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ABSTRACT: Ligand binding is one of the most fundamental properties of proteins. Ligand functions fall into three basic types: substrates, regulatory molecules, and cofactors essential to protein stability, reactivity, or enzyme–substrate complex formation. The regulation of potassium ion movement in bacteria is predominantly under the control of regulatory ligands that gate the relevant channels and transporters, which possess subunits or domains that contain Rossmann folds (RFs). Here we demonstrate that adenosine monophosphate (AMP) is bound to both RFs of the dimeric bacterial Kef potassium efflux system (Kef), where it plays a structural role. We conclude that AMP binds with high affinity, ensuring that the site is fully occupied at all times in the cell. Loss of the ability to bind AMP, we demonstrate, causes protein, and likely dimer, instability and consequent loss of function. Kef system function is regulated via the reversible binding of comparatively low-affinity glutathione-based ligands at the interface between the dimer subunits. We propose this interfacial binding site is itself stabilized, at least in part, by AMP binding.

A fundamental property of proteins, by which they express their function in the cell, is the binding of ligands, usually ions or molecules of small mass relative to that of the protein itself. At least three different roles are ascribed to the binding of ligands. (1) The ligand is a substrate or essential cofactor for an enzyme. (2) Ligand binding may be purely regulatory, bringing about changes in protein activity. (3) Ligands may stabilize a protein fold. The roles are not mutually exclusive and can be combined. The activation of ligand-gated channels is usually caused by an allosteric transition upon ligand binding at a point distant from the pore; the changes in the concentration of the ligand may reflect the biological state of either the cell or the environment. Regulation of ion flow is critical throughout biology, and for potassium ions (K⁺), ligand-gated channels and transporters are central to the modulation of cellular K⁺ pools.

In bacteria, both K⁺ channels, which play an important role in K⁺ influx and K⁺ efflux systems that control the response of the bacterial cell to electrophiles, have K⁺ transport and NAD-binding (KTN) domains. These domains may be covalently attached to the pore or may be separately expressed entities that form noncovalent associations with the pore. Some are hybrid systems utilizing a combination of KTN domains that are part of the integral pore-forming subunit together with KTN domains expressed separately from an internal start codon on the same mRNA as the pore protein. Another major structural variation is that for the channels (e.g., TrkAH, KtrAB, and MthK) octameric rings of KTN domains modulate ion flux, whereas for the Kef systems, dimeric assemblies dominate the known architectures. A conserved feature of KTN domains is a...
Rossmann fold, a feature known to be associated with nucleotide binding since its first identification in NAD(H)-binding lactate dehydrogenase. In *Escherichia coli*, and most other bacteria, multiple transport systems and channels effect control over the K⁺ pool, including the Kef systems, which are gated by glutathione (GSH) and its electrophilic conjugates (GSX). In Gram-positive bacteria, similar protective systems that employ electrophilic conjugates of other species-specific thiols, for example, bacillithiol, might exist. Whereas the activity of most K⁺ transport systems causes modulation of the cytoplasmic pH in the alkaline direction, the Kef systems cause acidification in response to cell-damaging electrophiles. The ~600-residue Kef proteins form dimers of an ~380-amino acid membrane domain, which may contain up to 12 transmembrane spans, although these are poorly defined from a structural perspective. A short hydrophilic linker (20–26 amino acids) connects the membrane domain to two further domains: an ~150-residue KTN domain and a further, less well-conserved, domain of variable length at the extreme C-terminus of the protein. The KTN domains of separate proteins dimerize, and the interface between them contains the GSH-binding site. Gating of the K⁺ efflux system requires GSH/GSX ligand-mediated communication between the C-terminal domains and the loop containing the ion flow-regulating HALESDIEP sequence.

The Kef proteins can be broadly divided into two classes, those including *E. coli* KefC and KefB that require an ancillary protein (KefF for KefC and KefB, respectively) for full function and those, such as that from *Shewanella denitrificans*, that do not require an ancillary protein. Gating by GSH/GSX is thought to be almost identical in both protein types. Residues in the predicted GSX-binding site of *S. denitrificans* Kef, identified by sequence alignment and modeling, will likely play roles similar to that of their *E. coli* KefC counterparts, which were identified by molecular genetic studies and crystallography. The *E. coli* KefC protein has been difficult to study biochemically because of the instability of the KefF–KefC complex; thus, our studies have focused on the simpler *S. denitrificans* Kef protein.

The Rossmann folds of the KTN domains in the K⁺ uptake systems, TrkAH and KtrAB, have been studied biochemically and shown by crystallography to bind ATP and NADH. These uptake systems have K⁺-permeable pores with pseudo-4-fold symmetry to create a pore reminiscent of the classical P-type K⁺ uptake systems have K⁺-permeable pores with pseudo-4-fold symmetry to create a pore reminiscent of the classical P-type K⁺ channels. Twin pores, arising from separate membrane proteins in the dimer, form associations with an octameric assembly of KTN domains. Binding of ATP and/or NADH modulates the conformation of the octameric rings and regulates the opening of the K⁺-conducting pore. ADP and NAD⁺ activate the GsuK potassium channel, via its KTN-related RCK domains, whereas Ca²⁺ serves as an allosteric inhibitor.

In contrast, the structural basis of regulation of other KTN domain-regulated K⁺ channels (e.g., CglIK, Kch, and MthK) by nucleotides is poorly understood; although reversible gating by divalent cations has been described for MthK, the role of nucleotide binding in the RF is unknown. Similarly, for the GSX-gated Kef systems, the role of the bound nucleotide is unclear.

