A review of evidence linking ventilation rates in dwellings and respiratory health: a focus on house dust mites and mould
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Abstract

This paper reviews the literature for evidence of links between ventilation rates in dwellings and moisture related respiratory health with a particular focus on house dust mites (HDM) and fungal growth. There is general consensus that a link exists between ventilation rates in dwellings and respiratory hazards (for example HDM). There is also general consensus of a link between these respiratory hazards and respiratory problems, but it is not clear to what extent hazards cause ill-health. Most existing data are inadequate for conclusions to be drawn whether ventilation rates directly cause respiratory problems. We discuss the many difficulties in attempting to establish these relationships, and suggest the need for larger studies.
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1. Introduction

This review focuses on moisture related respiratory hazards (in this case HDM and fungal growth). In a most basic manner, figure 1 shows the postulated pathways between ventilation and relevant moisture related respiratory problems (note that this diagram only shows a small element of the total complex system). Convenient sub-categories of links are also shown. The review will deal with these links in terms of publications which relate to:

- a link between ventilation rates in dwellings and moisture related respiratory hazards
- a link between moisture related respiratory hazards and respiratory problems

Figure 1. Postulated pathways between ventilation and related respiratory problems
• a direct link between moisture related respiratory health and ventilation rates in buildings

The following three sections examine the evidence currently available in the literature for these links.

Part of the complexity involved in research in this area is that in most cases it is difficult to measure ventilation rates in a meaningful and accurate manner for the number of properties that are required to provide any statistically significant health data. Also, most changes to ventilation rate have occurred at the same time as other changes e.g. other improvements to the building fabric. Frustratingly, it is not easy to infer the ventilation rate of a property from other building factors such as the age of a property or occurrence of draught stripping. In addition, there are theoretical mechanisms which mean that increased air ventilation is not always beneficial to health (e.g. indoor generated pollutants may decrease but externally generated pollutants may increase).

2. Studies relating to a link between ventilation rates in dwellings and moisture related respiratory hazards

There are two key moisture related respiratory hazards – house dust mites and mould growth. The following two subsections review the environmental conditions required for house dust mites and mould and the link with ventilation.

2.1 House Dust Mites

Although it is generally accepted that HDM thrive in humid environments, there is some debate as to the actual humidity threshold levels. Many studies refer to the sometimes called “Korsgaard limit” (Lowe, 2000) of 7 g/kg absolute humidity, below which mites growth is supposedly inhibited. However, it has been pointed out that mites survival is not dependent on absolute humidity (Crowther et al., 2000) and that the “Korsgaard limit” referred to the context of Danish housing, with typical indoor temperatures of 20-22 °C (Lowe, 2000), therefore corresponding to 45% relative humidity (RH). Although relative humidity appears more important than temperature in determining the length of mites’ survival (Crowther et al., 2000), the Critical Equilibrium Humidity (CEH) – below which mites dehydration occurs – appears to be dependent on temperature as well (Cunningham, 1996; Arlian and Platts-Mills, 2001). In establishing a limit for the psychrometric control of the mite species D. farina Cunningham (1996) suggested that indoor relative humidity should be kept below 40% at 16 °C, 45% at 21 °C and 50% at 26 °C. On the other hand, Raw (2001) states that RH at 35-40% in winter, although difficult to achieve in the UK, should be adequate to prevent mite proliferation. Temperature is also an important factor for the egg to adult time span.

It is important to note that HDM survival is not only dependent upon microclimatic hygrothermal conditions but also upon the length of time for which such hygrothermal conditions occur. For example, some studies suggest that adult mites die of dehydration in 5 to 11 days, depending on temperature (25 °C - 34 °C) when continuously exposed to RHs of 40% or 50% (Arlian and Platts-Mills, 2001). It should also be pointed out that mites are able to move to areas where more favourable environmental conditions occur. Furthermore, some differences have been found between laboratory and ‘wild’ HDM populations (Crowther et al., 2000). However, most research studies on mites’ survival ability have been conducted under steady-state laboratory conditions using cultured populations of HDMs. Although much has been established on HDMs’ physiology, further research is required providing a complete knowledge on wild mites’ survival rates at various hygrothemal conditions in a transient state.

