SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

FULL TITLE: Factors influencing return for maintenance treatment with Percutaneous Tibial Nerve Stimulation for the management of the overactive bladder

PTNS Service Evaluation

Introduction

Qu1

Thank you for taking the time to answer our brief questionnaire regarding your experience with the percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS) clinic at the National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery (NHNN).

Your feedback is very important to us.

O(l., ID	
Study ID	
Please enter your <u>8-digit</u> study ID:	
Please re-enter your <u>8-digit</u> study ID:	
PTNS Service Evaluation	
	tment?
a. ⊝ _{Yes} b. ⊝ _{No}	tment?
a. ○ _{Yes} b. ○ _{No}	tment?
a. O Yes b. O No PTNS Service Evaluation	ou have one (or more) top-up PTNS
a. O Yes b. O No PTNS Service Evaluation After completing the initial 10-12 PTNS sessions, did you sessions?	
After completing the initial 10-12 PTNS sessions, did yo sessions? Yes, I continue to have PTNS top-ups. Yes, I used to come for PTNS top-ups but I stopped.	ou have one (or more) top-up PTNS If yes to question 2a, skipped to
	ou have one (or more) top-up PTNS If yes to question 2a, skipped to Qu.7-10. Skipped Qu11.

What reason(s), if any, did you have for stopping PTNS treatment/top-ups?

Please select all that apply. You may select more than one answer in each section.

Qu3.	PIN	NS Treatment	Cooring
a.		My symptoms improved to the point where I no longer needed PTNS treatment.	Scoring Yes =1
b.		I experienced good results at first; however the treatment stopped being effective.	No = 0
C.		I experienced some improvement in my symptoms but my treatment goals were not met.	NO - 0
d.		The treatment never had any effect on my symptoms.	
e.		I experienced bothersome side effects due to PTNS treatment.	
f.		The treatment procedure was uncomfortable.	
g.		The treatment sessions took too long.	
h.		I started an alternative treatment for my symptoms.	
i.		None	
j.		Other (please specify)	
04	٥		
Qu4.			
		I felt uncomfortable in the hospital environment.	
		I felt uncomfortable with the clinic staff.	
		I felt uncomfortable with having other patients in the room whilst I was receiving my treatment	
		The waiting times in the clinic before each PTNS session were too long.	
		It was difficult to contact the clinic to schedule PTNS top-up sessions.	
		I forgot to attend the PTNS clinic.	
		I forgot to schedule top-up sessions.	
		None	
I.	Ш	Other (please specify)	
Qu5.	Tra	vel and Organization	
		It took me a long time to reach the clinic.	
		It was too expensive to travel to the clinic.	
		It was difficult for me to reach the clinic for mobility reasons.	
		It was difficult for me to reach the clinic for transport reasons.	
		It was difficult to commit to the treatment schedule.	
f.		The PTNS clinic took place at a time that was inconvenient for me.	
g.		None	
h.		Other (please specify)	

Qu6. Personal Reasons
a. My health condition prevented me from coming to the clinic.
b.
C. My GP advised an alternative treatment.
d. \square I have family obligations, which made it difficult for me to attend the clinic.
e. None
f. Other (please specify)
PTNS Service Evaluation
What factors, if any, pose a problem to you with regards to continuing PTNS treatment?
Please select all that apply. You may select more than one answer in each section.
Qu7. PTNS Treatment
a. I experienced good results at first, however the treatment has stopped being effective.
b. I experience good results but the effects wear off rapidly between PTNS sessions.
C. I experienced some improvement in my symptoms, but my treatment goals have not been met.
d. The treatment has never had any effect on my symptoms.
e. I experience bothersome side effects due to PTNS treatment.
f. The treatment procedure is uncomfortable.
g. The treatment sessions take too long.
h. I prefer a different treatment for my symptoms.
i. None
j. Other (please specify)
Qu8. Clinic
a. I feel uncomfortable in the hospital environment.
b. I feel uncomfortable with the clinic staff.
C. I feel uncomfortable with having other patients in the room whilst I was receiving my treatment
d. The waiting times in the clinic before each PTNS session are too long.
e. U It is difficult to contact the clinic to schedule PTNS top-up sessions.
f. I forget to attend the PTNS clinic.
9· ☐ I forget to schedule top-up sessions.
h. None
i. Other (please specify)

