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Abstract

Halokinesis causes a dynamic structural evolution with the development of faults and fractures, which can act as either preferential fluid pathways or barriers. Reconstructing reactive fluid flow in salt dome settings remains a challenge. This contribution presents for the first time a spatial distribution map of diagenetic phases in a salt dome in northern Oman. Our study establishes a clear link between structural evolution and fluid flow leading to the formation of diagenetic products (barite and calcite) in the salt dome roof strata. Extensive formation of diagenetic products occurs along NNE-SSW to NE-SW faults and fractures, which initiated during the Santonian (Late Cretaceous) and were reactivated in the Miocene, but not along the E-W fault, which was generated during Early Paleocene time. We propose that the diagenetic products formed by mixing of a warm (100°C) saline (17 wt%
NaCl eq.) $^{87}\text{Sr}$ enriched ($^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}: 0.71023$) fluid with colder (35°C) meteoric fluid during Miocene to Pleistocene. The stable sulphur and strontium isotope composition and fluid inclusion data indicate that a saline fluid, with sulfate source derived from the Ara Group evaporite and Haima Supergroup layers, is the source for barite formation at about 100°C, predominantly at fault conjunctions and minor faults away from the main graben structure in the dome. In the Miocene, the saline fluid probably ascended along a halokinesis-related fault due to fluid overpressure (due to the rising salt and impermeable layers in the overlying stratigraphic sequence), and triggered the formation of barite due mixing with barium-rich fluids, accompanied by a drop in temperature. Subsequently, evolving salt doming with associated fault activity and erosion of the Jebel allows progressively more input of colder meteoric fluids, which mix with the saline warmer fluid, as derived from stable isotope data measured in the progressively younger barite-associated calcite, fault zone calcite and macro-columnar calcite. The reconstructed mixing model indicates a 50/50 to 90/10 meteoric/saline fluid mixing ratio for the formation of fault zone calcite, and a 10 times higher concentration of carbon in the saline fluid end member compared to the meteoric fluid end member. The presented mixing model of salt-derived fluids with meteoric fluids is suggested to be a general model applicable to structural diagenetic evolution of salt domes world wide.
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1. Introduction

Coupling reactive fluid flow with structural deformation in rocks overlying and surrounding salt bodies remains a challenge. We still need to improve our understanding of how dynamic deformation, fluid-rock interaction, and resulting changes in permeability and rock texture, are impacted by thermal and fluid salinity gradients linked to the presence of salt. Such knowledge is important for accurate
predictions in hydrocarbon exploration and safe long-term CO$_2$ storage. Rock salt has played an important role in hydrocarbon exploration, due to its sealing capacity and its potential for forming trapping structures such as folds and faults. Similarly, rock salt is currently considered as a seal for CO$_2$ storage reservoirs. Hence, this sedimentary rock type is important in applications that represent effective solutions to combat climate change, crucial to our society.

Besides its potential as sealing layer (Wang et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015), rock salt is characterized by a low density and visco-elastic behavior compared to other sedimentary rock types, which makes salt prone to flow when denser layers overlie the lower density salt layers or due to tectonic stress. Salt flow generates deformation of the surrounding rocks, hence, the importance of salt tectonics in hydrocarbon exploration (Archer et al., 2012). Applying seismic acquisition to image salt diapirs is very challenging due to the strong acoustic impedance and velocity contrasts at the sediment – evaporite interface and complex shapes of steeply dipping flanks (Hudec and Jackson, 2007). Nevertheless, advances in structural models in salt tectonics have been achieved through sandbox experiments, theoretical models and field examples (Jackson and Vendeville, 1994; Vendeville, 2005; Yin and Groshong, 2007; Yin et al., 2009), fluid flow models (Evans et al., 1991; Gradmann et al., 2012; Holzbecher et al., 2010; Ranganathan, 1992; Ranganathan and Hanor, 1989; Sarkar et al., 1995; Williams and Ranganathan, 1994; Wilson and Ruppel, 2007), and 3-dimensional seismic datasets (Gamboa and Alves, 2016; Jackson and Lewis, 2016; Mattos et al., 2016; Omosanya and Alves, 2013).

Salt domes studied at the surface provide excellent opportunities to collect field data that can be used subsequently as input feed or benchmarking for models. For example, a study that documents in detail the spatial distribution of fluid types and precipitation temperature of vein cements along La Popa salt weld in NE Mexico (Smith et al., 2012) is one of the few investigations published on the integration of
structures and reactive fluid flow in salt dome settings. A study on core samples from the Scotian Basin has also reported that active detachment faults on salt welds provide potential pathways and a source of salt for migrating formation water, leading to barite and sphalerite formation (Pe-Piper et al., 2015). A wide assemblage of diagenetic products can be found in salt dome cap rocks (Hallager et al., 1990). Barite is often a minor component among other minerals such as abundant metal sulphides, carbonates and elemental sulphur (Bechtel et al., 1996; Morrison and Parry, 1986; Sassen et al., 1994; Saunders and Thomas, 1996). Barite formation can be triggered as replacement of anhydrite by a decrease in temperature (Wagner et al., 2005), or by mixing of barium-rich fluids with sulphate-bearing fluids (Feng and Roberts, 2011; Greinert et al., 2002; Hanor, 2000; Tombros et al., 2015; Torres et al., 2003).

In the current paper, we report the study of structurally-controlled diagenesis in carbonate strata overlying a salt diapir in Jebel Madar (northern Oman). This salt dome in the Foothills of the central Oman Mountains is an excellent field site to investigate the evolution of paleofluid migration related to dynamic structural development during halokinesis, because of its great exposure, relatively easy access, extensive occurrence of diagenetic products (in particular barite and calcite), and the fact that it is not a surface-piercing salt dome (the salt does not occur in outcrop). The main objectives of this contribution are the following: (1) to document the spatial distribution of structurally-controlled diagenetic products in salt dome roof strata, (2) to determine the texture and geochemical signatures of the diagenetic products and paleofluids in salt dome roof strata, and (3) to link the paleofluid migration with structural evolution during halokinesis and reconstruct diagenetic product formation conditions. The integration of these datasets, with particular focus on the abundant presence of large barite crystals which have not been previously reported, combined with literature data, provides the reconstruction of diagenetic fluid migration in dynamic structural settings impacted by halokinesis.
2. Geological setting

Jebel Madar is a dome shaped, 500m-high mountain with an elliptical outline of 5 by 8 km, and is situated in the Adam Foothills, south of the central Oman Mountains in Northern Oman (Fig. 1). The Jebel formed by diapirism of the Precambrian-Cambrian Ara salt (Mount et al., 1998) of the Ghaba Salt Basin. The Ghaba, Fahud and South Oman Salt Basins form the three evaporitic basins that are present in the deep subsurface of Interior Oman (Allen, 2007; Reuning et al., 2009). These basins are NE-SW oriented, and are interpreted to follow left-lateral, strike-slip faults in the basement (Loosveld et al., 1996). The Ara Salt was deposited coeval with the Hormuz Salt in Iraq, Iran, Kuwait and Pakistan (Allen, 2007; Edgell, 1991). The timing of diapirism is not well constrained, but it has been linked to separate events such as the initiation of ophiolite emplacement in the Late Cretaceous (Farzadi, 2006), or tilting of the eastern flanks of the salt basins during the Miocene Alpine compressional phase (Terken et al., 2001). Alternatively, a combination of events may have led to diapirism, with potentially minor salt movement having started in the Late Jurassic and followed by accelerated diapirism associated with the ophiolite obduction and the Alpine Orogeny (Ericsson et al., 1998; Peters et al., 2003). Still, the main doming event of Jebel Madar is probably linked with the Alpine Orogeny and thus of Miocene age (Claringbould et al., 2013).

