

1 **VASODILATORS AND LOW DOSE ACETYLSALICYLIC ACID ARE ASSOCIATED**  
2 **WITH A LOWER INCIDENCE OF DISTINCT PRIMARY MYOCARDIAL DISEASE**  
3 **MANIFESTATIONS IN SYSTEMIC SCLEROSIS: Results of the DeSSciper inception**  
4 **cohort study**

5 Gabriele Valentini<sup>1</sup>, Dörte Huscher<sup>2</sup>, Antonella Riccardi<sup>1</sup>, Serena Fasano<sup>1</sup>, Rosaria Irace<sup>1</sup>,  
6 Valentina Messiniti<sup>1</sup>, Marco Matucci Cerinic<sup>3</sup>, Serena Guiducci<sup>3</sup>, Oliver Distler<sup>4</sup>, Britta  
7 Maurer<sup>4</sup>, Jérôme Avouac<sup>5</sup>, Ingo H Turner<sup>6</sup>, Marc Frerix<sup>6</sup>, Gabriela Riemekasten<sup>7</sup>, Elise  
8 Siegert<sup>8</sup>, László Czirják<sup>9</sup>, Veronika Lóránd<sup>9</sup>, Christopher P Denton<sup>10</sup>, Svetlana Nihtyanova<sup>10</sup>,  
9 Ulrich A Walker<sup>11</sup>, Veronika K Jaeger<sup>11</sup>, Francesco Del Galdo<sup>12</sup>, Giuseppina Abignano<sup>12</sup>,  
10 Lidia P Ananieva<sup>13</sup>, Ana Maria Gheorghiu<sup>14</sup>, Carina Mihai<sup>14</sup>, Jörg Henes<sup>15</sup>, Tim Schmeiser<sup>16</sup>,  
11 Alessandra Vacca<sup>17</sup>, Sergey Moiseev<sup>18</sup>, Ivan Foeldvari<sup>19</sup>, Armando Gabrielli<sup>20</sup>, Brigitte  
12 Krummel-Lorenz<sup>21</sup>, Simona Rednic<sup>22</sup>, Yannick Allanore<sup>5</sup>, Ulf Müller Ladner<sup>6</sup>

13 <sup>1</sup> Department of Precision Medicine, Section of Rheumatology, University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli",  
14 Naples, Italy

15 <sup>2</sup> Institute of Biostatistics and Clinical Epidemiology, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate member  
16 of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin, Germany

17 <sup>3</sup> Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, University of Florence and Department of Geriatric  
18 Medicine, Division of Rheumatology and Scleroderma Unit AOUC

19 <sup>4</sup> Department of Rheumatology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

20 <sup>5</sup> Department of Rheumatology, Cochin Hospital, University of Paris Descartes, Paris, France

21 <sup>6</sup> Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Campus Kerckhoff, Justus-Liebig University  
22 Giessen, Bad Nauheim, Germany

23 <sup>7</sup> Klinik für Rheumatologie und Klinische Immunologie, Universitätsklinikum Schleswig-Holstein, Campus  
24 Lübeck

25 <sup>8</sup> Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Corporate  
26 member of Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of Health, Berlin,  
27 Germany

28 <sup>9</sup> Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, University of Pécs, Pécs, Hungary

29 <sup>10</sup> Department of Rheumatology, University College London, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK

30 <sup>11</sup> Department of Rheumatology, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

31 <sup>12</sup> NIHR Leeds Biomedical Research Centre, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust and Leeds Institute of  
32 Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

33 <sup>13</sup> Institute of Rheumatology, Russian Academy of Medical Science, Moscow, Russia

34 <sup>14</sup> Department of Internal Medicine and Rheumatology, Cantacuzino Hospital, Carol Davila University of  
35 Medicine and Pharmacy, Bucharest, Romania

36 <sup>15</sup> Department of Internal Medicine II, University Hospital Tübingen, Germany

37 <sup>16</sup> Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, St. Josef Hospital, Wuppertal, Germany

38 <sup>17</sup> Rheumatology Unit, University of Cagliari, Italy

1 <sup>18</sup>Department of Rheumatology, Tareev Clinic of Internal Diseases, Sechenov First Moscow State Medical  
2 University, Moscow, Russian Federation

3 <sup>19</sup> Klinikum Eilbek, Hamburger Zentrum für Kinder-und Jugendrheumatologie, Hamburg, Germany

4 <sup>20</sup>Clinical Medicine, Department of Clinical and Molecular Sciences, Marche Polytechnic University, Riuniti  
5 Hospital, Ancona, Italy

6 <sup>21</sup> Endokrinologikum Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany

7 <sup>22</sup> Clinica Rheumatologie, University of Medicine & Pharmacy 'Iuliu Hatieganu', Cluj-Napoca, Romania

8

9 **Address for correspondence:**

10 Gabriele Valentini, Professor of Rheumatology. Department of Precision Medicine,  
11 University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", via Sergio Pansini 5, 80131 Naples, Italy. E-mail:  
12 gabriele.valentini@unicampania.it

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 **Objectives**

3 To investigate the influence of vasodilator drugs on the occurrence of features depending  
4 on myocardial ischemia/fibrosis

5 (ventricular arrhythmias, Q waves, cardiac blocks, pacemaker implantation, left ventricular  
6 ejection fraction -LVEF-<55% and/or congestive heart failure and sudden cardiac death) in  
7 Systemic Sclerosis (SSc).

