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**Association of the connexin36 gene with juvenile myoclonic epilepsy**


_Electronic Letter_

Epilepsy is one of the most common and serious neurological disorders, with up to 60 million people affected worldwide.1 Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (JME) is a common familial form that accounts for 5–10% of all epilepsy cases.2 This form belongs to the idiopathic epilepsy group, due to the absence of detectable structural or metabolic abnormalities. Clinically, JME is mainly characterised by isolated myoclonic jerks on awakening that usually begin during adolescence. It is also highly drug-dependent, since a 90% recurrence is reported after interruption of pharmacological treatment.3

Studies on the incidence of epilepsy in relatives of probands with JME, as well as on twins, have provided strong evidence for a genetic contribution.4–6 Autosomal dominant, autosomal recessive, two locus, monogenic, and polygenic models of inheritance have been suggested.7 So far, three genes that are mutated in different forms of JME have been identified, namely_ CACNB4_, GABRA1, and _CLCN2._8 In addition, two different susceptibility loci have been identified by linkage analysis. The first locus, termed _EJM1_ (OMIM 254770), is on the human leukocyte antigen region of chromosome 6p.9 Although no trait-causing mutation has yet been identified at this locus, association with a haplotype of the _BRD2_ gene has been recently reported.10 The second locus, termed _EJM2_ (OMIM 6048287), is in the region of chromosome 15q that contains the gene coding for the α7-nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit. Genetic mapping of the _EJM2_ locus defined a 15.1 cM candidate region on chromosome 15q14, flanked by the D15S165 and D15S971 loci.11 Interestingly, this region includes the _CX36_ gene, which codes for the first connexin identified in neurons.12 Connexins are integral membrane proteins, encoded by a family of at least 20 genes in humans, which form the subunits of gap junction channels.13 Gap junctions permit the cell-to-cell passage of ions, second messengers, and small metabolites and, in the nervous system, provide the structural basis of electrical synapses.14 Several studies indicate the relevance of gap junction-mediated coupling in maintaining the synchronous activity of neuronal populations, and the gamma frequency oscillations which are thought to underlie a range of cognitive processes.15 16 Thus, recordings between fast-spiking cells of neocortex has revealed a high occurrence of electrical coupling,17 suggesting that electrical and synaptic coupling act synergistically to improve neuronal synchronisation.18 Accordingly, deletion of _CX36_ in mice results in loss of electrical synapses, preventing the synchronous inhibitory activities which underlie gamma oscillations in the cerebral cortex.19 20 Further experiments have revealed that expression of _Cx36_ is markedly reduced in the hippocampus of kindled and kainate-treated rats, an animal model of human temporal epilepsy.21

These observations, together with the location of the _CX36_ gene on human chromosome 15q14, make this gene a strong candidate for JME. To test this hypothesis, we searched for mutations in the coding regions and intron–exon junctions of _CX36_ in most of the European cases of 15q14-linked and in randomly selected (RS) JME patients. We found that these patients had no mutation in the coding regions of _CX36_. However, several single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)...

**Key points**

- Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy (JME) is a generalised form of epilepsy with onset in early adolescence. Genetic factors are likely to play a role in the etiology of JME, and significant evidence supports a major susceptibility locus located on chromosome 15q14, where the gene _connexin36 (CX36)_ is also mapped. Since electrotact communication between neurons connected by gap junctions is likely to be implicated in the generation and maintenance of neuronal synchrony, mutations in the _CX36_ gene may be associated with JME.

- Mutation analysis of the human _CX36_ gene was undertaken in 29 probands from JME families previously linked to the 15q14 locus, as well as in 17 randomly selected (RS) JME patients. Sequencing identified five single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), c.-127A>T, c.333T>A, c.369C>T, c.588C>T, and c.888G>A, none of which resulted in an amino acid substitution.

- A case control study performed on a sample of 29 15q14-linked JME patients, 140 RS JME patients, and 123 controls, demonstrated a significant association between JME and the c.588C>T polymorphism within exon 2, with significant differences in both allele (p = 0.03) and genotype (p = 0.017) frequencies. Subjects with the T/T genotype at position 588 had a significantly increased risk of JME (odds ratio 4.3; 95% CI 1.49 to 12.3), compared with those with a C/C genotype. In addition, HAP2, a haplotype containing c.588C>T, was found to be significantly associated with JME (p = 0.03).

