1 Identification of neurobehavioural symptom groups based on 2 shared brain mechanisms

3 4

5

6 **Authors:** Alex Ing, Ph.D.¹; Philipp G. Sämann, M.D.², Ph.D.; Congying Chu, Ph.D.¹; Nicole 7 Tay, Ph.D.¹; Francesca Biondo, Ph.D.¹; Gabriel Robert, M.D.^{1,3}; Tianye Jia, Ph.D.¹; Thomas 8 Wolfers⁴; Sylvane Desrivières Ph.D.¹; Tobias Banaschewski M.D.; Ph.D.⁵; Arun L.W. Bokde 9 Ph.D.⁶; Uli Bromberg Ph.D.⁷; Christian Büchel M.D.^{7,8}; Patricia Conrod^{9,10}; Tahmine Fadai⁷; 10 Herta Flor Ph.D.^{11,12}; Vincent Frouin Ph.D.¹³; Hugh Garavan Ph.D.¹⁴; Philip A. Spechler, 11 M.A¹⁴; Penny Gowland Ph.D.¹⁵; Yvonne Grimmer⁵; Andreas Heinz M.D., Ph.D.¹⁶; Bernd 12 Ittermann Ph.D.¹⁷; Viola Kappel¹⁸; Jean-Luc Martinot M.D., Ph.D.¹⁹; Andreas Meyer-13 Lindenberg M.D., Ph.D.²⁰; Sabina Millenet Dipl.-Psych.⁵; Frauke Nees Ph.D.^{5,11}; Betteke van 14 Noort¹⁸; Dimitri Papadopoulos Orfanos Ph.D.¹³; Marie-Laure Paillère Martinot²¹; Jani 15 Penttilä²²; Luise Poustka M.D.²³; Erin Burke Quinlan Ph.D.¹; Michael N. Smolka M.D.²⁴; 16 Argyris Stringaris^{25,26}; Maren Struve²⁴; Ilya M. Veer Ph.D.¹⁶; Henrik Walter M.D., Ph.D¹⁶; 17 Robert Whelan Ph.D.²⁷; Ole A. Andreassen, M.D., Ph.D.^{28,29}; Ingrid Agartz, M.D., 18 Ph.D.^{29,30,31}; Hervé Lemaitre³²; Edward D. Barker^{33,1}; John Ashburner, Ph.D.³⁴, Elisabeth 19 Binder M.D.², Ph.D.; Jan Buitelaar M.D., Ph.D.⁴; Andre Marquand Ph.D.⁴; Trevor W. 20 Robbins, Ph.D.³⁵, Gunter Schumann M.D., Ph.D.^{1,36}*; IMAGEN Consortium. 5

Authors: Alex Ing, Ph.D.¹; Philipp G. Sämann, M.D.²; Ph.D.; Coorgiving Chu, Ph.D.¹; Nicola

7 Tay, Ph.D.¹; Francesca Blondo, Ph.D.¹; Gabriel Robert, M.D.¹³; Tanye Jia, Ph.D.¹; Nicola

8 Wolfers^t; Sylvan

21

- 23 ¹ Centre for Population Neuroscience and Precision Medicine (PONS), Institute of
- 24 Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, SGDP Centre, King's College London, London, 25 United Kingdom;
- 26 ² Neuroimaging, Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry, Munich, Germany;

 $3³$ Behavior and Basal Ganglia research unit, University of Rennes, Rennes, France;

- ⁴ Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The 29 Netherlands;
- ⁵ Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Central Institute of
- 31 Mental Health, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Mannheim, Germany;

²² Affiliations:

- ⁶ Discipline of Psychiatry, School of Medicine and Trinity College Institute of Neuroscience,
- Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland;
- ⁷ Systems Neuroscience, University Medical Centre Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany;
- ⁸ Department of Psychology, Stanford University, Stanford, California USA;
- 9 37 9 Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, UK; 36

²¹ Department of Psychology, Stanford University, Stanford, California USA;

³⁷ Pepartment of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychology & Neuroscience,

38 King's College London, UK;

³⁵ Department of Psyc
	- 10 Department of Psychiatry, Université de Montréal, CHU Ste Justine Hospital, Montreal QC, Canada;
	- ¹¹ Institute of Cognitive and Clinical Neuroscience, Central Institute of Mental Health, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Mannheim, Germany;
	- ¹² Department of Psychology, School of Social Sciences, University of Mannheim,
	- Mannheim, Germany;
	- ¹³ NeuroSpin, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France;
	- ¹⁴ Departments of Psychiatry and Psychology, University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont, USA;
	- 15 Sir Peter Mansfield Imaging Centre School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, United Kingdom;
	-
	- 50 ¹⁶ Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy CCM, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin,
	- corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin, Germany;
	- ¹⁷Biomedical Magnetic Resonance**,** Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), Braunschweig and Berlin, Germany;
	- 18 Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, Charité, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany;
	- 19 Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, INSERM Unit 1000
	- "Neuroimaging & Psychiatry", University Paris Saclay, University Paris Descartes; DIgiteo-
	- Labs, Gif-sur-Yvette; and Maison de Solenn, Paris, France;
	- $60²⁰$ Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Central Institute of Mental Health, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Mannheim, Germany;
	- 62 ²¹ Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, INSERM Unit 1000
	- "Neuroimaging & Psychiatry", University Paris Saclay, University Paris Descartes; DIgiteo-
	- Labs, Gif-sur-Yvette; and AP-HP.Sorbonne Université, Department of Child and Adolescent
	- Psychiatry, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Paris, France;
	- 66 ²² Department of Social and Health Care, Psychosocial Services Adolescent Outpatient Clinic, Lahti, Finland;
	- ²³ Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medical Centre Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany;
- ²⁴ Department of Psychiatry and Neuroimaging Center, Technische Universität, Dresden, 71 Dresden, Germany;
- ²⁵ 72 Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & 73 Neuroscience, King's College London, London, United Kingdom;
- ²⁶ Mood Brain and Development Unit (MBDU), National Institute of Mental Health / NIH, 75 Bethesda MD, USA;
- ²⁷ School of Psychology and Global Brain Health Institute, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, 77 Ireland;
- 78 ²⁸ Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway;
- ²⁹ 79 NORMENT, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway;
- 80 ³⁰ Department of Psychiatric Research, Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Oslo, Norway;
- 81 ³¹ Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Centre for Psychiatric Research, Karolinska 82 Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden;
- 83 ³² Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, UMR 992 INSERM, CEA,
- 84 Faculté de médecine, Université Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, NeuroSpin, Gif-sur-85 Yvette, France;
- 86 ³³ Department of Psychology, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's 87 College London, London, United Kingdom;
- ³⁴ Wellcome Centre for Human Neuroimaging, UCL Institute of Neurology, University College 89 London, London, United Kingdom; A realistic content of Payerboards in the Manuscript of Manuscript (Sharin and Development Unit (MBDU), National Institute, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin

75 Bethost MD, USA.

76 Mondal Health and Addiction, Oalo Univers
	- 90 ³⁵ Department of Psychology and Behavioural and Clinical Neuroscience Institute, University 91 of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom;
	- 92 ³⁶ PONS Research Group, Dept of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Campus Charite Mitte,
	- 93 Humboldt University, Berlin and Leibniz Institute for Neurobiology, Magdeburg, Germany,
	- 94 and Institute for Science and Technology of Brain-inspired Intelligence (ISTBI), Fudan
	- 95 University, Shanghai, P.R. China.
	- 96

97

- 98
- 99

100

Abstract:

Most psychopathological disorders develop in adolescence. The biological basis for this development is poorly understood. To enhance diagnostic characterisation, and develop improved targeted interventions, it is critical to identify behavioural symptom groups that share neural substrates. We ran analyses to find relations between behavioral symptoms, and neuroimaging measures of brain structure and function in adolescence. We found two symptom groups, consisting of anxiety/depression and executive dysfunction symptoms respectively, which correlated with distinct sets of brain regions and inter-regional connections, measured by structural and functional neuroimaging modalities. We found that the neural correlates of these symptom groups were present before behavioural symptoms had developed. These neural correlates showed case-control differences in corresponding psychiatric disorders, depression and ADHD, in independent clinical samples. By characterising behavioral symptom groups based on shared neural mechanisms, our results provide a framework for developing a classification system for psychiatric illness, which is based on quantitative neurobehavioural measures. 104 basis for this development is poorly understood. To enhance diagnostic
105 characterisation, and develop improved targeted interventions, it is critical to
105 characterisation, and develop improved targeted interventi

Adolescence and its transition toward young adulthood is a critical period for the development of psychiatric illness with half of the lifetime psychopathological 127 burden emerging by the mid-teens, and 75% by the mid-20s¹. It coincides with major structural changes in grey and white matter² that are particularly pronounced in the 129 limbic system and the prefrontal cortex³. Cognitive and (other) behavioural maturation reflects this brain-wide developmental process⁴. As psychopathological symptoms during adolescent brain re-organization are often unspecific, and in many cases reversible, it has been difficult to unambiguously identify early markers for sustained mental illness. Thus, most patients present during adulthood, often at a point when severe psychopathology has developed, which gravely impairs their daily functioning. Presentation at this advanced stage increases individual suffering and renders therapeutic interventions more difficult.

Currently, both adolescent and adult psychiatric diagnoses are made on the basis of combinations of behavioural symptoms that - whilst reflecting the psychopathological experience of generations of clinicians and patients - are not necessarily related to homogeneous pathophysiological or etiological processes. 141 This results in biological heterogeneity within diagnostic entities⁵, high rates of 142 comorbidity between diagnoses 6.7 , and ill-defined targets for drug development. This is particularly relevant in adolescence, where there is evidence to suggest that psychiatric illness is more dimensional and less categorical than adult psychopathology. Neuroimaging methods offer the opportunity to identify the biological mechanisms underpinning mental illness, without recourse to these $$ categorisations^{8,9}. burden emerging by the mid-leens, and 75% by the mid-20s . It coincides with major

228 structural changes in grey and white matter⁴ that are particularly pronounced in the

238 structural changes in grey and white matte

One of the challenges in breaking up diagnostic borders in favour of more homogenous clusters of symptoms sharing common neural mechanisms, is that

biological and behavioral data need to be combined in a meaningful way. A suitable method for this purpose is canonical correlation analysis (CCA), which is formulated to maximize the correlation between variables in two views of a dataset. This technique has previously been used to link complex behavioural datasets with **functional brain networks**¹⁰. However, CCA has a number of limitations: It cannot be applied to data with more features than samples, results are difficult to interpret owing to a lack of localizability, and it is only possible to find relations between two sets of variables. The first two of these issues can be addressed using sparse 158 canonical correlation analysis $(SCCA)^{11,12}$, which has been used to find modes of shared variation between resting state functional connectivity MRI, and behavioral 160 measures in adolescents and young adults¹². However, this approach is still limited in that it is only possible to identify relations between psychiatric symptoms and one kind of biological measure at a time. We further enhanced sCCA by formulating a constrained form of multiple canonical correlation analysis, which maximizes the correlation between psychiatric symptoms, and several different neuroimaging 165 modalities simultaneously¹³, before combining them in a linear regression model; we term this approach sparse multiple canonical correlation analysis regression (msCCA-regression). 153 to maximize the correlation between variables in two views of a dataset. This

153 technique has previously been used to link complex behavioural clatasets with

154 functional brain networks⁻³. However, CCA has a nu

We investigated whether symptoms contributing to DSMV/ICD10 diagnoses can be reconfigured to identify 'neurobehavioral' symptom groups that best represent specific underlying dysfunctional brain networks in adolescence. Here, we used a data driven approach applied to a large general population neuroimaging sample to investigate direct relations between neuroimaging measures of brain structure and function, yet without immediate recourse to diagnostic psychiatric categories. Following this, we sought to determine whether the regions we found to be related to

psychiatric symptoms in adolescence were associated with fully-blown clinical psychopathology in several independent clinical samples. Overall, this multi-step approach enabled us to identify brain correlates of psychopathology in adolescence, probe their predictive value in the critical period between age 14 and age 19, and characterize these brain correlates against the development of full-blown psychopathology.