8 **Methods**

9 Six hundred and 1 SSc patients were enrolled from December 1<sup>st</sup>, 2012 to November 30<sup>th</sup>,  
10 2015 and had a second visit 0.5-4 years apart. 153 received no vasodilators; 448 received  
11 vasodilator therapy, (i.e. Calcium Channel Blockers and/or Angiotensin Converting  
12 Enzyme inhibitors or Angiotensin II receptor blockers or combinations of them), 89 of them  
13 being also treated with either endothelin receptor antagonists or PDE5 inhibitors or  
14 prostanoids. Associations between the occurrence of myocardial disease manifestations  
15 and any demographic, disease and therapeutic aspect were investigated by Cox  
16 regression analysis. A Cox frailty survival model with centre of enrollment as a random  
17 effect was performed.

18 **Results**

19 During 914 patient/follow-up years, 12 ventricular arrhythmias, 5 Q waves, 40 cardiac  
20 blocks, 6 pacemaker implantations, 19 reduced LVEF and/or CHF occurred. In multivariate  
21 Cox regression analysis, vasodilator therapy was associated with a lower incidence of  
22 ventricular arrhythmias ( $p=0.03$ ); low dose acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) with a lower  
23 incidence of cardiac blocks and/or Q waves and/or pacemaker implantation ( $p=0.02$ ),  
24 active disease with a higher incidence of LVEF<55% and/or CHF and cardiac blocks  
25 and/or Q waves and/or pacemaker implantation ( $p=0.05$ ).

26 **Conclusions**

27 The present study might suggest a preventative effect on the occurrence of distinct  
28 myocardial manifestations by vasodilator therapy and low dose ASA.

29

30 **Keywords:** primary myocardial disease in scleroderma, preventative role of vasodilator  
31 therapy.

## 1 **INTRODUCTION**

2 Myocardial disease occurring in patients with Systemic Sclerosis (SSc) is classically  
3 subdivided into primary and secondary, depending the absence or, respectively,  
4 coexistence of pulmonary and/or renal involvement.[1-3]

5 Primary myocardial disease is morphologically characterized by vasculopathy of small  
6 arteries and biventricular patchy myocardial fibrosis which presents a strong association  
7 with contraction band necrosis, suggesting the implication of ischemia-reperfusion events  
8 i.e. a myocardial Raynaud's phenomenon (RP).[4] In this regard, short term trials and  
9 retrospective observational studies have underlined a beneficial effect of calcium channel  
10 blockers (CCB), angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEinh) on cardiac  
11 vascularization and function.[5-11]

12 By now, the role of vasodilator agents in the prevention of primary myocardial disease in  
13 SSc has not yet been clarified. In order to define the management of SSc, a project named  
14 DeSSciper (To decipher the optimal treatment of SSc) was submitted to and funded by  
15 the European Community (FP7- HEALTH n°305495). Here, we report the results of the  
16 subproject devoted to investigate the influence of vasodilator drugs on the occurrence of  
17 primary myocardial complications, specifically those associated with a poor prognosis i.e.  
18 ventricular arrhythmias, Q waves , cardiac blocks , pacemaker implantation , reduced left  
19 ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), congestive heart failure (CHF) and sudden cardiac  
20 death.[1-3,12-14]

21

## 22 **METHODS**

### 23 **Patients and study design**

24 Patients fulfilling the ACR/EULAR criteria for SSc,[15] consecutively admitted to 20  
25 DeSSciper-EUSTAR centres from December 1<sup>st</sup>, 2012 to November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2015, were  
26 enrolled, according to local ethical requirements.

27 Patients with the following characteristics were excluded: significant pulmonary  
28 parenchymal (forced vital capacity and/or diffusing lung capacity for CO < 70%) or  
29 vascular involvement (estimated systolic pulmonary arterial pressure > 40 mmHg),  
30 intestinal involvement (malabsorption syndrome or paralytic ileus or renal involvement  
31 (serum creatinine level >1.2 mg/dl and/or dialysis or previous scleroderma renal crisis) or

1 any sign/symptom/ electrocardiographic (ECG) finding of myocardial disease, basal  
2 pulmonary rales and/or leg edema indicative of congestive heart failure.

