TC-1 observations of flux pileup and dipolarization-associated expansion in the near-Earth magnetotail during substorms
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[1] Fifty-three substorms measured by Double Star/TC-1 in the near-Earth magnetotail from July to October, 2004 are studied. The main features of these events are: (a) Magnetic flux pileup characterized by continuous enhancement of $B_z$ is observed, which starts almost simultaneously with auroral breakup within 1–3 minutes, indicating that substorm onset is in close relation to flux pileup. (b) Sudden plasma sheet expansion with sharp increases in ion temperature and density is seen in all events, which occurs typically ~11 minutes after the beginning of pileup. The plasma sheet expansion is shown to be in close relation with the primary substorm dipolarization and, hence, can be referred to as ‘dipolarization-associated expansion’. (c) Evidence indicates that the substorm current wedge first forms earthward of TC-1 position and, hence, inward of the flow braking region, and then propagates tailward with an expansion in the Z-direction. Possible implications of these observations are briefly discussed. Citation: Zhang, H., et al. (2007), TC-1 observations of flux pileup and dipolarization-associated expansion in the near-Earth magnetotail during substorms, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L03104, doi:10.1029/2006GL028326.

1. Introduction

[2] Magnetospheric substorms consist of a chain of processes responsible for the explosive release of the magnetic energy stored in the magnetotail. In the near-Earth neutral line (NENL) model [McPherron, 1991], the energy release was thought to be initiated and accomplished through magnetic reconnection (MR) in the mid-tail. On the other hand, the near-Earth current disruption (NECD) model considers that instabilities closer to the Earth lead to current disruption and trigger the substorm expansion phase [Lui, 1996]. The occurrence of mid-tail MR has been confirmed after the launch of Geotail [Nagai et al., 1999]. The NENL paradigm has been improved [Baker et al., 1996] and updated [Baumjohann, 2002] since then.

[3] In the updated NENL model, the bursty bulk flows (BBFs) originating from MR between ~20 and ~25 $R_E$ take energy into the inner tail and typically stop outside ~13–15 $R_E$ [Shiokawa et al., 1997]. Magnetic flux then piles up against this boundary, which ultimately leads to a more dipolar tail configuration and, hence, to substorm dipolarization [Shiokawa et al., 1998]. The pressure gradient built up by flow braking causes reduction and diversion of the duskward cross-tail current, forming the substorm current wedge (SCW) [Birn et al., 1999]. This scenario helps to resolve the puzzle that substorm aurora breakup typically maps to the equatorial region near 10$R_E$, while near-Earth MR takes place further out [Baumjohann, 2002].

[4] During July to October, the apogee of Double Star/TC-1 is about 13 $R_E$ from the Earth in the tail [Liu et al., 2005]. This enables to directly study the substorm initiation with in situ measurements [Nakamura et al., 2006]. From July to October, 2004 TC-1 observed 94 pileup/dipolarization events, which can be divided into two categories: (1) there are 41 events in which increase in $B_z$ and drop of $B_x$ are seen simultaneously, either a few minutes after aurora breakup (majority) or about concurrently with aurora brightening (minority); (2) in another 53 events TC-1 observes that $B_z$ starts to increase almost simultaneously with the aurora breakup, within ~1–3 minutes, and ~11 minutes before the drop of $B_x$ occurs. This paper is devoted to a study of the latter type of events. We first conduct a case study of an event on 17 September 2004, then present statistical results of the 53 cases, and finally make discussions and a brief summary.

2. Instrumentations

[5] Data with 4 sec resolution from FGM, HIA and PEACE instruments on board TC-1 are used to investigate
the sudden auroral brightening observed by IMAGE/WIC, and shows that the substorm expansion onset occurs at ~01:17 UT, which is marked on Figure 1 by the dashed vertical line. During this event, TC-1 was located at (~10.1, -1.4, 1.0)\(R_E\) (GSM) at post-midnight, while auroral brightening appeared at pre-midnight (~20–22 MLT).