The Late Neoproterozoic to Early Cambrian Ara Group consists of marine platform sediments representing at least six third-order cycles of carbonate to evaporite sedimentation (Schoenherr et al., 2010). These cycles are formed by Ara salt sedimentation at shallow depth followed by isolated platform carbonate deposits in deeper basins during transgression (Mattes and Morris, 1990). The Ara evaporite in the core of Jebel Madar dome consists mainly of halite, anhydrite which replaced primary gypsum (Mattes and Morris, 1990), and minor amounts of potash salts and Mg sulphates (Schroder et al., 2003).
The lithologies of the roof strata at Jebel Madar consist mainly of carbonate of Triassic to early Cretaceous age, belonging to the Hajar Supergroup (Fig. 2). This Supergroup consists of the Akhdar, Sahtan, Kahmah and Wasia Groups (Béchennec et al., 1993). The oldest formations, the Triassic Akhdar Group dolostone and Jurassic Sahtan Group sandstone and limestone crop out in the center of the Jebel, at a triple junction between the main faults (which created the Southwest Gully, East Gully and Sheep Valley), whereas the main part of the Jebel exposes Cretaceous Formations (Fig. 2). The Lower Cretaceous Kahmah Group consists of the Rayda, Salil, Habshan, Lekhwair, Kharaib and Shuaiba Formations. The three oldest formations in this Group form a prograding sequence of shallowing-upward facies with distal slope mudstone (shaly limestone and marls) of the Salil Formations, mid-ramp shoal ooidal and bioclastic grainstone and packstone of the Habshan Formation and inner platform algal mudstone, wackestone, bioclastic-peloidal grainstone and rudist-bearing packstone and floatstone of the Lekhwair Formation (Droste and van Steenwinkel, 2004). The latter is overlain by inner platform bioturbated, bioclastic (rudist-bearing) and peloidal packstone of the Kharaib Formation and (on top) the Shuaiba Formation. The Wasia Group comprises the Nahr Umr Formation, composed of shales and lime mudstones, and the Natih Formation with interfingering carbonate platform and intrashelf basin deposits of thickly-bedded mudstone, bioturbated wackestone, packstone and grainstone with abundant rudists and *Thalassinoides* burrows (Droste and van Steenwinkel, 2004). The main formation of the Oman Mountains took place during the Alpine Orogeny Phase 2 (Eocene to Pliocene), whereas the area was earlier affected by the obduction of Semail ophiolite during Alpine Phase 1 (Turonian to Lower Maastrichtian) (Claringbould et al., 2013; Glennie, 2005; Gomez-Rivas et al., 2014; Mann and Hanna, 1990). The exact amount of burial underneath the Hawasina thrust sheets at the site of Jebel Madar is not accurately known, but was estimated at 1 km by Claringbould et al. (2013) based on seismic sections from within a ten km range.
from Jebel Madar. A detailed structural and stratigraphic map of Jebel Madar is presented by Claringbould et al. (2013). Other previous work on Jebel Madar documents dolomitization in the Habshan Formation (Vandeginste et al., 2013a) and Pleistocene phreatic calcite cave deposits (Immenhauser et al., 2007). The dominant calcite and barite diagenetic phases described in the current contribution occur mainly along previously mapped faults and fractures (Claringbould et al., 2013); only very small occurrences are more dispersed in the host rock or found in small patches or veins.

3. Methodology

For mapping the occurrence of barite and calcite cements along faults and fractures or in large vugs or caverns, we made use of Google Earth maps, the geological map of Claringbould et al. (2013), and a handheld Garmin Oregon GPS unit. GPS locations were recorded for our tracks, collected samples and structural measurements. A total of 249 hand samples were collected for this study, and a total of 154 structural measurements (including strike and dip of fractures and faults) using a Brunton compass.

Hand samples were cut, polished, etched with 1M HCl and stained with Alizarin Red S and potassium ferricyanide to distinguish calcite from other minerals (in particular dolomite and barite) following a procedure modified from Dickson (1966). Samples that were not identified as calcite by red or purple staining were subjected to X-ray diffraction analysis to determine the mineralogy, in particular to verify barite and detect potential presence of celestite (or lack thereof).

A total of 66 thin sections were examined using a Zeiss Axioskop 40 polarization microscope (with a connected Zeiss Axiocam ICc1 digital camera for photomicrographs) and a CITL cathodoluminescence (CL) Mk5-2stage mounted on a Nikon Eclipse 50i microscope (with an attached Nikon DS-Fi1c digital camera). Operating conditions for the CL stage were about 200 µA and 13 kV.
For elemental analysis, sample powders of pure calcite and host rock were prepared by crushing small pieces of rock (cleaned with distilled water and dried overnight) using an agate mortar and pestle. An aliquot of 200 mg of each sample powder (144 in total) was dissolved in 50 mL of a 5% HNO₃ solution (Vandeginste et al., 2013b). Analytical precision at the 95% confidence level determined on replicate analyses is about 15% for Ca, Mg, Al and Sr, and 10% for Fe and Mn.

Stable carbon and oxygen isotope analyses were carried out on more than 200 carbonate samples. Aliquots of about 100 to 150 µg were reacted with phosphoric acid in a Thermo Scientific automated Kiel IV carbonate device at 70ºC, and the resulting CO₂ gas was analysed in a MAT253 mass spectrometer. The carbon and oxygen isotopic values for carbonate samples are reported in per mil notation relative to Vienna Peedee belemnite (VPDB). Replicate analysis of NBS19 and Carrara Marble internal lab standards determined reproducibility better than 0.03‰ (1σ) for δ¹³C and 0.06‰ (1σ) for δ¹⁸O. Triplicates of samples show sample heterogeneity of 0.13‰ (1σ) for δ¹³C and 0.12‰ (1σ) for δ¹⁸O.

Aliquots of 0.9 mg of barite were weighed and placed in silver capsules, and the oxygen isotopic composition was measured on a TC/EA pyrolysis-coupled IRMS Delta Plus XP Thermofisher at CCiT at the University of Barcelona. Reproducibility of the oxygen isotope analysis is 0.2‰ (1σ, n = 2). Sulphur isotopes on barite samples were analysed by IsoAnalytical using EA-IRMS. The reference material used for analysis was IA-R061 (Iso-Analytical working standard barium sulphate, δ³⁴SᵥCDT = +20.3‰). Repeated analysis of standard IA-R061 has a precision of 0.2‰ (1σ, n = 3) and repeated analysis of internal standard IAEA-SO-5 has a precision of 0.06‰ (1σ, n = 3).

For Sr isotope analyses, the pure calcite samples were dissolved in ~1mL dilute HNO₃. Barite samples were leached in 6M HCl overnight, evaporated, and then dissolved in 2.5M HCl following the analytical procedure by Marchev et al. (2002).
Strontium was separated from the solutions using Eichrom Sr-spec resin, and analysed on a VG354 thermal ionization mass spectrometer at Royal Holloway University of London (UK). Samples were loaded on single Re filaments with a TaF emitter, and run using the multidynamic procedure of Thirlwall (1991). The SRM987 standard ran with the samples gave a mean value of $0.710256 \pm 0.000009 \ (1\sigma, N=9)$. Fluid inclusions were studied in doubly polished wafers of calcite and barite cements on a Linkam THMSG600 heating-cooling stage. Since most (>90%) fluid inclusions observed were single-phase, the wafers were placed in a freezer overnight to generate two-phase fluid inclusions, a method described by Goldstein and Reynolds (1994), which was successful for barite, but not for calcite. Two-phase fluid inclusions appeared to stretch during rapid heating-cooling sequences in calcite, and hence, no reliable homogenization temperatures could be determined in calcite. Reproducibility of the final melting temperature of ice ($T_m$) is within $0.2^\circ C$ for both calcite and barite, and that of the homogenization temperature ($T_h$) of barite samples is within $2^\circ C$. Inclusions in barite are susceptible to stretching and decrepitation during overheating (Ulrich and Bodnar, 1988). The barite minerals have not experienced higher temperature than the temperature they were formed at, given the indications for barite formation during halokinesis (and thus the uplift phase rather than burial). Overheating was avoided during microthermometric measurements by only heating each wafer piece till the homogenization temperature of the measured inclusions within that piece.