3 Patients enrolled in the study were investigated according to the DeSSciper protocol,  
4 shared by all participating centres. In particular, they were assessed for the items listed in  
5 the European Scleroderma Trials and Research group (EUSTAR) protocol,[16] including  
6 European Scleroderma Study Group (EScSG) activity criteria.[17] Moreover, as far as  
7 myocardial disease is concerned, each patient was examined at baseline by means of  
8 medical history, clinical examination, ECG, Holter ECG and B-mode echocardiography at  
9 baseline, and was reassessed every 3 months with respect to medical history, clinical  
10 examination, and ECG, and every 6 months by Holter ECG and B-mode echocardiography  
11 until the end of each follow-up-year. According to local policies, patients had to undergo  
12 either standard vasodilator therapy i.e. CCB such as nifedipine up to 60 mg/qd or  
13 comparable doses of other drugs of the same class and/or ACEinh such as captopril up to  
14 100 mg/qd, or no vasodilator therapy. Two hundred and 50 patients per arm had to be  
15 enrolled. Despite the strictly defined entry criteria, 2 major protocol deviations occurred. As  
16 far as treatment is concerned, some patients with baseline myocardial disease were  
17 enrolled. As far as treatment is concerned, 63 patients undergoing AgIIrb±CCB treatment  
18 were enrolled. Because of the influence on the same pathophysiologic pathway, they were  
19 considered in the same class of ACEinh and included in the arm of those treated with CCB  
20 and/or ACEinh, with the whole group being referred to as standard vasodilator therapy.  
21 Moreover, some patients treated with targeted vasodilator drugs (i.e. prostanoids or  
22 endothelin receptor antagonists or phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors), were enrolled.  
23 Out of them, those undergoing standard vasodilator therapy were included in the same  
24 arm which was referred to as vasodilator therapy; those treated with targeted vasodilator  
25 drugs only were excluded because of the intermittent drug regimen in most of them. The  
26 role of other features potentially influencing the occurrence of cardiac disease during  
27 follow-up was also investigated i.e. diffuse subset, disease activity, digital ulcers,  
28 traditional risk factors such as sex, cigarette smoking, systemic arterial hypertension,  
29 hypercholesterolemia and drugs including ongoing corticosteroids ± immunosuppressive  
30 therapy and low dose acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) ( $\leq 325$  mg daily).[1-3,18-21]

### 31 **Follow-up and outcome measures**

32 The new occurrence of ventricular arrhythmias as manifestations indicative of myocardial  
33 ischemia, that of Q waves and/or cardiac blocks and/or pacemaker implantation as

1 manifestations indicative of myocardial fibrosis or a therapeutic intervention promoted by it,  
2 and that of LVEF<55% and/or CHF, as manifestations of evolved disease, were  
3 investigated.[1-4]

4 Finally, the incidence of withdrawal from treatment was used as safety endpoint.

## 5 **Statistical analysis**

6 StataMP 13, IBM SPSS 24.0 and MedCalc 11.3 for Windows software were used for  
7 statistical analyses. Continuous data were expressed as means and standard deviations  
8 (SD) and compared by t student test. The predictivity of myocardial disease occurrence by  
9 each distinct feature was assessed by Cox proportional hazard regression models. The  
10 number of covariates to be included in the multivariate model was defined by using a ratio  
11 of cases per covariate in the size of 10.[24] Moreover, in order to address the potential  
12 influence of different therapeutic strategies by clinician from different centres, we carried  
13 out a Cox frailty survival model with centre of enrollment as random effect.[25] Statistical  
14 significance was set at  $P < 0.05$ .

15

## 16 **RESULTS**

### 17 **Patients**

18 From December 1<sup>st</sup>, 2012 to November 30<sup>th</sup>, 2015, a total of 654 SSc patients, with a  
19 mean age of  $56 \pm 13$  years a disease duration from the first non-RP manifestation ranging  
20 from 0.5 to 61 years (mean  $10 \pm 9$  SD), were enrolled in the study and followed-up for at  
21 least six months.

22 One hundred and 53 patients did not undergo any vasodilator; 448 were prescribed  
23 vasodilators including 89 treated with either prostanoids and/or endothelin receptor  
24 antagonists and/or phosphodiesterase inhibitors. The 43 patients treated only with  
25 targeted vasodilators were excluded.

26 Table 1 shows the demographic, clinical, serological and therapeutic features as assessed  
27 at enrollment and during follow-up as far as the drug regimen is concerned, in the  
28 remaining 601 patients subdivided according to the therapeutic subgroup. Given the  
29 presence of missed items, the prevalence of each feature has been calculated among  
30 patients in whom it had been underlined. Hypercholesterolemia was noticed in few  
31 patients; no data were available for statin use.

