Integrating chemotaxis and contact-inhibition during collective cell migration

Small GTPases at work
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For directional cell migration to occur cells must interpret guiding cues present in their environment. Chemotaxis based on negative or positive signals has been long thought as the main driving force of guided cell migration. However during collective cell migration cells do receive information from external signals but also upon interactions with their direct neighbours. These multiple inputs must be translated into intracellular reorganisation in order to promote efficient directional migration. Small GTPases, being involved in establishing cell polarity and regulating protrusive activity, are likely to play a central role in signal integration. Indeed, recent findings from our laboratory indicate that Contact-Inhibition of Locomotion controlled by N-Cadherin and chemotaxis dependent on Sdf1/Cxcr4 signaling converge towards regulation of the localized activity of RhoA and Rac1. All together they establish cell polarity and select well-oriented cell protrusions to ensure directional cell migration.

Despite the fact that collective cell migration and chemotaxis are recognized as major mode and means of cell migration1-5 the question of how large cell population make sense of multiple inputs remains unstudied. We recently addressed the respective roles of cell-cell interactions and chemotaxis during collective cell migration using Xenopus neural crest cells as a model.6 We found that neural crest cells were strongly attracted by the Stromal cell-derived factor-1 (Sdf1),6 a widely studied chemoattractant (reviewed in ref. 7). Importantly, chemotaxis was highly dependent on cell interactions. Cell dissociation completely abolished the response to Sdf1 while increasing cell density progressively rescued chemotaxis to control levels. We have recently shown that directional migration of neural crest is dependent on Contact-Inhibition of Locomotion (CIL),8 the process by which a cell collapses its protrusions and changes its direction of migration upon contact with another cell.9,10 Thus, if neural crest cells are surrounded by other neural crest cells, as is the ease at the origin of neural crest migration, they can not move as each cell is surrounded by other cells. However, cells at the free edge only experience CIL at their back and can therefore produce protrusions in the direction of the free space and move in that direction. This process can generate directional migration of groups of cells during collective cell migration.10 In our recent paper6 we identified N-Cadherin as a cell-cell adhesion molecule involved in CIL. A mild N-Cadherin inhibition, unable to dissociate the cells, was sufficient to impair chemotaxis toward Sdf1.6 Following N-Cadherin inhibition, cells lost the ability to sense each other and did not exhibit CIL. They formed protrusions on top of each other and failed to repolarize upon collisions with other cells. By contrast, we found that Sdf1 was unable to efficiently polarize the cells but could stabilize cell protrusions of previously polarized cells. Interestingly, we showed that both cell contact and Sdf1 effects can be integrated into precise regulation of Rac1 activity levels and distribution throughout the
cell. These results are discussed below alongside recent publications on other migratory cell populations.

Cell-cell Contact: The Making of the Back

We showed that in neural crest cells N-Cadherin is localized at the cell contact where it colocalizes with p120- and β-catenin. In addition, using FRET probes we found that Rac1 activity is lower at the cell contact than in other regions of the cell, such as the lamellipodium at the free edge that exhibits the highest level of Rac1 activity. By contrast, in single cells several high spots of Rac1 activity were observed around the cell and small unstable cell protrusions could form in any direction. In groups, blocking N-Cadherin led to an increase of Rac1 levels at the cell contact and ectopic cell protrusions in between the cells were generated. This indicates that N-Cadherin is required for contact-specific Rac1 inhibition and that Rac1 inhibition is required to prevent the formation of cell protrusions between the cells.

The direct link between N-Cadherin and Rac1 inhibition in neural crest cells has not been demonstrated but several mechanisms are possible. We recently demonstrated that Xenopus neural crest cells exhibit CIL. Neural crest cells collapse cell protrusions upon cell contact through activation of RhoA downstream of the non-canonical Wnt/PCP pathway. As Rac1 and RhoA antagonize each other, activation of RhoA would lead to an inhibition of Rac1. We have shown that N-Cadherin is required for CIL but its precise role in the process remains to be elucidated. Noren and colleagues showed that cytosolic p120-catenin can bind to and inhibit RhoA and activate Vav2, a Rac1 activator. They proposed a model in which formation of cell adhesion complexes would recruit p120 and consequently release its inhibitory effect on RhoA which in turn would block Rac1 activation at the cell contact. In addition, Charasse et al. demonstrated that single cells plated on N-Cadherin show a dramatic increase of RhoA activity reinforcing the idea that signaling downstream of N-Cadherin is sufficient to activate RhoA even in absence of cell contact. Whatever the mechanism involved, our results indicate that N-Cadherin/CIL imposes a strong back identity by inducing RhoA activity at the cell contact. In turn, Rac1 activity is restricted to the free edge where lamellipodia form.

