Quaternary vertebrate faunas from Sumba, Indonesia: implications for Wallacean biogeography and evolution
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Historical patterns of diversity, biogeography and faunal turnover remain poorly understood for Wallacea, the biologically and geologically complex island region between the Asian and Australian continental shelves. A distinctive Quaternary vertebrate fauna containing the small-bodied hominin *Homo floresiensis*, pygmy *Stegodon* proboscideans, varanids and giant murids has been described from Flores, but Quaternary faunas are poorly known from most other Lesser Sunda Islands. We report the discovery of extensive new fossil vertebrate collections from Pleistocene and Holocene deposits on Sumba, a large Wallacean island situated less than 50 km south of Flores. A fossil assemblage recovered from a Pleistocene deposit at Lewapaku in the interior highlands of Sumba, which may be close to 1 Ma old, contains a series of skeletal elements of a very small *Stegodon* referable to *S. sumbaensis*, a tooth attributable to *Varanus komodoensis*, and fragmentary remains of unidentified giant murids. Holocene cave deposits at Mahaniwa dated to ~2000-3500 BP yielded extensive material of two new genera of endemic large-bodied murids, as well as fossils of an extinct frugivorous varanid. This new baseline for reconstructing Wallacean faunal histories reveals that Sumba’s Quaternary vertebrate fauna, although phylogenetically distinctive, was comparable in diversity and composition to the Quaternary fauna of Flores, suggesting that similar assemblages may have characterized Quaternary terrestrial ecosystems on many or all of the larger Lesser Sunda Islands.
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1. Introduction

Islands represent important “natural laboratories” for investigating evolutionary patterns, processes and dynamics [1,2], and unusual patterns of morphological evolution are observed in island environments, including gigantism in small-bodied lineages and dwarfism in large-bodied lineages [3-5]. However, insular taxa are particularly vulnerable to human pressures, and many island systems have lost a substantial proportion of their Quaternary vertebrate faunas following prehistoric human arrival [6,7]. Reconstructing historical diversity is therefore essential to overcome this “extinction filter”, and understand the evolutionary origin, morphological adaptation and biogeographic affinity of island faunas.

Such research is particularly important in southeast Asia, a geologically complex region with spatially complex biodiversity and endemism, which has provided insights into biogeographic processes for over a century [1]. In particular, faunal relationships across Wallacea, the islands that were never connected with the Asian or Australian continental shelves during periods of low sea-level, challenge easy interpretation [8-10]. Some vertebrate groups (e.g. proboscideans) are known to have become completely extinct in Wallacea during the Late Quaternary, possibly due to prehistoric interactions with human colonists [4,5] or alternately due to climatic factors or postulated long-term genetic decline [11]. Other vertebrate groups (e.g. murid rodents) still exhibit high levels of species richness and morphological disparity across the region, but the extent to which their Quaternary diversity and biogeography have been modified by past human activity remains unclear [12-14].
Interest in Quaternary vertebrate evolution in Wallacea has been raised by the discovery of a small-bodied endemic hominin, *Homo floresiensis*, from the Pleistocene of Flores in the eastern Lesser Sunda Islands [15], with hominin bones and stone artefacts dating from \( \sim 1.02 \) Ma until \( \sim 100-50 \) ka [16,17]. *H. floresiensis* coexisted with a distinctive, impoverished Quaternary insular vertebrate fauna. Late Pleistocene deposits on Flores contain the pygmy proboscidean *Stegodon florensis insularis*, the Komodo dragon *Varanus komodoensis* and a smaller extinct varanid with distinctive bunodont teeth, *V. hooijeri*, giant murid rodents (*Papagomys armandvillei*, *P. theodorverhoeveni*, *Spelaeomys florensis*, and an undescribed giant shrew rat), smaller murids (*Komodomys rintjanus, Paulamys naso, Rattus hainaldi*) and a giant stork [12,18-24]. Older Pleistocene deposits on Flores also contain a giant tortoise, another giant murid (*Hooijeromys nusatenggara*) and other *Stegodon* species [12,20,22]. All three smaller murids, one giant rat (*P. armandvillei*) and Komodo dragons still occur on Flores and/or nearby small islands [12,24-27]; *P. theodorverhoeveni, Sp. florensis* and *V. hooijeri* survived into the Holocene but are now extinct [20,22,23,28].