Qu9. Tra	vel and Organization
a. 🗌	It takes me a long time to reach the clinic.
b. 🗌	It is too expensive to travel to the clinic.
c. 🗌	It is difficult for me to reach the clinic for mobility reasons.
d. 🗌	It is difficult for me to reach the clinic for transport reasons.
е. 🗌	It is difficult to commit to the treatment schedule.
f. 🗌	The PTNS clinic takes place at a time that is inconvenient for me.
g. 🗌	None
h. 🗌	Other (please specify)
_	rsonal Reasons
a. □	My health condition prevents me from coming to the clinic.
	My other symptoms require more attention.
	My GP advised an alternative treatment.
	I have family obligations, which make it difficult for me to attend the clinic.
, –	None.
f. 🗌	Other (please specify)
DEN	
PIN	S Service Evaluation
Qu11. Wh	at was your <u>main</u> reason for stopping PTNS treatment/top-ups?
PTN	S Service Evaluation
042 5	Language Committee of the Committee of t
	you notice any changes in your symptoms following your last session of PTNS?
a. ⊖ b. ⊝	Yes, my symptoms improved.
р. ○ с. ○	Yes, my symptoms worsened.
c. ⊖ d. ⊖	No, my symptoms stayed the same.
e. O	Don't know.
€. ⊖	Prefer not to say.
PTN	S Service Evaluation

Qu13. After the initial 10-12 weeks, how long did the treatment effect last approximately?
a. O Not applicable.
b. O Less than 1 week.
C. O 1-3 weeks
d. O 4-6 weeks
e. O 7-12 weeks
f. O 4-6 months
g. O 7-12 months
h. O More than 1 year
i. O Don't remember.
PTNS Service Evaluation
Qu14. What future changes, if any, would you like to see in the PTNS clinic? Please select all that apply.
a. Flexible scheduling of treatment sessions.
b. Written reminders for every treatment session.
C. Shorter waiting times.
d. Stick-on patch (surface electrode) instead of needle-based stimulation.
e. None
f. Other (please specify)
PTNS Service Evaluation
A number of alternative options to PTNS treatment are currently being investigated. These may
become available in future.
Qu15. Which treatment options, if any, would you be intersted in? Please select all that apply.
a. PTNS treatment available for at-home use.
b. PTNS treatment available at your local GP's office.
C. Stick-on patch (surface electrode) instead of needle-based stimulation.
d. \Box A small stimulation device surgically inserted in the ankle to provide continuous stimulation of the nerve.
e. None
PTNS Service Evaluation

	Very dissatisfied.	dissatisfied.	Neutral.	Somewhat satisfied.	Very satisfied.	Extremely satisfied.
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3
	-3	-3 -2	-3 -2 -1	-3 -2 -1 0	-3 -2 -1 0 1	-3 -2 -1 0 1 2





PTNS Satisfaction survey

Please complete the questions to describe your experience of PTNS:

1	The	treatment is	comfortable to	have
	1110	u cauncii is	COMMONIANIC IX	JIIUVC

Strongly Disagree---1-----3-----4----5----6-----7---Strongly Agree

2. The treatment improved my bladder symptoms

Strongly Disagree---1-----3-----5----6-----7---Strongly Agree

3. Overall I am satisfied with the treatment

Strongly Disagree---1-----3-----4----5----6-----7---Strongly Agree

4. I would recommend the treatment to a friend for this use

Strongly Disagree---1-----3-----4----5----6-----7---Strongly Agree

5. I would like to continue with this treatment

Yes/No

If you have answered yes to question 5, could you please tell us why you would like treatment to be continued?

