Robust creation of entanglement between ions in spatially separate cavities
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We present a protocol that allows the generation of a maximally entangled state between individual atoms held in spatially separate cavities. Assuming perfect detectors and neglecting spontaneous emission from the atoms, the resulting idealized scheme is deterministic. Under more realistic conditions, when the atom-cavity interaction departs from the strong coupling regime, and considering imperfect detectors, we show that the scheme is robust against experimental inefficiencies and yields probabilistic entanglement of very high fidelity.

PACS numbers: 03.67.-a, 03.67.Hk

The ability to reliably create entanglement between spatially separate parties is of paramount importance for the actual implementation of any quantum communication protocol and is also a pre-requisite for distributed quantum computation. Atoms or ions trapped inside optical resonators provide a promising set up for demonstrating the feasibility of quantum networking. Proposed ion trap quantum gates allow the coherent processing of quantum information stored in long lived electronic states. Indeed, sequential gate operation allowed the first quantum algorithm to be implemented in a linear ion trap. Transferring quantum information between distant sites could be achieved by mapping the electronic degrees of freedom of the ions onto the photonic degrees of freedom of the cavity, which can then be used to transmit the quantum information to a distant site. First experimental progress towards this direction has been recently reported, demonstrating that individual ions can be positioned inside an optical resonator achieving sub-wavelength position control. The next step would be the controlled transfer of quantum information between electronic and photonic qubits, which should then be mapped out of the cavity.

However, once the photon has left the resonator through one of its highly reflecting mirrors it is not a straightforward task to feed it into another cavity. Ingenious schemes using careful pulse shaping have been devised to achieve this goal but their experimental implementation remains challenging. A conceptually different approach consists of relaxing the condition that the quantum information is transferred via a photon leaving cavity A and entering cavity B.

Several schemes have been proposed for the generation entanglement between atoms, by detecting photons, in such a way that it is impossible to distinguish from which site they were emitted. In its original formulation, protocol employed sudden excitation of the ions, which, assuming otherwise perfect experimental conditions, limited its efficiency to 50%. Besides that constraint, two further problems would be difficult to overcome in practice. The first and most serious one is that the mapping between an ion and an optical cavity usually takes place within the weak coupling regime, defined by the relationship $g^2/\kappa \gamma \ll 1$. Here $g$ is the ion-cavity coupling for a relevant set of atomic levels, $\kappa$ is the decay rate of the optical cavity and $\gamma$ denotes the spontaneous decay rate on the transition driven by the cavity mode. Within weak coupling, it is very likely that the atom will suffer an incoherent spontaneous emission, resulting in a photon leaving the cavity undetected to the sides before the electronic degree of freedom has been mapped onto the photonic degree of freedom. As it is very difficult to detect a photon that is emitted to the sides of the cavities, this event severely damages the quantum entanglement that one intends to create.

In its original formulation, protocol employed sudden excitation of the ions, which, assuming otherwise perfect experimental conditions, limited its efficiency to 50%. Besides that constraint, two further problems would be difficult to overcome in practice. The first and most serious one is that the mapping between an ion and an optical cavity usually takes place within the weak coupling regime, defined by the relationship $g^2/\kappa \gamma \ll 1$. Here $g$ is the ion-cavity coupling for a relevant set of atomic levels, $\kappa$ is the decay rate of the optical cavity and $\gamma$ denotes the spontaneous decay rate on the transition driven by the cavity mode. Within weak coupling, it is very likely that the atom will suffer an incoherent spontaneous emission, resulting in a photon leaving the cavity undetected to the sides before the electronic degree of freedom has been mapped onto the photonic degree of freedom. As it is very difficult to detect a photon that is emitted to the sides of the cavities, this event severely damages the quantum entanglement that one intends to create.
Additionally, most protocols assume perfect detectors while in practice they are generally not available. This problem is compounded by the fact that in a number of setups for optical cavities the mirrors possess considerable absorption which can be as high as 50% of the photons that are not reflected from the cavity. Therefore, any proposed scheme aimed to be demonstrated with current technology needs to be highly insensitive to detector inefficiencies. Many of the above problems, such as weak coupling, poor detector efficiencies or absorption in the mirrors, also occur, if one wishes to entangle two ions in a single optical cavity by detecting photons as they leak out of the mirrors. For this setting a number of schemes have been put forward recently, see e.g. In an entangled state between the ions is prepared conditional on the failure to detect a photon leaking from the cavity. In practice the fidelity of the state decreases very rapidly when one enters the weak coupling limit or when one has imperfect detectors or absorption in the mirrors. The second scheme is more robust within the weak coupling regime, but requires single photons pulses and suffers strong loss of fidelity when faced with imperfect detectors or absorption in the mirrors.