Flores has never been joined to other large islands in the Lesser Sundas, but its extant fauna shows biogeographic affinities with nearby islands [8,9], suggesting that ecologically similar Quaternary vertebrate faunas may also have had a wider former distribution across Wallacea. Distinctive extinct Quaternary vertebrates (mainly endemic *Stegodon* species, rodents, and/or other small-bodied vertebrate taxa) have also been described from Sulawesi, Timor, Halmahera, and some smaller Wallacean islands [4,10,13,29-34]. In particular, two *Stegodon* species, fossil varanids, and a series of extinct giant murids have
been reported from the Quaternary of Timor, although most of these rodent taxa have not yet been formally described [11,13,29,30,34]. However, the Quaternary record for much of Wallacea is still very poorly known, limiting our understanding of the evolutionary history and faunal relationships of the region's insular biotas [4,10]. Research into the Quaternary record of other Wallacean islands would therefore help to characterize patterns of past diversity and turnover in insular vertebrate faunas in relation to different island environments, as well as assisting reconstruction of regional colonisation histories, evolutionary processes and island ecologies [3,22,35].

Sumba (figure 1) is a large island in the Lesser Sundas, with an area of ~11,000 km², >70% that of Timor and >80% that of Flores [8]. It is a continental fragment or “microcontinent” in the non-volcanic Sunda-Banda forearc system, and has never been connected to other Lesser Sunda Islands. It has occupied approximately its present position since the Miocene, and has been subaerial from the Late Pliocene [36-39]. Sumba contains several extant endemic vertebrates, including eight endemic birds [40,41], and shares other restricted-range endemics with Flores [8,40]. Mammal research conducted on Sumba has been limited [42,43], and its Quaternary history is poorly known. The only Quaternary vertebrate fossil reported from Sumba is a *Stegodon* mandible found in 1979 in a coastal terrace near Watumbaka and described as an endemic species, *S. sumbaensis* [44].

We conducted new palaeontological research on Sumba in October 2011 [45] and June-July 2014, and recovered extensive new vertebrate collections from Pleistocene and Holocene deposits. We describe these finds here in detail, and use them to refine our understanding of Quaternary evolution and
biogeography in Wallacea and to provide a new baseline for reconstructing the region's faunal history.

2. Materials and methods

(a) Pleistocene fauna

The Watambuka type locality of Stegodon sumbaensis (figure 1), where the holotype mandible was found embedded in a hard calcified sandstone and gravel deposit cropping out at the surface of a dry river bed [44], was visited in 2011. This shallow dry river valley lies at the foot slope of a 3 m high cliff face exposing a 2-3 m thick transgressive sequence of beach rock sand with reworked coral rubble capped by coral reef limestone. No additional vertebrate fossil remains were recovered.

Vertebrate fossils were collected at Lewapaku village in 2011, from a 2.5 m deep, 2×2 m excavation in the backyard of a local resident (9.697 S, 119.873 E) (figure 1; electronic supplementary material, figure S1). The site is located in an 8×12 km wide basin at 500-520 m asl and surrounded by karst hills. The basin floor is made of horizontally bedded, well-consolidated marine sandy marl of the Late Miocene-Pliocene Waikabubak Formation [46], overlaid by a 20 cm thick sandy layer containing terrestrial vertebrate remains and then by 2.20 m of homogenous lacustrine/paludrine clay (electronic supplementary material, figure S1). The fossil layer consists of poorly-sorted coarse sandy material (carbonate fragments with local origin; pebbles up to 8 cm diameter) resting unconformably on the marine marl. Larger fossils were collected directly from
the unconsolidated sediment, and the sediments of the fossil-bearing layer were wet-sieved over 2 mm mesh. A series of skeletal elements of a small *Stegodon* (apparently from multiple individuals based on ontogenetic variation between elements), a large ziphodont varanid tooth, and a rodent lower incisor, two proximal femur fragments and tibial diaphysis fragment were collected.

**(b) Holocene fauna**

Vertebrate fossils were found in 2014 in two small caves in karst hills near Mahaniwa village at ~830 m asl (figure 1; electronic supplementary material, figure S1). Most fossils were found in Liang Lawuala cave (10.032 S, 120.168 E) in a rich surficial bone deposit in a horizontal side-passage branching back from the main downward-sloping passage ~10 m below the entrance. The side-passage terminates below the entrance chamber and contains a small talus cone including further fossil material that has likely fallen through crevices from the entrance. Further fossils were found in a 50 cm test-pit in the horizontal entrance chamber of nearby Liang Minabuti cave (10.029 S, 120.171 E). Vertebrate material was collected by dry sieving. Exploration of 19 other caves across Sumba (electronic supplementary material, table S1) only found unfossilized bones of native bats and invasive murids that reached the eastern Lesser Sundas in the late Holocene [20,24].

