LARGE RAINBOW CLIQUES IN RANDOMLY PERTURBED DENSE GRAPHS*

ELAD AIGNER-HOREV^{\dagger}, ORAN DANON^{\dagger}, DAN HEFETZ^{\dagger}, AND SHOHAM LETZTER^{\ddagger}

Abstract. For two graphs G and H, write $G \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} H$ if G has the property that every proper coloring of its edges yields a rainbow copy of H. We study the thresholds for such so-called anti-Ramsey properties in randomly perturbed dense graphs, which are unions of the form $G \cup \mathbb{G}(n, p)$, where G is an n-vertex graph with edge-density at least d, and d is a constant that does not depend on n. Our results in this paper, combined with our results in a companion paper, determine the threshold for the property $G \cup \mathbb{G}(n, p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} K_s$ for every s. In this paper, we show that for $s \geq 9$ the threshold is $n^{-1/m_2(K_{\lceil s/2\rceil})}$; in fact, our 1-statement is a supersaturation result. This turns out to (almost) be the threshold for s = 8 as well, but for every $4 \leq s \leq 7$, the threshold is lower; see our companion paper for more details. Also in this paper, we determine that the threshold for the property $G \cup \mathbb{G}(n, p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} C_{2\ell-1}$ is n^{-2} for every $\ell \geq 2$; in particular, the threshold does not depend on the length of the cycle $C_{2\ell-1}$. For even cycles, and in fact any fixed bipartite graph, no random edges are needed at all; that is, $G \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} H$ always holds, whenever G is as above and H is bipartite.

Key words. random graphs, anti-Ramsey, perturbed graphs, thresholds

MSC codes. 05C80, 05D10

DOI. 10.1137/21M1423117

1. Introduction. A random perturbation of a fixed *n*-vertex graph G, denoted by $G \cup \mathbb{G}(n, p)$, is a distribution over the supergraphs of G. The elements of such a distribution are generated via the addition of randomly sampled edges to G. These random edges are taken from the binomial random graph with edge-probability p, namely $\mathbb{G}(n, p)$. The fixed graph G being perturbed or augmented in this manner is referred to as the seed of the perturbation (or augmentation) $G \cup \mathbb{G}(n, p)$.

The above model of randomly perturbed graphs was introduced by Bohman, Frieze, and Martin [10], who allowed the seed G to range over the family of n-vertex graphs with minimum degree at least δn , which we denote here by $\mathcal{G}_{\delta,n}$. In particular, they discovered the phenomenon that for every $\delta > 0$, there exists a constant $C(\delta) > 0$ such that $G \cup \mathbb{G}(n, p)$ asymptotically almost surely (henceforth a.a.s. for brevity) admits a Hamilton cycle, whenever $p := p(n) \ge C(\delta)/n$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}_{\delta,n}$. Note that the value of p attained by their result is smaller by a logarithmic factor than that required for the emergence of Hamilton cycles in $\mathbb{G}(n, p)$. That is, while G itself might not be Hamiltonian, making it Hamiltonian requires far fewer random edges than the number of random edges which typically form a Hamilton cycle by themselves. The notation $\mathcal{G}_{\delta,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n, p)$ then suggests itself to mean the collection of perturbations arising from the members of $\mathcal{G}_{\delta,n}$ for a prescribed $\delta > 0$.

Several strands of results regarding the properties of randomly perturbed (hy-

^{*}Received by the editors May 27, 2021; accepted for publication (in revised form) July 5, 2022; published electronically December 8, 2022.

https://doi.org/10.1137/21M1423117

Funding: The third author's research was supported by ISF grant 822/18. The fourth author's research was supported by the Royal Society.

[†]Department of Computer Science, Ariel University, Ariel 40700, Israel (horev@ariel.ac.il, oran.danon@msmail.ariel.ac.il, danhe@ariel.ac.il).

[‡]Department of Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WB, UK (s.letzter@dpmms.cam.ac.uk).

per)graphs can be found in the literature. One prominent such strand can be seen as an extension of the results found in [10] pertaining to the Hamiltonicity of $\mathcal{G}_{\delta,n} \cup$ $\mathbb{G}(n,p)$. Indeed, the emergence of various spanning configurations in randomly perturbed (hyper)graphs was studied, for example, in [6, 8, 12, 13, 17, 24, 34, 35, 38].

Another prominent line of research regarding random perturbations concerns Ramsey properties of $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p)$, where here $\mathscr{G}_{d,n}$ stands for the family of *n*vertex graphs with edge-density at least d > 0, and d is a constant. This strand stems from the work of Krivelevich, Sudakov, and Tetali [36]. This line of research is heavily influenced by the now fairly mature body of results regarding the thresholds of various Ramsey properties in random graphs.

The study of Ramsey properties in random graphs was initiated by Luczak, Ruciński, and Voigt [37]. The so-called symmetric edge Ramsey problem for random graphs was settled completely by Rödl and Ruciński in a series of papers [43, 44, 45] that collectively established the so-called symmetric random Ramsey theorem. The best-known consequence of this theorem is that for every integer $r \ge 2$ and every graph H containing a cycle, there exist constants c := c(r, H) and C := C(r, H) such that

(1)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}[\mathbb{G}(n, p) \to (H)_r] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \le cn^{-1/m_2(H)}, \\ 1 & \text{if } p \ge Cn^{-1/m_2(H)}. \end{cases}$$

Here, $G \to (H)_r$ is the classical arrow notation used in Ramsey theory to denote that the graph G has the property that every r-edge-coloring of G admits a monochromatic copy of H. Having the same configuration H sought in every color lends this type of results the title of being symmetric. The parameter $m_2(H)$ is the so-called maximum 2-density of H given by

$$m_2(H) := \max\left\{\frac{e(F) - 1}{v(F) - 2} : F \subseteq H, e(F) \ge 2\right\}.$$

The symmetric random Ramsey theorem determines the threshold for the property $\mathbb{G}(n,p) \to (H)_r$ for all H, i.e., also in the case that H does not contain a cycle; see, e.g., [23, Theorem 1] for a complete and accurate formulation of this result. An alternative short proof of the 1-statement appearing in (1) was recently provided by Nenadov and Steger [41] utilizing the so-called *container method* [5, 47].

As noted above, Krivelevich, Sudakov, and Tetali [36] were the first to study Ramsey properties of random perturbations. In particular, they proved that for every real d > 0, integer $t \ge 3$, and graph $G \in \mathscr{G}_{d,n}$, the perturbation $G \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p)$ a.a.s. satisfies the property $G \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \to (K_3, K_t)$, whenever $p := p(n) = \omega(n^{-2/(t-1)})$; moreover, this bound on p is asymptotically best possible. Here, the notation $G \to (H_1, \ldots, H_r)$ is used to denote that G has the *asymmetric* Ramsey property asserting that any r-edge-coloring of G admits a color $i \in [r]$ such that H_i appears with all its edges assigned the color i.

Recently, the aforementioned result of Krivelevich, Sudakov, and Tetali [36] has been significantly extended by Das and Treglown [16] and also by Powierski [42]. In particular, there is now a significant body of results pertaining to the property $G \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \to (K_r, K_s)$ for any pair of integers $r, s \geq 3$, whenever $G \in \mathscr{G}_{d,n}$ for constant d > 0. Furtherin this direction, the work of Das, Morris, and Treglown [15] extends the results of Kreuter [33] pertaining to *vertex Ramsey* properties of random graphs into the perturbed model.

Krivelevich, Sudakov, and Tetali [36] studied additional properties of $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p)$. In particular, they studied the so-called *containment* problem of small prescribed graphs in such perturbations. The emergence of complete graphs of fixed size in this model was studied earlier by Bohman, Frieze, Krivelevich, and Martin [9] who determined thresholds for their emergence in this model.

Sudakov and Vondrák [48] studied the non-2-colorability of randomly perturbed dense hypergraphs. Furthermore, in the arithmetic-Ramsey setting, the first author and Person [2] established an (asymptotically) optimal Schur-type theorem for randomly perturbed dense sets of integers.

Problems concerning the emergence of non-monochromatic configurations in every (sensible) edge-coloring of a given graph are collectively referred to as *anti-Ramsey* problems. Here, one encounters a great diversity of variants; further details can be found in the excellent survey [21] and the many references therein.

An edge-coloring ψ of a graph G is said to be *b*-bounded if no color is used on more than b edges. It is said to be *locally-b*-bounded if every color appears at most btimes at every vertex. In particular, locally-1-bounded colorings are the traditional proper colorings. A subgraph $H \subseteq G$ is said to be rainbow with respect to an edge colouring ψ if any two of its edges are assigned different colors under ψ , that is, if $|\psi(H)| := |\psi(E(H))| = e(H)$, where $\psi(E(H)) := \{\psi(e) : e \in E(H)\}$. We write $G \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} H$ if G has the property that every proper coloring of its edges admits a rainbow copy of H.

For a fairly complete overview regarding the emergence of small fixed rainbow configurations in random graphs with respect to every *b*-bounded coloring, see the work of Bohman, Frieze, Pikhurko, and Smyth [11] and references therein. The first to consider the emergence of small fixed rainbow configurations in random graphs with respect to proper colorings were Rödl and Tuza [46]. In a response to a question of Spencer (see [18, page 19]), Rödl and Tuza studied the emergence of rainbow cycles of fixed length.

The systematic study of the emergence of general rainbow fixed graphs in random graphs with respect to proper colorings was initiated by Kohayakawa, Kostadinidis, and Mota [29, 30]. They [29] proved that for every graph H, there exists a constant C > 0 such that $\mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} H$, whenever $p \geq Cn^{-1/m_2(H)}$. Nenadov, Person, Škorić, and Steger [40] proved, among other things, that for $H \cong C_{\ell}$ with $\ell \geq 7$, and for $H \cong K_r$ with $r \geq 19$, $n^{-1/m_2(H)}$ is, in fact, the threshold for the property $\mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} H$. Barros, Cavalar, Mota, and Parczyk [7] extended the result of [40] for cycles, proving that the threshold for the property $\mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} C_{\ell}$ remains $n^{-1/m_2(C_{\ell})}$ also when $\ell \geq 5$. Kohayakawa, Mota, Parczyk, and Schnitzer [31] extended the result of [40] for complete graphs, proving that the threshold of $\mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} K_r$ remains $n^{-1/m_2(K_r)}$ also when $r \geq 5$.