In the following we present a scheme to entangle ions trapped individually in spatially separated cavities which (i) succeeds with 100% probability under ideal conditions, (ii) allows the achievement of high fidelity entanglement outside the strong coupling regime upon the detection of a photon, (iii) is robust against detector inefficiencies and absorption losses in the cavity mirrors and (iv) can be adapted, with the same efficiency, to entangle ions trapped in a single optical cavity.

The method proposed here has its roots in the scheme presented in, where a teleportation protocol between two cavities that employs the leakage of photons through the cavity mirrors was discussed. The same method can also be used to establish entanglement between the ions trapped in separate cavities. We briefly describe this approach here to illustrate its limitations and to motivate how to overcome them. Consider the set up depicted in Fig. where each cavity contains a single trapped ion denoted by . There is that this laser field has the same detuning as the cavity field that couples to the transition. The annihilation and creation operators for the cavity photons are denoted by and . The upper level of both ions can decay to levels and with a rate of and respectively. Each of the cavities has a decay rate . The full master equation for the density operator \( \rho \)

\[
|\psi_{\text{tot}}\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left( |2_A, 2_B\rangle |v_A, v_B\rangle - |1_A, 1_B\rangle |p_A, p_B\rangle + i(\langle 1_A, 1_B| |v_A, p_B\rangle + |1_A, 2_B\rangle |p_A, v_B\rangle) \right), \tag{1}
\]

where \( |v_A\rangle \) represents the vacuum state in cavity A and \( |p_B\rangle \) denotes the one-photon Fock state in cavity B. Following this pulse, one waits to allow photons to leak through the cavity mirrors, mix at the beam splitter and reach the detectors. If a single click occurs, then the system is projected onto one of the two entangled states \( \{(2_A, 1_B) + |1_A, 2_B\rangle)/\sqrt{2}, (2_A, 1_B) - |1_A, 2_B\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \}. \) If no photon is detected or two photons are detected, then the ions are projected onto a product state and the procedure has failed.

Apart from the sensitivity of this scheme to losses due to spontaneous emission and detector inefficiencies, the procedure fails even under ideal conditions in 50% of the cases. The reason for the 50% failure rate of the scheme is that we excite both ions suddenly, which leads to a very high probability for the two photon detection event, leaving the ions in a product state. Furthermore, the scheme is not robust to spontaneous decay of the ions (this is particularly relevant in the weak coupling regime) or detector inefficiencies. If spontaneous emission occurs in one of the ions, the photon emitted will escape undetected, the detection of one photon in the photodetectors after this event will then lead to the generation of the product state \( |1_A, 1_B\rangle \), not the desired entangled state. Secondly, if the detector is inefficient, then only one of the photons of a two photon event, i.e., a failure of the protocol, might be detected. Both these cases will therefore lead to a potentially severe reduction in fidelity of the states produced by the protocol.

However, an additional ingredient can make this scheme highly robust against any of these error sources. This is achieved by relaxing the condition of sudden excitation of the two ions and replacing it by more gentle driving. In the following we will show that weak driving, under ideal conditions, allows the scheme to succeed with arbitrarily high probability (and unit fidelity). The Hamiltonian of the combined ion-cavity system, with ion internal level structure as given in Fig. in a suitable interaction picture and setting \( h = 1 \), a convention we will use throughout this paper, is given by

\[
H = \sum_{i=A,B} \left( \Delta|3\rangle_i \langle 3| + g|3\rangle_i \langle 1|_i + c_i^\dagger \langle 3|_i \langle 3| c_i \right)
\]

\[
+ \Omega|3\rangle_i \langle 2| + \Omega|2\rangle_i \langle 3| \right), \tag{2}
\]

where we have assumed that the two ions are subjected to identical laser fields on the \( |2\rangle \leftrightarrow |3\rangle \) transition and that this laser field has the same detuning as the cavity field that couples to the \( |1\rangle \leftrightarrow |3\rangle \) transition. The annihilation and creation operators for the cavity photons are denoted by \( c_i \) and \( c_i^\dagger \). The upper level of both ions can decay to levels \( |1\rangle \) and \( |2\rangle \) with a rate of \( 2\gamma_{31} \) and \( 2\gamma_{32} \), respectively. Each of the cavities has a decay rate \( 2\kappa \). The full master equation for the density operator \( \rho \)
of weak driving means that the condition also works in the weak coupling limit. The requirement will later relax this condition to show that our method in the strong coupling limit, by setting cavity photon have been detected [17].