1 With respect to patients undergoing no vasodilators, those treated with vasodilator therapy  
 2 resulted to be more frequently aged  $\geq 50$  years ( $p=0.005$ ), affected by systemic arterial  
 3 hypertension ( $p<0.001$ ) and to be undergoing in a greater percentage corticosteroids  
 4  $\pm$ immunosuppressors ( $p<0.001$ ) and low dose ASA ( $p<0.001$ ) i.e. they presented a  
 5 greater prevalence of disease features potentially associated with a worse cardiovascular  
 6 outcome.

7

8

9 **Table 1. Demographic, clinical, serological and therapeutic features of the 601**  
 10 **SSc patients subdivided according to the treatment subgroup**

<b>FEATURES</b>	<b>No vasodilators (n=153)</b>	<b>Vasodilator therapy (n=448)</b>	<b>P</b>
Female Sex	134/153 (87%)	395/448 (88%)	0.88
Age (mean $\pm$ SD) years	55 $\pm$ 14	57 $\pm$ 13	0.21
Age $\geq 50$ years	95/153 (62%)	332/448 (74%)	<b>0.005</b>
Early disease	53/145 (36%)	148/428 (35%)	0.69
<b><u>Clinical subset</u></b>			
Limited cutaneous	124 (81%)	348 (78%)	0.42
Diffuse cutaneous	29 (19%)	100 (22%)	0.42
<b><u>Serological subset</u></b>			
Antinuclear antibodies (ANA) positive	134/137 (98%)	400/410 (98%)	0.99
Anti-centromere (ACA) positive	64/137 (47%)	163/410 (42%)	0.16
Anti-Scl-70 positive	39/130 (30%)	136/388 (35%)	0.33
<b><u>Further aspects</u></b>			
Baseline Myocardial Disease	18/123 (15%)	56/353 (16%)	0.27
Digital ulcers (ever)	50/149 (33%)	168/437 (38%)	0.33
Tendon friction rubs	7/148 (5%)	20/432 (5%)	0.99
Arthritis	18/153 (12%)	52/442 (12%)	0.99
EScSG activity index $\geq 3$	13/153 (8%)	41/448 (9%)	0.87
Systemic arterial	0/153	139/448 (31%)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>

Hypertension			
Cigarette smoking ever	39/127 (31%)	88/350 (25%)	0.24
Hypercholesterolemia	0/7	0/23	-
Ongoing corticosteroids ± immunosuppressors	44/145 (30%)	215/408 (53%)	<0.001
Ongoing low dose acetylsalicylic acid	28/146 (19%)	205/377 (54%)	<0.001

1

## 2 Occurrence of myocardial disease features during follow-up

3 During 914 follow-up patient/years, ventricular arrhythmias developed in 12 patients; Q  
4 waves developed in 5, cardiac blocks in 40, a Pacemaker was implanted in 6; 15  
5 developed a LVEF<55% and/or a CHF. No patient underwent a sudden cardiac death.  
6 In univariate analysis, vasodilator therapy resulted to be associated with a nearly  
7 significant occurrence of ventricular arrhythmias (7/285 events (2%) occurring during 709  
8 patient/years as compared to 5/97 (5%) during 206 patient/years in those not treated with  
9 any vasodilator) (HR 0.33 95%CI 0.10-104; p=0.060); low dose ASA with a reduced  
10 incidence of Q waves and/or cardiac blocks and/or pacemaker implantation (17/161 events  
11 (10%) occurring during 434 patient/years as compared to 29/182 (16%) during 383  
12 patient/years in those not treated with ASA) (HR 0.41 95%CI 1.98-16.56; p=0.004). On the  
13 contrary, male sex (HR 5.73; 95%CI 1.98-16.56; p=0.002) and a EScSG activity index ≥ 3  
14 at the enrollment into the study (HR=4.83; 95%CI 1.52-15.34;p=0.008) were found to  
15 predict the development of a LVEF<55% and/or CHF.

16 In order to perform the multivariate Cox regression analysis, five covariates were selected  
17 because of their potential value in influencing the occurrence of cardiac events over time.  
18 Several tentatives were performed by selecting, according to the number of the events  
19 occurred, all the 5 covariates were considered for cardiac blocks and/or Q waves and/or  
20 pacemaker implantation; 2 covariates for ventricular arrhythmias; 2 covariates for  
21 LVEF<55% and or CHF. Table 2 shows the results of this approach: vasodilator therapy  
22 resulted to be associated with a lower incidence of ventricular arrhythmias (HR 0.28; 95%  
23 CI 0.09-0.90; p=0.03); low dose ASA with a lower incidence of cardiac blocks and/or Q  
24 waves and/or pacemaker implantation (HR 0.46; 95% CI 0.24-0.87; p=0.02) ; a EScSG  
25 activity index≥3 with a higher occurrence of a LVEF<55% and/or CHF (HR 3.71; 95% CI  
26 1.02-13.42;p= 0.05) and cardiac blocks and/or Q waves and/or pacemaker implantation

1 (HR 2.15; 95% CI 1.00-4.63; p=0.05). Moreover, an unfavourable role of male sex  
 2 emerged.