Results

We used msCCA-regression (please see the methods section under the sub-heading: Multiple Sparse Canonical Correlation Analysis Regression) to link participant responses to the Development and Well Being Assessment (DAWBA), a 187 structured interview for psychiatric DSMV/ICD-10 diagnoses¹⁴ (Supplementary Table 188 1), with voxel-based morphometry (VBM)¹⁵ measures of grey matter volume, fractional anisotropy (FA) along major white matter tracts using tract-based spatial 190 statistics (TBSS)¹⁶, and functional connectivity between different brain regions, 191 mapped from resting state (rs-fMRI) scans¹⁷. T_1 and DTI data were pre-processed 192 using voxel-wise VBM 18 and TBSS 19 methods respectively, as these procedures have been extensively studied and applied to real data. We mapped inter-regional 194 rs-fcMRI connections across the brain using nodal maps defined by Miller et a^{17} , reasons for our pre-processing and analysis choices are detailed in the methods section of the paper under the sub-heading: Different Neuroimaging Pre-processing Strategies. We investigated ninety DAWBA items (symptoms) related to a broad range of psychiatric disorders, including affective and anxiety symptoms, attention deficit/hyperactivity and conduct symptoms, as well as substance use, eating 177 approach enabled us to identify brain correlates of psychopathology in adolescence,

178 probe their predictive value in the critical period between age 14 and age 19, and

178 characterize these brain correlates again

200 disorders, and symptoms of psychosis (Supplementary Table)¹⁴. This analysis was carried out on the general population IMAGEN sample, on participants of age 19. Following an in-depth QC (see methods under the sub-heading: IMAGEN analysis), data for n = 666 participants was available at age 19.

To avoid overestimating the variance shared between psychiatric symptoms, and the neuroimaging modalities analysed (overfitting), we used a train/test analysis design, which allows us to estimate effect sizes in an unbiased way. Using a test set also allowed us to carry out further characterization of the data, without running into circularity problems. We carried out model selection in a training dataset of 70% of the data (n=467), and model validation in the testing dataset of the remaining 30% (n=199). To enhance stability we resampled the data and retained only variables that contributed to the model in 90% of resamples (see methods under the sub-heading: 212 Stability Selection, and Supplementary Figure $1)^{21}$. Demographic information on the full sample, training and testing sets is given in Supplementary Figure 2. The msCCA-regression procedure we used in this investigation is designed to maximise associations between variable-sets. For this reason, all msCCA-regression significance values reported in the text are one-sided. Following an in-depth QC (see methods under the sub-heading: IMAGEN analysis),

201 data for n = 666 participants was available at age 19.

201 To avoid overestimating the variance shared between psychiatric symptoms.

201

Using msCCA-regression, we found a significant relation between a subset of six DAWBA symptoms (see Figure 1), and VBM, TBSS and rs-fMRI measures (r=0.59(465), p<0.001). The behavioural correlates derived from DAWBA covered symptoms linked to feelings of depression, anxiety and somatic problems, as well as temper and attentional problems (Figure 1). The model was also significant when 223 applied to the test dataset (r=0.23(197), p<0.001, 95% CIs=0.13, ∞) (Figure 1), explaining 5.30% of the variance between psychiatric symptoms and the brain. Brain

correlates derived from VBM, TBSS and rs-fcMRI measures were associated with this anxiety/depression symptom group with correlation values of: r=0.16(197), 227 p=0.017, 95% CIs=0.040, ∞ ; r =0.14(197), p=0.040, 95% CIs=0.037, ∞ and $r=0.15(197)$, p=0.029, 95% CIs=0.041, ∞ respectively (with all p-values FWE-corrected for multiple comparisons, see methods under the sub-heading: Analysis Design, and Supplementary Figure 3).

VBM, TBSS and rs-fcMRI modalities all showed an individually significant relation to psychopathology. We carried out further localization analyses in each modality to identify brain regions that showed an individually significant relation to psychopathology (see methods under the sub-heading: Additional Analyses to Localise Effects). In this localization analysis, we identified one gray matter cluster in the right inferior temporal gyrus (r=0.16(197), p=0.032 FWE corrected, 95% 237 CIs=0.041, ∞), and a single cluster of decreased fractional anisotropy in the genu of 238 the corpus callosum ($r = 0.16(197)$, p=0.031 FWE corrected, 95% CIs=0.041, ∞). Both of these brain regions have been among those exhibiting the largest differences between healthy controls and patients with depression, in recent large, well-powered 241 meta-analyses^{22,23}. Further, we found an increase in functional connectivity between the default mode network, and the cerebellum (r=0.15(197), p=0.041 FWE corrected, 95% CIs=0.037, ∞); the default mode network has been implicated in several different psychiatric disorders, but depression in particular, with recent research showing that connectivity between the cerebellum and the default mode network is 246 altered in patients with depression²⁴. Information on the full set of regions found to be associated with psychiatric symptoms can be found in Supplementary Tables 2 and 238 the corpus callosum ($r = 0.16(197)$, p=0.0

239 Both of these brain regions have been amor

240 between healthy controls and patients with

241 meta-analyses^{22,23}. Further, we found an inc

242 the default mode netw 3 and Supplementary Figures 4 and 5. 0.14(197), p=0.040, 95% CIs=0.037, ∞ and
041, ∞ respectively (with all p-values FWE-
see methods under the sub-heading: Analysis
3).
modalities all showed an individually significant
arried out further localizatio

We then removed the effects of the first canonical relation and investigated the presence of a second dimension of shared variance between symptoms and the brain (see methods under the sub-heading: Finding Multiple Modes of Variation). Here, we identified another behavioral correlate consisting of five items from the DAWBA, including: problems with attention, fidgeting, rapidly changing moods and (lack of) conscientiousness that was significantly associated with the neuroimaging modalities (r=0.46(465), p=0.004). The test sample correlation is significant at (r=0.19(197), p=0.002, 95% CIs=0.087, ∞), explaining 3.61% of the variance between psychiatric symptoms and the brain. Brain correlates derived from VBM, TBSS and rs-fcMRI measures were associated with the executive dysfunction 259 symptom group with correlation values of $r=0.19(197)$, $p=0.012$, 95% CIs=0.079, ∞ ; r=0.070(197), p=0.21, 95% CIs=-0.029, ∞ and r=0.020(197), p=0.58, 95% CIs=- 261 0.090, ∞ respectively. These results are displayed in Figure 2. sub-heading: Finding Multiple Modes of
ner behavioral correlate consisting of five items
ems with attention, fidgeting, rapidly changing
ness that was significantly associated with the
55), p=0.004). The test sample corre

As the VBM modality was the only modality in this second canonical relation to show an individually significant relation to psychopathology, we only carried out a localization analysis for VBM data in this modality; we found that executive dysfunction symptoms correlated with a single grey matter cluster in the right middle 267 temporal gyrus ($r = 0.16(197)$, $p = 0.024$ FWE corrected, 95% CIs=0.049), an area 268 that has previously been shown to be associated with ADHD symptomology²⁵. Information on the full set of regions found to be associated with psychiatric symptoms can be found in Supplementary Tables 4 and 5 and Supplementary Figures 4 and 5. Associations between canonical anxiety/depression and executive dysfunction canonical correlates are given in Supplementary Table 6. Our results 262 As the VBM modality was the only r

263 As the VBM modality was the only r

264 to show an individually significant relation to

265 localization analysis for VBM data in th

266 dysfunction symptoms correlated with a were robust to different rs-fcMRI atlas choices, as shown by repeated analyses using

274 a different nodal definition²⁰, which generated similar results (Supplementary Figure 6).

Hypothesis Driven Analysis

279 To determine if the canonical symptom groups identified in our data-driven analysis show a stronger relation to neuroimaging measures than existing means of organizing psychiatric symptoms, we carried out a hypothesis driven analysis using internalizing and externalizing symptoms, which are often used in adolescent psychiatric diagnostics. We tested whether the canonical symptom groups identified with msCCA-regression were able to explain more variance than this widely used 285 model of illness (see methods under the sub heading: Hypothesis Driven Analysis)²⁶. We term these pre-defined symptom groups as DAWBA-internalising and DAWBA-externalising. We found that the correlation of the DAWBA-internalising dimension of psychopathology with neuroimaging measures only shows trend-level significance in 289 the test set (r=0.12(197), p=0.060, 95% CIs=-0.02, ∞) and explains 1.9% of variance. Similarly, DAWBA-externalising dimensions of psychiatric illness correlated with 291 neuroimaging measures at ($r=0.040(197)$, $p=0.28$, 95% CIs=-0.095, ∞) in the test set, explaining 0.16% of the variance (Supplementary Figure 7). We then used a 293 modified version of Dunn and Clarke's $z^{27,28}$ to test directly whether the association of the canonical symptom groups with the brain was significantly stronger than their pre-defined analogues. While the symptom-brain correlation of the executive-dysfunction symptom group was indeed significantly stronger than that of the 297 DAWBA-externalizing symptom group $(Z=1.95(196)$, $p = 0.029$), we did not find evidence that the strength of the association between the anxiety/depression Accepted Manuscript

symptom group and the brain was significantly larger than that of the DAWBA-300 internalizing group $(Z=0.92(196), p = 0.18)$.

Longitudinal Analysis

We carried out the initial cross-sectional analysis relating psychiatric symptoms to brain at age 19, as most psychopathological symptoms will have become manifest by this age. To investigate how adolescent brain development relates to the development of psychopathological symptoms, we analyzed data from the same participants at age 14 years. First, we repeated the cross-sectional msCCA-regression analysis using VBM and TBSS (rsfMRI data was not available at age 14). We found a non-significant, trend level association between symptoms and 310 neuroimaging measures of $r = 0.42(410)$, $p = 0.11$ in the training set. We found similarly non-significant results in the testing set (r = 0.10(180), p = 0.090, 95% CIs=- 0.017,∞). The results of these analyses are displayed in Supplementary Figure 8. 301

301 Longitudinal Analysis

302 Longitudinal Analysis

303 We carried out the initial cross-sectional analysis relating psychiatric symptoms to

303 than at age 19, as most psychopathological symptoms will have become

There is previous evidence to suggest that neuroimaging measures precede the 314 development of psychiatric symptoms in adolescence²⁹. We tested whether that was the case with the canonical symptom groups established in the present study by extracting the TBSS and VBM regions discovered at age 19 and using them as regions of interest at age 14. In order to obtain unbiased estimates of effect, we looked for associations in the test sample. After a conservative quality control procedure (see methods under the sub-headings: Longitudinal Analysis), n = 182 participants were available for analysis at this time-point. Our data did not show any evidence of an association between anxiety/depression brain correlates and 322 anxiety/depression symptoms at 14 years r=0.020(180), p=0.40, 95% CIs=-0.10, $∞$. However, the brain correlates taken from data at age 14, were predictive of

-
-

Clinical Characterization

We investigated whether the canonical correlates of psychopathology we identified in a general population adolescent sample are correlated with fully developed psychiatric illnesses. In these analyses, we looked for case-control differences in the anxiety/depression and executive dysfunction canonical correlates, across four common psychiatric illnesses in several independent clinical samples. We carried out these analyses using VBM data alone, as this was the only data modality that showed an individually significant association with both symptom groups. Clinical and demographic information associated with the different clinical samples is displayed in Supplementary Figure 9 and Supplementary tables 7-9. Extensive information on quality control and data exclusion criteria for these clinical samples is given in the methods section of this paper following the sub-heading: Clinical state with anxiety/depression symptoms at 14 years and 19 years was also

327 significant, lessing for a difference in association using a modified version of Dunn

328 and Clarke's Z (Z=1.74(179), p=0.04)¹⁹. We did not

Analyses. In assessing this data, we were looking for a directional effect, we therefore report significance levels resulting from one-tailed tests in this section of the paper.