Previously obtained X-ray crystal structures of the KTN domain of TrkA from *Methanocaldococcus jannaschii* and the KTN domain of KtrA from *Bacillus subtilis* have electron density consistent with NADH bound in the Rossmann fold. On the basis of these data, a homology model was constructed that had NADH modeled into the Rossmann fold of Kef of the *E. coli* KefFC KTN domain. In subsequent crystallographic studies of the nucleotide pocket of the KTN domain of the *E. coli* KefFC KTN domains, it is suggested that NADH occupies the nucleotide-binding pocket based on the homology model and the presence of this nucleotide in the crystallization liquor, although only density that is consistent with a bound AMP molecule exists. Subsequently, when structures with GSH and GSX were determined, AMP was modeled into the GSH structure, but no density consistent with a nucleotide was observed in the GSX structure (density consistent with sulfate ions was observed in the RF of the GSX structure). The uncertainty over the identity of the bound ligand and the lack of any insight into the role of the bound nucleotide prompted us to re-examine the system in more detail.

Here we report the structural analysis of the KTN domain from *S. denitrificans*. We have established that both the isolated ligand-binding C-terminal domain (SdKefCTD) and full-length integral membrane protein (SdKef) contain AMP when purified after overexpression in *E. coli*. Differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF, also known as thermal shift) analysis shows that incubation of SdKefCTD with additional AMP results in major stabilizing effects on the protein. NADH, although it cannot displace AMP from the KTN domain, results in some stabilization in DSF studies. In the isolated soluble SdKefCTD KTN domain, introduction of mutations predicted to affect AMP binding led to a lower level of protein expression, consistent with a role for AMP in protein structural integrity. In agreement with this proposal, molecular dynamics simulations indicate a reduced stability of the SdKefCTD domain when AMP was removed. In the full-length protein, the same mutations yielded inactive channels. We propose that AMP is integral to the KTN domain in SdKef and is required for the stable and functional Kef dimer complex.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Materials.** *n*-Dodecyl β-D-maltopyranoside (DDM) was purchased from Anatrace (www.anatrace.com). Glutathione (reduced) (GSH), adenosine monophosphate (AMP), nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD⁺), and reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) were ordered from Fisher. Reagents for buffer and other chemicals were purchased from Sigma unless otherwise stated.

The chemicals, NaH₂PO₄ and Na₂HPO₄, were purchased from Alfa Aesar and BDH Chemicals Ltd., respectively. Glycerol and NaCl were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. All other chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co, unless otherwise specified. All of the buffers used in the purification procedures were prefiltred through 0.2 μm pore size filter papers (Sartorius UK Ltd.) under vacuum to remove insoluble precipitates.

**Expression and Purification of Kef.** The KTN construct, denoted as SdKefQCTD, has been characterized previously and contains residues 391–608 of the full-length SdKef protein, including the KTN domain, the carboxy-terminal peripheral domain, the highly charged Q-linker connecting the SdKefQCTD with the transmembrane domains, and a peptide corresponding to the regulatory HELEVDIEP loop, with a C-terminal LEH₆ tag. The SdKefQCTD construct was transformed into *E. coli* strain BL21(DE3) (www.bioline.com). Cells were grown in 500 mL of LB medium at 37 °C to an OD₆₀₀ of ~0.8. The cultures were cooled to 25 °C and induced with 1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) for 4 h. The cell pellet was resuspended in lysis buffer, 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.8), 300 mM KCl, 40 mM imidazole, 1% glycerol, and 1
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mM benzamidine. After disruption of the cells with a French press at 18000 psi, the suspension was centrifuged at 4000g for 20 min to remove cell debris. The supernatant was then centrifuged at 100000g for 1 h. The supernatant was then filtered using 0.45 μm diameter filters and passed through a 25 mL column containing 0.5 mL of nickel-nitriolotriacetic acid (Ni2+-NTA) agarose, at 4 °C. The column was washed with 15 mL of wash buffer, 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.8), 300 mM KCl, 50 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, and 1 mM benzamidine, to remove nonspecifically bound proteins and was left at 4 °C overnight. The next morning, the elution followed with 10 mL of elution buffer, 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.8), 300 mM KCl, and 300 mM imidazole, and 0.5 mL fractions were collected. The fractions were analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE) and ultraviolet–visible (UV–vis) absorption spectroscopy, and the fractions with the highest protein content from the Ni2+-NTA column were applied to a 120 mL Superose 6 column (General Electrics Healthcare) equilibrated with buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.8) and 300 mM KCl. Protein was then eluted at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The protein concentration was monitored by absorption at 280 nm. The column was calibrated with Bio-Rad standards. The identity and integrity were confirmed by mass spectrometry (Figure S3).

Alternative Protocol. E. coli strain MJF373 was used to express the SdKefQCTD protein construct, which is encoded in the pTrcSdKefQCTD6 plasmid. The expression of the SdKefQCTD protein can be induced by addition of IPTG. For recombinant protein expression, the E. coli MJF373 host was first transformed with the pTrcSdKefQCTD6 plasmid. The resultant transformant was aerobically cultured in the 2X TY medium [16 g/L Oxoid Tryptone (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.), 10 g/L Oxoid Yeast Extract (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.), and 5 g/L NaCl] at 30 °C with an agitation speed of 180 rpm in the presence of 100 μg/mL ampicillin (Apollo Scientific Ltd.). When solid medium was required, Bacto Agar (BD) was added to a final concentration of 1.5% (w/v) in the 2X TY medium. When the bacterial culture reached an optical density of 1.0 at 600 nm, IPTG (Apollo Scientific Ltd.) was added to a final concentration of 0.8 mM to induce expression of the recombinant protein. Bacterial cell pellets were then harvested by centrifugation (F10BCL-6x500y rotor, Avanti J-25 Centrifuge, Beckman Coulter Inc.) at 11305g and 4 °C after IPTG postinduction for 4 h and kept at −80 °C until protein purification. The SdKefQCTD protein was subsequently purified by immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) and then size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) at 4 °C.

