

## An Accessible Method for DFT Calculation of $^{11}\text{B}$ NMR Shifts of Organoboron Compounds

Henry S Rzepa, Sergey Arkhipenko, Emily Wan, Marco T. Sabatini, Valerija Karaluka, Andrew Whiting, and Tom David Sheppard

*J. Org. Chem.*, **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.8b00859 • Publication Date (Web): 22 May 2018

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on June 14, 2018

### Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.



# An Accessible Method for DFT Calculation of $^{11}\text{B}$ NMR Shifts of Organoboron Compounds


Henry S. Rzepa,<sup>\*a</sup> Sergey Arkhipenko,<sup>b</sup> Emily Wan,<sup>b</sup> Marco T. Sabatini,<sup>c</sup> Valerija Karaluka,<sup>c</sup> Andrew Whiting,<sup>b</sup> and Tom D. Sheppard<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a.</sup> Department of Chemistry, Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, London, SW7 2AZ, UK. Email: rzepa@imperial.ac.uk

<sup>b.</sup> Department of Chemistry, Durham University, Science Site, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK.

<sup>c.</sup> Department of Chemistry, University College London, Gordon Street, London, WC1H 0AJ, UK.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available,<sup>16</sup> including FAIR data for NMR spectra, computational data and synthetic procedures.



**Abstract:** The study of boron-mediated reactions in organic synthesis and reactions of organoboron compounds is greatly facilitated by the use of  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR. However, the identification and characterisation of reaction intermediates in often complex systems is far from trivial, as  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR does not provide any detailed structural information. Greater insight into the structures present in such systems can be obtained by using DFT chemical shift calculations to support or exclude proposed reaction intermediates. In this article, we report a rapid and accessible approach to the calculation of  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR shifts that is applicable to a wide range of organoboron compounds.

## Introduction

1  
2  
3 Organoboron compounds are widely used in organic synthesis as important reagents for a  
4 range of transition-metal catalysed processes,<sup>1</sup> and they can also serve as useful precursors to  
5 a variety of organic compounds through regioselective and stereoselective conversion of the  
6 boron atom into other functional groups.<sup>2</sup> Furthermore, boron compounds themselves have  
7 found many applications in recent years as catalysts for industrially important reactions such  
8 as direct amidation,<sup>3</sup> and as radical precursors.<sup>4</sup> As a consequence of the importance of boron  
9 in organic chemistry, there has been considerable interest in elucidating the mechanisms of  
10 these reactions.<sup>5</sup> In many cases, complex reaction pathways are involved, where both the  
11 nature and the role of the boron species in the key steps can be hard to determine. Direct  
12 NMR analysis of real or simulated reaction mixtures can serve to provide insights into the  
13 boron species present, but accurate identification of the groups attached to boron is non-  
14 trivial due to the lack of detailed structural information that can be obtained from <sup>11</sup>B NMR  
15 data. As part of our ongoing interest in the study of boron-mediated reactions in organic  
16 chemistry,<sup>6</sup> we required a reliable method for predicting the <sup>11</sup>B NMR shifts of organoboron  
17 compounds so that the presence of proposed reaction intermediates could be supported or  
18 excluded. Whilst DFT calculation of <sup>11</sup>B NMR chemical shifts of organoboron compounds  
19 has been employed in several mechanistic studies,<sup>7</sup> to the best of our knowledge there has  
20 been no detailed evaluation of a DFT method using a structurally diverse set of organoboron  
21 compounds covering a wide range of chemical shift values. In this article, we describe a  
22 convenient method for DFT calculation of <sup>11</sup>B chemical shifts that is widely applicable, and  
23 which we believe will prove useful for helping to establish the likely structure of unknown  
24 intermediates in boron-mediated chemical reactions.

### 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 **Computational procedures.**








51  
52 Many DFT-based methods for structure determinations based on <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H NMR nuclei  
53 have been reported in the last decade. A typical example was the use of such methods for  
54  
55  
56  
57