Sundell et al. (1995) surveyed thirty single-family houses all situated in the same area of Stockholm. They found that elevated concentrations of HDM allergen in mattress and floor dust were associated with the difference in absolute humidity between indoor and outdoor air, as well as with low air-exchange-rates of the home, especially the bedroom. In particular, the mean air-exchange-rates of the low infestation group of houses were 0.3 and 0.9 ach⁻¹ for the whole house and the bedroom respectively, while in the high infestation group the corresponding mean values were 0.2 and 0.2 ach⁻¹ respectively. Sundell et al. concluded that in regions with a cold winter climate there is a correlation between infiltration and mite
infestation, but air-flow rates related to number of people in the home appears a stronger indicator of HDM infestation than air-flow rates related to home volumes.

Several studies have been carried out where mechanical ventilation with heat recovery (MVHR) and/or dehumidifiers were utilised in order to reduce relative indoor humidity levels in winter and consequently mite concentrations. However, although the use of MVHR appears to have proven quite successful in Scandinavian countries (e.g.: Emenius et al., 1998; Harving et al., 1994), such an approach has caused some conflicting results in the UK. A study (Fletcher et al., 1996) conducted in the North-West of England on 18 houses – 9 with MVHR and 9 control houses – concluded that “the MVHR unit does not reduce indoor humidity to levels capable of retarding mite population growth and decreasing mite allergens in the type of houses predominantly found in the mild and humid climate of the North-West of England” (ibid., p.1051).

In a later study (Niven et al., 1999), an additional central dehumidification modification of the MVHR (MVHRcd) was adopted in order to further assess the viability of the psychrometric control of HDM in the UK. Ten active houses were fitted with adapted MVHRcd units; relative humidity and allergen levels were monitored for 15 months and compared with the correspondent results of 10 control houses. The target temperature and humidity for the bedrooms in the active houses were 45% RH or 7 g/kg absolute humidity at 21 ºC. The researchers concluded that “the MVHRcd system failed to confer a benefit in terms of mite allergen reduction” (ibid., p.756). However, the authors also pointed out that the buildings’ air-tightness might have compromised the effectiveness of the MVHRcd system. No measurements of air-infiltration were carried out to determine is this was a possible explanation.

In a study carried out by Berry et al (1996), it was shown that the houses which rarely or never opened windows had higher mean yearly average mite counts taken from the bedroom carpet. This was not observed with counts obtained from the living room carpet, when the degree of opening the living room window was examined.

Several studies report that HDM numbers increased with the severity of the dampness in the property (Adan et al 1988 and Hart and Whitehead 1990). Toma et al (1993) found that ventilation did not influence mite numbers, but Korsgaard (1979) found that there was a tendency for higher numbers of mites in houses where the number of airing hours (opening up windows) was low. Korsgaard (1979) also found that there was no correlation between the temperature and mite counts. However, Irie et al 1990 found a link between increasing mite numbers and increasing indoor temperatures.

Modeling can provide an insight into this issue. As a result of a two-year research project funded by EPSRC in the UK, two models have been developed for the prediction of HDM in houses (Crowther et al., 2002). The model ‘BED3’ has a steady-state hygrothermal model linked to an empirical population model. For the latter, laboratory measurements were undertaken with populations of HDM kept for three weeks at different combinations of steady RH and temperature, covering the range of conditions typical of UK dwellings. The more complex model ‘Lectus’ is a transient, three-dimensional model that simulates all stages of mite development. The population model adopted in Lectus is based on published data for the Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, the most common mite species in the UK. With regard to the Lectus population model, the authors of the research pointed out that the existing published data are not complete but there was sufficient information, making simple assumptions, to derive curve-fitted equations. The models BED3 and Lectus were used to examine a range of issues, including the effect of ventilation rates on HDM populations. The study concluded that:

