

1 **Article type: Progress Report**

2
3 **Title: Perovskite solar cells with ZnO electron transporting materials**

4
5
6 *Peng Zhang, Jiang Wu,* Ting Zhang, Yafei Wang, Detao Liu, Hao Chen, Long Ji,*

7
8
9 *Chunhua Liu, Waseem Ahmad, Zhi David Chen and Shibin Li**

10
11 Dr. Peng Zhang, Dr. Ting Zhang, Dr. Yafei Wang, Dr. Detao Liu, Dr. Hao Chen, Dr.

12
13
14 Long Ji, Dr. Chunhua Liu, Dr. Waseem Ahmad, Prof. Shibin Li

15
16
17 School of Optoelectronic Information

18
19
20 University of Electronic Science and Technology of China

21
22
23 Chengdu 610054, China.

24
25
26 E-mail: shibinli@uestc.edu.cn

27
28
29 Dr. Jiang Wu

30
31
32 Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering

33
34
35 University College London

36
37
38 Torrington Place, London WC1E7JE, UK.

39
40
41 E-mail: jiang.wu@ucl.ac.uk

42
43
44 Prof. Zhi David Chen

45
46
47 Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering and Center for Nanoscale Science

48
49
50 & Engineering

51
52
53 University of Kentucky

54
55
56 Lexington, Kentucky 40506, USA.

1 **Keywords**

2
3 perovskite solar cells, zinc oxide, electron transport materials, nanostructures,
4
5
6 photovoltaics
7

8
9 **Abstract**

10
11 Perovskite solar cells (PSCs) have been developed rapidly over the past few years,
12
13 and the power conversion efficiency (PCE) of PSCs has exceeded 20%. Such high
14
15 performance can be attributed to the unique properties, such as high absorption over
16
17 visible range and long diffusion length, of perovskite materials. Due to the different
18
19 diffusion length of holes and electrons, electron transporting material (ETM) used in
20
21 PSCs plays a critical role in PSCs performance. As an alternative to TiO₂ ETM, ZnO
22
23 materials have similar physical properties to TiO₂ but with much higher electron
24
25 mobility. In addition, there are many simple and facile methods to fabricate ZnO
26
27 nanomaterials with low cost and energy consumption. This review focuses on recent
28
29 developments in the use of ZnO ETM for PSCs. The fabrication methods of ZnO
30
31 materials are briefly introduced. The influence of different ZnO ETMs on performance
32
33 of PSCs is then reviewed. The limitations of ZnO ETM based PSCs and some solutions
34
35 to these challenges are also discussed. The review provides a systematic and
36
37 comprehensive understanding of the influence of different ZnO ETMs on PSCs
38
39 performance and potentially motivates further development of PSCs by extending the
40
41 knowledge of ZnO based PSCs to TiO₂ based PSCs.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1. Introduction

Organic-inorganic hybrid perovskite materials are one of the most promising candidates for high efficient and low-cost solar cells. The typical chemical formula of perovskite compounds is ABX_3 , as shown in **Figure 1(a)**, where A stands for an organic cation or organic cation group, like Cs^+ , $CH_3NH_3^+$ (MA), or $NH=CHNH_3^{3+}$ (FA), B is an inorganic cation, e.g. Pb^{2+} or Sn^{2+} , and X stands for a halogen ion, such as I, Cl and Br.^[1-10]




Figure 1. (a) The crystal structure of organic-inorganic hybrid perovskites, where A = cation or organic cation group, B = Pb^{2+} or Sn^{2+} and X = halogen ion. (b) The development history of PSCs. (c) - (f) the typical structures of PSCs: (c) n-i-p mesoporous structure; (d) n-i-p planar structure; (e) p-i-n planar structure; (f) p-i-n mesoporous structure.

1 Compared to an ordinary organic semiconductor or inorganic semiconductor, the
2
3 organic-inorganic hybrid perovskite shows unique electrical properties and optical
4
5 properties. Firstly, hybrid perovskite materials have a larger Bohr radius,^[11] a high
6
7 dielectric constant and a weak exciton binding energy,^[12, 13] which mean light induced
8
9 excitons will dissociate into free carriers quickly at room temperature. Secondly,
10
11 perovskite materials have a long carrier diffusion length and high carrier diffusion
12
13 velocity.^[14-20] Thirdly, the most used perovskite materials have a band gap of about 1.5
14
15 eV, which makes it an excellent light absorber in the UV-Vis range.^[11] All these
16
17 advantages mentioned above show that organic-inorganic hybrid perovskite materials
18
19 have a great potential in photovoltaics and potentially beyond.^[21]

27
28 In 2009, MAPbBr₃ nanocrystalines were first introduced into a dye-sensitized
29
30 solar cell (DSSC) as a sensitizer by Kojima et al., and the PCE of the perovskite
31
32 sensitized solar cell was 3.8%.^[22] In 2011, by improving the quality of mesoporous TiO₂
33
34 ETM and using MAPbI₃ quantum dots (QDs) as the sensitizer, Im et al. increased the
35
36 PCE of a PSC to 6.5%.^[23] However, both their solar cells show poor stability due to the
37
38 use of liquid electrolytes. The liquid electrolyte gradually dissolves perovskite under
39
40 irradiation, which seriously degrades solar cell performance. In 2012, Kim et al.
41
42 replaced the liquid electrolyte with a solid hole transporting material (HTM), spiro-
43
44 MeOTAD. The solid HTM dramatically improved the stability and efficiency of the
45
46 PSC, and the all solid solar cell yields a PCE of 9.7%.^[24] In 2013, Burschka et al.
47
48 replaced the traditional single step method with a novel sequential deposition method
49
50 and they obtained a high quality MAPbI₃ film. The films from different batches have
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 similar properties and deviation of solar cell performances is relatively small, which
2
3 show the good reproducibility of this method.^[25] By using this method, the PCE of the
4
5
6 PSCs soared to about 15%. In 2014, Zhou et al. used polyethyleneimine ethoxylated
7
8
9 (PEIE) and Y doped TiO₂ to modify the carrier extraction process at the electrode, and
10
11
12 combined with a composite MAPbI_{3-x}Cl_x perovskite material, the PCE of their solar
13
14 cell boosted to 19.3%.^[26]

15
16
17 Besides the methylammonium lead halide (MAPbI₃) materials, formamidinium
18
19 lead iodide (FAPbI₃) is another promising perovskite material. FAPbI₃ has more
20
21 suitable bandgap but poor stability.^[27] In 2015, Jeon et al. stabilized the FAPbI₃ by
22
23 incorporating MAPbBr₃ into FAPbI₃, and their strategy promoted the PCE of FAPbI₃
24
25 based solar cells from 4.3% to more than 18%.^[28-34] On the basis of Jeon's work,
26
27 through an intramolecular exchange method, Yang et al. fabricated a high quality
28
29 perovskite film by increasing the crystallization properties of the (FAPbI₃)_{1-x}
30
31 (MAPbBr₃)_x, and a maximum PCE of 20.2% was obtained.^[35] Almost at the same time,
32
33
34 Bi et al. increased the PCE to 20.8% by suppressing the recombination through residual
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Through this method, they obtained a stable solar cell with a high PCE of 21.1%. The latest record PCE had been reported by Yang et al. In their research, (FAPbI₃)_{1-x}(MAPbBr₃)_x was synthesized by an intramolecular exchanging process and additional iodide ions were introduced into perovskite to decrease the defects, and a record high PCE of 22.1% was obtained.^[38] The brief development history of PSCs is displayed in

Figure 1(b).

Based on the unique properties of perovskite materials, two kinds of device structures are usually used: mesoporous structure and planar structure. Both structures further contains two different types: the n-i-p type and p-i-n type. The schematic device structures are exhibited in Figure 1(c)-(f). The ETM here is used to compensate and balance the difference of hole and electron diffusion lengths.^[12] In addition, the ETM is a blocking layer that prevents holes from reaching the FTO electrode. For high performance solar cells, ETMs should meet the following criteria: (a) good optical transmittance in the visible range, which reduces the optical energy loss; (b) the energy levels of ETMs should match that of perovskite materials, which improve the electron extraction efficiency and block holes; (c) good electron mobility; (d) a high quality ETM film can be easy to obtain in terms of fabrication. As a result, the design and materials properties of the ETM are crucial for solar cell performance.^[11-13, 39]

TiO₂ is the most widely used ETM for PSCs. The proper band gap and high transmittance of TiO₂ guarantee the high performance of solar cells. However, to obtain a high quality compact or mesoporous TiO₂ film, high temperature annealing is unavoidable under most conditions, which restricts its application in flexible devices and increases the production cost. Additionally, electron mobility of perovskite materials is about 7.5 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹ and that of TiO₂ is in the range of 0.1 - 4 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹. The lower electron mobility in TiO₂ may cause the degradation of solar cell performance.^[40]

Besides the TiO₂ ETM, organic conducting materials, such as the fullerene and its derivatives have also been widely used as the ETM in PSCs.^[41-50] Collavini et al.

1 prepared PSCs based on the C70 and C60 ETM through a novel solution process. By
2
3 using this method, the PCE of PSCs based on the C70 and C60 ETM were 10.4% and
4
5
6 11.4%, respectively.^[51] On the basis of these works, Pascual et al. fabricated PSCs based
7
8
9 on MAPbI₃-C70 blend without any extra ETM. A PCE of 13.6% was obtained based
10
11
12 on this simple and efficient structure.^[52] Besides the pristine fullerene, the fullerene
13
14 derivative [6,6]-phenyl C61 butyric acid methyl ester (PCBM) is also widely used as
15
16
17 the ETM in PSCs. PCBM inherits the high electron mobility of fullerenes and shows a
18
19
20 good solubility due to the introduction of organic groups. Usually, Poly(3,4-
21
22 ethylenedioxythiophene) Polystyrene sulfonate (PEDOT:PSS) and PCBM are used
23
24
25 together as the HTM and ETM in PSCs respectively. Based on this group, a great deal
26
27
28 of researches have been carried out. Docampo et al. fabricated planar-heterojunction
29
30
31 PSCs, which obtained a PCE of 10% on glass substrates and over 6% on flexible
32
33
34 polymer substrates.^[53] Wu et al. obtained efficient invert PSCs with a PCE of 18% by
35
36
37 using a small amount of H₂O as an additive to the perovskite precursor solution.^[54]
38
39
40 Following this work, Chiang et al. fabricated a high quality thick MAPbI₃ film with
41
42
43 large grain sizes through the use of H₂O additives and DMF vapor treatment during the
44
45
46 two-step spin coating process. Based on this modified two-step process, a high PCE of
47
48
49 20.1% was obtained.^[55] As can be seen, the fullerene and its derivatives are also
50
51
52 efficient ETM for PSCs, the performance of PSCs based on fullerene derivatives is
53
54
55 comparable to that of TiO₂ based PSCs. Furthermore, high quality fullerene and its
56
57
58 derivatives can be fabricated by low temperature solution process, which is favorable
59
60
61 to reduce the cost. However, electron mobility in C60 film is about 1 cm²V⁻¹s⁻¹ and
62
63
64
65

1 that of PCBM is $2.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, both of which are lower than that of TiO_2 .^[56,57]

2
3 On the other hand, the position of valence band of fullerene and its derivatives (-6.3 eV
4
5
6 for C60, -6.0 eV for PCBM, compared with vacuum energy level) is higher than that of
7
8
9 TiO_2 (-8.0 eV, compared with vacuum energy level), which lead to a weaker hole
10
11
12 blocking ability.^[58,59] Additionally, according to the research of Bao et al., the fullerene
13
14 part of PCBM molecules interacts with oxygen and water, which results in device
15
16
17 degradation.^[60]

18
19
20 ZnO is another widely used ETM, which has attracted much attention as an
21
22 alternative to TiO_2 in DSSCs and polymer solar cells. Recently, ZnO based PSCs have
23
24 also been researched systematically. Although the best PCE of ZnO based PSCs is about
25
26
27 17%, which is inferior to that of TiO_2 based PSCs, ZnO is still the an efficient and
28
29
30 promising alternative to TiO_2 in PSCs.^[61] First of all, ZnO has very high transmittance
31
32
33 in the visible spectra and more importantly, is low in cost. As shown in **Figure 2(a)** and
34
35
36 **(b)**, ZnO has similar energy band position and physical properties to TiO_2 but much
37
38
39 higher electron mobility, which can potentially improve the electron transport
40
41
42 efficiency and reduce the recombination loss as an ETM.^[62-64] Secondly, ZnO is easy
43
44
45 to crystallize and dope. At the same time, the layered structure of ZnO crystal structure,
46
47
48 like shown in **Figure 2(c)**, lead to a different growth rates along different directions, as
49
50
51 a result, various ZnO nanostructures, such like nanowires,^[65-67] nanotubes,^[68,69]
52
53 nanobelts,^[70-72] nanorings,^[73-75] nanoflowers,^[76-78] nanorods and so on,^[79,80] can be
54
55
56 fabricated easily. Last but not least, high temperature annealing is not necessary for
57
58
59 most ZnO fabrication methods, which means that it can be produced with a lower cost
60
61
62
63
64
65

and thermal budget and thus be potentially used in flexible devices. These properties make ZnO a promising ETM for PSCs. Meanwhile, although most physical properties of ZnO and TiO₂ are similar, there are also some distinct properties for each material. As a result, studies on ZnO based solar cells enrich the family of PSCs, which will in turn help to improve the performance of PSCs.




Figure 2. (a) Comparison of the electrical properties of ZnO and TiO₂.^[61-63] (b) The energy level of ZnO, TiO₂ and other usually used materials in PSCs.^[63] (c) Three different crystal structures of ZnO. Schematics of the usually used ZnO synthesis methods: (d) RF sputtering, (e) atomic layer deposition and (f) sol-gel method.