3.2. Detailed Analysis

3.2.1. Evolution of Tail Configuration

[7] Evolution of tail configuration can be divided into three stages. Stage I develops from ~00:30 until 01:16 UT, during which time, the elevation angle \(\theta\) keeps decaying and the magnetotail field becomes more tail-like. The IMF remains southward. The continuous decreases in \(\beta\) and \(N_i\) suggest a thinning of the plasma sheet. Stage II lasts from 01:16 until 01:27 UT in which \(B_z\) increases and \(\theta\) rises, for 11 minutes, from \(1^\circ\) up to \(20^\circ\), while \(B_x\) remains basically constant. \(B_t\) is enhanced with time in concert with the increase in \(B_z\). Note that during stage II, \(N_i\), \(P_{th}\) and \(\beta\) continue to decrease, and TC-1 is sampling boundary layer plasma. Stage III begins with a sudden collapse of \(B_z\), from 65 nT to 40 nT at ~01:27 UT along with a slight increase in \(B_x\), which immediately causes the tail to become more dipolar than in stage II. In addition, \(\theta\) rises rapidly with a jump of \(13^\circ\) from \(20^\circ\) to \(33^\circ\) in ~3 minutes. In the rest of stage III, from about 01:30 to ~02:17 UT, the tail basically remains in this dipolar shape.

3.2.2. Flux Pileup

[8] \(B_z\) and \(P_i\) continuously enhances during the whole of stage II, while \(B_t\) keeps nearly constant, indicating a field compression in the X-direction. We refer to this phenomenon as flux pileup. Meanwhile, \(N_i\) continues to drop and \(T_i\) maintains approximately constant with \(T_{i,\perp} > T_{i,\parallel}\) (not shown in Figure 1). As a result, \(P_{th}\) and \(\beta\) tend to reduce. About 8 minutes prior to stage II, Cluster at \(X\sim -15.1\ R_E\) and \(Z \sim 3.7\ R_E\) started to observe an earthward flow with \(V_x \approx 300\ km/s\) on average (not shown in the paper). Since the flow at TC-1 remains small in this interval, it is inferred that the BBF was braking and piled up flux tailward of TC-1. Alternatively, it might also be possible that TC-1 missed the BBF owing to its high position to the plasma sheet. Nevertheless, we prefer to the former. Shiokawa et al. [1997] have shown that in most cases BBF was braking and piled up flux tailward of outside \(\sim 13\ R_E\). While \(B_z\), \(B_t\) and \(\theta\) are increasing, plasma is possibly squeezed out, \(N_i\), \(P_{th}\) and \(\beta\) are then reduced. The phenomenon is similar

Figure 2. Auroral brightening observed by IMAGE/WIC in the event of 17 September 2004.
to the formation of the plasma depletion layer sunward of the magnetopause, where particles are squeeazed away from the high-magnetic-pressure region as the flux tubes convect toward the magnetopause. In fact, in the inner region of the depletion layer, the flow normal to the magnetopause is almost zero [Phan et al., 1994]. Flow braking may generate fast-mode waves [Shiokawa et al., 1998]. This may be the reason why oscillations of $B_z$ in the Pi2 frequency range begin at the same time when the pileup starts.

**3.2.3. Dipolarization-Associated Expansion**

[9] We identify the rapid drop of $B_z$ between 01:27 UT and 01:30 UT as dipolarization-associated expansion (DAE) at the TC-1 location. At the very start of the DAE, $N_i$, $T_i$, $\beta$ and $P_i$ all suddenly jump up, implying a quick expansion of the plasma sheet. The DAE is observed \~11 (10) minutes after the beginning of flux pileup (aurora breakup). A noticeable variation in $B_z$ is also seen at the DAE. Before \~01:16 UT the background $B_{vo}$ is 3.6 nT. $\Delta B_z$ ($B_z - B_{vo}$) turns to be negative at 01:18 UT. A sudden reversal of $\Delta B_z$ from negative to positive occurs right at the DAE. Hereafter $\Delta B_z$ remains positive. The spacecraft is on the northern dawnside of the plasma sheet. In the frame of the SCW which is symmetric to the Sun-Earth line, negative $\Delta B_y$ indicates that the downward field-aligned current (FAC) is located at earthward and equatorward of TC-1, while a positive value implies the opposite. The changing of $\Delta B_y$ from negative to positive indicates that the front of the SCW is passing through TC-1 tailward [Lopez and Lui, 1990; Jacquey et al., 1991], with an expansion in the Z-direction. This implies that the primary dipolarization occurs initially inside the TC-1 location and moves tailward afterwards, which is consistent with the fact that the DAE is observed \~10 minutes after the aurora breakup. The local plasma sheet expansion at TC-1 is clearly associated with the primary dipolarization, therefore we refer to it as DAE. Moreover, right at and immediately after the DAE, a short-lived earthward flow with energetic and thermal ions lasting for \~3 minutes is detected, which manifests a common feature of DAE (see later in Section 4) and is believed to be produced by substorm acceleration at dipolarization [Shiokawa et al., 2005].

