<u>Evaluating the effects of</u> Canagliflozin <u>Reduces on</u> Cardiovascular and Renal Events in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Chronic Kidney Disease <u>Regardless of according to</u> Baseline HbA1c, Including Those With HbA1c <7%: Results From the CREDENCE Trial

Christopher P. Cannon,¹ Vlado Perkovic,^{2,3} Rajiv Agarwal,⁴ James Baldassarre,⁵ George Bakris,⁶ David M. Charytan,⁷ Dick de Zeeuw,⁸ Robert Edwards,⁵ Tom Greene,⁹ Hiddo J.L. Heerspink,^{2,8} Meg J. Jardine,^{2,10} Adeera Levin,¹¹ Jing-Wei Li,² Bruce Neal,^{2,12,13} Carol Pollock,¹⁴ David C. Wheeler,¹⁵ Hong Zhang,¹⁶ Bernard Zinman,¹⁷ Kenneth W. Mahaffey¹⁸

¹Cardiovascular Division, Brigham & Women's Hospital and Baim Institute for Clinical Research, Boston, MA, USA; ²The George Institute for Global Health, UNSW Sydney, Sydney, Australia; ³The Royal North Shore Hospital, Sydney, Australia; ⁴Indiana University School of Medicine and VA Medical Center, Indianapolis, IN, USA; ⁵Janssen Research & Development, LLC, Raritan, NJ, USA; ⁶Department of Medicine, University of Chicago Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA; ⁷Nephrology Division, NYU School of Medicine and NYU Langone Medical Center, New York, NY, USA and Baim Institute for Clinical Research, Boston, MA, USA; ⁸Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmacology, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, the Netherlands; ⁹Division of Biostatistics, Department of Population Health Sciences, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA; ¹⁰Concord Repatriation General Hospital, Sydney, Australia; ¹¹Division of Nephrology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada; ¹²The Charles Perkins Centre, University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia; ¹³Imperial College London, London, UK; ¹⁴Kolling Institute of Medical Research, Sydney Medical School, University of Sydney, Royal North Shore Hospital, St Leonards, NSW, Australia; ¹⁵Department of Renal Medicine, UCL Medical School, London, UK; ¹⁶Renal Division of Peking University First Hospital, Beijing, China; ¹⁷Lunenfeld-Tanenbaum Research Institute, Mt Sinai Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada; ¹⁸Stanford Center for Clinical Research, Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA.

Address for correspondence

Christopher P. Cannon, MD

Brigham and Women's Hospital

360 Longwood Ave, 7th Floor

Boston, MA, 02115, USA

Email: cpcannon@bwh.harvard.edu

Tel: 617-525-3303, fax: 857-307-1153

Data from this study will be made available in the public domain via the Yale University Open Data Access Project (<u>http://yoda.yale.edu/</u>) once the product and relevant indication studied have been approved by regulators in the United States and European Union and the study has been completed for 18 months.

Word count: 575 words

Clinical Trial Registration URL: https://clinicaltrials.gov/. Unique identifier: NCT02065791

Keywords

canagliflozin, SGLT2 inhibitor, chronic kidney disease, diabetes

Traditional management of diabetes mellitus has focused on glycemic control, beginning with lifestyle changes, followed by metformin, and then other classes of antiglycemic agents.¹ Sodium glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors reduce cardiovascular (CV) events, including CV death, myocardial infarction (MI) and heart failure, and slow progression of renal dysfunction, including prevention of end-stage kidney disease (ESKD).²⁻³ Because initial clinical trials included mostly patients with baseline HbA1c >7%, current guidelines have recommended this class as add-on therapy for patients whose HbA1c is not at goal, typically \geq 7%.¹ We hypothesized that there would be similar benefits on CV and renal endpoints regardless of baseline HbA1c, including those with HbA1c <7%.