In this article, we briefly summarized the common fabrication methods of ZnO materials and systematically reviewed the application of various types of ZnO ETMs in PSCs. The review shows the origin of different performances between ZnO ETM based PSCs and TiO₂ based PSCs. The important role of ZnO ETM preparation and optimization in solar cell performance is introduced. In addition, limitations of ZnO

1 ETMs are also discussed. This review tends to present a timely update on the recent
2
3 development of ZnO ETM based PSCs and provides some guidelines for further
4
5 optimization and design of PSCs based on ZnO ETMs and beyond.
6
7

8 9 **2. Planar PSCs with a compact ZnO film as the ETM**

10
11 As well known, perovskite materials have a long carrier diffusion length and
12
13 ambipolar behavior. In other words, perovskite materials can efficiently transport both
14
15 electrons and holes. This indicates that planar structure PSC is feasible. A simpler solar
16
17 cell structure without the mesoporous layer opens opportunities for potential
18
19 applications of PSCs in tandem solar cell and flexible devices. Thanks to the high
20
21 mobility of ZnO, to date, planar PSCs with a compact ZnO film as the ETM have been
22
23 widely studied.
24
25
26
27
28
29

30
31 To achieve high performance PSCs, a uniform and thin ZnO film with low density
32
33 of defects and pinholes is essential. The usually used ZnO compact films are prepared
34
35 by RF sputtering. RF sputtering method is a vacuum coating technology and its working
36
37 processes are shown in Figure 2(d). A gas, usually argon, is purged into a vacuum
38
39 chamber. Under a high frequency and high voltage electric field, it forms high energy
40
41 ion flow. The ionized gas particles bombard a target and materials sputtered off the
42
43 target deposited on a substrate and form a film made of the target material. The
44
45 important parameters influence the product properties include composition and purity
46
47 of target, work gas pressure, ratio of different work gases, RF power and so on. By
48
49 optimizing these parameters, a high quality ZnO film with high transmittance and good
50
51 electronic properties can be obtained.^[81, 82] Because of the high energy coating process
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

and vacuum coating process, the adhesion between the film and substrate is steady and the quality of the product film is highly repeatable. As a result, RF sputtering method is an efficient method to fabricate ZnO ETM for PSCs application.

Tseng et al. studied the influence of ZnO film quality and RF sputtering parameters on solar cell performance.^[83] In their research, compact ZnO films were fabricated by RF sputtering with different O₂/(Ar+O₂) ratios (0, 10%, 20%, marked as Zn-Ar, Zn-10% and Zn-20% respectively), and planar heterojunction PSCs with structure of ITO/ZnO/MAPbI₃/spiro-OMeTAD were fabricated.




Figure 3. The surface morphologies and cross section images of perovskite films deposited on the ZnO-Ar film (a, b), ZnO-10% film (c, d) and ZnO-20% film (e, f). (g) Low magnification cross section view of a perovskite film deposited on the ZnO-20% film. (h) The J-V curves of PSCs based on ZnO-Ar, ZnO-10% and ZnO-20% films. (i) Dark current voltage characteristics of solar cells based on ZnO-Ar, ZnO-10% and ZnO-20% films. (j) The schematics of ZnO-Ar and ZnO-20% energy levels. (k) The

1 PL spectra of perovskite/ZnO-Ar, perovskite/ZnO-10%, and perovskite/ZnO-20%
2
3 films. (l) Surface morphology of a thin layer of ZnO deposited on ITO glass. (m) UV-
4
5
6 Vis absorption and PL spectra of the Perovskite/ZnO/ITO/glass structures with
7
8
9 different ZnO layer thicknesses. (n) Time-resolved PL spectra of
10
11 Perovskite/ZnO/ITO/glass films at $\lambda = 768$ nm under 405 nm excitation. (o) The J-V
12
13
14 curves of perovskite solar cells based on ZnO films of different thicknesses.
15
16

17 The surface morphologies and cross section images of devices are shown in
18
19 **Figure 3(a-g)**. Different working gases have no obvious influence on the crystallinity
20
21 and morphology of ZnO films deposited on ITO surfaces and likewise for perovskite
22
23 films deposited on the ZnO surfaces. However, the performance of solar cells based on
24
25
26 different ZnO films are quite different. The PCE of PSCs based on ZnO films deposited
27
28
29 with different working gases are shown in Figure 3(h). As can be seen, solar cells
30
31
32 consist of ZnO films prepared under pure argon show the best PCE of 15.9%, and the
33
34
35 other solar cells based on ZnO films fabricated under O₂/Ar mixed gases show similar
36
37
38 PCEs of about 12%. Considering that other components in both types of cells are the
39
40
41 same, the increase in the PCE of the former can be attributed to the different fabrication
42
43
44 processes of ZnO films. As well known, under ideal conditions, the stoichiometric ZnO
45
46
47 is an insulator. However, due to the intrinsic defects existing in the lattice, ZnO
48
49
50 materials generally show electrical conductivity. When there is stoichiometry deviation,
51
52
53 in most cases, anion vacancies, interstitials, or oxygen defects are produced, which
54
55
56 distorts the corresponding energy level and forms additional electron and donor levels.
57
58
59 The donor levels are usually close to the conduction band edge. As a result, most ZnO
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 materials show the n-type character.^[84] Therefore, pure Ar gas used during RF
2
3 sputtering can lead higher oxygen deficiency concentration, which increases the
4
5 conductivity of ZnO and lower the series resistance. This is confirmed by the dark
6
7 current of solar cell which shown in Figure 3(i). As can be seen, solar cell based on
8
9 ZnO-Ar shows the smallest dark current compared with solar cells based on ZnO-10%
10
11 and ZnO-20%. In addition, when pure Ar gas is used during RF sputtering, the ZnO
12
13 valence band will down shift, like shown in Figure 3(j). Lower conduction and valance
14
15 band edge can enhance electron injection from perovskite to ZnO and block the hole
16
17 more efficiently, which can be proved by the weaker photoluminescence (PL) intensity
18
19 of perovskite film deposited on ZnO-Ar (shown in Figure 3(k)). Lower series resistance
20
21 and high electron extraction efficiency lead a higher Jsc and Voc. On the other hand,
22
23 the high charge extraction leads to smaller current hysteresis of solar cells based on the
24
25 ZnO-Ar ETM than that of solar cells based on the ZnO ETM deposited with Ar/O₂
26
27 mixed working gases.^[85]

28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39 Besides RF sputtering method, atomic layer deposition (ALD) technology is
40
41 another common method to fabricate ZnO compact films. ALD is a technology derived
42
43 from chemical vapor deposition (CVD), which has been widely used in semiconductor
44
45 industry to produce high quality semiconductor films. As shown in Figure 2(e), one
46
47 whole ALD deposition cycle is divided into four steps: 1) precursor A is pulse injected
48
49 into a reaction chamber and form a single molecule film on substrate by chemical
50
51 absorption; 2) the unreacted precursor is swept by an inert gas flow; 3) another
52
53 precursor B is injected into the reaction chamber and then reacts with the precursor A
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 absorbed on the substrate, and a thin product film is formed; 4) the excess precursor
2
3 and the by-products of the reaction are swept by the inert gas flow. Due to the limitation
4
5 of chemical absorption, only a single molecule film will be formed every circle.^[86] As
6
7 a result, the whole process is accurately controlled and the coverage of product film is
8
9 very high.^[87, 88] Moreover, different from the RF sputtering method, the ALD coating
10
11 process is more facile, which prevents the substrate from being damaged by high energy
12
13 ions.^[89]

20 Due to the facile process, high surface coverage and good electron transport ability
21
22 of product film, ALD has been widely used to fabricate ultrathin and compact high
23
24 quality ZnO ETM.^[87, 90, 91] For ALD-ZnO films, the film thickness is an important factor
25
26 affecting solar cell performance. Lee et al. systematically studied the effect of ZnO film
27
28 thickness on PSCs performance.^[92] In their research, they fabricated ZnO films with
29
30 thicknesses ranging from 5 nm to 40 nm by ALD method and prepared PSCs with the
31
32 structure of ITO/ZnO/MAPbI₃/Spiro-OMeTAD/MoO₃/Ag. As well known, the ITO
33
34 usually used has rough surface, as shown in Figure 3(l), as a result, the 5 nm ZnO film
35
36 can't fully cover the rough ITO surface, which forms a shunting path and decreases the
37
38 solar cell performance. Figure 3(m,n) show the ultraviolet-visible (UV-Vis) absorption
39
40 and PL spectra of perovskite/ZnO/ITO, as can be seen, with the thickness of ZnO film
41
42 increase from 10 nm to 30 nm, the exciton dissociation at the interface between ZnO
43
44 and perovskite is improved, which then improves the solar cell performance. Once the
45
46 ZnO film thickness exceeds 30 nm, the series resistance of the ZnO film increases,
47
48 which again decreases the photovoltaic performance. As a result, the best PCE of 7%
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

was achieved from the solar cell with 30 nm ZnO ETM. The J-V curves of PSCs based on the ZnO ETMs with different thicknesses are shown in Figure 3(o). In addition, according to research of Dong et al., the ALD ZnO film can promote the formation of MAPbI_{3-x}Cl_x due to the reaction between ALD-ZnO and MA₂Cl.^[93]




Figure 4. (a) The schematic illustration of an electrostatic spraying device. (b) The J-V curves of PSCs based on ZnO ETMs of different thicknesses. (c) The J-V curves of PSC with 25 nm ZnO nanoparticle film. (d) The surface morphology of perovskite on nanoparticle films. The surface morphology of perovskite films and cross section view of full PSC devices based on ZnO nanoparticles with size of 25nm (e, f), 40nm (g, h) and 50nm (i, j). (k) The J-V characteristics of PSCs based on ZnO mesoporous films with different sizes. (l) Time-resolved transient PL spectra of perovskite films on various ZnO mesoporous films. (m) The impedance spectra of PSCs based on ZnO ETMs of different particle sizes under 0.8 V applied bias in dark. The inset picture is

1 the equivalent circuit. (n) Absorption spectra and normalized steady-state PL spectra of
2
3 perovskite films deposited on different ZnO films.
4

5
6 ZnO compact films for planar PSCs can be also obtained from electrostatic
7
8 spraying method. The schematic illustration of electrostatic spraying device is shown
9
10 in **Figure 4(a)**. The general process of electrostatic spraying is that the precursor
11
12 solution is sprayed and deposited onto a substrate under high voltage. The properties of
13
14 ZnO films are tuned by changing substrate temperature, precursor solution flow rate
15
16 (droplet size), substrate-to-nozzle distance, applied voltage and deposition time.
17
18 Mahmood et al. reported planar PSCs based on ZnO films fabricated by the electrostatic
19
20 spraying method.^[94] In this research, they systematic studied the influence of spraying
21
22 parameters on the morphology of ZnO thin films and fabricated PSCs based on the
23
24 optimized ZnO film. According to their results, dense and uniform ZnO films can be
25
26 obtained from large applied voltage, high substrate temperature, longer substrate-to-
27
28 nozzle distance and suitable deposition time. Based on the mutual restriction between
29
30 these parameters, they optimized the ZnO films and prepared PSCs based on ZnO films
31
32 with different thicknesses furtherly. The J-V curves of these PSCs are shown in **Figure**
33
34 **4(b)**. As can be seen, with the increase of ZnO film thickness from 440 nm to 745 nm,
35
36 the PCE dropped from 10.8% to 3.4%. The drop of PCE mainly come from the increase
37
38 of series resistance induced by the thicker ZnO ETM. Although the electrostatic
39
40 spraying method has high deposition efficiency and good repeatability, ZnO film from
41
42 electrostatic spraying has much more pinholes than ZnO fabricated by RF sputtering
43
44 and ALD method.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

3. PSCs based on ZnO nanoparticle ETMs

Apparently, due to the simple device structure and easy fabrication process, the planar PSCs based on compact ZnO ETM have achieved certain success. However, in many cases, the planar PSCs show more serious hysteresis than that of nonplanar PSCs. It is worth noting that this is not always true because fabrication methods and other layers also play an important role.^[95-99] The so called hysteresis phenomenon is a change in shape of PSCs J-V curves under specific measurement conditions such as different voltage sweep rates, different sweep directions, or illumination history. Hysteresis lead to the underestimation of the real PSCs performance. To mitigate the hysteresis, the use of ETM with nanostructures, such as mesoporous structures, is a good solution, and mitigation of the hysteresis has been achieved for PSCs based on mesoporous TiO₂ ETM.^[2,100] In addition, fast separation and extraction of carrier can efficiently reduce the recombination rate and improve the charge collection, which facilitates the performance of PSCs. The photo-induced carriers in PSCs are mostly separated by the electric field at the interface between perovskite and ZnO.^[101] According the research of Kim et al., high density of states in the conduction band of perovskite will accumulate free carriers, which result in a longer extraction time.^[102] Therefore, the carrier extraction efficiency can be significantly improved by the use of nanostructures due to its large specific surface area than planar structure. Furthermore, nanostructures can also work as scattering centers and increase the light harvesting in PSCs.

