

# Researching the registry

*Establishing an open education policy dataset*

OER WORLD MAP



OER POLICY REGISTRY



Global OER Graduate Network



The Open  
University

**#OEGlobal19** *Milano*

**Leo Havemann**

The Open University (UK)  
& University College London

*@leohavemann*

# A bit of background

Career - HE teaching, library, technology, leading to digital education support / development / advisory work.

Research in open educational practices : OER repositories, Open data as OER, increasingly interested in the openness of practices - what makes practices *open*?

PhD journey - part time - evolution of focus from initial proposal through to current stage.

# From practices to policies

Initial idea to investigate the purpose and value of OE Practices according to educators.

Further thinking about why educators might engage or not in open (or digital) practices - see *blog post*: [‘Of binaries and blends’](#).

What about institutions that actually have a successful policy to encourage and support OE? What does this look like, how does it work, can this be remixed and redistributed?

# Institutions and policies

OE advocates have highlighted present and future benefits, leading to prominent **supranational policy interventions** (particularly from UNESCO).

These tend to call for increased engagement with OE by states, which should stimulate action at the level of institutions, systems and individual educators (see [\*Fostering Openness in Education: Considerations for Sustainable Policymaking\*](#)).

There are some national level initiatives.

Within institutions, some staff engage in forms of OEP, and some institutions have adopted policies around OE.

# Research questions [*currently*]

***1. How and why are higher education institutions developing open education policies?***

Sub-questions:

***2. What are the characteristics of existing OE policies?***

***3. How do policymakers and practitioners perceive institutional OE policies?***

***4. What is the relationship/interaction between policy and practice?***

# Unpacking the RQs

How are HEIs constructing the OE space through policy?

What they say OE is, what it is for, who it serves? What the institution aims to achieve, support, enable, and what it is not about, and not for?

Expansive or narrower concepts of OEP?

How do policy makers and stakeholders perceive and shape this agenda?

What does a successful policy look like? For the institution, policy makers, stakeholders?

# Phases/Methods *[planned]*

## *Phase 1*


Policy documents - corpus and critical discourse analysis

## *Phase 2*

Stakeholder survey


## *Phase 3*

Policymaker interviews



# But where to find these policies??

... In the [OER Policy Registry](#) of course!





Wait...

First i needed to figure something out - what actually is policy?

“A policy can generally be thought of as a **statement of intent** ... that **describes a problem and broadly outlines how the problem will be addressed**. There is no single agreed definition .... For example, the term ‘policy’ may refer to a **proposal, outcome, formal or informal decision, bundle of legislation, or positions implicitly taken**”.

*Evans, M. C., & Cvitanovic, C. (2018). An introduction to achieving policy impact for early career researchers. Palgrave Communications, 4(1).*

<https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-018-0144-2>

- “Open education policies are **formal regulations** regarding support, funding, adoption, and use of Open Educational Resources (OER) and/or Open Educational Practices (OEP). Such policies are **designed to support the creation, adoption, and sharing of OER and the design and integration of OEP** into programs of study.” ([\*7 Things You Should Know About... Open Education: Policy\*](#))
- “OER policies are **laws, rules and courses of action** that facilitate the creation, use or improvement of openly licensed content.” ([\*Coolidge & Allen, “Advancing OER Through Effective Policy, 2017”\*](#))

# Nature and level of policy

The **nature** of a policy can be understood as **carrot, stick or sermon** (Bemelmans-Videc, Rist, & Vedung, 1998).

Supranational, national, and institutional **level** policies are attempting different kinds of interventions.

Also - do we need to think about policy as continuous **process** rather than frozen into a 'product'?


In this case what to do with such '**policy traces**' as we can find in the registry?

Improve the metadata!


**METADATA**

Some findings so far

## Policy type




## Policy Level






## Policy Scope



## Policies by focus



## Next steps

Selection of data set for analysis.

Explore potential of corpus analysis using software.

CDA of policies.

Hopefully some interesting results!

Then moving on to the humans and looking into specific institutions.

# Thanks!

*Questions, comments, policies, suggestions,  
counter-arguments, sympathies gratefully received...*

[@leohavemann](#) / [leo.havemann@open.ac.uk](mailto:leo.havemann@open.ac.uk)

*With thanks to my OER Policy Registry co-conspirators,*

**Javiera Atenas, Jan Neumann, Fabio Nascimbeni**