

1 How to Make Internal Fixation Work with Limited Bone Stock

2

3 **Introduction**

4

5 Fractures are common in small animal practice and there are many options for
6 managing them. After stabilisation and assessment of the patient, the fracture should
7 be evaluated and a plan made as to the most appropriate method to treat the fracture
8 (Shales 2008). Plates and screws remain a popular means to manage many
9 fractures, especially diaphyseal ones, as they provide rigid fixation with reliable
10 healing, and minimal post-operative management when compared with external
11 skeletal fixation. However, some fractures are comminuted, or sufficiently close to a
12 joint (juxta-articular), that they limit the amount of bone available to achieve a
13 standard stable plate and screw fixation (Fig 1). This article discusses the options to
14 achieve a stable internal fixation when there is limited bone stock.

15

16 **What do you need for stable internal fixation with plates and screws? - Three** 17 **Bicortical Screws Doctrine**

18

19 Various factors should be considered when choosing the size of implant, such as
20 type and location of the fracture, age, activity, size of bone, weight of animal, and
21 condition of the soft tissue (Shales 2008), (Table 1). However, based on evaluation of
22 over 1000 bone plate cases and 300 screw fixations, the most important factor was
23 *patient weight* (Brinker 1977), and hence the AO plate sizing chart, which is based on
24 weight, is the starting point for plate size selection (Johnson and others

25 2005, Piermattei and others, 2006). Once a plate size has been identified, an overlay
26 templating method using an acetate or digital software determines whether and how
27 the implant may fit. Conventional wisdom is at least three or four bicortical screws
28 (six to eight cortices) should be placed in each fracture fragment (Johnson and
29 others 2005, Piermattei and others, 2006). Interestingly the original evidence for this
30 is not forthcoming and appears to be based on experience and logic. From a
31 mechanical point of view, one screw only provides one point fixation allowing rotation
32 of the fracture fragment. Therefore two screws (monocortical or bicortical) in each
33 main fragment is the minimum for stability. Unfortunately, such a construction will fail
34 if one screw breaks or if the interface between bone cortex and screw is threatened
35 due to bone resorption. Thus, for safety reasons a minimum of three screws in both
36 the proximal and the distal fragment is recommended (Fig 2). Therefore, short
37 fracture fragments can make this requirement difficult to achieve, but not necessarily
38 impossible.

39

40 **Double Plating**

41

42 Double plating can be extremely useful for achieving a rigid fixation and increased
43 numbers of cortices within a fracture fragment. Critically, this is achieved using the
44 standard inventory of stock plates and screws, and does not necessarily require
45 additional locking instrumentation, or specialised plates and implants. A good rule of
46 thumb is at least one of the plates needs to ideally have two bicortical, or one
47 bicortical and one monocortical (preferably locked – see later) screws. Double plating
48 can be '*parallel*' (Fig 3) or '*orthogonal / polyaxial*' (Figs 4 & 5 & Table 2).

49

50 A warning however, this approach comes with two potential downsides; in gaining
51 more screws to increase the stability of the fracture repair, the repair has become
52 significantly stiffer which if excessive, may slow or retard healing. It is therefore
53 feasible that one of the plates may need to be removed in the future. Secondly,
54 placing a large amount of metal work over the bone lends itself to the carpenter
55 rather than the gardener type approach to orthopaedics, meaning the ability of the
56 fracture to heal is reduced at the expensive of reconstructing the bone. *Orthogonal*
57 *double plating, or 'polyaxial plating'* usually results in one of the plates being edge
58 loaded (bending forces are applied against the width, not the depth of the plate,
59 thereby significantly increasing its resistance to bending). Theoretically, the use of
60 orthogonal double plating can provide a much stiffer construct than a single plate
61 especially in resistance to torsion. When double plating, it is important to consider the
62 sizes of the plates used. More often than not, one and sometimes both may be
63 downsized to avoid excessively stiff repairs and to increase the numbers of screws
64 available, such as in figure 3, where a 2.7mm plate was appropriate for the dog's
65 weight, however wouldn't allow minimum numbers of bicortical screws. As an
66 alternative, two 2.0 plates were placed instead, allowing increased numbers of
67 cortices to be achieved. Downsizing can also reduce the plate profile making it easier
68 to close the soft-tissues over the top.