As a common nanomaterial, ZnO nanoparticles can be used directly to form porous

1 and dense films via facile solution method. The traditional methods of synthesizing
2
3 ZnO nanoparticles are solution based synthesis methods. During the solution synthesis
4
5 process, all precursors reacted in a solution, which means that the reaction process can
6
7 be easily controlled. Among variety of solution based synthesis methods, sol-gel
8
9 method is the most widely used method. Sol-gel process is a method of synthesizing
10
11 materials at atmospheric pressure and low temperature.^[103] To fabricate ZnO by sol-gel
12
13 method, a common process is as follows. Firstly, a soluble Zn salt like Zn(NO₃)₂ or
14
15 Zn(CH₃COO)₂ together with CH₃COOH as the catalyst and ethanolamine as the
16
17 stabilizer are dissolved into an solvent such as 2-methoxyethanol to form a colloid
18
19 solution. The colloid solution is spin coated or sprayed onto a substrate to form a ZnO
20
21 film composed of ZnO nanoparticles. The diagram of this process is shown in Figure
22
23 2(f). The control of morphology, particle size and doping can be realized by changing
24
25 the reaction parameters and precursor component, which have been widely reported.^{[104-}
26
27 ^{108]} Due to its simple and controllable synthesis process, ZnO nanoparticle film has been
28
29 extensively studied and used as the ETM in traditional DSSCs and organic solar
30
31 cells.^[109-111] As for PSCs, ZnO nanoparticle films are first introduced by Liu et al.^[3]
32
33 They synthesized ZnO nanoparticles through a solution method and the general process
34
35 is that: KOH and Zn(CH₃COO)₂·2H₂O were dissolved in methanol respectively, then
36
37 KOH solution was added into Zn(CH₃COO)₂·2H₂O solution dropwise at 65 °C over 15
38
39 min. The mixed solution was stirred for 2.5 h at 65 °C. After the reaction, the precipitate
40
41 was washed twice by methanol and redispersed in a mixed solvent containing n-butanol,
42
43 methanol and chloroform. With the ZnO nanoparticle dispersion, ZnO nanoparticle
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 films with thickness range from 0 nm to 70 nm were deposited by spin coating.
2
3 Subsequently, they fabricated planar heterojunction PSCs with structure of
4 ITO/ZnO/MAPbI₃/spiro-OMeTAD/Ag. With the increase of ZnO film thickness from
5
6 0 nm to 25 nm, the PCE of PSCs improved from 2.4% to 14.4% and the PCE decrease
7
8 to 12.9% once the ZnO film thickness continues to increase to 70 nm. This result
9
10 consisted with the earlier reports on the effect of ZnO thickness mentioned above. To
11
12 ensure the reproducibility of the results, a large number of PSCs based on 25 nm ZnO
13
14 films were prepared, and a highest PCE of 15.7% was obtained, and the J-V curve of
15
16 best performing PSC is shown in Figure 4(c).
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 In addition, they found that the grain size of perovskite on planar ZnO film was
26
27 larger than that on mesoporous TiO₂ film, as shown in Figure 4(d). This is because that
28
29 when deposited on mesoporous film, the perovskite infiltrate into the pores and the
30
31 growth of perovskite grain will be restricted by the pore size. And when planar ZnO
32
33 films were used as ETM, without the limitation of mesoporous structure, larger
34
35 perovskite crystallite size was obtained. The larger grain size can reduce the grain
36
37 boundaries in perovskite films, which reduce recombination and series resistance.
38
39 Meanwhile, the crystallites also act as efficient light scattering centers, which increase
40
41 optical path and hence absorption. Both of these two factors will increase the J_{sc} of
42
43 PSCs.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 Apart from the film thickness, the particle size also affects the solar cell
53
54 performance. Zhang et al. systematically studied the ZnO nanoparticle size effect on
55
56 solar cell performance.^[112] In their research, they synthesized a series of ZnO
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 nanoparticles with different sizes (25 nm, 40 nm, 50 nm) and fabricated PSCs based on
2
3 the mesoporous ZnO ETMs (FTO/ZnO compact film/ZnO mesoporous
4
5 film/perovskite/spiro-OMeTAD/Au). The surface morphology of perovskite films and
6
7 cross section view of PSCs based on ZnO nanoparticles of different sizes are shown in
8
9 Figure 4(e-j). As can be seen, the influence of particle size on solar cell performance
10
11 mainly comes from the pore filling effect of perovskite into ZnO mesoporous films.
12
13 Poor filling of small particle (25 nm) films leads to serious recombination while large
14
15 particle films (50 nm) with high perovskite filling and a low contact area reduces the
16
17 charge injection efficiency from the perovskite absorber to the ZnO ETM layers. This
18
19 is consisted with the PL spectra, EIS test and UV-Vis absorption spectra results shown
20
21 in Figure 4(l-n). As a result, the best PCE of 15.92% was obtained from the film with
22
23 moderate particle size (40 nm), and for smaller and larger particle sizes, PCEs of 11.86%
24
25 and 13.20% were obtained, respectively, the J-V curves are shown in Figure 4(k).

26
27
28 Besides the widely used n-i-p solar cell structure mentioned above, the p-i-n solar
29
30 cell structure is also widely researched. When the p-i-n structure is used, the ETM will
31
32 be deposited on the top of the perovskite film rather than between perovskite and
33
34 transparent electrode. Compared with the organic ETM, the inorganic ZnO ETM has
35
36 strong resistance to oxygen and vapor in the air. As a result, ETMs made of ZnO
37
38 nanoparticle film can improve the stability of PSCs to some extent. You et al. reported
39
40 related research in 2016.^[41] In their research, they fabricated PSCs with structure of
41
42 ITO/NiO_x/Perovskite/ZnO/Al. Inorganic NiO_x and ZnO were respectively used as the
43
44 HTM and ETM to replace the conventionally used PEDOT:PSS and PCBM. The NiO_x
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 layer was prepared from a Ni precursor solution composed of ethylene glycol and
2
3 Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O. The precursor solution was spin coated on ITO and annealed to form
4
5 NiO_x film. The ZnO film was prepared by similar method reported by Liu et al.,^[3] but
6
7
8 in order to prevent perovskite film from being damaged, ZnO nanoparticle was
9
10 dispersed in chlorobenzene instead of methanol mixed solution. The solar cell with
11
12 metal oxide charge transport materials gained a PCE of 16.1%, and more importantly,
13
14 compared with solar cells using organic HTMs and ETMs, the stability of all metal
15
16 oxide solar cells was significantly improved: the PSCs with NiO_x and ZnO maintained
17
18
19 90% performance over 60 days of testing in ambient air at room temperature and with
20
21 30-50% humidity, however the performance of PSCs based on PEDOT:PSS and PCBM
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29 dropped dramatically.

30
31 Since the PCE and long term stability of PSCs have made great progress, the
32
33 extensive production of PSCs has become another area worth studying. While the most
34
35 widely used method to fabricate PSCs is spin coating, which is not suitable for mass
36
37 production, hence, the effective and low cost roll-to-roll method is a good alternative.
38
39 However, roll-to-roll method can be carried out only with the process temperature
40
41 within 100 °C - 150 °C.^[113] For widely used TiO₂ ETM, both planar and mesoporous
42
43 films need high temperature (450 °C) annealing. Although low temperature TiO₂
44
45 fabrication processes have been reported,^[114] the performance of PSCs based on low
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54 temperature TiO₂ is still not comparable with that based on high temperature TiO₂. As
55
56 for PEDOT:PSS and PCBM, the process temperature is no longer a limit, but the low
57
58 stability of PSCs can't be ignored.^[41] As a result, high quality ZnO nanoparticle films
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

with low process temperature are good choice for now.




Figure 5. (a) A diagram of the slot die coating system. (b) The surface morphology of PbI_2 film obtained from N_2 quenching slot die coating. (c) The surface morphology of perovskite film obtained from hot slot die coating. (d) The evolution of J-V characteristics with the different hot coating temperatures. The cross section of PSCs based on ZnO nanorods of (e) 400 nm, (f) 800 nm and (g) 1000 nm. (h) J-V curves and (i) EQE spectra together with EQE data-based integrated current density for the PSCs based on ZnO nanorods of different lengths. (j) Absorption spectra of the perovskite films on ZnO nanorods of different lengths. J-V curves (k) and IPCE spectra (l) of solar cells based on ZnO nanorods grown from different seed layers.

Hwang et al. reported the fabrication of fully slot-die coated PSCs.^[115] In this research, they fabricated fully printable PSCs, with exception of metal electrodes,

1 which were evaporated, by the slot-die coating method with a home-made 3D printer.
2
3 A schematic diagram of the printer is shown in **Figure 5(a)**. In this 3D printer system,
4
5 the space position and motion of nozzle can be controlled by predefined programs.
6
7 Temperature of the substrate and nozzle are also controlled. By using this equipment,
8
9 the ZnO ETM, doped poly(3-hexylthiophene) (P3HT) HTM and MAPbI₃ film were
10
11 successfully fabricated. To improve the crystallization of the MAPbI₃ film, the N₂
12
13 quenching and hot slot-die were used; the improved quality of the PbI₂ film promotes
14
15 the reaction conversion reaction of PbI₂ to perovskite. As shown in Figure 5(b), N₂
16
17 quenching is used to quickly dry the PbI₂ film by a N₂ flow, which leads to a porous
18
19 PbI₂ film and makes MAI easy to permeate. The hot slot die process involves heating
20
21 the substrate to a set temperature to facilitate the reaction and the temperature of
22
23 substrate is important to the film quality and solar cell performance. The morphology
24
25 of a perovskite film obtained under a substrate temperature of 70 °C is shown in Figure
26
27 5(c) and the PCE of solar cells based on perovskite films obtained from different
28
29 substrate temperatures are shown in Figure 5(d). The best PCE of 11.96% was obtained
30
31 from devices fabricated by slot-die coating when substrate temperature is 70 °C in
32
33 ambient. These results verify the possibility of the roll to roll method in low cost PSCs
34
35 production.

36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50 As for flexible PSCs, the mechanical strength of cells is another problem worth
51
52 considering. To improve the mechanical strength of flexible devices and simplify the
53
54 structure, Zhou et al. report a hole-conductor-free and metal-electrode-free solar cell
55
56 structure, which is ZnO/perovskite/carbon solar cell structure.^[116] By using this unique
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 structure, they gained a PCE of 8.73% on a grid substrate and 4.29% on a flexible
2
3 substrate. Their devices can maintain 80% of its initial efficiency even after 1000 times
4
5 of bending.
6
7

9 **4. PSCs with ZnO nanorods ETMs**

10 ZnO nanoparticles have been proved to be an effective ETM for PSCs, but in ZnO
11
12 films made of nanoparticles, electrons have to travel across many particles before they
13
14 reach the electrodes. Electron transport becomes less efficient when the photocurrent
15
16 increases because of the serious surface recombination. This limits the further increase
17
18 of solar cell efficiency. As a result, in order to reduce the severe recombination, one
19
20 dimension ZnO nanostructures were used to replace nanoparticle films. Unlike
21
22 nanoparticles, the one-dimensional ZnO nanostructures usually is single crystal and
23
24 provide a direct pathway for electron transport.^[62] Among various one-dimensional
25
26 structures, ZnO nanorods is the most widely used material for solar cells.
27
28

29 A traditional method of fabricating ZnO nanorods is hydrothermal method. The
30
31 hydrothermal method is a synthesis method of crystal materials in hot water or organic
32
33 solvents under high temperature. The basic principle of hydrothermal method for ZnO
34
35 synthesis is as follows. A Zn salt and alkali, e.g. KOH or hexamethylenetetramine, are
36
37 dissolved into the solvent. The Zn^{2+} and OH^- in the solution react with each other to
38
39 form $Zn(OH)_2$ precipitates. As the reaction proceeds, the temperature and pressure
40
41 increases, the pH of the solution increases gradually, and the concentration of Zn^{2+}
42
43 decreases, which lead to decomposition and dehydration of $Zn(OH)_2$ precipitates.
44
45 Finally, the $Zn(OH)_2$ precipitates convert into ZnO .^[117] Like other solution based
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 synthesis methods, the morphology and doping of ZnO nanorods can be realized by
2
3 tuning the composition and concentration of precursor, reaction temperature and time.
4

5
6 ZnO nanorods were introduced into PSCs by Bi et al. in 2013.^[118] They fabricated
7
8 the PSCs on the basis of experience and knowledge accumulated from traditional
9
10 DSSCs. In their research, by using MAPbI₃ as the sensitizer and combining solid spiro-
11
12 OMeTAD HTM and ZnO nanorods ETM, they prepared PSCs with the structure of
13
14 Au/spiro-MeOTAD/MAPbI₃/nanorods/seed layer/FTO. The ZnO nanorods were
15
16 synthesized from the hydrothermal method. Compact ZnO film prepared from ZnO
17
18 colloids were used as a seed layer for hydrothermal as well as an hole block layer. The
19
20 obtained ZnO nanorods were vertically aligned on the substrate. The MAPbI₃ sensitizer
21
22 was made from a precursor solution (PbI₂:CH₃NH₃I=1:1, molar ratio, 40 wt% in γ -
23
24 Butyrolactone (GBL)) by spin coating. After spin coating, the MAPbI₃ will penetrate
25
26 into the space between the nanorods without affecting ZnO nanorods. They studied the
27
28 relation between the nanorods length and cell performance. It was found that the length
29
30 of nanorods plays a key role in cell efficiency. To certain extent, with increasing the
31
32 ZnO nanorods length, the J_{sc}, FF, Voc and PCE of solar cells increase but the Voc may
33
34 decrease. This is mainly because the length of ZnO nanorods determines the electron
35
36 transport time and lifetime, which will directly affect the performance of solar cells.
37
38 Although the best PCE they gained is only about 5.0%, the work opens a new direction
39
40 for the ZnO ETM based PSCs.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55
56 Similar study has been reported by Son et al.^[119] In their research, they fabricated
57
58 PSCs with the same device structure. Because the morphology and quality of perovskite
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 materials fabricated by the initial one step coating process can't be tightly controlled.
2
3 Perovskite can't always completely fill and cover the space between the nanorods, and
4
5 the spiro-OMeTAD can permeate into these unfilled holes and contact with ZnO
6
7 nanorods, which will form shunt path and deteriorate the performance of solar cells.
8
9 Therefore, they used a two-step coating method to replace the one step method used by
10
11 Bi et al.^[118] The cross section of PSCs based on ZnO nanorods of different lengths were
12
13 shown in Figure 5(e, f, g). As can be seen, the two-step coating method produced a fully
14
15 filled perovskite film covered all ZnO nanorods of different lengths without voids and
16
17 formed an overlayer on the nanorods surface. Additionally, the two step coating
18
19 procedure optimizes the cuboid size of MAPbI₃ and then decreases the series resistance
20
21 of the PSC, which is favored by solar cells.^[120] As a result, combing the two step coating
22
23 method with the optimized nanorods length, a best PCE of 11.13% was obtained.^[119]
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33
34 Further research on the relation between the nanorods length and solar cell
35
36 performance was carried out either. The J-V curves, external quantum efficiency (EQE)
37
38 spectra together with EQE data-based integrated current density for the PSCs based on
39
40 ZnO nanorods of different lengths are shown in Figure 5(h) and (i). As can be seen,
41
42 with the increase of ZnO nanorods length, the PCE, J_{sc} and EQE of solar cell increase
43
44 too, while the Voc decreased. The increase of PCE and J_{sc} are because longer nanorods
45
46 increase the charge extraction efficiency and the nanorods also act as scattering centers
47
48 to increase the light absorption, which is confirmed by the UV-Vis absorption spectra
49
50
51
52
53
54
55 of the perovskite films on ZnO nanorods of different lengths shown in Figure 5(j). The
56
57 decrease of Voc can be attributed to larger interfacial area induced by increasing
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 nanorods length, which increased the recombination at the same time.