**4. Statistic Study**

[10] This section presents the statistical study of the 53 events. In 36 of these events, plasma data from HIA/TC-1 are available. For 16 events, usable IMAGE/WIC data can be obtained.

**4.1. Pileup and DAE**

[11] In all 53 events TC-1 first observed a gradual enhancement of $B_z$ and nearly constant $B_y$, followed by a rapid drop of $B_z$. A superposed epoch analysis based on all effective events is plotted in Figure 3, which shows that the average properties of pileup and DAE are similar to the characteristic features of 17 September 2004 event. The statistical results can be summarized as follows: (1) Pileup is observed almost simultaneously with aurora breakup within 1–3 minutes and \~11 minutes ahead of the DAE on average. (2) Oscillations of $B_z$ in the Pi2 frequency range start just ahead of pileup, with maximum amplitudes appearing at DAE. (3) The average duration of DAE is about 2 minutes. (4) Among the 36 events for which the HIA/TC-1 data are available, there are 26 in which $N_i$ is reduced during pileup. In 10 cases $N_i$ remains almost unchanged. Besides, in most events $\beta$ keeps decreasing slightly on average. (5) There are 29 cases in which $N_i$, $T_i$, and $\beta$ suddenly jump up right at and immediately after the DAE. In another 7 cases either $N_i$ or $T_i$ rises. (6) In all 36 events earthward flows with speeds ranging from \~50 to \~500 km/s are measured right at the DAE, with a typical duration of \~3 minutes. Note again that in most events the MLTs of TC-1 and auroral breakup are different.

**4.2. $B_z$ Changes at the DAE**

[12] $\Delta B_z$ is found to change sign in most of the 53 events. Table 1 presents the statistical results. It is seen that 40 events with bold numbers are in agreement with the fact that the SCW front, which is ‘symmetric’ relative to both the central plasma sheet and the Sun-Earth line, is moving across the spacecraft tailward and expanding in the Z-direction right at the DAE. In 10 events the SCW fronts are probably not symmetric so that the opposite situations are obtained.

**4.3. TC-1 and Cluster Conjunction**

[13] It is worthwhile to note that in 6 cases among 53 events studied, Cluster measured earthward BBFs prior...
Table 1. Numbers of Events With Different Signs of $\Delta B_y$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TC-1 Position</th>
<th>Total Numbers</th>
<th>$\Delta B_y &gt; 0$</th>
<th>$\Delta B_y &lt; 0$</th>
<th>Without Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North-Dawn</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North-Dusk</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-Dawn</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-Dusk</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*TC-1 position relative to the central plasma sheet.

5. Discussions and Summary

Flux pileup is clearly seen in 53 substorm events studied in this paper, which is characterized by continuous enhancements of $B_z$ and $B_x$ with the trend of reduction of $N_i$, $F_{th}$ and $\beta$. This is similar to the situation that flux pileup near the subsolar magnetopause squeezes particles out of the compression region, leading to the depletion layer in the adjacent magnetosheath [Phan et al., 1994]. Pileup is observed almost simultaneously with the substorm aurora breakup within 1–3 minutes, indicating that substorm onset is probably in close temporal relation to flow braking and flux pileup. On the other hand, if pileup was observed simultaneously with DAE, the SCW would have already reached the TC-1 position, and the increase in $B_z$ would be due to FACs in the X-direction [Lopez and Lui, 1990]. Nevertheless, our observations seem not consistent with this argument.