When analyzing the data for case-control differences in grey matter correlates of anxiety/depression symptoms, we found significant reductions in regional grey matter volume in independent samples of patients with Depression (t-statistic=4.61(612), p<0.001, Cohen's D = 0.39, 95% CIs=0.25, ∞), Schizophrenia (t-355 statistic=2.54(445), p=0.002, Cohen's D=0.25, 95% CIs = 0.087, ∞) and in ADHD (t-statistic=1.84(203), p=0.034, Cohen's D=0.26, 95% CIs=0.030, ∞). In the executive dysfunction grey matter correlates, we found significant differences between patients and healthy controls in ADHD (t-statistic=2.19(203), p=0.014, Cohen's D=0.32, 95% CIs=0.070, ∞), Schizophrenia (t-statistic=2.84(445), p=0.0026, Cohen's D=0.28, 95% CIs=0.11, ∞) and Depression (t-statistic=1.65(612), p=0.050, Cohen's D=0.14, 95% CIs=0.001, ∞). We did not find significant effects of bipolar disorder along either of these dimensions (t-statistic=-0.23(473), p=0.59, Cohen's D=-0.02, 95% CIs=-0.17, ∞) and (t-statistic=-1.33(473), p=0.90, Cohen's D=-0.12, 95% CIs=-0.27, ∞) respectively (Figure 4). In these case-control analyses, the data distribution was assumed to be normal but this was not formally tested. To test whether the observed reduction in grey matter was specific to the brain correlates identified, as opposed to being a proxy for a generalized, brain-wide reduction in grey matter, we repeated the clinical comparisons using total grey matter as a covariate of no interest in addition to total intracranial volume (Supplementary Figure 10). ADHD and Depression results were unaffected by this change in pre-processing. In contrast, the Schizophrenia results were no longer significant. 350 the paper.

350 the paper.

351 of anxiety video session symptoms, we found significant reductions in regional grey

353 of anxiety volume in independent samples of patients with Depression (+

354 of antistic=4.61(61

Discussion

We ran analyses to establish direct relations between psychiatric symptoms and neuroimaging measures of brain structure and function, without immediate reference to pre-defined psychiatric categories. This kind of dimensional, data-driven, 379 approach is particularly relevant in adolescence where there is a good deal of evidence suggesting that psychopathology is less differentiated than in adulthood and therefore doesn't fit into the traditional categorical conception of psychiatric disorder^{30,31}. We find two largely non-overlapping sets of brain regions that correlate with different sets of psychiatric symptoms. The first symptom dimension predominantly encompassed anxiety/depression symptoms whilst the second dimension mainly consisted of executive dysfunction symptoms.

The anxiety/depression canonical symptom correlate was significantly associated 387 with T_1 , rs-fcMRI and DTI data modalities. Participants scoring highly on this psychiatric scale showed decreased grey matter volume in the middle temporal gyrus, reduced fractional anisotropy in the genu of the corpus callosum, and increased functional connectivity between the default mode network and the cerebellum. A recent meta-analysis has demonstrated an association of depression 392 with the right inferior temporal gyrus²², a region exhibiting close connections with the limbic system, consistent with the theory that depression results from dysfunctional cortico-limbic circuits³². The genu of the corpus callosum is a commisural white matter pathway that links left and right prefrontal brain regions³³. Changes in the structure of the corpus callosum are known to result in altered inter-hemispheric connectivity and impaired emotional control³⁴. The genu of the corpus callosum has been shown to be the white matter region with the largest difference in FA between 376 We ran analyses to establish direct relations between psychiatric symptoms and
377 neuroimaging measures of brain structure and function, without immediate reference
378 to pre-defined psychiatric categories. This kin

399 controls and patients with major depression³⁵. The default-mode network is a set of brain regions that reliably exhibit a decrease in activity when the brain is engaged in non-self-directed tasks; this network is thought to be primarily responsible for self-402 inspection and internal monitoring^{36,37}, which are processes overactive in 403 depression³⁸. Increased connectivity between the default-mode network and the 404 cerebellum has been previously reported in drug-naive depressive patients²⁴. consistent with its recently discovered involvement in complex cognitive and 406 emotional processes³⁹.

We found that the executive dysfunction psychiatric symptom group was significantly 408 correlated with neuroimaging measures derived from T_1 data. Here, decreased grey matter was localised to the Right Middle Temporal Gyrus, previously linked to 410 ADHD^{25} . These results are more difficult to interpret as the function of this brain area is not well studied. As with the rest of the temporal lobe, this brain area is thought to 412 be responsible for generating meaning from sensory inputs¹⁹. Further, the temporal 413 lobe functions in close relation with the hippocampus in the formation of memories¹⁹. Therefore, atrophied grey matter in this brain area may help explain the learning deficits often observed with ADHD-like symptoms. 401 non-self-directed tasks; this network is thought to be primarily responsible for self-

402 inspection and internal monitoring^{36.37}, which are processes overactive in

430 depression³⁸. Increased connectivity betw

The identification of brain systems from a population-based cohort that is not suffering from any other psychiatric illness has major advantages: By identifying sub-clinical correlates of psychiatric illness, prior to the full manifestation of disorder, it is possible to avoid the potential impact of effects indirectly related to illness, such as substance use and medication effects. For example, 17% percent of the schizophrenia, and 21% percent of the Bipolar samples but none of the healthy controls studied here have a history of alcohol abuse, which has been linked to 423 widespread decreases in grey matter. In addition, various psychiatric medicines,

including lithium, which is often prescribed to Bipolar patients, have also been linked 425 to alterations in grey matter volume⁴¹, it is possible that lithium-induced increases in grey matter volume may have contributed to the observed absence of significant findings in Bipolar patients in this study.

We compared the efficacy of the data-driven msCCA-regression method with pre-defined psychiatric scales of internalising and externalising symptoms. We found that the data driven approach identified relations between symptoms and the brain that were significantly stronger than a similar approach using standard internalising and externalising psychiatric symptom scales, defined without reference to any underlying biology. The fact that the canonical symptom groups show a stronger correlation with neuroimaging measures than pre-defined scales is important as it shows that data driven methods may offer the potential to refine existing psychiatric 436 categorisations⁶.

437 It is notable that grey matter correlates of psychopathology are already present at age 14 years, preceding the development of symptoms that only become manifest 5 years later, at 19 years. We also found that the brain correlates identified in the adolescent general population replicate in independent clinical samples of corresponding psychiatric disorders, namely depression and ADHD. In addition to validating our primary results gained from population cohorts, these results raise the prospect of using neuroimaging measures, discovered in preclinical samples, as predictors of future psychopathology, thus enabling the development of targeted interventions in a young age group, where such measures are most 446 effective in reducing the burden of mental illness⁴². 426 grey matter volume may have contributed to the observed absence of significant
427 findings in Bipolar patients in this study,
438 We compared the efficiency of the data-driven msCCA-regression method with
433 pre-defi

It is important to note that the results of the msCCA-regression analysis applied here, depend on the distribution of prevalence of psychopathological

symptoms in each sample investigated. Thus, while a general population sample may yield an index of the normative variance in psychiatric symptoms from a broader range of different psychiatric disorders and their neural correlates, a patient sample might yield a narrower biological stratification within distinct clinical 453 psychiatric categories, e.g. different biotypes of depression⁵, or symptoms of psychosis.

By basing symptom groups upon brain correlates, and by demonstrating specific associations of these correlates with clinical psychopathology, we have characterized stratification markers based on shared neural substrates. By discovering that these brain correlates identified in young adults are already established during adolescence, we have characterized biological risk markers prior to the manifestation of symptoms. Our work thus shows how major obstacles can be overcome in developing a taxonomy for psychiatric illness based on quantifiable neurobehavioral phenotypes. 451 a broader range of different psychiatric disorders and their neural correlates, a
spatient sample might yield a narrower biological stratification within distinct cinical
452 patient sample might yield a narrower biolo

-
-
-
- **Methods**
- **Ethics Statement**

IMAGEN

- Each site sought and received approval from the relevant local research ethics Val from the relevant local research ethics
tined from each participant and a parent or
- committee. Written consent was obtained from each participant and a parent or
- guardian.

Munich-Depression

- The studies were approved by the respective local ethics committees: The ethical
- committee of the Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Munich, Germany and the ethical
- committee of the Bayerische Landesärztekammer, Munich, Germany. All participants
- provided written informed consent.
- **TOP**
- All participants were recruited between 2003 and 2009 as part of an ongoing study of Munich-Depression

486 Munich-Depression

487 The studies were approved by the respectiv

488 committee of the Ludwig-Maximilians-Unive

490 committee of the Bayerische Landesärzteka

490 provided written informed consent.
	- psychotic disorders (Thematically Organized Psychosis study). After complete
	- description of the study, all participants gave informed consent to participate. The

study was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical Research Ethics and the Norwegian Data Inspectorate.

ADHD

This study was approved by the regional ethics committee (Centrale Commissie

Mensgebonden Onderzoek: CMO Regio Arnhem – Nijmegen; 2008/163; ABR:

NL23894.091.08) and the medical ethical committee of the VU University Medical

Center. Informed written consent was obtained from each participant. For children

under 18, both parents and children gave consent.

Study Protocol

We developed a method, termed msCCA-regression to find multivariate relationships between psychiatric symptoms, and multiple neuroimaging modalities so simultaneously; In this case, voxel-based morphometry (VBM)¹⁸ measures of grey matter volume, fractional anisotropy (FA) derived from DTI data, and normalized 508 using tract based spatial statistics $(TBSS)^{19}$, and resting state functional connectivity neuroimaging measures⁴³. msCCA-regression analysis was carried out in the general population IMAGEN sample, when participants were aged 19. Additional analyses were then applied in order to localize associations between psychiatric symptoms, and neuroimaging measures of brain structure and function. We then analyzed neuroimaging and symptom data at age 14 in order to determine whether this multivariate relationship already existed at this earlier time-point. Following this, we assessed the clinical significance of our findings by conducting case-control comparisons of the structural markers found in the IMAGEN analysis, in several clinical samples. The following text gives a more detailed description of the methods described here. 497 ADHD

498 This study was approved by the regional ethics committee (Centrale Commissie

498 Mensgebonden Onderzoek: CMO Regio Amhem – Nijmegen; 2008/163; ABR:

500 NL23894.091.09) and the medical ethical committee of t

IMAGEN Analysis

Participants

The analysis was carried out on participants drawn from the IMAGEN sample (see 530 for further details: Schumann et al⁴⁴. For IMAGEN, a general population sample of Caucasian adolescents were recruited from eight sites across France, Ireland, England and Germany. Data was collected at age 14, 16 and 19 years. After a conservative quality control of MRI acquisitions and DAWBA questionnaires, participants with complete data were used in the subsequent data analysis. No statistical analyses were used to pre-define sample size. However, the sample size 536 used was simlar to that reported in previous studies^{10,12}. of understanding the biological basis of individual variability in psychological and

S22 behavioural traits, and their relation to common psychiatric disorders⁴¹. The study

involves a thorough neuropsychological, behav

DAWBA

Psychiatric symptoms of the IMAGEN participants were assessed using screening questions from the development and wellbeing assessment (DAWBA), a wide 540 ranging psychiatric screening questionnaire⁴⁵. Participants were asked screening questions, assessing symptoms of: specific fears, social fears, stress after a very

frightening event, obsessions and compulsions, worrying, depression, rapidly changing mood, attention and activity, troublesome behavior, drug and alcohol use, concern about appearance and strange/frightening experiences; if enough of these questions were answered in the affirmative, a more in-depth assessment of symptoms associated with that disorder was made. DAWBA screening questions have previously been used to define subthreshold clinical symptoms in neuroimaging s48 studies of subclinical psychopathology. The strength and difficulties questionnaire (SDQ) was also used in the present investigation as this questionnaire contributes to the assignment of diagnostic status in the DAWB A^{45} . Questions in the SDQ are categorized into broad internalising and externalizing domains. The data of four of the questions asked had a standard deviation of zero amongst the participants asked, and were therefore not used in subsequent analyses. The full set of psychiatric questions asked in the present investigation can be found in Supplementary Table 1, the questionnaire items that were omitted from the analysis are marked here. At the time of the analysis conducted here, DAWBA/SDQ data had been collected for 1510 participants. Of these, data was incomplete for 239 participants, and was not used. s44 concern about appearance and strange/frightening experiences; if enough of these
s45 questions were answered in the affirmative, a more in-depth assessment of
s45 symptoms associated with that disorder was made. DAWBA

T1 Weighted MRI Acquisition

Scanning took place at eight different sites across Europe, using scanners built by four different manufacturers (Siemens, Philips, General Electric, Bruker). High resolution, T_1 weighted images were obtained using a Magnetization Prepared Rapid Acquisition Gradient Echo (MPRAGE) sequence, based on the ADNI protocol (http://www.loni.ucla.edu/ADNI/Cores/index.shtml). Scan parameters were standardized across sites to the highest degree possible (sagittal slice plane;

repetition time: 2.3 s; echo time 2.8 ms; flip angle 8°; 256×256×160 matrix; isotropic voxel size: 1.1 mm).