Nearly all the Mahaniwa fossils represent an extensive sample of rodent bones. Abundant rodent mandibles and postcranial elements and a few cranial fragments (>3000 elements) were found in Liang Lawuala along with bat, cave swiftlet and reptile bones. We interpret this assemblage as likely deposited by both natural accumulation ("bone rain") from the entrance chamber and
concentration of small vertebrates by a taphonomic agent. The large quantity of rodent material and observed skeletal representation in a concentrated surficial bone layer is consistent with prey accumulation by an owl or other raptor, probably feeding in the entrance chamber [47]. Fossil material occurred at much lower density in Liang Minabuti, where accumulation is interpreted as non-predator mediated.

3. Results

Measurements were taken using dial callipers accurate to 0.01 mm. Rodent tooth measurements follow ref. 23, and rodent molar crown nomenclature follows ref. 13. All previously undescribed fossil material reported here is accessioned in the Vertebrate Palaeontology Collection, Geology Museum Bandung.

(a) *Stegodon sumbaensis* SARTONO 1979

The holotype of *S. sumbaensis* consists of a partial left mandibular body with two molars [44]. Reexamination of the specimen by GDvdB shows that it is an adult specimen with a total height (excluding broken condyle) of ~250 mm, a maximum width of the horizontal ramus of ~60 mm (measurement M18 of ref. 48; estimated since medial side of ramus has superficial damage of cortical bone at the point of maximum thickness), and with the two last molars (m2-m3) present and showing dental wear stage M2-M3-A of ref. 49 (modified by ref. 48) (electronic supplementary material, text S1). Despite some damage to the specimen, it is clearly significantly narrower than adult mandibles of *Stegodon sondaari* from Tangi Talo on Flores with similar wear stages (e.g. MGB-TT12-TF-F80, wear stage M2-M3-A, maximum width = 74.5 mm; MGB-TT13-TG-F1,
slightly younger but larger individual with wear stage M2-A (i.e. no m3 ridges showing wear), maximum width = 81 mm; ref. 5). Dental proportions shown by *Stegodon sondaari* are also greater than shown by the holotype of *S. sumbaensis* [5]. As *S. sondaari* was to date the smallest known well-described *Stegodon*, these measurements show that the *S. sumbaensis* type mandible clearly represents an extremely small pygmy *Stegodon* species.

The fossils from Lewapaku that can be attributed to a small-sized *Stegodon* are a juvenile mandible (MGB-19650), a worn molar fragment (MGB-19651), an isolated molar lamella (MGB-19652), a portion of a tusk (MGB-19653), a proximal metacarpal III fragment (MGB-19654), a distal metapodial fragment (MGB-19655), a proximal humerus fragment (MGB-19656), and various small skull fragments, three vertebra fragments and several costal fragments (figure 2; electronic supplementary material, text S1, figure S2).

The Lewapaku juvenile mandible, representing dental wear stage dP3-dP4-A’, is of very small size even given its ontogenetic stage (height of horizontal ramus measured at level of anterior border of dental alveolus = 43.8 mm; height of horizontal ramus measured at level of anterior onset of ascending ramus = 34.3 mm; total width of mandible at level of onset of ascending ramii = 108.3 mm (measurement M15 in ref. 48); maximum transverse diameter of dextral horizontal ramus = 40.4 mm (measurement M18); minimum transverse diameter of dextral horizontal ramus = 15.2 mm (measurement M20)). As with size comparisons for the small adult mandible from Watumbaka, the size of the milk molars of the juvenile mandible (right dp3 length = 29.7 mm; width = 19.9 mm; left dp4 length = 51.5 mm; width = 25.8 mm) fall just within or slightly below the size range of homologue elements of *S. sondaari* (dp3 length = 28-37.6
ref. 48 and unpublished data). The juvenile Lewapaku mandible corresponds in expected size based on extrapolation from growth curves to the *S. sumbaensis* holotype mandible (electronic supplementary material, figure S3), and we interpret it as probably conspecific or at least a member of the same lineage. The Lewapaku mandible is also smaller than other juvenile Wallacean *Stegodon* mandibles of similar wear stage [e.g. 20].