DAE is also observed at the TC-1 location, which is marked by a sharp drop of $B_z$ and sudden jumps of $N_i$ and $T_i$, manifesting a rapid expansion of the local plasma sheet. The DAE starts ~11 minutes after the beginning of pileup, implying that at least for the events studied, at the TC-1 location they are two distinct processes. It is likely that high-speed flows stop in the region tailward of TC-1, resulting in an earthward motion of compressed magnetic field and fast-mode waves propagating inward that yield compression of $B_z$ and the related oscillations in Pi2 frequency range. On the other hand, dipolarization originates earthward of TC-1. As the plasma sheet expands, the SCW front moves tailward, TC-1 then observes the drop of $B_z$, as well as jumps of $N_i$ and $T_i$ when the spacecraft is passing across the boundary to enter the plasma sheet.

In 16 events during which the IMAGE/WIC data are available, IMAGE recorded the aurora brightening in the pre-midnight sector of the southern auroral oval, while TC-1 observations came from the northern/dawnside part of the inner-magnetotail. This is due to the fact that from 20 September to the end of October when the apogee of TC-1 moved to duskside, the IMAGE/WIC data were not available in many substorms. In such a situation accurate timing comparisons between the flux pileup/DAE and auroral breakup are difficult to obtain. Whether the inaccuracy in this aspect makes the results uncertain? Among the 16 events, the cases in which the MLT difference between TC-1 and auroral brightening is less and more than 1 hour are 5 and 11, respectively. Aurora breakups start ~2.2 minutes behind pileup for the former and ~2.7 minutes for the latter on average. No significant difference is seen. Meanwhile, in a half of events aurora breakup appears just on the westward side of TC-1 within 2 hours of MLT, which is expectable if the SCW forms in association with pileup. Furthermore, in the 5 and 11 events for which the MLT difference between TC-1 and auroral brightening is, respectively, less and more than 1 hour, DAE occurs ~8 and ~9 minutes later than aurora breakup, respectively. Again no significant difference is found. The SCW expands both radially and azimuthally during the expansion phase [Lopez and Lui, 1990]. If DAEs were essentially due to the azimuthal expansion, the spacecraft would see a clear jump in $B_z$. Both Figures 1 and 3 do not show this feature. The fact that the $B_z$ changes are consistent to dawn/dusk location of the satellite implies that DAEs may mainly be attributed to the radial expansion/tailward propagation of SCW, associated with an expansion in the Z-direction. In short, the main results of observations (i.e., substorm onset is in close relation to flux pileup and the SCW first forms earthward of the flow braking region) seem to be reliable, though in most events the MLTs of TC-1 and auroral breakup are seemly different.

To understand the above results, we first recall the 3-D MHD simulation of substorm current wedge formation by Birn et al. [1999]. It is shown that flow braking maximizes at $X \approx -15 R_E$, while current diversion takes place mostly earthward of $X \approx -12 R_E$ in association with drastic reduction of the curvature drift current due to an expansion of the plasma sheet. Alternatively, flow braking might yield favorable conditions for instabilities to grow near the inner edge of the plasma sheet, which ultimately lead to dipolarization at substorm onset [Pu et al., 2001]. In addition, tailward flows of ionospheric origin are often observed by TC-1 prior to the expansion onset. It is suggested that the interaction of the tailward flows with earthward BBFs might also contribute to the substorm triggering [Liu et al., 2006]. Global/multiscale substorm initiation processes should be considered.

In summary, 53 substorm events measured by Double Star/TC-1 from July to October, 2004 are studied. Magnetic flux pileup is directly observed in all events, which starts almost simultaneously, 1–3 minutes, with the aurora breakup, indicating that substorm onset is in close temporal relation to flow braking and flux pileup. DAE also occurs in all events, which is observed ~11 minutes after the beginning of pileup. There is evidence that the SCW first forms earthward of TC-1 and, hence, inward the flow braking region and then propagates tailward with an expansion in the Z-direction. The initial location, formation and propagation/expansion of SCW desire further studies.
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