1  
2  
3 structure reassignments in obtusallenes,<sup>8</sup> where the mPW1PW91 functional and the aug-cc-  
4 pVDZ basis set using a self-consistent reaction field correction for solvation and full  
5 optimization of the molecular geometry resulted in <sup>13</sup>C shift predictions for carbon in a wide  
6 variety of environments with a mean deviation from the observed values of 1-2 ppm. More  
7 recently, it has become customary to use functionals which also include dispersion energy  
8 corrections leading to better geometric predictions for non-rigid molecules. For <sup>11</sup>B shifts, we  
9 have evaluated two well-tested examples of such functionals; ωB97XD, for which a second-  
10 generation dispersion correction is implicit<sup>9</sup> and the older B3LYP procedure augmented with  
11 an explicit third generation dispersion correction (B3LYP-GD3BJ).<sup>10</sup> For evaluation of these  
12 functionals, the relatively fast aug-cc-pVDZ basis<sup>11</sup> with an included continuum solvation  
13 correction was used for <sup>11</sup>B predictions relative to the computed shielding of BF<sub>3</sub>.OEt<sub>2</sub> as the  
14 reference compound, and employing the Gaussian 09 and 16 programs.<sup>12</sup> The use of the  
15 relatively modest aug-cc-pVDZ basis set (compared to larger triple-ζ bases) has the direct  
16 advantage of allowing the geometries of a wide range of molecules containing up to about  
17 125 atoms to be fully optimized and the shieldings computed with reasonable computer  
18 resources. Typically systems with < 50 atoms will complete in a few hours, whilst molecules  
19 with up to 125 atoms may take 2-4 days on 16-64 processor systems.<sup>13</sup> Basis sets have also  
20 been developed specifically for use in nuclear shielding calculations.<sup>14</sup> Here we also assessed  
21 the relatively recent double-ζ aug-pcSseg-1 basis,<sup>14</sup> which is both modestly larger than aug-  
22 cc-pVDZ in terms of basis functions, and computationally 2-3 times slower for the overall  
23 calculation. It is recognised that <sup>1</sup>H NMR shieldings are sensitive to the Boltzmann  
24 conformer populations and we also evaluated this sensitivity of <sup>11</sup>B shifts for one system  
25 where they might be expected to be maximal. To facilitate this, preliminary minimisation of  
26 conformer geometries was undertaken using the Avogadro program (V1.1)<sup>15</sup> employing the  
27 relatively crude UFF force field to pre-optimize the geometry prior to application of the full  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60





DFT procedure. An exhaustive search for the global conformational minimum for the structures reported here was not undertaken, but this could also be added to future refinements of this procedure. All the computational data and experimentally recorded  $^{11}\text{B}$  data is available *via* a managed data repository.<sup>16</sup>

## Results and Discussion

**Table 1. Observed and Calculated  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR shifts for a range of boron compounds.<sup>†</sup>**

Entry	Structure	$\delta_{\text{obs}}$	$\delta_{\text{calc}}$ ( $\omega\text{B97XD}/$ $\text{aug-cc-pvDZ}$ )	$\delta_{\text{calc}}$ (B3LYP+GD3BJ/ $\omega\text{B97XD}/$ $\text{aug-cc-pvDZ}$ )		Calc DOI	Expt DOI <sup>‡</sup>
				$\delta_{\text{calc}}$ ( $\omega\text{B97XD}/$ $\text{aug-cc-pvDZ}$ )	$\delta_{\text{calc}}$ ( $\omega\text{B97XD}/$ $\text{aug-pcSseg-1}$ )		
1 <sup>a</sup>	(THF) <sub>3</sub> LiBH <sub>4</sub>	-41.8 <sup>17</sup>	-43.8	-45.5	-49.0	<a href="#">1929, 3675 3825</a>	<a href="#">cr7n3h</a>
2 <sup>a</sup>	H <sub>3</sub> BNH <sub>3</sub>	-22 <sup>18</sup>	-24.7	-23.7	-27.1	<a href="#">3894 3895 3896</a>	<a href="#">ck62</a>
3	H <sub>3</sub> BNEt <sub>3</sub>	-14 <sup>19</sup>	-17.4	-18.3	-19.0	<a href="#">3817, 3715 3775</a>	
4 <sup>c</sup>		-2.6 <sup>20</sup>	-5.6	-2.0	-8.5	<a href="#">3733, 3740 3867</a>	<a href="#">f88f6n</a>
5		2 <sup>6</sup>	-1.5	-1.3	-3.4	<a href="#">1884, 3700 3849</a>	<a href="#">chxq</a>
6		6.4 <sup>17</sup>	4.5	5.5	3.3	<a href="#">753, 3698 3847</a>	<a href="#">cmm8</a>
7 <sup>b</sup>		10.1 <sup>21</sup>	9.1	9.5	8.1	<a href="#">3741, 3704 3928</a>	<a href="#">f7j7tt</a>
8		10.7 <sup>21</sup>	8.3	9.0	7.6	<a href="#">3920, 3706 3846</a>	<a href="#">ckzz</a>
9		12.4 <sup>17</sup>	10.9	12.1	11.3	<a href="#">940, 3689 3818</a>	<a href="#">cmm9</a>
10	B(OCH <sub>2</sub> CF <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	17 <sup>22</sup>	15.7 (16.5)	15.2	17.4	<a href="#">1617, 3708 3816</a>	<a href="#">ckz2</a>
11	B(OPh) <sub>3</sub>	16.4	14.6	14.2	15.7	<a href="#">3877 3893 3898</a>	<a href="#">ck94</a>
12	B(OMe) <sub>3</sub>	19 <sup>*</sup>	17.1	16.7	19.2	<a href="#">1616, 3714 3815</a>	<a href="#">ckz7</a>
13 <sup>c</sup>		19.7 <sup>20</sup>	17.3	19.6	17.2	<a href="#">3735, 3739 3866</a>	<a href="#">f88f6n</a>