where we have defined

\[ H_{ad} = \sum_{i=A,B} \left[ \frac{g\Omega}{\Delta} |2\rangle_i\langle 1|c_i + h.c. \right] + \frac{g^2}{\Delta} |1\rangle_i\langle 1| \]

+ \frac{\Omega^2}{\Delta} |2\rangle_i\langle 2| - i\kappa c_i^\dagger c_i \right]. \]

Under the condition that no detection has been registered, the time evolution is governed by \( H_{ad} \). Given an initial state \( |2_A, 2_B\rangle|v_A, v_B\rangle \), the state of the systems will quickly approach the form

\[ |\psi\rangle = |2_A, 2_B\rangle|v_A, v_B\rangle + x \left( |2_A, 1_B\rangle|v_A, p_B\rangle \right) \]

+ \left( |1_A, 2_B\rangle|p_A, v_B\rangle \right) + O(x^2), \]

where \( x \equiv -i\frac{\omega_t}{\Delta} \). Therefore, the rate \( R \) at which one observes photons in one of the detectors is proportional to \( R \approx 4\kappa \left( \frac{\Omega}{\Delta} \right)^2 \). Note that in this regime this rate is essentially unaffected by the level shifts in \( H_{ad} \), thus it is not necessary for these to be compensated for. If one of the photo-detectors clicks, then a maximally entangled state has been prepared to a high precision and one switches off the lasers so that the entangled state is then preserved as the ions decouple from the cavity. The mean time before the first detection event will be

\[ T_{av} \approx \frac{\Delta^2\kappa}{4(g\Omega)^2}. \]

In such a time interval, there is a small probability that two photons are detected, however this probability scales as \( |x|^4 \) \( 2\kappa T_{av} \approx \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\Omega}{\Delta} \right)^2 \) and can therefore be made arbitrarily small in the limit of large detuning. We then observe that we can prepare a perfectly entangled state with arbitrarily high fidelity if we choose a sufficiently high detuning or sufficiently weak coupling strengths \( g \) and \( \Omega \). As a consequence, by choosing a detuning that is very large, i.e. driving the \( |1\rangle \leftrightarrow |2\rangle \) transition very slowly, we can ensure that any detection event is linked to a single photon and that therefore the fidelity of the prepared state will be very close to unity. This demonstrates our first claim that the scheme can achieve perfect fidelity and unit success probability.

However, this result is still only valid in the strong coupling limit as we have so far neglected the effect of finite \( \gamma_{31} \) and \( \gamma_{32} \). The strong coupling limit is not easy to achieve experimentally and it would be desirable to have a procedure that generates a very high fidelity entangled state even away from this limit, ideally with reasonable success probability. In the following we will demonstrate that our scheme can also successfully produce high fidelity maximally entangled states outside the strong coupling limit where we allow \( \frac{\Delta^2}{\kappa^2|31|} \approx 1 \) or even

FIG. 2: Internal level scheme of the ions. A stable entangled state can be created when quantum information is encoded in the lower two levels |1\rangle and |2\rangle. These two levels are coupled via the upper level |3\rangle employing two fields that have the same large detuning \( \Delta \) on their respective transitions to the upper level |3\rangle. The |1\rangle \leftrightarrow |3\rangle transition couples to the cavity mode. There may be spontaneous decay from |3\rangle to levels |1\rangle and |2\rangle at rates \( 2\gamma_{31} \) and \( 2\gamma_{32} \) respectively.

then takes the form

\[ \dot{\rho} = -i(H_{eff}\rho - \rho H_{eff}^\dagger) + 2\kappa \sum_{i=A,B} c_i^\dagger c_i \]

+ \sum_{i=A,B} 2\gamma_{31}|1\rangle_i\langle 3|\rho|3\rangle_i\langle 1|}

+ \sum_{i=A,B} 2\gamma_{32}|2\rangle_i\langle 3|\rho|3\rangle_i\langle 2|, \]

where we have defined the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian

\[ H_{eff} = H - i\kappa \sum_{i=A,B} c_i^\dagger c_i - i(\gamma_{31} + \gamma_{32}) \sum_{i=A,B} |3\rangle_i\langle 3|. \]

This effective Hamiltonian will be used in the quantum jump approach to describe the system dynamics under the condition that neither a spontaneous emission nor a cavity photon have been detected [17].