2
3 Besides the length of nanorods, the seed layer plays an important role in the ZnO
4 nanorods growth and photovoltaic performance of the solar cells.^[121-123] The so called
5 seed layer is usually a compact ZnO film, which is usually fabricated by solution
6 processes. Generally, there are three kinds of precursor solutions can be used to
7 fabricate ZnO seed layers. The precursor solutions can be zinc salt solution, ZnO
8 colloids or ZnO nanoparticle solution. Son and his colleagues systematically deposited
9 three different ZnO seed layers based on each precursor solution and studied the
10 influence of on ZnO nanorods growth and photovoltaic performance of PSCs.^[124]
11 According to their results, the seed layer can affect the properties of ZnO nanorods.
12 Seed layer produced from the colloid solution can fully cover the substrate, and
13 however, the seed layer made from the zinc salt solution or ZnO nanoparticle solution
14 does not provide a full coverage. The poor coverage of ZnO films tends to form shut
15 paths. ZnO nanorods grow on a colloid seed layer showed not only a good coverage but
16 also enhanced transmittance over the range of visible wavelengths. The shunts caused
17 by the poor coverage decrease the Voc and photocurrent density, as shown in Figure
18 5(k, l). In addition, recombination at the perovskite/ZnO interface was suppressed for
19 the cell using the seed layer prepared by the colloid solution. This work directly proved
20 the important role of the seed layers for the ZnO nanorods based PSCs.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 On the other hand, considering the unique structure and morphology of ZnO
53 nanorods, it's difficult to fabricate high quality perovskite films on nanorods surfaces.
54

55 As a result, preparation of high quality perovskite film with good surface morphology,
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

grain size and coverage are another area worthy of study.




Figure 6. The surface morphology of perovskite films fabricated from the traditional sequential method with pure DMF solvent on (a) 3 μm ZnO nanorods and (b) 1 μm ZnO nanorods. (c) The morphology of a perovskite film fabricated on 1 μm ZnO nanorods using the DMF/DMSO mixed solution as solvent. (d) The J-V curves of the best and worst performed PSCs based on the modified sequential method. The perovskite films fabricated from the sequential method with (e) DMF, (f) DMSO and (g) DMF/DMSO mixed solution as solvent under thermal annealing (TA) and perovskite films prepared from (h) DMF, (i) DMSO and (j) DMF/DMSO mixed solution under solvent annealing (SA). (k) Time-resolved PL spectra of perovskite films fabricated from the mixed solvents along with solvent annealing. (l) J-V curves and photovoltaic parameters of PSCs fabricated from different methods.

To solve this problem, our group has developed a solvent engineering method to

1 fabricate high quality and uniform perovskite films.^[125] In our research, PSCs based on
2
3 ZnO nanorods of different lengths were fabricated and a N,N-
4
5 Dimethylformamide/Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMF/DMSO) mixed solvent was used as an
6
7 alternative to the usually used DMF to fabricate perovskite precursor solution. As a
8
9 control, perovskite films prepared from pure DMF solvent were deposited on ZnO
10
11 nanorods with length of 3 μm and 1 μm , and the surface morphologies of perovskite
12
13 films are shown in Figure 6(a) and (b). It is obviously that the perovskite films cannot
14
15 fully cover the surface of ZnO nanorods, which is detrimental to the device. The
16
17 morphology of perovskite film prepared by DMF/DMSO mixed solution was shown in
18
19 Figure 6(c). As can be seen, the existence of DMSO results in a uniform and high
20
21 quality film with full coverage of the ZnO nanorods surface. In the mixed solution, the
22
23 DMF simply served as a solvent while the DMSO react with PbI_2 to form $\text{PbI}_2(\text{DMSO})$
24
25 complex. When $\text{PbI}_2(\text{DMSO})$ contacts with MAI, the DMSO is replaced by MAI easily
26
27 because of its higher affinity of towards PbI_2 compared to DMSO. As a result, DMSO
28
29 in the solvent will retard the crystallization of perovskite and lead to a high property
30
31 film.^[35, 126] The PSC based on mixed solvents gained the best PCE of 6.63% (Figure
32
33 6(d)). The unexpected low efficiency may attribute to the poor crystallization of the
34
35 MAPbI_3 layer, and the supposition was proved by the low film quality as shown in the
36
37 SEM images and XRD patterns.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 In order to further improve the quality of crystallization, we developed a solvent
54
55 annealing method on basis of the solvent engineering work.^[125, 127] In this study, ZnO
56
57 nanorods were fabricated by hydrothermal method either. An ultrathin Al_2O_3 film was
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 deposited on the surface of ZnO nanorods by ALD method. The perovskite film was
2
3 prepared by a two-step spin coating method. PbI_2 and MAI precursor solution were
4
5 prepared by a mixed solvent DMF/DMSO (MS) and isopropanol respectively. To
6
7 fabricate perovskite film, ZnO nanorods were first infiltrated with PbI_2 by spin coating
8
9 and dried at 70 °C. After cooling to room temperature, the films were immersed in the
10
11 MAI solution and then dried. As a control, pure DMF and DMSO were also used to
12
13 fabricate perovskite film. The SEM pictures of perovskite films fabricated from
14
15 different solvents were shown in Figure 6(e)-(g). As shown in the figures, the perovskite
16
17 film prepared by pure DMF has larger grain size than films based on pure DMSO, while
18
19 both films has many pinhole. However, for perovskite film prepared by mixed solution,
20
21 a uniform and compact film with less pinholes and smaller grain size was obtained.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 The different morphology can be attributed the different properties of different
32
33 solvents as mentioned above. In addition, during this process, we found that the mixed
34
35 solvent DMF/DMSO can lead to a mesoporous PbI_2 film, and the mesoporous structure
36
37 can provide a larger contact area between PbI_2 film and MAI solution. The mesoporous
38
39 structure promotes the formation of MAPbI_3 when the PbI_2 film was immersed in MAI
40
41 solution. Although all the obtained MAPbI_3 films have large grains, there are still some
42
43 small pinholes existed in the film, and the pinholes are the main reason for poor
44
45 photovoltaic performance. To solve this problem, a solvent annealing process is
46
47 introduced via annealing the MAPbI_3 film in the ethanol vapor atmosphere. The surface
48
49 morphologies of perovskite films fabricated from thermal annealing (TA) and solvent
50
51 annealing (MA) methods are shown in Figure 6(h)-(j). As can be seen, after solvent
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 annealing, the grain size of perovskite films obtained from three different solvents both
2 increased. The pinholes in perovskite films fabricated from pure DMF and DMSO did
3 not disappear. While the perovskite films prepared by mixed solvent have no pinholes
4 in sight. This is because in ethanol atmosphere, the MAI is dissolved and continue to
5 react with PbI_2 . As for perovskite films based on pure DMF and DMSO, pinholes in the
6 film will be intensified by annealing the solvent annealing. As a result, the grain size
7 and compactness of the MAPbI_3 film are improved after solvent annealing, which is
8 consisted with the film morphology. Compact films with larger grain sizes decrease the
9 number of grain boundaries and density of defects, which are favorable to improve the
10 photovoltaic performance.^[128, 129] In addition, ZnO nanorods passivated with a thin
11 Al_2O_3 layer improve charge collection in the PSCs, which is confirmed by the transient
12 PL spectra of different perovskite films and J-V curves shown in Figure 6(k) and (l). As
13 a result, the combination of the three methods boosts the PCE of PSCs to 17.3%, which
14 is the highest efficiency for ZnO nanorods based PSCs.

39 5. PSCs based on other ZnO nanostructures

41 5.1 ZnO nanowalls

42 ZnO nanowalls is a kind of sponge-like ZnO nanostructures with a large 2D
43 framework and surface area, and like ZnO nanorods, this structure offers a large contact
44 area and direct electron transport path. As a result, ZnO nanowalls have been widely
45 used in energy storage devices and biosensors because of its larger surface area than
46 nanorods. Tang et al. synthesized ZnO nanowalls by a low temperature chemical bath
47 method and fabricated PSCs based the ZnO nanowall ETM.^[130] In this research, Al was
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 first deposited on ITO surface as template before the growth of ZnO nanowalls.
2
3 Equimolar $\text{Zn}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and hexamethylenetetramine were dissolved in water
4
5 to fabricate precursor solution, and then ZnO nanowalls were grown on the substrate
6
7
8 by chemical bath process. After the deposition of perovskite, spiro-OMeTAD HTM and
9
10 Ag electrode, PSCs with structure of ITO/ZnO nanowalls/perovskite/HTM/Ag were
11
12 obtained. A planar PSC based on ZnO compact film was prepared as a control device.
13
14
15 The compact ZnO film for planar PSC was prepared by spin coating the precursor
16
17 solution composed of $\text{Zn}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and monoethanolamine on the ITO
18
19 substrate.
20
21
22
23

24
25 The best performance PSC based on ZnO nanowalls produced a J_{SC} of 18.9
26
27 mA/cm^2 , V_{oc} of 1.0 V, FF of 72.1% and PCE of 13.6%. While, the control device shows
28
29 a J_{sc} of 18.6 mA/cm^2 , V_{oc} of 0.98 V, FF of 62% and PCE of 11.3%. The introduction
30
31 of ZnO nanowalls leads to an obvious improvement in the FF and PCE of the PSCs.
32
33 This is because ZnO nanowalls offer much larger contact area between ZnO and
34
35 perovskite material than the planar ZnO film, which improves the electron collection
36
37 and transportation efficiency at perovskite/ZnO nanowalls interface. Moreover, because
38
39 of the alkaline behavior of the ZnO surface, the perovskite will be decomposed by ZnO
40
41 and lead to formation of PbI_2 on the interface of perovskite/ZnO, and the presence of
42
43 PbI_2 can suppress the surface recombination and improve the FF.^[36]
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 However, PbI_2 from perovskite decomposition obviously cannot be controlled. In
54
55 addition, PbI_2 was not discovered in perovskite on compact ZnO film (control device)
56
57 according to their research. This indicates that surface of ZnO nanowalls is more
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 reactive than compact ZnO film, which means that perovskite on ZnO nanowalls is
2
3 more unstable. This is harmful to the reproducibility and performance of PSCs.
4
5

6 **5.2 ZnO nanowires**

7

8
9 ZnO nanowires are another widely used one-dimensional structures, which is
10 similar to nanorods. Benefit from its high aspect ratio and good crystallization, ZnO
11 nanowires are efficient to provide large surface area and improve the electron transport.
12
13 As a result, ZnO nanowires have been widely used and studied in DSSCs.^[62, 131] But
14
15 researches about PSCs based on ZnO nanowires were deficient.
16
17
18
19
20
21

22
23 Hu et al. reported a flexible PSC based on a single ZnO nanowire.^[132] In their
24
25 research, the ZnO nanowires were fabricate via a vapor-liquid-solid (VLS) process
26
27 developed by Zhu et al.^[133] After the growth of ZnO nanowires, a single nanowire was
28
29 transferred onto a polystyrene (PS) substrate and fixed by silver paste electrode.
30
31 MAPbI₃ and spiro-OMeTAD are subsequently deposited on one end of the ZnO wire
32
33 to form the solar cell. The PSCs based on single ZnO nanowire show a PCE of 0.0338%,
34
35
36
37
38 the extremely low PCE is mainly due to the small effective illumination area of the PSC.
39
40
41

42
43 Moreover, they found that the piezo-photo-tronic effect of ZnO nanowires can
44
45 improve the performance of PSCs based on ZnO nanowires obviously. The PCE
46
47 increased from 0.0338% under 0 strain to 0.139% under 0.47% strain. This is because
48
49 that when an external force is applied, the piezo-phototronic effect leads to a shift of
50
51 the conduction band and suppresses the interface recombination, which result in the
52
53 higher Voc and Jsc. This method indicates that ZnO nanowires are efficient ETM for
54
55
56
57
58
59 PSCs, while confined to the micron scale effective illumination area, the PCE of PSCs
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 is extremely low. However, the small size of flexible PSCs based on single ZnO
2
3 nanowires may have potential applications in integrated devices. Moreover, the studies
4
5 on performance enhancement due to piezoelectric effects provide a new approach to
6
7 improve the properties of PSCs.
8
9

10 11 **5.3 ZnO quantum dots**

12 **Compared with other ZnO nanostructures, ZnO QDs show many unique properties.**

13
14
15
16
17 Firstly, due to its small size, the quasi continuous energy levels of bulk materials are
18
19 replaced by the separated electronic energy levels and the band gap will become larger.
20
21 The increased band gap are mainly caused by the negative shift of the conduction band
22
23 and the positive shift of the valence band, which will improve the electron injection
24
25 efficiency. Secondly, after absorbing a photon, QDs can produce more than one exciton,
26
27 which is beneficial to improve the performance of solar cells.^[134-136]
28
29
30
31

32
33
34 Ameen et al. fabricated a flexible planar PSC based on ZnO quantum dots for the
35
36 first time.^[137] In this research, ZnO QDs were prepared by solution process method.
37
38 The $\text{Zn}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{NOH} \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ were dissolved in ethanol
39
40 respectively to form precursor solution. Subsequently, the $(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{NOH} \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution
41
42 was added dropwise into $\text{Zn}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solution. After two hours of reaction,
43
44 the obtained solution was centrifuged and dispersed for several times, and the finally
45
46 obtained ZnO QDs were dispersed in ethanol. To fabricate ZnO QDs based PSCs, the
47
48 graphene film, ZnO QDs film and perovskite film were deposited on ITO-PET
49
50 (Polyethylene terephthalate) substrate sequentially. During the fabrication process, the
51
52 ITO-PET substrate and ZnO QDs film was treated by O_2 plasma and atmospheric
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 plasma jet (APjet) before the next step deposition. After the deposition of spiro-
2
3 OMeTAD HTM and Ag electrode, a PSC with structure of ITO-PET/Graphene/ZnO-
4
5 QDs(APjet treatment)/MAPbI₃/spiro-MeOTAD/Ag.
6
7

8
9 With the graphene blocking layer and the APjet treatment, a best PCE of 9.73%
10
11 with J_{SC} of 16.8 mA/cm², V_{OC} of 0.935 V, and FF of 62%. To determine the role of ZnO
12
13 QDs and APjet treatment, control devices based on ITO-PET/Graphene and ITO-
14
15 PET/Graphene/ZnO QDs films (without APjet treatment) were fabricated either. And
16
17 the PCE of PSC based on ITO-PET/Graphene was 2.89% with J_{SC} of 12.03 mA/cm²,
18
19 V_{OC} of 0.840 V, and FF of 29%. As for PSC based on ITO-PET/Graphene/ZnO
20
21 QDs(without APjet treatment), a PCE of 5.28% with J_{SC} of 14.97 mA/cm², V_{OC} of 0.830
22
23 V, and FF of 43% were obtained. Obviously, the introduction of ZnO QDs and APjet
24
25 treatment obviously improved the performance of PSC. The main reason is that the ZnO
26
27 QDs film can provide a larger contact area than compact graphene film and the APjet
28
29 treatment improved the porosity and surface area of ZnO QDs film, which significantly
30
31 increased the light scattering and the interaction between the MAPbI₃ film and ZnO-
32
33 QDs interfaces, leading to an improved J_{sc}. In addition, the PSC based on ZnO QDs
34
35 with APjet treatment shows a higher electron transport and charge collection, which
36
37 result in a high J_{sc} and Voc. As a result, the ZnO QDs and APjet treatment are essential
38
39 for high performance PSC.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52
53 However, large specific surface area and large amount of surface atoms of ZnO
54
55 QDs lead to the lack of coordination of surface atoms and increase of unsaturated bonds.
56
57
58 As a result, these surface atoms are high activity and extremely unstable, which are
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 very easy to combine with other atoms. Hence, the ZnO QDs are unstable, which will
2
3 restrict its extensive application.
4