14		24*	21.8	21.3	21.7	<a href="#">1619, 3692 3814</a>	<a href="#">ckz8</a>
15	B(NMe <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	27*	26.5	26.0	26.7	<a href="#">1618, 3693 3776</a>	<a href="#">ckz9</a>
16		28.3 <sup>17</sup>	26.7	26.9	31.0	<a href="#">755, 3755 3793</a>	<a href="#">cmnb</a>
17		28.4 <sup>17</sup>	26.7	26.1	26.7	<a href="#">824 3754 3860</a>	<a href="#">dvfzcf</a>
18 <sup>d</sup>	DanB-BPin	28.5 <sup>23</sup> 25.2 <sup>23</sup>	29.9 26.5	29.5 26.4	31.2 26.0	<a href="#">3073, 3921 3929</a>	<a href="#">f2p9wj</a>
19 <sup>c</sup>		28.9 <sup>24</sup>	27.5	27.1	27.6	<a href="#">3930, 3931 3932</a>	<a href="#">bz2sz8</a>
20	CatBH	29*	26.8	25.9	29.2	<a href="#">3879 3878 3880</a>	<a href="#">f2d8f8</a>
21		29.1 <sup>§</sup>	27.0	26.8	27.2	<a href="#">3732, 3707 3865</a>	<a href="#">cmnc</a>
22		29.8 <sup>17</sup>	29.1	28.7	28.7	<a href="#">757 3872 3873</a>	<a href="#">cmnd</a>
23	B <sub>2</sub> Pin <sub>2</sub>	30.1*	28.2	28.0	29.7	<a href="#">3069, 3709 3863</a>	<a href="#">ck2b</a>
24 <sup>e</sup>	B <sub>2</sub> Cat <sub>2</sub>	30.7 <sup>25</sup>	28.5	28.0	30.2	<a href="#">3068, 3712 3862</a>	<a href="#">d6v9wb</a>
25 <sup>f</sup>		30.7 <sup>26</sup>	29.2	29.3	31.2	<a href="#">3936, 3935 3934</a>	<a href="#">fxfs7h</a>
26		30.9 <sup>27</sup> 28.7 <sup>27</sup>	30.6 28.6	30.2 28.2	30.2 28.1	<a href="#">3826, 3876, 3864</a>	<a href="#">f98mz3</a>
27		33 <sup>17</sup>	31.0	30.9	32.5	<a href="#">1876, 3699 3820</a>	<a href="#">bz2sz8</a> <a href="#">cmnf</a>
28		36 <sup>28</sup>	34.4	34.4	35.2	<a href="#">3176, 3687 3868</a>	<a href="#">ckz4</a>
29 <sup>e</sup>	BBr <sub>3</sub>	40.5 <sup>29</sup>	63.3	68.5	71.3	<a href="#">3066, 3685 3812</a>	<a href="#">ckz5</a>

30		42.2 <sup>17</sup>	40.2	40.3	41.3	<a href="#">942, 3691 3819</a>	<a href="#">cmng</a>
31		43.2 <sup>30</sup>	44.5	44.1	45.1	<a href="#">949, 3701 3850</a>	<a href="#">b3h65j</a>
32		43.5 <sup>17</sup>	41.2	41.7	42.8	<a href="#">943, 3690 3778</a>	<a href="#">cmnh</a>
33	Ph <sub>2</sub> BO <sup>i</sup> Pr	44.8 <sup>17</sup>	43.0	43.1	43.8	<a href="#">934, 3696 3794</a>	<a href="#">d6bsbf</a>
34	Ph <sub>2</sub> BOH	45.7 <sup>17</sup>	43.4	43.8	45.0	<a href="#">719, 3695 3777</a>	<a href="#">cmn2</a>
35 <sup>g</sup>	BCl <sub>3</sub>	46.4 <sup>31</sup>	48.6	49.2	52.7	<a href="#">3067, 3697 3798</a>	<a href="#">cppdj4</a>
36		50.2 <sup>32</sup>	47.8	49.0	50.1	<a href="#">939, 3694 3813</a>	<a href="#">ckz6</a>
37 <sup>h</sup>	Et <sub>3</sub> B	86.5 <sup>33</sup>	82.7	85.5	88.2	<a href="#">1917, 3663 3771</a>	<a href="#">dbc9jq</a> <a href="#">ck95</a>

Abbreviations: Pin = Pinacolato; Dan = naphthalene-1,8-diaminato; Cat = Catecholato; <sup>\*</sup>Data obtained in CDCl<sub>3</sub> unless otherwise stated: <sup>a</sup>THF; <sup>b</sup>Acetone; <sup>c</sup>Benzene; <sup>d</sup>MeOH; <sup>e</sup>CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>; <sup>f</sup>D<sub>2</sub>O; <sup>g</sup>PhMe; <sup>h</sup>Neat. <sup>\*</sup>NMR spectrum collected in this work using a commercial sample. <sup>§</sup>Novel compound, see experimental section for details. FAIR data for these calculations referenced against BF<sub>3</sub>.OEt<sub>2</sub> are available<sup>16</sup> with individual entries resolved as *e.g.* <https://doi.org/10.14469/hpc/1929> <sup>‡</sup>Short DOI resolved as *e.g.* <https://doi.org/cr7n3h>