To prepare a sample for protein purification, the frozen cell pellet (7.70 g) was first resuspended in extraction buffer. Extraction buffer was prepared by completely dissolving one tablet of SigmaFAST Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Tablet, EDTA Free in 100 mL of a solution containing 50 mM NaH2PO4/ Na2HPO4, 500 mM NaCl, and 10% glycerol (pH 7.4). One hundred milliliters of extraction buffer per 20 g of cell mass was used for resuspension. After complete resuspension of the bacterial pellets, the cells were lysed on ice by sonication (50% amplitude, 5 s bursts interrupted by 5 s pauses for 60 cycles; Ultrasonic Processor, Sonics & Materials, Inc.) to release cytosolic proteins. Polymethyleneimine at a final concentration of 0.15% (v/v) was added from a 5% (v/v) stock solution (pH 7.4) to the cell lysates, and the mixture was incubated on ice for 15 min to precipitate DNA. Insoluble cell debris and precipitated DNA were removed by centrifugation (JA25.50 rotor, Avanti J-25 Centrifuge, Beckman Coulter Inc.) at 25000g and 4 °C for 15 min. The resulting supernatant was collected and filtered through 0.45 μm pore size syringe filters (Merck Millipore Corp.). The clarified cell lysate was diluted to 100 mL with extraction buffer and then supplemented with 20 mM imidazole (Sigma-Aldrich Co.) and 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.) at their final concentrations. This cell lysate preparation was used for the first step of protein purification by IMAC.

For protein purification by IMAC, a HisTrap HP 5 mL column (GE Healthcare) was used to purify hexahistidine-tagged SdKefQCTD. The affinity purification columns were connected to a computerized AKTAFLPLC system (GE Healthcare). To equilibrate the column for IMAC, 10 column volumes (CV) of binding buffer [50 mM NaH2PO4/Na2HPO4, 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, and 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol (pH 7.4)] was used. The pretreated cell lysates (100 mL; from the sample preparation procedure described above) were then loaded into the equilibrated HisTrap HP column. After the sample had been loaded into the column, binding buffer and elution buffer [50 mM NaH2PO4/Na2HPO4, 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, and 500 mM imidazole (pH 7.4)] were mixed in different ratios to wash out nonspecifically bound proteins and elute the protein of interest. First, 10 CV of a step gradient containing 9% elution buffer (with 45 mM imidazole) and then a linear gradient from 9 to 30% elution buffer (containing ≤150 mM imidazole) over 10 CV were used to wash out contaminating binders. For elution of the polyhistidine-tagged SdKefQCTD protein, 5 CV of 60% elution buffer (containing 300 mM imidazole) was used to elute the target in 2 mL fractions.

After the IMAC purification step, SdKefQCTD was further purified by SEC. The IMAC-purified SdKefQCTD protein was first concentrated by using a Vivaspin sample concentrator (GE Healthcare). The concentrated protein sample (2 mL) was then loaded into a 2 mL injection loop into an XK 16/70 gel filtration column (GE Healthcare) packed with 120 mL of Superdex 75 resin (GE Healthcare). This SEC column was pre-equilibrated with 150 mL of degassed SEC buffer [50 mM NaH2PO4/Na2HPO4, 150 mM NaCl (pH 7.4)]. The SEC procedure was performed at a constant flow rate (1 mL/min) over 150 mL of a total flow volume, and filtrates were collected in 5 mL fractions (the sample collection was started at 20 mL and stopped at 120 mL). The SEC-purified protein was further concentrated by a Vivasin sample concentrator (GE Healthcare) after the purification process. Protein concentrations were determined by a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.).

Western Blot of Membrane and Soluble Fractions Containing Full-Length SdKef or SdKefQCTD. Membrane and soluble protein fractions were prepared from MJF373 cells transformed with either pTrcSdKefH4 or pTrcSdKefQCTD6. Cells were culture overnight in LK medium (10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L yeast extract, and 6.4 g/L KCl) with ampicillin (50 μg/mL) and diluted the next morning to an OD660 of 0.05 into a fresh LK medium as a preculture. Once cells had reached an OD660 of 0.4, they were diluted 10-fold into fresh LK medium and grown again until an OD660 of 0.4 was reached, when 0.3 mM IPTG was added for induction of expression for 30 min, after which 100 mL of cells was harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in PBS containing a protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche), and lysed by being passed through a French press at 18000 psi. Bulk cell debris was removed by centrifugation for 10 min at 4 °C and 4500g, and membrane (pellet) and soluble fractions (supernatant) were collected after ultracentrifugation at 90000g and 4
°C for 60 min. The pellet was suspended in PBS, and Lowry estimation of the protein concentration was performed on the pellet and supernatant. Samples were separated on 4 to 12% Invitrogen NuPAGE Bis-Tris gels (ThermoFisher Scientific) run in MES buffer using SeeBlue Plus 2 Marker. Proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes and probed for expression using an anti-His HRP conjugate antibody (Qiagen). SuperSignal West Dura Extended Duration Substrate (Thermo-Fisher Scientific) was used for ECL detection of bands, exposed to Amersham Hyperfilm ECL film (GE Healthcare) developed on an M35 X-OMAT processor.