69

70 **Plates with increased screw hole density - VCP**

71 The Veterinary Cuttable Plate (VCP) has relatively higher numbers of screws per unit
72 length of plate when comparing the 2.7 DCP/ LCP to the 2.0/2.7 VCP (Fig 6). A
73 single 2.0/2.7 VCP is significantly weaker to bending than a 2.7 DCP/LCP, having
74 only approximately 1/3 the stiffness, however by stacking two of them on top of each
75 other, this can be approximately doubled (Frutcher 1991). The main disadvantage is

76 the inability to provide fragment compression as it does not have the oval DCP style
77 holes.

78

79 **Locking Plates**

80

81 Locking plates are of great interest to the veterinary orthopaedic community, and do
82 have certain advantages over conventional plates as reviewed by Arthurs 2015. The
83 main difference between locking plates and conventional plates is conventional plate
84 stability is dependent upon friction at the plate to bone and screw to bone interfaces.
85 Standard plates can fail by cortical screw toggling (screw head moving within the
86 screw hole) which leads to screw loosening and loss of plate-bone fixation (Smith
87 and others 2007). Therefore, conventional systems rely on each individual screw's
88 resistance to pullout; hence the more screws placed, the more cortices and the more
89 stable the fixation. A locking screw on the other hand, has a fixed-angle construct
90 that does not rely on friction at the plate to bone and screw to bone interfaces.
91 Instead, the system relies on friction at the threaded screw-plate interface i.e. its
92 locking mechanism. This potentially means that the construct may be more stable
93 with fewer cortices or poorer quality bone. These plates are extensively used in
94 osteoporotic fractures in people for this very reason. The down side of these systems
95 is nearly all them have a fixed angle of the screws. This can mean that you simply
96 may not be able to get two bicortical locked screws aimed at the bone fragment (Fig
97 7). Alternatives include placing a monocortical locked screw, or to use a locking
98 system that can be easily contoured to re-orientate the screw (OrthoMed SOP (Fig 8),
99 Vetisco Evolox), or a system that allows the placement of screws at different angles
100 within the hole and still achieve a 'locked screw'. These newer variable angled locked
101 screw systems (Securos PAX, Freelance vetLox), however, have not currently been

102 extensively evaluated yet (Arthurs 2015).

103

104 **Add a locked screw to a conventional fixation 'Hybrid Fixation'**

105

106 This can be very useful. Plating systems such as the DePuy Synthes Locking
107 Compression Plates (LCP), have 'combi holes'. These plate holes basically combine
108 the old DCP style hole with a locking screw hole. One end of the plate hole allows for
109 placement of a standard cortical or cancellous screw and can be used in either a
110 compression or neutral fashion. The other end has a screw thread cut into it, allowing
111 it to accept a specially designed locking screw (Fig 9). Hence, each combi hole can
112 be used in one of two modes: either in a 'Locking mode' – with special locking screws,
113 nor in a non-locking 'conventional DCP mode' – with standard cancellous or cortical
114 screws.

115 A recent veterinary mechanical study showed that adding a single locked screw in to
116 an otherwise non-locking construct will increase its resistance to torsion (Gordon
117 2009), and may be clinically useful (Fig 10). The use of locking screws also has
118 advantages in poor quality bone, or when insufficient cortices are available.
119 Therefore if there is only room for two bicortical screws, it is advisable to place one
120 as a locked screw. There are important rules when mixing locking and non-locking
121 screws in any one bone segment, so called 'hybrid usage'; *it is essential to **place the***
122 ***non-locking screws first*** and the *plate must be adequately contoured so there **is***
123 ***contact** between the bone and the plate*. If contouring is suboptimal, the
124 conventional screws may distort the fracture alignment. Once the conventional
125 screws are placed, locked screws can follow. Placing conventional screws *after*
126 locked screws in any one fracture segment, will lead to the different types of fixation

127 method working against each other and the repair may fail.