VBM Pre-processing

570 At the time this investigation was conducted, T_1 data had been acquired for 1400 participants. All scans were visually inspected and manually reoriented. 285 scans were discarded from the analysis for either movement artifacts, strong field inhomogeneities, abnormal field of view, abnormally reduced cerebellum and for brace artefacts. The resulting 1,115 scans were used to build the study specific template. Baseline and Follow up two scans were preprocessed using both the 2008 version of the Voxel Based Morphometry toolbox (VBM8) running in SPM8 (v.5236). Given the young adults recruited in IMAGEN, we first used VBM8 in order to avoid using adult tissue probability maps (TPM) to initiate the segmentation process. The VBM8 toolbox segmentation relies on an adaptive Maximum a Posterior technique and TPMs used in VBM8 are for registration purposes only. Diffeomorphic registration (Dartel) was then used to register the 1,115 images, and to generate the ss study-specific population average template⁴⁷. We then resliced the data to 1.5x1.5x1.5mm voxel size. Smoothing was carried out using an isotropic 8 mm full width at half maximum Gaussian smoothing kernel. We created a mask for the sample by taking the mean across all VBM maps included in the sample. We thresholded the mask at >0.4. We used a stringent mask to avoid overfitting the 587 data. We then extracted all voxel values within this mask, resulting in 241,544 grey matter voxels. VBM8 toolbox segmentation relies on an ad
and TPMs used in VBM8 are for registration
registration (Dartel) was then used to registe
study-specific population average template⁴
1.5x1.5x1.5mm voxel size. Smoothing was invi ducted, T₁ data had been acquired for 1400

mspected and manually reoriented. 285 scans

either movement artifacts, strong field

ew, abnormally reduced cerebellum and for

scans were used to build the study specific

b

DTI Acquisition

Diffusion tensor imaging acquisition sequence based on the study by Jones et al⁴⁹. Diffusion tensor images were acquired using an Echo Planar imaging sequence 592 (b=0 and 32 directions with b-value 1300 s/mm²; axial slice plane; echo time = 104ms; 128x128x60 matrix; voxel size 2.4x2.4x2.4 mm), adapted to tensor measurements (for example, FA, mean diffusivity (MD)) and tractography analysis.

TBSS Pre-processing

At the time this study was conducted, DTI data had been acquired for 1412 participants. Of these, 71 were not usable due to: signal dropouts or too much rotation. Diffusion imaging data was pre-processed using software from the FSL 600 toolbox (www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl)⁵⁰. We preprocessed the remaining 1341 scans 601 using tract based spatial statistics $(TBSS)^{19}$. Pre-processing was carried out in the 602 following manner: An affine registration was applied to the first B_0 image for head motion and eddy current correction. Brain extraction was carried out using BET. Diffusion tensor fitting was then used to obtain fractional anisotropy (FA) maps for each participant. All participants' FA data was aligned into a common space using the non-linear registration tool FNIRT, using a b-spline representation of the registration warp field. The mean was then taken across all FA maps to create an FA averaged image. This map was then 'thinned' to create a mean FA skeleton, which was then thresholded at FA > 0.2 , keeping only the major white matter tracts. Each participant's aligned FA data was then projected onto the mean skeleton. We then used these skeletonised maps in all subsequent analyses. The final mask used contained 106,812 voxels. A further 10 scans were not used due to masking or normalization issues in TBSS. 593 (b=0 and 32 directions with b-value 1300 s/mm'; axial slice plane; echo time =
593 104ms; 128x128x80 matrix; voxel size 2.4x2.4x2.4 mm), adapted to tensor
554 measurements (for example, FA, mean diffusivity (MD)) and

Resting State fMRI Acquisition

- Resting state fMRI scanning of the IMAGEN participants was carried out at multiple
- 616 sites. The following parameters were standardized: number of volumes (164), $TR =$
- 617 2.2s, TE = 30ms, flip angle = 75, number of slices/ddas = $40/3$, slice thickness = 2.4
- 618 mm, slice gap = 3.4 mm, voxel size = 3.4 x 3.4 x 2.4 mm³, matrix size = 64^2 , FOV =
- 218 mm.

Resting State fMRI Preprocessing

- At the time of this investigation, we had collected rsfMRI scans for 1067 participants.
- Of these scans, 157 were not used, either because over 5% of scans in that
- participant exhibited artifacts of some kind, or if over 5% of volumes showed a
- fractional displacement of over 0.5mm. Preprocessing of resting-state data was
- 625 performed with routines from FMRIB's Software Library (FSL v5.0.9)⁵⁰ and Advanced
- 626 Normalization Tools (ANTs $v1.9.2$)⁵¹.
- 1) Motion correction was carried out, applying a rigid body registration of each volume to the middle volume (FSL MCFLIRT). sites. The following parameters were standardized: number of volumes (164), TR =

617 2.2s, TE = 30ms, flip angle = 75, number of slices/ddas = 40/3, slice thickness = 2.4

618 mm, slice gap = 3.4 mm, voxel size = 3.4 x 3
	- 2) Non-brain tissue was removed (FSL BET).
	- 3) Spatial smoothing was applied using a 5mm FWHM Gaussian kernel.
	- 4) Independent component analysis (FSL MELODIC) was run for each data set.
	- Artifact components were identified using an automatic classification
	- 633 algorithm, and subsequently regressed from the data (ICA-AROMA v0.3)^{52,53}.
	- ICA-AROMA⁵² has been shown to be as effective as motion parameter
	- regression, with additional spike regression and 'scrubbing', in the removal of

Mapping rs-fMRI data

- 1) We first generated 55 regional nodal timecourses using dual regression on 659 hodal regions established in the UK biobank sample $17¹⁷$.
- 2) We mapped the correlation between nodal regions using Pearson's pairwise correlation coefficient, for each participant, thus producing a connectivity matrix for each participant. This connectivity matrix consists of 1,485 connections between nodes.
- 3) We then transformed these connectivity values using Fisher's Z-score transform.

Different Neuroimaging Processing Strategies

A wide range of different preprocessing strategies can be applied in the analysis of neuroimaging data. Approaches to analysing DTI and T1 can be categorised into two broad types: voxelwise, and atlas based approaches^{18,55}. We chose to analyse this data at the voxelwise level, as this allows for the highest level of spatial specificity. Although it is also technically possible to analyse rs-fcMRI data across the whole brain at the voxelwise level, this approach results in an enormous number of features: When mapping connectivity at the voxelwise level, in a dataset made up of 674 N voxels, we are left with $(N[*](N-1))/2$ connections between those voxels. In the 675 current investigation, $N = 57,053$, leading to $N^*(N-1)/2 = 1.63$ billion inter-regional connections. This would lead to a huge amount of redundancy in the data and computational, statistical and interpretational issues. For this reason, we mapped the connectivity between a pre-defined set of nodes. We used nodal definitions resulting from previous work applying independent component analysis (ICA) to the UK 680 biobank sample¹⁷. We used this nodal definition as it derives from the largest extant sample of neuroimaging data. In order to test whether the results we obtained were 2). We mapped the correlation between nodal regions using Pearson's pairwise

correlation coefficient, for each participant, thus producing a connectivity

matrix for each participant. This connectivity matrix consists of

robust to different nodal definitions, we also mapped inter-regional connectivity using 683 the widely used Power atlas⁵⁶ and achieved similar results (Supplementary Figure 6).

Canonical Correlation Analysis and Sparse Canonical Correlation Analysis

Canonical correlation analysis (CCA) is a very general statistical method used to

identify linear relationships between two or more sets of variables⁵⁷. It can be

thought of as a generalization of multiple linear regression. The objective of CCA is

to identify a relationship between two (or more) sets of variables, where there is no

distinction between which variables are considered dependent, and which are

considered independent. This method identifies weights for each variable, such that

the weighted sum of variables in each set is maximally correlated with the weighted d Sparse Canonical Correlation Analysis

is a very general statistical method used to

two or more sets of variables⁵⁷. It can be

tiple linear regression. The objective of CCA is

(or more) sets of variables, where ther

sum of variables from the opposite set, assuming a linear relationship.

694 Consider two matrices X_1 and X_2 , where each row denotes one of *n* observations, 695 and each column denotes one of p_1 or p_2 features. CCA seeks to find the weight 696 vectors w_1 and w_2 that maximise the correlation: 694 Consider two matrices X_1 and X_2 , where eac

695 and each column denotes one of p_1 or p_2 fer

696 vectors w_1 and w_2 that maximise the correla

697 $\rho = corr(X_1w_1, X_2w_2)$.

This optimisation problem can

697 $\rho = corr(X_1W_1, X_2W_2)$.

This optimisation problem can be written as:

 $\rho = max_{w_1,w_2} w_1^T X_1^T X_2 w_2$

Subject to the constraints:

700 $w_1^T X_1^T X_1 w_1 = 1$ and $w_2^T X_2^T X_2 w_2 = 1$.

701 We assume that the columns of X_1 and X_2 have been standardised to have a mean of 702 zero and a standard deviation of one. The vectors X_1w_1 and Xw_2 are referred to as 703 canonical variates.

Classical CCA is extremely powerful, but cannot be applied in situations where there 705 are a more features than samples (i.e., $p_1 > n$ or $p_2 > n$, which is typically the case in neuroimaging studies). Interpreting and describing results from CCA can be difficult because the estimated weights are not sparse. This means that some variables may make negligible but non-zero contributions to the variance explained between sets. Sparse canonical correlation analysis (sCCA) was developed to address these issues^{11,58,59}. 273 canonical variates.

273 canonical variates.

274 Classical CCA is extremely powerful, but cannot be applied in situations where there

276 are a more features than samples (i.e., $p_i > n$ or $p_2 > n$, which is typically

711 sCCA uses an L_1 penalty on canonical weights, which forces some of them to take a 712 value of exactly zero. Furthermore, sCCA can also be applied in scenarios where 713 there are more features than samples $(p > n)$. The optimization criteria for sCCA can 714 be written in the following manner:

$$
\rho = max_{w_1, w_2} w_1^T X_1^T X_2 w_2
$$

715 Subject to the constraints:

716 $\|w_1\|^2 = 1$, $\|w_2\|^2 = 1$, $\|w_1\|_1 \le c_1$ and $\|w_2\|_1 \le c_2$

717 Here, c_1 and c_2 are assumed to fall within the bounds $1 \le c_1 \le \sqrt{p_1}$ and $1 \le c_2 \le \sqrt{p_2}$, 718 where p_1 and p_2 are the number of features in views X_1 and X_2 respectively.

719 **Multiple Sparse Canonical Correlation Analysis Regression**

720 The formulation of sparse canonical correlation analysis described in the text above

721 is designed to find relations between two views of a dataset. However, we have

collected data from several different neuroimaging modalities, and would like to utilize information from each of them. A somewhat naive approach to finding relations between psychiatric symptoms and multiple neuroimaging measures would be to include all available neuroimaging modalities in one view of the canonical relation, with psychiatric symptoms in the other view. However, this approach is likely to be problematic as different modalities are associated with very different numbers of features. For example, the functional connectivity data used in the present investigation has only 0.6% of the number of features that the VBM data has. As such, if these modalities were entered into the same model, the VBM data would overwhelm the functional connectivity data.