4. Macroscopic and petrographic characteristics of diagenetic products

4.1. Barite

Barite is found within host rock or co-occurs with barite-associated calcite within veins or large fractures. The host rock in which barite is found belongs to the Lekhwair (predominantly) and Kharaiib formations. Typically, barite is present at the rim of the vein, whereas barite-associated calcite fills the inner space of the fractures.
(Fig. 3A). Barite has generally tabular-shaped or lath-like euhedral crystals (of up to several centimeters wide), which are dispersed in the host rock (Fig. 3B) or more commonly form layers of radiating bundles (Fig. 3C). In some instances, barite is found as rosette structures of cross-cutting tabular crystals (Fig. 3D). Under crossed polarized light, barite is distinguished by its lower interference colours than that of calcite. Some fan-shaped barite crystals have sweeping extinction, but the lath-shaped crystals have homogeneous extinction. Bedding-parallel stylolites cross-cut the host rock and barite laths, but not the inclusion-poor barite-associated calcite. Calcite fills fractures within barite crystals (Fig. 4A-B). Barite is non-luminescent when viewed with the CL microscope.

4.2. Calcite

Four types of calcite have been distinguished in this study based on their occurrence and characteristics, 1) thin calcite veins, 2) barite-associated calcite, 3) fault zone calcite, and 4) macro-columnar calcite. The first type of calcite occurs in thin (up to a few centimeters thick) veins within the host rock (Fig. 5A). These calcites have a crystal size < 1 cm and are blocky in shape. The second type of calcite is present in meter-scale barite patches and in fractures that contain barite (Fig. 5B). Here, calcite fills space between the tabular barite crystals or they grow in the center of fractures on top of radiating barite crystals. In some diagenetic occurrences, calcite dominates over barite, and in those instances, barite forms small patches within larger calcite zones. Barite-associated calcite is crosscut by reddish brown silt (Fig. 6A) and macro-columnar calcite. The calcite crystals have homogeneous extinction and some contain two cross-cutting sets of faint, thick, cleavage twin planes, whereas other crystals have none or only fine, thin, cleavage twin planes. The latter crystals are in general light coloured and contain few inclusions. Under CL, calcite has a dull orange luminescence, commonly with lighter
and darker coloured zonations (Fig. 4B). Inclusion-free calcite is dull orange luminescent and cuts through barite.

The third type of calcite occurs as large calcite crystals of up to tens of centimeters long along major faults (Fig. 5C). These crystals are transparent and some have a faint white or greenish colour. In thin section, this calcite has relatively thick cleavage twinning planes (Fig. 4C) and a CL pattern of darker and lighter orange zonations (Fig. 4D).

The fourth type of calcite, macro-columnar calcite, is recognized in the field by its transparent crystals that can contain reddish colored zones (Fig. 5D) or co-occur with reddish brown silt layers (Fig. 6B). These crystals are generally a few, up to tens of centimeters long, and are commonly macro-columnar in shape, occasionally forming a radiating pattern (Fig. 5E). There are also white calcite rosettes which are topped by a reddish, thin layer (Fig. 5F). These rosettes grow upwards and are stacked upon each other, leaving some pore space in between them. The crystals in these structures are up to 5 cm long. The macro-columnar calcite has inclusion-poor calcite crystals (Fig. 4E) that display an inhomogeneous extinction pattern under crossed polarized light. The calcite is mainly non-luminescent under CL, but also displays some thin, dull orange luminescent zonations (Fig. 4F). Large veins filled with macro-columnar calcite crosscut fault zone calcite. Extensive calcite zones exhibit cross-cutting of multiple veins within larger vein zones, whereby the vein cement crosscutting all other vein cements is of the macro-columnar type with transparent and reddish crystal colour (Fig. 6C-E).

5. Spatial distribution of barite and calcite types

The distribution of barite and significant calcite type occurrences was mapped in Jebel Madar (Fig. 7). Most of the calcite mineralization is found near the three large structures (connected with each other in the center at the triple junction), whereas almost no mineralization apart from thin veins are found close to the rims of Jebel
Madar. The structural orientation of the fractures filled with different diagenetic products shows that the NNE-SSW to NE-SW trend is dominant and a second, NW-SE trend is of minor importance (Fig. 8). Both structural trends are represented in thin veins, but the larger structures with barite-associated calcite, fault zone calcite and macro-columnar calcite generally exhibit the dominant, NNE-SSW to NE-SW trend. Fractures filled with barite and barite-associated calcite are mainly NE-SW oriented, whereas the highest distribution of structures containing fault zone calcite and macro-columnar calcite is in NNE-SSW oriented fractures.

Barite is rare along the main, Southwest Gully NE-SW graben structure of the southwestern part of Jebel Madar, but is concentrated along other faults in the southern part of the Jebel (Hawk Valley) and three other occurrences slightly further away from the three main faults, especially where (minor) faults intersect (Fig. 7). There is a high concentration of macro-columnar calcite in an intensely fractured zone and cavern in the Shuaiba Formation in the southwestern corner of Jebel Madar (Sarg Valley), but some macro-columnar calcite also occurs along the main, Southwest Gully, NE-SW graben structure (mainly in the Shuaiba Formation), and is rare along faults in the northern (and northeastern) part of the Jebel (Sheep Valley and East Gully).

6. Geochemical data of diagenetic products

6.1. Stable carbon, oxygen, sulphur and strontium isotope geochemistry

The stable isotopic signature of limestone, barite and the different calcite types is presented in Supplementary File Table 1. The barite $\delta^{18}O$ signature is between +19.8 and +25.4‰ VSMOW; the $\delta^{34}S$ of most barite samples falls between +27.0 and +37.6‰ VCDT, but two samples have a $\delta^{34}S$ value of +47.9 and +48.8‰ VCDT (Fig. 9, Supplementary File Table 1). For the stable isotope composition in the calcite types, both the average $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{18}O$ (in ‰ VPDB) decrease in the following order:
from barite-associated calcite ($\delta^{13}C = +2.8 \pm 0.5$ and $\delta^{18}O = -4.5 \pm 1.2$), to thin calcite veins ($\delta^{13}C = +2.5 \pm 1.2$ and $\delta^{18}O = -4.8 \pm 1.8$), to fault zone calcite ($\delta^{13}C = +0.5 \pm 1.0$ and $\delta^{18}O = -11.0 \pm 1.3$), and finally to macro-columnar calcite ($\delta^{13}C = -5.5 \pm 1.4$ and $\delta^{18}O = -14.0 \pm 0.8$; Fig. 10, Supplementary File Table 1). From barite-associated calcite and thin calcite veins to fault calcite, the decrease in $\delta^{18}O$ of about 6‰ dominates (compared to 2‰ for $\delta^{13}C$), whereas from fault calcite to macro-columnar calcite, the decrease in $\delta^{13}C$ of about 6‰ (compared to 3‰ for $\delta^{18}O$) is dominant (Fig. 10).

The average $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios decrease from barite (0.71044 ± 0.00055), to barite-associated calcite (0.71000 ± 0.00067), to thin calcite veins (0.70991 ± 0.00078), to fault zone calcite (0.70935 ± 0.00009) and to macro-columnar calcite (0.70894 ± 0.00008; Supplementary File Table 1; Fig. 11). This order is similar as observed for the trend in the stable oxygen and carbon isotope values. The spread in $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios between samples of the same phase is small for macro-columnar and fault zone calcite compared to the other calcite types. The limestone samples show a wide range in $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr data and the average value (0.70955 ± 0.00038) falls between that of fault zone calcite and that of thin calcite veins (Supplementary File Table 1).