128

129 If a monocortical screw is required, then use a locking screw wherever possible (Fig
130 11). Locking monocortical screws are more reliable as they have two points of
131 fixation; the near cortex of the bone and the plate itself, and therefore they resist axial
132 load to failure better than standard monocortical cortex screws in bone. Monocortical
133 locked screws are supposed to provide sufficient stability and load transfer, despite
134 only loading the near cortex. This latter concept has been questioned in small
135 animals due to the presence of very thin cortices and therefore, bicortical screw
136 fixation, or double plate fixation is probably safer if achievable.

137

138 **Veterinary Anatomical Plates**

139

140 There is an increasing diversity of veterinary designed plates on the market, from a
141 range of providers. Probably the most common day-to-day indication for these sorts
142 of plates is the toy breed distal metaphyseal antebrachial fracture. **The 'T' plate**, (Fig
143 12) being wider at the distal end, with screws orientated in the horizontal plate, allows
144 increased screw purchase in a short wide fracture fragment, such as the distal radial
145 epiphysis. These T plates are also useful for short ilial fractures just cranial to the
146 acetabulum, "cotyloid fractures". Historically the vertical portion has been quite short,
147 however longer plates with a T shaped head are now available. 'Veterinary T'- and
148 '**L-plates**' are available in different sizes from 2.0 to 3.5. Other useful plates include
149 the **hockey-stick** or **supracondylar plate 'J plate'** (Fig 13) is very useful for
150 achieving a rigid plate fixation where there is limited bone for screw purchase due to
151 caudal curvature of the femoral condyle in supracondylar fractures. **Acetabular**

152 **plates** (Fig 14) are of course useful for acetabular fractures but have also been used
153 for femoral trochlea ridge fractures. **Double hook plates** can be used in proximal
154 femoral fractures as well as for intertrochanteric osteotomies. These can be
155 manufactured for cats using a VCP and pin cutters to fashion two hooks to fold over
156 the proximal aspect of the greater trochanter. Other procedure specific plates can
157 also be useful. The Tibial Plateau Levelling Osteotomy (**TPLO**) **Plate** for cruciate
158 instability, for instance is very well adapted to short proximal tibial fractures,
159 especially the DePuy Synthes TPLO plate, that has fixed angled locked screws
160 proximally, specifically orientated not to breach the articular joint surface or to
161 impinge on each other (Fig 15).

162

163 **Malleable / Reconstruction Plates**

164

165 Reconstruction plates are more malleable and allow three-dimensional contouring
166 (Fig 16). That means it is possible to manipulate the plate to obtain more screws in
167 the smaller bone fragment, however the plates themselves are inherently weaker,
168 being less resistant to bending. Therefore for the same size DCP, the reconstruction
169 plate is more likely to fail. Locking plates with three degrees of contouring freedom
170 now also exist. They combine the increased contouring potential with the advantages
171 of locking screws, but have the disadvantage of usually being 'weaker plates'.
172 Systems available include the Depuy Synthes UniLock plate, OrthoMed SOP,
173 Vetisco Evolox, to name a few (See Arthurs 2015 for more details). The SOP makes
174 use of standard AO cortical screws (Fig 8), which is both its strength by minimising
175 investment in inventory but also its weakness as these screws have relatively narrow
176 diameters compared with other locking screws (Fig 9) and are more prone to implant
177 failure through screw failure.

178

179 **Add an IM Pin 'Plate-Rod', and other additional implants K-Wires, Lag Screws**

180

181 Adding an intra-medullary pin to a plate fixation is a useful and popular technique
182 (Hulse 1997, Reems and others 2003). However, often in fractures with limited bone
183 stock, the fragment is too short to be meaningfully stabilised, but it may help in initial
184 reduction by re-aligning and distracting the fragments. A pin size of 40% of the canal
185 diameter is recommending to allow the placement of screws past the pin and to avoid
186 the fixation being too stiff (Fig 17). Other small implants, such as additional small K-
187 wires are useful for fracture reduction and alignment but will not add much to the
188 mechanical strength and therefore shouldn't be relied upon to shore-up a tenuous
189 plate-screw fixation. Compression from a lag screw is extremely beneficial as it
190 creates absolute stability for bone healing, and the compression also results in
191 impaction of fragments with a marked increase in frictional resistance to motion.
192 What this means is that it greatly reduces the forces born by implants. An option if a
193 fracture component is completely reconstructable is to lag two segments together to
194 in effect make a single larger fragment, which then provides more bone for screw
195 purchase in the newly formed larger fragment.