We developed an approach designed to maximise the cross-correlation between psychiatric symptoms, and multiple neuroimaging modalities simultaneously, we then combined these modalities in a linear regression model. Formulations of canonical correlation analysis that are able to find relations between more than two sets of data are termed multiple or generalised canonical correlation procedures. A widely used optimisation criteria for multiple canonical correlation analysis is to maximise the sum of correlations between each of the different views of a dataset 60 . Witten et al have formulated a sparse version of multiple canonical correlation analysis⁵⁸; this formulation is designed to maximise the sum of correlations between all views of the data. However, in the present investigation, we are only interested in finding correlations between neuroimaging measures, and psychiatric questionnaire responses; we do not wish to optimise the correlation between different neuroimaging measures. rations between psychiatric symptoms and multiple neuroimaging measures would

275 be to include all available neuroimaging modallities in one view of the canonical

275 be to include all available neuroimaging modallitie

As such, we seek to maximise the following relation:

$$
max_{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n} \mathbf{w}_1^T \mathbf{X}_1^T \sum_{i=2}^n \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{w}_i
$$

Subject to the constraints:

747
$$
||w_1||^2 = 1
$$
, $||w_i||^2 = 1$, $||w_1||_1 \le c_1$ and $||w_i||_1 \le c_i$

This method simultaneously optimizes the correlation between a weighted sum of 749 variables in the target set, X_1 , with a weighted sum of variables in the other sets. In 750 the present investigation, X_1 is a matrix of psychiatric symptoms and X_2 to X_n are neuroimaging measures of brain structure and function. Using this method, we are able to maximise the correlation between psychiatric symptoms, and several different neuroimaging modalities within the same integrated model. A natural choice for the statistic of interest, in any inference carried out using this procedure, would be the sum of correlations between the symptom data, and the neuroimaging measures of brain structure and function. However, a sum of correlations is of less practical benefit than understanding how much total variance is shared between neuroimaging measures of brain structure and function, and psychiatric symptoms. Therefore, in the final step of this process, we combine canonical neuroimaging variables in an ordinary linear regression model. Canonical variables are defined as: 346 Subject to the constraints:
 247 IIIw₁|P = 1, |Iw₁|H = 1, |Iw₁|H ≤ c₁ and |Iw₁|H ≤ c₁

This method simultaneously optimizes the correlation between a weighted sum of

437 II in method simultaneously opti

- $C_i = X_i w_i$
- Canonical variables are then combined in the prediction of psychiatric symptoms
- using ordinary linear regression:

$$
C_1 = \beta_0 + C_2 \beta_2 \dots + C_n \beta_n + \epsilon
$$

763 We used this approach to establish relations between psychiatric symptoms (C_1) , 764 and TBSS (C_2) , VBM (C_3) , and connectivity measures (C_4) derived from rs-fMRI data zes and β_n are the associated weights estimated using ordinary linear regression (β_0 is the constant estimated in regression).

msCCA-regression was carried out using in-house codes written in MATLAB. This algorithm requires an initialization value. In the present study, initial weights were randomly generated**.** Weight values associated with psychiatric symptoms were always constrained to be positive to ensure interpretability.

This study is designed to be exploratory in nature. Nevertheless, given the very large quantity of data we sought to integrate, it is likely that some simple priors will help to improve the stability of our results, so long as those priors are well supported. There is a great deal of evidence suggesting that psychopathology is associated with decreases in both grey matter, and fractional anisotropy, across psychiatric 776 disorders^{61,62}. For this reason, we constrained the canonical weights on VBM volume and FA to be negative. This will help to reduce variance in the model and will help increase interpretability of our results. In contrast, there is no clear evidence that psychiatric illness is associated with increases or decreases in connectivity measures derived from BOLD-fMRI. Therefore, we did not add constraints to the functional connectivity data. 762 using ordinary linear regression:
 $C_1 = R_0 + C_2 R_2 - + C_0 R_4 + \epsilon$

761 We used this approach to establish relations between psychiatric symptoms (C₁).

764 and TBSS (C₂), VBM (C₃), and connectivity measures (C₄)

783 **Stability Selection**

784 Although msCCA-regression (and sCCA) have advantages over classical CCA in 785 terms of interpretability, it can suffer from instabilities due to their utilization of an L_1 786 penalty to introduce sparsity²¹. This is particularly true when $p \gg n$, and when there 787 is a high degree of collinearity in the data. Stability selection is a widely applicable 788 **Feature selection procedure that can address this problem²¹. This procedure has the** 789 added benefit that it makes the results less sensitive to the choice of L_1 penalty.

The conceptual underpinning of stability selection is very simple: if a model is repeatedly resampled, features exhibiting a 'real' effect will be selected more often than noise. Using stability selection, data is repeatedly split into random sub-samples 793 of size $n_t/2$ (where n_t is the total number of participants in the training dataset). In this work, resampling was carried out a hundred times. msCCA was applied to each resample, and those features that appear more often are deemed to be more stable. 796 Deciding which variables are stable requires a threshold: π_r is defined as the fraction of samples in which a particular variable must be observed to be considered stable. 798 We set π_r to 0.9, which means that a particular variable must be present in 90% of resamples to be considered stable. The outcome of this stability selection procedure is a set of stable features. A benefit of stability selection is that it is insensitive to 801 tuning parameters. Here, we simply set the L₁ penalty at $\sqrt{p}/2$, which is halfway 802 along the regularization path running from 1 to \sqrt{p} . It is worth noting that the stability selection procedure is easily parallelizable here as it simply involves re-applying the msCCA-regression algorithm to multiple different resamples of the same data. Transformation interpretability, it can suffer from instabilities due to their utilization of an L,

Technique of periodic periodic periodic periodic method Manuscriptics of the matches Manuscriptical effective of the Man

805

Analysis Design

807 The L_1 penalty used in sCCA means that the parametric tests used for significance 808 testing in classical CCA (for example Wilk's Lambda) 63 cannot be used here, necessitating the use of permutation testing to determine whether results are significant. We assessed the in-sample significance of the results we obtained here, then replicated these findings using an out-of-sample, hold-out set design. This kind of experimental design has a number of advantages in the present context: using a training/testing design, it is possible to obtain an unbiased estimate of effect size. We used a hold-out set design in preference to a cross-validation procedure. This is because cross-validation involves the training and testing of multiple statistical models, one for each cross-validation fold, which precludes the use of a single model for further validation/characterization. A related advantage is that it is possible to carry out further characterization of the test set results, due to the fact that we are able to estimate effect size in an unbiased way. Wilk's Lambda)⁶³ cannot be used here,
testing to determine whether results are
ble significance of the results we obtained here,
an out-of-sample, hold-out set design. This kind
r of advantages in the present context: us

In detail, the analysis design was carried out as follows:

1) Psychiatric symptom data, and data from the VBM, TBSS and rs-fcMRI 822 neuroimaging modalities was extracted and transformed into $n_t \times p_i$ matrices, 823 is the number of participants included in the training dataset, and p_i

- is the number of features included in each of the views of the data.
- 2) The full dataset was randomly split into training and testing sets. The training set was made up of 70% of the data whilst the testing set was made up of the 819 able to estimate effect size in an unbiased w

820 In detail, the analysis design was carried ou

821 1) Psychiatric symptom data, and data f

922 neuroimaging modalities was extracte

823 where n_t is the number of remaining 30%.

9) We then applied the trained model to the test set to produce canonical

correlates of symptom and neuroimaging measures. We recorded

- associations for both the full model, and between the psychiatric symptom
- score, and each of the individual neuroimaging canonical correlates.

10) We then randomly permuted the data rows in the testing set and re-calculated correlation values between symptom and brain canonical correlates. We recorded associations between psychiatric symptoms and the full neuroimaging model, for each of 10,000 permutations of the experimental labelling.

11) It is also interesting to find the significance of the individual neuroimaging modalities. However, as we are testing the significance of multiple neuroimaging modalities, it is necessary to correct for multiple comparisons across these different modalities. This is easily done using the distribution of the maximal statistic: for each permutation of the experimental labelling, we calculate the association between the symptom score and each of the neuroimaging canonical correlates; the largest of these associations is then recorded. This is done for each of the 10,000 permutations of the test labelling, producing a distribution of the maximal statistic. Correlations between symptom and neuroimaging measures in the experimental labelling 869 are then significant at the FWE-corrected level α if they are above the 100^{*}(1- α) percentile of this distribution. associations for both the full model, and between the psychiatric symptom
score, and each of the individual neuroimaging canonical correlates.
Ass a figure manuscript defined Manuscript defined as the set in the set in the

This process is illustrated in Supplementary Figure 1.

Confounds

It is important to account for the effects of confounds, which might otherwise lead to spurious relations between the different data views⁶⁴. Here, we regressed age, 876 gender, site and intracranial volume from all data views prior to the sCCA analysis⁶⁵⁻ 87 . For the connectivity measures derived from rsfMRI data, we also regressed the mean between-volume fractional displacement, and the percent of slices corrupted by artefacts, from the scans.

Additional Analyses to Localise Effects

We used msCCA-regression to find multivariate relations between psychiatric symptomatology and neuroimaging measures of brain structure and function. In using msCCA-regression, it is possible to make inferences on relations between sets of psychiatric symptoms and neuroimaging measures across the brain, it is not possible to make inferences on individual brain regions/connections or individual questionnaire items. For this reason, we conducted additional analyses to further deconstruct the relationship between psychiatric symptomatology and the brain. This 888 procedure is similar to a redundancy analysis^{68,69}. In particular, we were interested in localising which brain regions exhibited an individually significant association with psychiatric symptomatology. spurious relations between the different data views⁶⁴. Here, we regressed age,
species, site and intercreative from all data views prior to the SCCA analysis²⁵
sr. ^{er}. For the connectivity measures derived from rsfMR

Conducting further tests on the whole dataset would introduce circularity into the analysis. Therefore, additional inference must be carried out on the testing dataset alone. Nevertheless, the training dataset is still likely to contain useful information, which can be used to guide analyses carried out on the testing dataset, thus decreasing the multiple comparison problem, and increasing the likelihood of finding significant effects in the testing dataset. In the present investigation, we looked for

significant localizable effects in the training dataset, we then used these results to inform analyses carried out on the testing dataset. In this sense, the training dataset was used as a 'discovery dataset'.

In the case of the TBSS and VBM data, we sought to localize associations between

symptoms and the brain to the cluster-wise level. In the case of the rs-fcMRI data,

we sought to localize changes to individual inter-regional connections. VBM and

TBSS clusters were defined using an 18-connectivity scheme. This means that

voxels must be connected by a face or an edge to be considered a part of the same cluster.

This analysis was carried out in the manner described below:

1) We calculated the grey matter volume and FA in spatially distinct clusters identified in the sCCA analysis applied to VBM and TBSS respectively. We extracted connectivity values with non-zero canonical weights. This was done in both the training and testing datasets.

2) We calculated Pearson's correlation coefficient between the mean of each spatially distinct cluster/connection, and the sum of symptom score values. This was done separately in the training and testing datasets.

3) Rows associated with neuroimaging data in the training set were permuted and correlations between clusters/connections, and symptom clusters were recalculated. The maximal value was recorded. Training data was permuted 10,000 times; the maximum correlation value across all clusters/connections was recorded for each permutation. Clusters/connections exhibiting a significant effect in the training dataset were then determined by comparing was used as a 'discovery dataset'.

900 In the case of the TBSS and VBM data, we sought to localize associations between

901 symptoms and the brain to the cluster-wise level. In the case of the rs-foMRI data,

902 we soug

Using canonical correlation analysis, it is possible to uncover multiple modes of variation between datasets. After determining the significance of the first canonical correlate, we remove the effect of the first set of canonical vectors, and repeat the analysis. Witten et al used Hoteling's deflation to remove the effect of the first vector; this approach has been criticized by Monteiro et al, who propose the projection

943 deflation procedure as an alternative^{11,70}; this is the procedure we use in the present investigation. Correlations between the different canonical relations are given in Supplementary Table 6.

It is possible to ascertain the significance of all canonical relations after the first by comparing the correlations of subsequent associations to the permutation distribution of the first relation: The first canonical relation between sets is by definition the strongest; any subsequent associations between sets will be weaker than the canonical relation that preceded it. A common means of correcting for multiple comparisons is to compare test statistics in the experimental labelling to the maximal statistic across all tests in the permutation distribution; this distribution is usually 953 termed the distribution of the maximal statistic^{71,72}. In the present investigation, we can find this distribution by recording the strength of the first canonical relation, for each permutation. Significance values that are corrected for multiple comparisons can then be found by comparing associations of subsequent modes of variation, with 957 this distribution⁷³. Supplementary Table 6.