Evaluation of three different DFT methods (Table 1) using 37 organoboron compounds from the literature, as well as more structurally complex compounds isolated as part of our mechanistic study into boron-catalysed direct amidation,<sup>6, 34</sup> revealed that calculations were accurate and consistent over a wide range of chemical shifts (-42 to +87 ppm). The regression analyses including all 39 sets of chemical shifts are shown in Table 2. Excluding compounds BBr<sub>3</sub> and BCl<sub>3</sub> (Entries 29 and 35), for which the errors in the calculated chemical shifts can be directly attributed to spin-orbit coupling effects,<sup>8</sup> reduces the standard deviations significantly. We conclude that for the ωB97XD/aug-cc-pvDZ method, the remaining systematic error can be simply attributed to the computed value for the reference compound BF<sub>3</sub>.OEt<sub>2</sub> and that a correction of +1.83 ppm to the calculated shift can be applied. There is also little difference between the two functionals, with ωB97XD being the slightly more

accurate. At the  $\omega$ B97XD/aug-pcSseg-1 basis set level, the systematic errors are relatively large for the first five entries; if these are also excluded the regression improves, but is still inferior to the  $\omega$ B97XD/aug-cc-pvDZ method. There is therefore no substantial advantage in using such a basis set; rather these are really designed to facilitate extrapolation to complete basis set limits (CBS), which due to the sizes of many of the molecules reported here is not feasible. Because  $^{11}\text{B}$  peaks tend to be broad, the measured shifts themselves are likely to be accurate to only  $\pm 1$  ppm, resulting from effects such as variation in phasing, concentration, solvent and reference procedures used. Given this variation, an accuracy of 1-2 ppm for the predicted shifts is sufficiently useful, and we suggest it can be considered a useful adjunct for identifying unknown boron species in solution. At this stage trying to achieve further reductions in the predictive  $^{11}\text{B}$  shift errors by systematic variation in the density functional used or optimising the basis set was not attempted; rather we consider these results as a benchmark that further work should strive to improve upon.

**Table 2. Regression analysis for  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR shifts.**


Method	Regression slope with standard error.	Regression intercept with standard error.
$\omega$ B97XD/aug-cc-pvDZ	$1.032 \pm 0.031$	$-1.79 \pm 1.01$
$\omega$ B97XD/aug-cc-pvDZ	$1.007 \pm 0.009^a$	$-1.83 \pm 0.30$
B3LYP+GD3BJ/aug-cc-pvDZ	$1.046 \pm 0.036$	$-1.77 \pm 1.20$
B3LYP+GD3BJ/aug-cc-pvDZ	$1.016 \pm 0.009^a$	$-1.87 \pm 0.30$
$\omega$ B97XD/aug-pcSseg-1	$1.113 \pm 0.039$	$-3.03 \pm 1.28$
$\omega$ B97XD/aug-pcSseg-1	$1.079 \pm 0.011^a$	$-3.13 \pm 0.36$
$\omega$ B97XD/aug-pcSseg-1	$1.043 \pm 0.016^b$	$-1.83 \pm 0.53$

<sup>a</sup> Excluding  $\text{BCl}_3$  and  $\text{BBr}_3$ . <sup>b</sup> Excluding  $\text{BCl}_3$  and  $\text{BBr}_3$  and entries 1-5.


### Specific examples



- 1  
2  
3 1. Entry 10,  $\text{B}(\text{OCH}_2\text{CF}_3)_3$ , was selected for conformational exploration, since the  
4 orientation of the C- $\text{CF}_3$  bond with respect to the B-O bond should be expected to  
5 exhibit a maximal electronic effect. The variation between 15.7 (all *anti* conformer) and  
6 16.3-16.5 ppm (all *gauche* conformers of higher energy) for the  $^{11}\text{B}$  shift is <1 ppm,  
7 which is less than the accuracy of the calculated predictions.  
8  
9
- 10  
11 2. Entry 9 contains an eight-membered ring which can exist in at least two conformations,  
12 one a boat with a transannular B-N interaction (1.72 Å, Figure 1a) and an alternate chair  
13 conformation in which the transannular interaction is absent (Figure 1b) for which the  
14 calculated free energy  $\Delta G_{298}$  is 6.1 kcal/mol higher indicating no significant Boltzmann  
15 population of this form. The calculated  $^{11}\text{B}$  shifts in these forms differ substantially,  
16 10.9 ppm for the former and 34.0 ppm for the latter, despite the relatively long B-N  
17 bond in the first. A search of the Cambridge structural database<sup>35</sup> for tetracoordinate  
18 boron containing one attached carbon, two oxygens and one nitrogen produces a  
19 histogram of distances (Figure 2) ranging from 1.54 to 1.76 Å, which in turn suggests  
20 that  $^{11}\text{B}$  shifts may be useful diagnostics for strength of the B-N interaction in such  
21 systems. The B-N bond length for the compound in entry 9 is at the top end of the range  
22 indicated by the crystal structure bond length distribution, suggesting a relatively weak  
23 interaction which is reflected in the relatively high  $^{11}\text{B}$  chemical shift compared to the  
24 compounds shown in entries 7-8.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




**Figure 1.** Calculated structures at the wB97XD/aug-cc-pVDZ/SCRF=chloroform level for system 8 as (a) a boat conformation and (b) a chair conformation, with the length of the B-N interaction shown, in Å.