Expression and Purification of the Full-Length SdKef Protein. The full-length membrane protein was transformed into E. coli strain BL21(DE3). Cells were grown the same as they were for SdKefQCTD. The cell pellet was resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.8), 300 mM KCl, and 1 mM benzamidine. After disruption of the cells with a French press at 18000 psi, the suspension was centrifuged at 4000 g for 20 min to remove cell debris. The supernatant was then centrifuged at 100000 g for 1 h. The pellet that contained the cell membrane was solubilized in solubilization buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.8), 300 mM KCl, 1 mM benzamidine, 1.5% DDM, 10% glycerol, and 25 mM imidazole] by using a homogenizer and left to gently shake for 1 h at 4 °C. The solubilized sample was subsequently passed through a 25 mL column containing 0.5 mL of nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni²⁺-NTA) agarose, at 4 °C. The column was washed with 15 mL of wash buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.8), 300 mM KCl, 1 mM benzamidine, 0.05% DDM, and 35 mM imidazole] to remove nonspecifically bound proteins and was left at 4 °C overnight. The next morning, elution was performed with 10 mL of elution buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.8), 300 mM KCl, 0.05% DDM, and 300 mM imidazole], and 0.5 mL fractions were collected. The fractions were analyzed by SDS–PAGE and UV–vis absorption spectroscopy, and the highest fractions were applied to a 120 mL Superose 6 column (General Electrics Healthcare) equilibrated with buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.8), 300 mM KCl, and 0.05% DDM. Protein was then eluted at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The protein concentration was monitored by absorption at 280 nm. The column was calibrated with Bio-Rad standards.

Structural Biology. SdKefQCTD was prone to aggregation over an extended range of concentrations required for structural studies, but using stirred ultrafiltration cell 8003 with a 30 kDa membrane cutoff (www.millipore.com) and a nitrogen stream at 4 °C prevented this, allowing a concentration of around 20 mg mL⁻¹ in buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.8) and 300 mM KCl. Crystal trials were set up by the hanging drop method on freshly prepared protein samples that have not been previously frozen and involving mixing 1:1 and 2:1 protein solution:precipitant volumes equilibrated against a large volume of precipitant. Crystals grew to full size dimensions of 0.2 mm × 0.05 mm × 0.05 mm in ~2.5 months at 21 °C. The best crystals (judged by visual inspection) were obtained using 0.2 M sodium malonate (pH 7.0) and 20% (w/v) PEG 3350 as a precipitant. Prior to data collection, crystals were transferred into a solution containing 0.2 M sodium malonate (pH 7.0) and 40% (w/v) PEG 3350. Data were collected at 100 K on a single crystal, which diffracted to a resolution of 2.92 Å on I24 at Diamond (Oxford, U.K.). Data were indexed, integrated, and merged using MOSFLM/SCALA [Leslie (1992) Joint CCP4 and ESS–EAMCB newsletter on protein crystallography, No. 26, pp 1–10] as implemented in CCP4 [CCP4 (1994) Acta Crystallographica Section D 50, 760–763]. The resolution limits were determined by the data statistics and the Wilson plot. The CCP4 program POINTLESS was used to assign space groups for KeF as P4₁2₁2₁. The structure was determined using molecular replacement with the program PHASER using E. coli KeF [Protein Data Bank (PDB) entry 3EYW] as a model containing residues 410–570 (omitting all water molecules and ligands with nonconserved residues set to alanine). AMP was modeled in both monomers, and refinement proceeded by REFMAC5 and manual intervention COOT. Full crystallographic statistics are listed in Table 1.

### Table 1. Statistics of the SdKefQCTD X-ray Crystal Structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Collection</th>
<th>Refinement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>beamline</td>
<td>Diamond_124 (21/10/2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wavelength (Å)</td>
<td>0.9686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resolution (Å)</td>
<td>3.09 (47.6–3.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cell constants</td>
<td>a = 71.6 Å, b = 71.6 Å, c = 140.4 Å, α = β = γ = 90°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no. of unique reflections</td>
<td>6976 (399)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean l/σ</td>
<td>15.3 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>completeness (%)</td>
<td>97.6 (85.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multiplicity</td>
<td>6.2 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmerge</td>
<td>0.098 (0.651)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>space group</td>
<td>P4₁2₁2₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson B-factor (Å²)</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R (%)</td>
<td>20.53 (29.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rfree (%)</td>
<td>26.04 (35.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDB entry</td>
<td>5NC8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no. of atoms</td>
<td>2448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>root-mean-square deviation for bond lengths (Å)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>root-mean-square deviation for bond angles (deg)</td>
<td>1.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molprobity (s10) score</td>
<td>2.03 (99th percentile)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clashscore, all atoms, score</td>
<td>5.43 (100th percentile)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ligand Identification. One hundred microliters of 200 μM purified SdKefQCTD in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.8), 300 mM KCl buffer and full-length purified SdKef in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.8), 300 mM KCl, 0.05% DDM buffer were denatured by being subjected to a constant temperature of 95 °C on a bench thermo block for 30 min. The total volume of the sample containing the denatured protein was loaded on a vivaspin concentrator with a 3 kDa cutoff and centrifuged at full speed on a benchtop centrifuge until all liquid had gone through the membrane (30 min). The filtrate was loaded on a Superdex Peptide 10/300 column (General Electrics Healthcare) with an optimal size separation from 100 to 7000 Da. Prior to sample loading, the column was equilibrated with buffer D. AMP, ATP, and NADH in volumes of 100 μL and at concentrations of 2 mM were individually loaded onto the same column on the same day, under identical conditions to calibrate its behavior. The UV active high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) peak was subjected to matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization mass spectrometry, as were the standards.