- Small reductions in ventilation rate below 0.5 ach⁻¹ can have a dramatic impact. Modelling suggests that reducing from 0.5 to 0.4 ach⁻¹ can increase the mite population by 100 times. However, an increase to above 0.7 ach⁻¹ can also lead to an increase in the mite population in a fuel poor dwelling
- Raising bedroom temperatures from 16ºC to 18ºC, i.e. without reducing ventilation, can result in a significant (factor of ten) reduction in mite numbers. The increase in bedroom temperatures over the last 50 years, partly as a result of increased central heating and improved insulation, is therefore likely
to have had beneficial effects. This supports
the case for continuing to improve the UK’s
housing stock.
- Modelling suggests that building occupant
density is a key parameter in determining
house dust mite populations. Increasing the
number of occupants in a dwelling from 4 to
6 can increase the mite population by 10,000
due to the increased moisture production in
the property.

The role of indoor temperatures in the
correlation between air-leakage and HDM
population is also highlighted by Lowe (2000).
The author considered the Critical Equilibrium
Humidity (CEH) defined by Cunningham
(Cunningham, 1996) of 40% RH at 16 °C and
45% at 21 °C. Modelling based on a typical UK
dwelling and Kew weather data showed that at
low internal temperatures the “Cunningham
limit” is exceeded for most of the winter and
increasing the ventilation rate does not improve
the situation greatly. At high internal
temperatures, problems appear likely to occur
only at ventilation rates significantly less than
0.5 ach\(^{-1}\). Ridley et al. (2003) also found through
modelling that an air-infiltration rate below 0.5
ach leads to an indoor RH greater than 70% in
fuel rich dwellings (0.7 ach\(^{-1}\) for fuel poor
dwellings). While in fuel rich dwellings the RH
rapidly decreases with an increase in air-
leakage, in fuel poor dwellings (who cannot
afford to maintain always comfortable indoor
temperatures) such inverse correlation does not
occur.

2.2 Mould

Woolliscroft (1997) stated that the high level of
condensation and mould in the UK is the
consequence of the small size of the dwellings,
low temperatures, high absolute humidity of the
incoming air, and high occupancy of dwellings.
35% of dwellings were affected by condensation
and 17% by mould growth. Comparing these
results which were based on the English House
Condition Survey 1988, with the same survey in
1996, it can be noticed that the incidence of
mould growth of any severity has fallen to
14.6% of the total stock (DETR, 1996). The
latest house condition survey published in 2001
omitted the collection of any condensation and
mould data (ODPM, 2003).

A study by the UK Building Research
Establishment (BRE) (Research project number
EP228, 1990) revealed that recently built one
bedroom and bedsit homes in the UK had
significant condensation problems, which could
lead to mould growth and proliferation. This
study gave an indication of the factors related to
condensation for example ventilation, air
movement, heating and insulation. Their study
indicated that the factors such as ventilation, air
movement, heating and insulation were more
important than occupant behaviour and energy
consciousness and the most important occupant
characteristics were the number and age of
occupants (Raw and Fox 1990 in BRE study,
Research project number EP228). BRE (Hunter
et al 1988 and 1996) carried out biological
assessments of houses and their investigation
revealed that the most influential factor affecting
the fungal counts appeared to be season.

In summary, it would appear that there is
general consensus that links do exist between
ventilation rates and moisture related respiratory
hazards. We will now move on to consider the
links between these hazards and possible
respiratory problems.

3. Studies relating to a link between
moisture related respiratory hazards
and respiratory problems.

The most commonly perceived health effect
arising from exposure to airborne moulds and
other microorganisms, for example HDM, is
allergy. Allergy-related diseases include asthma,
rhinitis, and eczema or the less common diseases
of extrinsic allergic alveolitis (hypersensitivity
pneumonitis) and allergic bronchopulmonary
aspergillosis (Pope et al 1993). Again, this
section initially discusses the link between mites
and health before moving on to consider mould.