**Figure 2.** A crystal structure search for tetracoordinate B with B-C, two B-O and one B-N interactions, using the February 2018 version of the CSD database.

3. During our recent study of the mechanism of boron-catalysed direct amidation reactions, we evaluated the reactivity of simple acyloxyboron compounds with amines (Scheme 1). Mandelic acid derivative **1** was synthesised as reported previously,<sup>30</sup> and reacted with benzylamine in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution to give a new species in the <sup>11</sup>B NMR, which we proposed was the amine adduct **2**. DFT predictions of the chemical shifts of both **1** and the amine adduct **2** were in good agreement with the proposed structures, which supports our hypothesis that trigonal acyloxyboron compounds react readily with amines at the boron atom. There was no evidence for reaction at the carbonyl group and subsequent amide formation. This serves as an illustration of the utility of this DFT method for identifying unknown species in solution.



**Scheme 1.** Reaction of mandelic acid boronates **1** with benzylamine to give adducts **2**. The DFT calculated <sup>11</sup>B chemical shifts (shown in parentheses) are  $\omega$ B97XD/aug-cc-pvdz/scrff=chloroform, including the reference correction of +1.8 ppm. Data in the sub-collection at DOI: [10.14469/hpc/3900](https://doi.org/10.14469/hpc/3900)

## Summary

<sup>11</sup>B NMR spectroscopy is widely used as a tool for investigating the role of organoboron reagents in organic reaction mechanisms, but accurately identifying the structure of unknown organoboron species is non-trivial. We have evaluated a simple “one-pot” procedure for estimating the <sup>11</sup>B chemical shift of putative species in solution using a standard quantum chemical program, enabling predictions with better than 2 ppm accuracy and providing a tool for assisting with probing the mechanistic pathways of organoboron mediated reactions.

## Experimental Section.

**General methods.** All starting materials and solvents were obtained commercially from standard chemical suppliers and were used as received unless otherwise stated. NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker Avance-400 MHz spectrometer at frequencies of 400, 101, 128 and 376 MHz for  $^1\text{H}$ ,  $^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $^{11}\text{B}$  and  $^{19}\text{F}$  respectively. NMR experiments were run in  $\text{CDCl}_3$  unless otherwise stated and the data is reported as follows: chemical shift ( $\delta$ , ppm), multiplicity, spin-spin coupling constants (J, Hz), integration and assignment, where possible.  $\text{H}_{\text{na}}$  and  $\text{H}_{\text{nb}}$  denote diastereotopic protons;  $\text{H}_n$  and  $\text{H}_{n'}$  (or equivalently,  $\text{C}_n$  and  $\text{C}_{n'}$ ), denote rotamers. Aromatic carbons next to boron atom are not reported in  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR. Mass spectra were obtained using ASAP (LCT Premier XE), ESI (TQD mass spectrometer with Acquity UPLC photodiode array detector) or EI (Shimadzu QP-2010-Ultra) techniques. Accurate mass values were measured on QtoF Premier mass spectrometer. IR spectra were obtained using FT1600 series or PerkinElmer UATR Two spectrometers. Elemental analysis was performed using an Exeter Analytical E-440 Elemental Analyser. Melting points were determined using an Electrothermal apparatus and were uncorrected.

### **4,9-Dimethoxy-1H,3H-naphtho[1,8-cd][1,2,6]oxadiborinine-1,3-diol (Entry 21)**

*n*-Butyllithium (0.289 mL, 0.723 mmol) was added to a solution of 1,8-dibromo-2,7-dimethoxynaphthalene (0.100 g, 0.289 mmol) in dry THF (4 mL) under argon at  $-78\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ . The mixture was stirred for 30 minutes. Trimethyl borate (0.071 mL, 0.636 mmol) was then added quickly and the mixture slowly warmed to r.t. The mixture was stirred for 30 min, quenched with 20 % HCl (2 mL) and left to stir for 15 min. The product was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 4 mL), washed with brine (3 x 4 mL), dried ( $\text{MgSO}_4$ ) and the solvent removed *in vacuo*. The compound was recrystallised from  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 - \text{Et}_2\text{O}$  to give the product as a white solid (10.2 mg, 14 %):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (600 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ): 7.96 (2H, d, J 9.1), 7.35 (2H, s), 7.19 (2H, d, J 9.0), 4.07 (6H, s);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (150 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ): 165.4. 144.0. 134.4. 123.7. 110.1. 56.2;  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR

(CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 29.8; m/z (ES<sup>+</sup>): 259.3 [M+H<sup>+</sup>]; HRMS: Calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>13</sub><sup>10</sup>B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 257.1022, found 257.1017.