6.2. Major and minor element geochemistry

The elemental content does not vary distinctly between different calcite types (Supplementary File Table 2). There is a weak positive correlation between Sr and Mg content, and the elemental composition of the different calcite types is similar for these two elements (Fig. 12A). The Ba content is highly variable among samples and the highest average values are obtained in the calcite cement and Kharaib Formation limestones, whereas the Shuaiba Formation limestones have the lowest average Ba content (Supplementary File Table 2). The main element that shows a clear trend between diagenetic phases is Mn, with a decreasing average content from barite-
associated calcite (187 ± 66 ppm), to thin calcite veins (151 ± 75 ppm) to fault zone calcite (128 ± 26 ppm) and to macro-columnar calcite (59 ± 55 ppm; Fig. 12B).

6.3. Fluid inclusion study

Barite as well as the calcite types studied contain mainly single-phase liquid inclusions of about 3 by 5 to 7 by 10 µm large (Fig. 13). Homogenization temperature of generated two-phase primary fluid inclusions (after putting wafer in freezer) in barite ranges from 82 to 123ºC (Supplementary File Table 3; Fig. 14A). Salinity in interpreted primary fluid inclusions, derived from final ice melting temperatures using the equation of Goldstein and Reynolds (1994) is around 21 wt% NaCl eq in barite, and decreases in the different calcite types, from 17 wt% NaCl eq in barite-associated calcite, to 2 to 4 wt% NaCl eq in two samples of fault-zone calcite, to 0.1 wt% NaCl eq in macro-columnar calcite (Fig. 14B). The salinity in the calcite types shows a positive correlation with the δ¹⁸O values of the calcite samples measured, i.e. higher salinity corresponds to higher δ¹⁸Ocalcite values (Fig. 14B). First hydrous salt melting temperatures in barite between -53 and -45ºC indicate a saline aqueous system with predominantly CaCl₂, whereas the first hydrous salt melting temperature in fluid inclusions in barite-associated calcite between -34 and -23ºC (Supplementary File Table 3) indicate a saline system dominated by NaCl with minor addition of KCl and CaCl₂.

7. Discussion

7.1. Diagenetic barite-calcite assemblage in salt dome roof strata

Diagenetic products in strata overlying a salt diapir are likely to be influenced by the presence of the salt as well as by the structural deformation related to the halokinesis (Archer et al., 2012). Previous diagenetic work on five sampling sites in Jebel Madar concentrated mainly on phreatic cave deposits with macro-columnar calcite,
identified traces of barite, and included some fault zone calcite (Immenhauser et al., 2007). The novelty in our study involves the exploration of the entire Jebel to document the spatial distribution of all diagenetic phases present in the strata overlying the salt diapir, as observed in outcrop, with an important focus on significant barite occurrences, and the link to structures (Fig. 7).

We distinguished different diagenetic cements, i.e. barite and several calcite types, based on their mineralogy and textural and geochemical characteristics. The abundance of large barite crystals in Jebel Madar has not been previously reported. In contrast to calcite, which is a common diagenetic product in sedimentary strata, barite (barium sulphate) is more rare, but not uncommon in salt dome settings (Warren, 2000). Salt diapirs are often overlain by a caprock consisting of an anhydrite zone and overlying carbonate zone with anhydrite, gypsum, elemental sulphur, metal sulphides such as pyrite, sphalerite and galena, and Sr and Ba minerals such as barite, celestite and strontianite (Sassen et al., 1994; Saunders and Thomas, 1996; Souissi et al., 2007).

Barite occurring in the roof strata of the Jebel Madar salt dome has large (up to centimeter scale) crystals, which have a rhombohedral, tabular shape, present as single lath-shaped crystals, as radiating bundles organized in layers separated by thin dark coatings, or as rosettes. The rhombohedral morphology suggests that the barite formed via surface reaction growth mechanisms from a solution with a low degree of barite saturation, in contrast to highly saturated fluids which favour the precipitation of dendritic (rod-like, spindle-like, star-like) barite crystals by diffusional transport (Dunn et al., 1999; Shikazono, 1994). The barite rosettes indicate growth in open space, as they are still present in cavities, which is consistent with growth in extensional fault zones (Balsamo et al., 2016).

The large size of the barite crystals contrasts strongly with the micrometer scale of marine pelagic barite (Bertram and Cowen, 1997; Dehairs et al., 1980; Griffith and Paytan, 2012), and indicates formation at elevated temperature, as confirmed by fluid
inclusion microthermometric data (> 80ºC). We must note, though, that the fluid
inclusion homogenization temperature data in barite need to be treated with caution.
Barite has good cleavage and a low bulk modulus and often contains single-phase
fluid inclusions alongside two-phase inclusions, even for formation conditions at
150ºC, and this may be caused by overpressuring of fluids in the inclusions linked to
post-entrapment deformation of barite (Badhe and Pandalai, 2015). Nevertheless, in
addition to the barite crystal morphology and size and the formation temperature of
about 110ºC of barite-associated calcite (see below), it can be concluded that barite
formed at elevated temperature.
Crosscutting relationships indicate that barite is older than the documented calcite
types, and that macro-columnar calcite is younger than fault zone calcite, itself
postdating barite-associated calcite (Fig. 3, 5-6, 15). The type and diversity of
diagenetic products forming in the neighbourhood of salt diapirs relate to the
diagenetic process involved, determined by the setting, such as migrating fluids, host
rock, and temperature. The presence of barite and the lack of sulphides suggest
predominance of sulphate over sulphide implying the lack of or very limited sulphate
reduction, a process common in settings containing organic-rich sediments with
sulphate-reducing bacteria or hydrocarbons (Cai et al., 2005; Machel, 2001;
Vandeginste et al., 2006; Vandeginste et al., 2009).

7.2. Paleofluid flow pathways and evolution through time
The abundant large diagenetic products present within the faults and fractures in the
dome testify to the important role of these structures as preferential fluid pathways.
Fault zones have also been documented to be major fluid pathways in other jebels in
the Adam Foothills in northern Oman (Mozafari et al., 2015). The stress field that
controlled and generated the faults and fractures in the carbonate strata overlying the
salt diapir in Jebel Madar is thought to be controlled both by the local stress field
linked to salt diapirism itself, as well as to regional tectonics (Claringbould et al.,
2013; Quinta et al., 2012). The paragenesis of the cement types (Fig. 15) can thus reveal information on the evolution of structures during halokinesis and the interplay between regional tectonics and local stress caused by salt doming.

The orientation of thin calcite veins is variable, but the dominant orientation of barite-associated calcite structures (NE-SW) is similar to that of structures filled with fault zone calcite and macro-columnar calcite (NNE-SSW). Hence, the NE-SW to NNE-SSW structures were the main pathways for fluids from which the diagenetic products formed. In contrast, no significant occurrence of diagenetic products was observed along the E-W fault, suggesting lack of fluid migration along E-W structures. The orientation of the main structures and also the shape of Jebel Madar indicate that the NE-SW trend dominated the elongated dome shape and the normal faulting during halokinesis. This NE-SW trend is interpreted to relate to pre-existing normal faults of Precambrian age present in the basement, which probably also controlled the location of the diapir (Claringbould et al., 2013; Immenhauser et al., 2007).

Despite the challenges in the interpretation of the major structures in Jebel Madar, Claringbould et al. (2013) suggests that the development of the structures occurred in three phases: 1) Late Cretaceous dome initiation and the formation of NE-SW fractures followed by the development of grabens and cementation, 2) Early Paleocene E-W dextral strike-slip faulting with lack of cementation, and 3) Miocene reactivation and inversion of faults and a final period of diapirism and dome. However, petrographic and geochemical (notably radiogenic dating) data from macro-columnar calcite suggests that these cements were deposited during the Pleistocene to Holocene (Immenhauser et al., 2007). Based on these previous studies and the data presented in the current contribution, we propose that the structural evolution follows that proposed by Claringbould et al. (2013), but that major barite and calcite cementation only started in the Miocene and not in the Late Cretaceous. Claringbould et al. (2013) interpreted down-dip slickenlines on calcite
fault surfaces as an argument to suggest abundant burial calcite cementation along NE-SW fault zones in Late Cretaceous time, and then reactivation of those faults in the Miocene. In contrast, we hypothesise that the NE-SW faults and fractures developed during Late Cretaceous time, but that abundant cementation occurred during reactivation and final dome formation during Miocene to Pleistocene times, during which several pulses and episodes of fluid flow and NE-SW fault reactivation occurred (Fig. 16).