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR). NMR experiments were performed at a ¹H frequency of 600 or 700 MHz using a Prodigy BBO probe (600 MHz) or Bruker Avance III spectrometer equipped with a TCI inverse cryoprobe (700 MHz), respectively. The samples were prepared in 5 mM NMR tubes and experiments conducted at listed temperatures. CPMG experiments employed the PROJECT sequence (90°–τ–
The protein was prepared to a final concentration of 330 μM in deuterated sodium phosphate buffer as follows.

The purified protein solution was exchanged with deuterated sodium phosphate buffer [50 mM NaH2PO4/Na2HPO4 and 150 mM NaCl (pH 7.4), which were prepared in deuterium oxide] by using PD MiniTrap G-25 columns (GH Healthcare). The exchange was performed by the spin protocol, according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

The reference spectra of each of the ligands also were run at a concentration of 330 μM in deuterated sodium phosphate buffer [50 mM NaH2PO4/Na2HPO4 and 150 mM NaCl (pH 7.4)], which were prepared in deuterium oxide.

The protein was denatured on a heat block at 80 °C for 3 h. Subsequently, the protein precipitate was centrifuged down and the resultant supernatant used directly for NMR studies. The ligands AMP, ADP, and NADH were heat treated in the same manner as controls. The experiments were repeated without ligands AMP, ADP, and NADH were heat treated in the same manner as controls. The experiments were repeated without ligands AMP, ADP, and NADH were heat treated in the same manner as controls.

Native Mass Spectrometry. Nanoelectrospray (nESI) MS experiments were performed on a QToF-2 mass spectrometer (Waters Corp., Wilmslow, U.K.) with conditions optimized for the transmission of intact noncovalent protein complexes. The sample was buffer exchanged into 200 mM ammonium acetate and sprayed at a concentration of 7.5 μM with respect to the dimer. Experiments were conducted at a positive polarity with the following instrument settings: capillary, 1.4 kV; sample cone, 40 V; extraction cone, 25 V; backing pressure, 3.5 μbar; collision cell pressure, 35 μbar. The instrument was calibrated using CsI, and data analysis was performed using MassLynx (Waters Corp.) software. Protein masses were determined using the three most intense charge states.

Differential Scanning Fluorimetry. Assays were performed using a Stratagene Mx3005P qPCR instrument (Expt filter set, excitation at 492 nm, emission at 568 nm). The initial temperature was set to 25 °C (held for 5 min), increasing in increments of 1 °C for 55 cycles (held for 90 s per cycle). Stock solutions of the ligands under examination were prepared to a concentration of 100 mM in proteins. To release the bound AMP, proteins of deuterated sodium phosphate and 150 mM NaCl (pH 7.4) [with the exception of (−)-adenosine, which was prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)]. The 100 mM stock solutions were then diluted to a concentration of 10 mM in buffer containing 50 mM sodium phosphate and 150 mM NaCl (pH 7.4) [with the exception of (−)-adenosine]. This HPLC analytic method had a constant flow rate of 1 mL/min and lasted for 20 min per run. It adopted a mobile phase with a mixture of solvent A (99.9:0.1 H2O:formic acid ratio) and solvent B (99.9:0.1 MeCN:formic acid ratio). This program employed 100% solvent A during the first minute and then increased the concentration of solvent B from 0 to 100% over 10 min using a linear gradient, and this 100% solvent B concentration was held for a further 3 min until the 14 min had passed. After that, the method decreased the concentration of solvent B to 0% at 15 min, followed by 100% solvent A running through the column for the last 5 min of the experiment. The whole HPLC program is summarized in Figure 1.

We adopted an experimental procedure similar to that developed by Chen et al.28 to analyze the bound AMP molecule in proteins. To release the bound AMP, proteins of defined concentrations (10 μL) were first heated at 95 °C in a dry heating block for 5 min and then subjected to centrifugation at 13000 rpm for 10 min (MIKRO 20 Centrifuge, Hettich) to pellet down denatured protein precipitates. The resultant pellet was resuspended in 10 μL of SEC buffer [50 mM NaH2PO4/Na2HPO4 and 150 mM NaCl (pH 7.4)], and the mixture was then centrifuged at 13000 rpm for 10 min (MIKRO 20 Centrifuge, Hettich). The resulting supernatants from the two centrifugation steps described above were combined (10 μL + 10 μL = 20 μL) and used as an injection sample for subsequent
HPLC analysis. For the spiking HPLC experiments, supernatants from the first centrifugation step (10 μL) were combined with AMP solutions (10 μL) of defined concentrations.