It is possible to ascertain the significance of all canonical relations after the first by

omparing the correlations of subsequent associations to the permutation distribution

so of the first rel

Hypothesis Driven Analysis

A major advantage of the approach described here is that it allows the grouping of psychiatric illnesses to be driven by their biological underpinnings. Nevertheless, it is an open question whether the symptom groups discovered in the data driven analysis we ran here show a stronger relation to neuroimaging measures of brain structure and function than pre-defined symptom groups. For this reason, we tested whether the widely used internalising/externalising organisation of psychiatric illness is able to explain as much variance in psychiatric symptomatology as this purely data driven method. To do this, we used an approach that is as similar as possible to the

primary data analysis followed in the main part of the investigation, yet still makes use of the internalising/externalising illness structure: we replaced the symptom matrices used in the main part of the investigation with symptom vectors based on previously defined internalising and externalizing symptom sub-scales from the DAWBA; no sparsity was applied to psychiatric symptom sub-scales. Used in this manner, the msCCA-algorithm reduces to something like a sparse partial least squares regression⁷⁴, where the neuroimaging features are predictors and the pre-defined internalising/externalising vectors are the targets. This method was applied twice, once to predict the internalising symptom dimension, and once to predict the externalising. We term the internalizing and externalising symptom scales as DAWBA-internalising and DAWBA-externalising respectively. We defined symptoms as belonging to broad internalising or externalising categories in the same way as 979 Aebi et al⁷⁵: The DAWBA-internalising scale was created by summing: specific fears, social fears, panic attacks, stress after a frightening event, worrying and depression. The DAWBA-externalising scale was created by summing: Attention and activity, behaviours and attitudes that can get people into trouble, and Cigarettes, Alcohol and Drugs sections of the DAWBA. The SDQ is already split into broad 984 internalising and externalising domains⁴⁵. Therefore, internalising and externalising SDQ scores were simply added to these scores to create DAWBA-internalising and DAWBA-externalising scores respectively. The sections: rapidly changing mood, dieting and bingeing and strange experiences that are surprisingly common were not used to create scores as these symptoms do not fit neatly into an internalising/externalising dichotomy. All of these questions can be found in Supplementary Table 1. 963 matrices used in the main part of the investigation with symptom vectors based on
970 previously defined internalising and externalizing symptom sub-scales from the
971 DAWBA: no sparsity was applied to psychiatric sym

Longitudinal Analysis

The msCCA-regression analysis described above was used to find relations between psychiatric symptoms and neuroimaging measures of brain structure at age 19, when participants were young adults. However, the developmental time period immediately preceding this time point is also of potential interest, with the brain going through important maturational processes and participants being at increased risk for 998 the development of psychopathology⁷⁶. Thus, we applied the msCCA-regression algorithm between psychiatric symptoms and neuroimaging measures at age 14. The results of this analysis are show in Supplementary Figure 8. We did not find a significant relation between psychiatric symptoms and the brain at this age. As rs-fMRI data is only available for a small sub-sample of the full dataset at age 14, we only used VBM and TBSS data in this analysis. ging measures of brain structure at age 19,

Mowever, the developmental time period

tis also of potential interest, with the brain going

sses and participants being at increased risk for

⁷⁶. Thus, we applied the msCCA

It is possible that neuroimaging markers of psychiatric illness precede the development of full-blown psychiatric symptomatology. To determine whether this was the case in the present investigation, we took the TBSS and VBM regions identified as being associated with psychopathology at age 19, we then extracted the appropriate neuroimaging data from these brain regions at age 14, and correlated the output with symptoms at age 19. In this way, we showed that neuroimaging measures at age 14 have predictive value for psychopathology at age 19. doos development of full-blown psychiatric sympt
1006 was the case in the present investigation, w
1007 identified as being associated with psychopa
1008 appropriate neuroimaging data from these b
1009 the output with sym

For these analyses, we used the same subjects as were included in our analysis at age 19. We also used the same train-test split within this subject group. We subjected this age 14 data to the same QC procedures as the data taken at age 19. Of the n = 666 subjects used in the msCCA-regression analysis carried out at age 19, 72 subjects had data that did not pass QC at age 14. This left n = 594

1016 subjects for age 14 analyses, with $n = 412$ subjects in the training group and $n = 182$ in the testing/replication group.

Clinical Analyses

Using mSCCA-regression, we found a set of neuroimaging features that correlate with a set of questions assessing psychiatric health. At the group level, participants who score more highly on the vector derived from neuroimaging data will suffer a larger number of psychiatric symptoms (as measured by the DAWBA). It might therefore be expected that participants with a clinical diagnosis of a psychiatric disorder would score more highly on this neuroimaging vector than healthy controls. To discover whether this was the case, we subjected clinical data to exactly the same pre-processing as the IMAGEN data; we then looked for changes in grey matter volume in the regions identified in the initial analysis. A (one-sided) two-sample t-test was used to determine whether patients and controls differed significantly on this one-dimensional measure. We only used grey matter data here as this data-type showed the strongest relation to psychopathology in the IMAGEN sample. Furthermore, this data-type is widely available and the number of degrees of freedom in the MRI scan acquisition parameters is low. The case-control tests we used here make the assumption of data normality, although this was not formally tested here. sample t-test was used to determine whether
significantly on this one-dimensional measu
as this data-type showed the strongest relat
sample. Furthermore, this data-type is widel
freedom in the MRI scan acquisition parame
u a set of neuroimaging features that correlate
vchiatric health. At the group level, participants
derived from neuroimaging data will suffer a
ns (as measured by the DAWBA). It might
ts with a clinical diagnosis of a psychi

We used the same confounds in this analysis as we did on the IMAGEN data, this includes the use of total grey matter as a covariate of no interest. However, it could still be argued that regional changes are only acting as a proxy for total grey matter. In order to determine whether this is the case, we repeated all pertinent analyses,

using total grey matter as a regressor in addition to total intracranial volume. The results of these analyses are shown in Supplementary Figure 10.

Depression sample

The Munich sample consisted of patients with first episode and recurrent unipolar Depression treated as in-patients at the Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry, Munich, and healthy control participants. The data for 13 of the participants assessed was not used as it was deemed to be of insufficient quality, this left: N=614; 400 patients, age 48 [SD 13.8] years, 53% women; 214 control participants age 49 [SD 13.3] years, 58% women, for the most part overlapping with imaging genetic and MDD 1049 association studies reported in collaboration with the ENIGMA consortium^{22,77}. Other than in the two flagship studies, no bipolar patients were included for reasons of clinical homogeneity. MDD diagnoses were based on clinical consensus in addition to M-CIDI or SCAN interviews, depending on the original study protocols. The Munich sample comprised images acquired in subsamples of the Munich Antidepressant Response Signature Study and the Recurrent Unipolar Depression Case-Control study, both performed at the MPIP. We did not use any statistical analyses to decide on the sample size used here. However, the sample used was among the largest of any single study investigating alterations in brain structure in 1058 depressed participants. 1941

1942 Depression sample

1942 Depression sample

1943 The Munich sample consisted of patients with first episode and recurrent unipolar

1944 Depression treated as in-patients at the Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry

Schizophrenia/Bipolar sample

Participants with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder were recruited from the Thematically Organised Psychosis (TOP) study. This is a collaborative study based at the University of Oslo in Norway. The data for 2 participants was not used as it

was considered to be of insufficient quality, this left: 286 Controls (aged 34 [SD 9.5] years, 46% women), 161 Schizophrenics (aged 32 [SD 8.8] years, 35% women) and 189 participants with Bipolar Disorder (aged 34 [SD 11.5] years, 58% women). Patients were recruited from the psychiatric unit of Oslo University Hospital and were assessed for psychiatric illness with the Structural Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I disorders (SCID-I). This assessment was either administered by an MD, or a clinically trained psychologist, and was used to assess the presence of AXIS I disorders. Before participation, control participants were screened to exclude serious somatic and psychiatric illness, substance abuse, or MRI-incompatibility. All participants gave written informed consent before participation. Further information 1073 about this sample and the scan protocols used can be found in Rimol, L. M. et al⁷⁸. We did not use any statistical methods to pre-define the sample size used in this investigation. Nevertheless, the sample used is among the largest of any 1076 investigating structural brain alterations in Schizophrenia⁷⁹ and Bipolar disorder⁴¹ 1665 189 participants with Bipolar Disorder (aged 34 [SD 11.5] years, 58% women).
1666 Patients were recruited from the psychiatic unit of Oslo University Hospital and were
1666 Patients were recruited from the psychiatic

ADHD sample

Data for the ADHD sample was taken from the NeuroIMAGE project, a clinical cohort study. The study is made up of individuals tested at two different sites in the Netherlands, The Donders Centre for Cognitive Neuroimaging in Nijmegen, and the Vrije Universiteit in Amsterdam. The total sample consisted of 184 participants suffering from ADHD, 103 unaffected siblings, and 128 healthy controls. Further information on the participants and the protocols used can be found in von Rhein et al⁸⁰. This sample includes a number of very young participants, which is likely to introduce a large degree of heterogeneity into the analysis. For this reason, we did not analyse the data from participants under the age of fifteen. This age divide point

- 1131 4. Gogtay N, Giedd JN, Lusk L, et al. Dynamic mapping of human cortical development during
- 1132 childhood through early adulthood. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004;101(21):8174-8179.
- 1133 5. Drysdale AT, Grosenick L, Downar J, et al. Resting-state connectivity biomarkers define
- 1134 neurophysiological subtypes of depression. *Nat Med*. 2016.
- 1135 6. Insel T, Cuthbert B, Garvey M, et al. No title. *Research domain criteria (RDoC): toward a new*
- 1136 *classification framework for research on mental disorders*. 2010.
- 1137 7. Lahey BB, Applegate B, Hakes JK, Zald DH, Hariri AR, Rathouz PJ. Is there a general factor of
- 1138 prevalent psychopathology during adulthood? *J Abnorm Psychol*. 2012;121(4):971.
- 1139 8. Zhang X, Mormino EC, Sun N, et al. Bayesian model reveals latent atrophy factors with dissociable
- 1140 cognitive trajectories in alzheimer's disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2016;113(42):E6544.
- 1141 9. Rosenberg MD, Finn ES, Scheinost D, et al. A neuromarker of sustained attention from whole-
- 1142 brain functional connectivity. *Nat Neurosci*. 2016;19(1):165.
- 1143 10. Smith SM, Nichols TE, Vidaurre D, et al. A positive-negative mode of population covariation links
- 1144 brain connectivity, demographics and behavior. *Nat Neurosci*. 2015;18(11):1565-1567.
- 1145 11. Witten DM, Tibshirani R, Hastie T. A penalized matrix decomposition, with applications to sparse
- 1146 principal components and canonical correlation analysis. *Biostatistics*. 2009;10(3):515-534.
- 1147 12. Xia CH, Ma Z, Ciric R, et al. Linked dimensions of psychopathology and connectivity in functional
- 1148 brain networks. *Nature communications*. 2018;9(1):3003.
- 1149 14. Goodman R, Ford T, Richards H, Gatward R, Meltzer H. The development and well-being
- 1150 assessment: Description and initial validation of an integrated assessment of child and adolescent
- 1151 psychopathology. *Journal of child psychology and psychiatry*. 2000;41(05):645-655.
- 1152 15. Ashburner J. A fast diffeomorphic image registration algorithm. *Neuroimage*. 2007;38(1):95-113. 3.3. Drydale AT. Grosenick, Downar J., et al. Restring-state connectivity biomarkers define
1134 neurophysiological subtypes of depression. *Not* Meter, 2016.
1135 6. Insel T. Guithert R, Guiver M, et al. No title. Researc
	- 1153 16. Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Johansen-Berg H, et al. Tract-based spatial statistics: Voxelwise analysis
	- 1154 of multi-subject diffusion data. *Neuroimage*. 2006;31(4):1487-1505.
- 1155 17. Miller KL, Alfaro-Almagro F, Bangerter NK, et al. Multimodal population brain imaging in the UK
- 1156 biobank prospective epidemiological study. *Nat Neurosci*. 2016;19(11):1523.
- 1157 18. Ashburner J, Friston KJ. Voxel-based morphometry—the methods. *Neuroimage*. 2000;11(6):805-
- 1158 821.
- 1159 19. Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Johansen-Berg H, et al. Tract-based spatial statistics: Voxelwise analysis
- 1160 of multi-subject diffusion data. *Neuroimage*. 2006;31(4):1487-1505.
- 1161 20. Power JD, Fair DA, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE. The development of human functional brain
- 1162 networks. *Neuron*. 2010;67(5):735-748.
- 1163 21. Meinshausen N, Bühlmann P. Stability selection. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B*
- 1164 *(Statistical Methodology)*. 2010;72(4):417-473.
- 1165 22. Schmaal L, Hibar DP, Sämann PG, et al. Cortical abnormalities in adults and adolescents with
- 1166 major depression based on brain scans from 20 cohorts worldwide in the ENIGMA major depressive
- 1167 disorder working group. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2016.
- 1168 23. Chen G, Hu X, Li L, et al. Disorganization of white matter architecture in major depressive
- 1169 disorder: A meta-analysis of diffusion tensor imaging with tract-based spatial statistics. *Scientific*
- 1170 *reports*. 2016;6:21825.
- 1171 24. Guo W, Liu F, Liu J, et al. Increased cerebellar-default-mode-network connectivity in drug-naive
- 1172 major depressive disorder at rest. *Medicine*. 2015;94(9).
- 1173 25. Carmona S, Vilarroya O, Bielsa A, et al. Global and regional gray matter reductions in ADHD: A
- 1174 voxel-based morphometric study. *Neurosci Lett*. 2005;389(2):88-93.
- 1175 26. Krueger RF, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Silva PA. The structure and stability of common mental disorders 1157 - 18. Ashburner J. Friston KJ. Voxel-based morphometry—the methods. Neuroimope. 2000:11(6):805-
1158 - 87.
1158 - 19. Smith SM, Jonkinson M, Johanson Reng H, et al. Tract based spatial statistics: Voseboise analysis
1
	- 1176 (DSM-III-R): A longitudinal-epidemiological study. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 1998;107(2):216.
	- 1177 27. Diedenhofen B, Musch J. Cocor: A comprehensive solution for the statistical comparison of
	- 1178 correlations. *PloS one*. 2015;10(4):e0121945.
- 1179 28. Dunn OJ, Clark V. Correlation coefficients measured on the same individuals. *Journal of the*
- 1180 *American Statistical Association*. 1969;64(325):366-377.
- 1181 29. Whelan R, Watts R, Orr CA, et al. Neuropsychosocial profiles of current and future adolescent
- 1182 alcohol misusers. *Nature*. 2014;512(7513):185-189.
- 1183 30. Lahey BB, Van Hulle CA, Singh AL, Waldman ID, Rathouz PJ. Higher-order genetic and
- 1184 environmental structure of prevalent forms of child and adolescent psychopathology. *Arch Gen*
- 1185 *Psychiatry*. 2011;68(2):181-189.
- 1186 31. Kessler RC, Angermeyer M, Anthony JC, et al. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions
- 1187 of mental disorders in the world health organization's world mental health survey initiative. *World*
- 1188 *Psychiatry*. 2007;6(3):168-176.
- 1189 32. Mayberg HS. Modulating dysfunctional limbic-cortical circuits in depression: Towards
- 1190 development of brain-based algorithms for diagnosis and optimised treatment. *Br Med Bull*.
- 1191 2003;65(1):193-207.
- 1192 33. Witelson SF. Hand and sex differences in the isthmus and genu of the human corpus callosum: A
- 1193 postmortem morphological study. *Brain*. 1989;112(3):799-835.
- 1194 34. Tham MW, San Woon P, Sum MY, Lee T, Sim K. White matter abnormalities in major depression:
- 1195 Evidence from post-mortem, neuroimaging and genetic studies. *J Affect Disord*. 2011;132(1-2):26-36.
- 1196 35. Chen G, Hu X, Li L, et al. Disorganization of white matter architecture in major depressive
- 1197 disorder: A meta-analysis of diffusion tensor imaging with tract-based spatial statistics. *Scientific*
- 1198 *reports*. 2016;6:21825.
- 1199 36. Raichle ME, MacLeod AM, Snyder AZ, Powers WJ, Gusnard DA, Shulman GL. A default mode of 1181 – 29. Whelan It. Wirts R, Onr CA, et al. Neuropsychosocial profiles of current and future adolescent