196

197 **Human Anatomical Plates**

198

199 In recent years, aided by the development of locking technology there has been an
200 explosion in human site-specific anatomical pre-contoured, shape specific plates.
201 Some of these can be made use of in veterinary orthopaedics and offer the

202 advantage of the ability to use a mixture of locking and conventional screws in
203 addition to offering varied screw positions and plate shapes. Most of these plates are
204 derived from the DePuy Synthes locking (LCP) and DCP systems. Therefore, they
205 are compatible with veterinary LCP screws and instrumentation, or compatible style
206 veterinary offerings. The human distal radial plates probably are the most useful for
207 veterinary patients (Fig 18), and have been used by this author and others in a range
208 of fractures including cat pelvic fractures, complex ulna fractures and humeral Y
209 fractures, where bone stock is available but not linearly (Fig 19). Some have
210 contouring grooves so that corners can be bent over relatively easily without
211 deforming the screw holes. Further some plates have locking screw holes
212 intentionally angled to ensure maximum purchase and to avoid physes or articular
213 surfaces. The main consideration is most of these plates were not necessarily
214 designed with weight bearing in mind; the 2.4 Distal Radial Plates for instance are
215 thinner than a straight veterinary 2.4 LCP and therefore they will be weaker.

216

217 **Combinations**

218

219 Combining these different options can have excellent results (Fig 20). However, if
220 after considering all internal fixation options, it is not possible to provide two bicortical
221 screws in a single plate, or one bicortical and one locked monocortical screw then
222 other fixation systems such as external skeletal fixators may be necessary.

223

224 **Summary**

225

226 Plates and screws are an excellent means to stabilise many fractures however for
227 fractures with short fragments, a range of approaches should be considered to
228 achieve a stable and reliable fixation. There are many ways to achieve this, each with
229 relative advantages and disadvantages. Some are more straightforward, some are
230 more costly and some require more advanced planning. In any case, consideration of
231 double plating, locking implants, anatomical plates, human orthopaedic plates, plate-
232 rods, malleable plates, or combinations should allow the veterinary orthopaedic
233 surgeon to achieve a stable, reliable fixation, even when it appears unachievable on
234 first inspection.

235

236 Tables:

237

238 Table 1: Factors Influencing your Choice of Implants

239	General Animal Factors
240	Age (young, adult, geriatric), weight relative to bone size (overweight, breed
241	conformation), systemic illness, nutritional state, patient activity
242	Veterinary Factors
243	Implants and equipment available, expertise and experience available, time
244	and availability for follow-up
245	Fracture factors
246	Complexity of fracture, location of fracture, soft-tissues available (for closure
247	and blood supply), open or closed, bone loss

248

249 Table 2: Types of Double Plating

Double Plating Type	Plate Position	Advantage	Disadvantage
Parallel	Plates placed next to each other - same bone surface	Increase in bending resistance, increased screw purchase	May struggle to fit two plates on same surface Soft-tissue closure may be difficult
Orthogonal	Plates placed at 90 degrees – orthogonal bone surfaces	Large increase in bending resistance, increased screw purchase Increased room available for second plate	More extensive dissection needed, may retard healing Soft-tissue closure may be difficult

250

251 Table 3: Common Juxta-articular Fractures

252

253 **Common juxta-articular fractures and ideas for management**

254 *Femoral Supracondylar Fractures*

255 These are challenging usually due to caudal bow of the femoral condyle. It often
 256 helps to place one or two temporary or permanent crossed K-wires to aid initial
 257 stability. An arthrotomy into the proximal stifle joint also helps ensure good exposure.

258 The femoral condylar veterinary plate 'Hockey-Stick' 'J plate' is particularly good here
 259 (Fig 13), to ensure at least 3 bicortical screws, however care needs to be taken to
 260 avoid the proximal section of the plate diverging away from the femoral diaphysis
 261 when concentrating on plating over the condyle distally.

262 *Distal radius and Ulna*

263 Most commonly seen in toy breeds, options include a straight plate if you can
264 achieve 2 bicortical screws distally ± IM pin in the ulna for additional stability.
265 Veterinary or human T plates make use of the distal widening of the radius and allow
266 two bicortical screws in the short distal fragment (Fig 12). Again ulna IM pin can help
267 with stability.