The Lewapaku tusk fragment is broken at both sides and measures 180 mm long. A cone-shaped cavity of the pulpa is not present at either end, indicating that the fragment represents an intermediate tusk portion. It is slightly compressed in cross-section, with a maximum diameter of 69.5 mm and minimum diameter of 60.1 mm; compression is probably partially due to postmortem pressure, as various longitudinal cracks are present and the broken ends exhibit a crumbly, broken mass of dentine, so that the original diameter is therefore probably close to 65 mm. The tusk fragment is comparable in size to the largest tusk fragment known of *S. sondaari* (63 mm) [50]. Four tusk fragments from the slightly larger *Stegodon timorensis* have a diameter of 81-85 mm (GDvdB, unpublished data).

(b) Pleistocene Muridae

The Pleistocene rodent material from Lewapaku (figure 2) is very fragmentary. The lower incisor (MGB-19667) is 31 mm long from tip to broken base and 2.57 mm wide. Similar-sized lower incisors are seen in *Papagomys* on Flores [23]. One proximal femur fragment (MGB-19668) is very large, with a well-developed deltoid crest characteristic of murids. The other proximal femur fragment (MGB-
19669) is smaller and more incomplete. The tibial diaphysis fragment (MGB-19670), showing the divergence of fused tibia and fibula seen in most non-sciuromorph rodents [51], is also referable to a giant murid.

(c) *Varanus komodoensis* OUWENS 1912

The ziphodont varanid tooth from Lewapaku (MGB-19665; figure 2) can be assigned to *Varanus komodoensis*. Its crown is strongly compressed transversely and recurved backwards, with a sharp, delicately serrate posterior cutting edge, a height of 13.2 mm, and anteroposterior diameter at crown base of 6.7 mm. Tooth size is within the range of modern *V. komodoensis* (anteroposterior diameter, 2.1-7.5 mm; crown height, 5.1-16.1 mm; n=87 teeth from six individuals) [52].

(d) Holocene Muridae

Two large-bodied murids are present in the Mahaniwa sample. These are markedly larger than introduced *Rattus argentiventer* and *R. rattus* from Sumba [25,43], and have distinctive morphologies that differ from other Quaternary–Recent murids previously described from southeast Asia and Australasia (electronic supplementary material, text S2). In comparison to other eastern Lesser Sunda murids, neither taxon exhibits the highly cuspidate complex molars or deep robust dentary of *Spelaeomys* (Flores) or *Coryphomys* (Timor), and both have a similar higher number of molar roots (M1:5, M2:4, M3:3, m1:4, m2:3, m3:3) than these genera [12,13]. Instead, they show closer molar and dentary morphology to Flores murids (*Hooijeromys, Komodomys, Papagomys, Paulamys*), which are probably more closely related to each other than to other taxa [12].
One of the new murids differs from these genera in having a posterior cingulum on M3 (absent in Hooijeromys, Komodomys, Papagomys and Paulamys); cusp t3 present on M2 and M3 (typically absent in Papagomys and Paulamys) and reduced on M1 (relatively large in Hooijeromys and Komodomys, absent in Paulamys); chevronate upper molar laminae (transverse in Hooijeromys and Paulamys); M2 longer than wide (unlike Hooijeromys); posterior margin of incisive foramina opposite anterior M1 (more anterior in Hooijeromys, Papagomys and Paulamys); anterolabial cusp not disrupting transverse anterior margin of m2 (versus Komodomys and Papagomys); and posterior cingulid absent on m3 (versus Komodomys) [12,23-26]. Its mandible has reduced coronoid and angular processes that do not extend dorsally or posteriorly beyond the level of the articular condyle; this mandible morphology is only similar to Komodomys among the endemic Flores murid genera, but is otherwise similar to some southeast Asian Rattini (e.g. Maxomys) [53]. It is smaller than Coryphomys, Hooijeromys, Papagomys and Spelaeomys and larger than Komodomys and Paulamys; its body mass is estimated as 251.2–336.3 g (electronic supplementary material, table S2). We describe it as the new genus and species Milimonngamys juliae (figure 3; electronic supplementary material, text S2, figure S4).

