

Seizure: European Journal of Epilepsy
Towards a pragmatic epilepsy classification: future considerations
--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	SEIZURE-D-20-00260
Article Type:	Letter to the Editor
Keywords:	LMIC, epilepsy classification, pragmatic
Corresponding Author:	Ley Sander UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology London, UNITED KINGDOM
First Author:	Nathan Shlobin
Order of Authors:	Nathan Shlobin Ley Sander
Manuscript Region of Origin:	UNITED KINGDOM
Suggested Reviewers:	Gagandeep Singh gagandeep_si@yahoo.co.uk Ettore Beghi ettore.beghi@marionegri.it Ding Ding dding99@163.com

Towards a pragmatic epilepsy classification: future considerations

Nathan A. Shlobin¹ & Josemir W. Sander^{2,3}

- 1) Department of Neurological Surgery, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA
- 2) UCL Institute of Neurology, NIHR University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre, London WC1N 3BG, & Chalfont Centre for Epilepsy, Chalfont St Peter SL9 0RJ, UK
- 3) Stichting Epilepsie Instellingen Nederland (SEIN), Heemstede 2103SW, the Netherlands

Letter to the Editor!

Corresponding author:

Prof Ley Sander, MD PhD FRCP

Box 29, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology

London WC1N 3BG, United Kingdom

E-mail: l.sander@ucl.ac.uk

Towards a pragmatic epilepsy classification: future considerations

We read with interest the commentary “Could the 2017 ILAE and four-dimensional epilepsy classifications be merged to a new ‘Integrated Epilepsy Classification’?” [1]. This piece co-authored by a large group of top epilepsy luminaries discusses using comorbidity, epilepsy type, epilepsy syndrome, seizure type, and aetiologies in creating an Integrated Epilepsy Classification system (IEC). It considers merging the 2017 International League of Epilepsy (ILAE) classification and the four-dimensional epilepsy classification updated in 2019 [1]. IEC contains five subcategories: headline, seizure type, epilepsy type, aetiology, and comorbidities and relevant individual preferences [1]. The headline corresponds to epilepsy with aetiology introduced in a 2016 ILAE proposal and includes localization and aetiology [1]. Seizure type is based on ictal symptomatology, while epilepsy type is based on all available information [1]. Aetiology includes one or combinations of structural, genetic, infectious, metabolic, and immune causes or unknown [1]. Comorbidities and relevant preferences include associated conditions and management factors such as individual preferences and predictors of risk and outcome [1]. The efforts in developing the concept of an IEC scheme are encouraging, but consideration of important factors in classification and implementation are still necessary.

Firstly, consideration of “brain age” at onset should be an important factor in the classification of epilepsy. The stage of brain development at seizure onset influences the manifestation and effects of seizures. Brain maturation affects seizure semiology, with children with temporal lobe epilepsy younger than 42 months exhibiting seizures with early and marked motor features, while the frequency of motor components decreases with age [2]. Epilepsy onset at earlier stage of brain development is also associated with more extreme cognitive deficits. Cognitive impairment secondary to uncontrolled seizures lessens with age [3]. People with earlier onset of temporal lobe epilepsy experience major verbal and nonverbal memory deficits, while those with later onset have only minor deficits [4]. Similarly, disease duration reduces verbal memory performance [5]. Current classification schemes suppose uniform applicability for people with epilepsy. Incorporating stages of brain development or “brain age” into the classification system would help to classify and manage people properly throughout the timeline of epilepsy.

Secondly, validation of a classification in contexts that differ in socioeconomic status and with poor healthcare infrastructure is necessary. About 80% of people with epilepsy live in low and middle income countries (LMICs) [6]. Over 75% of people with active epilepsy living in LMICs, however, do not receive treatment or receive inappropriate treatment, particularly in rural areas [7, 8]. Premature mortality in people with epilepsy in LMICs is markedly higher than in the general population mainly due to comorbidities or complications of epilepsy [9]. Classification plays a role in the treatment gap and subsequently increased mortality in people living in LMICs. One study in rural China found that a significant portion of generalized seizures and epilepsy and etiologies classified in prior ILAE classifications changed to unknown after the adoption of the 2017 classification system [10]. Validation of the proposed IEC across different contexts will ensure people with epilepsy living in LMICs may experience the first step in reducing the treatment gap. This involves consideration of culturally-specific conceptualizations and descriptions of the manifestation and effects of epilepsy [11]. Additionally, capacity-

building with field workers, increased availability of low-cost technology such as personal-grade EEG or telemedicine, and educational efforts can ensure proper but pragmatic classification and allow for appropriate treatment in these settings [12-15].

Thirdly, a team-based approach to care needs coupling into a new classification system. Epilepsy specialists mainly developed current classification schemes and they are appropriate for highly specialised settings. Incorporating primary care health workers such as nurses and general practitioners into discussions in addition to the specialists would provide benefits to people with epilepsy. This could include comprehensive care through personalization and screening for side effects, comorbidities or behavioural disorders at a lower level of care. This would also help empower people with epilepsy through provision of increased information and increased self-involvement in care [16-19]. Incorporating other members of the care team in discussions of a pragmatic classification system will ensure representation of the perspectives of medical personnel other than specialists in refinements made to the classification system [20]. Then, the new classification system needs to be explained to all members of the care team to enhance their knowledge base, allow for clear and efficient communication, as this will help provide better care [18]. The headline portion of ICE will be particularly important for standardizing communication between different members of the care team [1].

The classification of epilepsy continues to evolve. The positive changes proposed in the ICE are promising. Further changes in the semantics of classification and application of a classification to various contexts and team-based clinical practice are necessary. These advancements will allow for better clinical management of people with epilepsy and empower people with epilepsy globally.