3.1 House Dust Mites

HDM allergens are mostly present in their faecal
pellets and they can trigger Type I allergic
reactions, including asthma. Some studies also
suggest that HDM allergens are associated with
other health problems such as eczema and
perennial allergic rhinitis. The evidence is
reviewed by Raw, (Raw, 2001) who reports that
“levels of mite allergen in the dust in most UK
homes are high enough to cause sensitisation
and it is possible that most people in the UK are exposed to enough mite allergen to cause asthma if they are susceptible to this disease for genetic or other reasons” (ibid., 2001, p.15). However, the contribution of HDM as a direct cause of asthma, in comparison with many other predisposing and precipitating causes, is not known.

Generally, the effects of pollutants on the lung can be categorised as irritation, inflammation, bronchoconstriction and sensitisation. Some of the more potent agents of allergic lung disease are found in indoor environments; such aeroallergens (house dust mite and moulds) have been recognised for many years. Inner city children have the highest prevalence and the highest mortality rates for asthma in the USA (Call et al 1992) and these children also have a high prevalence of dust mite sensitisation (Platts-Mills and Weck, 1989). However, many other factors could also contribute to this relationship.

House dust mites, moulds and, less commonly, amoebae can colonise building structures, services, furnishings and finishes (e.g. Singh, 1999). House dust mites, fungi and yeasts are potent sensitizers, and they flourish in an environment of high relative humidity and low ventilation. Fragments of these organisms or their decayed material or their metabolites, becoming airborne, can be inhaled and cause allergic disease.

An important meta analysis of 23 patient-level intervention studies (Goetzsche et al 1998) was derived from 229 reviewed papers. A total of 230 patients, all showing mite sensitivity shown by skin-prick, were divided between intervention cases and non-intervention controls. Interventions were: 6 using chemical methods, 13 physical methods and 4 combination. No statistical difference was found in symptom responses between intervention and controls. The authors consider the sample size of strong statistical power and so the ‘most likely explanation’ given is either insufficient reduction in house mite levels or other allergens coexisting. In a dissenting editorial, Strachan (1998) suggested that sub-group analysis could still reveal clinically useful interventions with larger studies.

3.2 Mould

Now turning to mould in more detail, a number of studies have shown that mould growth in damp housing was associated with childhood respiratory illness; wheezing and asthma (Su et al 1990; Flannigan et al 1990; Dales et al 1990; White 1990; Spengler et al 1993; Husman et al 1993). None of these studies was in a peer-review health journal, and do not necessarily demonstrate a causal relationship.

The effect of damp and mould in the home on respiratory health was reviewed by Peat et. al. (1998). The reviewer suggested that houses need to be specifically designed for primary prevention of respiratory problems associated with indoor allergen proliferation rather than using post hoc procedures to improve indoor climate and reduce allergen load as a secondary or tertiary preventive strategy. It was strongly emphasised that studies with large sample sizes were needed to measure whether intermittent peak exposures or low cumulative exposures to indoor allergen pose a clinically important risk.


There are more than 100,000 species of fungi, and the genera and species possibly linked to human disease involve a wide array of both common and rare moulds. Fungi produce large numbers of spores and when these spores are liberated from infected buildings to the indoor air, they can be regarded as organic dust. These spores can, like other types of dust, sediment on surfaces or can be inhaled by occupants and deposited on the mucosal surface of the upper airways and in the eyes.

Microorganisms and their metabolites may cause a range of respiratory symptoms,
depending upon the species, the exposure and the immune status of the subject (Singh, 1994a; Singh 1994b; Lacey, 1994; Comtois and García, 1994).

Garret et al (1998) reported that no significant association between total viable mould concentrations and health outcomes was seen despite significant associations with specific genera. Reporting on the findings of the PEACE study, Andriessen et al (1998) concluded that Peak Flow (PEF) variability in atopic children was associated with (but not necessarily caused by) reported moulds in the home.