The other murid is much larger than Milimonngamys; it is smaller than Coryphomys and Spelaeomys, larger than Hooijeromys and close in size to Papagomys theodorverhoeveni, with a body mass estimate of 468.2–680.5 g (electronic supplementary material, table S2). This giant murid has unusual, very high-crowned and strongly fluted molars with simplified crown morphology and thin flattened upper molar laminae that distinguish it from other eastern Lesser...
Sunda murids. It also differs in having transverse upper laminae (chevronate in *Komodomys, Milimonggamys* and *Papagomys*); lacking a posterior cingulum on upper molars (versus *Milimonggamys*); having M1 with central cusps t2, t5 and t8 similar in proportion to lingual cusps t1 and t4, but labial cusps t3, t6 and t9 extremely reduced in comparison to *Komodomys and Papagomys*; cusp t3 reduced on M1 and absent on M2 and M3 (present in *Hooijeromys, Komodomys* and *Milimonggamys*); cusp t7 present on M3 (absent in *Hooijeromys, Komodomys, Milimonggamys, Papagomys* and *Paulamys*); posterior margin of incisive foramina markedly anterior to M1 (more posterior in *Komodomys and Milimonggamys*); postpalatal margin opposite middle of m3 (more posterior in *Hooijeromys, Komodomys and Paulamys*); m2 approximately as wide as long (versus *Milimonggamys* and *Paulamys*); anterolabial cusp disrupts transverse anterior margin of m2 (versus *Hooijeromys, Milimonggamys* and *Paulamys*); lacks well-expressed anterolabial cusp on m3 (versus *Hooijeromys, Komodomys, Milimonggamys, Papagomys* and *Paulamys*); and almost always lacks anterolabial cusplet, posterolabial cusplet and posterior cingulid on lower molars (versus *Komodomys, Milimonggamys, Papagomys* and *Paulamys*) [12,23-26]. It may be morphologically similar to the undescribed “large murid genus B” from the Quaternary of Timor [34]; however, proper comparison must wait formal description of the Timor rodent, and this taxon differs from *Raksasamys* in having molar laminar tubes that enter the bony structure of the jaw, whereas in *Raksasamys* the molar crown is still visible near the base of the lower molars in lateral profile. The mandible of *Raksasamys* differs from that of *Milimonggamys* in having a very high ascending ramus defined by a large coronoid process, and a wider and longer angular process; this morphology is much more similar to that
seen in endemic Flores murids as well as “large murid genus B” from Timor and other large-bodied southeast Asian murids (e.g. *Lenomys, Mallomys*) [12,34]. We describe this distinctive giant murid as the new genus and species *Raksasamys tikusbesar* (figure 3; electronic supplementary material, text S2, figure S5).

Phylogenetic analysis was conducted to investigate the affinities of *Milimonggamys* and *Raksasamy* within the biogeographically complex wider murid radiations of southeast Asia and Australasia, using a comparative taxonomic sample of six other endemic murids from the Lesser Sunda Islands (*Hooijeromys, Komodomys, Papagomys, Paulamys, Rattus hainaldi, Rattus timorensis*) and 17 other genera of Rattini, Hydromyini and Phloeomyini from the Sunda Shelf, the Philippines, Wallacea and Sahul (*Anisomys, Bunomys, Halmaheramys, Hydromys, Hyomys, Lenothrix, Leptomys, Macruromys, Mallomys, Maxomys, Parahydromys, Paruromys, Phloeomys, Pogonomys, Sundamys, Taeromys, Uromys*), and employing a matrix of 5966 characters (25 cranial, mandibular, and dental morphological characters, and molecular data for four gene matrices taken from ref. 14) (electronic supplementary material, text S3, table S3). The phylogenetic placement of both *Milimonggamys* and *Raksasamys* in the majority consensus trees generated in these analyses was poorly resolved; only morphological data are available for these taxa, and poor resolution is expected in investigations of murid relationships using morphological data due to homoplasy [13]. However, the majority consensus molecular+morphology tree placed *Milimonggamys, Raksasamys*, and all six other Lesser Sunda murids within the Rattini, and the separate parsimony and Bayesian analyses of the morphology-only dataset both returned a sister-group relationship for *Raksasamys + Papagomys* (electronic supplementary material, figure S6).
(e) *Varanus hooijeri* BRONGERSMA 1958

Two varanid maxillaries (LL 2014/21–LL 2014/22; figure 3), each with four teeth, were also recovered at Liang Lawuala. Teeth on both elements show the cylindrical shape and blunt rounded bunodont crowns characteristic of posterior teeth of the extinct *Varanus hooijeri* from Flores [18] and are otherwise only comparable to the extant frugivorous *V. bitatawa* and *V. olivaceus* from Luzon, Philippines [54,55]. Tooth measurements are slightly smaller than for two *V. hooijeri* specimens from Flores; however, teeth in both Sumba maxillaries and both described Flores maxillaries also vary in size, probably reflecting ontogenetic or intraspecific variation [18] (electronic supplementary material, table S4). We assign both elements to *Varanus cf. hooijeri*, revealing a probable range extension for this extinct species, formerly considered endemic to Flores.