For C_4 and K_4 the situation is different. The threshold for the property $\mathbb{G}(n, p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} C_4$ is $n^{-3/4} = o\left(n^{-1/m_2(C_4)}\right)$, as proved by Mota [39]. For the property $\mathbb{G}(n, p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} K_4$, the threshold is $n^{-7/15} = o\left(n^{-1/m_2(K_4)}\right)$ as proved by Kohayakawa, Mota, Parczyk, and Schnitzer [31]. More generally, Kohayakawa, Kostadinidis, and Mota [30] proved that there are infinitely many graphs H for which the threshold for the property $\mathbb{G}(n, p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} H$ is significantly smaller than $n^{-1/m_2(H)}$.

Note that every properly colored triangle is rainbow, so the threshold for $\mathbb{G}(n,p)$ containing a rainbow triangle in every proper edge-coloring is simply the threshold for containing a triangle, which is known to be 1/n.

Downloaded 01/12/23 to 137.220.71.205. Redistribution subject to SIAM license or copyright; see https://epubs.siam.org/terms-privacy

1.1. Our results. For a real d > 0, we say that $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p)$ a.a.s. satisfies a graph property \mathcal{P} if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}[G_n \cup \mathbb{G}(n, p) \in \mathcal{P}] = 1$$

holds for every sequence $\{G_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying $G_n \in \mathscr{G}_{d,n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p)$ a.a.s. does not satisfy \mathcal{P} if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}[G_n \cup \mathbb{G}(n, p) \in \mathcal{P}] = 0$$

holds for at *least* one sequence $\{G_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying $G_n \in \mathscr{G}_{d,n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Throughout, we suppress this sequence-based terminology and write more concisely that $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p)$ a.a.s. satisfies (or does not) a certain property. In particular, given a fixed graph H, we write that a.a.s. $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} H$ to mean that for every sequence $\{G_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, satisfying $G_n \in \mathscr{G}_{d,n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the property $G_n \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} H$ holds asymptotically almost surely. On the other hand, we write that a.a.s. $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} H$ to mean that there exists a sequence $\{G_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, satisfying $G_n \in \mathscr{G}_{d,n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for which a.a.s. $G_n \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} H$ does not hold.

A sequence $\widehat{p} := \widehat{p}(n)$ is said to form a *threshold* for the property \mathcal{P} in the perturbed model if $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p)$ a.a.s. satisfies \mathcal{P} whenever $p = \omega(\widehat{p})$, and if $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p)$ a.a.s. does not satisfy \mathcal{P} whenever $p = o(\widehat{p})$.

For every real d > 0 and every pair of integers $s, t \ge 1$, every sufficiently large graph $G \in \mathscr{G}_{d,n}$ satisfies $G \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} K_{s,t}$; in fact, every proper coloring of G supersaturates G with $\Omega(n^{s+t})$ rainbow copies of $K_{s,t}$. The latter is a direct consequence of (7) stated below (see also [27]). Consequently, the property $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} K_{s,t}$ is trivial as no random perturbation is needed for it to be satisfied. The emergence of rainbow copies of non-bipartite prescribed graphs may then be of interest. For odd cycles (including K_3) we prove the following.

PROPOSITION 1.1. For every integer $\ell \geq 2$, and every real $0 < d \leq 1/2$, the threshold for the property $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} C_{2\ell-1}$ is n^{-2} .

Unlike the aforementioned thresholds for the property $\mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} C_{\ell}$, established in [7, 40], the threshold for the counterpart property in the perturbed model is independent of the length of the cycle. The proof of Proposition 1.1 is fairly standard and is thus postponed until section 6.

Our main result concerns the thresholds for the emergence of rainbow complete graphs in properly colored randomly perturbed dense graphs. The aforementioned results of [31, 40] can be easily used in order to establish a lower bound on such thresholds. Indeed, by these results, if $r \geq 5$ and $p = o\left(n^{-1/m_2(K_r)}\right)$, then a.a.s. there exists a proper coloring of the edges of $\mathbb{G}(n,p)$ admitting no rainbow copy of K_r . Consequently, given a real number $0 < d \leq 1/2$ and an *n*-vertex bipartite graph *G* of edge-density *d*, a.a.s. there exists a proper edge-coloring of $G \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p)$ admitting no rainbow copy of K_{2r-1} , provided that $p = o\left(n^{-1/m_2(K_r)}\right)$. We conclude that $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} K_{2r}$ and $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} K_{2r-1}$ hold a.a.s. whenever $p = o\left(n^{-1/m_2(K_r)}\right)$.

For every $r \geq 5$, we prove a matching upper bound for the above construction. Our main result reads as follows. THEOREM 1.2. Let a real number $0 < d \leq 1/2$ and an integer $r \geq 5$ be given. Then, the threshold for the property $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} K_{2r}$ is $n^{-1/m_2(K_r)}$. In fact, $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p)$ a.a.s. has the property that every proper coloring of its edges gives rise to $\Omega(p^{2\binom{r}{2}}n^{2r})$ rainbow copies of K_{2r} , whenever $p = \omega(n^{-1/m_2(K_r)})$.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.2 and the aforementioned lower bound.

COROLLARY 1.3. Let a real number $0 < d \leq 1/2$ and an integer $r \geq 5$ be given. Then, the threshold for the property $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} K_{2r-1}$ is $n^{-1/m_2(K_r)}$.

Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 establish that for sufficiently large complete graphs, i.e., K_s with $s \ge 9$, the threshold for the property $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} K_s$ is governed by a single parameter, namely, $m_2(K_{\lceil s/2 \rceil})$. This turns out to be true (almost, at least) for s = 8 as well, but proving it requires new ideas. For $4 \le s \le 7$, this is not the case; here, for each value of s in this range, the threshold is different. Using completely different methods, we prove the following results in the companion paper [1].

THEOREM 1.4. Let $0 < d \leq 1/2$ be given.

- 1. The threshold for the property $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rbw}} K_4$ is $n^{-5/4}$.
- 2. The threshold for the property $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{rbw}} K_5$ is n^{-1} .
- 3. The threshold for the property $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} K_7$ is $n^{-7/15}$

For K_6 and K_8 we can "almost" determine the thresholds.

THEOREM 1.5. Let $0 < d \le 1/2$ be given.

- 1. The property $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} K_6$ holds a.a.s. whenever $p = \omega(n^{-2/3})$.
- 2. For every constant $\varepsilon > 0$ it holds that a.a.s. $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} K_6$ whenever $p := p(n) = n^{-(2/3+\varepsilon)}$.

THEOREM 1.6. Let $0 < d \le 1/2$ be given.

- 1. The property $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} K_8$ holds a.a.s. whenever $p = \omega(n^{-2/5})$.
- 2. For every constant $\varepsilon > 0$ it holds that a.a.s. $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} K_8$ whenever $p := p(n) = n^{-(2/5+\varepsilon)}$.

Note that Part 1 of Theorem 1.6 follows directly from our results in this paper (see Proposition 5.1 in section 5). Part 2, however, requires new ideas which are detailed in [1].

1.2. Overview of the proof of Theorem 1.2. In this section, we provide a brief overview of the main ideas involved in our proof of the main result of this paper, namely Theorem 1.2. We consider the emergence of rainbow copies of K_{2r} in $G \cup R$, where $G \in \mathscr{G}_{d,n}$ with n sufficiently large, $0 < d \leq 1/2$ is fixed, and $R \sim \mathbb{G}(n, p)$ with p := p(n) as in Theorem 1.2. Without loss of generality (and owing to Szemerédi's regularity lemma [49]), the graph G can be assumed to be an ε -regular bipartite graph (see section 2 for a definition) with vertex bipartition $V(G) = U \cup W$ such that $|U| = |W| = m = \Omega(n)$. Our argument entails the exposure of $R \sim \mathbb{G}(n, p)$, first over W and then over U as described below.

Prior to exposing R over W, we prove that R[W] a.a.s. simultaneously satisfies the three properties detailed below in Claim 5.2; at this stage not all properties stated in that claim can be motivated. The third property asserts that no matter how R[W]is properly edge-colored, $\Omega(p^{\binom{r}{2}}n^r)$ rainbow copies of K_r arise in R[W]; moreover, all

Downloaded 01/12/23 to 137.220.71.205. Redistribution subject to SIAM license or copyright; see https://epubs.siam.org/terms-privacy

such rainbow copies are realized over a *predetermined* collection of so-called *desirable* r-subsets of W. By desirable we mean r-subsets whose common neighborhood in U with respect to G is linear in n. Such a *rainbow supersaturation* result, targeting a predetermined collection of r-subsets, is proved with the aid of Proposition 3.5 (implicit in [29]) and the so-called KLR-theorem, namely Theorem 3.7 [14, Theorem 1.6(i)] stated below.

We expose R over W and may assume from now on that the part exposed has the aforementioned properties. We then prove *deterministically* that given *any* proper edge-coloring of G and given any rainbow copy K of K_r supported on a desirable r-subset of W, there are $(1 - o(1))\binom{|N_G(V(K))|}{r}$ many r-sets Y in the common neighborhood $N_G(V(K))$ of V(K) in G having the property that $K \cup G[V(K), Y]$ is rainbow under the given coloring; this is seen in (12).

Put another way, as soon as we expose R over W, any proper edge-coloring of $R[W] \cup G$ gives rise to $\Omega(p^{\binom{r}{2}}n^r)$ rainbow copies of K_r , all supported on desirable r-subsets of W (with respect to G). Moreover, any such rainbow copy K has the property that $K \cup G[V(K), Y]$ is rainbow for virtually all r-subsets Y of its common neighborhood.

Prior to exposing R over U, we prove that R[U] a.a.s. simultaneously satisfies the four properties detailed below in Claim 5.3. Being highly technical in nature, we are unable to state these here in any meaningful way. Collectively, these properties enable us to appeal yet again to rainbow supersaturation properties of R[W] so as to obtain, given a rainbow copy K of K_r in W, a sufficient number of rainbow copies K'of K_r in the common neighborhood of V(K) in U for which $K \cup G[V(K), V(K')]$ is also rainbow. We show that this holds a.a.s. for every proper edge-coloring of $G \cup R$.

At this stage, we have an adequate number of pairs $(K, K') \in W \times U$ such that K' is rainbow and $K \cup G[V(K), V(K')]$ is rainbow. However, there could still be color clashes between the colors seen on E(K') and E(K) or between those seen on E(K') and those found on E(G[V(K), V(K')]; in either of these cases the pair (K, K') is said to be *bad*. The last part of the proof is a *deterministic* argument asserting that there exist many pairs (K, K') as above that are not bad and thus induce a rainbow copy of K_{2r} .