5
6 Based on ZnO ETM with various morphologies, the performance of PSCs have
7
8 made great progress. Moreover, its application has been expanded to flexible devices
9
10 and roll to roll method without the limitation of high temperature process. However,
11
12 the performance of PSCs based on ZnO ETM is still not comparable to that of PSCs
13
14 based on TiO₂ ETM. As a result, the following presents the challenges for PSCs based
15
16 on ZnO ETM and solutions to the problems.
17
18
19
20
21

22 **6. The modification of ZnO ETMs for efficient PSCs**

23
24
25 Although the performance of ZnO ETM based PSCs has made great progress, the
26
27 PCE of ZnO ETM based devices are still inferior to those solar cells based on TiO₂
28
29 ETM. The first problem restricts the further development of ZnO ETM based solar cells
30
31 is the serious surface recombination. As well known, perovskite materials have high
32
33 carrier mobility and reduced internal recombination, therefore the surface
34
35 recombination plays an important role determining the performance of PSCs.
36
37
38
39
40

41
42 The recombination firstly come from the intrinsic defects of ZnO. Similar to other
43
44 semiconductor materials, point defects affect the electrical and optical properties of
45
46 ZnO. There are six kinds of point defects in ZnO, which are Zn vacancies (V_{Zn}), oxygen
47
48 vacancies (V_O), zinc interstitial (Zn_i), oxygen interstitials (O_i), zinc antisites (Zn_O) and
49
50 oxygen antisites (O_{Zn}). Among them, V_O , Zn_i and Zn_O are donor defects and V_{Zn} , O_i
51
52 and O_{Zn} are acceptor defects.^[84] Besides the defect level position, the effect of defects
53
54
55
56
57
58 on ZnO properties also depends on the defect concentration. The concentration of
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 defects is not an eternally fixed constant because of the formation energy of defects will
2
3 change under different conditions. The relationship between formation energy and
4
5 concentration of defects is shown **Equation 1**.^[138]
6
7

$$8 \quad C = N_{sites} \exp\left(-\frac{E^f}{k_B T}\right) \quad (1)$$

9
10
11 Where N_{sites} is the number of sites (including different configurations) per unit
12
13 volume the defect can be incorporated on, E^f is the formation energy of defects, k_B is
14
15 the Boltzmann constant and T is temperature. The formula reveals a negative
16
17 exponential relation between defect concentration C and formation energy E^f , which
18
19 means that a higher formation energy will lead to a lower equilibrium defects
20
21 concentration. According theoretical calculation and experimental results of Kohan et
22
23 al. and Oba et al., in N type ZnO, V_O shows the lowest formation energy of 0.02 eV and
24
25 has the largest concentration. Zn_i also has relatively low formation energy and high
26
27 concentration. Because of the high formation energy of V_{Zn} , O_i and O_{Zn} , the
28
29 concentrations of these acceptor defects are very low. As a result, the V_O and Zn_i play
30
31 key roles in ZnO.^[139,140] Besides these point defects, when perovskite materials
32
33 deposited on ZnO surface, the large lattice mismatch at ZnO/perovskite interface can
34
35 be observed, which have been verified by the computational analysis.^[141]
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 The surface state of ZnO film is another source of recombination. At the surface,
48
49 the crystal structure of ZnO is abruptly interrupted, which forms a large amount of
50
51 dangling bonds and defects. This problem is particularly obvious in ZnO nanostructures
52
53 because of the significant increased specific surface area, for example, 30% of the
54
55 atomic bonds in ZnO nanoparticles are dangling bonds.^[142] In addition, once exposed
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 to the air, oxygen and H₂O in the air will be absorbed by ZnO surface, which will also
2
3 form a recombination center on the surface. In addition, the adsorbate and ZnO surface
4
5 formed a structure similar to a Schottky barrier due to the charge transfer between
6
7 adsorbates and the ZnO surface, which changes the carrier concentration within the
8
9 surface space charge region. Some adsorbates such as OH molecules lead to band
10
11 bending and charge accumulation near the ZnO surface, which will change the surface
12
13 conductivity.^[143,144]
14
15
16
17
18


19 Besides the above-mentioned, the fabrication process, especially for the solution
20
21 process, will introduce new defects. ZnO materials prepared by chemical methods, such
22
23 as the hydrothermal method, chemical bath deposition and sol-gel method, are not
24
25 likely pure crystals, and organic species and chemicals from the precursor solutions
26
27 used in the fabrication process will be left or absorbed on ZnO surface.^[145,146]
28
29
30
31
32

33 These defects mentioned above can reduce the performance of PSCs by providing
34
35 extra recombination pathways so that the incident light cannot be convert to electricity.
36
37 The defects induce deep energy levels in ZnO band gap and give rise to a high density
38
39 of recombination centers, which will reduce the lifetime of photo generated carrier and
40
41 the quantum efficiency of PSCs. The result of such a process is the increased inner
42
43 resistance and large dark current of PSCs, and the decreased photocurrent. Furthermore,
44
45 the surface conduction layer induced by the surface absorption of ZnO can affect the
46
47 carrier extraction at the perovskite/ZnO interface, which will also decrease the
48
49 photocurrent and open circuit voltage of PSCs. Due to serious impacts of these defects,
50
51 the characterization of defects is necessary. The common method to investigate defects
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 include Photoluminescence (PL),^[36,147,148] Time-Resolved Photoluminescence
2
3 (TRPL),^[149] Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) and X-ray photoelectron
4
5 spectroscopy (XPS).^[61,150] Since the systematic studies about these methods have been
6
7
8 widely reported, readers can refer to relevant information in the references.
9

10
11 Besides the recombination, another serious problem is the poor stability of ZnO
12 based PSCs. Perovskite materials are known to sensitive to water and oxygen in the air
13
14 but thermally stable under some conditions.^[151] However, according to research of other
15
16 authors and our group, perovskite deposited on ZnO is easily to decompose during
17
18 thermal treatment. Such phenomenon is not found between TiO₂ and MAPbI₃. This is
19
20 mainly because the different surface properties of ZnO materials. The surface of TiO₂
21
22 showed slightly acidic while the surface of ZnO exhibits basic behavior. Once
23
24 perovskite contacts with ZnO, deprotonation reaction against methylammonium cation
25
26 happens, which is the root of instability, and this assumption has been proved by
27
28 theoretical calculations.^[141] Another important reason for instability is chemical
29
30 residues from fabrication processes. ZnO materials especially nanomaterials used for
31
32 PSCs are usually synthesized by chemical solution methods, therefore, the chemicals
33
34 in the solution are unavoidably left on the ZnO surface. According to the research of
35
36 Yang et al. and Cheng et al.,^[141, 152] the hydroxyl groups and residual acetate from the
37
38 growth solution exacerbate the decomposition of perovskite. This is because the ZnO
39
40 materials synthesized by the solution process are not completely oxidized, and the
41
42 surface of the obtained ZnO nanomaterials are covered with chemisorbed oxygen
43
44 species like hydroxide, which could break the ionic interaction between CH₃NH₃⁺ and
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

PbI₃⁻ and destroy the crystal structure of the MAPbI₃ sequentially. This process can be explained by the reaction formula as follows:



Obviously, the reaction between hydroxide on the CH₃NH₃I can directly decompose the perovskite under annealing. Along with the ZnO introduced deterioration, the decomposition process of ZnO/perovskite system accelerates at elevated temperatures.

Furthermore, according to our research, the performance of PSCs based on ZnO ETM will gradually degraded under full spectrum AM 1.5G illuminations for extended exposure time. This is because that ZnO shows high photocatalysis activity under the illumination of ultraviolet (UV) light with wavelength less than 400 nm and the simulated sunlight contains about 4.6 mW/cm² of UV light less than 400 nm in the spectrum. As a result, under the excitation of UV light, ZnO will decompose the perovskite materials atop its surface. More seriously, surface hydroxyl groups and chemical residues on ZnO surface will induce photochemical reactions and further accelerate the decomposition of perovskite.^[153]

In order to improve the performance of ZnO based PSCs, the high recombination loss and chemical instability must be solved. According to the Shockley Read Hall (SRH) theory, there are two technical means to reduce the surface recombination: 1) reduce the density of surface states; 2) reduce the concentration of free electrons and holes at the surface. The surface state concentration can be reduced by depositing or

growing a suitable material with low surface recombination velocity on the surface and the concentration of free carriers can be reduced by doping, which are the two most commonly used methods.^[154]




Figure 7. (a) The surface morphology of TiO₂ modified ZnO nanorods. (b) J-V curves of PSCs based on ZnO nanorods with and without TiO₂ modification. (c) Schematic diagram of surface recombination suppression by Al₂O₃. (d) J-V curves of PSCs with cycles of ALD-Al₂O₃ on ZnO nanorods; inset: energy band diagram of the PSCs based on ZnO nanorods with Al₂O₃ coating. The XRD patterns and optical images of perovskite films deposited on (e) PCBM modified ZnO and (f) PEI modified ZnO during the annealing process. XRD patterns and optical images of perovskite on solution processed ZnO (g) without and (h) with 100 °C annealing. (i) The optical images of perovskite films deposited on sputtered ZnO, sputtered Al doped ZnO (AZO) and sol-gel ZnO. (j) Lewis acid sites on the surface of the grains introduced by replacing Zn with Al.

Zn with Al in AZO.

Surface passivation is an easy and efficient way to suppress the surface recombination, and researches has been carried out by many groups in this area. Son et al.^[124] reported a solution method to fabricate a thin layer of TiO₂ on the surface of ZnO nanorods. The surface morphology of TiO₂ modified ZnO nanorods is shown in **Figure 7(a)**. As shown in the figure, the ZnO surface is uniformly covered by TiO₂. The J-V curves of PSCs based on ZnO ETMs with and without TiO₂ modification are shown in **Figure 7(b)**. As expected, the photovoltaic performance especially the Voc and FF increased by varying degrees, which indicates that the surface modification is an efficient method to suppress the surface recombination. Similar results are also reported by Dong et al.^[155] and Chen et al.^[156] Besides TiO₂, in 2016, our group modified the ZnO nanorods by an ultrathin Al₂O₃ film fabricated by the ALD method.^[157, 158] After modification with the Al₂O₃ film, the PCE of PSC was improved from 11.72% of those without modification to 16.08%. Schematic diagram of surface recombination inhibition process is shown in **Figure 7(c)**. As shown in the figure, the trap states originated from defects on ZnO surfaces capture the photon generated electrons and holes, which lead to the severe recombination. The Al₂O₃ modification suppresses the surface defects, improves the carrier extraction efficiency, and further improves the solar cell performance. Moreover, the thickness of Al₂O₃ has an important impact on solar cell performance. The thickness of ALD-Al₂O₃ films is controlled by the number of ALD cycles. The solar cell performance with different ALD cycles is shown in **Figure 7(d)**. The figure displays that the best PCE is obtained with two ALD cycles of

1 Al₂O₃ films. This is because that one ALD cycle of the Al₂O₃ film can't form a
2
3 continuous film, while three ALD cycles of Al₂O₃ film significantly increase the series
4
5 resistance. Either a too thin or too thick Al₂O₃ film decreases the solar cell performance.
6
7 Except the inorganic materials, segmented copolymers, like PCBM and poly(ethylene
8
9 imine) (PEI),^[152, 159] are also used to modify the interface of ZnO and perovskite. These
10
11 materials usually have a more negative conduction band edge than that of ZnO. As a
12
13 result, once ZnO surface is covered by these materials, the surface recombination sites
14
15 are passivated and an energy barrier is formed to prevent the photon injected electrons
16
17 from approaching the ZnO surface.^[160] For these reasons, the surface recombination is
18
19 efficiently suppressed.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27
28 Another widely used method in improving ZnO ETM quality is intentional doping.
29
30 Dong et al. fabricated an ultrathin AZO (Al doped ZnO) film on the surface of ZnO
31
32 nanorods by spin coating.^[161] After Al doping, the electrical properties of ZnO, e.g.
33
34 higher electron mobility, are significantly improved. In addition, Al doped ZnO has
35
36 higher conduction band than ZnO. As a result, Al doping restrains the recombination at
37
38 the ZnO/perovskite interface. Mahmood et al. fabricated electron rich nitrogen doped
39
40 ZnO nanorods with a PEI capping layer on the surface. In their research, the ZnO
41
42 nanorods was prepared with hydrothermal method. In order to achieve nitrogen doping,
43
44 ammonium acetate was added into the ZnO nanorods growth solution. In addition, to
45
46 suppress the surface recombination, the surface of ZnO nanorods was cover by PEI film.
47
48 The PSC based on this modified ZnO nanorods yield a PCE of 16.1%.^[159] Similar
49
50 results can be obtained by Mg,^[162, 163] Go,^[164] Sn,^[165-167] In^[168] and Ni^[169] doping.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 As for the stability, the reduction of surface defects and surface passivation are
2
3 effective for improving the stability of PSCs either. After surface passivation, the active
4
5 ZnO surface is covered with TiO₂, PEI,^[152] Al₂O₃, or other stabilized material, which
6
7
8
9 have been proved not to react with perovskite films. As a result, the chemical instability
10
11 induced by ZnO and chemical residues can also be avoided. Figure 7(e) and (f)
12
13 exhibited the XRD patterns of perovskite film on PCBM and PEI modified ZnO films
14
15 during annealing process. And the XRD patterns of perovskite on ZnO film without
16
17 modification is shown in Figure 7(g). As can be seen, for unmodified ZnO, perovskite
18
19 decompose rapidly after 30 min annealing. The perovskite on PCBM modified ZnO
20
21 remain stable for the beginning 30 min and start to decompose as the time goes on. And
22
23 the perovskite on PEI modified ZnO remain stable for the whole annealing process. The
24
25 different stability between perovskite on PEI modified ZnO and PCBM modified ZnO
26
27 is mainly because that the PCBM will aggregate under high temperature, as a result, the
28
29 ZnO will contact with perovskite again.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 It is worth noting that, the thickness of the passivation layer should be precisely
40
41 controlled to avoid any negative effects, e.g. increase in series resistance. In addition,
42
43 especially for solution processed ZnO ETMs, high temperature annealing is an efficient
44
45 method, though not ideal in terms of energy consumption, to improve the stability of
46
47 PSCs. Yang et al.^[141] and Cheng et al.^[152] reported improved stability of PSCs using
48
49 this method. Figure 7(g) and (h) show the XRD patterns of perovskite films during
50
51 annealing on different ZnO films. As shown in the figures, the perovskite on annealed
52
53 ZnO demonstrate better stability. This is because that by high temperature annealing,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 the surface hydroxyl groups and residual organic ligands, which are responsible for
2
3 instability, decompose and volatilize. In addition, the perovskite film can remain stable
4
5 on AZO films. Figure 7(i) shows the photos of perovskite films on ZnO film, AZO film
6
7 prepared by RF sputtering and ZnO film prepared by sol-gel method. As can be seen,
8
9 the perovskite on ZnO films prepared by RF sputtering method exhibited much higher
10
11 thermal ability than perovskite on ZnO fabricated by sol-gel method. And the stability
12
13 was furtherly improved by Al doping. The difference of stability between ZnO prepared
14
15 by RF sputtering and sol-gel method is because that there are no chemical residues and
16
17 less defects in ZnO prepared by RF sputtering. As for Al doping, according to Zhao et
18
19 al.^[170] and Tseng et al.^[61, 171] the improved stability can be ascribed to the lower surface
20
21 basicity of AZO films than that of pure ZnO films. Lewis acid sites are produced on the
22
23 surface of the grains introduced by replacing Zn with Al in AZO, as shown in Figure
24
25 7(j). Overall, the two methods mentioned above can suppress the recombination and
26
27 improve the stability simultaneously.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 To sum up, the performance and structure of PSCs based on ZnO ETM from
39
40 different fabrication processes are compared in **Table 1**. As can be seen, the best
41
42 performing PSC based on ZnO ETM shows a PCE of 17.6% and RF sputtering method
43
44 was used to fabricate the planar Al doped ZnO ETM. Another PSC with PCE over 17%
45
46 is based on the Al₂O₃ modified ZnO nanorods, which is prepared by the hydrothermal
47
48 method and modified by ALD. Therefore, the fabrication method and surface
49
50 morphology of ZnO ETM are not the only determinant factors for high performance of
51
52 PSCs. Additionally, by comparing the performance of PSCs based on the ZnO ETM
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 1. The overview of PSCs based on ZnO ETM with different morphologies.