Seismic sections and interpretations by Claringbould et al. (2013) show that salt doming has caused an uplift of about 2 to 2.5 km (in the centre of the dome). Reported salt diapirism induced uplift rates are in the order of 2 to 8 mm per year in the center of a dome (Bruthans et al., 2006; Pe’eri et al., 2004). These uplift rates suggest that salt diapirism in Jebel Madar causing 2 km uplift could have happened in about 250 to 1000 kyr. Since there are no outcrops of post-Natih Formation age, it can be argued that at least 1.5 km of material has been eroded either during or immediately after salt doming. High end values of denudation rates of about 0.1 mm per year (Kirchner et al. 2001) support erosion of a 1.5 km thick package in a time period of about 15 Myr. These calculations indicate that it is plausible that the diagenetic products resulted from fluid flow pulses along the major faults during dome formation in the last 15 million years. This model is supported by the Miocene to Pleistocene age of the cements, formed by mixing of fluids, as discussed below.

The spatial distribution of the diagenetic products elucidates further the sequence of cement precipitation along structures in the Jebel, and thus helps to reconstruct fluid migration during halokinesis. Fault zone calcite is present along each major fault, except for the NE-SW fault near Hawk Valley in the southern part of the Jebel, which is cemented by barite and barite-associated calcite (Fig. 7). Since the latter are the oldest diagenetic products in the paragenesis (Fig. 15), we interpret that fluid migration focused first along this Hawk Valley NE-SW fault. Only minor amounts of barite have been documented along the main, Southwest Gully, NE-SW graben
structure, where more focused fluid migration took place subsequently during abundant formation of fault zone calcite. Macro-columnar calcite is present along NE-SW faults (postdating fault zone calcite) and is especially abundant in the southwestern zone (and previously documented eastern area) in Shuaiba Formation host rock. Moreover, macro-columnar calcite has been documented in the Shuaiba Formation away from the main faults in the eastern part of Jebel Madar (Immenhauser et al., 2007). Hence, besides the structural control, there is also a sedimentologic control at least on the spatial distribution of the macro-columnar calcite. The abundance in the Shuaiba Formation relates to its stratigraphic position underneath the Nahr Umr seal, as formation fluids were trapped and dissolution affected the host rocks, causing solution-enhanced fractures and caverns due to cooling of formation waters during halokinesis-induced uplift before cementation (Immenhauser et al., 2007).

In conclusion, cementation occurs first from fluids (generating barite and barite-associated calcite) focused along minor faults and conjunctions at sites several kilometers apart spread within the Jebel, subsequently from fluids along NE-SW faults with abundant fault-zone calcite precipitation along the major NE-SW fault, and finally from fluids focused mainly in the southwestern and eastern zone along NNE-SSW to NE-SW structures and in the Shuaiba Formation, generating macro-columnar calcite.

7.3. Origin and formation process of barite

Dissolution of evaporites is one of the main origins of subsurface brines in sedimentary basins, besides subaerial evaporation of seawater and membrane filtration (Hanor, 1994). The evaporites, such as anhydrite or other sulphate minerals, at the core of a salt dome, are a likely source of sulphate for barite formation in this setting, similar to examples in other studies where sulphates and sulphides formed in evaporite settings (Warren, 2000). Jebel Madar formed in response to diapirism of
the Late Neoproterozoic to Early Cambrian Ara Group evaporite, consisting mainly of halite, anhydrite which replaced primary gypsum (Mattes and Morris, 1990), and minor amounts of potash salts and Mg sulphates (Schroder et al., 2003). Fluid inclusion measurements indicate that barite and barite-associated calcite precipitated from highly saline fluids (21 and 17 wt% NaCl eq, respectively) probably at around 100ºC. Fluid inclusion measurements in barite need to be treated with caution, as mentioned above. Although the fact that the fluid inclusion assemblage is dominated by single-phase inclusions generally suggests a mineral formation temperature of less than 40 to 60ºC, it is not uncommon for small inclusions (< 3 µm) to be single-phase aqueous inclusions even though the homogenization temperature is as high as 100ºC (Goldstein and Reynolds, 1994). Clumped isotope data corroborate formation at burial conditions with a barite-associated calcite formation temperature of around 110ºC (John et al., 2014). Moreover, dissolution of anhydrite has been documented at about 110ºC based on reflectance data from pore-filling solid bitumen in caprock of the exposed salt domes in the Ghaba Salt Basin (Reuning et al., 2009).

Further supporting evidence for the elevated temperature formation of barite and barite-associated calcite is provided by the oxygen isotope geothermometer, assuming that the co-occurring calcite and barite formed under very similar conditions (similar fluid and temperature) and under equilibrium oxygen isotope fractionation. Taking the average oxygen isotope composition of barite (+22.8‰ VSMOW) and barite associated calcite (+26.3‰ VSMOW), we calculate a formation temperature of 90ºC and 115ºC, based on the oxygen isotope fractionation of barite – saline water (Kusakabe and Robinson, 1977) and of calcite – water from Friedman and O’Neil (1977) and Demeny et al. (2010), respectively:

$$1000 \ln \alpha_{\text{calcite-barite}} = 0.14 \frac{10^5}{T^2} + 2.41$$
The sulphate source for barite formation is confirmed by sulphur isotope data. The δ³⁴S in barite in Jebel Madar (+31 ± 3 ‰ VCDT) is typical for the sulphate composition of Late Neoproterozoic to Early Cambrian seawater (Claypool et al., 1980), suggesting that the source of sulphate was Ara Group evaporite. Seawater and associated sulphate deposits of younger age are characterized by a much lower δ³⁴S value (Claypool et al., 1980; Paytan et al., 2004; Rees et al., 1978). There is a good match between the measured δ³⁴S values in barite of Jebel Madar and that in Late Neoproterozoic to Early Cambrian anhydrite from Siberia and India (Banerjee et al., 1998; Claypool et al., 1980; Strauss et al., 2001). Moreover, the barite data in this study overlap both with the sulphur isotope values from anhydrite cements in the Haima Supergroup in the Fahud Salt Basin, i.e. +20.4 to +30.6‰ VCDT (Ramseyer et al., 2004) and those of the Ara Group anhydrite in the South Oman Salt Basin, i.e. +32.4 to +46.4‰ VCDT (Schroder et al., 2004) and plot predominantly between the averages of those datasets (Fig. 9). Schroder et al. (2004) invoked the process of bacterial sulphate reduction to explain the elevated δ³⁴S signature in anhydrite in the South Oman Salt Basin compared to the sulphate composition in Late Neoproterozoic to Early Cambrian deposits from other parts of the world, based on the presence of organic-rich source rocks underlying and interbedded with the Ara Group evaporites (Mattes and Morris, 1990; Schoenherr et al., 2009), and source rocks present in the Ghaba Salt Basin (Reuning et al., 2009). The sulphate origin of barite in Jebel Madar thus represents a mixing of two sources: dissolved sulphate from the Ara Group and from the Haima Supergroup.