For the sake of simplicity we now consider the system in the strong coupling limit, by setting \( \gamma_{31} = \gamma_{32} = 0 \). We will later relax this condition to show that our method also works in the weak coupling limit. The requirement of weak driving means that the condition \( \frac{2\Omega}{\Delta} \ll \kappa \) is satisfied. Intuitively this implies that the rate of transitions between levels |1\rangle and |2\rangle of the ions will be weaker than the cavity decay. This in turn implies that the population in level |1\rangle of the atoms will be small, unless a photon is detected. Indeed, after adiabatic elimination of the upper level |3\rangle we obtain that the weak driving dynamics is governed by the new master equation [18],

\[ \dot{\rho} = -i(H_{ad}\rho - \rho H_{ad}^\dagger) + 2\kappa \sum_{i=A,B} c_i^\dagger c_i, \]

where we have defined

\[ H_{ad} = \sum_{i=A,B} \left[ \frac{g\Omega}{\Delta} |2\rangle_i\langle 1|c_i + h.c. \right] + \frac{g^2}{\Delta} |1\rangle_i\langle 1| \]

+ \frac{\Omega^2}{\Delta} |2\rangle_i\langle 2| - i\kappa c_i^\dagger c_i \right]. \]
\( \frac{g^2}{\kappa \gamma_3} \ll 1 \) and \( \gamma_3 \) is allowed to be non-vanishing. In fact, in this case we can still achieve very high fidelities at good success rates. This observation is confirmed by a numerical simulation for the following choice of parameters \( \Omega = g, \kappa = 10g, \gamma_31 = \gamma_32 = 0.1g \) and \( \Delta = 20g \) and a waiting time of \( T = 100/g \) we obtain numerically a fidelity of \( F = 0.98 \) with a success probability of the scheme of \( p \approx 0.1 \). Indeed, analytically the success probability is approximately given by

\[
p_{\text{suc}} \approx \left( \frac{g \Omega}{\Delta \kappa} \right)^2 4\kappa T
\]  

(9)

where \( T \) is the time one is willing to wait for the first detection. If no click is observed after this time, the experiment is deemed a failure and the systems will be e-prepared with both atoms in state \( |2\rangle \).

The previous considerations still assume that the detection efficiency for photons that leak out of the cavity is unity. However, there are important sources of losses in experiments that make this assumption unrealistic. Firstly, there may be absorption in the mirrors themselves and secondly the detectors may only have a finite efficiency. A scheme that can work in a practical environment should therefore also be robust against detector inefficiencies. Fortunately, the present method exhibits exactly such a robustness. In terms of the detector efficiency \( \eta \) we find that the success probability simply scales linearly as

\[
p_{\text{suc}} \approx \left( \frac{g \Omega}{\Delta \kappa} \right)^2 4\kappa \eta T.
\]  

(10)

With falling detector efficiency, the fidelity of the resulting state will decrease, because it will now contain an admixture from events where two photons have been emitted from the cavities, but only a single one has been detected. Indeed, from this argument one expects a weak linear reduction of the fidelity.

In Fig. 3 we have plotted both the success probability and the achieved error (1-fidelity) for fixed \( \Omega = g, \kappa = 10g, \gamma_31 = \gamma_32 = 0.1g \) and \( \Delta = 20g \) and a waiting time of \( T = 100/g \) against the detector efficiency. This figure confirms the approximate analytical formulae presented above and underlines that our scheme is robust against variations in the detector efficiencies.

A further experimental imperfection which must be considered is the presence of “dark counts”, i.e. when the detector fires although no light is incident upon it. Clearly, this will degrade to some extent the performance of all schemes which rely on a single detector click to generate an entangled state, and will lead, in general, to a loss in fidelity of the state produced. However, in the present scheme, the time-window in which a click due to a photon should occur is far shorter than the mean time between dark counts. For example, in [19] a dark count rate of approximately 1400 s\(^{-1}\) is reported, thus the mean time between dark counts is on the order of ms. In the optical regime, the atom-cavity coupling \( g \), detuning \( \Delta \), cavity decay rate \( \kappa \) and the coupling with the classical field \( \Omega \) will all be at least on the order of MHz [20]. Thus, using Eq. (9) one can estimate that \( T_{\text{av}} \), the mean time before a proper click occurs in this scheme, is on the order of \( \mu s \). Since the time-window for detection in this scheme can thus be made much smaller than the mean time between dark counts, their effect on this scheme can be made very small.
in a single optical cavity. Most importantly, the scheme is robust to realistic experimental imperfections, and in particular it allows for the probabilistic generation of high fidelity entanglement when operated within the weak coupling limit and monitored by inefficient detectors.
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[15] After completion of this manuscript, a further very promising scheme was suggested by Duan and Kimble [16], which provides high fidelity entanglement in the weak coupling limit and in the presence of inefficient detectors. It does however have some drawbacks as the inherent maximal success rate is 50% and this rate decreases quadratically with detector efficiency. While this may not be a very serious impediment for the single-shot creation of entanglement, it is problematic when one attempts to extend the scheme towards the implementation of quantum gates and entanglement purification protocols.