The Canagliflozin and Renal Events in Diabetes with Established Nephropathy Clinical Evaluation (CREDENCE) trial was a double-blind, randomized trial of canagliflozin 100 mg versus placebo in 4401 patients with type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease (CKD).³ As previously detailed, patients were eligible if they had a hemoglobin A1c level of 6.5 to 12.0%, an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of 30 to <90 mL per minute per 1.73 m² of bodysurface area and albuminuria (urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio, >300 to 5000 mg/g).³ Overall, canagliflozin significantly reduced the risk of the primary composite outcome (ESKD, doubling of serum creatinine, or renal or CV death), as well as a renal composite oucome excluding CV death, MACE (major adverse CV events: CV death, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke), a composite of CV death and hospitalization for heart failure (HHF), and the standalone endpoint of HHF. We analyzed CV, renal, and safety outcomes by baseline HbA1c and results are reported without adjustment for multiplicity.

3

The distribution of patients by baseline HbA1c was <7% (N=650), 7 to <8% (N=1406) and >8% (N=2343) with mean values of —6.6%, —7.4%, and —9.2%, respectively. Baseline characteristics across the groups differed only modestlyshowed, with a mean age 64.1, 64.0, and 62.1 years; 26.9%, 31.3%, and 37.5% female; 49.5%, 49.3%, and 51.4% with history of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD); mean duration of diabetes 14.7, 15.8, and 16.1 years; and mean eGFR 53.5, 54.8, and 57.8 mL/min/1.73 m², in the HbA1c <7%, 7 to <8%, and >8% groups, respectively. The difference in HbA1c at 13 weeks between canagliflozin and placebo was -0.18%, -0.23%, and -0.40% across the 3 groups, respectively.

Across categories of baseline HbA1c, treatment with canagliflozin resulted in similar risk reductions of the primary composite outcome, CV death, HHF, and MACE (all *P* interaction nonsignificant, Figure). Similarly, across baseline HbA1c categories, including in participants with HbA1c <7%, there were no differences in the risk of serious adverse events or other safety events.

We found that canagliflozin reduced the risk of both CV and renal events in patients with type 2 diabetes and CKD irrespective without a significant interaction across the spectrum of of baseline HbA1c values, which includeding in patients with baseline HbA1c between 6.5% and <7%, suggesting that treatment of patients with CKD and/or ASCVD is warranted, even if their diabetes is "well controlled." These data support the concept that this class of drugs has clinical benefits regardless of HbA1c. Thus, as recently recommended in the 2019 ESC guidelines,⁴ they should be considered for incorporation into regimens for patients based on CV or renal risk rather than HbA1c considerations, and can. They also note that this incorporation for patients with CV and renal risk could be add-on therapy or used as first line treatment.⁴ Further support for this approach comes from a recent trial that found benefit of an SGLT2 inhibitor in patients with heart failure *without* diabetes.⁵ The practical implication is that clinicians need to evaluate patients' CV and renal risk and consider using SGLT2 inhibitors for their clinical benefit, and not specifically for glycemic or risk factor control, as we currently also do for ACE inhibitors, statins, and antithrombotic therapy in patients with diabetes and/or CV disease.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all participants, investigators, and trial teams for their participation in the trial. The CREDENCE study was sponsored by Janssen Research & Development, LLC, and was conducted collaboratively by the sponsor, an academic-led Steering Committee, and an Academic Research Organization, George Clinical. Analyses were performed by George Clinical and independently confirmed by the sponsor. Technical editorial assistance was provided by Kimberly Dittmar, PhD, of MedErgy, and was funded by Janssen Global Services, LLC.

FUNDING AND REGISTRATION

Supported by Janssen Research & Development, LLC; ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier, NCT01032629, NCT01989754.

DISCLOSURES

All of the authors received research support and consulting fees from Janssen in relation to their roles on the Steering Committee of the CREDENCE trial.

C.P. Cannon has received research grants from Amgen, Boehringer-Ingelheim (BI), Bristol-Myers Squibb (BMS), Daiichi Sankyo, Janssen, Merck, Pfizer and consulting fees from Aegerion, Alnylam, Amarin, Amgen, Applied Therapeutics, Ascendia, BI, BMS, Corvidia, HLS Therapeutics, Innovent, Janssen, Kowa, Merck, Pfizer, Sanofi.