- Further analysis suggested that the c.588C>T polymorphism may influence Cx36 gene expression by affecting exonic splicing enhancers. This defect may contribute to the pathogenesis of JME.
were identified, one of which had allelic frequencies statistically different from those of controls. Moreover, a haplotype of CX36 appeared to be over represented in JME patients. The results provide the first evidence for an association between CX36 and the 15q14-linked JME families.

METHODS
Cases and control sample
A total of 169 patients with JME were investigated: 29 of these were unrelated individuals from the families used to identify the 15q14 susceptibility locus, whereas the other 140 patients were RS from the neurology departments of five hospitals, irrespective of whether an epileptic syndrome affected first degree relatives or not. The majority of the 15q14-linked patients (2/3) were clinically ascertained from within the UK, the others originating from five other European countries (Denmark, France, Greece, Portugal, and Sweden). Diagnostic evaluation was made according to the classification of the International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE). The control group included unrelated individuals who were randomly selected from families of the Centre d’Etude du Polymorphisme Humain (CEPH) (n = 44), and of the Swiss population (n = 79). SNP c.333T>A was further genotyped on 94 additional Swiss controls. Since allele frequency and distribution of both genotypes and haplotypes were similar in CEPH and Swiss controls, these two sub-populations were combined into a single control group, comprising 123 individuals (50% women). Informed consent was obtained from all participating individuals, and the study was approved by the ethic committees of all participating institutions (National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, London; Paris Hospital Ethics Committee; and Ethical Committee of the Geneva University Hospital).

Mutation analysis and identification of SNPs
Detection of mutation was performed by genomic PCR amplification and direct sequencing. We designed primers using the human genomic sequences (GenBank AC012271) which encompass CX36. Primer pairs were selected to amplify fragments covering the whole coding region of this gene, all except 5’-UTR. Primers were inferred for the four SNPs which were selected after LD analysis, using the Bayesian method, as provided by PHASE software. The algorithm deals with missing genotype data, so that a total of 246 haplotypes were inferred in the control group. Similarly, haplotypes of both control and JME groups were inferred for the four SNPs which were selected after LD analysis, for subsequent case control analysis. CX36 haplotype genealogies were constructed using the reduced-median-network approach, available in Network 3.1 software (Fluxus-engineering; www.fluxus-engineering.com). Hardy-Weinberg (HW) equilibrium was tested for each SNP using the HW exact test, as implemented in Genepop software (http://wbiomed.curtin.edu.au/genepop). Logistic regression models were used to calculate odds ratios (OR, 95% confidential interval). The Fisher’s exact test was used for contingency table inference. To correct for multiple comparisons, we used a modified Bonferroni test as described in Sankoh et al., which accounts for the level of correlation between linked markers. In this case, p values ≤ 0.019 were taken to indicate a significant difference for α = 0.05. For haplotype associations no correction is required and p values ≤ 0.05 were considered to indicate statistically significant difference.

RESULTS

CX36 mutation screening
A total of 46 JME patients, including 29 individuals whose pedigrees had previously been used to determine the 15q14 susceptibility locus, and 17 RS JME patients, were screened for mutations of CX36, as compared to four unaffected controls. Screening of the whole coding region,
the intron–exons junctions, 48 bp of the splice donor, 70 bp of the intron splice-acceptor sites, and 70 bp of the 3′-untranslated region revealed no mutation of the CX36 gene in JME patients which could result in an amino acid substitution or affect the splicing and branching sites of RNA.

Polymorphisms
Four SNPs were detected in CX36 exon 2 (table 1). One was a T>A transition (c.333T>A), and the three others included a G>A transition (c.888G>A) and two C>T transitions (c.369C>T; c.588C>T), respectively. An additional SNP was identified in the 5′-untranslated region (5′UTR) of the CX36 and involved an A>T transversion located 127 bp upstream of the translation initiation codon (c.-127A>T).