*Crystal data for 4,9-dimethoxy-1H,3H-naphtho[1,8-cd][1,2,6]oxadiborinine-1,3-diol (Entry 21):* C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>12</sub>B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, M = 257.84, orthorhombic, space group P bca, a = 12.4294(6), b = 12.3804(6), c = 15.3932(7) Å, U = 2368.7(2) Å<sup>3</sup>, F(000) = 1072.0, Z = 8, D<sub>c</sub> = 1.446 mg m<sup>-3</sup>, μ = 0.108 mm<sup>-1</sup> (Mo-Kα, λ = 0.71073 Å), T = 120(1)K. 46156 reflections were collected on a Bruker D8Venture diffractometer (ω-scan, 1°/frame) yielding 3142 unique data (R<sub>merge</sub> = 0.0562). The structure was solved by direct method and refined by full-matrix least squares on F<sup>2</sup> for all data using SHELXTL and OLEX2 software. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atoms were located on the difference map and refined isotropically. Final wR<sub>2</sub>(F<sup>2</sup>) = 0.1122 for all data (220 refined parameters), conventional R (F) = 0.0419 for 2297 reflections with I ≥ 2σ, GOF = 1.034. Crystallographic data for the structure have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication CCDC-1834774.

**Supporting Information:** Computational full data files are available *via* a data repository,<sup>16</sup> with these files containing details of calculations; also included are crystallographic and NMR data for 4,9-dimethoxy-1H,3H-naphtho[1,8-cd][1,2,6]oxadiborinine-1,3-diol, and all <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectra collected in this work (in Mpublish format).<sup>16</sup>

### Acknowledgements

We thank Durham University for Doctoral Fellowship funding (SA), GlaxoSmithKline and UCL Chemistry for supporting a PhD studentship (MTS), and Pfizer for providing an EPSRC CASE award (VK). We thank Andrei Batsanov and Dmitry Yufit for solving the X-Ray crystal structure of 4,9-Dimethoxy-1H,3H-naphtho[1,8-cd][1,2,6]oxadiborinine-1,3-diol.

### References

<sup>1</sup> a) Lennox, A. J. J.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C. Selection of boron reagents for Suzuki–Miyaura coupling. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 412; b) Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. Palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of organoboron compounds. *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *95*, 2457; c) Lam, P. Y. S. in *Synthetic Methods in Drug Discovery*: Vol. 1, Ch. 7, Royal Society of Chemistry (2016).

<sup>2</sup> Hall, D. G. in *Boronic Acids: Preparation and Applications in Organic Synthesis, Medicine and Materials*: Vol. 1, Ch. 1, Structure, properties, and preparation of boronic acid derivatives: overview of their reactions and applications. Wiley (2011) (Ed. D. G. Hall).

<sup>3</sup> Payette, J. N.; Yamamoto, H. in *Boronic Acids: Preparation and Applications in Organic Synthesis, Medicine and Materials*: Vol. 2, Ch. 12, Borate and boronic acid derivatives as catalysts in organic synthesis. Wiley (2011) (Ed. D. G. Hall).

<sup>4</sup> a) Zhang, L.; Jiao, L. Pyridine-catalyzed radical borylation of aryl halides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 607; b) Attack, T.C.; Cook, S. P. Manganese-catalyzed borylation of unactivated alkyl chlorides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 6139; c) Seiple, I. B.; Su, S.; Rodriguez, R. A.; Gianatassio, R.; Fujiwara, Y.; Sobel, A. L.; Baran, P. S. Direct C–H arylation of electron-deficient heterocycles with arylboronic Acids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 13194; d) Lima, F.; Sharma, U. K.; Grunenberg, L.; Saha, D.; Johannsen, S.; Sedelmeier, J.; Van der Eycken, E. V.; Ley, S. V. A Lewis base catalysis approach for the photoredox activation of boronic acids and esters. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 15136.

<sup>5</sup> Mechanism studies: a) Cox, P. A.; Reid, M.; Leach, A. G.; Campbell, A. D.; King, E. J.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C. Base-catalyzed aryl-B(OH)<sub>2</sub> protodeboronation revisited: from concerted proton transfer to liberation of a transient aryl anion. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 13156; b) Molloy, J. J.; Seath, C. P.; West, M. J.; McLaughlin, C.; Fazakerley, N. J.; Kennedy, A. R.; Nelson, D. J.; Watson, A. J. B. Interrogating Pd(II) anion metathesis using a bifunctional chemical probe: A transmetalation switch. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 126; c) Vantourout, J. C.; Miras, H. N.; Isidro-Llobet, A.; Sproules S.; Watson, A. J. B. Spectroscopic studies of the Chan–Lam amination: A mechanism-inspired solution to boronic ester reactivity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 4769; d) J. J. Molloy, J. J.; Clohessy, T. A.; Irving, C.; Anderson, N. A.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Watson, A. J. A. Chemoselective oxidation of aryl organoboron systems enabled by boronic acid-selective phase transfer. *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 1551; e) Zhang, L.; Jiao, L. Super electron donors derived from diboron. *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 2711; f) Bagutski, V.; Del Grosso, A.; Ayuso Carrillo, J.; Cade, I. A.; Helm, M. D.; Lawson, J. R.; Singleton, P. J.; Solomon, S. A.; Marcelli, T. A.; Ingleson, M. J. Mechanistic studies into