2. Preliminaries. Throughout, we make appeals to both the *dense* regularity lemma [49] (see also [32]) and the *sparse* regularity lemma [28] (see also [22]). For a bipartite graph $G := (U \cup W, E)$ and two sets $U' \subseteq U$ and $W' \subseteq W$, write $d_G(U', W') := \frac{e_G(U', W')}{|U'||W'|}$ for the edge-density of the induced subgraph G[U', W']. For $0 , the graph G is called <math>(\varepsilon, p)$ -regular if

$$|d_G(U', W') - d_G(U, W)| < \varepsilon p$$

holds whenever $U' \subseteq U$ and $W' \subseteq W$ satisfy $|U'| \ge \varepsilon |U|$ and $|W'| \ge \varepsilon |W|$. We abbreviate $(\varepsilon, 1)$ -regular to ε -regular.

We make repeated use of a result by Janson [25] (see also [26, Theorems 2.14 and 2.18]), regarding random variables of the form $X = \sum_{A \in S} I_A$. Here, S is a family of non-empty subsets of some ground set Ω and I_A is the indicator random variable for the event $A \subseteq \Omega_p$, where Ω_p is the so-called *binomial random set* arising from including every element of Ω independently at random with probability p. For such random variables, set $\lambda := \mathbb{E}[X]$, and define

$$\overline{\Delta} := \sum_{A,B\in\mathcal{S}} \sum_{A\cap B\neq\emptyset} \mathbb{E}[I_A I_B], \quad \Delta := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{A,B\in\mathcal{S}\\A\neq B}} \sum_{A\cap B\neq\emptyset} \mathbb{E}[I_A I_B].$$

Janson's result concerns the lower tail of X.

THEOREM 2.1 (see [26, Theorem 2.14]). For every $0 < t \leq \mathbb{E}[X]$, it holds that

$$\mathbb{P}[X \le \mathbb{E}[X] - t] \le \exp(-t^2/2\overline{\Delta}).$$

We also use the following lemma (see, e.g., Lemma 2.1 in [20]), which is known as a basic *dependent random choice* lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. Let a, \overline{d}, m, n, r be positive integers. Let G be a graph on n vertices with average degree at least \overline{d} . If there exists a positive integer t such that

$$\frac{(\overline{d})^t}{n^{t-1}} - \binom{n}{r} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^t \ge a,$$

then G contains a set of vertices U of size $|U| \ge a$ such that every r vertices in U have at least m common neighbors.

We conclude this section with some additional notation which will be used throughout this paper. Given a sequence f := f(n) and constants $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k > 0$ independent of n, we write $\Omega_{\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_k}(f)$, $\Theta_{\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_k}(f)$, and $O_{\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_k}(f)$ to mean that the constants which are implicit in the asymptotic notation depend on $\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_k$. If g := g(n) is a sequence, then we sometimes write $f \gg g$ and $f \ll g$ to mean $f = \omega(g)$ and f = o(g), respectively. In addition, given two constants $\mu > 0$ and $\nu > 0$ we write $\mu \ll \nu$ to mean that, while μ and ν are fixed, they can be chosen so that μ is arbitrarily smaller than ν .

3. Properties of $\mathbb{G}(n, p)$. In this section, we collect the various properties of $\mathbb{G}(n, p)$ facilitating subsequent arguments. Beyond the aggregation of such properties, the main result of this section is Proposition 3.9 concerning the supersaturation of rainbow copies of a given fixed graph in $\mathbb{G}(n, p)$ with respect to any proper edge-coloring. We commence, however, with the more standard properties, some of which will also facilitate the proof of Proposition 3.9.

3.1. Concentration results. While Theorem 1.2 deals only with complete graphs, in this section we consider a more general class of graphs. Recall that a graph H is *strictly* 2-*balanced* if $m_2(H) > m_2(K)$ whenever $K \subsetneq H$. Throughout this section, H denotes a fixed strictly 2-balanced graph. For such a graph H, let $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_n$ denote the family of (labelled) copies of H in K_n . For every $\tilde{H} \in \mathcal{H}$, let $Z_{\tilde{H}}$ denote the indicator random variable for the event $\tilde{H} \subseteq \mathbb{G}(n,p)$. Then, $X_H := \sum_{\tilde{H} \in \mathcal{H}} Z_{\tilde{H}}$ counts the number of copies of H in $\mathbb{G}(n,p)$. Note that

(2)
$$\mathbb{E}(X_H) = \sum_{\tilde{H} \in \mathcal{H}} p^{e(\tilde{H})} = p^{e(H)} \binom{n}{v(H)} \frac{(v(H))!}{|Aut(H)|} = \Theta\left(p^{e(H)} n^{v(H)}\right),$$

where Aut(H) is the automorphism group of H.

We require large deviation inequalities for both the upper and lower tails of X_H . For the lower tail, we make the standard appeal to Janson's inequality (seen at (4) below) so as to subsequently yield Lemma 3.2. For the upper tail, however, the standard appeal to Chebyshev's inequality is insufficient for our needs. For indeed, subsequent arguments require that certain properties of $\mathbb{G}(n,p)$ hold with probability at least $1 - \Omega(n^{-b})$, for some constant b which we are allowed to choose to be sufficiently large. We thus replace the standard appeal to Chebyshev's inequality with an appeal to one of the main results of Vu's paper [50]. In broad terms, [50, Theorem 2.1] asserts that if

$$p \gg (\log n)^{\frac{v(H)-1}{e(H)}} \cdot n^{-\frac{v(H)}{e(H)}}$$

(i.e., p is larger than the containment threshold for H in $\mathbb{G}(n, p)$ by at least some polylogarithmic multiplicative factor), then a large deviation inequality for the upper tail of X_H with a decaying exponential error rate exists. As our focus is on strictly 2-balanced graphs and on $p = \Omega\left(n^{-1/m_2(H)}\right)$, we make do with the following more relaxed formulation of the aforementioned result of Vu [50].

THEOREM 3.1 (see [50, Theorem 2.1]). For any (fixed) $\alpha > 0$ and any strictly 2-balanced graph H, there exists a constant $C_{3,1} > 0$, such that

$$\mathbb{P}[X_H \ge (1+\alpha) \mathbb{E}(X_H)] \le \exp\left(-\Omega_{\alpha,H}\left((\mathbb{E}(X_H))^{1/(v(H)-1)}\right)\right)$$

holds whenever $p \ge C_{3.1} n^{-1/m_2(H)}$.

We proceed to deal with the lower tail of X_H as outlined above. Writing $H' \sim H''$ whenever $(H', H'') \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ are not edge disjoint, let

$$\bar{\Delta}(H) := \sum_{\substack{(H',H'')\in\mathcal{H}\times\mathcal{H}\\H'\sim H''}} \mathbb{E}[Z_{H'}Z_{H''}] = \sum_{\substack{(H',H'')\in\mathcal{H}\times\mathcal{H}\\H'\sim H''}} p^{e(H')+e(H'')-e(H'\cap H'')}.$$

For a strictly 2-balanced graph H, it is well-known that

(3)
$$\bar{\Delta}(H) = O_H \left(p^{e(H)} n^{v(H)} \right),$$

whenever $p = \Omega(n^{-1/m_2(H)}).$

Janson's inequality, as seen in Theorem 2.1, asserts that

$$\mathbb{P}[X_H \le (1-\xi) \mathbb{E}(X_H)] \le e^{-\frac{\xi^2(\mathbb{E}(X_H))^2}{2\Delta(H)}}$$

holds for every fixed $0 \le \xi \le 1$. It then follows by (3) and (2) that

(4)
$$\mathbb{P}\left[X_H \le (1-\xi)p^{e(H)}\binom{n}{v(H)}\frac{(v(H))!}{|Aut(H)|}\right] \le e^{-\Omega\left(p^{e(H)}n^{v(H)}\right)}$$

for every fixed $0 \le \xi \le 1$.

We require a slight strengthening of (4). Given a set $\mathcal{C} \subseteq {\binom{[n]}{v(H)}}$ satisfying $|\mathcal{C}| = \eta {\binom{n}{v(H)}}$ for some fixed $\eta > 0$, write $X_H(\mathcal{C})$ to denote the number of copies of H in $\mathbb{G}(n,p)$ supported on the members of \mathcal{C} , that is, $X_H(\mathcal{C}) = \{\tilde{H} \in \mathcal{H} : V(\tilde{H}) \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } \tilde{H} \subseteq \mathbb{G}(n,p)\}$. Then, $\mathbb{E}[X_H(\mathcal{C})] = \Theta_{H,\eta}(p^{e(H)}n^{v(H)})$. Set

(5)
$$\bar{\Delta}(H,\mathcal{C}) := \sum_{\substack{(H',H'')\in\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})\times\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C})\\H'\sim H''}} \mathbb{E}[Z_{H'}Z_{H''}].$$

where here $\mathcal{H}(C)$ serves as the analogue of \mathcal{H} for the copies of H supported on \mathcal{C} . Since, clearly, $\bar{\Delta}(H, \mathcal{C}) \leq \bar{\Delta}(H)$, inequality (4) can be extended so as to yield that

(6)
$$\mathbb{P}\left[X_H(\mathcal{C}) \le (1-\xi)p^{e(H)}|\mathcal{C}|\frac{(v(H))!}{|Aut(H)|}\right] \le e^{-\Omega\left(p^{e(H)}n^{v(H)}\right)}$$

holds for every fixed $0 \le \xi \le 1$. The following is then established.

Copyright © by SIAM. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

LEMMA 3.2. Let *H* be a strictly 2-balanced graph, and let $\xi > 0$. There exists an integer n_0 such that for every $n \ge n_0$ the following holds. Let $\eta > 0$ be a constant, and let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq {\binom{[n]}{v(H)}}$ satisfying $|\mathcal{C}| \ge \eta {\binom{n}{v(H)}}$ be fixed. Then, there exists a constant $C_{3,2} > 0$ such that with probability at least $1 - e^{-\Omega(p^{e(H)}n^{v(H)})}$, the random graph $\mathbb{G}(n,p)$ has at least $(1 - \xi)p^{e(H)}|\mathcal{C}|\frac{(v(H))!}{|Aut(H)|}$ copies of *H* supported on the members of \mathcal{C} , whenever $p \ge C_{3,2}n^{-1/m_2(H)}$.

