integrative Approaches*, 7(4), 324–335.

Morrison, A. P. (2001). The interpretation of intrusions in psychosis: An integrative cognitive approach to hallucinations and delusions. *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 29(3), 257–276.

Read, J., & Argyle, N. (1999). Hallucinations, delusions and thought disorder among adult psychiatric inpatients with a history of child abuse. *Psychiatric Services*, 50(11), 1467–1472.

Read, J., & Dillon, J. (eds.) (2013). *Models of Madness: Psychological, Social and Biological Approaches to Psychosis*. London: Routledge,

Read, J., Harper, D., Tucker, I., & Kennedy, A. (2018a). Do mental health services identify child abuse and neglect? A systematic review. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*, 27, 7-19.

Read, J., Harper, D., Tucker, I., & Kennedy, A. (2018b). How do mental health services respond when child abuse or neglect become known? A literature review. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*, 27, 1606-1617.

Reiff, M., Castille, D. M., Muenzenmaier, K., & Link, B. (2012). Childhood abuse and the content of adult psychotic symptoms. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy*, 4(4), 356–369.

Rhodes, J., Jakes, S., & Robinson, J. (2005). A qualitative analysis of delusional content. *Journal of Mental Health*, 14(4), 383–398.

1
2
3 Rhodes, J. E., & Healey, L. J. (2017). 'Many die in the hurricane': An Interpretative
4 Phenomenological Analysis of Adults with Psychosis and a History of Childhood
5 Physical Abuse. *Clinical psychology & psychotherapy*, 24(3), 737-746.
6
7

8 Rhodes, J. E., O'Neill, N. D., & Nel, P. W. (2018). Psychosis and sexual abuse: An
9 interpretative phenomenological analysis. *Clinical psychology & psychotherapy*,
10 25(4), 540-549.
11
12

13
14 Romme, M. A., & Escher, A. D. (1989). Hearing Voices. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*,
15 15(2), 209–216.
16
17

18 Stompe, T., Ortwein-Swoboda, G., Ritter, K., & Schanda, H. (2003). Old wine in new
19 bottles? Stability and plasticity of the contents of schizophrenic delusions.
20 *Psychopathology*, 36(1), 6–12.
21
22

23 Taitimu, M., Read, J., & McIntosh, T. (2018). How Māori understand what Western
24 psychiatry calls 'schizophrenia'. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 55, 153-177.
25
26

27 Wood, L., Burke, E. & Morrison, A. (2013). Individual Cognitive Behavioural
28 Therapy for Psychosis (CBTp): A Systematic Review of Qualitative Literature.
29 *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 43(3), 285–297.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Participant characteristics

Characteristic	Total	Male	Female
<i>Gender</i>	160	80	80
<i>Age at entry to service M (SD)</i>	23.74 (5.57)	23.07 (5.43)	24.41 (5.68)
<i>Ethnic background N (%)</i>			
White – British/ English/ Irish/ Other	84 (52.50)	42 (52.50)	42 (52.50)
Black or Black British – African/ Caribbean/ Other	50 (31.25)	26 (32.50)	24 (30.00)
Asian or Asian British – Pakistani/ Indian/ Bangladeshi/ Other	17 (10.63)	8 (10.00)	9 (11.25)
Mixed ethnicity	1 (0.63)	0 (0.00)	1 (1.25)
Any other ethnicity	7 (4.38)	1 (1.25)	6 (7.50)
Not listed	4 (2.50)	3 (3.75)	1 (1.25)
<i>Diagnosis N (%)</i>			
Not listed	47 (29.38)	22 (27.50)	25 (31.25)
Mental and behavioural disorder due to substance use	22 (13.75)	16 (20.00)	6 (7.50)
Paranoid schizophrenia/ schizophrenia unspecified	18 (11.25)	12 (15.00)	6 (7.50)
Unspecified nonorganic psychosis	24 (15.00)	10 (12.50)	14 (17.50)
Mania with psychotic symptoms	8 (5.00)	6 (7.50)	2 (2.50)
Acute and transient psychotic disorder	10 (6.25)	4 (5.00)	6 (7.50)
Non-psychotic diagnosis	12 (7.50)	4 (5.00)	8 (10.00)
Other nonorganic psychotic disorders	3 (1.88)	2 (2.50)	1 (1.25)
Acute polymorphic psychotic disorder	3 (1.88)	1 (1.25)	2 (2.50)
Other acute and transient psychotic disorders	2 (1.25)	1 (1.25)	1 (1.25)
Schizoaffective disorder	5 (3.13)	1 (1.25)	4 (5.00)
Severe depressive episode with psychotic symptoms	5 (3.13)	1 (1.25)	4 (5.00)
Puerperal mental disorder, unspecified	1 (0.63)	0 (0.00)	1(1.25)