**Computational Methods.** Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed using the SdKefQCTD model previously built by Healy and co-workers. A total of four systems were evaluated: (a) SdKefQCTD with glutathione (GSH) and two AMP molecules, (b) SdKefQCTD with ESG and two AMP molecules, (c) SdKefQCTD with GSH, and (d) SdKefQCTD with ESG. Molecular mechanics parameters for ESG, GSH, and AMP were taken from the General AMBER Force Field (GAFF) with AM1-BCC atomic charges. Hydrogen atoms were removed from amino acid residues using the MolProbity Server and added using tLeap. Glutamate and aspartate residues were assigned as negatively charged and lysine and arginine as positively charged. Minimization and MD calculations were performed using AMBER Force Field 12SB within AMBER version 12 with the GPU-accelerated version of PMEMD. Crystallographic waters were not removed, while the protein was further solvated by a box of TIP3P water.

Figure 2. (A) X-ray crystal structure that shows SdKefQCTD as dimeric, with each nucleotide-binding pocket occupied by an AMP molecule (PDB entry 5NC8). (B) View of the Kef protein looking from the membrane, in a surface view. AMP occupies both nucleotide-binding pockets and is depicted as sticks (yellow carbons). (C) Two views of the $F_o - F_c$ electron density map contoured at 3.0σ. The phases were calculated from a model that had not included AMP. (D) The same $F_o - F_c$ map now with the final position of the AMP molecule shown as sticks.
molecules. Simulations were performed in octahedral boxes with an initial volume close to 160 nm$^3$ containing 3060 water molecules, adding countercations (Na$^+$) to equilibrate the system. Energy minimization was performed in two steps. First, we used steepest descent followed by conjugate gradients during which the initial positions of the small molecule inhibitor and the protein structure obtained after homology modeling were restrained (PR). Second, the same minimization methodology was performed without PR. The minimized macro-molecule–small molecule(s) complex was then subjected to equilibration for 500 ps and a production MD simulation for 40 ns in the NPT ensemble using a Langevin thermostat to simulate a constant temperature at 310 K ($\tau_p = 0.1$ ps). Previous work performed by Zou, Hong, Shiao, and Gewert and their co-workers showed that nanoscale MD could be enough to achieve reasonable protein models obtained by homology modeling. Isotropic position scaling was used to maintain a pressure of 1 atm ($\tau_p = 2$ ps). MD simulation was performed using a 1 fs integration time and a nonbonding cutoff of 8 Å, with the Shake algorithm turned on to constrain bonds involving hydrogen. A total of 2000 snapshots were obtained at intervals of 20 ps in producing plots of the geometric variation during the simulation.

### RESULTS

**X-ray Crystal Structure of the C-Terminal Domain of Kef from *S. denitrificans* (SdKefQCTD).** A construct of *S. denitrificans* KTN carboxy-terminal domains (SdKefQCTD) was previously created to optimize stability and solubility. The protein was purified to homogeneity from *E. coli* BL21(DE3) and crystallized. So as not to bias the occupancy of the Rossmann fold, no nucleotide was added before, during, or after purification or crystallization of the sample used for all crystallization trials. Therefore, the presence of AMP in the crystal has arisen from nucleotide binding inside the *E. coli* cell and subsequent copurification. Optimization of initial sparse matrix crystallization conditions yielded a single crystal for which data were collected to 3.09 Å resolution (Table 1). The structure was determined by molecular replacement using the apo-KefC structure (PDB entry 3EYW) by removing NAD$^+$ as the searching model. The asymmetric unit contains two monomers that form the canonical KTN dimer. In line with the PISA prediction, gel filtration (Figure S1), size-exclusion chromatography–multangle laser scattering (SEC–MALS) (Figure S2) analysis, and analytical ultracentrifugation (AUC) (Figure S3) experiments indicated that the two Kef monomers form a stable dimer in solution, at the concentrations used. The core fold of the SdKefQCTD domain is essentially identical to that previously described for the *E. coli* protein. Each monomer has six $\beta$-strands ($\beta$1–$\beta$6) arranged in a parallel sheet, which is sandwiched between three $\alpha$-helices on one face and one $\alpha$-helix on the other. Two C-terminal helices ($\alpha$5 and $\alpha$6), resolved in the structure, form a helix–turn–helix-type arrangement and reach across to the other monomer. The penultimate helix ($\alpha$5) pairs with a single helix ($\alpha$1) from the other monomer and stacks against the $\beta$-sheet from the other subunit. The long C-terminal helix ($\alpha$6) makes contacts with both monomers (Figure 2A).

**Presence of AMP and Key Interactions in the KTN Domain.** Additional unbiased electron density was clearly visible in both nucleotide-binding pockets (Figure 2A), which was best fitted and refined with an AMP molecule. The nucleotide-binding sites are located within the Rossmann fold of each monomer, and the residues that contact AMP come from within one monomer (Figure 2B). However, the phosphate groups are only 12 Å apart and form part of a network of hydrogen bonds that spans the dimer interface where GSH and GSX bind (Figure S4). The side chain of R416 forms salt contacts with the phosphate group of AMP within the same monomer (we arbitrarily define as monomer A) and with ES24 from the other monomer (monomer B). ES24 (B) in turn makes a further salt bridge with RS03 (B). RS03 (B) binds to the AMP phosphate group in monomer B. The net result can be considered an octagon with vertices of $\alpha$-phosphate (monomer A), R416 (A), ES24 (B), RS03 (B), phosphate (B), R416 (B), ES24 (A), and RS03 (A) (which links to the phosphate of monomer A) (Figure 3). R416 (A) also interacts with the backbone carbonyl oxygen of IS05 (B) from the opposite chain. IS05 (B) is located at the entrance to the previously identified GSH-binding pocket (Figure S4). The B-factors observed in this region of the X-ray crystal structure are below the mean for the whole protein, indicating the region is well ordered consistent with it being rigid and stable in the crystal form.