The exponential rate of decay, seen in the error probability of Lemma 3.2, will be used in subsequent applications where we will need a union bound to be extended over a large family, as specified in the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.3. Let *H* be a strictly 2-balanced graph, and let $\xi > 0$. There exists an integer n_0 such that for every $n \ge n_0$ the following holds. Let $\eta > 0$ be a constant, and let a nonempty set \mathscr{C} comprising at most $2^{O(n \log n)}$ sets $\mathcal{C} \subseteq {\binom{[n]}{v(H)}}$, each satisfying $|\mathcal{C}| \ge \eta {\binom{n}{v(H)}}$, be fixed. Then, there exists a constant $C_{3.3} > 0$ such that with probability at least $1 - e^{O(n \log n) - \Omega(p^{e(H)}n^{v(H)})}$, the random graph $\mathbb{G}(n,p)$ has at least $(1 - \xi)p^{e(H)}|\mathcal{C}|\frac{(v(H))!}{|Aut(H)|}$ copies of *H* supported on the members of \mathcal{C} , for every $\mathcal{C} \in \mathscr{C}$, whenever $p \ge C_{3.3}n^{-1/m_2(H)}$.

Corollary 3.3 is meaningful as long as H and p are such that $p^{e(H)}n^{v(H)} \gg n \log n$ holds; this inequality clearly holds if H is strictly 2-balanced and $p = \Omega\left(n^{-1/m_2(H)}\right)$. Nevertheless, in Corollary 3.3, we keep the error probability in its explicit form in order to facilitate subsequent arguments.

3.2. Rainbow supersaturation in $\mathbb{G}(n, p)$. The main result of this section is a supersaturation version of the main result of [29] and can be seen in Proposition 3.9 below. In its simplest form, Proposition 3.9 asserts that given a strictly 2-balanced graph H, the random graph $\mathbb{G}(n, p)$ a.a.s. has the property that every proper coloring of its edges admits $\Omega(\mathbb{E}(X_H))$ rainbow copies of H. The formulation of Proposition 3.9 is somewhat more involved as in subsequent arguments we require supersaturation of rainbow copies supported on the members of prescribed subsets of $\binom{[n]}{v(H)}$ and, moreover, we require $\mathbb{G}(n, p)$ to satisfy the aforementioned property with "very high" probability. Our proof of Proposition 3.9 employs the so-called KLR-theorem [14, Theorem 1.6(i)] and the core so-called "technical" result of [29, section 5] (see Proposition 3.5 below).

Prior to proving Proposition 3.9, an explanation as to our appeal to the KLRtheorem is warranted. To this end, let us consider the task of establishing supersaturation of rainbow copies of a prescribed graph H in a host graph G, without the additional restriction imposed by Proposition 3.9, mandating that these copies all be supported on a prechosen set of v(H)-sets. A moment's thought¹ reveals that upon fixing a proper edge-coloring of G, the number of non-rainbow copies of H can be upper bounded by

(7)
$$e(G) \cdot n \cdot \max_{\substack{e, f \in E(G) \\ e \cap f = \emptyset}} \left| \{e, f\} \underset{G}{\hookrightarrow} H \right|,$$

where $\{e, f\} \xrightarrow{\hookrightarrow}_{G} H$ denotes the set of injections of the form $H \mapsto G$ constrained to containing the listed pair of edges of G, namely e and f. Put another way, this set

¹This argument is reproduced below in the proof of Observation 4.1.

is composed of all the so-called *extensions* of the pair of fixed edges $\{e, f\}$ into a (labelled) copy of H in G. This type of argument can also be seen in [27], where it is attributed to [3].

Employing (7) in order to establish Proposition 3.9 entails attaining sufficiently tight upper bounds on $|\{e, f\} \underset{\mathbb{G}(n,p)}{\hookrightarrow} H|$ for any pair of independent edges in $\mathbb{G}(n,p)$ for the relevant values of p. In our case, p can be as low as to yield $\mathbb{E}\left(|\{e, f\} \underset{\mathbb{G}(n,p)}{\hookrightarrow} H|\right) = o(1)$, rendering standard concentration-type arguments for estimating the order of magnitude of $|\{e, f\} \underset{\mathbb{G}(n,p)}{\hookrightarrow} H|$ meaningless. We circumvent this obstacle by resorting to a more detailed analysis of counting non-rainbow copies of H in $\mathbb{G}(n,p)$; the latter approach, as mentioned above, entails the use of the KLR-theorem. Nevertheless, (7) remains relevant as will be seen in the sequel.

Let $V(H) = [h] := \{1, \ldots, h\}$. Following [14], we write $\mathcal{G}(H, \ell, m, p, \varepsilon)$ to denote the collection of graphs Γ obtained as follows. The vertex set of Γ is $V(\Gamma) = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_h$, where $|V_i| = \ell$ for every $i \in [h]$. For every edge $ij \in E(H)$, add an (ε, p) -regular graph with at least m edges between the pair (V_i, V_j) ; these are the sole edges of Γ . For such a graph Γ , a copy of H in Γ is called *canonical* if it has a single vertex in each V_i . We write $\Gamma(H)$ to denote the collection of canonical copies of H in Γ .

Remark 3.4. When H is a complete graph (as will be the case later on), every copy of H in Γ is a canonical copy of H.

The following result is implicit in [29].

PROPOSITION 3.5. For every graph H and every real number b > 0, there exist a constant $\beta_{3.5} > 0$ and an integer $n_0 > 0$ such that the following holds for every (fixed) $\varepsilon > 0$. If $n \ge n_0$ and $p := p(n) \ge C_{3.5} \log n/n$, where $C_{3.5} > 0$ is an appropriately chosen constant, then $\mathbb{G}(n,p)$ satisfies the following property with probability at least $1 - \Omega(n^{-b})$. Every proper coloring ψ of the edges of $\mathbb{G}(n,p)$ gives rise to a subgraph $\Gamma_{\psi} \subseteq \mathbb{G}(n,p)$ satisfying $\Gamma_{\psi} \in \mathcal{G}(H, \lfloor n/v(H) \rfloor, \lfloor \beta_{3.5}p \lfloor n/v(H) \rfloor^2 \rfloor, p, \varepsilon)$; moreover, every member of $\Gamma_{\psi}(H)$ is rainbow under ψ .

Remark 3.6. The assertion of Proposition 3.5 can be found in the proof of Lemma 5.3 in [29]. This lemma relies on various additional results. In order to obtain the bound $1 - \Omega(n^{-b})$ stated in Proposition 3.5, one has to verify that the assertion of Lemma 3.3 from [29] holds with this probability (as opposed to simply a.a.s. as is stated there). The latter asserts that certain properties are a.a.s. satisfied by $G \sim \mathbb{G}(n,p)$ for an appropriate choice of p. Lemma 5.3 of [29] is a deterministic lemma that delivers the graph Γ_{ψ} , defined in Proposition 3.5, in any graph satisfying the properties stated in [29, Lemma 3.3]. The aforementioned bound $1 - \Omega(n^{-b})$ on the success probability of [29, Lemma 3.3] can indeed be attained and this can be traced back to [19, Theorem 1.1], which is used in the proof of [29, Lemma 3.3].

The argument of [29] entails an application of the so-called *embedding lemma* associated with the KLR-theorem (see [29, Lemma 3.9]) to Γ_{ψ} , thus ensuring at least one rainbow copy of H. As our aim is set on supersaturation of rainbow copies of H, we replace [29, Lemma 3.9] with an application of the so-called *one-sided counting lemma* associated with the KLR-theorem, namely [14, Theorem 1.6(i)].

THEOREM 3.7 (see [14, Theorem 1.6(i)]). For every d > 0 and every strictly 2-balanced graph H, there exist positive constants $\zeta_{3.7}, \xi_{3.7}$ and an integer $n_0 > 0$ such that the following holds. For every $\eta > 0$, there exists a constant $C_{3.7} > 0$ such that $\mathbb{G}(n,p)$ admits the following property with probability at least $1 - e^{-\Omega_{H,d,\eta}(pn^2)}$, whenever $p := p(n) \ge C_{3.7} n^{-1/m_2(H)}$ and $n \ge n_0$. For every $\ell \ge \eta n$ and $m \ge dpn^2$, and for every subgraph $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{G}(n,p)$ satisfying $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}(H,\ell,m,p,\zeta_{3.7})$, it holds that

$$|\Gamma(H)| \ge \xi_{3.7} \left(\frac{m}{n^2}\right)^{e(H)} \binom{n}{v(H)}$$

Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 3.7 imply the following rainbow supersaturation result for $\mathbb{G}(n, p)$.

COROLLARY 3.8. For every real b > 0 and every strictly 2-balanced graph H, there exist positive constants $\beta_{3.8}, C_{3.8}$ and an integer $n_0 > 0$ such that if $n \ge n_0$ and $p := p(n) \ge C_{3.8}n^{-1/m_2(H)}$, then with probability at least $1 - \Omega(n^{-b})$, every proper edge coloring of the random graph $\mathbb{G}(n,p)$ admits at least $\beta_{3.8}p^{e(H)}\binom{n}{v(H)}\frac{(v(H))!}{|Aut(H)|}$ rainbow copies of H.

Proof. Let $\beta = \beta_{3.5}$ be the constant given by Proposition 3.5, corresponding to b. Set $d = \beta / (2v(H)^2)$, and let $\zeta = \zeta_{3.7}$ and $\xi = \xi_{3.7}$ be the corresponding constants given by Theorem 3.7. Let $\eta = v(H)/2$, and suppose that $C_{3.8}$ is chosen to be at least as large as $C_{3.7}$, where the latter constant is given by Theorem 3.7 in correspondence to η . Let $\ell = \lfloor n/v(H) \rfloor$ and $m = \lfloor \beta p \lfloor n/v(H) \rfloor^2 \rfloor$.

By Proposition 3.5, with probability at least $1 - \Omega(n^{-b})$, given a proper edgecoloring ψ of $\mathbb{G}(n, p)$, there exists a subgraph $\Gamma_{\psi} \subseteq \mathbb{G}(n, p)$ such that $\Gamma_{\psi} \in \mathcal{G}(H, \ell, m, p, \zeta)$ and, moreover, every member of Γ_{ψ} is rainbow under ψ (here we used the fact that $p \geq \omega(\log n/n)$ which holds, e.g., since H is strictly 2-balanced and thus contains a cycle, implying $m_2(H) > 1$).

Observe that $\ell \geq \eta n$ and $m \geq dpn^2$. It thus follows by Theorem 3.7 that, with probability at least $1 - \Omega(n^{-b})$, the subgraph Γ_{ψ} satisfies

$$|\Gamma_{\psi}(H)| \ge \xi \left(\frac{m}{n^2}\right)^{e(H)} \binom{n}{v(H)} \ge \xi d^{e(H)} p^{e(H)} \binom{n}{v(H)}$$

Setting $\beta_{3.8} = \xi d^{e(H)} \frac{|Aut(H)|}{(v(H))!}$ concludes the proof of Corollary 3.8.