War of the Fronts: Survival of the Fittest

Different mechanisms have been proposed to explain how cells could make sense of external signals. The most popular model postulates that cells first probe their surrounding and form cell protrusions towards the highest chemotactant concentration. An alternative model proposes that cells would autonomously produce randomly oriented protrusions, whose stability will be positively or negatively regulated by external cues (reviewed in ref. 4). Our findings strongly support the latter.

Comparing individual and clustered cells we showed that neural crest cells have an intrinsic ability to produce cell protrusions in all directions without the need of any external stimulus to do so. However, orientation, size and stability of cell protrusions are directly influenced by cell-cell interactions. Cell-cell contacts restrict protrusions to the free edge of the cell, increasing their size and stability. By contrast, Sdf1 signaling through its Cxcr4 receptor (GPCR) do not affect either the size or the orientation of cell protrusions, but it is able to stabilize them. This protrusion stabilizing effect was stronger on clustered cells than single cells providing an explanation as to why clustered cells chemotax better than single cells. Altogether these data support the idea that cells must first be polarized and therefore the formation of cell protrusions would be a requirement for chemotaxis.

How can chemotactants such as Sdf1 stabilize protrusions? Rac1 is one of the main players in protrusion stability and several downstream targets of the Sdf1/Cxcr4 axis modulate Rac1 activity (Fig. 1). For instance Cxcr4 can activate the Phosphatidylinositol 3 Kinases (PI3K) pathway. All PI3K isoforms can activate Rac1 and they are both involved in a positive feedback loop. This positive feedback is likely to involve activation of guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) downstream of PI3K. PI3K phosphorylates Phosphatidylinositol-2-Phosphate (PIP2) into Phosphatidylinositol-3-Phosphate (PIP3). PIP3 acts as a docking site for proteins containing a Plekstrin Homologue (PH) domain and promotes their activation. Proteins containing a PH domain are plentiful but of particular interest are GEFs such as Vav proteins and P-Rex1 (PI3K-dependent Rac Exchanger 1) which convert inactive GDP-bound Rac1 into active GTP-bound Rac1. Both Vav and P-Rex1 were found to be activated through PI3K pathway downstream of Sdf1. In addition, in T Lymphocytes Cxcr4 can be relocated into lipid rafts where it specifically co-localizes with Rac1 and facilitates its GTP loading. Interestingly, this Cxcr4 relocation into the lipid rafts was shown to be Sdf1 independent and Cxcr4 association with Rac1 improved cell response to Sdf1. This further supports the idea that specific regulation of Rac1 activity is a prerequisite for efficient chemotaxis. Requirement of PI3K in this process was not assessed.

Cxcr4 signaling can also lead to the ERK and p38 MAPK pathways activation which can be further amplified by Rac1 activity. Moreover, Cxcr4 can activate NFkB pathway directly or through ERK/MAPK (reviewed in ref. 19 and 32) which can in turn promote Cxcr4 expression. However, if an increase of Cxcr4 expression downstream of Cxcr4 signaling could improve the cell response in the long run it is unlikely to be involved in short-term regulation of protrusion stability. More importantly, ERK signaling has been shown involved in inhibiting Rho and ROCK (Rho-associated kinase) signaling allowing focal adhesions to disassemble, promoting cell migration. Therefore ERK activation downstream of Cxcr4 might maintain low RhoA activity levels helping to keep a dominant Rac1 activity at the front.

Finally, Sdf1/Cxcr4 impact on Rac1 could also be modulated by Syndecan-4. Both Sdf1 and Syndecan-4 bind to Fibronectin (Fn) and bind to each other. In addition, Syndecans have been described as co-receptors of GPCR.
Directionality by Numbers

How can high cell density help cells to chemotax properly? Cells migrate by alternation of straight movements and

Interestingly, we recently showed that Syndecan-4/Fn signaling participates in Rac1 inhibition\(^1\) possibly through its interaction with Wnt/PCP. Therefore one can hypothesize that Syndecan-4 could have a dual role during neural crest cell migration. In absence of Sdf1, Syndecan-4/Fn would inhibit Rac1 while upon Sdf1 expression Syndecan-4 could be recruited to improve Sdf1 presentation to Cxcr4 consequently promoting Rac1 activation.