Characterisation of SNP genotypes and haplotypes
The allele frequencies of the five CX36 SNPs were determined in a control group of 123 individuals (table 1). Genotype frequencies for all SNPs were in HW equilibrium (not shown). Haplotypic combinations of the five SNPs are shown in fig 1. A total of eight out of the 32 possible haplotypes were observed. The most common HAP1 haplotype, which represents >30% of all haplotypes, was found to be conserved in non-human primates (fig 1), suggesting that it most likely represents the ancestral haplotype. To explore the evolution of the CX36 gene, sequence genealogies were constructed, using a method that equally weighted all nucleotide positions. The skeleton network revealed two major branches in the northern European CX36 genealogy (HAP4 and HAP5 accounting for >30% of all haplotypes, and HAP2 and HAP6 accounting for >25%), which had evolved from a unique ancestral haplotype (HAP1), via two successive mutations events (fig 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNP*</th>
<th>Alleles, n (%)</th>
<th>p Value†</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Protein residue</th>
<th>Amino acid position</th>
<th>dbSNP ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNP-129</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>rs2277558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15q14 JME</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS JME</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>150 (70.7)</td>
<td>62 (29.3)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>150 (70.7)</td>
<td>62 (29.3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP333</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Synonymous</td>
<td>Thr</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>rs651724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15q14 JME</td>
<td>44 (75.9)</td>
<td>14 (24.1)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS JME</td>
<td>160 (70.2)</td>
<td>68 (29.8)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>204 (71.3)</td>
<td>82 (28.7)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>292 (67.9)</td>
<td>138 (32.1)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP369</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Synonymous</td>
<td>Ser</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15q14 JME</td>
<td>56 (96.50)</td>
<td>2 (3.5)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS JME</td>
<td>170 (89.5)</td>
<td>20 (10.5)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>226 (91.1)</td>
<td>22 (8.9)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>211 (72.5)</td>
<td>77 (27.5)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP588</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Synonymous</td>
<td>Ser</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>rs3743123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15q14 JME</td>
<td>34 (58.6)</td>
<td>24 (41.4)</td>
<td>0.033†</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS JME</td>
<td>181 (68.5)</td>
<td>97 (31.5)</td>
<td>0.026†</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>215 (64)</td>
<td>121 (36)</td>
<td>0.026†</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>179 (72.8)</td>
<td>67 (27.2)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP888</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Synonymous</td>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15q14 JME</td>
<td>49 (84.5)</td>
<td>9 (15.5)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS JME</td>
<td>229 (88.8)</td>
<td>29 (11.2)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>278 (88)</td>
<td>38 (12)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>205 (88.4)</td>
<td>27 (11.6)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SNP offset were calculated taking the A of the CX36 start codon as position 1, and using the GenBank accession no. NM_020660; †p Values computed using Fisher’s exact test, give the significance value of the comparison of SNPs allele frequencies between JME and control groups. Statistically significant associations are underlined; ‡OR = 1.89; 95% CI 1.03 to 3.45 (odds ratios and their associated 95% CI were calculated for results with p<0.05); ‡OR = 1.5; 95% CI 1.04 to 2.17.

Linkage disequilibrium analysis
Assessing the strength of pairwise linkage disequilibrium (LD) between the five SNPs of the control population, we found that SNP369, SNP588, and SNP888 were not in significant LD (r² = 0.2–0.01) with any other CX36 SNPs (table 2). In contrast, SNP-127 and SNP333 demonstrated a highly significant LD with each other (r² = 0.81, p<0.001) (table 2). These results indicate, first, that CX36 SNPs do not reside within the same LD block, and, second, that SNP-127 and 333 may be grouped to facilitate association studies, without loss of statistical power.