Group		1		2	3		
	No	on-Responders		esponders, not returning for maintenance treatment		Responders, returning for maintenance treatment	
N =		25		17		31	
	Pre	-Treatment scor	es +		•		
	N	Mean (SD)	N	Mean (SD)	N	Mean (SD)	
ICIQ-OAB Score	23	9.04 (3.96)	17	9.41 (2.69)	29	9.05 (2.4)	
ICIQ-LUT symptoms QOL Score	21	53.71 (11.23)	17	51.12 (10.67)	26	51.5 (9.77)	
3-day avg. Mean Voided Volume	21	173.38 (75.3)	15	160.2 (34.23)	26	176.1 (57.71)	
3-day avg. Max. Voided Volume	20 325.5 (148.4)		15	277.2 (71.26)	26	291.86 (98.45)	
3-day avg. # voids in 24h	21	12.92 (4.96)	15	12.16 (5.47)	26	13.04 (4.47)	
3-day avg. daytime voids	21	11.56 (4.83)	15	10.62 (4.73)	26	11.38 (4.17)	
3-day avg. nighttime voids	21	1.37 (0.91)	15	1.58 (1.03)	26	1.67 (0.97)	
3-day avg. mean urge score	19	2.43 (0.97)	14	14 2.4 (0.8)		2.6 (0.7)	
3-day avg. # of leakages	21	3.44 (4.77)	15 2.22 (4.1)		26	2.44 (2.95)	
3-day avg. mean leak severity	21	1.14 (9.04)	15	0.59 (0.69)	26	1.04 (0.75)	

S Table 1 Baseline Characteristics and Pre-Treatment Scores.

Pre-Treatment scores + ANOVA, Bonferroni-Posttest – no sig. differences between groups.

Group		1		2		3					
	R	Non- esponders	re ma	ponders, not turning for aintenance reatment	Responders, returning for maintenance treatment		2- way	Bonferroni-Posttest			
N =		25		17		31	ANO VA		roni-Pos en group		
	N	Mean (SD)	N	Mean (SD)	N	Mean (SD)	p- value	1 vs. 2	2 vs.	1 vs.	
ICIQ-OAB 3a Frequency ICIQ-OAB 3b	15	-0.13 (0.83)	13	-0.08 (0.86)	27	-0.41 (1.14)	0.540	1.000	1.000	1.00 0	
Frequency- Bother	15	0.07 (2.02)	13	-1.62 (3.2)	22	* -1.75 (2.68)	0.108	0.303	1.000	0.14 0	
ICIQ-OAB 4a Nocturia	16	-0.19 (0.66)	13	-0.15 (0.38)	27	* -0.48 (0.9)	0.306	1.000	0.594	0.64 8	
ICIQ-OAB 4b Nocturia-Bother	16	0.38 (1.86)	13	-1.0 (1.78)	26	-0.96 (2.92)	0,180	0.399	1.000	0.26	
ICIQ-OAB 5a Urgency	15	0.37 (0.9)	13	* -0.69 (0.85)	26	* -0.67 (0.76)	* 0.001	* 0.004	1.000	0.00 1	
ICIQ-OAB 5b Urgency-Bother	14	0.43 (2.28)	13	-1.62 (2.72)	23	-1.04 (2.57)	0.099	0.125	1.000	0.27 9	
ICIQ-OAB 6a Leak ICIQ-OAB 6a	15	0.07 (0.88)	13	* -0.62 (0.77) -1.62	26	* -0.33 (0.68) -1.12	0.066	0.065	0.808	0.35 0 0.43	
Leakage-Bother	15	0.33 (2.35)	13	(3.18)	25	(3.24)	0.196	0.279	1.000	4	
ICIQ-OAB Score	16	0.09 (1.71)	13	* -1.54 (1.85) * -5.85	27	* -1.85 (2.28) * -4.54	* 0.012 *	0.110	1.000	0.01	
ICIQ-OAB Score Bother	16	1.13 (5.3)	13	(7.02)	25	(8.13)	0.019	0.035	1.000	0.05	
ICIQ-OAB OABA + OABB	16	2.84 (9.39)	13	* -7.38 (8.28)	26	* -5.42 (9.78)	* 0.008	* 0.015	1.000	0.02 2	
ICIQ-LUT symptoms QOL Qu.3a	15	-0.13 (0.83)	15	-0.53 (1.06)	26	-0.27 (0.83)	0.463	0.681	1.000	1.00 0	
ICIQ-LUT symptoms QOL Qu.3b	15	0.33 (3.35)	15	* -2.47 (3.72)	25	* -1.32 (2.53)	0.055	0.052	0.795	0.33 0	
ICIQ-LUT symptoms QOL Qu.4a	15	-0.13 (0.52)	15	-0.6 (1.18)	25	* -0.56 (0.77)	0.236	0.415	1.000	0.39 0	
ICIQ-LUT symptoms QOL Qu.4b	15	-0.4 (1.88)	15	-1.4 (3)	25	* -2.12 (2.83)	0.150	0.924	1.000	0.15 9	
ICIQ-LUT symptoms QOL Qu.5a	14	-0.29 (0.73)	14	-0.36 (1.28)	26	* -0.38 (0.64)	0.942	1.000	1.000	1.00	
ICIQ-LUT symptoms QOL Qu.5b	14	-0.14 (2.28)	14	-1.64 (2.98)	26	* -1.58 (2.93)	0.252	0.483	1.000	0.38	
ICIQ-LUT symptoms QOL Qu.6a	15	-0.07 (0.7)	15	-0.33 (0.9)	27	-0.26 (0.86)	0.659	1.000	1.000	1.00 0	
ICIQ-LUT symptoms QOL Qu.6b	15	-0.13 (2.8)	15	-1.27 (3.1)	27	* -1.44 (2.95)	0.374	0,894	1.000	0.52 2	
ICIQ-LUT symptoms QOL Qu.7a	15	-0.33 (0.62)	15	-0.27 (1.03)	27	* -0.37 (0.74)	0.922	1.000	1.000	1.00	
ICIQ-LUT symptoms QOL Qu.7b	15	-0.87 (2.23)	15	-1.2 (3.3)	27	* -1.67 (2.56)	0.640	1.000	1.000	1.00	