Morphology of ZnO	Method	Structure	Jsc (mA/cm ²)	Voc(V)	FF(%)	PCE(%)	Ref.
Compact layer	RF Sputtering	ITO/ZnO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Ag	19.9	1.1	0.65	13.9	172
Compact layer	Self-Assemble	ITO/ZnO/C3-SAM/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/MoO ₃ /Ag	22.5	1.1	0.65	15.7	173
Compact layer	nanoparticle	FTO/ZnO/MAPbI ₃ /C	20	0.8	0.54	8.7	116
Compact layer	nanoparticle	PEN/ITO/ZnO/MAPbI ₃ /C	13.4	0.8	0.42	4.3	116
Compact layer	nanoparticle	PET/ITO/ZnO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Ag	13.4	1	0.74	10.2	3
Compact layer	nanoparticle	ITO/ZnO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Ag	20.4	1	0.75	15.7	3
Compact layer	ALD	FTO/ZnO/mp-Al ₂ O ₃ -MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Ag	20.4	1	0.66	13.1	93
Compact layer	chemical bath deposition	FTO/bl-ZnO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	11.3	1.1	0.45	5.5	174
Compact layer	chemical bath deposition	PET/ITO/bl-ZnO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	5.6	1	0.4	2.2	174
Compact layer	electrochemical	ITO/ZnO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Ag	23.1	0.9	0.5	10.3	175
Compact layer	electrospraying	FTO/ZnO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Ag	16	1	0.67	10.8	94
Compact layer	electrospraying	FTO/AZO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Ag	15.1	1.1	0.76	12	94
Compact layer	Sol-gel	ITO/ZnO/PCBM/MAPbI ₃ /PTB7-Th/MnO _x /Ag	14.7	1	0.73	11	176
Compact layer	Sol-gel	ITO/ZnO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/MnO _x /Ag	18.2	1	0.67	12.2	176
Compact layer	chemical bath deposition	FTO/bl-ZnO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	17	1	0.51	8.9	174
Compact layer	sol-gel	FTO/bl-ZnO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	17.4	1	0.67	11.4	141
Compact layer	sol-gel	FTO/Annealed-bl-ZnO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	17.4	1	0.47	7.9	141
Compact layer	sol-gel	FTO/bl-ZnO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	7.3	0.8	0.48	2.9	152
Compact layer	sol-gel	FTO/bl-ZnO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	13.7	0.8	0.494	5.4	152
Compact layer	sol-gel	FTO/bl-ZnO/PEI/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	16.8	0.9	0.69	10.2	152
Compact layer	sol-gel	FTO/bl-ZnO/PCBM/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	16	0.9	0.46	6.4	152
Compact layer	chemical bath deposition	PET/ITO/bl-ZnO/mp-ZnO-MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	7.5	0.8	0.43	2.6	174
Compact layer	RF Sputtering	AZO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	20.2	0.9	0.67	12.6	170
Compact layer	RF Sputtering	ITO/AZO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD	21.7	1.1	0.756	17.6	61
Compact layer	ALD	FTO/ZnO/mp-Al ₂ O ₃ /MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD	18.9	1	0.62	15.55	177
Nanorods	RF Sputtering	ITO/ZnO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/MoO ₃ /Ag	22.4	1	0.57	13.4	178
Nanorods	Hydrothermal	FTO/bl-ZnO/mp-ZnO/AZO shell/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	19.8	0.9	0.6	10.7	161
Nanorods	Hydrothermal	FTO/bl-ZnO/mp-ZnO-MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	20.1	1	0.56	11.1	119
Nanorods	Hydrothermal	FTO/bl-ZnO/mp-ZnO-MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	21.39	0.7	0.43	6.63	125
Nanorods	Hydrothermal	FTO/bl-ZnO/mp-ZnO/Al ₂ O ₃ /MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	22.42	1	0.71	16.08	158
Nanorods	Hydrothermal	FTO/bl-ZnO/mp-ZnO/Al ₂ O ₃ /MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	20.9	1.1	0.78	17.3	127
Nanorods	Hydrothermal	FTO/bl-ZnO/mp-ZnO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	20.4	1	0.599	11.68	124
Nanorods	Hydrothermal	FTO/bl-ZnO/mp-ZnO/TiO ₂ /MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	19.44	1.1	0.698	14.35	124
Nanorods	Hydrothermal	FTO/bl-ZnO/N doped ZnO/PEI/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	21.5	1	0.7	16.12	159
Nanowalls	Hydrothermal	FTO/ZnO nanowall/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	18.9	1	0.721	13.6	130
QDs	Hydrothermal	FTO/ZnO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	15.1	0.9	0.53	7.5	179
QDs	Hydrothermal	FTO/ZnO/Graphene/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	21.7	1	0.68	15.2	179
QDs	Hydrothermal	PET/FTO/ZnO/Graphene/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	20.9	0.9	0.6	11.2	179
QDs	Hydrothermal	PET/ITO/Graphene/ZnO/MAPbI ₃ /spiro-OMeTAD/Au	16.8	0.9	0.62	9.73	137

1 and the AZO ETM (both prepared by RF sputtering), we can find that the Al doping
2
3 can efficiently improve the performance the PSCs. Similar trend can also be found
4
5
6 between the PSCs based on ZnO nanorods with and without surface modification. As a
7
8
9 result, optimization of PSCs should not be restricted to only the ZnO ETM but should
10
11
12 also be applied to the properties of interface and other layers. Nonetheless, PSCs based
13
14
15 on ZnO materials have made great progress, and a great deal of efforts have been made
16
17
18 to solve the instability and serious recombination induced by the ZnO ETM, which are
19
20
21 the main reasons for the inferior performance of ZnO ETM based PSCs compared with
22
23
24 their TiO₂ counterparts. Through the modification of ZnO ETM, the performance of
25
26
27 PSCs based on the ZnO ETM is further improved. Although remarkable results have
28
29
30 been achieved, some issues yet to be addressed, e.g. long-term stability, and researches
31
32
33 on the ZnO ETM and the optimization of PSCs based on the ZnO ETM have to be
34
35
36 carried on.^[172-179]

37 7. Summary

38
39 In the last few years, the performance of PSCs have made great progress. Many
40
41
42 fabrication methods and new perovskite compounds have been developed to fabricate
43
44
45 high performance solar cells. As a powerful alternative to the widely used TiO₂ ETMs,
46
47
48 ZnO materials share similar physical properties to TiO₂ but with much higher electron
49
50
51 mobility. In addition, there are many simpler methods to fabricate ZnO nanomaterials
52
53
54 with low cost and energy consumption. In this article, we briefly introduced different
55
56
57 preparation methods of ZnO materials used in PSCs and review the development
58
59
60 history of PSCs based on ZnO ETMs. Since ZnO ETMs was first used in PSCs, the
61
62
63
64
65

1 PCE of PSCs based on ZnO ETMs have been boosted from 5.0% to over 17%. Besides
2
3 the high performance, ZnO nanomaterials are used to fabricate flexible devices,
4
5 benefited from the rich varieties of fabrication methods. This is another distinct
6
7 advantage compared to TiO₂ ETMs.
8
9

10
11 Compared with the PSCs based on TiO₂ ETMs, the performance of ZnO ETM
12
13 based solar cells is still inferior. This is mainly caused by the serious surface
14
15 recombination, high defect density, and poor stability. Many researches have been
16
17 carried out to address these issues in the last couple of years and a number of plausible
18
19 solutions have been proposed and demonstrated. The future researches on ZnO ETM
20
21 based PSCs will continue to compete with their TiO₂ counterparts. It may still be the
22
23 main focus to optimize the material quality of ZnO ETMs and hence to reduce the
24
25 recombination and improve charge collections. The long term stability of ZnO ETM
26
27 based perovskite solar cell is another important problem that must be solved in the next
28
29 a few years. Nonetheless, with all that, ZnO-based perovskite solar cells have achieved
30
31 a striking success as their TiO₂ counterparts and the march for next generation high
32
33 efficient and low cost photovoltaic technology still continues.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 **Acknowledgements**

45
46
47 This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant
48
49 Nos. 61421002, 61574029, 61371046 and 61474015. This work was also partially
50
51 supported by University of Kentucky.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

References

- [1] M. Liu, M. B. Johnston, H. J. Snaith, *Nature* **2013**, *501*, 395.
- [2] N. J. Jeon, J. H. Noh, Y. C. Kim, W. S. Yang, S. Ryu, S. I. Seok, *Nat. Mater.* **2014**, *13*, 897.
- [3] D. Liu, T. L. Kelly, *Nat. Photonics* **2013**, *8*, 133.
- [4] F. Hong, B. Saparov, W. Meng, Z. Xiao, D. B. Mitzi, Y. Yan, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, *120*, 6435.
- [5] B. Saparov, J.-P. Sun, W. Meng, Z. Xiao, H.-S. Duan, O. Gunawan, D. Shin, I. G. Hill, Y. Yan, D. B. Mitzi, *Chem. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 2315.
- [6] Z. Xiao, W. Meng, J. Wang, Y. Yan, *ChemSusChem* **2016**, *9*, 2628.
- [7] Z. Xiao, W. Meng, J. Wang, D. B. Mitzi, Y. Yan, *Mater. Horiz.* **2017**, *4*, 206.
- [8] Z. Xiao, W. Meng, B. Saparov, H. S. Duan, C. Wang, C. Feng, W. Liao, W. Ke, D. Zhao, J. Wang, D. B. Mitzi, Y. Yan, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, *7*, 1213.
- [9] Y. Yu, C. Wang, C. R. Grice, N. Shrestha, D. Zhao, W. Liao, L. Guan, R. A. Awni, W. Meng, A. J. Cimaroli, K. Zhu, R. J. Ellingson, Y. Yan, *ACS Energy Lett.* **2017**, *2*, 1177.
- [10] W. Liao, D. Zhao, Y. Yu, C. R. Grice, C. Wang, A. J. Cimaroli, P. Schulz, W. Meng, K. Zhu, R. G. Xiong, Y. Yan, *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 9333.
- [11] M. A. Green, A. Ho-Baillie, H. J. Snaith, *Nat. Photonics* **2014**, *8*, 506.
- [12] M. Gratzel, *Nat. Mater.* **2014**, *13*, 838.
- [13] G. Li, R. Zhu, Y. Yang, *Nat. Photonics* **2012**, *6*, 153.
- [14] M. M. Lee, J. Teuscher, T. Miyasaka, T. N. Murakami, H. J. Snaith, *Science* **2012**,

338, 643.

[15] G. Xing, N. Mathews, S. Sun, S. S. Lim, Y. M. Lam, M. Grätzel, S. Mhaisalkar, T.

C. Sum, *Science* **2013**, *342*, 344.

[16] S. D. Stranks, G. E. Eperon, G. Grancini, C. Menelaou, M. J. Alcocer, T. Leijtens,

L. M. Herz, A. Petrozza, H. J. Snaith, *Science* **2013**, *342*, 341.

[17] D. B. Mitzi, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **2001**, *0*, 1.

[18] C. C. Stoumpos, C. D. Malliakas, M. G. Kanatzidis, *Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, *52*, 9019.

[19] D. H. Kim, J. Park, Z. Li, M. Yang, J. S. Park, I. J. Park, J. Y. Kim, J. J. Berry, G.

Rumbles, K. Zhu, *Adv. Mater.* **2017**, *29*, 1606831.

[20] M. N. Hoque, N. Islam, Z. Li, G. Ren, K. Zhu, Z. Fan, *ChemSusChem* **2016**, *9*,

2692.

[21] S. Collavini, S. F. Völker, J. L. Delgado, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 9757.

[22] A. Kojima, K. Teshima, Y. Shirai, T. Miyasaka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 6050.