(f) Age estimates of the fossils

The holotype of *Stegodon sumbaensis* originates from a fluvial layer at Watumbaka that post-dates the deposition, emergence and erosion of the lowest marine Terrace Complex I at Cape Laundi (since the fluvial deposits from which the *Stegodon* mandible was recovered have cut down into Terrace Complex I, they must be younger in age than the latter). Based on elevation of ~20 m asl, this sequence can be correlated with the lowest of the dated coral-reef terrace complexes near Cape Laundi (Terrace Complex I, at 3-20 m asl); U-Th dates indicate that this terrace complex was formed during OI Stage 5, with coral ages corresponding to the three main high sea-levels at 86 kyr BP, 105 kyr BP and 125 kyr BP [36,37]. As the terrace complex is polycyclic in nature, the lack of
information about the exact stratigraphic origin of the *S. sumbaensis* holotype within the Watumbaka outcrop precludes precise age estimation for this fossil, other than that it likely has a Late Pleistocene age younger than 125 kyr.

Fossils at Lewapaku originate from a clayey layer that rests unconformably on top of the deep marine sequence of the Waikabubak Formation. This marine sequence is also unconformably overlaid by a series of Quaternary coral-reef terraces along the northern edge of the island at Cape Laundi, which reach elevations of up to 475 m asl; these terraces have been extensively studied and dated by U-Th dating, and document the youngest uplift phase of Sumba [36,37]. Uranium-series dating on a *Stegodon* molar fragment from the Lewapaku assemblage (MGB-19652) did not produce reliable results; the sample has experienced extensive uranium loss, possibly because the fossil layer at Lewapaku is an aquifer (electronic supplementary material, text S1, figures S7-S8, tables S5-S6). However, approximate age estimation of the Lewapaku site is possible by comparison of the elevation of Quaternary terraces. At an elevation of 500 to 520 m asl, the Lewapaku basin is ~40 m higher than the highest coral-reef terrace, which has been correlated with Oxygen Isotope Stage 27 (~0.99 Ma) [56]. The fossil-bearing layer that rests on the Waikabubak Formation must therefore be younger than ~1 Ma. However, it is difficult to establish whether the fossil-bearing terrestrial layer began to accumulate directly following emergence of the basin, or at a later stage.

The Liang Lawuala and Liang Minabuti deposits contain characteristic Neolithic markers (pottery fragments, pig bones), indicating a likely mid-late Holocene age. A small amount (~1%) of murid postcrania at Liang Lawuala show signs of burning, suggesting possible consumption by humans (although see ref.
33 for alternative potential explanations for burning on rodent bones). Bone samples from Liang Lawuala were submitted for accelerator mass spectrometer (AMS) $^{14}$C dating at the $^{14}$CHRONO Centre, Queen’s University, Belfast (UK), and calibrated with OxCal 4.2 [57]. Three AMS $^{14}$C dates were obtained for Liang Lawuala: a date of 2167±24 BP (=279-119 calBC) from charcoal from 5-10 cm depth (UBA-30134), a direct date of 1889±33 BP (=54-222 calAD) from a Milimonggamys mandible from 0-5 cm depth (UBA-30135), and a direct date of 3507±38 BP (=1935-1700 calBC) from a large rodent scapula surface find probably referable to Raksasamys (UBA-30138). Two further rodent specimens (Raksasamys femur, mandible; UBA-30135, 30136) did not return AMS dates due to insufficient collagen yield.

4. Discussion

Our fossil finds provide the first detailed understanding of Quaternary vertebrate diversity and turnover on Sumba, a large Indonesian island for which the terrestrial fossil record was almost totally unknown, and which is situated in a biogeographically complex and important region. We reveal that Sumba’s Quaternary vertebrate fauna was similar in diversity and composition to the unusual “unbalanced” fauna on Flores, containing not only a pygmy Stegodon but also Komodo dragons, another unusual and apparently regionally endemic varanid, and large-bodied murids. It is therefore likely that similar assemblages probably characterized Quaternary terrestrial ecosystems on many or all of the larger Lesser Sunda Islands. The poor available Quaternary record for most south-east Asian islands continues to hinder rigorous comparison of inter-island faunal evolution and dispersal across this biogeographically complex region, and
it is possible that taxa currently represented in modern or Quaternary faunas from single Wallacean islands may have had much wider former distributions which have not yet been adequately sampled [cf. 58]. Future work on Quaternary microvertebrate faunas from Sumba may also provide important novel insights into regional biogeography. However, our new baseline of past diversity on Sumba allows new assessment of the island’s biogeographic affinities.