We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this section.

PROPOSITION 3.9. For every real b > 0, every strictly 2-balanced graph H, and every $0 < \beta \ll \beta_{3.8}(b, H)$, there exist $n_0 > 0$ and $C_{3.9} > 0$ such that the following holds whenever $n \ge n_0$ and $p := p(n) \ge C_{3.9}n^{-1/m_2(H)}$. Fix a non-empty family \mathscr{C} composed of at most $2^{O(n \log n)}$ sets $\mathcal{C} \subseteq {\binom{[n]}{v(H)}}$, each of size $|\mathcal{C}| \ge (1-\beta){\binom{n}{v(H)}}$. Then, with probability at least $1 - \Omega(n^{-b})$, every proper edge coloring of the random graph $\mathbb{G}(n, p)$ admits $\Omega_{H,\beta}(p^{e(H)}n^{v(H)})$ rainbow copies of H supported on members of \mathcal{C} , for every $\mathcal{C} \in \mathscr{C}$.

Proof. Let b, H, and β be as in the statement of the proposition. Set auxiliary constants $\eta = 1 - \beta$ and $\alpha, \xi \ll \beta$. Define

$$C_{3.9} := \max\{C_{3.1}(\alpha, H), C_{3.3}(\eta, \xi, H), C_{3.8}(b, H)\}.$$

Then, for sufficiently large n and for $p := p(n) \ge C_{3.9}n^{-1/m_2(H)}$, Theorem 3.1 and Corollaries 3.3 and 3.8 collectively imply that with probability at least

$$1 - e^{-\Omega_{\alpha,H}\left(\left(p^{e(H)}n^{v(H)}\right)^{1/(v(H)-1)}\right)} - e^{O(n\log n) - \Omega_{\xi,H,\eta}\left(p^{e(H)}n^{v(H)}\right)} - \Omega(n^{-b}) \ge 1 - \Omega(n^{-b})$$

the following properties are satisfied by $G \sim \mathbb{G}(n, p)$ simultaneously.

Copyright © by SIAM. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

- (P.1) G admits at most $(1+\alpha)p^{e(H)}\binom{n}{v(H)}\frac{(v(H))!}{|Aut(H)|}$ copies of H.
- (P.2) For every $C \in \mathscr{C}$, the graph G admits at least $(1-\xi)(1-\beta)p^{e(H)}\binom{n}{v(H)}\frac{(v(H))!}{|Aut(H)|}$ copies of H supported on the members of C.
- (P.3) Every proper coloring of the edges of G admits at least $\beta_{3.8}(b, H)p^{e(H)} {\binom{n}{v(H)} \frac{(v(H))!}{|Aut(H)|}}$ rainbow copies of H in G.

Let a proper coloring ψ of the edges of $G \sim \mathbb{G}(n, p)$ and a member $\mathcal{C} \in \mathscr{C}$ be fixed. It follows by Properties (P.1) and (P.2) that all but at most

$$[(1+\alpha) - (1-\xi)(1-\beta)]p^{e(H)}\binom{n}{v(H)}\frac{(v(H))!}{|Aut(H)|} = (\alpha + \xi + \beta - \xi\beta)p^{e(H)}\binom{n}{v(H)}\frac{(v(H))!}{|Aut(H)|}$$

of the copies of H in G are supported on members of C. Then, owing to Property **(P.3)**, ψ admits at least

$$(\beta_{3.8}(b,H) - \alpha - \xi - \beta + \xi\beta) p^{e(H)} \binom{n}{v(H)} \frac{(v(H))!}{|Aut(H)|}$$

rainbow copies of H which are supported on members of C. The claim then follows since $\alpha, \xi, \beta \ll \beta_{3.8}(b, H)$ holds by assumption.

Remark 3.10. Proposition 3.9 is somewhat of an overkill as far as our needs go regarding rainbow supersaturation. In particular, in what follows, we apply this result over a single set system of $v(K_r)$ -sets and not over $2^{O(n \log n)}$ such set-systems. As the difference in the proof is minuscule, we keep the above formulation.

3.3. Number of complete subgraphs containing a prescribed vertex. The final property of random graphs that we shall use is that when p is sufficiently large, the number of copies of K_r that contain any single vertex is significantly smaller than the expected total number of copies of K_r in the random graph.

Let a vertex $v \in [n]$ be fixed. Let A_1, \ldots, A_t , where $t = \binom{n-1}{r-1}$, be an enumeration of the elements of $\binom{[n] \setminus v}{r-1}$. For every $1 \le i \le t$, let Y_i denote the indicator random variable for the event: " $(\mathbb{G}(n, p))[A_i \cup \{v\}]$ is a clique." Let $X_v = \sum_{i=1}^t Y_i$, that is, X_v counts the number of copies of K_r in $\mathbb{G}(n, p)$ containing v.

LEMMA 3.11. For any fixed integer $r \geq 3$, the random graph $\mathbb{G}(n, p)$ a.a.s. satisfies the property that $X_v = o(\mathbb{E}(X_{K_r}))$ holds for every vertex $v \in [n]$, whenever $p := p(n) = \Omega\left(n^{-1/m_2(K_r)}\right)$.

Proof. Fix an arbitrary vertex $v \in [n]$. Observe that

$$\frac{n^{1/r} \mathbb{E}(X_v) + \mathbb{E}(X_v)}{\mathbb{E}(X_{K_r})} \le \frac{2n^{1/r} \binom{n-1}{r-1} p^{\binom{r}{2}}}{\binom{n}{r} p^{\binom{r}{2}}} = O_r(n^{1/r-1}).$$

implying that $n^{1/r} \mathbb{E}(X_v) + \mathbb{E}(X_v) = o(\mathbb{E}(X_{K_r}))$. Therefore, a union bound over [n] implies that in order to prove the lemma it suffices to show that

$$\mathbb{P}[|X_v - \mathbb{E}(X_v)| \ge n^{1/r} \mathbb{E}(X_v)] = o(1/n).$$

Applying Chebyshev's inequality (see, e.g., [4, 26]), we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}[|X_v - \mathbb{E}(X_v)| \ge n^{1/r} \mathbb{E}(X_v)] \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}(X_v)}{n^{2/r} (\mathbb{E}(X_v))^2}.$$

Copyright © by SIAM. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

(8)
$$\operatorname{Var}(X_v) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{Var}(Y_i) + 2 \sum_{1 \le i < j \le t} \operatorname{Cov}(Y_i, Y_j) \le \mathbb{E}(X_v) + O_r\left(n^{2r-3}p^{2\binom{r}{2}-1}\right),$$

RAINBOW CLIQUES IN RANDOMLY PERTURBED GRAPHS

where the second term on the right hand side of the above inequality is an upper bound on $\sum_{1 \le i < j \le t} \mathbf{Cov}(Y_i, Y_j)$; this bound can be proved by observing that the dominant term in this sum arises from pairs of copies of K_r which share v and one other vertex. Then

$$\mathbb{P}[|X_v - \mathbb{E}(X_v)| \ge n^{1/r} \,\mathbb{E}(X_v)] \le \frac{1}{n^{2/r} \,\mathbb{E}(X_v)} + \frac{O_r(1)}{n^{2/r} pn} = o(1/n),$$

where the inequality holds by Chebyshev's inequality and by (8), and the equality follows by a straightforward calculation which uses the assumed lower bound on p.

4. Sparse complete bipartite graphs. In this section, we consider certain applications of (7) to sparse bipartite graphs and relatives thereof that arise in subsequent arguments. We start with the following observation.

OBSERVATION 4.1. For every pair of integers $r \ge 1$ and $s \ge 2$, there exists an integer n_0 such that for any $n \ge n_0$, every proper coloring of the edges of $K_{r,n}$ admits at most $O_{r,s}(n^{s-1})$ non-rainbow copies of $K_{r,s}$ (whose partition class of size r coincides with the partition class of size r of $K_{r,n}$).

Proof. Fix a proper edge coloring ψ of $K_{r,n}$. Note first that, since ψ is proper, our claim is trivial if r = 1; we can thus assume that $r \ge 2$. Clearly, we may also assume that $n \ge s$. Any non-rainbow copy of $K_{r,s}$ must admit at least two edges bearing the same color under ψ . The number of ways to pick the first of these two edges is upper bounded by $e(K_{r,n}) = rn$. The number of ways to choose the second of these two edges is at most r-1 as the coloring is proper and one of the bipartition classes of the graph has size r. The number of ways to complete any such choice of two edges into a copy of $K_{r,s}$ in $K_{r,n}$ is $\binom{n-2}{s-2}$; the latter quantity making sense owing to $n \ge s \ge 2$. We conclude that the number of so fize r of $K_{r,s}$ in $K_{r,n}$ (whose partition class of size r coincides with the partition class of size r of $K_{r,n}$) that are non-rainbow under ψ is at most $rn \cdot (r-1) \cdot \binom{n-2}{s-2} = O_{r,s}(n^{s-1})$.

For two integers n > r, let $\widehat{K}_{r,n}$ denote the graph obtained from $K_{r,n}$ by placing a copy of K_r , denoted K, on its partition class of size r. By the *bipartition classes of* $\widehat{K}_{r,n}$ we mean the bipartition classes of $K_{r,n}$. Given an integer $s \ge 2$, write $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(s)$ to denote the family of copies of $K_{r,s}$ in $\widehat{K}_{r,n}$ such that its partition class of size rcoincides with V(K).

DEFINITION 4.2. Let ψ be a proper coloring of the edges of $\widehat{K}_{r,n}$ under which K is rainbow. A member $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is said to be compatible with the coloring of K under ψ (or, for brevity, simply compatible with K) if the following two properties hold:

- 1. B is rainbow under ψ ;
- 2. the sets of colors seen on E(K) and E(B) are disjoint; in this case we say that K and B do not clash under ψ .

OBSERVATION 4.3. Let r, s, and n be as in Observation 4.1. If ψ is a proper coloring of the edges of $\widehat{K}_{r,n}$ under which K is rainbow, then all but at most $O_{r,s}(n^{s-1})$ of the members of \mathcal{B} are compatible with K.

Copyright © by SIAM. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited.