A few governmental agencies have published guidelines on mould assessment and remediation but most are very general in nature (Minnesota Department of Health, 2001and New York City Department of Health 2000 and Rao et al 1996). Some guidelines focus on toxogenic moulds, including Stachybotrys chartarum, which have been reported in association with health conditions including acute pulmonary haemorrhage (Chapman 2003).

It is helpful to conclude this section of the review with the findings of a recent report (Raw et al, 2001). This study placed HDM in the highest level risk group with regard to health and safety hazards in homes. Fungal growth was placed in the second highest level risk group. In this study, hazards were placed in rank order, from the perspective of deciding whether preventative action was needed. It appears that the actual health risk from mould in buildings has yet to be quantified e.g. Chapman et al (2003) and Bornehag et al (2004). While repeated exposure to large amount of fungal propagules risks the development of specific allergic reactions, there is no adequate evidence of serious health hazards caused by so-called 'toxic' moulds.

In summary, there appears to be general consensus that a link exists between HDM and mould (i.e. respiratory hazards) and respiratory problems Having previously indicated that there is also general consensus that links do exist between ventilation rates and these respiratory hazards we now conclude by exploring what evidence exists to support a direct link between ventilation rates and respiratory problems.

4. Studies relating to a direct link between moisture related respiratory health and ventilation rates

The EUROVEN group recently reviewed the scientific literature relating to the effects of ventilation on health, comfort and productivity in non-industrial environments (Wargocki et al 2002). The study concluded that there was a strong association between ventilation and health. Studies judged conclusive implied that low ventilation rates in homes may be one of the factors exacerbating allergies due to the increased rate of infestation of HDM. The study also noted however, that more information is required on links between ventilation rates and health in homes.

A recent study (Emenius et al 2004) was undertaken to examine the impact of building characteristics and indoor air quality on recurrent wheezing in infants. The study found that whilst building-related exposures appear to have a major impact on children’s health, this was not primarily explained by differences in ventilation systems, air change rate or HDM infestation.

In some UK studies the adoption of MVHR appeared successful for HDM control (Howieson et al., 2002; Htut et al., 1996; and McIntyre, 1992). However, not all of the studies measured ventilation rates nor the clinical efficacy of the remedial measures.

Howieson et al. (2002; 2003) examined the effect of a number of remedial measures (including MVHR, steam cleaning, new bedding) on 54 asthmatic subjects in North Lanarkshire. The study concluded that lung function measurements and health questionnaire data confirmed a significant improvement in the active group compared with the control group. However, the study presented a number of confounding variables. For example, no pressure tests were carried out. In addition, no skin prick tests were undertaken and consequently the project could not differentiate between the health effects influenced by a reduction in HDM allergen levels and/or the overall improvement in indoor air quality produced by greater ventilation rates.

In another UK research project adopting MVHR, twenty houses in the Southampton area were fitted with MVHR and a further 20 houses
acted as controls (Stephen et al., 1997; Warner et al., 2000). As air-infiltration was also measured, the effect of air-leakage on indoor humidity was explored using linear regression. It emerged that the houses group with MVHR showed a significant effect of leakiness with leaky houses having lower humidity. In the control houses, however, it appears that humidity was not affected by the houses’ leakiness. However, the independent analysis of the two groups does not show that the two results are statistically different from each other. As regards the effect of MVHR on allergen concentration, Warner et al. (2000) noted that there was evidence for a beneficial effect of MVHR on Der p1 levels. However, the reduction in allergen levels did not result in a significant clinical improvement - the power of this study was low to detect clinical changes. “The likelihood of being able to show a change in clinical symptoms could be improved by performing a larger study and ventilating more areas of the houses, possibly with the inclusion of active dehumidification within the systems.” (ibid).