3 Finally, since therapeutic strategies can differ among distinct centres, a Cox frailty survival  
 4 model with center of enrollment as random effect, was performed (Table 3). The  
 5 associations of vasodilators, low dose ASA and an EScSG activity index $\geq$ 3 were  
 6 confirmed.

7

8 **Table 2. Associations detected for each outcome measure by multivariate Cox**  
 9 **regression analysis**

<b>COVARIATES</b>	<b>Cardiac Blocks and/or Q waves and/or Pacemaker Implantation n.events=49*</b>	<b>Ventricular Arrhythmias n. events=12</b>	<b>LVEF<math>\leq</math> 55% and/or CHF n.events=19</b>
	<b>HR; 95%CI; p</b>	<b>HR: 95%CI; p</b>	<b>HR: 95%CI; p</b>
<b>Male sex</b>		-	<b>5.70; 2.20-18.9; &lt;0.001</b>
<b>Age<math>\geq</math>50</b>			-
<b>EScSG activity index <math>\geq</math>3</b>	<b>2.15; 1.00-4.63; 0.05</b>	-	<b>3.71; 1.02-13.42; 0.05</b>
<b>Low dose ASA</b>	<b>0.46; 0.24-0.87; 0.02</b>	-	
<b>Vasodilators</b>		<b>0.28; 0.09-0.90; 0.03</b>	-

10 \*Two patients developed 2 events (1 Cardiac Block and Pacemaker Implantation; 1  
 11 Cardiac Block and/or Q wave)  
 12 -----

13

14 **Table 3. Associations detected for each outcome measure by Cox frailty**  
 15 **analysis**

<b>COVARIATES</b>	<b>Cardiac Blocks and/or Q waves and/or Pacemaker Implantation n.events=49*</b>	<b>Ventricular Arrhythmias n. events=12</b>	<b>LVEF<math>\leq</math> 50% and/or CHF n.events=19</b>
	<b>HR; 95%CI; p</b>	<b>HR; 95%CI; p</b>	<b>HR; 95%CI; p</b>

EScSG activity index $\geq 3$	<b>2.12; 0.98-4.57; 0.06</b>	-	<b>3.79; 1.04-13.82; 0.04</b>
Low dose ASA	<b>0.53; 0.26-1.08; 0.08</b>	-	-
Vasodilators	-	<b>0.32; 0.10-1.02; 0.05</b>	-

\* **Two patients developed 2 events (1 Cardiac Block and Pacemaker Implantation; 1 Cardiac Block and/or Q wave)**

### **Withdrawal from vasodilator therapy and low dose ASA**

Ninety-three out of the 448 patients undergoing vasodilator therapy withdrew from treatment: 15 treated with CCB alone, 3 treated with ACEi or AngIIrb alone, none with CCB + ACEi or AngIIrb reaching an incidence of 2.1/100 patient-years; 31 treated with endothelin receptor antagonists, 19 treated with phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors and 25 treated with prostanoids reaching an incidence of 32/100 patient-years. Moreover, 16 of the 230 patients undergoing ASA withdrew from treatment reaching an incidence rate of 3/100 patient-years.

## **DISCUSSION**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first observational, prospective, long term study to investigate the association between vasodilator therapy and the occurrence of disease manifestations probably or potentially related to myocardial ischemia (ventricular arrhythmias), fibrosis (Q waves and/or cardiac blocks and/or pacemaker implantation) or both (reduced LVEF, congestive heart failure and sudden cardiac death). Actually, as far as the influence of vasodilator therapy on myocardial disease is concerned, Kazzam et al.[27] only investigated diastolic and systolic function in 22 SSc patients receiving captopril treatment (1.3 mg/ kg/ daily) for 11-15 months. These authors found an increase in LVEF and a decrease in isovolumic relaxation time, indicating an improved left ventricular filling, but did not consider any of the features assessed in our study.

In order to address the aim of the study, we also investigated the association between the occurrence of the investigated manifestations and demographic, disease and different therapeutic aspects potentially involved in SSc cardiac disease.[1-3,18-23] After excluding any bias deriving from potential differences in the treatment policies among the distinct centres involved in the study, vasodilators were found to be associated with a lower

1 incidence of ventricular arrhythmias, low dose ASA with a nearly significant, lower  
2 incidence of cardiac blocks and/or Q waves and/or pacemaker implantation; active  
3 disease, as defined by a EScSG activity index  $\geq 3$  at enrollment with a higher incidence of  
4 a reduced LVEF and/or CHF.