Proof. In view of Observation 4.1, it suffices to prove that there are $O_{r,s}(n^{s-1})$ members of \mathcal{B} that clash with K. Let c be a color appearing on some edge of K, and let X_c be the set of vertices in the partition class of size n that send a c-colored edge to K; then $|X_c| \leq r-2$. Note that every member of \mathcal{B} that clashes with K contains a vertex in X_c for some color c that appears on K. As the number of members in \mathcal{B} that contain a given vertex is at most $\binom{n}{s-1}$, we conclude that the number of members of \mathcal{B} that clash with K is at most $e(K) \cdot (r-2) \cdot \binom{n}{s-1} = O_{r,s}(n^{s-1})$.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.2: 1-statement. In this section, we prove the 1-statement associated with Theorem 1.2. The proof of the relevant 0-statement is detailed in section 1.1 prior to the statement of the theorem. The main result of this section reads as follows.

PROPOSITION 5.1. For every real number d > 0 and integer $r \ge 3$, the property $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p) \xrightarrow{\text{rbw}} K_{2r}$ holds a.a.s., whenever $p := p(n) = \omega(n^{-1/m_2(K_r)})$. In fact, for values of p in this range, $\mathscr{G}_{d,n} \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p)$ a.a.s. has the property that every proper coloring of its edges gives rise to $\Omega(p^{2\binom{r}{2}}n^{2r})$ rainbow copies of K_{2r} .

Proof. Fix d > 0, an integer $r \ge 3$, and $G \in \mathscr{G}_{d,n}$, where throughout we assume n to be sufficiently large. Set auxiliary constants

(9)
$$r \ll b \text{ and } 0 < \varepsilon \ll \gamma \ll \beta_{3.8}(b, K_r).$$

By a standard application of the (so-called *dense*) regularity lemma [49] (see also [32]), we may assume, without loss of generality, that G is a bipartite ε -regular graph with edge-density at least d' for some constant $\varepsilon \ll d' \ll \gamma$, such that V(G) = $W \cup U$, and $|U| = |W| = m = \Omega_{\varepsilon}(n)$. Let

(10)
$$\mathcal{C}_W = \left\{ X \in \binom{W}{r} : |N_X| = \Omega_{d',\varepsilon}(m) \right\},$$

where $N_X := \{u \in U : uv \in E(G) \text{ for every } v \in X\}$ is the common neighborhood of X in G. Regularity then implies that

(11)
$$|\mathcal{C}_W| \ge (1-\gamma) \binom{m}{r}.$$

We expose the random edges added to G in three steps. First, the random edges with both endpoints in W are exposed; second, the random edges with both endpoints in U are exposed; third and finally, all other random edges are exposed. Note, however, that the third step is a mere formality as, indeed, the edges exposed in this step serve no role in the formation of any eventual rainbow copy of K_{2r} produced by our argument.

CLAIM 5.2. Asymptotically almost surely, $G_1 \sim \mathbb{G}(n, p)[W]$ satisfies the following properties simultaneously.

- (Q.1) Every vertex $w \in W$ lies in at most $o(p^{\binom{r}{2}}m^r)$ copies of K_r in G_1 .
- (Q.2) G_1 has $O(p^{\binom{r}{2}}m^r)$ copies of K_r .
- (Q.3) Every proper coloring ψ of the edges of G_1 admits $\Omega(p^{\binom{r}{2}}m^r)$ rainbow copies of K_r supported on members of \mathcal{C}_W .

Proof. It suffices to prove that G_1 satisfies each of the aforementioned properties asymptotically almost surely Property (Q.1) follows from Lemma 3.11 applied to G_1 , and Property (Q.2) follows from Theorem 3.1 applied to G_1 .

2989

Property (Q.3) follows from Proposition 3.9 applied with b as in (9), $\beta = \gamma$, and $\mathscr{C} = \{\mathcal{C}_W\}$. Indeed, the latter asserts that G_1 a.a.s. satisfies the property that any proper coloring of the edges of G_1 admits $\Omega(p^{\binom{r}{2}}m^r)$ rainbow copies of K_r supported on the members of \mathcal{C}_W .

Fix a graph $G_1 \sim (\mathbb{G}(n, p))[W]$ satisfying Properties (Q.1), (Q.2), and (Q.3), and denote $H_1 := G_1 \cup G$. We establish an additional property of H_1 deterministically, so to speak; see (12).

A member $X \in \mathcal{C}_W$ satisfying $H_1[X] \cong K_r$ is termed *relevant*. For a relevant X, let \widehat{B}_X denote the copy of $\widehat{K}_{r,|N_X|}$ in H_1 whose *r*-part is X and whose other part is N_X . Given a proper coloring ψ of the edges of H_1 , a subgraph $K \subseteq H_1$ appearing rainbow under ψ is called ψ -rainbow; similarly, if $H_1[Y]$ is a ψ -rainbow clique, then we say that Y is ψ -rainbow.

For a proper edge-coloring ψ of H_1 and a relevant ψ -rainbow $X \in \mathcal{C}_W$, set

$$\mathcal{B}_{X,\psi} := \left\{ Y \in \binom{N_X}{r} : H_1[X] \cup H_1[X,Y] \text{ is } \psi \text{-rainbow} \right\}.$$

It follows by Observation 4.3 that

(12)
$$|\mathcal{B}_{X,\psi}| = \left(1 - O_r\left(\frac{1}{|N_X|}\right)\right) \binom{|N_X|}{r} \ge \left(1 - O_{r,d',\varepsilon}\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)\right) \binom{|N_X|}{r},$$

where for the last inequality we appeal to m being sufficiently large and $|N_X| = \Omega_{d',\varepsilon}(m)$.

The next claim addresses the properties of the distribution $H_1 \cup (\mathbb{G}(n, p))[U]$; the notation of subgraphs being ψ -rainbow extends naturally to proper edge-colorings ψ of the latter and subgraphs thereof.

CLAIM 5.3. Let $G_1 \sim (\mathbb{G}(n, p))[W]$ satisfying Properties (Q.1), (Q.2), and (Q.3) be fixed, and let $G_2 \sim (\mathbb{G}(n, p))[U]$. Then, a.a.s. the following properties hold simultaneously for every proper coloring ψ of the edges of $G \cup G_1 \cup G_2$ and every relevant ψ -rainbow $X \in \mathcal{C}_W$. Denote $\ell := |N_X|, \beta := \beta_{3.5}(K_r, b), k := \beta \cdot p\ell^2/r^2,$ $\mu := \zeta_{3.7}(\beta/2, K_r), \text{ and } \alpha := \left(\frac{\beta}{2r^2}\right)^{\binom{r}{2}} \xi_{3.7}(\beta/(2r^2), K_r)$ (note that some of these parameters depend on X). Then,

- (Q.4) G_2 admits $\Theta(p^{\binom{r}{2}}m^r)$ copies of K_r .
- (Q.5) There is a subgraph $\Gamma_{X,\psi} \subseteq G_2[N_X]$ satisfying

(13) $\Gamma_{X,\psi} \in \mathcal{G}\left(K_r, \lfloor \ell/r \rfloor, k, p, \mu/2\right),$

such that every copy of K_r in $\Gamma_{X,\psi}$ is ψ -rainbow. (Q.6) Every subgraph $\Gamma \subseteq G_2[N_X]$ satisfying

(14)
$$\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}\left(K_r, \lfloor \ell/r \rfloor, k/2, p, \mu\right)$$

admits at least $\alpha p^{\binom{r}{2}}\binom{\ell}{r}$ copies of K_r .

(Q.7) All but at most $\frac{\alpha}{2} p^{\binom{r}{2}} \binom{\ell}{r}$ copies of K_r in $G_2[N_X]$ are supported on members of $\mathcal{B}_{X,\psi}$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that a.a.s. each of the above four properties holds for every proper coloring ψ and every relevant ψ -rainbow X. Property (Q.4) follows from Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 (with $\mathcal{C} = {U \choose r}$ and $\eta = 1$).

Property (Q.5) follows from Proposition 3.5. Indeed, by the assumptions on p, we have $p = \omega(\log n/n)$. Consequently, Proposition 3.5, applied to the graph $G_2[N_X]$ with b as in (9) and ε (from Proposition 3.5) set to $\mu/2$, implies that given $X \in C_W$ there exists a graph $\Gamma_{X,\psi}$ as specified in (13), for every proper coloring ψ , with probability at least $1 - \Omega_{\varepsilon,r}(n^{-b})$. Consequently, the probability that Property (Q.5) fails for some ψ or X is at most $O(n^r \cdot n^{-b}) = o(1)$, where the equality holds since $r \ll b$ by (9).

Property (Q.6) is a consequence of the KLR-theorem, namely, Theorem 3.7. By Theorem 3.7, applied to $G_2[N_X]$ with $H = K_r$ and with d (per that theorem) set to $\beta/(2r^2)$ (recall that $\beta = \beta_{3.5}(K_r, b)$), it follows that with probability at least $1 - e^{-\Omega_{r,\varepsilon,d}(pn^2)}$, every $\Gamma \subseteq G_2[N_X]$ such that $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}(K_r, \lfloor \ell/r \rfloor, k/2, p, \mu)$, satisfies the stipulated counting property associated with the KLR-theorem. The probability that Property (Q.6) fails for some $X \in \mathcal{C}_W$ is at most $O(n^r \cdot e^{-\Omega(pn^2)}) = o(1)$, where the equality holds by the assumed lower bound on p.

We now prepare for the proof that Property (Q.7) holds asymptotically almost surely. By Theorem 3.1, the number of copies of K_r in $G_2[N_X]$ is at most

(15)
$$(1+\alpha/4) \cdot p^{\binom{r}{2}} \binom{\ell}{r},$$

with probability at least $1 - \exp\left(-\Omega\left(\left(p^{\binom{r}{2}}n^r\right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}}\right)\right) \ge 1 - \exp\left(-\Omega\left(n^{\frac{3}{2(r-1)}}\right)\right)$; this inequality holds since $p^{\binom{r}{2}}n^r = \omega(n^2p) = \omega(n^{3/2})$, where here the first equality is due to the assumed lower bound on p and the second equality holds since $r \ge 3$. Thus, the probability that the number of copies of K_r in N_X is larger than the expression appearing in (15) for some X is at most $n^r \cdot \exp\left(-\Omega\left(n^{\frac{3}{2(r-1)}}\right)\right) = o(1)$.