ICIQ-LUT	1			1			1	1		
symptoms QOL		-0.33		-0.13		* -0.59				1.00
Qu.8a	15	(0.82)	15	(1.06)	27	(0.84)	0.275	1.000	0.355	0
ICIQ-LUT										*
symptoms QOL		-0.47				* -2.93			*	0.02
Qu.8b	15	(2.45)	15	-0.6 (3.54)	27	(2.63)	* 0.01	1.000	0.043	9
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL				-0.33		* -0.36				1.00
Qu.9a	15	-0.4 (1.18)	15	(1.23)	28	(0.68)	0.982	1.000	1.000	0
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL		-0.47		-0.36		* -1.82				0.78
Qu.9b	15	(4.67)	14	(3.93)	28	(3.03)	0.370	1.000	0.710	8
ICIQ-LUT										*
symptoms QOL		-0.71					*	* 0-		0.03
Qu.10a	14	(1.27)	15	0.27 (0.8)	27	0.07 (0.78)	0.013	0.019	1.000	8
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL		-1.53				-0.56	*	*		0.72
Qu.10b	15	(3.02)	14	1.29 (2.84)	25	(1.96)	0.014	0.012	0.099	9
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL						-0.07				0.89
Qu.11a	15	-0.4 (1.06)	15	0.07 (0.96)	28	(0.94)	0.402	0.587	1.000	2
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL						-1.19				1.00
Qu.11b	15	-0.53 (2.5)	15	0.73 (4.65)	26	(3.41)	0.262	1.000	0.310	0
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL		-0.07				-0.26				1.00
Qu.12a	15	(0.96)	15	-0.27 (0.8)	27	(1.02)	0.794	1.000	1.000	0
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL				-0.27		* -1.57				0.14
Qu.12b	15	0.53 (2.39)	15	(3.03)	23	(3.51)	0.121	1.000	0.638	0
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL		-0.36		-0.53		-0.26				1.00
Qu.13a	14	(0.63)	15	(0.99)	27	(0.94)	0.637	1.000	1.000	0
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL		-0.29		* -1.8		* -1.58				0.48
Qu.13b	14	(1.64)	15	(2.83)	24	(3.09)	0.264	0.417	1.000	2
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL		-0.29		-0.27		* -0.38				1.00
Qu.14a	14	(0.73)	15	(1.33)	26	(0.94)	0.924	1.000	1.000	0
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL		-0.36		-0.21		-1.08				1.00
Qu.14b	14	(2.65)	14	(4.21)	25	(3.41)	0.705	1.000	1.000	0
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL						* -0.48	*		*	0.15
Qu.15a	14	0 (0.39)	15	0.13 (0.52)	27	(0.94)	0.023	1.000	0.036	4
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL		,		-0.21		* -1.42				0.05
Qu.15b	14	0.5 (1.51)	14	(2.64)	26	(2.64)	0.050	1.000	0.405	8
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL	1	0 4 4 40 == 5		0.5 (5 ==:		-0.11		0.55-	4	0.84
Qu.16a	14	0.14 (0.53)	15	-0.2 (0.56)	27	(0.85)	0.403	0.600	1.000	9
ICIQ-LUT							_			_
symptoms QOL				-0.43		-0.76	*	_		*
Qu.16b	14	1.36 (2.9)	14	(1.91)	25	(2.67)	0.048	0.212	1.000	0.05
ICIQ-LUT										_
symptoms QOL		-0.36					_			1.00
Qu.17a	14	(0.84)	15	-0.2 (0.56)	27	-0.15 (0.6)	0.629	1.000	1.000	0
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL		-0.17		-0.43		-0.24				1.00
Qu.17b	12	(1.75)	14	(3.46)	25	(2.13)	0.960	1.000	1.000	0
ICIQ-LUT			1							*
symptoms QOL				-0.13		* -0.52	*			0.04
symptoms QOL Qu.18a	14	0.21 (0.89)	15	-0.13 (0.99)	27	* -0.52 (0.8)	* 0.043	0.874	0.536	0.04 3
symptoms QOL Qu.18a ICIQ-LUT	14	0.21 (0.89)	15	(0.99)	27	(8.0)		0.874	0.536	3
symptoms QOL Qu.18a	14	0.21 (0.89)	15		27			0.874	0.536	

