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Efforts to get doctors to follow guidelines have overlooked the importance of clear and concise recommendations.

The problems of getting people to act on evidence based guidelines are widely recognised. An overview of 41 systematic reviews found that the most promising approach was to use a variety of interventions including audit and feedback, reminders, and educational outreach. The effective interventions often involved complicated procedures and were always an addition to the provision of guidelines. None of the studies used the simplest intervention—that is, changing the wording of the guidelines. We examine the importance of precise behavioural recommendations and suggest how some current guidelines could be improved.

Importance of wording
The wording of a behavioural instruction affects the likelihood that it will be followed, by influencing comprehension, recall, planning, and behaviour. For example, work by Philip Ley shows that using specific concrete statements increases the extent to which information is both understood and remembered. Individuals who intend to change behaviour are more successful if they have a specific behavioural plan. A recognised technique of behaviour modification is to define the target behaviour in specific and concrete terms. In a study of attributes of 10 national clinical guidelines, general practitioners followed the guidelines on 67% of occasions if they were concrete and precise but on only 36% of occasions when they were vague and non-specific. Implementation of guidelines might therefore be improved by defining the crucial elements precisely. Clear specification also makes it possible to assess whether the guideline has been followed.

Specifying behaviour
Recommendations in guidelines are rarely specified in precise behavioural terms such as what, who, when, where, and how. An example is the first clinical guideline to be developed and published by the National Institute for Clinical Excellence, which has been widely circulated throughout the NHS. Despite the high quality review of evidence underpinning the guideline, the recommendations are not behaviourally specific. The guideline is long, with the recommendations in the short form exceeding 20 pages. This may result in recommendations getting lost. Furthermore, the style of presentation of the guidelines does not optimise implementation.

Table 1 gives the main (grade A, based on randomised controlled trials) recommendations with suggestions on how they could be behaviourally specified. We have limited the suggestions to what and who, but where, when, and how could be similarly added. We have used active verbs rather than general exhortations (should) or descriptions (may). Translating the recommendations in this way revealed two areas of uncertainty. In the first example, it is unclear whether day hospital treatment should be encouraged or merely offered; in the fifth, it is unclear whether depot preparations should be prescribed for everyone with schizophrenia.

Constructing the who component of the recommendation showed that the specification of the health professional is slightly different in each of the five recommendations. In practice, the same person may carry out each of the defined functions, but it could be two or more people. The person specification clarifies the initial steps of implementing the guideline for trust boards.

This analysis suggests that these guidelines may have been developed to offer general guidance rather than prescriptive action. However, similar patterns are present in the subsequent three evidence based guidelines published by the National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Table 2 gives one grade A recommendation from each guideline and illustrative questions that remain unanswered by the guidelines' wording.

Analysing and changing behaviour
Specifying behaviour precisely serves two functions in implementing guidelines. Firstly, as described above, it makes implementation more likely; there is greater clarity about what is required and greater certainty about whether it has been accomplished. Secondly, it allows a systematic investigation of the way that the behaviour is linked to what occurs before (antecedents) and what occurs after (consequences). Antecedents and consequences can operate as either facilitators of,
or barriers to, behaviour. Changing these is a powerful way of changing behaviour. The scientific analysis of the relations between antecedents, behaviour, and consequences (ABC) is known as behavioural analysis or functional analysis and was developed within clinical psychology.

Examples of manipulating antecedents and consequences and observing the effect on a defined behaviour come from two experimental studies of referral rates of general practitioners. The first introduced an antecedent cue to increase referral rates for mammography. The cue was a sticker in a schematic breast shape with a bright orange dot placed on the charts of women aged over 50 years. Referral rates were higher in this condition than in the control condition.

The second study evaluated an intervention to reduce the frequency that general practitioners ordered radiography of the lumbar spine and knee. Each time the general practitioner ordered an x ray examination, the results were accompanied by an educational sticker that reiterated the guidelines. This reduced the frequency of ordering by 20%, in line with the recommendations of the Royal College of Radiologists.

Conclusion

Ample evidence exists to support the argument that the simplest, most cost effective intervention to increase the implementation of guidelines is rewriting guidelines in behaviourally specific terms. Future research could compare the effectiveness of rewritten guidelines with that of the original before assessing more complex interventions. Interventions should be developed on the basis of an analysis of the antecedents and consequences controlling implementation behaviours. This analysis should be informed by relevant psychological theory.
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### Table 1 Suggested amendments to published guidelines on schizophrenia to improve specification of behaviour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Published main recommendations</th>
<th>What</th>
<th>Behavioural specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acute day hospitals should be considered as a clinical and cost effective option for the provision of acute care, both as an alternative to acute admission to inpatient care and to facilitate early discharge from inpatient care</td>
<td>Encourage (offer?) acute day hospital treatment to inpatients or those facing acute admission to inpatient care</td>
<td>Service manager responsible for making treatment decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive behaviour therapy (CBT) should be available as a treatment option for people with schizophrenia</td>
<td>Offer cognitive behaviour therapy to everyone with schizophrenia</td>
<td>Trust board and health professional responsible for offering treatment options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family interventions should be available to the families of people with schizophrenia who are living with or who are in close contact with the service user</td>
<td>Offer family intervention to all those in close contact with someone with schizophrenia</td>
<td>Trust board and health professional responsible for offering interventions to people in close contact with someone with schizophrenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When providing family interventions, service users and their carers may prefer single family interventions rather than multifamily group interventions</td>
<td>Give information and offer a choice of single family or multifamily group interventions when offering family interventions to people with schizophrenia or their carers</td>
<td>Health professional responsible for providing information to service users and carers about family interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For optimum effectiveness in preventing relapse, depot preparations should be prescribed within the standard recommended dose and interval range</td>
<td>Prescribe depot preparations within the standard recommended dose and interval range (for all those with schizophrenia?)</td>
<td>Psychiatrist responsible for drug treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Illustrative grade A recommendations from three National Institute for Clinical Excellence guidelines and questions about behavioural specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guideline</th>
<th>Published recommendation</th>
<th>Questions about behavioural specifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prevention of healthcare associated infection in primary and community care</td>
<td>Hands must be decontaminated, preferably with an alcohol based hand rub unless hands are visibly soiled, between caring for different patients or between different care activities for the same patient. (To be applied by all healthcare personnel to the care of patients in community and primary care settings)</td>
<td>Decomination is the endpoint, but what behaviour is being recommended? The behaviour could be specified—eg covering all hand, nail, and wrist surfaces with a decontaminating agent. Does this apply only to those delivering direct services to patients or also to those working in the area in which services are delivered to patients (porters, domestic staff, those delivering home aids, etc)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triage, assessment, investigation, and early management of head injury</td>
<td>The current primary investigation of choice for the detection of acute clinically important brain injuries is CT imaging of the head</td>
<td>The behaviour is computed tomography of the head, but when, and under what clinical conditions, is it being recommended? Who decides whether acute clinically important brain injuries should be investigated?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management of chronic heart failure in adults in primary and secondary care</td>
<td>Heart failure care should be delivered by a multidisciplinary team with an integrated approach across the healthcare community</td>
<td>What behaviours are required to show that an integrated approach has been taken (eg reading the same notes, holding joint meetings)? Who, and what disciplines, are required to constitute a multidisciplinary team?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Summary points

- Psychological research shows that the more precisely behaviours are specified, the more they are likely to be carried out.
- Rewriting guidelines to increase behavioural specificity may be the simplest, most effective method of increasing implementation.
- Specifying what, who, when, where, and how will assist implementation.
- Behavioural analysis of the controlling antecedents and consequences of implementation may help develop effective interventions.
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