The adenosine of the AMP ring sits in a pocket formed by I478 and H437 with which it makes $\pi$-stacking interactions. The aniline-like amine (N6) of the purine ring forms a hydrogen bond with D456, and the adjacent pyridine-like nitrogen (N1) atom forms a hydrogen bond with the backbone NH of A457...
This pattern would appear to allow the protein to distinguish between AMP and other nucleotides. O2 and O3 of AMP are located close to D436, and O3 forms a hydrogen bond with this residue. The structure points to a central role for the phosphate group, which forms salt bridges to R416 and R503, and hydrogen bonds to the backbone NH of R416 and F417.

Comparison with the X-ray crystal structure of the CTD of KefC from *E. coli*, reported by Roosild et al., reveals that the key residues involved in AMP binding are conserved between these two proteins and form similar interactions with AMP (Figure 4B).16 An additional interaction between H434 of *E. coli* KefC is visible, but the density for this residue is not well resolved in the SdKefQCTD X-ray crystal structure.

Identification of the Bound Nucleotide. Crystallography on its own is not a reliable tool for identifying bound ligands. Consequently, this technique cannot distinguish between AMP and NAD⁺ with a disordered nicotinamide component. Therefore, we performed HPLC analysis to identify the bound nucleotide. Purified SdKefQCTD protein from the batch used for crystallization trials was denatured and supernatant filtered through a 3 kDa cut-off concentrator. The filtrate was analyzed by HPLC, and a peak with a retention time equal to that of an authentic sample of AMP (distinct from ATP and NAD⁺) was observed (Figure S5A). Further analysis by mass spectrometry shows that the peak has a mass of 348 Da, corresponding to protonated AMP (Figure S5A–C). Quantitation by UV extinction coefficient shows an AMP:protein ratio of at least
The point at which the gradient of the sequential loss of neutral AMP was tightly bound to the protein. However, when native protein spectrum showed no resonances corresponding to attenuation of any ligand bound to the macromolecule. The background resonances that are derived from the protein and filter. This leads to suppression of the background resonances that are derived from the protein and attenuates those of any ligand bound to the macromolecule.

The native protein spectrum showed no resonances corresponding to AMP (or NADH or ADP), confirming that any nucleotide present was tightly bound to the protein. However, when SdKefQCTD was denatured by being heated for 3 h at 80 °C, a new set of sharp signals was observed in the spectrum, corresponding to the presence of a free AMP in solution (Figure S5). NADH and ADP were exposed to the same conditions used to denature the protein (heating for 3 h at 80 °C) to formally exclude the possibility that AMP was produced by breakdown of NADH or ADP. Neither NADH nor ADP led to AMP production (Figures S7 and S8), nor did the spectra of either compound match those of the molecule detected. AMP was stable to the same heat treatment (Figure S9).

**Determining the Stoichiometry of Binding of AMP to the SdKefQCTD Dimer.** nESI MS measurements were then performed to accurately determine the stoichiometry of AMP binding under conditions that preserve noncovalent interactions in the gas phase. The mass spectrum reveals a single charge state series centered around 14+ corresponding to the dimeric protein with two AMP molecules bound (Figure 6A). We did not detect apoprotein or a dimer with one AMP molecule bound, indicating the protein is homogeneously and fully “loaded” with AMP. Activation, achieved by accelerating the protein into a collision cell, results in peaks corresponding to the mass of a protein dimer with a single AMP molecule bound, and an apo dimer (Figure 6 and Table S2). This is consistent with the sequential loss of neutral AMP.

**AMP Stabilizes SdKefQCTD.** Given the regulatory roles played by ATP (and NADH) in TrkAH and KtrAB, we sought to investigate the influence of different nucleotides on the stability of SdKefQCTD in the presence and absence of the peptide ligands GSH and ESG. We used DSF, in which the protein is heated in the presence of a fluorescent dye (SYPRO orange), and as the protein unfolds, an increase in fluorescence is observed. The point at which the gradient of the fluorescence increase is greatest is the measured melting temperature of the protein (T_m). Repeating the experiment in the presence of a ligand that binds to, and hence stabilizes, a folded state of the protein results in an increase in T_m. The change in melting temperature between the free and ligand-bound protein states (ΔT_m) roughly correlates to the ligand’s affinity for the protein; however, there are some caveats. In particular, larger ΔT_m values are typically observed for more entropically driven (e.g., hydrophobic) binding. Therefore, it can be difficult to directly compare ΔT_m values for compounds with very different physicochemical properties.

We hypothesized that stabilization of the Kef T_m by AMP would occur despite the protein being purified with a high percentage of the nucleotide-binding sites occupied by AMP. We assumed that as the temperature increases, and the protein unfolds, the off rate of AMP would also increase, allowing the stabilizing effect of AMP binding to be observed. However, in the presence of the exogenous AMP, the equilibrium would be shifted, partially compensating for the increased off rate, resulting in protein stabilization.

Conducting the DSF experiment in the presence of AMP resulted in a ΔT_m of +15 °C (Figure 7A), which is consistent with tight binding of AMP to SdKefQCTD. ADP showed a ΔT_m of +7 °C, ATP and adenosine had no effect on T_m (Figure 7A), suggesting that much of AMP’s affinity for SdKefQCTD derives from the binding of the phosphate group (Figure 7A). This observation is consistent with the phosphate group—protein interactions that were observed in the SdKefQCTD X-ray crystal structure (Figure 4A) and our computational studies (see below). NADH showed a ΔT_m of +3 °C, but NADP and NAD+ had little effect on T_m.