The extensive occurrence of barite along faults in the salt dome, when considered in concert with the identified source for sulphate, suggests that sulphate-rich fluids preferentially migrated along halokinesis-related faults and fault conjunctions. The driving force for the ascent of the fluids is not entirely certain. The pressure exerted
by the rising salt upon the overlying stratigraphic sequence, which includes impermeable layers such as shale units in the Haima Supergroup, the Haushi Group and the Nahr Umr Formation, can have led to fluid overpressure, and the release of those overpressured fluids during fault activity. Another potential scenario considers upward release of fluids linked to the decrease in overburden pressure due to uplift during salt doming and erosion at the surface. Such scenario is derived from the decompression model of extension-driven dewatering due to the strong difference in compressibility between rocks and fluids leading to overpressuring of pore fluids during denudation (Staude et al., 2009). In both scenarios, the ascent of the fluids would have been accompanied by a drop in temperature and pressure, which might have been a trigger for the precipitation of barite (Fig. 16). A drop of 200ºC to 100ºC (given a pressure of 500 bar) would result in the precipitation of up to 84 mg of barite per kg of fluid (Blount, 1977) assuming a 3M NaCl fluid based on our fluid inclusion data. Nevertheless, barite precipitation in burial settings has generally been attributed to mixing of fluids, because sulphate and barium (chloride) tend to not be transported together in fluids. Therefore, the ultimate trigger for barite precipitation may have been mixing of the ascending sulphate-rich brine (migrating along the fault) with a barium-rich fluid (most likely from formation fluids derived from interaction with barium-rich shales). Such conceptual model considers relatively fast advective fluid flow of sulphate-rich brines through fractures in the fault zone, which is typically episodic and cyclic linked with fault activity (Sibson, 1992) and involves elevated fluid pressure (Bons et al., 2012). This fault zone fluid flow alternates with episodes of relatively slow pervasive advective flow of barium-rich fluids through permeable formations (driven by convection in the aftermath of warm fluid migration along the fault) and important diffusional mass transport when fluid pressure is low (Wagner et al., 2010). Fluid mixing during fault activity in this case is most likely achieved by hydrodynamic dispersion (Bons et al., 2012).
Calcite precipitation can be triggered by several factors and is a common diagenetic product. In salt dome settings, it has been reported to form at about 70 to 100°C from saline (5 to 20 wt% NaCl eq.) fluids (Morrison and Parry, 1986). It has also been postulated that CO$_2$ generated through degradation of organic matter (e.g., petroleum, methane) is an important source for calcite cement in carbonate cap rock (Bechtel et al., 1996). However, the latter mechanism is unlikely to explain the origin of calcite in Jebel Madar, since $\delta^{13}$C is higher than -8‰ VPDB (Supplementary File Table 1), and the involvement of degradation of organic matter would lead to lower $\delta^{13}$C values (Machel, 2001).

Similar to the stable isotope data of calcite in our study, Immenhauser et al. (2007) showed a decrease in $\delta^{18}$O and especially $\delta^{13}$C from fault zone calcite to macro-columnar calcite from Jebel Madar. Those authors interpreted that phreatic cave calcite in Jebel Madar formed by mixing of a saline hydrothermal fluid and a meteoric fresh water fluid (with incorporation of soil-derived light carbon). Also, Reuning et al. (2009) documented similar co-varying $\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{18}$O trends from carbonate stringers of the surface-piercing salt domes from the Ghaba Salt Basin, and mixing of fluids with an additional source for lower $\delta^{13}$C values linked to oxidized organic matter from liquid hydrocarbons consumed during sulphate reduction (Reuning et al., 2009). In addition to these previous studies in the area, we have documented the full assemblage of diagenetic components in the system found at the surface of the Jebel Madar dome and this is presented within a geospatial and structural framework.

The $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr data also display distinct signatures for different diagenetic phases. The $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr composition of fault zone calcite (0.7094) is close to that of Ara Group carbonate (0.7092) in Oman (Burns et al., 1994), whereas macro-columnar calcite has $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values of ≤ 0.7090, and the $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr values of barite-associated calcite
and thin calcite vein samples range from 0.7092 up to 0.7110, indicating $^{87}\text{Sr}$ enrichment. The latter enrichment is interpreted to originate from incorporation of $^{87}\text{Sr}$ through liberation of Rb by aluminosilicate reactions (clay mineral reactions or K-feldspar dissolution) in the Haima Supergroup (Ramseyer et al., 2004), and this is consistent with the interpretation that the barite-forming fluids interacted with the Haima sandstones and the Ara anhydrite, as also derived from the sulphur isotope data.

The stable isotope and fluid inclusion data from both this work and previous work support formation of the diagenetic assemblage by mixing of fluids. We propose hereby that the high temperature saline end member is around 100ºC, as derived from the barite formation temperature (and supported by clumped isotope barite-associated calcite formation temperature), and that the low temperature meteoric end member is around 35ºC. We can then link the stable oxygen isotope data and the strontium isotope data to these end members and model mixing of those end members at different ratios (Appendix 1). For this model, we derive the $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{fluid}}$ of +10.9‰ VSMOW based on $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{calcite}}$ of -2.5‰ VPDB from barite-associated calcite formed at 100ºC, and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{fluid}}$ of -10.4‰ VSMOW based on $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{calcite}}$ of -14.4‰ VPDB from macro-columnar calcite formed at 35ºC using the equation of Friedman and O'Neil (1977). This low temperature end member $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{fluid}}$ signature is consistent with meteoric water from Southern Oman (Fleitmann et al., 2003). The stable oxygen isotopic signature of the macro-columnar calcite and reconstructed $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{fluid}}$ are consistent with interpretations presented by Immenhauser et al. (2007) based on a fit between fluid inclusion $\deltaD$ values, the Southern Oman meteoric water line, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{calcite}}$, and derived temperature. The calculated high-temperature end member $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{fluid}}$ value is consistent with basinal fluids that have dissolved Ara Group anhydrite based on the fact that $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{sulphate}}$ in Neoproterozoic to Early Cambrian seawater is about
+14‰ VSMOW (Bottrell and Newton, 2006; Claypool et al., 1980; Longinelli and Craig, 1967).

The model of fluid mixing is presented using the end member data for temperature, $\delta^{18}O_{\text{fluid}}$, fluid inclusion salinity, and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ data (Fig. 17). Based on the mixing temperature and $\delta^{18}O_{\text{fluid}}$ values, the $\delta^{18}O_{\text{calcite}}$ values for different mixing ratios were reconstructed for this model (Fig. 17B). For temperature, $\delta^{18}O_{\text{fluid}}$, fluid inclusion salinity, and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ signatures, simple mixing using different fractions for the saline end member and the meteoric end member was applied (Appendix 1). For the $\delta^{13}C$ signature, the data show that no simple mixing of the two end member fluids is applicable. Here, the data indicate that carbon concentrations in the end member fluids were different, and a model taking account of 10 times higher concentration of carbon in the saline end member compared to the meteoric end member provides a good match for the observed data (Fig. 17B; Appendix 1). Our model assumes here that the signatures measured attribute to the signature of the fluids involved in the mixing model, and no local diffusion effects on the very small scale have been corrected for. Given the large scale of the diagenetic products, i.e. cm-sized crystals and meters to tens of meters wide fault zones, assuming predominantly fluid advective processes for mass transfer is justified for the interpretation of the calcite formation conditions and the relatively short time scale. However, diffusive processes played a role in the formation of thin calcite veins, and in the processes involved in the origin of the end member fluids (such as $^{87}\text{Sr}$ enrichment in sulphate-bearing fluids due to fluid-rock interaction).

In terms of the hydrodynamic feasibility of the mixing model, we would like to emphasize that our model does not involve simultaneously ascending and descending fluid migration along fault zones but is a dynamic model with two end member fluids. In Miocene time, episodes of ascending fluid migration occurred during fault activity and led to the formation of barite and subsequently barite-
associated calcite. These episodic events brought batches of saline fluid higher up
along the fault zone, similar to the model of mobile hydrofractures suggested by Bons
(2001). Meteoric fluids at the exposed surface caused weathering and erosion during
uplift related to salt doming. With time, the exposed sedimentary package overlying
the salt diapir became thinner, and hence meteoric fluids reached stratigraphically
older formations. Saline fluids ascended during fault activity, whereas migration of
meteoric fluids was probably more important during interseismic periods with low fluid
pressure. The removal of the impermeable Nahr Umr Formation in the centre of the
salt dome probably implied that no more ascending overpressured fluids were
released along the faults. Instead, topographically-driven meteoric fluid flow became
predominant along the exposed faults and in stratigraphic layers underlying the Nahr
Umr Formation. These meteoric fluids mixed with the saline fluids that had ascended
along the fault zones earlier.