Case control study
To determine whether SNP333, 369, 588, and 888 were associated with JME, we tested 29 unrelated JME patients that had been shown to have a 15q14 linkage, and 140 RS JME patients. These two JME groups were analysed both separately and as a single population. The 15q14-linked JME sample represents the largest available European set of patients whose linkage to the 15q14 locus has been unambiguously established. Using Fisher’s exact test, we found that the allele frequency of SNP588 was significantly (p = 0.03) different between the 15q14-linked JME group and the control group (table 1). We also found a trend towards a difference (p = 0.057) in the allele frequency of SNP588 between the RS JME group and the control group. When all the JME patients were pooled and compared to the control group, the allele frequency of SNP588 was also significantly different (p = 0.03) as judged by the Fisher test, but did not reach statistical difference when a conservative correction for multiple testing was applied. This finding suggests that a small difference in the allele frequency may become masked because of the rarity of the disease. The risk of JME increased in the presence of a T allele, in both the
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15q14-linked cases and the entire JME population (OR = 1.89 and 1.5, respectively; table 1).

We then examined the distribution of SNP588 genotypes (table 3) and found that subjects with a T/T genotype at position 588 were significantly more numerous in the 15q14-linked JME group than in the control population (OR = 4.3; 95% CI 1.49 to 12.3, p = 0.017) as attested by both uncorrected and corrected tests. In addition the c.588C>T transition was not in HW equilibrium in the 29 linked cases’ subgroup, due to an over-representation of the T/T genotype (data not shown).

Haplotype association analysis
To assess whether a combination of multiple SNPs increases the risk of JME, the frequencies of haplotypes were compared between cases and controls (table 4). The distribution of Cx36 haplotypes was significantly different (p = 0.02) between the 15q14-linked JME, and the control group (table 4). The haplotype TCTG, accounting for >97% of the Cx36 HAP2 haplotype, was more frequent in the 15q14-linked JME patients than in controls (0.28 v 0.17), although the difference failed to reach statistical significance. We found that homozygous carriers of the HAP2 haplotype had a significantly increased risk of JME (p = 0.03) than either heterozygous carriers of the HAP2 haplotype (HAP2/X) or non-carriers (X/X) (table 5). The same increased prevalence of the HAP2/HAP2 combination was also observed when the pooled JME group was compared to controls (p = 0.04).

Folding of Cx36 mRNAs containing synonymous SNPs
To evaluate the effect of the 588T variation, we used the MFOLD program to predict the structure of the mRNA coding for Cx36. We observed that the predicted structure of the transcript was similar when the molecule was comprised of nucleotides 588C, 333A/588C, 369T/588C (fig 2), or -127T/588C (not shown), which correspond to haplotypes HAP1, HAP4, HAP7, and HAP5, respectively (fig 1). In contrast, we found that the presence of allelic variant 588T caused an obvious change in the predicted structure of the Cx36 mRNA, as illustrated for HAP2 (fig 2). The folding structure of the three other haplotypes containing the 588T variant (HAP3, HAP6, and HAP8) were also affected, in a similar way to that of HAP2 (not shown).

Alteration of potential exonic splicing enhancers by the 588T nucleotide
To further investigate whether the 588T variation may affect exonic splicing enhancers (ESEs), we used sequence motif-scoring matrices predicting consensus functional ESE sites, to analyse exon 2 of wild type (HAP1) and variant (HAP2) Cx36. Multiple high-score binding sites, recognised by the essential splicing factors SF2/ASF, SC35, SRp40, and SRp55,
were distributed throughout this exon. We found that the 588T synonymous nucleotide specifically disrupted the SF2/ASF motif (CTCCCGC) and the SC35 motif (ATCTCCG), reducing the cognate score from 2.266 to 0.801 and from 3.176 to 1.441, respectively. The resulting values fell below the calculated default thresholds for the native motifs (1.95 and 2.38, respectively), indicating that the 588T variation rendered the SF2/ASF and SC35 ESEs inactive.

**DISCUSSION**

Juvenile myoclonic epilepsy is a common form of idiopathic, generalised epilepsy that shows a complex pattern of inheritance. Two major susceptibility loci, *EJM1* on chromosome 6p21 and *EJM2* on chromosome 15q14, have been identified by linkage analysis. The finding of a significant linkage disequilibrium between JME and a haplotype of the *BRD2* gene, which is located on chromosome 6p21, suggested