1182 – alcohol missuers. Mature: 2014;512(7513):185.185.

1183 – 30. Luher RR, Van Hulle CA, Singh AL, Waldman ID,
	- 1200 brain function. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2001;98(2):676-682.
	- 1201 37. Buckner RL, Andrews-Hanna JR, Schacter DL. The brain's default network. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*.

1202 2008;1124(1):1-38.

- 1203 38. Ray RD, Ochsner KN, Cooper JC, Robertson ER, Gabrieli JD, Gross JJ. Individual differences in trait
- 1204 rumination and the neural systems supporting cognitive reappraisal. *Cognitive, Affective, &*
- 1205 *Behavioral Neuroscience*. 2005;5(2):156-168.
- 1206 39. Stoodley CJ. The cerebellum and cognition: Evidence from functional imaging studies. *The*
- 1207 *Cerebellum*. 2012;11(2):352-365.
- 1208 40. Guggenmos M, Schmack K, Sekutowicz M, et al. Quantitative neurobiological evidence for
- 1209 accelerated brain aging in alcohol dependence. *Translational psychiatry*. 2017;7(12):1279.
- 1210 41. Hibar DP, Westlye LT, Doan NT, et al. Cortical abnormalities in bipolar disorder: An MRI analysis
- 1211 of 6503 individuals from the ENIGMA bipolar disorder working group. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2017.
- 1212 42. McGorry PD, Hickie IB, Yung AR, Pantelis C, Jackson HJ. Clinical staging of psychiatric disorders: A 3.

S.

S.

S.

S. Evidence from functional imaging studies. The

M, et al. Quantitative neurobiological evidence for

nce. Translational psychiatry. 2017;7(12):1279.

Itical abnormalities in bipolar disorder: An MRI analy
- 1213 heuristic framework for choosing earlier, safer and more effective interventions. *Aust N Z J*
- 1214 *Psychiatry*. 2006;40(8):616-622.
- 1215 43. Biswal B, Zerrin Yetkin F, Haughton VM, Hyde JS. Functional connectivity in the motor cortex of
- 1216 resting human brain using echo-planar MRI. *Magnetic resonance in medicine*. 1995;34(4):537-541.
- 1217 44. Schumann G, Loth E, Banaschewski T, et al. The IMAGEN study: Reinforcement-related behaviour
- 1218 in normal brain function and psychopathology. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2010;15(12):1128-1139. resting human brain using echo-planar MRI. Magnet

1217 44. Schumann G, Loth E, Banaschewski T, et al. The l

1218 in normal brain function and psychopathology. Mol.

1219 45. Goodman R. The strengths and difficulties ques
	- 1219 45. Goodman R. The strengths and difficulties questionnaire: A research note. *Journal of child*
	- 1220 *psychology and psychiatry*. 1997;38(5):581-586.
	- 1221 46. Vulser H, Lemaitre H, Artiges E, et al. Subthreshold depression and regional brain volumes in
	- 1222 young community adolescents. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*.
	- 1223 2015;54(10):832-840.
	- 1224 47. Ashburner J, Friston KJ. Unified segmentation. *Neuroimage*. 2005;26(3):839-851.
- 1225 48. Grellmann C, Bitzer S, Neumann J, et al. Comparison of variants of canonical correlation analysis
- 1226 and partial least squares for combined analysis of MRI and genetic data. *Neuroimage*. 2015;107:289-

1227 310.

- 1228 49. Jones DK, Williams SCR, Gasston D, Horsfield MA, Simmons A, Howard R. Isotropic resolution
- 1229 diffusion tensor imaging with whole brain acquisition in a clinically acceptable time. *Hum Brain*
- 1230 *Mapp*. 2002;15(4):216-230.
- 1231 50. Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Woolrich MW, et al. Advances in functional and structural MR image
- 1232 analysis and implementation as FSL. *Neuroimage*. 2004;23:S219.
- 1233 51. Avants BB, Tustison NJ, Song G, Cook PA, Klein A, Gee JC. A reproducible evaluation of ANTs
- 1234 similarity metric performance in brain image registration. *Neuroimage*. 2011;54(3):2033-2044.
- 1235 52. Pruim RH, Mennes M, van Rooij D, Llera A, Buitelaar JK, Beckmann CF. ICA-AROMA: A robust ICA-3227 - 3310.

3226 - 43. Jones DK, Williams SCR, Gasston D, Honslield MA, Simmons A, Howard R. bottopic resolution

3236 - 441. London Lensor imaging with whole brain acquisition in a clinically acceptable Line. Hom Brokin
	- 1236 based strategy for removing motion artifacts from fMRI data. *Neuroimage*. 2015;112:267-277.
	- 1237 53. Pruim RH, Mennes M, Buitelaar JK, Beckmann CF. Evaluation of ICA-AROMA and alternative
	- 1238 strategies for motion artifact removal in resting state fMRI. *Neuroimage*. 2015;112:278-287.
	- 1239 54. Behzadi Y, Restom K, Liau J, Liu TT. A component based noise correction method (CompCor) for
	- 1240 BOLD and perfusion based fMRI. *Neuroimage*. 2007;37(1):90-101.
	- 1241 55. Fischl B. FreeSurfer. *Neuroimage*. 2012;62(2):774-781.
	- 1242 56. Power JD, Cohen AL, Nelson SM, et al. Functional network organization of the human brain.
	- 1243 *Neuron*. 2011;72(4):665-678.
	- 1244 57. Hotelling H. Relations between two sets of variates. *Biometrika*. 1936:321-377.
	- 1245 58. Witten DM, Tibshirani RJ. Extensions of sparse canonical correlation analysis with applications to
	- 1246 genomic data. *Statistical applications in genetics and molecular biology*. 2009;8(1):1-27.
	- 1247 59. Parkhomenko E, Tritchler D, Beyene J. Sparse canonical correlation analysis with application to
	- 1248 genomic data integration. *Statistical Applications in Genetics and Molecular Biology*. 2009;8(1):1-34.
- 1249 60. Gifi A. *Nonlinear multivariate analysis.* John Wiley & Sons Incorporated; 1990.
- 1250 61. Jenkins LM, Barba A, Campbell M, et al. Shared white matter alterations across emotional
- 1251 disorders: A voxel-based meta-analysis of fractional anisotropy. *NeuroImage: Clinical*. 2016;12:1022 actional anisotropy. Neurolmage: Clinical. 2016;12:1022-

1. Identification of a common neurobiological substrate

2(4):305-315.
 data analysis. Vol 2. Arnold London; 2001.

canonical correlation analysis. Psychometrik
- 1252 1034.
- 1253 62. Goodkind M, Eickhoff SB, Oathes DJ, et al. Identification of a common neurobiological substrate
- 1254 for mental illness. *JAMA psychiatry*. 2015;72(4):305-315.
- 1255 63. Everitt BS, Dunn G. *Applied multivariate data analysis.* Vol 2. Arnold London; 2001.
- 1256 64. Timm NH, Carlson JE. Part and bipartial canonical correlation analysis. *Psychometrika*.
- 1257 1976;41(2):159-176.
- 1258 65. O'Brien LM, Ziegler DA, Deutsch CK, Frazier JA, Herbert MR, Locascio JJ. Statistical adjustments
- 1259 for brain size in volumetric neuroimaging studies: Some practical implications in methods. *Psychiatry*
- 1260 *Research: Neuroimaging*. 2011;193(2):113-122.
- 1261 66. Pell GS, Briellmann RS, Chan CHP, Pardoe H, Abbott DF, Jackson GD. Selection of the control
- 1262 group for VBM analysis: Influence of covariates, matching and sample size. *Neuroimage*.
- 1263 2008;41(4):1324-1335.
- 1264 67. Voevodskaya O, Simmons A, Nordenskjöld R, et al. The effects of intracranial volume adjustment
- 1265 approaches on multiple regional MRI volumes in healthy aging and alzheimer's disease. *Frontiers in*
- 1266 *aging neuroscience*. 2014;6.
- 1267 68. Van Den Wollenberg, Arnold L. Redundancy analysis an alternative for canonical correlation
- 1268 analysis. *Psychometrika*. 1977;42(2):207-219.
- 1269 69. Stewart D, Love W. A general canonical correlation index. *Psychol Bull*. 1968;70(3):160-163. 1261 co. Fen 83, Briefiniann Ray, Charl Crit, 7, alobe 11, Absorption.
1263 2008;41(4):1324-1335.
1264 67. Voevodskaya O, Simmons A, Nordenskjöld R, et a
1265 approaches on multiple regional MRI volumes in hea
1266 *aging*
	- 1270 70. Monteiro JM, Rao A, Shawe-Taylor J, Mourão-Miranda J, Alzheimer's Disease Initiative. A
	- 1271 multiple hold-out framework for sparse partial least squares. *J Neurosci Methods*. 2016;271:182-194.
- 1272 71. Holmes AP, Blair RC, Watson G, Ford I. Nonparametric analysis of statistic images from functional
- 1273 mapping experiments. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism*. 1996;16(1):7-22.
- 1274 72. Westfall PH, Troendle JF. Multiple testing with minimal assumptions. *Biometrical Journal*.
- 1275 2008;50(5):745-755.
- 1276 73. Westfall PH, Young SS. *Resampling-based multiple testing: Examples and methods for p-value*
- 1277 *adjustment.* Vol 279. John Wiley & Sons; 1993.
- 1278 74. Friedman J, Hastie T, Tibshirani R. *The elements of statistical learning.* Vol 1. Springer series in
- 1279 statistics New York; 2001.
- 1280 75. Aebi M, Kuhn C, Metzke CW, Stringaris A, Goodman R, Steinhausen H. The use of the
- 1281 development and well-being assessment (DAWBA) in clinical practice: A randomized trial. *Eur Child*
- 1282 *Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2012;21(10):559-567.
- 1283 76. Steinberg L. Cognitive and affective development in adolescence. *Trends Cogn Sci (Regul Ed)*.
- 1284 2005;9(2):69-74.
- 1285 77. Schmaal L, Veltman DJ, van Erp TG, et al. Subcortical brain alterations in major depressive
- 1286 disorder: Findings from the ENIGMA major depressive disorder working group. *Mol Psychiatry*.
- 1287 2016;21(6):806.
- 1288 78. Rimol LM, Nesvåg R, Hagler DJ, et al. Cortical volume, surface area, and thickness in
- 1289 schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2012;71(6):552-560.
- 1290 79. van Erp TG, Hibar DP, Rasmussen JM, et al. Subcortical brain volume abnormalities in 2028
- 1291 individuals with schizophrenia and 2540 healthy controls via the ENIGMA consortium. *Mol*
- 1292 *Psychiatry*. 2016;21(4):547.
- 1293 80. von Rhein D, Mennes M, van Ewijk H, et al. The NeuroIMAGE study: A prospective phenotypic, 1274 - 72. Westfall PH, Troendle JF. Kulltiple testing with minimal assumptions. Biometrical Jeannel.