Gearing up towards an application of Corollary 3.3, let \hat{B}_X denote the copy of $\hat{K}_{r,|N_X|}$, with its part of size r being X and its other part being N_X . Consider the set

$$\mathcal{B}_X := \{\mathcal{B}_{X,\psi} : \psi \text{ is a proper edge-coloring of } B_X \text{ and } X \text{ is } \psi \text{-rainbow} \}.$$

As the number of proper colorings of \widehat{B}_X (up to relabeling of the colors) is at most $e(\widehat{B}_X)^{e(\widehat{B}_X)} = 2^{O(n \log n)}$, we have $|\mathcal{B}_X| = 2^{O(n \log n)}$ for every $X \in \mathcal{C}_W$. Apply Corollary 3.3 to $G_2[N_X]$ with $\mathscr{C} = \mathcal{B}_X$ along with $\alpha/8$ (as set above) and $\eta = 1 - \alpha/8$. Using (12), the corollary asserts that, for every $\mathcal{B}_{X,\psi} \in \mathcal{B}_X$, the number of copies of K_r supported on members of $\mathcal{B}_{X,\psi}$ is at least

(16)
$$(1 - \alpha/8)^2 \cdot p^{\binom{r}{2}} \binom{\ell}{r} \ge (1 - \alpha/4) \cdot p^{\binom{r}{2}} \binom{\ell}{r},$$

with probability at least $1 - \exp\left(O(n\log n) - \Omega(p^{\binom{r}{2}n^r})\right) = 1 - \exp\left(-\Omega(n^{3/2})\right)$. It follows that, with probability at least $1 - n^r \exp\left(-\Omega(n^{3/2})\right) = 1 - o(1)$, the number of copies of K_r supported on members of $\mathcal{B}_{X,\psi}$ is at least the number appearing in (16) for every $X \in \mathcal{C}_W$ and every proper edge-coloring ψ .

With the above two properties of G_2 established, Property (Q.7) follows deterministically, so to speak. Indeed, by (15) and (16), a.a.s. there are at most

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} \cdot p^{\binom{r}{2}} \binom{\ell}{r}$$

copies of K_r in $G_2[N_X]$ not supported on members of $\mathcal{B}_{X,\psi}$, as required for Property (Q.7).

2991

Let $H \sim G \cup \mathbb{G}(n, p)$ satisfying Properties (Q.1)–(Q.7) be fixed. Let ψ , a proper coloring of the edges of H, be fixed as well. We prove that H admits at least $\Omega(p^{2\binom{r}{2}}n^{2r}) \psi$ -rainbow copies of K_{2r} . To this end, let $\mathcal{R}_W \subseteq \mathcal{C}_W$ be the collection of members of \mathcal{C}_W inducing a ψ -rainbow copy of K_r , and let $\mathcal{R}_U \subseteq \binom{U}{r}$ be the collection of ψ -rainbow copies of K_r supported on H[U]. Define an auxiliary bipartite graph \mathcal{F} whose vertex bipartition is given by $(\mathcal{R}_W, \mathcal{R}_U)$ with $X \in \mathcal{R}_W$ and $Y \in \mathcal{R}_U$ forming an edge of \mathcal{F} if and only if

- (i) $Y \in \mathcal{B}_{X,\psi}$, that is, $H[X \cup Y] \cong K_{2r}$ and $H[X] \cup H[X,Y]$ is ψ -rainbow and
- (ii) $\psi(E_H(Y)) \cap \psi(E_H(X)) = \emptyset$, i.e., there is no *clash* between the set of colors seen on H[X] and that seen on H[Y].

A pair $\{X, Y\}$, as above, forming an edge of \mathcal{F} , forms a copy of K_{2r} in H such that $H[X] \cup H[X, Y]$ is ψ -rainbow and $H[X] \cup H[Y]$ is ψ -rainbow. Still, such a copy of K_{2r} may not be ψ -rainbow as a clash between the colors seen on H[Y] and H[X, Y] is still possible; such clashes are dealt with below.

Combined with the following claim, Properties (Q.2), (Q.3), and (Q.4) imply that $|\mathcal{R}_W|, |\mathcal{R}_U| = \Theta(p^{\binom{r}{2}}n^r)$ and that \mathcal{F} is *dense*.

CLAIM 5.4. For every $X \in \mathcal{R}_W$, $\deg_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \Omega(p^{\binom{r}{2}}n^r)$ holds.

Proof. Fix $X \in \mathcal{R}_W$ and let $\Gamma_{X,\psi} \subseteq H[N_X]$ be the subgraph whose existence is guaranteed by Property (Q.5). For a color $c \in \psi(E_H(X))$ seen on some edge of X, let $M_c \subseteq E(\Gamma_{X,\psi})$ be the matching in $\Gamma_{X,\psi}$ induced by the color c. Standard regularity arguments assert that the graph

$$\Gamma'_{X,\psi} := \Gamma_{X,\psi} \setminus \bigcup_{c \in \psi(E_H(X))} M_c,$$

obtained from $\Gamma_{X,\psi}$ by removing all edges colored using a color seen on the edges of H[X], is a member of the graph family specified in (14). Indeed, $|\bigcup_{c \in \psi(E_{\Gamma}(X))} M_c| = O_r(n) = o(pn^2)$, where the last equality holds since $p = \omega(n^{-1})$. Consequently, the intercluster density of the KLR-graph $\Gamma_{X,\psi}$ as well as its regularity parameter are worsened by a factor of at most 2, say.

By Property (Q.5), every copy of K_r in $\Gamma_{X,\psi}$ is ψ -rainbow. At least $\alpha p^{\binom{r}{2}}\binom{|N_X|}{r}$ of these ψ -rainbow copies of K_r are retained in $\Gamma'_{X,\psi}$ by Property (Q.6). Since $|N_X| = \Omega_{d',\varepsilon}(n)$ and, by Property (Q.7), all but at most $\frac{\alpha}{2}p^{\binom{r}{2}}\binom{|N_X|}{r}$ copies of K_r in $H[N_X]$ are supported on the members of $\mathcal{B}_{X,\psi}$, the claim follows.

It remains to prove that there are $\Omega(p^{2\binom{r}{2}}n^{2r})$ edges $\{X,Y\}$ of \mathcal{F} with $X \in \mathcal{R}_W$ and $Y \in \mathcal{R}_U$ such that H[Y] and H[X,Y] do not clash, as each such pair gives rise to a distinct ψ -rainbow copy of K_{2r} . Let \mathcal{F}' be the spanning subgraph of \mathcal{F} whose edges are pairs $\{X,Y\} \in E(\mathcal{F})$ such that H[Y] and H[X,Y] do clash. We claim that

(17)
$$\deg_{\mathcal{F}'}(Y) = o\left(p^{\binom{r}{2}}n^r\right)$$

for every $Y \in \mathcal{R}_U$. To prove (17), fix $Y \in \mathcal{R}_U$ and let $S_Y \subseteq W$ be the set of vertices $s \in W$ such that H[Y] and $H[\{s\}, Y]$ clash. As ψ is proper, each edge e in H[Y] gives rise to at most r-2 edges from Y to W of color $\psi(e)$. It follows that $|S_Y| \leq (r-2)\binom{r}{2}$. Note that every $X \in \mathcal{R}_W$ such that $\{X, Y\} \in E(\mathcal{F}')$ intersects S_Y . Property (Q.1) then implies that $\deg_{\mathcal{F}'}(Y) = o(|S_Y|p^{\binom{r}{2}}n^r) = o(p^{\binom{r}{2}}n^r)$ and (17) follows. Since $|\mathcal{R}_U| = \Theta(p^{\binom{r}{2}}n^r)$, we conclude that $e(\mathcal{F}') = o(p^{2\binom{r}{2}}n^{2r})$. Since $e(\mathcal{F}) = \Omega(p^{2\binom{r}{2}}n^{2r})$ holds by Claim 5.4 and by the established fact that $|\mathcal{R}_W| = \Theta(p^{\binom{r}{2}}n^r)$, we deduce that

$$|E(\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}')| = \Omega\left(p^{2\binom{r}{2}}n^{2r}\right),$$

i.e., the number of pairs $\{X, Y\} \in E(\mathcal{F})$ giving rise to a ψ -rainbow K_{2r} is $\Omega(p^{2\binom{r}{2}}n^{2r})$. This concludes our proof of Theorem 1.2.

6. Rainbow odd cycles. In this section we prove Proposition 1.1.

Proof of Proposition 1.1. To see the 0-statement, fix some $\ell \geq 2$ and $d \leq 1/2$, and let G be a bipartite graph on n vertices with edge-density at least d. Since G is bipartite, any copy of $C_{2\ell-1}$ in $\Gamma \sim G \cup \mathbb{G}(n,p)$ must contain some edge of $\mathbb{G}(n,p)$. However, $\mathbb{G}(n,p)$ is a.a.s. empty whenever $p = o(n^{-2})$. In particular, a.a.s. no edge-coloring of Γ can yield a rainbow $C_{2\ell-1}$ for any $\ell \geq 2$.

Proceeding to the 1-statement, let $\ell \geq 2$ and d > 0 be fixed, and let $G \in \mathscr{G}_{d,n}$ be given. Apply Lemma 2.2 with parameters $a := d^{2\ell} \cdot n - 1$, $\overline{d} := dn, r := \ell$, and $m := \sqrt{n}$. Note that

$$\binom{n}{r} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^t \le n^\ell \cdot n^{-2\ell/2} = 1$$

holds for $t = 2\ell$. In particular, the inequality in Lemma 2.2 holds for this choice of parameters. It follows that there exists a set U of at least $d^{2\ell} \cdot n - 1$ vertices such that every ℓ vertex in U has at least \sqrt{n} common neighbors. The probability that there are no edges of $\mathbb{G}(n, p)$ in U is

$$(1-p)^{\binom{|U|}{2}} \le e^{-\omega(n^{-2} \cdot n^2)} = o(1).$$

In other words, a.a.s. there is an edge of $\mathbb{G}(n, p)$ with both ends in U; denote such an edge by xy, and let X be a subset of U of size ℓ that contains x and y. By the assumption on U, the set Z of common neighbors of X has size at least \sqrt{n} . We claim that $G[X, Z] \cup \{xy\}$ contains a rainbow $C_{2\ell-1}$ for every proper coloring ψ .

Let $A = \{z \in Z : \exists w \in X \text{ such that } \psi(zw) = \psi(xy)\}$. Note that $|A| \leq \ell - 2$ as ψ is a proper edge-coloring. Let $Z' = Z \setminus A$. Now recall that the number of non-rainbow copies of $K_{\ell,\ell-1}$ in G[X,Z'], with X being their ℓ -part, is at most $O(|Z'|^{\ell-2})$ (see Observation 4.1). As there are $\binom{|Z'|}{\ell-1}$ such copies of $K_{\ell,\ell-1}$ in G[X,Z'], it follows that there is a rainbow copy of $K_{\ell,\ell-1}$ in G[X,Z'], whose vertex-set is $X \cup Z''$, where Z'' is a subset of Z' of size $\ell - 1$. Consider the graph H with vertices $X \cup Z''$ and edge-set $(X \times Z'') \cup \{xy\}$. It is a rainbow subgraph of G (by choice of Z' and Z'') which clearly contains a copy of $C_{2\ell-1}$. This concludes the proof of the proposition.