*Varanus komodoensis* now has a relict distribution on Flores and nearby islands (Komodo, Rinca, Gili Motang, Padar), but had a wide Pliocene–Pleistocene distribution across both sides of Wallace’s Line, from Java to Australia [52]. This cosmopolitan distribution limits its ability to provide a biogeographic signal. The species is currently unknown from the Quaternary record of Timor, where a distinctive unnamed larger extinct varanid has instead been recorded [52]; however, this apparent absence may merely reflect limited sampling in this understudied region. Conversely, *Varanus hooijeri* is otherwise known only from the Quaternary record of Flores [18,20,22], giving a potential signal of biogeographic affinity between Flores and Sumba.

*Milimonggamys* and *Raksasamys* both share some dental and mandibular characteristics with endemic murid genera from Flores and Timor, but exhibit other similarities to some other southeast Asian murids (electronic supplementary material, text S2, table S3). Our phylogenetic analyses of the affinities of *Milimonggamys* and *Raksasamys* generated trees that were poorly resolved beyond being able to place these taxa and the other sampled Lesser Sunda murids within the Rattini. This poor resolution is likely due to the limited morphological character data that were available for both new taxa, and to recognized problems of widespread homoplasy in murid craniodental characters
Fuller understanding of regional Quaternary biogeography and evolution must also await description and phylogenetic analysis of Timor’s extinct rodent fauna [13,34], and future attempts to extract ancient DNA from Quaternary rodent material from the Lesser Sundas, which may not be feasible due to their preservation under tropical environmental conditions. Rigorous assessment of phylogenetic and biogeographic affinities of Sumba’s Quaternary rodent fauna, and whether its endemic rodents represent a single within-island evolutionary radiation or multiple over-water colonisations from one or more islands, is thus not yet possible. However, both of our morphology-only phylogenetic analyses returned a sister-group relationship for Raksasamys + Papagomys, providing some support for a close biogeographic relationship between the endemic murids of Sumba and Flores, although in the absence of comparative character data for any endemic Timor rodents other than Rattus timorensis.

Sumba is situated less than 50 km south of Flores, and extensive interocean transport from the Pacific Ocean to the Indian Ocean via the Indonesian Throughflow, a major crossroad of global ocean circulation, occurs southward past Flores through the Sape and Sumba straits immediately north of Sumba [59]. Overwater “waif dispersal” from Flores to Sumba is therefore a biologically plausible chance event, providing a likely explanation for the apparent biogeographic affinity seen between some components of the described Quaternary faunas from these islands. However, observed differences in size and diversity in different taxa between Sumba, Flores and other Lesser Sunda Islands—notably lower rodent species richness and maximum body size on Sumba versus Flores and Timor, smaller size of Stegodon sumbaensis compared to most other Stegodon species, and possibly also inter-island differences in
varanid faunas—may be explicable on biogeographic grounds and parallel evolution rather than close regional evolutionary history, as these patterns are consistent with body size trends and species richness increasing with land area in other island systems [3,5].