[23] J. H. Im, C. R. Lee, J. W. Lee, S. W. Park, N. G. Park, *Nanoscale* **2011**, *3*, 4088.

[24] H. S. Kim, C. R. Lee, J. H. Im, K. B. Lee, T. Moehl, A. Marchioro, S. J. Moon, R.

Humphry-Baker, J. H. Yum, J. E. Moser, M. Gratzel, N. G. Park, *Sci. Rep.* **2012**, *2*, 591.

[25] J. Burschka, N. Pellet, S. J. Moon, R. Humphry-Baker, P. Gao, M. K. Nazeeruddin,

M. Gratzel, *Nature* **2013**, *499*, 316.

[26] H. Zhou, Q. Chen, G. Li, S. Luo, T. B. Song, H. S. Duan, Z. Hong, J. You, Y. Liu,

Y. Yang, *Science* **2014**, *345*, 542.

[27] S. Pang, H. Hu, J. Zhang, S. Lv, Y. Yu, F. Wei, T. Qin, H. Xu, Z. Liu, G. Cui, *Chem.*

Mater. **2014**, *26*, 1485.

- 1 [28]N. J. Jeon, J. H. Noh, W. S. Yang, Y. C. Kim, S. Ryu, J. Seo, S. I. Seok, *Nature*
2
3 **2015**, *517*, 476.
4
5
6 [29]T. M. Koh, K. Fu, Y. Fang, S. Chen, T. C. Sum, N. Mathews, S. G. Mhaisalkar, P.
7
8
9 P. Boix, T. Baikie, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118*, 16458.
10
11
12 [30]X. Zheng, C. Wu, S. K. Jha, Z. Li, K. Zhu, S. Priya, *ACS Energy Lett.* **2016**, *1*,
13
14 1014.
15
16
17 [31]Z. Wang, Y. Zhou, S. Pang, Z. Xiao, J. Zhang, W. Chai, H. Xu, Z. Liu, N. P. Padture,
18
19
20 G. Cui, *Chem. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 7149.
21
22
23 [32]D. Zhao, Y. Yu, C. Wang, W. Liao, N. Shrestha, C. R. Grice, A. J. Cimaroli, L.
24
25
26 Guan, R. J. Ellingson, K. Zhu, X. Zhao, R.-G. Xiong, Y. Yan, *Nat. Energy* **2017**, *2*,
27
28 17018.
29
30
31 [33]W. Liao, D. Zhao, Y. Yu, N. Shrestha, K. Ghimire, C. R. Grice, C. Wang, Y. Xiao,
32
33
34 A. J. Cimaroli, R. J. Ellingson, N. J. Podraza, K. Zhu, R. G. Xiong, Y. Yan, *J. Am. Chem.*
35
36 *Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 12360.
37
38
39 [34]Y. Yu, C. Wang, C. R. Grice, N. Shrestha, J. Chen, D. Zhao, W. Liao, A. J. Cimaroli,
40
41
42 P. J. Roland, R. J. Ellingson, *ChemSusChem* **2016**, *9*, 3288.
43
44
45 [35]W. S. Yang, J. H. Noh, N. J. Jeon, Y. C. Kim, S. Ryu, J. Seo, S. I. Seok, *Science*
46
47 **2015**, *348*, 1234.
48
49
50 [36]D. Bi, W. Tress, M. I. Dar, P. Gao, J. Luo, C. Renevier, K. Schenk, A. Abate, F.
51
52
53 Giordano, J. P. Correa Baena, J. D. Decoppet, S. M. Zakeeruddin, M. K. Nazeeruddin,
54
55
56 M. Gratzel, A. Hagfeldt, *Sci. Adv.* **2016**, *2*, e1501170.
57
58
59 [37]M. Saliba, T. Matsui, J. Y. Seo, K. Domanski, J. P. Correa-Baena, M. K.
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 Nazeeruddin, S. M. Zakeeruddin, W. Tress, A. Abate, A. Hagfeldt, M. Gratzel, *Energy*
2
3 *Environ Sci* **2016**, *9*, 1989.
4
5
6 [38] W. S. Yang, B.-W. Park, E. H. Jung, N. J. Jeon, Y. C. Kim, D. U. Lee, S. S. Shin, J.
7
8 Seo, E. K. Kim, J. H. Noh, *Science* **2017**, *356*, 1376.
9
10
11 [39] C.-C. Chueh, C.-Z. Li, A. K. Y. Jen, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *8*, 1160.
12
13
14 [40] H. S. Jung, N. G. Park, *Small* **2015**, *11*, 10.
15
16
17 [41] J. You, L. Meng, T.-B. Song, T.-F. Guo, Y. Yang, W.-H. Chang, Z. Hong, H. Chen,
18
19 H. Zhou, Q. Chen, Y. Liu, N. De Marco, Y. Yang, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2015**, *11*, 75.
20
21
22 [42] J. You, Z. Hong, Y. M. Yang, Q. Chen, M. Cai, T.-B. Song, C.-C. Chen, S. Lu, Y.
23
24 Liu, H. Zhou, *ACS nano* **2014**, *8*, 6.
25
26
27 [43] H. Xi, S. Tang, X. Ma, J. Chang, D. Chen, Z. Lin, P. Zhong, H. Wang, C. Zhang,
28
29 *ACS Omega* **2017**, *2*, 326.
30
31
32 [44] Z. Lin, J. Chang, J. Xiao, H. Zhu, Q.-H. Xu, C. Zhang, J. Ouyang, Y. Hao, *Sol.*
33
34 *Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2016**, *157*, 783.
35
36
37 [45] J. Huang, K.-X. Wang, J.-J. Chang, Y.-Y. Jiang, Q.-S. Xiao, Y. Li, *J. Mater. Chem.*
38
39 *A* **2017**, *5*, 13817.
40
41
42 [46] H. Yang, J. Zhang, C. Zhang, J. Chang, Z. Lin, D. Chen, X. Sun, H. Xi, G. Han, Y.
43
44 Hao, *Sol. Energy* **2016**, *139*, 190.
45
46
47 [47] J. Mo, C. Zhang, J. Chang, H. Yang, H. Xi, D. Chen, Z. Lin, G. Lu, J. Zhang, Y.
48
49 Hao, *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2017**, *5*, 13032.
50
51
52 [48] S. Pang, D. Chen, C. Zhang, J. Chang, Z. Lin, H. Yang, X. Sun, J. Mo, H. Xi, G.
53
54 Han, J. Zhang, Y. Hao, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2017**, *170*, 278.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 [49] W. Yu, K. Wang, B. Guo, X. Qiu, Y. Hao, J. Chang, Y. Li, *J. Power Sources* **2017**,
2
3 358, 29.
4
5
6 [50] R. Sandoval - Torrientes, J. Pascual, I. García - Benito, S. Collavini, I. Kosta, R.
7
8 Tena - Zaera, N. Martín, J. L. Delgado, *ChemSusChem* **2017**, *10*, 2023.
9
10
11 [51] S. Collavini, I. Kosta, S. F. Völker, G. Cabanero, H. J. Grande, R. Tena - Zaera, J.
12
13 L. Delgado, *ChemSusChem* **2016**, *9*, 1263.
14
15
16 [52] J. Pascual, I. Kosta, T. Tuyen Ngo, A. Chuvilin, G. Cabanero, H. J. Grande, E. M.
17
18 Barea, I. Mora - Seró, J. L. Delgado, R. Tena - Zaera, *ChemSusChem* **2016**, *9*, 2679.
19
20
21 [53] P. Docampo, J. M. Ball, M. Darwich, G. E. Eperon, H. J. Snaith, *Nat. Commun.*
22
23 **2013**, *4*, 2761.
24
25
26 [54] C.-G. Wu, C.-H. Chiang, Z.-L. Tseng, M. K. Nazeeruddin, A. Hagfeldt, M. Grätzel,
27
28 *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *8*, 2725.
29
30
31 [55] C.-H. Chiang, M. K. Nazeeruddin, M. Grätzel, C.-G. Wu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*
32
33 **2017**, *10*, 808.
34
35
36 [56] J. H. Heo, H. J. Han, D. Kim, T. K. Ahn, S. H. Im, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *8*,
37
38 1602.
39
40
41 [57] K. Tokunaga, Hydrogenation of Fullerene C60: Material Design of Organic
42
43 Semiconductors by Computation[M]. InTech: Croatia, **2012**.
44
45
46 [58] N. K. Elumalai, M. A. Mahmud, D. Wang, A. Uddin, *Energies* **2016**, *9*, 861.
47
48
49 [59] L.-C. Chen, J.-R. Wu, Z.-L. Tseng, C.-C. Chen, S. H. Chang, J.-K. Huang, K.-L.
50
51 Lee, H.-M. Cheng, *Materials* **2016**, *9*, 747.
52
53
54 [60] Q. Bao, X. Liu, S. Braun, M. Fahlman, *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2014**, *4*, 1301272.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 [61] Z.-L. Tseng, C.-H. Chiang, S.-H. Chang, C.-G. Wu, *Nano Energy* **2016**, *28*, 311.
2
3 [62] Q. Zhang, C. S. Dandeneau, X. Zhou, G. Cao, *Adv. Mater.* **2009**, *21*, 4087.
4
5 [63] Z. L. Wang, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2004**, *16*, R829.
6
7 [64] H. Liu, Z. Huang, S. Wei, L. Zheng, L. Xiao, Q. Gong, *Nanoscale* **2016**, *8*, 6209.
8
9 [65] L. Vayssieres, *Adv. Mater.* **2003**, *15*, 464.
10
11 [66] Y. Li, G. Meng, L. Zhang, F. Phillipp, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2000**, *76*, 2011.
12
13 [67] Y. Kong, D. Yu, B. Zhang, W. Fang, S. Feng, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2001**, *78*, 407.
14
15 [68] Y. Xing, Z. Xi, Z. Xue, X. Zhang, J. Song, R. Wang, J. Xu, Y. Song, S. Zhang, D.
16
17 Yu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2003**, *83*, 1689.
18
19 [69] Y. Sun, G. M. Fuge, N. A. Fox, D. J. Riley, M. N. Ashfold, *Adv. Mater.* **2005**, *17*,
20
21 2477.
22
23 [70] Z. W. Pan, Z. R. Dai, Z. L. Wang, *Science* **2001**, *291*, 1947.
24
25 [71] X. Bai, P. Gao, Z. L. Wang, E. Wang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2003**, *82*, 4806.
26
27 [72] C. Ronning, P. Gao, Y. Ding, Z. L. Wang, D. Schwen, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2004**, *84*,
28
29 783.
30
31 [73] W. L. Hughes, Z. L. Wang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2005**, *86*, 043106.
32
33 [74] Y. Ding, X. Y. Kong, Z. L. Wang, *Phys. Rev. B* **2004**, *70*, 235408.
34
35 [75] Z. Tu, Q. Li, X. Hu, *Phys. Rev. B* **2006**, *73*, 115402.
36
37 [76] Y. Wang, X. Li, N. Wang, X. Quan, Y. Chen, *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2008**, *62*, 727.
38
39 [77] B. Fang, C. Zhang, W. Zhang, G. Wang, *Electrochim. Acta* **2009**, *55*, 178.
40
41 [78] Y.-J. Kim, J. Yoo, B.-H. Kwon, Y. J. Hong, C.-H. Lee, G.-C. Yi, *Nanotechnology*
42
43 **2008**, *19*, 315202.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 [79]C. Pacholski, A. Kornowski, H. Weller, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 1188.
2
3 [80]X. Wang, C. J. Summers, Z. L. Wang, *Nano Lett.* **2004**, *4*, 423.
4
5 [81]P. Carcia, R. McLean, M. Reilly, G. Nunes Jr, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2003**, *82*, 1117.
6
7 [82]K. H. Kim, K. C. Park, D. Y. Ma, *J. Appl. Phys.* **1997**, *81*, 7764.
8
9 [83]Z. L. Tseng, C. H. Chiang, C. G. Wu, *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 13211.
10
11 [84]Ü. Özgür, Y. I. Alivov, C. Liu, A. Teke, M. Reshchikov, S. Doğan, V. Avrutin, S.-J.
12 Cho, H. Morkoc, *J. Appl. Phys.* **2005**, *98*, 11.
13
14 [85]H. J. Snaith, A. Abate, J. M. Ball, G. E. Eperon, T. Leijtens, N. K. Noel, S. D.
15 Stranks, J. T.-W. Wang, K. Wojciechowski, W. Zhang, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2014**, *5*,
16 1511.
17
18 [86]V. Miikkulainen, M. Leskelä, M. Ritala, R. L. Puurunen, *J. Appl. Phys* **2013**, *113*,
19 2.
20
21 [87]D. Kim, H. Kang, J.-M. Kim, H. Kim, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2011**, *257*, 3776.
22
23 [88]B. Sang, A. Yamada, M. Konagai, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **1998**, *37*, L206.
24
25 [89]S. Berg, L. Andersson, H. Norström, E. Grusell, *Vacuum* **1977**, *27*, 189.
26
27 [90]S. M. Sultan, K. Sun, O. Clark, T. B. Masaud, Q. Fang, R. Gunn, J. Partridge, M.
28 Allen, P. Ashburn, H. Chong, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* **2012**, *33*, 203.
29
30 [91]T. Tynell, M. Karppinen, *Semicond. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *29*, 043001.
31
32 [92]K.-M. Lee, S. H. Chang, K.-H. Wang, C.-M. Chang, H.-M. Cheng, C.-C. Kei, Z.-
33 L. Tseng, C.-G. Wu, *Sol. Energy* **2015**, *120*, 117.
34
35 [93]X. Dong, H. Hu, B. Lin, J. Ding, N. Yuan, *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 14405.
36
37 [94]K. Mahmood, B. S. Swain, H. S. Jung, *Nanoscale* **2014**, *6*, 9127.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 [95] Z. Li, C. Xiao, Y. Yang, S. P. Harvey, D. H. Kim, J. A. Christians, M. Yang, P.
2 Schulz, S. U. Nanayakkara, C.-S. Jiang, J. M. Luther, J. J. Berry, M. C. Beard, M. M.
3 Al-Jassim, K. Zhu, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2017**, *10*, 1234.
4
5
6
7
8 [96] C. Wang, C. Xiao, Y. Yu, D. Zhao, R. A. Awni, C. R. Grice, K. Ghimire, D.
9 Constantinou, W. Liao, A. J. Cimaroli, P. Liu, J. Chen, N. J. Podraza, C.-S. Jiang, M.
10 M. Al-Jassim, X. Zhao, Y. Yan, *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2017**, 1700414.
11
12
13
14 [97] C. Wang, D. Zhao, Y. Yu, N. Shrestha, C. R. Grice, W. Liao, A. J. Cimaroli, J. Chen,
15 R. J. Ellingson, X. Zhao, Y. Yan, *Nano Energy* **2017**, *35*, 223.
16
17
18 [98] W. Ke, C. Xiao, C. Wang, B. Saparov, H. S. Duan, D. Zhao, Z. Xiao, P. Schulz, S.
19 P. Harvey, W. Liao, W. Meng, Y. Yu, A. J. Cimaroli, C. S. Jiang, K. Zhu, M. Al-Jassim,
20 G. Fang, D. B. Mitzi, Y. Yan, *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 5214.
21
22
23 [99] W. Tress, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, *8*, 3106.
24
25
26
27 [100] N.-G. Park, T. Miyasaka, M. Grätzel, Organic-Inorganic Halide Perovskite
28 Photovoltaics[M]. Springer: Cham, Switzerland, **2016**.
29
30
31 [101] J. Shi, X. Xu, D. Li, Q. Meng, *Small* **2015**, *11*, 2472.
32
33
34 [102] H.-S. Kim, I. Mora-Sero, V. Gonzalez-Pedro, F. Fabregat-Santiago, E. J.
35 Juarez-Perez, N.-G. Park, J. Bisquert, *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 2242.
36
37
38 [103] Y. Sun, J. H. Seo, C. J. Takacs, J. Seifert, A. J. Heeger, *Adv. Mater.* **2011**, *23*,
39 1679.
40
41
42 [104] E. A. Meulenkaamp, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **1998**, *102*, 5566.
43
44
45 [105] H. Zeng, W. Cai, P. Liu, X. Xu, H. Zhou, C. Klingshirn, H. Kalt, *ACS nano*
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 [106] H. Zeng, G. Duan, Y. Li, S. Yang, X. Xu, W. Cai, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2010**, *20*,
2
3 561.
4
5
6 [107] X. Zhang, Y. Li, J. Zhao, S. Wang, Y. Li, H. Dai, X. Sun, *J. Power Sources*
7
8
9 **2014**, *269*, 466.
10
11 [108] M. Ahmad, E. Ahmed, Y. Zhang, N. Khalid, J. Xu, M. Ullah, Z. Hong, *Curr.*
12
13 *Appl. Phys.* **2013**, *13*, 697.
14
15
16 [109] W. J. Beek, M. M. Wienk, R. A. Janssen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2006**, *16*, 1112.
17
18
19 [110] W. J. Beek, M. M. Wienk, R. A. Janssen, *Adv. Mater.* **2004**, *16*, 1009.
20
21
22 [111] T. P. Chou, Q. Zhang, G. E. Fryxell, G. Cao, *Adv. Mater.* **2007**, *19*, 2588.
23
24
25 [112] R. Zhang, C. Fei, B. Li, H. Fu, J. Tian, G. Cao, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*
26
27
28 **2017**, *9*, 9785.
29
30
31 [113] M. Dürr, A. Schmid, M. Obermaier, S. Rosselli, A. Yasuda, G. Nelles, *Nat.*
32
33 *Mater.* **2005**, *4*, 607.
34
35
36 [114] J. T. Wang, J. M. Ball, E. M. Barea, A. Abate, J. A. Alexander-Webber, J.
37
38
39 Huang, M. Saliba, I. Mora-Sero, J. Bisquert, H. J. Snaith, R. J. Nicholas, *Nano Lett.*
40
41
42 **2014**, *14*, 724.
43
44
45 [115] K. Hwang, Y. S. Jung, Y. J. Heo, F. H. Scholes, S. E. Watkins, J. Subbiah, D.
46
47
48 J. Jones, D. Y. Kim, D. Vak, *Adv. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 1241.
49
50
51 [116] H. Zhou, Y. Shi, K. Wang, Q. Dong, X. Bai, Y. Xing, Y. Du, T. Ma, *J. Phys.*
52
53 *Chem. C* **2015**, *119*, 4600.
54
55
56 [117] R. Viswanatha, H. Amenitsch, D. Sarma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 4470.
57
58
59 [118] D. Bi, G. Boschloo, S. Schwarzmuller, L. Yang, E. M. Johansson, A. Hagfeldt,
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 *Nanoscale* **2013**, *5*, 11686.