		1		1		1				1
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL				-0.13		-0.07				1.00
Qu.19a	14	-0.21 (0.7)	15	(0.74)	27	(1.11)	0.900	1.000	1.000	0
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL				-1.14		-0.08				1.00
Qu.19b	14	-0.5 (1.87)	14	(3.06)	25	(2.64)	0.474	1.000	0.673	0
ICIQ-LUT		0.0 ()		(0.00)		(=:0:)	0		0.0.0	
symptoms QOL		-0.14		-0.07		* -0.37				1.00
Qu.20a	14	(0.86)	15	(0.88)	27	(0.93)	0.531	1.000	0.899	0
ICIQ-LUT	14	(0.00)	13	(0.00)	21	(0.93)	0.551	1.000	0.033	U
				0.47		0.00				4.00
symptoms QOL	40	0.00 (0.5)	4.5	-0.47	0.5	-0.92	0.007	4 000	4 000	1.00
Qu.20b	13	-0.62 (3.5)	15	(3.31)	25	(3.15)	0.907	1.000	1.000	0
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL		-0.15				-0.27				1.00
Qu.21a	13	(0.99)	15	-0.4 (1.06)	26	(0.92)	0.800	1.000	1.000	0
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL		-1.15		-1.47		* -1.63				1.00
Qu.21b	13	(2.34)	15	(3.56)	27	(3.03)	0.898	1.000	1.000	0
ICIQ-LUT										
symptoms QOL		-0.38		-1.07		* -2.4				0.08
Qu.22b	13	(2.47)	15	(2.52)	25	(2.77)	0.068	1.000	0.381	9
ICIQ-LUT		,								
symptoms QOL		* -4.07		-4.27		* -5.35				1.00
Sum Score	14	(4.1)	15	(10.98)	26	(6.9)	0.852	1.000	1.000	0
ICIQ-LUT		,		(10100)		()				
symptoms QOL										
Sum Score-		-2.86		-13.5		* -23.42				0.20
Bother	14	(17.78)	14	(42.06)	24	(33.33)	0.182	1.000	1.000	5
ICIQ-LUT	17	(17.70)	17	(42.00)		(00.00)	0.102	1.000	1.000	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
symptoms QOL		* -33.44		-37.25		* -28.21				1.00
QOLA+QOLB	16	(62.64)	16	(74.18)	24	(38.58)	0.885	1 000	1.000	0
	10	·	10		24	, ,	0.000	1.000	1.000	_
3-day avg. Mean	40	17.73	40	-3.85	0.5	2.34	0.407	0.700	4 000	0.92
Voided Volume	16	(42.52)	12	(47.18)	25	(49.17)	0.437	0.700	1.000	9
3-day avg. Max.	4.0	11.87	4.0	-0.97		-4.01	0.000	4 000	4 000	1.00
Voided Volume	16	(99.72)	12	(58.28)	25	(82.25)	0.833	1.000	1.000	0
3-day avg. #	l	-0.63				* -1.78				1.00
voids in 24h	17	(3.59)	12	1.67 (6.25)	26	(3.02)	0.062	0.422	0.057	0
3-day avg.		-0.51				* -1.4				1.00
daytime voids	17	(3.35)	12	1.17 (5.65)	26	(2.94)	0.163	0.738	0.174	0
3-day avg.							*		*	0.06
nighttime voids	17	0.27 (1.14)	12	0.39 (0.93)	26	* -0.4 (0.7)	0.016	1.000	0.046	0
							-		-	*
3-day avg. mean						* -0.33	*			0.01
urge score	16	0.32 (0.7)	11	0.11 (0.66)	22	(0.67)	0.017	1.000	0.274	7
3-day avg. # of		-0.06		` ′		* -0.75				0.73
leakages	17	(2.14)	12	0.28 (2.76)	26	(1.06)	0.244	1.000	0.370	0
3-day avg. mean		-0.13		- (-)		* -0.43				0.59
leak severity	17	(0.38)	12	0.11 (1.17)	26	(0.66)	0.102	1.000	0.123	3
C. Talala O. Transfers	<u>''-</u>	\0.00/	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		- (0.00)	5.102	1.000	5.120	

