

Rebecca S. Kristeleit,¹ Domenica Lorusso,² Ana Oaknin,³ Tamar Safra,⁴ Elizabeth M. Swisher,⁵ Igor M. Bondarenko,⁶ Tomasz Huzarski,⁷ Jaroslav Klat,⁸ Vladimir Moiseyenko,⁹ Róbert Póka,¹⁰ Luciana S. Viola,¹¹ Chris Tankersley,¹² Lara Maloney,¹² Sandra Goble,¹² Caro Unger,¹² Adam Dowson,¹² Heidi Giordano,¹² Amit M. Oza¹³

¹University College London Cancer Institute, London, UK; ²MITO and Unità di Ginecologia Oncologica, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy; ³Vall d'Hebron University Hospital, Vall d'Hebron Institute of Oncology (VHIO), Barcelona, Spain; ⁴Sackler School of Medicine, Tel Aviv University & Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, Tel Aviv, Israel; ⁵University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; ⁶Dnipropetrovsk Medical Academy, City Multiple-Discipline Clinical Hospital, Dnipropetrovsk, Ukraine; ⁷Private Health Care Innovative Medicine, Grzeczynica, Poland; ⁸University Hospital Ostrava, Ostrava, Czech Republic; ⁹NN. Petrov Research Institute of Oncology Cancer Center, St. Petersburg, Russian Federation; ¹⁰Debrecen University Clinical Center, Debrecen, Hungary; ¹¹Pontifical Catholic University of Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil; ¹²Clovis Oncology, Inc., Boulder, CO, USA; ¹³Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, University Health Network, Toronto, ON, Canada

ARIEL4: An International, Randomised Phase 3 Study of the PARP Inhibitor Rucaparib vs Chemotherapy for the Treatment of *BRCA*-Mutated, Relapsed, High-Grade Ovarian Cancer

Background: Approximately 18% of patients (pts) with high-grade epithelial ovarian cancer (OC) harbour a deleterious germline *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* (*BRCA1/2*) mutation, and ≈7% harbour a somatic *BRCA1/2* mutation (Pennington et al. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2014;20:764-75). The poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitor rucaparib is approved in the United States for the treatment of pts with deleterious *BRCA* mutation (germline and/or somatic) associated advanced OC who have been treated with ≥2 chemotherapies. Data comparing PARP inhibitors to standard of care (SOC) treatment for relapsed OC are limited. Randomised studies are needed to assess the benefit-risk profile of PARP inhibitors vs SOC as treatment for *BRCA1/2*-mutated, relapsed, high-grade OC.

Trial Design: ARIEL4 (EudraCT 2016-000816-14; NCT02855944) is evaluating rucaparib vs SOC chemotherapy as treatment for pts (n≈345) with relapsed, high-grade OC (regardless of histology) and a deleterious germline or somatic *BRCA1/2* mutation who received ≥2 prior chemotherapy regimens. Pts stratified by progression-free interval after their most recent platinum regimen will be randomised 2:1 to receive rucaparib (600 mg BID) (n≈230) or chemotherapy (n≈115). Pts with platinum-resistant (progressive disease [PD] ≥1 to <6 mo after last platinum) or partially platinum-sensitive disease (PD ≥6 to <12 mo after last platinum) will receive rucaparib or weekly paclitaxel; pts with platinum-sensitive disease (PD ≥12 mo after last platinum) will receive rucaparib or platinum-based therapy (single-agent or doublet, per investigator discretion). Pts receiving chemotherapy have the option to cross over to rucaparib upon radiographic disease progression. The primary endpoint is investigator-assessed progression-free survival (RECIST version 1.1). Secondary endpoints include overall survival, objective response rate, RECIST/CA-125 response, duration of response, and patient-reported outcomes. Safety will be summarised descriptively using standard adverse event reporting.