V. Perkovic has received fees for Advisory Boards, Steering Committee roles, or Scientific Presentations from Abbvie, Astellas, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Baxter, BMS, Boehringer Ingelheim, Dimerix, Durect, Eli Lilly, Gilead, GSK, Janssen, Merck, Mitsubishi Tanabe, Mundipharma, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Pharmalink, Relypsa, Retrophin, Sanofi, Servier, Vifor, and Tricida.

R. Agarwal has received research funding from GlaxoSmithKline; has received personal fees from Akebia, Bayer, Johnson & Johnson, Boehringer Ingelheim, Takeda, Daiichi Sankyo, Amgen, AstraZeneca, Sanofi, Celgene, Reata, Relypsa, GlaxoSmithKline, Gilead, ER Squibb and Sons, Fresenius, Ironwood Pharmaceuticals, Otsuka, Opko, and Eli Lilly; and has served as Associate Editor of the American Journal of Nephrology and of Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation and as an author on UpToDate.

J. Baldassare and R. Edwards are full-time employees of Janssen Research & Development, LLC. G. Bakris has received research funding paid to the University of Chicago for serving as principal investigator on national clinical trials for Bayer, Janssen, AbbVie, Novo Nordisk, Takeda, and CVRX; has served as a consultant for Merck, Relypsa, Boehringer Ingelheim, Takeda, Nxstage Medical, Sanofi, Daiichi Sankyo, AbbVie, Pfizer, Eli Lilly, Novo Nordisk, and AstraZeneca; has served on a steering committee for Vascular Dynamics; has served as Editor of the American Journal of Nephrology, Nephrology, Editor-in-Chief of UpToDate, and Nephrology and Hypertension Section Editor of UpToDate; and has served as Associate Editor of Diabetes Care, Hypertension Research, and Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation.

D.M. Charytan has received fees paid by Janssen Pharmaceuticals to the Baim Institute for DMCS work on CREDENCE trial Steering Committee and as Scientific Lead; he received salary

support from the Baim institute for this work through October 2018. After that time, he received consulting fees from Baim. He has consulted for Amgen, Medtronic/Covidien, Zoll, Fresenius, Daiichi Sankyo, Douglas & London, Eli Lilly, Merck, Gilead, and Novo Nordisk; has served on Data Safety and Monitoring boards for AstraZeneca and Allena Pharmaceuticals; and has served on a CEC for Merck and PLC Medical.

D. de Zeeuw has served on advisory boards and/or as speaker for Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Fresenius, Mundipharma, and Mitsubishi-Tanabe; has served on steering committees and/or as a speaker for AbbVie and Janssen; and has served on Data Safety and Monitoring Committees for Bayer.

T. Greene has received consulting fees from Janssen, Durect, and Pfizer.

H.J.L. Heerspink has served as a consultant for Abbvie, Astellas, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Fresenius, Gilead, Janssen, Merck, and Mitsubishi-Tanabe; and has received grant support from Abbvie, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, and Janssen.

M.J. Jardine is supported by a Medical Research Future Fund Next Generation Clinical Researchers Program Career Development Fellowship; is responsible for research projects that have received unrestricted funding from Baxter, Amgen, Eli Lilly, and Merck Sharpe Dohme; serves on a Steering Committee sponsored by CSL; has served on advisory boards sponsored by Akebia, Baxter, Boehringer Ingelheim, and Vifor; and has spoken at scientific meetings sponsored by Janssen, with any consultancy, honoraria, or travel support paid to her institution. A. Levin serves as a scientific advisor to Boehringer Ingelheim, AstraZeneca, and NIDDK, and is on the DSMB for NIDDK, Kidney Precision Medicine, University of Washington Kidney Research Institute Scientific Advisory Committee, as well as being funded by Canadian Institute of Health Research (CIHR) and Kidney Foundation of Canada. She has received fees for time as CREDENCE National Coordinator from Janssen, directed to her academic team.