- 1  
2  
3  
4 amine-mediated electrophilic arene borylation and its application in MIDA boronate  
5 synthesis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 474; g) Cox, P. A.; Leach, A. G.; Campbell, A. D.;  
6 Lloyd-Jones, G. C. Protodeboration of heteroaromatic, vinyl, and cyclopropyl boronic  
7 acids: pH-rate profiles, autocatalysis, and disproportionation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*,  
8 9145.
- 9  
10  
11  
12 <sup>6</sup> Arkhipenko, S. Y.; Sabatini, M. T.; Batsanov, A. S.; Karaluka, V.; Sheppard, T. D.; Rzepa,  
13 H. S.; Whiting, A. Mechanistic insights into boron-catalysed direct amidation reactions.  
14 *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 1058.
- 15  
16  
17 <sup>7</sup> a) Wrackmeyer, B. The B–N Bond in some aminoboranes and an iminoborane, studied by  
18 <sup>11</sup>B and <sup>15</sup>N NMR spectroscopy and DFT methods. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **2015**, *641*, 2525; b)  
19 Oh, S.-W.; Weiss, J. W. E.; Kerneghan, P. A.; Korobkov, I.; Maly, K. E.; Bryce, D. L. Solid-  
20 state <sup>11</sup>B and <sup>13</sup>C NMR, IR, and X-ray crystallographic characterization of selected  
21 arylboronic acids and their catechol cyclic esters. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **2012**, *50*, 388; c)  
22 Wrackmeyer, B. Organoboranes and tetraorganoborates studied by <sup>11</sup>B and <sup>13</sup>C NMR  
23 spectroscopy and DFT calculations. *Z. Naturforsch* **2015**, *70*, 421 d) Bagno, A.; Kantlehner,  
24 W.; Kress, R.; Saielli, G.; Stoyanov, E. Fries rearrangement of aryl formates: A mechanistic  
25 study by means of <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>2</sup>H, and <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectroscopy and DFT calculations. *J. Org. Chem.*  
26 **2006**, *71*, 9331.
- 27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33 <sup>8</sup> Braddock, D. C.; Rzepa, H. S. Structural reassignment of obtusallenes V, VI, and VII by  
34 GIAO-based density functional prediction. *J. Nat. Prod.*, **2008**, *71*, 728.
- 35  
36  
37  
38 <sup>9</sup> Chai, J. -D.; Head-Gordon, M. Long-range corrected hybrid density functionals with  
39 damped atom–atom dispersion corrections. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, *10*, 6615.
- 40  
41  
42  
43 <sup>10</sup> Grimme, S.; Ehrlich, S.; Goerigk, L. Effect of the damping function in dispersion corrected  
44 density functional theory. *J. Comp. Chem.* **2011**, *32*, 1456.
- 45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60 <sup>11</sup> Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, Jr., T. H.; Harrison, R. J. Electron affinities of the first row  
atoms revisited. Systematic basis sets and wave functions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1992**, *96*, 6796.
- <sup>12</sup> Gaussian 16, Revision A.03, Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.;  
Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.;  
Li, X.; Caricato, M.; Marenich, A. V.; Bloino, J.; Janesko, B. G.; Gomperts, R.; Mennucci,  
B.; Hratchian, H. P.; Ortiz, J. V.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Williams-Young, D.;  
Ding, F.; Lipparini, F.; Egidi, F.; Goings, J.; Peng, B.; Petrone, A.; Henderson, T.;  
Ranasinghe, D.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Gao, J.; Rega, N.; Zheng, G.; Liang, W.; Hada, M.;  
Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao,

O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Throssell, K.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M. J.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E. N.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Keith, T. A.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A. P.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Adamo, C.; Cammi, R.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Farkas, O.; Foresman, J. B.; Fox, D. J. Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.

<sup>13</sup> Grimme, S.; Bannwarth, C.; Dohm, S.; Hansen, A.; Pisarek, J.; Pracht, P.; Seibert, J.; Neese, F. Fully automated quantum chemistry based computation of spin-spin coupled nuclear magnetic resonance spectra. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 14763.

<sup>14</sup> Jensen, F. Basis set convergence of nuclear magnetic shielding constants calculated by density functional methods. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2008**, *4*, 719-727 and Segmented contracted basis sets optimized for nuclear magnetic shielding. **2015**, *11*, 132-138.

<sup>15</sup> Hanwell, M. D.; Curtis, D. E.; Lonie, D. C.; Vandermeersch, T.; Zurek, E.; Hutchison, G. R. Avogadro: an advanced semantic chemical editor, visualization, and analysis platform. *J. Cheminformatics* **2012**, *4*:17.

<sup>16</sup> H. S. Rzepa, S. Arkhipenko, E. Wang, M. T. Sabatini, V. Karaluka, A. Whiting, T. D. Sheppard, Imperial College Research Computing Services Data Repository, 2016, DOI: [10.14469/hpc/3702](https://doi.org/10.14469/hpc/3702) and collections therein.

<sup>17</sup> Brown, H. C.; Choi, Y. M.; Narasimhan, S. Addition compounds of alkali metal hydrides. 22. Convenient procedures for the preparation of lithium borohydride from sodium borohydride and borane-dimethyl sulfide in simple ether solvents. *Inorg. Chem.* **1982**, *21*, 3657.