5 We underwent our prospective study because of the commonly shared opinion on the  
6 implication of ischemia/reperfusion events in the induction of myocardial fibrosis in SSc,[1-  
7 4] as well as the evidence emerged by short term trials and retrospective observational  
8 studies suggesting a beneficial effect of vasodilators on cardiac vascularization and  
9 function in the disease.[5-11] We could not confirm the retrospectively detected  
10 association between vasodilators use and a preserved LVEF,[10] neither we detected any  
11 association between vasodilators and a reduced incidence of cardiac blocks and/or Q  
12 waves and/or pacemaker implantation, which are distinct manifestations of myocardial  
13 fibrosis or of a therapeutic intervention promoted by its consequences.[12] Nevertheless,  
14 we pointed out an association between vasodilators and a lower incidence of ventricular  
15 arrhythmias, which likely depend on ischemic processes.[13,14] This result deserves to be  
16 underlined since ventricular arrhythmias have long been known to be associated with a  
17 poor prognosis in SSc.[13-14,21]

18 Investigating different aspects potentially associated with the incidence of cardiac events,  
19 we happened to point out an unexpected protective role of low dose ASA and an  
20 unfavourable prognostic role of the EScSG activity index.

21 Low dose ASA is currently prescribed to patients with a high risk of coronary artery  
22 disease.[23] Moreover, it has been recently reported to be associated with a decrease in  
23 the occurrence of major cardiovascular events (i.e. myocardial infarction and stroke) in  
24 patients with systemic lupus erythematosus[27-28] and rheumatoid arthritis.[29] It might,  
25 therefore, be hypothesized that the associations detected between the reduction in the  
26 occurrence of distinct cardiac events and low dose ASA do not depend on a potential  
27 protective effect on small intramyocardial coronary artery disease. Nevertheless, platelet  
28 activation has been reported to play a role of both vascular and fibrotic manifestations of  
29 SSc.[30] Moreover, markers of platelet activation have long been known to be responsive  
30 to antiplatelet therapy.[31]

31 As far as EScSG activity index, Nevskaya et al.[19] have recently reported a predictive role  
32 of the severity heart disease accrual by its adjusted mean over 3 years. Our results seem  
33 to indicate that even a single evaluation might have a prognostic meaning. This result

1 prospects that achieving a EScSG activity index $\geq$ 3 might be a target at least in clinical  
2 practice.

3 In the original design of our study, we had envisaged 3 treatment arms i.e. CCB, ACEinh,  
4 CCB +ACEinh. Actually, we had not considered the possibility of a SSc patient who is not  
5 prescribed any vasodilator drug. This does not appear to be the case, our data on  
6 prospectively enrolled patients from 20 EUSTAR centres confirming those reported by the  
7 German SSc network highlighting the high percentage of SSc patients who do not receive  
8 any vasoactive therapy.[32]

9 The observational nature of the study does not allow to prospect any cause/effect  
10 relationship. Well designed Randomised Controlled Trials (RCTs) are needed to either  
11 support or refuse any therapeutic role of vasodilators and low dose ASA in the prevention  
12 of myocardial disease in SSc patients. In addition, the variable, non-standardised length of  
13 follow-up represents a limitation, that, however, appears to be balanced by the long  
14 cumulative duration of follow-up (914 patient/years) and its median time (2.4 years).

15 Vascular disease has long been considered a pathological hallmark of SSc.[33] The low  
16 incidence of withdrawals from vasodilator therapy and low dose ASA in our study, even if  
17 waiting for the results of properly designed RCTs, might suggest to consider adding low  
18 dose ASA and a vasodilator agent to the therapeutic strategy of any SSc patients. In that  
19 regard, given the apparent protective role of CCB for SRC on one side,[34] and the  
20 increased risk of death associated with previous exposure to ACEinh in patients  
21 developing a SRC,[35] it appears advisable to start with a CCB and to add an ACEinh in  
22 patients with diastolic dysfunction for the known effect of the latter on ventricular filling.[26]

23 In conclusion, our prospective, observational study suggests a protective role of  
24 vasodilators and low dose ASA on distinct manifestations of SSc myocardial disease and  
25 prospects the opportunity to conduct well designed RCTs on both therapeutic strategies.

26

27 **Acknowledgements:** Funded by the European Community FP7 program (DeSSciper  
28 FP7- HEALTH n°305495), and European Scleroderma Trials and Research group  
29 (EUSTAR)

30 **Contributors:** Study conception and design: GV, UML, CPD, FDG, GR, LC, MMC, OD,  
31 UAW, YA. Acquisition of data: AR, SF, RI, VM, SG, BM, JA, IHT, MF, ES, VL, SN, VKJ, GA,  
32 LPA, AMG, CM, JH, TS, AV, SM, IF, AG, BKL,SR. Analysis and interpretation of data: GV,  
33 DH, AR, SF. Revising the article: GV, BM, IHT, LC, CPD, UAW, YA, UML.