The diversity of diagenetic products found in strata overlying salt domes is thus
highly impacted by the origin of the end member fluids which likely involved
interaction with anhydrite in the salt dome and overlying/surrounding carbonate and
siliciclastic strata, and be influenced by other factors, such as the presence of
organic rich strata or hydrocarbons. Mixing of fluids is a key mechanism triggering
precipitation of minerals in salt dome settings. The barite-associated calcite formed
by a predominant contribution of the saline end member fluid, whereas macro-
columnar calcite formed by a dominant contribution of the meteoric fluid end
member. Our model shows that the fault-zone calcite formed from around 50/50 to
90/10 ratio of meteoric/saline end member fluids (Fig. 17). The geochemical nature of
the diagenetic products and their paragenesis reflect the structural evolution of the
salt dome and related fluid flow with initially saline brines from interaction with the
salt, and then a progressively larger contribution from meteoric fluids, probably linked
with salt doming related uplift and infiltration of meteoric fluids along fault zones.
8. Conclusions

This study identified major barite and calcite diagenetic products in salt dome roof strata. Barite, barite-associated calcite, fault zone calcite and macro-columnar calcite occur all predominantly along NE-SW to NNE-SSW structures, which are interpreted to be templated by regional Precambrian basement faults, and no major diagenetic cementation is found along E-W faults. The spatial distribution of diagenetic products is not homogeneous among faults, and barite is concentrated in fault conjunctions and in the Hawk Valley fault zone parallel to, but away from, the main NE-SW graben structure of the Southwest Gully. The barite rosettes of cross-cutting, tabular, large crystals formed at about 100ºC in cavities linked to extensional fracturing during halokinesis. Barite sulphur isotope data indicate a mixed source with dissolved sulphate from the Ara Group and from the Haima Supergroup, the latter also contributing enriched $^{87}$Sr to the diagenetic fluids. Salt doming resulted in fracturing of roof strata, creating pathways for fluid migration. Barite precipitation was probably triggered by mixing of an ascending sulphate-rich fluid and a barium-rich fluid. The transition of barite to barite-associated calcite precipitation could be controlled by a limitation in the barium supply. Mixing of the saline fluids with colder meteoric fluids has caused precipitation of fault zone calcite and macro-columnar calcite. The paragenetic sequence of the diagenetic products indicating an increase in the meteoric fluid component reflects the ongoing salt doming with uplift and extensional fault zone activity, and thus more extensive charging of meteoric fluids compared to the higher temperature saline fluids. Formation of the diagenetic products probably occurred over Miocene to Holocene time. The geochemical signatures measured in the diagenetic products fit a mixing model of a warm saline fluid and a colder meteoric fluid. Similar tectonic, hydrologic and fluid mixing evolution as derived for Jebel Madar can be expected in salt dome roof strata in other regions worldwide. Moreover, the methods (including structural diagenetic mapping) and modelling
techniques presented here can be applied in a range of other case studies that involve fluid migration along structures.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by Qatar Petroleum, Shell and the Qatar Science and Technology Park (QSTP) and by the Stephen E. Laubach Research in Structural Diagenesis Award, granted by the Sedimentary Geology and the Structural Geology and Tectonics Divisions of the Geological Society of America. Field logistics were handled by Shuram. We acknowledge some field and lab work done by Janine Lahr at an early stage of this project. We would like to thank Emma Williams and Stanislav Strekopytov (ICP-AES) and Simon Davis (stable isotopes) for their help with various analytical techniques. Sulphur isotopes in barite were measured by IsoAnalytical. We thank Rosa Marimon from CCiT of the University of Barcelona for providing the oxygen isotope analyses in barite. We also thank Conxita Taberner for scientific discussions. We are very grateful to the associate editor Enrique Gomez-Rivas and the reviewers Mark Fischer and an anonymous reviewer for their valuable comments and suggestions which helped to improve the content and presentation of this manuscript.

References


Ericsson, J.B., McKeen, H.C., Hooper, R.J., 1998. Facies and curvature controlled 3D fracture models in a Cretaceous carbonate reservoir, Arabian Gulf, in: Jones, G.,


Sulfate Minerals - Crystallography, Geochemistry and Environmental Significance 40, 193-275.


**Figure captions**

Figure 1. (A) Geological map of northern Oman, simplified after Béchennec et al. (1993). (B) Geological map of Jebel Madar, modified after Claringbould et al. (2013).

Figure 2. Overview of stratigraphic units outcropping in Jebel Madar.

Figure 3. Field photographs of barite occurrences. Lens cap (diameter of 58 mm) as scale. (A) Lekhwair Formation limestone host rock is cross-cut by vein that contains barite (Bar) at the sides and lighter coloured calcite (Cc) in the center. (B) Barite tabular crystals (white arrow indicates one) are dispersed within host rock, forming fan-shape or radiating patterns (black arrow). (C) Barite, forming layers with some radiating patterns. Note the high porosity. (D) Rosettes of cross-cutting tabular barite crystals (black arrow).

Figure 4. Microphotographs taken under polarized light (A, C, E) and CL (B, D, F), with A & B, C & D and E & F being pairs of images that show the exact same region. Scale bar is 500 µm. (A & B) Non-luminescent barite laths and dark orange, dull luminescent calcite with some lighter orange luminescent calcite zones. (C & D) Coarse fault zone calcite with darker and lighter orange, dull luminescent zonations. (E & F) Macro-columnar calcite, which is mainly non-luminescent, with a few thin, orange, dull luminescent zonations.
Figure 5. Field photographs of calcite cements. Lens cap (5.8 cm) as scale. (A) Relatively thin calcite veins within host rock. (B) Calcite cement (C) in between tabular barite (B). (C) Striated fault plane with coarse calcite cementation. Person (1.7 m) as scale. (D) Macro-columnar calcite with transparent and reddish coloured zones. Marker (14 cm) as scale. (E) Macro-columnar calcite in radiating pattern. Note that this calcite cement also occurs along a fault. (F) Calcite rosettes present in the same fault zone as the macro-columnar calcite shown in panel C.

Figure 6. Field photographs of cross-cutting of calcite cement phases. Lens cap (5.8 cm) as scale. (A) Calcite (C) associated with barite (B) cross-cut by reddish brown silt layer. (B) Cross-cutting calcite phases and reddish brown silt with speleothems of macro-columnar calcite. (C-E) Fault zones with several white calcite, transparent, and reddish, macro-columnar calcite vein generations.

Figure 7. Satellite image of Jebel Madar with indication of the occurrence of different phases. Most squares on the map represent a set of samples at that locality. Empty quarters of the square indicate that the specific corresponding phases were not sampled and thus, generally absent. This mapping mainly aimed at reconstructing the distribution of barite and major calcite occurrences; hence, the distribution of small calcite veins is underrepresented on this figure.

Figure 8. Rose diagrams of structures that contain thin calcite veins, barite-associated calcite, fault zone calcite and macro-columnar calcite. Bin size is 15° and the circles indicate the number of data points (e.g. barite-associated calcite has seven data points which fall in the N30-45 bin).

Figure 9. Crossplot of sulphur isotope versus oxygen isotope signature for barite. Each data point represents a measurement from a powder sample drilled from an
individual hand sample (33 data points from 33 different hand samples, Supplementary File Table 1). Apart from two outliers, the data form a cluster. The rough linear correlation between the two proxies is not significant ($R^2 = 0.44$; two outliers excluded). The $\delta^{18}O$ is high compared to marine barite and also $\delta^{34}S$ is relatively high, but similar to that of Late Neoproterozoic to Early Cambrian anhydrite.