---

**Figure 1.** Signal integration through RhoGTPases during collective chemotaxis in neural crest cells. (A) Representation of the border of a neural crest cell group. Cells are polarized according to their cell contacts by a mechanism dependent on CIL.\(^3\) RhoA and Rac1 activity are shown in red and green respectively. Only outer cells have a free edge and exhibit a clear front-back polarity. Inner cells remain unpolarized. (B) Intracellular signaling integrating inputs from contact-inhibition of locomotion and chemotaxis through small GTPases. At the cell contact N-cadherin/Syndecan-4/PCP (Frizzled-Dsh)/CIL signaling leads to a strong RhoA activity restricting Rac1 at the free-edge. At the back, RhoA/ROCK signaling controls stress fibers formation and cell body contraction in part through regulation of myosin light chain (MLC) phosphorylation. At the front, Rac1 activity controls WAVE/Arp2/3 cascade leading to actin branching and lamellipodium formation/stabilization and antagonizes RhoA impact on MLC via activation of PAK. In addition, Rac1 activity may be amplified by a PI3K/GEFs/Rac1 positive feedback loop downstream of Cxcr4. (C) Summary of the main players involved in establishing and maintaining front and back cell identities. Arp2/3, Actin-related proteins 2/3; Dsh, Dishevelled; PAK, p21-activated kinases; ROCK, Rho-Associated Kinase; WAVE, WASP family verprolin-homologous. Other abbreviations have been described in the text.
resulting driving force will then lead to directional migration toward the source of the chemoattractant. Even in clusters, cells keep alternating between phases of run and tumble (see above). When a cell collapses its protrusion, the balance of forces around the group is modified and the cluster rotates accordingly (Fig. 2C).

All these observations made in the course of our recent work\(^6\) show that collective chemotaxis improves as cell density increases. This is mainly due to the fact that a high cell density leads to a high probability of cell collisions which then eliminates wrongly oriented cell protrusions upon cell contact. When a cell collapses its protrusion, the balance of forces around the group is modified and the cluster rotates accordingly (Fig. 2C). All these observations made in the course of our recent work\(^6\) show that collective chemotaxis improves as cell density increases. This is mainly due to the fact that a high cell density leads to a high probability of cell collisions which then eliminates wrongly oriented cell protrusions upon cell contact. This reinforces the idea that cell coordination during collective cell migration is highly contact-inhibition dependent.

**Figure 2.** Different migratory behaviours of neural crest cells placed in a gradient of Sdf1. (A) Single cells that are isolated from other neural crest cells fail to polarize according to the Sdf1 gradient and therefore show poor chemotaxis. (B) Single cells that experience transient contacts are polarized upon collisions and chemotax more or less efficiently according to cell density. (C) Cell clusters show a radial symmetry with cells polarized along their cell contact—free edge axis. Front cell protrusions are stabilized and generate a driving force towards Sdf1. Orientation and size of the arrows indicate the direction and stability of cell protrusions. Round arrows mark tumbling and non-polarized cells. Cells are colour coded to help follow their behaviour from one time point to the other. (D) Typical cell tracks obtained in each situation showing that chemotaxis improves as cell density increases. Cell collisions are shown as stars. Shades of green represent Sdf1 gradient. Based on data from reference 6.
Most of the mechanisms and signaling pathways discussed here and summarized in Figure 1 have yet to be studied in neural crest cells. Previous works on small GTPases have clearly emphasized the fact that the specific roles of these molecules are highly cell type and context dependent. Their functions are likely to differ greatly when considering the formation of epithelial junctions, transient contacts between mesenchymal cells or protrusive activity in isolated or clustered cells. Further work is needed to better understand how migratory neural crest cells actually integrate multiple inputs into useful temporal and spatial regulation of RhoGTPases in order to achieve directional collective cell migration in vivo. A challenging goal since neural crest cells as a mesenchymal population have their cell-cell interactions constantly remodelled and migrate through their surrounding tissues in hundreds in just a few hours.
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