34 **Funding:** European Community FP7 program (DeSSciper FP7- HEALTH n°305495)

1 **Competing interests:** none

2 **Ethics approval:** All contributing EUSTAR centres have obtained approval from their  
3 respective local ethics committee for including patients data in the EUSTAR database and  
4 patients have provided an informed consent according to local ethical requirements.

5

6 **Key messages:**

7 **What is already known about this subject?**

- 8 - Short term studies have underlined a beneficial effect of calcium channel blockers (CCB)  
9 and other vasodilators including angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEinh) on  
10 cardiac vascularization and function in Systemic Sclerosis (SSc).  
11 - However, the role of vasodilative agents in the prevention of primary myocardial disease  
12 has not yet been defined.

13 **What does this study add?**

- 14 -This is the first observational, long term study to investigate the association between  
15 vasodilators use and the occurrence of disease manifestations probably or potentially  
16 related to myocardial fibrosis.  
17 - Associations between vasodilators and low dose ASA use and a decrease in the  
18 incidence of distinct manifestations have emerged.

19 **How might this impact on clinical practice?**

- 20 -Our study could prompt clinicians to consider adding a vasodilator agent and low dose  
21 ASA to the therapeutic strategy of any SSc patient.

22

23 **References**

24 1. Kahan A, Allanore Y. Primary myocardial involvement in systemic sclerosis.  
25 *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2006;45(Suppl.4):14-7

26 2 Kahan A, Coghlan G, McLaughlin V. Cardiac Complications of Systemic  
27 sclerosis. *Rheumatology* 2009;48:iii45-iii48

28 3 Parks JL, Taylor MH, Parks LP et al. Systemic Sclerosis and the Heart. *Rheum*  
29 *Dis Clin North Am* 2014;40:87-102

30 4 Follansbee WP, Miller TR, Curtiss EI et al. A controlled clinicopathologic study of  
31 myocardial fibrosis in systemic sclerosis (scleroderma). *J Rheumatol* 1990;17:656-  
32 62

33 5 Kahan A, Devaux JY, Amor B et al. Nifedipine and thallium-201 myocardial  
34 perfusion in progressive systemic sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 1986;314:1397-402

- 1 6 Kahan A, Devaux JY, Amor B et al. Nicardipine improves myocardial perfusion in  
2 systemic sclerosis. *J Rheumatol* 1988;15:1395-400
- 3 7 Kahan A, Devaux JY, Amor B, et al. Pharmacodynamic effect of nicardipine on left  
4 ventricular function in systemic sclerosis. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol* 1990;15:249-53
- 5 8 Kahan A, Devaux JY, Amor B, et al. The effect of captopril on thallium 201  
6 myocardial perfusion in systemic sclerosis. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1990;47:483-9
- 7 9 Duboc D, Kahan A, Maziere B, et al. The effect of nifedipine on myocardial  
8 perfusion and metabolism in systemic sclerosis. A positron emission tomographic  
9 study. *Arthritis Rheum* 1991;34:198-203
- 10 10 Allanore Y, Meune C, Vonk MC et al. Prevalence and factors associated with left  
11 ventricular dysfunction in the EULAR Scleroderma Trial and Research group  
12 (EUSTAR) database of patients with systemic sclerosis. *Ann Rheum Dis*  
13 2010;69:218-21
- 14 11 Lee SW, Choi EY, Jung SY et al. E/E' ratio is more sensitive than E/A ratio for  
15 detection of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in patients with systemic sclerosis.  
16 *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2010;28(Suppl58):S12-7
- 17 12 Follansbee WP, Curtiss EI, Rahko PS, et al. The electrocardiogram in systemic  
18 sclerosis (scleroderma). Study of 102 consecutive cases with functional correlations  
19 and review of the literature. *Am J Med* 1985;79:183-9
- 20 13 Kostis JB, Seibold JR, Turkevich D et al. Prognostic importance of cardiac  
21 arrhythmias in systemic sclerosis. *Am J Med* 1988;84:1007-15
- 22 14 Vacca A, Meune C, Gordon J et al. Scleroderma Clinical Trial Consortium  
23 Cardiac Subcommittee. Cardiac arrhythmias and conduction defects in systemic  
24 sclerosis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2014; 53:1172-7
- 25 15 Van den Hoogen F, Khanna D, Fransen J et al. 2013 classification criteria for  
26 systemic sclerosis: an American College of Rheumatology/ European League  
27 Against Rheumatism collaborative initiative. *Arthritis Rheum* 2013;65:2737-47.
- 28 16 Walker UA, Tyndall A, Czirják L et al. Clinical risk assessment of organ  
29 manifestations in systemic sclerosis: a report from the EULAR Scleroderma Trials  
30 and Research group database. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2007;66:754-63