Many studies do not attempt to investigate the links between ventilation and health but rather between dampness and health. Ventilation and damp can be closely related and so such studies are reported here. There is however, a lack of clarity in the literature as to the definition of a ‘damp’ building. For example, high absolute humidity, high relative humidity, high moisture content of elements of the fabric and the occurrence of mould are all possible indicators. This definition is critically important because whereas, for example, the absolute humidity will always tend to drop with increased ventilation (unless the external absolute humidity is higher), relative humidity is a function of both temperature and the moisture content of the air and so increased ventilation can, under some circumstances, increase relative humidity.

A recent report (ISBE, 2003) concluded that extensive knowledge exists on the influence of humid environments to human health. Health problems in damp and humid buildings were first described by Leeuwen (1924) and these problems related to buildings have received increased attention over the last few decades. In the last two decades several large studies in the UK, USA, Scandinavian Countries and the Netherlands strengthened confidence in the relationship between indoor environmental humid conditions and an increase in asthma, impaired respiratory function, general respiratory symptoms and respiratory infection among children (Brunekreef et al 1993; Dales et al 1991; Cuijpers et al 1995; Li and Hsu 1996 and Rylander 2003)).

A recent review of the literature on dampness and HDM exposure in buildings and health effects (Bornehag et al 2004) concluded that dampness is a risk factor for health in domestic environments but that the literature is not conclusive in respect of causative agents. The strong need for more multidisciplinary studies was noted.

A recent study (Hagerhed et. al., 2002) reported that dampness (inferred from visible signs of mould and condensation, coupled with the perception of indoor air quality e.g. ‘stuffy air’) is more common in older buildings and buildings with natural ventilation. Bornehag (2002) summarised 15 different studies on dampness and health concluding that in 13 studies a positive association was found between dampness and health effects namely asthma and wheezing.

A study conducted in Scotland (Williamson, 1997) showed that asthmatic patients attending a hospital asthma clinic were two to three times more likely to live in a dwelling with evidence of dampness (inferred from fabric moisture content and severity of mould) than an age and sex matched random sample of the general population living in the same area of the city of Glasgow.

Further confirmation of the significant influence of house dampness and mould on health status is reported in numerous, mainly medical, reports and journals (Garrett et. al., 1998; Andriessen, et. al., 1998; Zock, et. al., 2002; Zureik, et. al., 2002).

As noted earlier, some of the studies reported here do not deal directly with ventilation – rather ‘damp’ housing. The two are related but it is clear that the number of studies which have attempted to link ventilation rates directly to respiratory problems are scarce.

It may be helpful to conclude this section with the findings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA. The results of workshops in 1999 (Committee, 2000) reported ‘existing data
are inadequate for conclusions regarding the association between ventilation rates or ventilation system microbiological contamination and either the exacerbation of asthma symptoms or asthma development.’ ‘Airtight building envelopes and low rates of ventilation have been cited as factors that may contribute to asthma incidence or symptoms or may explain recent increases in asthma; however, very few relevant data are available … …measurements of ventilation rates should be included, when possible, in future asthma case-control studies or cross-sectional surveys.’

5. Discussion

The literature review highlights the limited research that has been undertaken to demonstrate a direct relationship between domestic ventilation and health. The medical and building science literatures include many publications addressing ventilation and health in offices, but few domestic housing studies. There have been studies by occupational health services to support the growing white-collar workforce but because private housing is not regulated by health and safety legislation there are fewer relevant studies. Also, it is relatively difficult to measure ventilation in housing: current techniques can only be undertaken on a small number of dwellings at a time. Yet, health studies generally require large occupant samples.

Health effects of pollutants can be studied in three ways – medicine, toxicology and epidemiology. Typically, the first is of concern in relation to an individual person. The person has unexpected symptoms, and explanations for these are sought in the surrounding environment. If a particular aspect of the environment is suspected, then two further directions are possible: toxicological studies will test the possible agent in laboratory settings (for example, effects on tissue cultures or mice); epidemiological studies will compare the frequency of the disease in people exposed to the pollutant with people not exposed.