<sup>18</sup> Ramachandran, P. V.; Drolet, M. P.; Kulkarni, A. S. A non-dissociative open-flask hydroboration with ammonia borane: ready synthesis of ammonia-trialkylboranes and aminodialkylboranes. *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 11897.

<sup>19</sup> Heitsch, C. W. The Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectra of Some Boron Complexes. *Inorg. Chem.* **1965**, *4*, 1019.

<sup>20</sup> Gellrich, U.; Diskin-Posner, Y.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Milstein, D. Reversible Aromaticity Transfer in a Bora-Cycle: Boron-Ligand Cooperation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 13307.

<sup>21</sup> Eberlin, L.; Carboni, B.; Whiting, A. Regioisomeric and Substituent Effects upon the Outcome of the Reaction of 1-Borodienes with Nitrosoarene Compounds. *J. Org. Chem.* **2015**, *80*, 6574.



- 1  
2  
3  
4 <sup>22</sup> Sabatini, M. T.; Boulton, L. T.; Sheppard, T. D. Borate esters: Simple catalysts for the  
5 sustainable synthesis of complex amides. *Sci. Adv.* **2017**, *3*, e1701028.  
6  
7 <sup>23</sup> Cid, J.; Carbó, J. J.; Fernández, E. A clear-cut example of selective Bpin-Bdan activation  
8 and precise Bdan transfer on boron conjugate addition. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 3616.  
9  
10 <sup>24</sup> Kawachi, A.; Nagae, S.; Onoue, Y.; Harada, O.; Yamamoto, Y. ortho-Magnesiumation of  
11 boron-substituted benzenes by using (TMP)<sub>2</sub> Mg. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 8005.  
12  
13 <sup>25</sup> Coombs, D. N.; Aldridge, S.; Wiltshire, G.; Kays, D. L.; Bresner, C.; Ooi, L.  
14 Complementary anion binding by bidentate boron-containing Lewis acids. *J. Organomet.*  
15 *Chem.* **2005**, *690*, 2725.  
16  
17 <sup>26</sup> Arnold, K.; Batsanov, A. S.; Davies, B.; Grosjean, C.; Schütz, T.; Whiting, A.; Zawatzky,  
18 K. The first example of enamine–Lewis acid cooperative bifunctional catalysis: application to  
19 the asymmetric aldol reaction. *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 3879.  
20  
21 <sup>27</sup> Noda, H.; Furutachi, M.; Asada, Y.; Shibasaki, M.; Kumagai, N. Unique physicochemical  
22 and catalytic properties dictated by the B<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> ring system. *Nature Chem.* **2017**, *9*, 571.  
23  
24 <sup>28</sup> Paetzold P. I.; Scheibitz, W.; Scholl, E. Darstellung und Eigenschaften von 1.3.2-  
25 Dioxaborolandionen-(4.5). *Z. Naturforsch. B* **1971**, *26*, 646.  
26  
27 <sup>29</sup> Hatano, M.; Goto, Y.; Izumiseki, A.; Akakura, M.; Ishihara, K. Boron Tribromide-Assisted  
28 Chiral Phosphoric Acid Catalyst for a Highly Enantioselective Diels–Alder Reaction of 1,2-  
29 Dihydropyridines. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 13472.  
30  
31 <sup>30</sup> Brown, N. M. D.; Favidson, F.; Wilson, J. W. Dimesitylboryl compounds. Part II. Nitrogen  
32 derivatives. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1980**, *192*, 133.  
33  
34 <sup>31</sup> Del Grosso, A.; Pritchard, R. G.; Muryn, C. A.; Ingleson, M. J. Chelate Restrained Boron  
35 Cations for Intermolecular Electrophilic Arene Borylation. *Organometallics* **2010**, *29*, 241.  
36  
37 <sup>32</sup> Kahlert, J.; Bohling, L.; Brockhinke, A.; Stammler, H.-G.; Neumann, B.; Rendina, L. M.;  
38 Low, P. J.; Weber, L.; Fox, M. A. Syntheses and reductions of C-dimesitylboryl-1,2-dicarba-  
39 *closo*-dodecaboranes. *Dalton Trans.* **2015**, *44*, 9766.  
40  
41 <sup>33</sup> Toporcer, L. H.; Dessy, R. E.; Green, S. I. E. The Preparation and Properties of Some  
42 Tetracoordinate Boron Compounds. The Pseudo-Metal Ion Concept. *Inorg. Chem.* **1965**, *4*,  
43 1649.  
44  
45 <sup>34</sup> S. Arkhipenko, S. Y. (2017) Approaches to Novel B-N Chemistry at the Boundary of  
46 Frustrated Lewis Pairs and Bifunctional Catalysis. PhD Thesis, Durham University; available  
47 at Durham E-Theses Online: DOI: [10.15128/et0012213](https://doi.org/10.15128/et0012213)  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 <sup>35</sup> C. R. Groom, I. J. Bruno, M. P. Lightfoot and S. C. Ward. The Cambridge Structural  
5 Database. *Acta Cryst.* **2016**, 72, 171.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60