**Table 4**  Cx36 haplotype frequency in JME cases and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Haplotype*</th>
<th>15q14 JME, n (%)</th>
<th>RS JME, n (%)</th>
<th>Combined, n (%)</th>
<th>Control, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACCG</td>
<td>13 (22.4)</td>
<td>81 (28.9)</td>
<td>94 (27.8)</td>
<td>81 (32.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCGG</td>
<td>18 (31.1)</td>
<td>82 (29.3)</td>
<td>100 (29.6)</td>
<td>79 (32.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCTG</td>
<td>16 (27.6)</td>
<td>65 (23.2)</td>
<td>81 (24)</td>
<td>41 (16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCTA</td>
<td>7 (12.1)</td>
<td>32 (11.4)</td>
<td>39 (11.5)</td>
<td>28 (11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTCG</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>20 (7.2)</td>
<td>21 (6.2)</td>
<td>16 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTG</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCCA</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCCA</td>
<td>1 (1.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Haplotype inferred using PHASE for the four SNPs 333, 369, 588, and 888, respectively; p = 0.02 (p value computed using Fisher’s exact test, gives the significance value of the comparison of haplotype frequencies between JME and control cases).

**Table 5**  Association between HAP2 haplotype combinations and JME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>HAP2/HAP2</th>
<th>HAP2/X</th>
<th>X/X</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15q14 JME</td>
<td>3 (10.5)</td>
<td>10 (34.5)</td>
<td>16 (55)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.48 (1.3 to 147.5)</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS JME</td>
<td>6 (4)</td>
<td>53 (38)</td>
<td>81 (58)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>5.46 (0.8 to 48)</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined</td>
<td>9 (5.5)</td>
<td>63 (37.5)</td>
<td>94 (57)</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>6.91 (0.8 to 57.6)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td>39 (31.7)</td>
<td>83 (67.5)</td>
<td>123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*X denotes any haplotype other than HAP2; †OR compare the HAP2/HAP2 combination to all other combinations; ‡Given by Fisher’s exact test.

Statistically significant associations are underlined.

**Figure 2**  The predicted folding structure of Cx36 mRNA is altered by the 588T SNP. A sequence of 1147 bp, covering the complete coding sequence of Cx36 mRNA and including various synonymous SNPs, was tested with MFOLD software to predict the secondary structure of the transcript. The folding pattern of HAP1, the most common CX36 haplotype, was not affected by the SNPs we studied (illustrated by HAP4 and HAP7). In contrast, this structure was markedly altered in haplotypes carrying the 588T SNP, for example in HAP2.
that BRD2 is EJM1.11 In contrast, the genes involved at the EJM2 locus are still unknown. In the present study, we screened the CX36 gene for SNPs and found that the c.588C>T variant, located in exon 2, is associated with JME. In addition, we found that the homozygous combination of HAP2, one of the CX36 haplotypes containing SNP588, was significantly associated with JME. These findings suggest that CX36 is a potential susceptibility gene for juvenile myoclonic epilepsy at the EJM2 locus.

The mechanisms through which SNP588C>T predisposes to JME remain to be elucidated. First, it is possible that the c.588C>T transition may be a functional variant of the CX36 gene, conferring susceptibility to JME. This hypothesis is supported by the finding of a C at position 588 in the sequence of the ancestral human CX36 haplotype (HAP1), as well as in the CX36 sequence of non-human primates and phylogenetically more distant species, such as the house mouse (GenBank NM_010290.1) and the cow (GenBank NM_174683.1), indicating an evolutionary conservation of CX36 across species.36

However, no such gene was identified in the proximity of CX36.37 In a previous study, we have shown that Cx36-made channels control the synchronisation of Ca 2+ oscillations in a brain region where Cx36 is highly expressed.38 In view of the recent findings that deletion of Cx36 in mice leads to altered oscillations of interneurons in both hippocampus and neocortex,19 20 40 a change in the levels of CX36 could conceivably be involved in the generation of epileptic seizures. The lack of such epilepsy events in the Cx36 knock-out model19 20 40 does not contradict this involvement, inasmuch as these mice may have developed several morphological and electrophysiological changes compensating for the loss of Cx36.40 These negative findings rather indicate that the existing murine models may not be relevant for investigating the participation of CX36 in complex diseases, such as human JME. At any rate, this is the first study which associates a genetic variation in the connexin36 gene with JME.
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