This approach has served industrial medicine well, and has identified potential hazards of particular working environments, for example dyes in the chemical industry causing bladder cancer and asbestos in the construction industry causing lung mesothelioma. But assessing the effects of pollutants in the home is more complicated. People living at home have a varied environmental exposure (living in different rooms, working with different materials, periods of time in and out of the house) and these are not usually recorded before the onset of symptoms. The levels of exposure are probably below industrial levels, and health records not so accessible. In general, whereas occupational health services assess the health of workers in the workplace, general medical services rarely see people in their homes except for nursing and care services.

In the industrial examples given above, the diseases identified were relatively unusual. On the other hand, respiratory symptoms that may be attributed to housing are widely prevalent. Coughs and colds affect most people every year. Moderate or severe symptoms can arise from infections (bronchitis and pneumonia) and ‘asthma’, (a state of narrowing of respiratory airways). The United Kingdom has the largest proportion of people in Europe who believe they have asthma – one in three of the population by current surveys. (Whether the country comparisons are accurate is another question: the rapid growth in self-diagnosis of asthma suggests a possible re-allocation of other respiratory complaints {coughs and colds}). Respiratory symptoms are common; and so also are the various factors suggested to cause them. To focus on asthma again, the range of possible ‘causes’ include respiratory infections, chemical vapours in the air, ingested chemicals, dust-borne particles, temperature changes, smoking, exercise and psychological reactions. Asthma symptoms occur because of inflammation of the respiratory tract. ‘Allergy’ is only one of several pathways for the body to create the inflammation, and people who are ‘allergic’ don’t necessarily have that as the cause of specific asthma symptoms – which may be the result of a simple viral infection (cold, cough) or increased obesity making exercise more difficult.

Good studies relating home air to respiratory symptoms are difficult to achieve. Symptoms are common and difficult to standardise, while exposures are equally hard to record. Typically, studies have tried to focus on asthma as a disease, since it occurs in children and there appear to be a wide variety of triggers. Three sorts of epidemiological studies can be used:
Cross-sectional studies are most common. These surveys record existing exposures in housing and existing levels of disease, and look for statistical associations between the two. The weakness in these studies is ‘confounding’ – that is, several factors may be identified statistically, but another factor (perhaps unrecorded) may be having a greater real effect. Other criteria, including strength of association, biological plausibility and specificity of the effect should be considered in evaluating the results.

Case-control studies compare people with symptoms against people without symptoms, and look for different levels of exposure. These studies can look at past exposure, and therefore show whether exposure happened before disease – which cross-sectional studies cannot. Nevertheless, it is necessary to collect information on all possible causes, and still the case-control design does not exclude confounding factors.

Longitudinal population studies have the strongest scientific design. They follow a cohort of people forwards in time. They measure the exposure before onset of the disease, and therefore help avoid confounding factors. However, they have to be large, since only a proportion of people tracked over time will develop the symptoms. This in turn means measuring ventilation over a large number of properties which is very expensive.

In the field of domestic ventilation and health so far, only two sides of the equation have been assessed in detail: there have been studies of the effects of ventilation on air characteristics, such as dust mites, mould spores, carbon monoxide; and there have been studies showing how these factors are associated with respiratory symptoms and diseases. But, in contrast to office studies, there have been relatively few direct studies of domestic ventilation and diseases.

It may be reasonable to accept the separation of the ‘technical’ studies of ventilation from the ‘medical’ studies of air and disease. Thus, if ventilation reduces pollutants, then potential harm may be reduced. But it would be welcome to know whether there was a real effect because the implications of ventilation are not negligible. Energy efficiency seeks to maintain indoor temperatures while reducing heat loss. ‘Tight’ buildings have reduced levels of natural ventilation; but they may have increased pollutants, for example, high concentrations of domestic chemicals (e.g. cleaning materials), cooking gases (carbon monoxide) or house dust mites (through higher humidity). These in turn are believed to have respiratory symptom consequences, but the link to levels of ventilation is unknown – making it difficult to give appropriate guidance.