- 1 17 Valentini G, Bencivelli W, Bombardieri S et al. European Scleroderma Study  
2 Group to define disease activity criteria for systemic sclerosis. III. Assessment of the  
3 construct validity of the preliminary activity criteria. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2003;62:901–  
4 903
- 5 18 Steen VD, Medsger TA Jr. Severe organ involvement in systemic sclerosis with  
6 diffuse scleroderma. *Arthritis Rheum* 2000;43:2437-44
- 7 19 Nevskaya T, Baron M, Pope JE; Canadian Scleroderma Research Group.  
8 Predictive value of European Scleroderma Group Activity Index in an early  
9 scleroderma cohort. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2017;56:1111-1122
- 10 20 Mihai C, Landewé R, van der Heijde D et al. Digital ulcers predict a worse  
11 disease course in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2016;75: 681-  
12 686
- 13 21 Tyndall AJ1, Bannert B, Vonk M et al. Causes and risk factors for death in  
14 systemic sclerosis: a study from the EULAR Scleroderma Trials and Research  
15 (EUSTAR) database. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2010;69:1809-15
- 16 22 Elhai M, Meune C, Boubaya M et al. Mapping and predicting mortality from  
17 systemic sclerosis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017;76:1897-1905
- 18 23 Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, et al. 2016 European guidelines on  
19 cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: The sixth joint task force of  
20 the European Society of Cardiology and other societies on cardiovascular disease  
21 prevention in clinical practice (constituted by representatives of 10 societies and by  
22 invited experts): Developed with the special contribution of the European  
23 association for cardiovascular prevention & rehabilitation (EACPR). *Eur Heart J*.  
24 2016;37:2315–2381
- 25 24 Lydersen S. Statistical review: frequently given comments. . *Ann Rheum Dis*  
26 2015;74: 323–325
- 27 25 Karagrigoriou A. Frailty Models in Survival Analysis. *Journal of Applied Statistics*  
28 2011;38:2988-2989
- 29 26 Kazzam E, Caidhal K, Hilgren R, et al. Non-invasive evaluation of long-term  
30 effects of captopril in systemic sclerosis. *J Intern Med* 1991;230: 203-12

- 1 27 Iudici M, Fasano S, Gabriele Falcone L et al. Low-dose aspirin as primary  
2 prophylaxis for cardiovascular events in systemic lupus erythematosus: a long-term  
3 retrospective cohort study. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2016; 55:1623-30
- 4 28 Fasano S, Pierro L, Pantano I et al. Longterm Hydroxychloroquine Therapy and  
5 Low-dose Aspirin May Have an Additive Effectiveness in the Primary Prevention of  
6 Cardiovascular Events in Patients with Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. *J*  
7 *Rheumatol* 2017; 44: 1032-1038
- 8 29 Iacono D, Fasano S, Pantano I et al. Low-Dose Aspirin as Primary Prophylaxis  
9 for Cardiovascular Events in Rheumatoid Arthritis: An Italian Multicentre  
10 Retrospective Study. *Cardiol Res Pract* 2019: 2748035
- 11 30 Ntelis K, Solomou EE, Sakkas L et al. The role of platelets in autoimmunity,  
12 vasculopathy, and fibrosis: Implications for systemic sclerosis. *Semin Arthritis*  
13 *Rheum* 2017;47:409-417
- 14 31 Kahaleh MB, Osborn I, LeRoy EC. Elevated Levels of Circulating Platelet  
15 Aggregates and Beta-Thromboglobulin in Scleroderma. *Ann Intern Med.*  
16 1982;96:610–613.
- 17 32 Moinzadeh P, Riemekasten G, Siegert E et al. German Network for Systemic  
18 Scleroderma. Vasoactive Therapy in Systemic Sclerosis: Real-life Therapeutic  
19 Practice in More Than 3000 Patients. *J Rheumatol* 2016; 43:66-74
- 20 33 Matucci-Cerinic, M, Kahaleh, B, Wigley, FM. Evidence that systemic sclerosis is  
21 a vascular disease [review]. *Arthritis Rheum* 2013; 65: 1953– 62
- 22 34 Montanelli G, Beretta L, Santaniello A et al. Effect of dihydropyridine calcium  
23 channel blockers and glucocorticoids on the prevention and development of  
24 scleroderma renal crisis in an Italian case series. *Clin Exp Rheumatol*  
25 2013;31(Suppl 76):135-9
- 26 35 Hudson M, Baron M, Tatibouet S, et al. Exposure to ACE inhibitors prior to the  
27 onset of scleroderma renal crisis-Results from the International Scleroderma Renal  
28 Crisis Survey. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2014;43:666-72