268 *Proximal Femur*

269 The best option here is to take time to accurately contour a plate along and over the
270 top of the greater trochanter (Fig 17). The greater trochanter offers a large block of
271 bone stock and screws can be angled in to this to achieve purchase. A plate bending
272 press is usually necessary to get sufficient bend on the proximal aspect of the plate. A
273 screw can be angled up the femoral neck to increase purchase. A forked plate is
274 another option and can be manufactured from a VCP in cats. Additional intra-
275 medullary pins in the femur can also be beneficial.

276 *Distal tibia*

277 These can be particularly challenging. It is important to avoid the tarso-crural joints
278 surface, and orthogonal plating may help, however soft-tissue closure can be a
279 problem as can assessment of fracture healing due to the metalwork obscuring the
280 fracture on radiographs. It is also worth considering placing locked screws if available
281 (Fig 10).

282 *Proximal Tibia*

283 The TPLO plate is essentially a plate designed to stabilize a short proximal tibial
284 fragment and works well here. T plates can also be used, but be aware that there are
285 strong rotation forces acting in these region, potentially rotating the proximal femur
286 caudally. Additional placement of a pin and tension band may be advisable.

287

288 References

289

290 ARTHURS G. (2015) Advances in internal Fixation locking plates. *In practice* **37**:13-
291 20

292

293 BRINKER WD, FLO GL, LAMMERDING JJ. & BLOOMBERG MS. (1977). Guideline
294 for selecting proper implant size for treatment of fractures in the dog and cat *J. Am.*
295 *Anim. Hosp. Assoc.* 13:476–477.

296

297 FRUTCHER AM, HOLMBERG DL (1991). Mechanical analysis of the veterinary
298 cuttable plate. *Vet Comp Orthop Traumatol.* 4:116–119.

299

300 GEMMILL, T. (2007) Advances in the management of diaphyseal fractures. *In*
301 *Practice* 29, 584-593

302

303 GORDON S MOENS NM, RUNCIMAN J & MONTEITH G. (2009). The Effect of the
304 Combination of Locking Screws and Non-Locking Screws on the Torsional Properties
305 of a Locking-Plate Construct. *Veterinary and Comparative Orthopaedics and*
306 *Traumatology* **23**(1):7-13

307

308 HULSE, D. A., HYMAN, W., NORI, M. & SLATER, M. (1997) Reduction in plate strain
309 by addition of an intramedullary pin. *Veterinary Surgery* 26, 451-459

310

311 JOHNSON, A. L., HOULTON, J. E. F. & VANNINI, R. (2005) *AO Principles of*
312 *Fracture Management in the Dog and Cat*. Davos, AO Publishing

313

314 PIERMATTEI, D., FLO, G. & DeCAMP, C. (2006) Brinker, Piermattei, and Flo's
315 *Handbook of Small Animal Orthopedics and Fracture Repair*, 4th edn. Philadelphia,
316 Saunders Elsevier

317

318 REEMS, M. R., BEALE, B. S. & HULSE, D. A. (2003) Use of a plate-rod construct
319 and principles of biological osteosynthesis for repair of diaphyseal fractures in dogs
320 and cats: 47 cases (1994-2001). *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical*
321 *Association* 223, 330-335

322

323 SHALES, C. (2008) Fracture management in small animal practice 1. Triage and
324 stabilisation. *In Practice* 30, 314-320

325

326 SMITH, W. R., ZIRAN, B. H., ANGLE, J. O. & STAHEL, P. F. (2007) Locking plates:
327 tips and tricks. *American Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery* 89, 2298-2307

328

329 Figure Legends

330

331 Figure 1: Distal femoral fracture with limited bone stock in distal fragment

332 Figure 2: Three screw doctrine: One bicortical screw per segment allows rotation.
333 Two bicortical screws prevents rotation but remains at high risk of failure. Three
334 bicortical screws therefore, are the recommended minimum.

335 Figure 3: Parallel double plated ilial fracture. Based on the dogs weight a 2.7mm
336 plate would have been selected however there was only room for two bicortical
337 screws. By placing two 2.0mm plates, five bicortical screws were placed in the
338 shorter fragment.