J. Li is a full-time employee of the George Institute.

B. Neal is supported by an Australian National Health and Medical Research Council Principal Research Fellowship; holds a research grant for this study from Janssen; and has held research grants for other large-scale cardiovascular outcome trials from Roche, Servier, and Merck Schering Plough. His institution has received consultancy, honoraria, or travel support for contributions he has made to advisory boards and/or the continuing medical education programs of Abbott, Janssen, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, and Servier.

C. Pollock has received honoraria for serving on advisory boards and as a speaker for Merck Sharpe and Dohme, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, and Eli Lilly.

D.C. Wheeler has received consultancy fees from Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen, Mitsubishi, Mundipharma, Napp, Ono Pharma, Tricidia, and Vifor Fresenius.

H. Zhang has received consulting fees from Janssen.

B. Zinman has served as a consultant and received honoraria from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, MSD, Novo Nordisk, and Sanofi and has received grant support from Boehringer Ingelheim, Novo Nordisk, and AstraZeneca.

K.W. Mahaffey has received research support from Afferent, Amgen, Apple, Inc., AstraZeneca, Cardiva Medical, Inc., Daiichi, Ferring, Google (Verily), Johnson & Johnson, Luitpold, Medtronic, Merck, NIH, Novartis, Sanofi, St. Jude, and Tenax; and has served as a consultant (speaker fees for CME events only) for Abbott, Ablynx, AstraZeneca, Baim Institute, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Elsevier, GlaxoSmithKline, Johnson & Johnson, MedErgy, Medscape, Mitsubishi, Myokardia, NIH, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Portola, Radiometer, Regeneron, Springer Publishing, and UCSF.

References

American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2019. *Diabetes Care*. 2019;42(Suppl. 1):S1-S153.

2. Wanner C, Inzucchi SE, Zinman B. Empagliflozin and progression of kidney disease in Type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375:1801-2.

3. Perkovic V, Jardine MJ, Neal B, Bompoint S, Heerspink HJL, Charytan DM, Edwards R, Agarwal R, Bakris G, Bull S, et al. Canagliflozin and renal outcomes in Type 2 diabetes and nephropathy. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;380:2295-2306.

4. Cosentino F, Grant PJ, Aboyans V, Bailey CJ, Ceriello A, Delgado V, Federici M, Filippatos G, Grobbee DE, Hansen TB, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases developed in collaboration with the EASD. *Eur Heart J*. 2019. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz486.

5. McMurray JJV, Solomon SD, Inzucchi SE, Kober L, Kosiborod MN, Martinez FA, Ponikowski P, Sabatine MS, Anand IS, Belohlavek J, et al . Dapagliflozin in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction. *N Engl J Med*. 2019.

doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1911303.