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank the anonymous referees for their many insightful and helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- E. AIGNER-HOREV, O. DANON, D. HEFETZ, AND S. LETZTER, Small rainbow cliques in randomly perturbed dense graphs, European J. Combin., 101 (2022), 103452.
- [2] E. AIGNER-HOREV AND Y. PERSON, Monochromatic Schur triples in randomly perturbed dense sets of integers, SIAM J. Discrete Math., 33 (2019), pp. 2175–2180, https://doi.org/10. 1137/18M1227007.
- [3] N. ALON, T. JIANG, Z. MILLER, AND D. PRITIKIN, Properly colored subgraphs and rainbow subgraphs in edge-colorings with local constraints, Random Structures Algorithms, 23 (2003), pp. 409–433.

- [4] N. ALON AND J. H. SPENCER, The Probabilistic Method, 3rd ed., Wiley-Intersci. Ser. Discrete Math. Optim., John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, 2008.
- [5] J. BALOGH, R. MORRIS, AND W. SAMOTIJ, Independent sets in hypergraphs, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 28 (2015), pp. 669–709.
- [6] J. BALOGH, A. TREGLOWN, AND A. Z. WAGNER, *Tilings in randomly perturbed dense graphs*, Combin. Probab. Comput., 28 (2019), pp. 159–176.
- [7] G. F. BARROS, B. P. CAVALAR, G. O. MOTA, AND O. PARCZYK, Anti-Ramsey threshold of cycles for sparse graphs, Electron. Notes Theor. Comput. Sci. 346, Elsevier Sci. B. V., Amsterdam, 2019, pp. 89–98.
- [8] W. BEDENKNECHT, J. HAN, Y. KOHAYAKAWA, AND G. O. MOTA, Powers of tight Hamilton cycles in randomly perturbed hypergraphs, Random Structures Algorithms, 55 (2019), pp. 795–807.
- T. BOHMAN, A. FRIEZE, M. KRIVELEVICH, AND R. MARTIN, Adding random edges to dense graphs, Random Structures Algorithms, 24 (2004), pp. 105–117.
- [10] T. BOHMAN, A. FRIEZE, AND R. MARTIN, How many random edges make a dense graph Hamiltonian?, Random Structures Algorithms, 22 (2003), pp. 33–42.
- [11] T. BOHMAN, A. FRIEZE, O. PIKHURKO, AND C. SMYTH, Anti-Ramsey properties of random graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 100 (2010), pp. 299–312.
- [12] J. BÖTTCHER, J. HAN, Y. KOHAYAKAWA, R. MONTGOMERY, O. PARCZYK, AND Y. PERSON, Universality for bounded degree spanning trees in randomly perturbed graphs, Random Structures Algorithms, 55 (2019), pp. 854–864.
- [13] J. BÖTTCHER, R. H. MONTGOMERY, O. PARCZYK, AND Y. PERSON, Embedding spanning bounded degree graphs in randomly perturbed graphs, Mathematika, 66 (2020), pp. 422– 447.
- [14] D. CONLON, W. T. GOWERS, W. SAMOTIJ, AND M. SCHACHT, On the KLR conjecture in random graphs, Israel J. Math., 203 (2014), pp. 535–580.
- [15] S. DAS, P. MORRIS, AND A. TREGLOWN, Vertex Ramsey properties of randomly perturbed graphs, Random Structures Algorithms, 57 (2020), pp. 983–1006.
- [16] S. DAS AND A. TREGLOWN, Ramsey properties of randomly perturbed graphs: Cliques and cycles, Combin. Probab. Comput., 29 (2020), pp. 830–867.
- [17] A. DUDEK, C. REIHER, A. RUCIŃSKI, AND M. SCHACHT, Powers of Hamiltonian cycles in randomly augmented graphs, Random Structures Algorithms, 56 (2020), pp. 122–141.
- [18] P. ERDŐS, Some old and new problems in various branches of combinatorics, in Proceedings of the Tenth Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing (Florida Atlantic Univ., Boca Raton, Fla., 1979), Congress. Numer., XXIII–XXIV, Utilitas Math., Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada, 1979, pp. 19–37.
- [19] U. FEIGE AND E. OFEK, Spectral techniques applied to sparse random graphs, Random Structures Algorithms, 27 (2005), pp. 251–275.
- [20] J. FOX AND B. SUDAKOV, Dependent random choice, Random Structures Algorithms, 38 (2011), pp. 68–99.
- [21] S. FUJITA, C. MAGNANT, AND K. OZEKI, Rainbow generalizations of Ramsey theory: A survey, Graphs Combin., 26 (2010), pp. 1–30.
- [22] S. GERKE AND A. STEGER, The sparse regularity lemma and its applications, in Surveys in Combinatorics 2005, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 237, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005, pp. 227–258.
- [23] L. GUGELMANN, R. NENADOV, Y. PERSON, N. ŠKORIĆ, A. STEGER, AND H. THOMAS, Symmetric and asymmetric Ramsey properties in random hypergraphs, Forum Math. Sigma, 5 (2017), e28.
- [24] J. HAN AND Y. ZHAO, Hamiltonicity in randomly perturbed hypergraphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 144 (2020), pp. 14–31.
- [25] S. JANSON, New versions of Suen's correlation inequality, Random Structures Algorithms, 13 (1998), pp. 467–483.
- [26] S. JANSON, T. LUCZAK, AND A. RUCIŃSKI, Random Graphs, Wiley-Intersci. Ser. Discrete Math. Optim., Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2000.
- [27] P. KEEVASH, D. MUBAYI, B. SUDAKOV, AND J. VERSTRAËTE, Rainbow Turán problems, Combin. Probab. Comput., 16 (2007), pp. 109–126.
- [28] Y. KOHAYAKAWA, Szemerédi's regularity lemma for sparse graphs, in Foundations of Computational Mathematics (Rio de Janeiro, 1997), Springer, Berlin, 1997, pp. 216–230.
- [29] Y. KOHAYAKAWA, P. B. KONSTADINIDIS, AND G. O. MOTA, On an anti-Ramsey threshold for random graphs, European J. Combin., 40 (2014), pp. 26–41.
- [30] Y. KOHAYAKAWA, P. B. KONSTADINIDIS, AND G. O. MOTA, On an anti-Ramsey threshold for sparse graphs with one triangle, J. Graph Theory, 87 (2018), pp. 176–187.

- [31] Y. KOHAYAKAWA, G. O. MOTA, O. PARCZYK, AND J. SCHNITZER, The Anti-Ramsey Threshold of Complete Graphs, preprint, https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.00306, 2018.
- [32] J. KOMLÓS AND M. SIMONOVITS, Szemerédi's regularity lemma and its applications in graph theory, in Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is Eighty, Vol. 2 (Keszthely, 1993), Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud. 2, János Bolyai Mathematical Society, Budapest, 1996, pp. 295–352.
- [33] B. KREUTER, Threshold functions for asymmetric Ramsey properties with respect to vertex colorings, Random Structures Algorithms, 9 (1996), pp. 335–348.
- [34] M. KRIVELEVICH, M. KWAN, AND B. SUDAKOV, Cycles and matchings in randomly perturbed digraphs and hypergraphs, Combin. Probab. Comput., 25 (2016), pp. 909–927.
- [35] M. KRIVELEVICH, M. KWAN, AND B. SUDAKOV, Bounded-degree spanning trees in randomly perturbed graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math., 31 (2017), pp. 155–171, https://doi.org/10. 1137/15M1032910.
- [36] M. KRIVELEVICH, B. SUDAKOV, AND P. TETALI, On smoothed analysis in dense graphs and formulas, Random Structures Algorithms, 29 (2006), pp. 180–193.
- [37] T. LUCZAK, A. RUCIŃSKI, AND B. VOIGT, Ramsey properties of random graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 56 (1992), pp. 55–68.
- [38] A. MCDOWELL AND R. MYCROFT, Hamilton l-cycles in randomly perturbed hypergraphs, Electron. J. Combin., 25 (2018), 4.36.
- [39] G. O. MOTA, Advances in anti-Ramsey theory for random graphs, in Encontro de Teoria da Computação, 2017, https://sol.sbc.org.br/index.php/etc/article/download/3204/3166/.
- [40] R. NENADOV, Y. PERSON, N. ŠKORIĆ, AND A. STEGER, An algorithmic framework for obtaining lower bounds for random Ramsey problems, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 124 (2017), pp. 1– 38.
- [41] R. NENADOV AND A. STEGER, A short proof of the random Ramsey theorem, Combin. Probab. Comput., 25 (2016), pp. 130–144.
- [42] E. POWIERSKI, Ramsey Properties of Randomly Perturbed Dense Graphs, preprint, https:// arxiv.org/abs/1902.02197, 2019.
- [43] V. RÖDL AND A. RUCIŃSKI, Lower bounds on probability thresholds for Ramsey properties, in Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is Eighty, Vol. 1, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., János Bolyai Mathematical Society, 1993, pp. 317–346.
- [44] V. RÖDL AND A. RUCIŃSKI, Random graphs with monochromatic triangles in every edge coloring, Random Structures Algorithms, 5 (1994), pp. 253–270.
- [45] V. RÖDL AND A. RUCIŃSKI, Threshold functions for Ramsey properties, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 8 (1995), pp. 917–942.
- [46] V. RÖDL AND Z. TUZA, Rainbow subgraphs in properly edge-colored graphs, Random Structures Algorithms, 3 (1992), pp. 175–182.
- [47] D. SAXTON AND A. THOMASON, Hypergraph containers, Invent. Math., 201 (2015), pp. 925–992.
- [48] B. SUDAKOV AND J. VONDRÁK, How many random edges make a dense hypergraph non-2colorable?, Random Structures Algorithms, 32 (2008), pp. 290–306.
- [49] E. SZEMERÉDI, Regular partitions of graphs, in Problèmes combinatoires et théorie des graphes (Colloq. Internat. CNRS, Univ. Orsay, Orsay, 1976), Colloq. Internat. CNRS 260, CNRS, Paris, 1978, pp. 399–401.
- [50] V. H. VU, A large deviation result on the number of small subgraphs of a random graph, Combin. Probab. Comput., 10 (2001), pp. 79–94.