2
3 [119] D.-Y. Son, J.-H. Im, H.-S. Kim, N.-G. Park, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118*, 16567.

4
5
6 [120] J. H. Im, I. H. Jang, N. Pellet, M. Gratzel, N. G. Park, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2014**,
7
8
9 *9*, 927.

10
11 [121] L.-W. Ji, S.-M. Peng, J.-S. Wu, W.-S. Shih, C.-Z. Wu, I.-T. Tang, *J. Phys. Chem.*
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Solids **2009**, *70*, 1359.

[122] J. H. Noh, S. W. Lee, *Electron. Mater. Lett.* **2008**, *4*, 71.

[123] L. Whittaker-Brooks, J. M. Mativetsky, A. Woll, D. Smilgies, Y.-L. Loo, *Org.*
Electron. **2013**, *14*, 3477.

[124] D.-Y. Son, K.-H. Bae, H.-S. Kim, N.-G. Park, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2015**, *119*,
10321.

[125] M. Wang, S. Li, P. Zhang, Y. Wang, H. Li, Z. Chen, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2015**,
639, 283.

[126] M. Yang, Z. Li, M. O. Reese, O. G. Reid, D. H. Kim, S. Siol, T. R. Klein, Y.
Yan, J. J. Berry, M. F. A. M. van Hest, K. Zhu, *Nat. Energy* **2017**, *2*, 17038.

[127] S. Li, P. Zhang, H. Chen, Y. Wang, D. Liu, J. Wu, H. Sarvari, Z. D. Chen, *J.*
Power Sources **2017**, *342*, 990.

[128] M. Yang, Y. Zeng, Z. Li, D. H. Kim, C. S. Jiang, J. van de Lagemaat, K. Zhu,
Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. **2017**, *19*, 5043.

[129] M. Yang, T. Zhang, P. Schulz, Z. Li, G. Li, D. H. Kim, N. Guo, J. J. Berry, K.
Zhu, Y. Zhao, *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 12305.

[130] J.-F. Tang, Z.-L. Tseng, L.-C. Chen, S.-Y. Chu, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*

1 **2016**, *154*, 18.

2
3
4 [131] M. Law, L. E. Greene, J. C. Johnson, R. Saykally, P. Yang, *Nat. Mater.* **2005**,
5
6 4, 455.

7
8
9 [132] G. Hu, W. Guo, R. Yu, X. Yang, R. Zhou, C. Pan, Z. L. Wang, *Nano Energy*
10
11 **2016**, *23*, 27.

12
13
14 [133] G. Zhu, Y. Zhou, S. Wang, R. Yang, Y. Ding, X. Wang, Y. Bando, Z. lin Wang,
15
16 *Nanotechnology* **2012**, *23*, 055604.

17
18
19 [134] G. Rani, P. Sahare, *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *29*, 1035.

20
21 [135] L. Xie, Y. Wang, H. Zhang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2009**, *94*, 061905.

22
23 [136] K. Deng, T. Gong, L. Hu, X. Wei, Y. Chen, M. Yin, *Opt. Express* **2011**, *19*,
24
25 1749.

26
27
28 [137] S. Ameen, M. S. Akhtar, H.-K. Seo, M. K. Nazeeruddin, H.-S. Shin, *J. Phys.*
29
30 *Chem. C* **2015**, *119*, 10379.

31
32 [138] A. Janotti, C. G. Van de Walle, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **2009**, *72*, 126501.

33
34 [139] F. Oba, S. R. Nishitani, S. Isotani, H. Adachi, I. Tanaka, *J. Appl. Phys.* **2001**,
35
36 90, 824.

37
38 [140] A. Kohan, G. Ceder, D. Morgan, C. G. Van de Walle, *Phys. Rev. B* **2000**, *61*,
39
40 15019.

41
42 [141] J. Yang, B. D. Siempelkamp, E. Mosconi, F. De Angelis, T. L. Kelly, *Chem.*
43
44 *Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 4229.

45
46 [142] S. Chen, C. E. Small, C. M. Amb, J. Subbiah, T. h. Lai, S. W. Tsang, J. R.
47
48 Manders, J. R. Reynolds, F. So, *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2012**, *2*, 1333.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 [143] L. J. Brillson, Y. Lu, *J. Appl. Phys.* **2011**, *109*, 8.
- 2
- 3 [144] L. Brillson, H. Mosbacker, M. Hetzer, Y. Strzhemechny, G. Jessen, D. Look,
- 4
- 5 G. Cantwell, J. Zhang, J. Song, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2007**, *90*, 102116.
- 6
- 7
- 8 [145] L.-L. Yang, Q. Zhao, M. Willander, X. Liu, M. Fahlman, J. Yang, *Appl. Surf.*
- 9
- 10 *Sci.* **2010**, *256*, 3592.
- 11
- 12
- 13 [146] Q. Zhao, L.-L. Yang, M. Willander, B. E. Sernelius, P. Holtz, *J. Appl. Phys.*
- 14
- 15 **2008**, *104*, 073526.
- 16
- 17
- 18 [147] D. P. McMeekin, G. Sadoughi, W. Rehman, G. E. Eperon, M. Saliba, M. T.
- 19
- 20 Horantner, A. Haghighirad, N. Sakai, L. Korte, B. Rech, M. B. Johnston, L. M. Herz,
- 21
- 22 H. J. Snaith, *Science* **2016**, *351*, 151.
- 23
- 24
- 25 [148] Y. Li, J. K. Cooper, W. Liu, C. M. Sutter-Fella, M. Amani, J. W. Beeman, A.
- 26
- 27 Javey, J. W. Ager, Y. Liu, F. M. Toma, I. D. Sharp, *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 12446.
- 28
- 29
- 30 [149] X. Li, D. Bi, C. Yi, J. D. Decoppet, J. Luo, S. M. Zakeeruddin, A. Hagfeldt, M.
- 31
- 32 Gratzel, *Science* **2016**, *353*, 58.
- 33
- 34
- 35 [150] F. J. Ramos, M. C. López - Santos, E. Guillén, M. K. Nazeeruddin, M. Grätzel,
- 36
- 37 A. R. Gonzalez - Elipe, S. Ahmad, *ChemPhysChem* **2014**, *15*, 1148.
- 38
- 39
- 40 [151] J. Yang, T. L. Kelly, *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56*, 92.
- 41
- 42
- 43 [152] Y. Cheng, Q. D. Yang, J. Xiao, Q. Xue, H. W. Li, Z. Guan, H. L. Yip, S. W.
- 44
- 45 Tsang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2015**, *7*, 19986.
- 46
- 47
- 48 [153] P. Zhang, J. Wu, Y. Wang, H. Sarvari, D. Liu, Z. D. Chen, S. Li, *J. Mater. Chem.*
- 49
- 50 *A* **2017**, *5*, 17368.
- 51
- 52
- 53 [154] A. G. Aberle, *Prog Photovoltaics /progress in photovoltaics* **2000**, *8*, 473.
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60
- 61
- 62
- 63
- 64
- 65

- 1 [155] J. Dong, X. Xu, J.-J. Shi, D.-M. Li, Y.-H. Luo, Q.-B. Meng, Q. Chen, *Chin.*
2
3
4 *Phys. Lett.* **2015**, *32*, 078401.
5
6 [156] P. Chen, X. Yin, M. Que, Y. Yang, W. Que, *RSC Advances* **2016**, *6*, 57996.
7
8
9 [157] S. Li, D. Liu, P. Zhang, Y. Wang, H. Sarvari, Y. Xuan, Z. D. Chen, *ECS Trans.*
10
11 **2016**, *72*, 275.
12
13
14 [158] S. Li, P. Zhang, Y. Wang, H. Sarvari, D. Liu, J. Wu, Y. Yang, Z. Wang, Z. D.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21 [159] K. Mahmood, B. S. Swain, A. Amassian, *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2015**, *5*, 1500568.
22
23 [160] M. Law, L. E. Greene, A. Radenovic, T. Kuykendall, J. Liphardt, P. Yang, *J.*
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

- 1 [168] J. Werner, G. Dubuis, A. Walter, P. Löper, S.-J. Moon, S. Nicolay, M. Morales-
2 Masis, S. De Wolf, B. Niesen, C. Ballif, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* **2015**, *141*, 407.
3
4
5
6 [169] P.-Y. Chen, S.-H. Yang, *Opt. Mater. Express* **2016**, *6*, 3651.
7
8
9 [170] X. Zhao, H. Shen, Y. Zhang, X. Li, X. Zhao, M. Tai, J. Li, J. Li, X. Li, H. Lin,
10 *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 7826.
11
12
13 [171] A. Mekki-Berrada, D. Grondin, S. Bennici, A. Auroux, *Phys. Chem. Chem.*
14 *Phys.* **2012**, *14*, 4155.
15
16
17 [172] J. Song, J. Bian, E. Zheng, X.-F. Wang, W. Tian, T. Miyasaka, *Chem. Lett.*
18 **2015**, *44*, 610.
19
20
21
22
23 [173] L. Zuo, Z. Gu, T. Ye, W. Fu, G. Wu, H. Li, H. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**,
24 *137*, 2674.
25
26
27
28 [174] M. H. Kumar, N. Yantara, S. Dharani, M. Graetzel, S. Mhaisalkar, P. P. Boix,
29 N. Mathews, *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 11089.
30
31
32
33 [175] J. Zhang, T. Pauporté, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2015**, *119*, 14919.
34
35
36 [176] J. Kim, G. Kim, T. K. Kim, S. Kwon, H. Back, J. Lee, S. H. Lee, H. Kang, K.
37 Lee, *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2014**, *2*, 17291.
38
39
40
41 [177] H. Si, Q. Liao, Z. Zhang, Y. Li, X. Yang, G. Zhang, Z. Kang, Y. Zhang, *Nano*
42 *Energy* **2016**, *22*, 223.
43
44
45 [178] L. Liang, Z. Huang, L. Cai, W. Chen, B. Wang, K. Chen, H. Bai, Q. Tian, B.
46 Fan, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2014**, *6*, 20585.
47
48
49 [179] M. M. Tavakoli, R. Tavakoli, Z. Nourbakhsh, A. Waleed, U. S. Virk, Z. Fan,
50 *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *3*, 1500790.
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65