Two other points are relevant for the discussion. First, the concept of ‘attributable risk’; this suggests how much a factor contributes to a disease (attributable fraction) or how many people may be affected (population attributable fraction). While the attributable fraction may be low – say only one in twenty cases, if the disease is widespread then the population effect can be quite large in number of cases. (Take, for example, the view that current levels of external air pollution are causing more than 10,000 premature deaths in Britain each year.) A scientific study of ventilation would wish to make some numerical estimate of the health effects.

Second, it is probable that there is a threshold level for some pollutants. Equally, it is possible for human bodies to excrete or detoxify some chemicals. We do not have adequate knowledge of thresholds and should not assume that only a perfectly ‘clean’ environment is healthy. Ventilation itself is not a health hazard. However there is considerable theoretical evidence to support the hypothesis that ventilation rate around the levels controlled by the Building Regulations can have a health effect. The pathways are summarised in Figure 1. However, this diagram hides the complexity in trying to identify if a real link exists. Ventilation may impact on hazards and then respiratory problems which are not only affected by spores and faeces but also by other hazards. This is made more complex by the real difficulties in monitoring ventilation, hazards and respiratory problems in one study. It is these difficulties in monitoring that may, in part, explain the often conflicting results from different studies. Being able to monitor ventilation, HDM populations, mould growth and respiratory problems is key to determining a link. The difficulties in monitoring respiratory problems are discussed above but an important issue also relates to most studies relying on self reporting rather than any medical diagnosis.

Measuring the actual hazards (mould and house dust mites) is also problematic. Although the
English House Condition Survey has developed a method to rate the severity of mould growth by surveyors, many studies simply rely on the occurrence of any mould, condensation or damp - terms which can have a wide range of different interpretations. It is possible for example to hypothesise a scenario where the occurrence of condensation could reduce mould and house dust mites. For example, condensation on single glazing may be a useful stimulus for occupants to increase occupant controlled ventilation which in turn would lead to less mould and HDM. The advantage of monitoring mould is that it is at least visible and so self reporting is possible. However, there is the complexity that mould may be evident due to a previous problem which no longer exists if it has not been cleaned up. In the case of HDM, these are not visible and monitoring is very difficult. HDM populations also vary seasonally due to the change in outside vapour pressure. Sampling is very dependent on the methods used, e.g. vacuum cleaning area of the building, since mites are invisible there may be a colony of mites which happen to have the correct micro-environment in a location which has not been sampled. Also it is not the mites themselves that cause the respiratory problems but their faeces which have a long life and can be present even if the colony has been eradicated.

As noted earlier, domestic ventilation is also very difficult to measure on the scales required and no reliable methods of inferring domestic ventilation rates have been developed. The most commonly measured parameter to infer ventilation rates is pressure testing. However, pressure testing only reveals something about the background air infiltration and nothing about the occupant controlled ventilation.

6. Conclusions

A key change in this field in recent years has been a move from extrapolating from laboratory studies of air pollution causing respiratory symptoms to the use of a limited number of epidemiological studies of the real world for long-term effects.

An extensive body of literature exists which attempts to investigate relationships between ventilation and indoor air quality. There is general consensus that a link exists between ventilation rates in dwellings and respiratory hazards (for example HDM). There is also general consensus that a link exists between these respiratory hazards and respiratory problems. For relevant moisture related respiratory hazards (HDM and fungal growth), the literature offers some advice on the minimum required ventilation rates to prevent unacceptable hazard and the consequent respiratory health risks.

Of particular interest though, it appears that most existing data are inadequate for conclusions to be drawn regarding the direct association between ventilation rates and respiratory problems. It is noted that there are many real difficulties in attempting to establish such a relationship and further work may be required to achieve this.
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