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## Research Description

## Objectives

- Investigating the factors affecting car-sharing and private car choices - Revealing the effectiveness of policy options that could promote car-sharing usage and suppress private car usage

Case study
China-Taiyuan (4m citizens, bike-sharing success, car-sharing not yet available)

## Methodology

Capturing car-sharing choice via a stated preference (SP) survey
(while revealed preference (RP) trip diary information also being collected)
Applying a mixed nested logit (mixed NL) model on the pooled SP \& RP data to investigate the impacting factors
Simulating the pattern of modal split changes in the SP environment to revea the effectiveness of different policy options
Calculating informative indicators (value of travel time savings, direct \& cross elasticity) to generate wider insights

Sample breakdown

- Trip distance $2-5 \mathrm{~km}$ : 3,698 individuals with 6,848 SP choice observations - Trip distance $>5 \mathrm{~km}$ : 6,317 individuals with $11,925 \mathrm{SP}$ choice observations

Finding \#1: it is easier to make people switch away from private car in shorter trips

Direct point elasticity of private car demand (in absolute value)
0.4

$\square$ Private car travel cost ■ Private car parking cost


Finding \#2: for shorter trips, an increasing demand for car-sharing mainly comes from bus users rather than private car users

Scales of market share decrease due to car-sharing promotion policies (2-5km)


Finding \#3: for longer trips, as a comparison to above, private car users are more willing to choose a better car-sharing service

Scales of market share decrease due to car-sharing promotion policies (>5km)


## 

1- Raising the cost of private car usage (e.g. via travel cost, parking cost) should be prioritized for shorter trips rather than longer trips (Finding \#1) - Shorter trips do need such measures to suppress private car usage, otherwise car-sharing would absorb more bus users instead (Finding \#2) - Longer trips need a more effective solution and that would be making car sharing as an attractive alternative to private car (Finding \#3).

1. To promote car-sharing usage, in shorter trips, decreasing travel cost is more effective than travel time whereas in longer trips, decreasing travel time is more effective than travel cost (Finding \#4).
I. Back to the shorter trip case where measures on private car travel cost and parking cost would help, it is up to policy makers' discretion to prioritize
which cost to be raised (Finding \#5).

Finding \#4: the value of travel time savings increases with trip distance for all modes

Value of travel time savings (in $\$ / \mathrm{h}$ )


Finding \#5: for shorter trips, there is not a clear preference in real practice between raising private car travel cost or parking cost for suppressing private car usage

- The elasticity results ( 0.370 vs 0.119 , see Finding \#1) indicate that travel cost increase is a more effective measure than parking cost increase;
- However, in real practice, parking cost increase usually has higher policy flexibility than travel cost increase-private car travel cost (i.e. fuel cost) heavily depends on market oil price whereas parking cost is often a rather local issue and less constrained for adjustment
The simulation results show that a hypothesized 20\% travel cost increase is equally effective to a hypothesized $50 \%$ parking cost increase