Figure 10. Crossplot of stable carbon and oxygen isotopic composition of limestone and defined calcite types. Each data point represents a different hand sample, but in several occasions multiple hand samples were taken from zones in the same large calcite fault zone, fracture or cavern. The distribution shows a clear trend towards lower values for both $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{18}O$ from barite-associated calcite and thin calcite veins to fault zone calcite to macro-columnar calcite.

Figure 11. Crossplot of stable oxygen and strontium isotopic composition of limestone and defined calcite types. The distribution shows a decrease in average strontium isotopic ratio from barite-associated calcite and thin calcite veins to fault zone calcite and to macro-columnar calcite. The strontium isotopic composition of the Ara Group carbonate in Oman is based on Burns et al. (1994).

Figure 12. Major and minor element contents in defined calcite types. (A) Crossplot of Mg versus Sr content, showing a weak positive correlation. (B) Box and whisker plot of Mn content, showing a decreasing average value from barite-associated calcite to thin calcite veins to fault zone calcite to macro-columnar calcite, similar to the trend observed in the stable isotope signature. Note though that the data range for the different calcite types overlap.
Figure 13. Photomicrographs of fluid inclusions. (A) Primary single-phase fluid inclusions in cluster in barite, and also secondary fluid inclusion trails are present. (B) Primary single-phase and two-phase fluid inclusions in cluster barite, and also thin trails of very small secondary fluid inclusions. (C) Single-phase fluid inclusions interpreted as primary in origin, in barite-associated calcite. (D) Primary isolated single-phase fluid inclusions in macro-columnar calcite.

Figure 14. (A) Plot of homogenization temperature versus salinity from fluid inclusion measurements in barite. (B) Crossplot of stable oxygen isotope composition of calcite versus salinity of fluid inclusions (both primary and secondary) measured in the respective calcite sample.

Figure 15. Paragenetic sequence of diagenetic events.

Figure 16. Interpreted structural and paleohydrological evolution (in four time steps) presented for a transect through the southwestern part of Jebel Madar. The exact location and extent of the Ara salt diapir is uncertain at this location since seismic sections are only available from the area surrounding Jebel Madar (Claringbould et al., 2013). An early Paleocene time step is not presented here given the maximum stress direction was NW-SE at that time which is almost parallel to the orientation of the presented transect. The NNE-SSW to NE-SW faults displayed on the transect were not active in early Paleocene time and no fluid flow is expected along those faults at that time. However, the E-W faults developed as dextral strike-slip faults in early Paleocene.

Figure 17. Mixing model indicating geochemical signatures. (A) Mixing model of saline (17 wt% NaCl eq) end member fluid at 100°C, $\delta^{18}O_{\text{fluid}}$ of $+10.9\%_o$ VSMOW and
$^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr of 0.71023 and meteoric (0 wt% NaCl eq) end member fluid at 35°C, $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{fluid}}$ of -10.4‰ VSMOW and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr of 0.70884. Note the slightly irregular temperature scale (compared to the meteoric fluid fraction scale) due to the different heat capacity of saline versus meteoric fluid. (B) Mixing model of the same two fluid end members as presented in A showing reconstructed $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{calcite}}$ and $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{calcite}}$ (considering 10 times higher carbon content in the saline end member compared to the meteoric end member).

Appendix 1.

The mixing calculations are based on a linear mixing model of two end member components for the determination of salinity, $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr and $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{fluid}}$, through the following equation:

$$V_{\text{mix}} = F_1 V_1 + (1 - F_1) V_2$$

where $V_{\text{mix}}$ is the calculated signature of the mixture, $F_1$ is the meteoric fluid fraction, $V_1$ is the signature of the meteoric fluid end member and $V_2$ is the signature of the saline end member fluid.

For the determination of the temperature of the mixed fluid, we need to take account of the different heat capacities of the meteoric end member fluid and the saline end member fluid, through the following equation:

$$T_{\text{mix}} = \frac{F_1}{F_1 + \frac{C_{v2}}{C_{v1}} (1 - F_1)} T_1 + \frac{\frac{C_{v2}}{C_{v1}} (1 - F_1)}{F_1 + \frac{C_{v2}}{C_{v1}} (1 - F_1)} T_2$$

where $T_{\text{mix}}$ is the calculated temperature of the mixture, $F_1$ is the meteoric fluid fraction, $T_1$ is the temperature of the meteoric fluid end member, $T_2$ is the temperature of the saline end member fluid, $C_{v1}$ is the heat capacity of the meteoric end member fluid and $C_{v2}$ is the heat capacity of the saline end member fluid.
Similarly, the stable carbon isotopic composition of the mixture can be calculated by taking account of the different carbon content in the end member fluid:

\[
\delta^{13}C_{\text{mix}} = \frac{F_1 \delta^{13}C_1 + \frac{c_2}{c_1} (1-F_1) \delta^{13}C_2}{F_1 + \frac{c_2}{c_1} (1-F_1)}
\]

where \( \delta^{13}C_{\text{mix}} \) is the calculated stable carbon isotope composition of the mixture, \( F_1 \) is the meteoric fluid fraction, \( \delta^{13}C_1 \) is the stable carbon isotope composition of the meteoric fluid end member, \( \delta^{13}C_2 \) is the stable carbon isotope composition of the saline end member fluid, \( c_1 \) is the carbon content of the meteoric end member fluid and \( c_2 \) is the carbon content of the saline end member fluid.

**Supplementary File Table 1**

Table 1. Carbon, oxygen and strontium isotope data of host rock, thin calcite veins, barite-associated calcite, fault zone calcite and macro-columnar calcite. Details of latitude and longitude of sampling are also presented.

**Supplementary File Table 2**

Table 2. Geochemical elemental data of host rock, thin calcite veins, barite-associated calcite, fault zone calcite, intermediate calcite and macro-columnar calcite. Details of latitude and longitude of sampling are presented as well.

**Supplementary File Table 3**

Table 3. Fluid inclusion data of barite-associated calcite, fault zone calcite, and macro-columnar calcite. Tfm: temperature of observed first melting, Tm ice: temperature of final melting of ice, Th: homogenization temperature.

**Supplementary File Figure 18.**
Figure 18. Histograms of stable carbon and oxygen isotope data measured in the different calcite types, and the fluid inclusion final melting temperature of ice data in the different diagenetic types.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>Period/Epoch</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Thickness (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>89.94</td>
<td>Cretaceous</td>
<td>Turonian</td>
<td>Wasia</td>
<td>Natih</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cenomanian</td>
<td>Albian</td>
<td>Nahr Umr</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aptian</td>
<td>Kahmah</td>
<td>Shuaiba</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td></td>
<td>Barremian</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kharai</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hauterivian</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lekhwair</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
<td>Valanginian</td>
<td></td>
<td>Habshan Salii</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td>Berriasian</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rayda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>Jurassic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sahtan</td>
<td>Dhruma Marfaq</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251</td>
<td>Triassic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Akhdar</td>
<td>Mahil Saq</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:
- Shallow water limestone
- Deep water limestone
- Dolomite
- Shale
- Marl
- Sandstone
- Unconformity
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagenetic event</th>
<th>Carboniferous</th>
<th>Late Cretaceous</th>
<th>Early Paleocene</th>
<th>Miocene</th>
<th>Holocene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salt movement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE-SW fractures and faults</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stylolitization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-W strike-slip faults</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactivation of faults</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final dome formation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thin calcite veins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barite-associated calcite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fault zone calcite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macro-columnar calcite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Highlights

Diagenesis in salt dome roof strata: barite - calcite assemblage in Jebel Madar, Oman

- The spatial distribution of diagenetic phases in a salt dome in Oman is presented.
- Clear link between structural evolution and fluid flow in salt dome roof strata.
- Barite sulfate source comes from Ara Group evaporite and Haima Supergroup layers.
- Diagenetic products occur mainly along NE-SW to ENE-WSW faults and fractures.
- Warm saline fluids mix progressively with meteoric fluids during Miocene to Pleistocene.