339 Figure 4: Orthogonal double plated feline ilial fracture, allowed 4 bicortical screws to
340 be placed.

341 Figure 5: Double plated (LCP plates using locking screws) short comminuted
342 calcaneal fracture, allowed placement of 4 bicortical screws.

343 Figure 6: 2.0/2.7 Veterinary Cuttable Plate (VCP) (left-hand-side) has higher screw
344 hole density than 2.7mm LCP (right-hand-side) or DCP plate.

345 Figure 7: Locking Compression Plate (LCP) allows for placement of fixed angle
346 locking screw, which requires plate contouring to orientate screw position, as well as
347 standard screws which can be angled within the screw hole.

348 Figure 8: String-of-Pearls plate (SOP), allows for contouring in 3 planes, and uses
349 standard screws in its locking mechanism.

350 Figure 9: LCP plate has 'combi-holes' allowing placement of a locking or standard
351 screw. LCP locking screws have a thread on the head to engage in the plate hole,
352 and also have an increased core diameter to reduce screw failure.

353 Figure 10: Double spiral tibial fracture with short distal fragment. Only two screws
354 were placed in the distal segment, however one was placed as a locking screw
355 increasing the stability of the fixation.

356 Figure 11: Comminuted tibial fracture with long lateral fragment preventing bicortical
357 screw placement. A series of locked mono-cortical screws were placed to achieve an
358 additional 4 cortices.

359 Figure 12: Distal radial fracture in toy-breed dog, stabilised with veterinary T plate
360 employing 2 distal screws (left). Example of veterinary T plate with 3 distal screw
361 holes (right).

362 Figure 13: Supracondylar femoral fracture, stabilised with 'hockey-stick' plate,
363 allowing 3 bicortical screws in curved distal condyle.

364 Figure 14: Feline ilial fractures stabilised with a 7 hole DCP, and sacroiliac luxation
365 stabilised with 2.7mm screw. An anatomical acetabular plate has been placed to
366 stabilise a mid-acetabular fracture.

367 Figure 15: Veterinary locking Tibial Plateau Leveling Osteotomy Plate (TPLO).
368 Proximal locking screws clustered in a small space and orientated to avoid each
369 other make this a useful plate for proximal tibial fractures.

370 Figure 16: Reconstruction plates, have increased malleability to allow 3 degrees of
371 contouring, which is useful to achieve increased numbers of screws in some short
372 bone fragments, however the plates are weaker than the equivalent sized straight
373 DCP.

374 Figure 17: Proximal comminuted femoral fracture in a cat. A plate has been
375 contoured over the greater trochanter to make use of the proximal bone stock.
376 Further, an intra-medullary pin has been added to increase stability.

377 Figure 18: Human anatomical plates - 2.4mm Distal Radial Plates. These plates have
378 'combi holes' allowing flexible usage. They come in a range of shapes, and have
379 contouring planes, to allow plate contouring without damaging the screw holes. They
380 are thinner and relatively weaker than the equivalent LCP/DCP stock plate.

381 Figure 19: Veterinary use of Human 2.4 Distal Radial Plates. a) Comminuted canine
382 olecranon fracture was stabilised by placement of a lag screw to reconstruct the main
383 fragment, and then a radial L-plate was placed laterally to achieve 2 bicortical screws
384 in the fragment. A second caudal plate (double orthogonal plating), was also placed
385 due to the dog being known to be highly active. b) Distal humeral bicondylar 'Y'
386 fracture with very short lateral condylar fragment. A human radial L plate was also
387 used here, this time with 3 screws in the distal segment, all placed as locking screws,
388 combined with a standard 2.7 LCP plate on the medial aspect.

389 Figure 20: Comminuted articular distal radial fracture in a lurcher was repaired using
390 multiple techniques. The distal fragments were stabilised with a lag screw to reduce
391 and stabilise the articular surface. K wires were placed to temporarily position the
392 distal fragment to the radial diaphysis which was stabilised with a veterinary T plate,
393 placing 2 bicortical screws in the newly formed single distal fragment. The lag screw
394 was then removed and replaced through a medial plate (orthogonal double plating),
395 which allowed additional mono cortical locked screw to be placed in the distal
396 fragment.