Table 2. Frequency of delusional themes

THEME/ Subtheme	Total	%
BEING HARMED, ATTACKED OR KILLED	88	55.0
Being harmed, attacked or killed (non-specific)	66	41.3
Poison	12	7.5
Black magic/ curse	14	8.8
Break in/ intruders	20	12.5
Theft	7	4.4
People harming family members	21	13.1
BEING MONITORED OR FOLLOWED BY OTHERS	77	48.1
Being monitored or followed by others (non-specific)	47	29.4
Monitored by known people	14	8.8
Monitored by electronic devices	33	20.6
Monitored by authorities, police or government organisations	10	6.3
Followed by others	27	16.9
BEING TALKED/ LAUGHED ABOUT OR LOOKED AT	48	30.0
People talking about them	36	22.5
People laughing about them	10	6.3
People looking at them	19	11.9
RECEIVING MESSAGES OR SIGNS	45	28.1
Signs/ connections	13	8.1
Messages	35	21.9
BODY IS CHANGED OR DAMAGED	40	25.0
Body is changed or damaged (non-specific)	19	11.9
Genitals/ sexual organs	7	4.4
Objects inserted/ removed from body	9	5.6
Pregnancy	10	6.3
Dirty or malodorous	6	3.8
CONSPIRACY	37	23.1
Conspiracy (non-specific)	18	11.3
Family conspiring against them	17	10.6
Authorities, police or government organisations conspiring against them	8	5.0
Conspiracy with mental health services	8	5.0
SPECIAL POWERS OR ABILITIES	33	20.6
Special powers or abilities (non-specific)	26	16.3
Telepathy or advanced communication/ understanding	12	7.5
RELIGIOUS	33	20.6
Demons/ devil/ evil spirit	19	11.9
Prophecy	12	7.5
Divine mission	10	6.3
In communication with God	14	8.8

1			
2			
3	POSSESSION OR CONTROL	32	20.0
4	Possession or control (non-specific)	10	6.3
5	Thoughts are being controlled	9	5.6
6	Actions are being controlled	12	7.5
7	Known person is controlling them	6	3.8
8	Organisation is controlling them	5	3.1
9	Devil, demon or spirit is controlling them	5	3.1
10			
11	DISTRUST OF MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES/		
12	TREATMENT	30	18.8
13	Mental health staff	22	13.8
14	Medication	10	6.3
15			
16	SUCCESSFUL/ POWERFUL/ IMPORTANT	24	15.0
17	Successful/ powerful/ important (non-specific)	8	5.0
18	Achievement/ wealth	8	5.0
19	Position of power/ influence	20	12.5
20	Connection to royalty	6	3.8
21			
22	SOMETHING BAD IS GOING TO HAPPEN	19	11.9
23	Something bad is going to happen (non-specific)	7	4.4
24	Large-scale disaster	5	3.1
25	Impending death	7	4.4
26			
27	THINGS ARE NOT REAL	15	9.4
28	People are not who they seem	10	6.3
29	Everything is a movie/ game/ simulation	5	3.1
30			
31	RELATIONSHIPS	12	7.5
32	Unfaithfulness	5	3.1
33	Delusional relationship	7	4.4
34			
35	GUILT	9	5.6
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
47			
48			
49			
50			
51			
52			
53			
54			
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			

Table 3. Subject of delusional belief

SUBJECT OF DELUSIONAL BELIEF	93	58.1
Mental health staff	26	16.3
Family	24	15.0
Devil/ demon/ spirit	23	14.4
Neighbours/ flatmates	19	11.9
Authorities, police or government organisations	19	11.9
Parents	17	10.6
Miscellaneous	14	8.8
Partner/ ex-partner	10	6.3
Friends	7	4.4
Colleagues	5	3.1

Table 4. Frequency of themes of hallucinations

THEME/ Subtheme	Total	%
COMMANDING VOICE	83	51.9
Commanding voice (non-specific)	47	29.4
To kill/ harm self	49	30.6
To kill/ harm others	38	23.8
VISUAL HALLUCINATIONS	68	42.5
Visual hallucinations (non-specific)	14	8.8
People or faces	30	18.8
Family members	12	7.5
Shadows or figures	19	11.9
Religious figures	10	6.3
Animals/ insects	10	6.3
Distorted images	5	3.1
Lights/ shapes/ colours	6	3.8
Death/ blood	5	3.1
DEROGATORY/CRITICAL VOICE	58	36.3
Derogatory/critical voice (non-specific)	38	23.8
Worthless/ unwanted/ unloved	9	5.6
Stupid	6	3.8
Sexuality	5	3.1
Appearance	7	4.4
Evil/ bad	9	5.6
Actions	9	5.6
THREATENING/ WARNING VOICE	35	21.9
Threatening/ warning voice (non-specific)	8	5.0
Threat to harm them	9	5.6
Threat to harm others	5	3.1
Threat related to voices	6	3.8
Warnings/ reminders	20	12.5
HEARING NOISES	34	21.3
Hearing noises (non-specific)	10	6.3
Inanimate noises	10	6.3
Crying/ screaming	5	3.1
Whispering/ mumbling	9	5.6
Laughter	11	6.9
A POSITIVE VOICE	26	16.3
A positive voice (non-specific)	19	11.9
Reassuring/ encouraging	7	4.4
Protection from negative voices	6	3.8
TACTILE HALLUCINATIONS	26	16.3
Animals/ insects	11	6.9

1			
2			
3	Being touched	12	7.5
4	Vibrations/ itching/ burning	9	5.6
5			
6	VOICES TALKING TO EACH OTHER	22	13.8
7	Talking about them	14	8.8
8	Talking/ arguing amongst themselves	13	8.1
9			
10	COMMENTARY	15	9.4
11			
12	A VOICE CALLING THEIR NAME	12	7.5
13			
14	ALTERED TASTE OR SMELL	11	6.9
15			
16	FEELING A PRESENCE/ SPIRIT	7	4.4
17			
18	VOICES IN ANOTHER LANGUAGE	6	3.8
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
29			
30			
31			
32			
33			
34			
35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
47			
48			
49			
50			
51			
52			
53			
54			
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			

Table 5. Subject of hallucination

SUBJECT OF HALLUCINATION	76	47.5
An unknown person	24	15.0
Miscellaneous	18	11.3
Family	15	9.4
God	14	8.8
Parent	9	5.6
Friend/ partner	9	5.6
A named voice	9	5.6
Celebrity/ fictional character	6	3.8