Discovery of Pleistocene and Holocene faunas on Sumba that may span much or most of the last ~1 million years, and including taxa directly dated to the late Holocene, provides a temporal framework to reconstruct Quaternary vertebrate turnover in comparison to faunal sequences for Flores. If the Lewapaku deposit is close to 1 million years old based on correlation with coral-reef terraces at Cape Laundi, this provides a similar first occurrence for pygmy Stegodon and Varanus komodoensis on Sumba to the oldest record of these taxa on Flores; rodents first appear on Flores at ~800 ka, although this is probably a taphonomic artifact rather than true absence from older horizons [16,22,60,61]. However, the lack of dated Pleistocene deposits on Sumba prevents assessment of whether subsequent faunal turnover occurred at the Early to Middle Pleistocene transition as seen on Flores [22,60,61]. Stegodon sumbaensis and Varanus komodoensis would not be expected to occur in an owl roost deposit, so that their absence from the Mahaniwa fossil sample cannot help to constrain the likely extinction timing for either species. The limited, non-diagnostic rodent material from Lewapaku also prevents assessment of whether Sumba’s Pleistocene murids were part of the same endemic lineage as Milimonggamys and Raksasamys; phylogenetic continuity is likely, but local extinction and recolonization by different rodent lineages during the Late Quaternary is also possible, a phenomenon also shown regionally by stratigraphic turnover of different colonising Stegodon lineages on Flores [20,22].
Sumba and Flores also both retained large murids and *Varanus cf. hooijeri* into the late Holocene [20,22,23]. The current status of Sumba's endemic murids is unknown, as little mammal survey work has been conducted on the island. *Papagomys theodorverhoeveni* and *Spelaeomys florensis* died out in the Holocene on Flores, and *Milimonggamys* and *Raksasamys* may now also be extinct due to habitat loss, hunting and/or competition with introduced species in recent millennia. However, one giant murid, *P. armandvillei*, survives on Flores. Most of Sumba's forests have been burnt or cleared for agriculture or firewood extraction and replaced by grassland [62], but Sumba has a much lower human population density than Flores and has experienced almost no human population growth since the mid-nineteenth century [8], suggesting that anthropogenic pressures may not have been so severe as to drive extinction of the native murids. Indeed, local people in Mahaniwa and elsewhere on Sumba reported that “giant rats” larger than *Rattus rattus* still lived in the island's forests, and large rodents feature in local myths recounted to us during fieldwork in 2014. Southeast Asia contains the world’s highest levels of globally threatened mammals [63], and further fieldwork to assess the possible survival of *Milimonggamys* or *Raksasamys* could represent an important conservation priority.

Our research constitutes an important new step towards clarifying the evolutionary history and Quaternary diversity of the Wallacean vertebrate fauna, and future palaeontological research on Sumba will no doubt provide further insights into vertebrate evolution in the Lesser Sundas. Whereas most other components of Flores’ Quaternary vertebrate fauna were found at Liang Bua cave in the mid-twentieth century, excavations took place at this site for almost 50 years before discovery of *Homo floresiensis* [4]. We therefore consider it likely
that new vertebrate taxa remain to be discovered in Sumba’s Quaternary fossil record. Most intriguingly, given other similarities between the distinctive Quaternary faunas from Sumba and Flores, there is no obvious biogeographic reason to suppose that regionally endemic hominin species would have been present on Flores but not Sumba. Indeed, the possibility of ancient hominin occurrence on Sumba has already been raised by the apparent presence of Palaeolithic artefacts in Pleistocene sediments [64]. We strongly encourage further investigation of Sumba’s Quaternary preservational environments, which may well provide exciting new lessons for understanding both island biogeography and human evolution.
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**Figure 1.** Quaternary fossil localities on Sumba. 1, Lewapaku; 2, Watumbaka; 3, Mahaniwa. Inset, location of Sumba in Lesser Sundas, showing direction of Indonesian Throughflow past Flores and nearby islands towards Sumba.

**Figure 2.** Pleistocene fossils from Lewapaku. (a-c) MGB-19650, *Stegodon cf. sumbaensis*. (a, c) mandible, dorsal and lateral views; (b) isolated left and right m1 associated with mandible. (d) MGB-19665, *Varanus cf. komodoensis* tooth; (e) MGB-19670, rodent tibial diaphysis fragment; (f) MGB-19667, rodent lower incisor; (g) MGB-19669, small rodent proximal femur fragment; (h) MGB-19668, large rodent proximal femur fragment. (a-b) scale bar=2 cm; (c-g) scale bar=1 cm.

**Figure 3.** Holocene fossils from Mahaniwa. (a, c, k-l) *Milimonggamys juliae* gen. et sp. nov. (a) LL 2014/2, left maxillary toothrow; (b) LL 2014/1 (holotype), right mandibular toothrow; (k-l) LL 2014/7, right hemimandible; labial and lingual views. (b, d, m-n), *Raksasamyx tikusbesar* gen. et sp. nov. (b) LL 2014/13, right maxillary toothrow; (d) LL 2014/17, right mandibular toothrow; (m-n) LL 2014/9 (holotype), right hemimandible; labial and lingual views. (e-j) *Varanus cf. hooijeri*. (e-g) LL 2014/21, right maxillary fragment; labial, lingual and occlusal views. (h-j) LL/2014/22, left maxillary fragment; occlusal, lingual and labial views. (a-d) scale bar=2 mm; (e-j) scale bar=5 mm; (k-n) scale bar=5 mm.