


## CORRIGENDUM

## How experimental procedures influence estimates of metacognitive ability

Dobromir Rahnev <sup>1,\*</sup> and Stephen M. Fleming<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Psychology, Georgia Institute of Technology, 654 Cherry Str NW, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA; <sup>2</sup>Wellcome Centre for Human Neuroimaging, University College London, London, WC1N 3BG, UK and; <sup>3</sup>Max Planck UCL Centre for Computational Psychiatry and Ageing Research, University College London, London, WC1B 5EH, UK

\*Correspondence address. Georgia Institute of Technology, 654 Cherry Str NW, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA. Tel: 404-894-2680; Fax: 404-894-8905; E-mail: rahnev@psych.gatech.edu

*Neuroscience of Consciousness*, Volume 2019, Issue 1, 2019, <https://doi.org/10.1093/nc/niz009>

In the original version of this article, the section 'The reason for metacognitive inflation' contained a small error. The corrected sentence reads as follows:

Therefore, metacognitive noise likely contributed to the increase in metacognitive efficiency scores (meta- $d'/d'$  and meta- $d'-d'$ ) in the current analyses but not to the increase in metacognitive sensitivity scores (meta- $d'$ , type 2 AUC, and  $\phi$ ) (Bang et al. 2019).

The authors would like to apologize for any confusion this may have caused.