Nathan A. Shlobin^a
Josemir W. Sander^{b,c,d}

^aDepartment of Neurological Surgery, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA

^bUCL Institute of Neurology, NIHR University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre, London WC1N 3BG, UK

^cChalfont Centre for Epilepsy, Chalfont St Peter, UK

^dStichting Epilepsie Instellingen Nederland (SEIN), Heemstede, the Netherlands

Corresponding author:

Prof Ley Sander, MD PhD FRCP
Box 29, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology
London WC1N 3BG, United Kingdom
E-mail: l.sander@ucl.ac.uk

References

- [1] Rosenow F, Akamatsu N, Bast T, Bauer S, Baumgartner C, Benbadis S, Bermeo-Ovalle A, Beyenburg S, Bleasel A, Bozorgi A. Could the 2017 ILAE and the four-dimensional epilepsy classifications be merged to a new “Integrated Epilepsy Classification”? *Seizure* 2020.
- [2] Fogarasi A, Jokeit H, Faveret E, Janszky J, Tuxhorn I. The effect of age on seizure semiology in childhood temporal lobe epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2002;43: 638-643.
- [3] Berg AT, Zelko FA, Levy SR, Testa FM. Age at onset of epilepsy, pharmacoresistance, and cognitive outcomes: a prospective cohort study. *Neurology* 2012;79: 1384-1391.
- [4] Lespinet V, Bresson C, N’Kaoua B, Rougier A, Claverie B. Effect of age of onset of temporal lobe epilepsy on the severity and the nature of preoperative memory deficits. *Neuropsychologia* 2002;40: 1591-1600.
- [5] Kent GP, Schefft BK, Howe SR, Szaflarski JP, Yeh H-S, Privitera MD. The effects of duration of intractable epilepsy on memory function. *Epilepsy & Behavior* 2006;9: 469-477.
- [6] Ngugi AK, Bottomley C, Kleinschmidt I, Sander JW, Newton CR. Estimation of the burden of active and life-time epilepsy: a meta-analytic approach. *Epilepsia* 2010;51: 883-890.
- [7] Mbuba CK, Ngugi AK, Newton CR, Carter JA. The epilepsy treatment gap in developing countries: a systematic review of the magnitude, causes, and intervention strategies. *Epilepsia* 2008;49: 1491-1503.
- [8] Meyer A-C, Dua T, Ma J, Saxena S, Birbeck G. Global disparities in the epilepsy treatment gap: a systematic review. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 2010;88: 260-266.
- [9] Levira F, Thurman DJ, Sander JW, Hauser WA, Hesdorffer DC, Masanja H, Odermatt P, Logroscino G, Newton CR, Epilepsy ECotILA. Premature mortality of epilepsy in low-and middle-income countries: a systematic review from the Mortality Task Force of the International League Against Epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 2017;58: 6-16.
- [10] Wang F, Chen Z, Davagnanam I, Hoskote C, Ding D, Wang W, Yang B, Wang Y, Wang T, Li W. Comparing two classification schemes for seizures and epilepsy in rural China. *European journal of neurology* 2019;26: 422-427.
- [11] Kaddumukasa M, Kaddumukasa MN, Buwembo W, Munabi IG, Blixen C, Lhatoo S, Sewankambo N, Katabira E, Sajatovic M. Epilepsy misconceptions and stigma reduction interventions in sub-Saharan Africa, a systematic review. *Epilepsy & Behavior* 2018;85: 21-27.
- [12] Van Hees VT, Van Diessen E, Sinke MR, Buitenhuis JW, Van Der Maas F, Ridder L, Otte WM. Reliable and automatic epilepsy classification with affordable, consumer-grade electroencephalography in rural sub-Saharan Africa. *BioRxiv* 2018: 324954.
- [13] Rajbhandari H, Joshi S, Malakar S, Paudel P, Jain P, Uppadaya K, Singh M, Patterson V. Epilepsy field workers, a smartphone application and telephone telemedicine: safe and effective epilepsy care in rural Nepal. *Seizure* 2019;64: 54-58.
- [14] Guekht A, Zharkinbekova N, Shpak A, Hauser WA. Epilepsy and treatment gap in urban and rural areas of the Southern Kazakhstan in adults. *Epilepsy & Behavior* 2017;67: 98-104.
- [15] Hunter E, Rogathi J, Chigudu S, Jusabani A, Jackson M, Whittaker RG, Gray W, McNally RJ, Aris E, Mushi D. The epilepsy treatment gap in rural Tanzania: A community-based study in adults. *Seizure* 2016;36: 49-56.
- [16] Hill CE, Thomas B, Sansalone K, Davis KA, Shea JA, Litt B, Dahodwala N. Improved availability and quality of care with epilepsy nurse practitioners. *Neurology: Clinical Practice* 2017;7: 109-117.

- [17] Higgins A, Downes C, Varley J, Tyrell E, Normand C, Doherty CP, Begley C, Elliott N. Patients with epilepsy care experiences: comparison between services with and without an epilepsy specialist nurse. *Epilepsy & Behavior* 2018;85: 85-94.
- [18] Higgins A, Downes C, Varley J, Doherty CP, Begley C, Elliott N. Supporting and empowering people with epilepsy: Contribution of the Epilepsy Specialist Nurses (SENsE study). *Seizure* 2019;71: 42-49.
- [19] Fujimoto A, Ichikawa N, Sato K, Nishimura M, Enoki H, Okanishi T. Inclusion of general physicians in the multidisciplinary treatment team for epilepsy may lead to an economic benefit. *Epilepsy & Behavior* 2019;95: 56-60.
- [20] Fitzsimons M, Normand C, Varley J, Delanty N. Evidence-based models of care for people with epilepsy. *Epilepsy & Behavior* 2012;23: 1-6.

The authors have no conflict of interest to report in relation to this submission.