S Table 2 Treatment Response between week 0 and week 12 per group.

Group		1		2		3					
	R	Non- esponders	re m	sponders, not eturning for aintenance treatment	re m	esponders, eturning for aintenance treatment	Group effect based	Bonferroni-Posttest			
N = 25				17		31	on 2-way ANOVA	between groups			
	N	Mean (SD)	Ν	Mean (SD)	Ν	Mean (SD)	p-value	1 vs. 2	2 vs. 3	1 vs. 3	
"The treatment is comfortable to have"	17	5.59 (0.94)	15	5.87 (1.41)	21	5.55 (1.7)	0.781	1.000	1.000	1.000	
"The treatment improved my bladder symptoms"	17	2.97 (1.64)	14	4.07 (1.77)	22	5.2 (1.58)	* 0.0005	0.212	0.151	* 0.0003	
"Overall I am satisfied with the treatment"	17	3.38 (1.93)	14	4.86 (2.03)	21	5.81 (1.59)	* 0.0008	0.091	0.414	* 0.0005	
"I would recommend the treatment to a friend for this use"	16	4.94 (2.14)	14	6.14 (0.86)	22	6.48 (0.91)	* 0.005	0.068	1.000	* 0.005	
"I would like to continue with this treatment"	15	0 (0)	16	1 (0)	22	1 (0)					

S Table 3 Satisfaction Survey at week 12.

Group	1	2	3
	Non-Responders	Responders, not returning for maintenance treatment	Responders, returning for maintenance treatment
N =	25	17	31
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
Extremely dissatisfied	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Very dissatisfied	1 (4)	0 (0)	1 (3.2)
Somewhat dissatisfied	4 (16)	1 (5.9)	3 (9.7)
Neutral	4 (16)	1 (5.9)	4 (12.9)
Somewhat satisfied	6 (24)	6 (35.3)	3 (9.7)
Very satisfied	4 (16)	6 (35.3)	20 (64.5)
Extremely satisfied	5 (20)	3 (17.6)	0 (0)
Missing values	1 (4)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Pearson Chi-Square	1 vs. 2	2 vs. 3	3 vs. 1
p-value	0.489	* 0.031	* 0.006

S Table 4 PTNS Satisfaction according to PTNS-SEQ retrospectively.

Legends Supplementary Material

S Figure 1 Percutaneous Tibial Nerve Stimulation (PTNS) Service Evaluation Questionnaire.

S Figure 2 Satisfaction Survey.

S Table 1 Baseline Characteristics and Pre-Treatment Scores.

Pre-Treatment scores + ANOVA, Bonferroni-Posttest – no sig. differences between groups.

S Table 2 Treatment Response between week 0 and week 12 per group.

Changes within groups were calculated using T-Test, * p<0.05. Differences between groups were calculated using 2-way ANOVA, Bonferroni-Posttest between groups, * p<0.05.

S Table 3 Satisfaction Survey at week 12.

The survey asked questions addressing the satisfaction with the PTNS treatment. Answers ranked between 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). Differences between groups were calculated using 2-way ANOVA, Bonferroni-Posttest between groups, * p<0.05.

S Table 4 PTNS Satisfaction according to PTNS-SEQ retrospectively.

Differences between groups were calculated using Pearson Chi-Square Test, * p<0.05.