Efficacy Primary composite outcome HbA1c <7% HbA1c >8% ESKD HbA1c >7% HbA1c >7% HbA1c >7% HbA1c >7% HbA1c >8% Dialysis initiated or kidney transplantation HbA1c >8% Dialysis initiated or kidney transplantation HbA1c >8% CV death HbA1c >7% HbA1c >8% CV death or hospitalization for HF HbA1c >8% CV death or hospitalization for HF HbA1c >7% HbA1c >8% CV death, myocardial infarction, or stroke HbA1c >7% HbA1c >8% Death from any cause HbA1c >7% HbA1c >8% Safety Any adverse event HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1	39.2 44.8 43.0 24.8 22.8 17.6 14.1 13.5 12.9 12.8 17.7 21.3 21.1 29.9 35.1 9.4	Placebo 59.8 52.3 66.8 39.2 27.1 28.1 23.4 15.9 17.1 17.2 21.1 28.1 36.7 39.4 51.4	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $	<i>P</i> interacti 0.277 0.41, 0.98) 0.63, 1.13) 0.51, 0.79) 0.505 0.35, 1.05) 0.55, 1.25) 0.43, 0.87) 0.733 0.28, 1.17) 0.49, 1.43) 0.49, 1.43) 0.49, 1.43) 0.49, 1.43) 0.49, 1.43) 0.49, 1.43) 0.55, 1.04) 0.55, 1.04) 0.725 0.31, 1.01)
Primary composite outcome HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 27% HbA1c 28% ESKD HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 28% Dialysis initiated or kidney transplantation HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 7% HbA1c 7% HbA1c 7% HbA1c 28% Death from any cause HbA1c 7% HbA1c 2% Death from any cause HbA1c 2% Death from any cause HbA1c 2% Safety Any adve	44.8 43.0 24.8 22.8 17.6 14.1 13.5 12.9 12.8 17.7 21.3 21.1 29.9 35.1 9.4	52.3 66.8 39.2 27.1 28.1 23.4 15.9 17.1 17.2 21.1 28.1 36.7 39.4	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $	1.41, 0.98) 1.63, 1.13) 1.51, 0.79) 1.55, 1.25) 1.43, 0.87) 1.43, 0.87) 1.44, 0.733 1.28, 1.17) 1.49, 1.43) 1.49, 1.43) 1.49, 1.13) 1.49, 1.13) 1.57, 0.937 1.52, 1.32) 1.55, 1.04) 0.725
HbA1c <7%	44.8 43.0 24.8 22.8 17.6 14.1 13.5 12.9 12.8 17.7 21.3 21.1 29.9 35.1 9.4	52.3 66.8 39.2 27.1 28.1 23.4 15.9 17.1 17.2 21.1 28.1 36.7 39.4	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $	1.41, 0.98) 1.63, 1.13) 1.51, 0.79) 1.55, 1.25) 1.43, 0.87) 1.43, 0.87) 1.44, 0.733 1.28, 1.17) 1.49, 1.43) 1.49, 1.43) 1.49, 1.43) 1.49, 1.13) 1.49, 1.13) 1.57, 1.57) 1.52, 1.32) 1.55, 1.04) 0.725
HbA1c 7 to <8%	44.8 43.0 24.8 22.8 17.6 14.1 13.5 12.9 12.8 17.7 21.3 21.1 29.9 35.1 9.4	52.3 66.8 39.2 27.1 28.1 23.4 15.9 17.1 17.2 21.1 28.1 36.7 39.4	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $	0.63, 1.13) 0.51, 0.79) 0.505 0.55, 1.25) 0.43, 0.87) 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.937 0.937 0.52, 1.32) 0.55, 1.04) 0.725
HbA1c \geq 8%ESKDHbA1c <7%	43.0 24.8 22.8 17.6 14.1 13.5 12.9 12.8 17.7 21.3 21.1 29.9 35.1 9.4	66.8 39.2 27.1 28.1 23.4 15.9 17.1 17.2 21.1 28.1 36.7 39.4	Image: Constraint of the second se	1.51, 0.79) 0.505 0.35, 1.05) 0.55, 1.25) 0.43, 0.87) 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.937 0.33, 1.57) 0.52, 1.32) 0.55, 1.04) 0.725
ESKD HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 & % Dialysis initiated or kidney transplantation HbA1c 27% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 & % V death HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 & % V death or hospitalization for HF HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 & % Nopitalization for HF HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 & % HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 & % HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 & % HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 & % HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 & % HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 & % HbA1c 2 & % H	24.8 22.8 17.6 14.1 13.5 12.9 12.8 17.7 21.3 21.1 29.9 35.1 9.4	39.2 27.1 28.1 23.4 15.9 17.1 17.2 21.1 28.1 36.7 39.4	↓ 0.61 (0 ↓ 0.83 (0 ↓ 0.61 (0 ↓ 0.61 (0 ↓ 0.61 (0 ↓ 0.57 (0 ↓ 0.57 (0 ↓ 0.72 (0 ↓ 0.72 (0 ↓ 0.72 (0 ↓ 0.76 (0 ↓ 0.56 (0 ↓ 0.74 (0	0.505 0.35, 1.05) 0.55, 1.25) 0.43, 0.87) 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.55, 1.25) 0.55, 1.25) 0.55, 1.25) 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.733 0.735 0.755 0.555 0