1275 - 2008;50(5):745-755.

1276 - 73. Westfall PH, Young SS. Resumpling tensed multiple testing: Framples and methods
	- 1294 cognitive, genetic and MRI study in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. design and
	- 1295 descriptives. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2015;24(3):265-281.

1296 81. Hoogman M, Bralten J, Hibar DP, et al. Subcortical brain volume differences in participants with

1297 attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children and adults: A cross-sectional mega-analysis. *The*

1298 *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2017;4(4):310-319.

1299

1300

1301

1302

1303 1304

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312 **Acknowledgments**

1313 This work received support from the following sources: the European Union-funded FP6 Integrated 1314 Project IMAGEN (Reinforcement-related behaviour in normal brain function and psychopathology) 1315 (LSHM-CT- 2007-037286), the Horizon 2020 funded ERC Advanced Grant 'STRATIFY' (Brain network 1316 based stratification of reinforcement-related disorders) (695313), ERANID (Understanding the 1317 Interplay between Cultural, Biological and Subjective Factors in Drug Use Pathways) (PR-ST-0416- 1318 10004), BRIDGET (JPND: BRain Imaging, cognition Dementia and next generation GEnomics) 1319 (MR/N027558/1), Human Brain Project (HBP SGA 2, 785907), the FP7 project MATRICS (603016), the 1320 Medical Research Council Grant 'c-VEDA' (Consortium on Vulnerability to Externalizing Disorders and 1321 Addictions) (MR/N000390/1), the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research 1322 Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London, the 1323 Bundesministeriumfür Bildung und Forschung (BMBF grants 01GS08152; 01EV0711; Forschungsnetz 1324 AERIAL 01EE1406A, 01EE1406B , 01ZX1314G, 01GS08147), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft 1325 (DFG grants SM 80/7-2, SFB 940/2), the Medical Research Foundation and Medical Research Council 1326 (grants MR/R00465X/1 and MR/S020306/1), the National Institutes of Health (NIH) funded ENIGMA 1327 (grants 5U54EB020403-05 and 1R56AG058854-01). Further support was provided by grants from: 1328 ANR (project AF12-NEUR0008-01 - WM2NA, and ANR-12-SAMA-0004), the Fondation de France, the 1329 Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale, the Mission Interministérielle de Lutte-contre-les-Drogues-1330 et-les-Conduites-Addictives (MILDECA), the Assistance-Publique-Hôpitaux-de-Paris and INSERM 1331 (interface grant), Paris Sud University IDEX 2012; the National Institutes of Health, Science 1332 Foundation Ireland (16/ERCD/3797), U.S.A. (Axon, Testosterone and Mental Health during 1333 Adolescence; RO1 MH085772-01A1), and by NIH Consortium grant U54 EB020403, supported by a 1229

1229 Lancer Psychotry, 2017/4/4/1510-319.

1350

1360

1460

1460

1460

1460

1460

1460

1460
 1334 cross-NIH alliance that funds Big Data to Knowledge Centres of Excellence. AFM gratefully

1335 acknowledges funding from the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research via the

1336 Vernieuwingsimpuls VIDI programme (grant number 016.156.415). The funders had no role in study

1337 design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript

1338

1339

1340 **Author Contributions**

1341 **Author Contributions**

1342 **Pre-processed data:** AI, CC, IMV, PGS, HL, TJ, GR; **Analysed the data:** AI, PGS; **Wrote the manuscript:** 1343 AI, GS, FB, PGS**; Conceptualised the study:** AI, GS, TWR, AM, JA, EB**; Collected Data:** NT, EBQ, TW, 1344 SD, TB, ALWB, UB, CB, PC, TF, HF, VF, HG, PS, PG, YG, AH, BI, VK, JLM, AML, SB, FN, BVN, DPO, MLPM, 1345 SM, JP, LP, MS, AS, MNS, HW, RW, OAA, IA, EDB, JB; **Prepared Figures:** AI, NT **Revised Manuscript:** 1346 All Authors

1347

1348 **Competing Interests**

1349 Dr. Banaschewski served in an advisory or consultancy role for Lundbeck, Medice, Neurim 1350 Pharmaceuticals, Oberberg GmbH, Shire. He received conference support or speaker's fee 1351 by Lilly, Medice, Novartis and Shire. He has been involved in clinical trials conducted by Shire 1352 & Viforpharma. He received royalities from Hogrefe, Kohlhammer, CIP Medien, Oxford 1353 University Press. The present work is unrelated to the above grants and relationships. Dr. 1354 Barker has received honoraria from General Electric Healthcare for teaching on scanner 1355 programming courses and acts as a consultant for IXICO. Dr. Andreassen received speaker's 1356 honorarium from Lundbeck. Gabriel Robert received financial support from scientific 1357 meetings (Janssen & Janssen, Otsuka-Lundbeck). Dr. Meyer-Lindenberg has received 1358 consultant fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Brainsway, Elsevier, Lundbeck Int. Neuroscience 1359 Foundation and Science Advances. The other authors report no biomedical financial 1360 interests or potential conflicts of interest. 1333

1339

1339

1349 Author Contributions

1349 Author Contributions

1349 Author Contributions

1349 Pre-processed data: Al, CC, IAV, PSS, HL, TJ, GR, Analysed the data: Al, PGS; Wrote the manuscript:

1349 Author Contr

Figure 1: Results of the first msCCA-regression analysis showing relations between anxiety/depression psychiatric symptoms and neuroimaging measures in the IMAGEN sample. (a): The full msCCA-regression model linking psychiatric symptoms to VBM, TBSS and rs-fcMRI neuroimaging measures at age 19. We found associations between psychiatric symptoms and neuroimaging measures of r = 0.59(465) (p = < 0.001) in the training set, and associations between symptoms and the brain of r=0.23(197), p<0.001, 95% CIs=0.13, ∞ *in the test set; (b): Shows the msCCA-regression model linking psychiatric symptoms with the different neuroimaging measures (c): Psychiatric symptoms contributing to this relation are shown on the left, their canonical weights are shown in red. (d): rs-fcMRI measures of functional connectivity. (e): VBM measures of grey matter* volume associated with symptoms. (f): TBSS measures of fractional anisotropy (FA).

1371

Figure 2: Results of the second msCCA-regression analyses showing relations between executive dysfunction symptoms and neuroimaging measures in the IMAGEN sample, following the removal of the first canonical relation. (a): The full msCCA-regression model linking psychiatric symptoms to VBM, TBSS and rs-fcMRI neuroimaging measures at age 19. We found associations between executive dysfunction symptoms and neuroimaging measures of r = 0.46 (p = 0.004) in the training set, and associations between symptoms and the brain of $r = 0.19(197)$, $p = 0.002$, 95% CIs = 0.087, ∞ *in the test set; (b) msCCA-regression model linking psychiatric symptoms with the different neuroimaging measures (c) Symptoms contributing to this relation are shown on the left their canonical weights are shown in red. (d) rs-fcMRI measures of functional connectivity. (e) VBM*

measures of grey matter volume associated with symptoms. (f): TBSS measures of fractional anisotropy (FA).

Figure 3: Longitudinal analysis of canonical correlates. (a) anxiety/depression symptom correlates: VBM and TBSS brain correlates established at age 19 are associated with anxiety/depression behavioural symptoms at age 19 (r =0.19(180), p = 0.003, 95% CIs=0.069,∞*), but not at age 14 (r=0.020(180), p=0.40, 95% CIs=-0.10,*∞*). Brain correlates at 14 years predict the manifestation of behavioral symptoms at 19 years (r=0.14(180), p=0.023, 95% CIs=0.022,*∞*). (b) Executive dysfunction symptom correlates: VBM and TBSS correlates established at age 19 are associated with behavioral symptoms at age 19 (r =0.15(180), p = 0.024, 95% CIs=0.028,*∞*), but not at age 14 (r=0.030(180), p=0.41, 95% CIs=-0.093,*∞*). Brain correlates at 14 years do not predict the manifestation of behavioral symptoms at 19 years (r=0.11(180), p=0.065, 95% CIs =-0.010,*∞*).*

1394
1395 *Figure 4: Differences in the grey matter correlates of anxiety/depression and executive dysfunction psychiatric symptoms, between cases and controls for a range of psychiatric illnesses. For the box and whisker plots, the central mark in each box represents the median, with the top and bottom edges of the box indicating the 25th and 75th percentiles of the sample respectively, whiskers represent 1.5x the interquartile range and the hollow circles represent sample outliers. For display purposes, total* grey matter in each case-control comparison is divided by the pooled standard deviation. The effect *sizes (calculated using Cohen's D) relating to these differences are shown in the right-hand panel. (a): Differences in grey matter volume between patients and controls in the anxiety/depression set of grey matter correlates are shown in the left-hand panel. Clinical psychiatric disorders exhibited the following case-control differences: Depression: t-statistic=4.61(612), p<0.001, Cohen's D = 0.39, 95% CIs=0.25, ∞; Schizophrenia: t-statistic=2.54(445), p=0.002, Cohen's D=0.25, 95% CIs = 0.087, ∞; ADHD (t-statistic=1.84(203), p=0.034, Cohen's D=0.26, 95% CIs=0.030, ∞; Bipolar: (t-statistic=- 0.23(473), p=0.59, Cohen's D=-0.02, 95% CIs=-0.17, ∞). (b): Differences in grey matter volume* between patients and controls in the executive dysfunction set of grey matter correlates. Clinical *psychiatric disorders exhibited the following case-control differences: Depression: t-statistic=1.65(612), p=0.050, Cohen's D=0.14, 95% CIs=0.001, ∞, Schizophrenia: t-statistic=2.81(445), p=0.0026, Cohen's D=0.28, 95% CIs=0.11, ∞; ADHD: t-statistic=2.19(203), p=0.014, Cohen's D=0.32, 95% CIs=0.070, ∞; Bipolar: t-statistic=-1.33(473), p=0.90, Cohen's D=- 0.12, 95% CIs=-0.27, ∞.* 1386 VMA and TBSS bona conveise at stabilited at any 19 on the specific with annexylates and α on α of α on α of α on α of α of

t-statistic=4.61(612), p <0.001, Cohen's D = 0.39 t-statistic=2.54(445), p=0.002, Cohen's D=0.25 t-statistic=1.84(203), p=0.034, Cohen's D=0.26 t-statistic=-0.23(473), p=0.59, Cohen's D=-0.02

t-statistic=1.65(612), p=0.050, Cohen's D=0.14 t-statistic=2.81(445), p=0.0026, Cohen's D=0.28 t-statistic=2.19(203), p=0.014, Cohen's D=0.32 t-statistic=-1.33(473), p=0.90, Cohen's D=-0.12