$\label{eq:hbalc} Hbalc <7% \\ HbAlc 7 to <8% \\ HbAlc 2 8% \\ \end{tabular}$	22.8 17.6 14.1 13.5 12.9 12.8 17.7 21.3 21.1 29.9 35.1 9.4	27.1 28.1 23.4 15.9 17.1 17.2 21.1 28.1 36.7 39.4	Image: Constraint of the constraint	1.35, 1.05) 1.55, 1.25) 1.43, 0.87) 1.28, 1.17) 1.49, 1.43) 1.49, 1.43) 1.49, 1.13) 1.33, 1.57) 1.52, 1.32) 1.55, 1.04) 0.725
$\label{eq:hbalc 7 to <8%} \\ \mbox{Hbalc 28\%} \\ \label{eq:hbalc 2} \\ \mbox{Hbalc 3} initiated or kidney transplantation} \\ \mbox{Hbalc 7 7\%} \\ \mbox{Hbalc 7 to <8\%} \\ \mbox{Hbalc 28\%} \\ \label{eq:hbalc 3} \\ \mbox{V death} \\ \mbox{Hbalc 7 7\%} \\ \mbox{Hbalc 7 to <8\%} \\ \mbox{Hbalc 7 7\%} \\ \mbox{Hbalc 28\%} \\ \m$	22.8 17.6 14.1 13.5 12.9 12.8 17.7 21.3 21.1 29.9 35.1 9.4	27.1 28.1 23.4 15.9 17.1 17.2 21.1 28.1 36.7 39.4	Image: Constraint of the constraint	1.55, 1.25) 1.43, 0.87) 0.733 1.28, 1.17) 1.49, 1.43) 1.49, 1.43) 1.49, 1.13) 0.937 1.53, 1.57) 1.52, 1.32) 1.55, 1.04) 0.725
HbA1c \geq 8%Dialysis initiated or kidney transplantationHbA1c $<$ 7%HbA1c 7 to $<$ 8%HbA1c 7 to $<$ 8%HbA1c \geq 8%V deathHbA1c 7 to $<$ 8%HbA1c 7%HbA1c 7%HbA1c 7%HbA1c 7%HbA1c 7%HbA1c 7%HbA1c 7%HbA1c 7%HbA1c 7%HbA1c 28%afetyuny adverse eventHbA1c 27%HbA1c 28%HbA1c 28%	17.6 14.1 13.5 12.9 12.8 17.7 21.3 21.1 29.9 35.1 9.4	28.1 23.4 15.9 17.1 17.2 21.1 28.1 36.7 39.4	↓ 0.61 (0 ↓ 0.57 (0 ↓ 0.57 (0 ↓ 0.84 (0 ↓ 0.72 (0 ↓ 0.72 (0 ↓ 0.83 (0 ↓ 0.76 (0 ↓ 0.56 (0 ↓ 0.56 (0 ↓ 0.74 (0	1.43, 0.87) 0.733 1.28, 1.17) 1.49, 1.43) 1.49, 1.13) 0.937 1.33, 1.57) 1.52, 1.32) 1.55, 1.04) 0.725
Dialysis initiated or kidney transplantation HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 7 to <8% V death HbA1c 7 \times HbA1c 28%	14.1 13.5 12.9 12.8 17.7 21.3 21.1 29.9 35.1 9.4	23.4 15.9 17.1 17.2 21.1 28.1 36.7 39.4	Image: Constraint of the second se	0.733 0.28, 1.17) 0.49, 1.43) 0.49, 1.43) 0.937 0.33, 1.57) 0.52, 1.32) 0.55, 1.04) 0.725
HbA1c <7%	13.5 12.9 12.8 17.7 21.3 21.1 29.9 35.1 9.4	15.9 17.1 17.2 21.1 28.1 36.7 39.4	Image: Constraint of the second s	0.28, 1.17) 0.49, 1.43) 0.49, 1.13) 0.937 0.33, 1.57) 0.52, 1.32) 0.55, 1.04) 0.725
HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% V death HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% V death or hospitalization for HF HbA1c 7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2% Nospitalization for HF HbA1c 7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% V death, myocardial infarction, or stroke HbA1c \geq 7% HbA1c 7% HbA1c 7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% HbA1c \geq 8% HbA1c \geq 7% HbA1c 7% HbA1c 7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% HbA1c \geq 7% HbA1c 7% HbA1c 7% HbA1c 7% HbA1c 7% HbA1c 7% HbA1c 7% HbA1c \geq 8%	13.5 12.9 12.8 17.7 21.3 21.1 29.9 35.1 9.4	15.9 17.1 17.2 21.1 28.1 36.7 39.4	Image: Constraint of the second s	0.49, 1.43) 0.49, 1.13) 0.937 0.33, 1.57) 0.52, 1.32) 0.55, 1.04) 0.725
$\label{eq:hballc} Hballc \geq 8\% \\ \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	12.9 12.8 17.7 21.3 21.1 29.9 35.1 9.4	17.1 17.2 21.1 28.1 36.7 39.4	Image: Constraint of the second se	0.49, 1.13) 0.937 0.33, 1.57) 0.52, 1.32) 0.55, 1.04) 0.725
V death HbA1c < 7%	12.8 17.7 21.3 21.1 29.9 35.1 9.4	17.2 21.1 28.1 36.7 39.4	Image: Constraint of the second se	0.937 0.33, 1.57) 0.52, 1.32) 0.55, 1.04) 0.725
HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% V death or hospitalization for HF HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 7 to <8%	17.7 21.3 21.1 29.9 35.1 9.4	21.1 28.1 36.7 39.4	Image: Constraint of the second sec	0.33, 1.57) 0.52, 1.32) 0.55, 1.04) 0.725
HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% V death or hospitalization for HF HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% ospitalization for HF HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% V death, myocardial infarction, or stroke HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% death from any cause HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% death from any cause HbA1c \geq 8% HbA1c \geq 8% HbA1c \geq 8% HbA1c \geq 8% HbA1c \geq 7% HbA1c \geq 7% HbA1c \geq 7% HbA1c \leq 7% HbA1c \geq 7% HbA1c \geq 7% HbA1c \geq 7% HbA1c \geq 7% HbA1c \geq 7% HbA1c \geq 8%	17.7 21.3 21.1 29.9 35.1 9.4	21.1 28.1 36.7 39.4	Image: Constraint of the second sec	0.52, 1.32) 0.55, 1.04) 0.725
HbA1c \geq 8% V death or hospitalization for HF HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 8% ospitalization for HF HbA1c 77% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 8% V death, myocardial infarction, or stroke HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 8% death from any cause HbA1c 7 70 <8% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 8% death from any cause HbA1c 7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 8% death from any cause HbA1c 7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 8% HbA1c 2 7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 8%	21.3 21.1 29.9 35.1 9.4	28.1 36.7 39.4	↓ ● ↓ 0.76 (0 ↓ ● ↓ 0.56 (0 ↓ ● ↓ 0.74 (0	0.55, 1.04) 0.725
V death or hospitalization for HF HbA1c $<7\%$ HbA1c 7 to $<8\%$ HbA1c 7 to $<8\%$ HbA1c 28% ospitalization for HF HbA1c 77% HbA1c 7 to $<8\%$ HbA1c 28% V death, myocardial infarction, or stroke HbA1c $<7\%$ HbA1c 77% HbA1c 7 to $<8\%$ HbA1c $<7\%$ HbA1c 7 to $<8\%$ HbA1c $<7\%$ HbA1c 7 to $<8\%$ HbA1c $<7\%$ HbA1c $<7\%$ HbA1c $<7\%$ HbA1c $<7\%$ HbA1c $<7\%$	21.1 29.9 35.1 9.4	36.7 39.4	► ●	0.725
HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% opitalization for HF HbA1c 7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% V death, myocardial infarction, or stroke HbA1c \geq 7% HbA1c 7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% eath from any cause HbA1c $<$ 7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% afety ny adverse event HbA1c <7% HbA1c $<$ 7% HbA1c \geq 8%	29.9 35.1 9.4	39.4	▶ ● ↓ 0.74 (0	
HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% ospitalization for HF HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 8% V death, myocardial infarction, or stroke HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c >8% eath from any cause HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 8% afety ny adverse event HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c >8% afety ny adverse event HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c >8%	29.9 35.1 9.4	39.4	▶ ● ↓ 0.74 (0	.31, 1.01)
HbA1c \geq 8% ospitalization for HF HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 8% V death, myocardial infarction, or stroke HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c >8% eath from any cause HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c >8% afety ny adverse event HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7%	35.1 9.4			
approximate a state a sta	9.4	51.4	⊢● –↓ 0.68 (0	0.52, 1.06)
HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% V death, myocardial infarction, or stroke HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% afety my adverse event HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c \geq 8%				0.53, 0.87)
HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% V death, myocardial infarction, or stroke HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 8% HbA1c 7 7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8% afety my adverse event HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7%				0.462
HbA1c \geq 8% V death, myocardial infarction, or stroke HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 8% HbA1c \geq 8% HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c \geq 8%	10.0	22.5	● 0.41 (0).18, 0.93)
HbA1c \geq 8% V death, myocardial infarction, or stroke HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 8% eath from any cause HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 8% afety my adverse event HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 7 to <8%	16.3	21.6		0.46, 1.18)
V death, myocardial infarction, or stroke HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 8% eath from any cause HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c ≥8% afety my adverse event HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 7 to <8%	17.0	28.4		0.42, 0.84)
HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 8% HbA1c ≥8% HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 8% afety my adverse event HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 8%				0.633
HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c ≥8% leath from any cause HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c ≥8% lafety Invadverse event HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 2 8%	29.8	29.3	0.98 (0	0.56, 1.71)
HbA1c ≥8% eath from any cause HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c ≥8% afety my adverse event HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c ≥8%	32.9	42.1		0.55, 1.09)
The any cause HbA1c <7%	44.5	58.1		0.61, 0.96)
HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c ≥8% afety HbA1c <7% HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c ≥8%	44.5	50.1		0.659
HbA1c7 to <8% HbA1c≥8% afety HbA1c <7% HbA1c7 to <8% HbA1c2 8%	19.7	28.7	0.67 (0	
HbA1c≥8% afety ny adverse event HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c ≥8%				0.36, 1.25)
afety ny adverse event HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 28%	30.0	31.9		0.64, 1.34)
ny adverse event HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 28%	30.8	38.4	⊢● -1 0.80 (0).61, 1.05)
HbA1c <7% HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c 28%			i	
HbA1c 7 to <8% HbA1c ≥8%				0.754
HbA1c ≥8%	334.0	354.6	- 1	0.70, 1.00)
	343.6	381.6	0.85 (0.	0.76, 0.96)
ny serious adverse event	360.7	384.8	0.90 (0	0.82, 0.98)
				0.875
HbA1c <7%	117.6	135.5	⊢● 0.85 (0	0.64, 1.12)
HbA1c 7 to <8%	138.7	161.7	▶●	0.70, 1.01)
HbA1c ≥8%	156.5	174.5	.89 (0	0.78, 1.02)
mputation			i	0.120
HbA1c <7%	5.9	5.8	↓ 1.05 (0	0.30, 3.62)
HbA1c 7 to <8%	5.4	9.9		0.25, 1.18)
HbA1c≥8%	18.5	13.5).91, 2.06)
lypoglycemia				0.302
HbA1c <7%	38.7	29.2	1.36 (0	0.78, 2.37)
HbA1c 7 to <8%	42.0	45.6		0.68, 1.32)
HbA1c ≥8%	47.3	56.7		0.67, 1.07)
cute kidney injury	11.0	50.7		0.984
HbA1c <7%	18.7	22.6	0.82 (0	0.304
HbA1c 7 to <8%	15.2	18.4).47, 1.39)
HDA1C 7 t0 <8% HbA1c ≥8%				
FIDA I C 2070	17.5	20.2).59, 1.30)
		Г 0.12	I I I I I 25 0.25 0.5 1.0 2.0 4.0	

Figure. Efficacy and safety outcomes by baseline HbA1c. Participants with an event per 1000 patient-years

CI, confidence interval; CV, cardiovascular; ESKD, end-stage kidney disease; HF, heart failure.