To compare the binding of the peptide and nucleotide ligands, and to determine whether their binding was competitive, DSF experiments in the presence of two ligands were conducted (Figure 7B and Figure S10). It has previously been shown that GSH has little effect on T_m which is consistent with its low affinity for SdKefQCTD. ESG, which has a higher affinity for SdKefQCTD, has a ΔT_m of +7 °C. ESG binding was additive with the other ligands (Figure S10); for example, the addition of AMP and ESG gives a ΔT_m of +18 °C. No release of AMP was observed upon binding of the high-affinity ESG analogue, tert-butyl-S-glutathione (Figure S11), using CPMG NMR. These data are consistent with structural data showing distinct nucleotide- and peptide-binding sites.

**Mutagenesis Studies for Probing the Role of AMP When It Is Bound to the Rossmann Fold of SdKef and SdKefQCTD.** Mutation of three residues, R416, D436, and H437, was performed in both SdKefQCTD and the full-length protein to test our hypothesis that AMP binding was important for protein stability and, thus, function. We selected H437 because it forms the top of the AMP-binding pocket and stacks with the other ligands (Figure S10); for example, the addition of AMP and ESG gives a ΔT_m of +18 °C. No release of AMP was observed upon binding of the high-affinity ESG analogue, tert-butyl-S-glutathione (Figure S11), using CPMG NMR. These data are consistent with structural data showing distinct nucleotide- and peptide-binding sites.
cell-based K⁺ efflux assays were performed with the full-length mutant proteins expressed in MJF335 (KefB−, KefC−, GshA−) supplemented during growth with 1 mM GSH (Table S3).

H437. H437 forms the top of the AMP-binding pocket and is observed to be in the proximity (~3.5 Å) of the purine ring of AMP (Figure 8). It is, therefore, plausible that stacking interactions between the two aromatic rings stabilize this interaction. The H437A and M437N mutations remove the stacking interactions and give an indication of how important this residue is to the affinity of SdKef for AMP. These mutations, in either SdKefCTD or SdKef, had only limited effects on protein expression and function. Both H437A and H437N showed expression levels similar to that of the wild-type protein in SdKef (Figure 9A) and SdKefQCTD (Figure 9B). Consistent with the expression data, full-length proteins carrying either H437A or H437N were active in a K⁺ efflux assay, although both proteins displayed altered kinetics; H437N exhibited close to wild-type activity in its initial K⁺ loss rate, but the final extent was reduced. On the other hand, H437A displayed ~50% of wild-type activity in the initial rate of K⁺ lost, but the overall loss was similar to that of the wild type. Taken together, these results indicate that the purine ring of AMP contributes only modestly to its affinity for SdKef.

D436. D436 forms hydrogen bonds with the two hydroxyl groups on the ribose ring of AMP (Figure 8). D436E would be expected to form similar interactions with AMP. Consistent with this hypothesis, the D436E mutant in both SdKef and SdKefQCTD exhibited approximately wild-type expression levels (Table 2 and Figure 9). The NEM-elicited efflux of K⁺ from the SdKef D436E mutant was not substantially altered from that of the wild-type protein. The uncharged D436N would be expected to form weakened hydrogen bonding interactions with AMP, while D436A would be unable to form hydrogen bonds with AMP. Both D436A and D436N displayed severely reduced levels of protein expression in both SdKef and SdKefQCTD.
for \textit{S. denitrificans} Kef mutant proteins (Table 2). For D436N, no protein was detected (Figure 9B). A significant decrease in the K⁺ activity of the D436A and D436N proteins was found, in line with the reduced level of expression of these two mutant proteins (Figure 9A). A low level of expression could indicate lower protein stability resulting from impaired AMP binding.

\textbf{R416.} The R416A, R416M, and R416E mutations would be expected to abolish phosphate binding. R416A shows low expression levels for both the full-length \textit{SdKef} (Figure 9A) and truncated \textit{SdKefQCTD} (Figure 9B) proteins. R416M also showed low expression levels for \textit{SdKef} and a reduced level of expression for \textit{SdKefQCTD}. These results are consistent with the mutations decreasing the level of binding of AMP to the KTN domain. However, while R416E showed a very low level of expression for \textit{SdKefQCTD} (Figure 9B and Figure S12), the mutated full-length \textit{SdKef} protein showed wild-type expression levels (Figure 9A). This observation implies that this mutant is more stable in the full-length protein. While R416A retained some activity in the K⁺ assay, R416M had substantially reduced activity, and an R416E mutant was completely inactive, consistent with the proposed hypothesis. While AMP might still be accommodated in R416A, steric occlusion of the binding site would be expected from R416M. R416E would likely be the most disruptive to AMP binding, as the negatively charged γ-carboxylate of glutamate would repel the phosphate group of AMP. However, it is possible that protein folding is better in the full-length protein than in the truncated construct. Once folded, it is possible that E416 forms a salt bridge with R503, stabilizing the protein while not binding AMP, explaining the stable but inactive protein.

\textbf{HPLC Analysis of AMP Retention by the Mutated Proteins.} To determine whether the variation in protein stability and function correlated to the degree of AMP binding, we used HPLC analysis to assess the amount of AMP that was co-purified with the mutated proteins (Figures 10 and 11). AMP retention by purified \textit{SdKefQCTD} D436E and D436A proteins was analyzed as described above, and it was observed that the D436E protein retained almost the same levels of AMP as the wild type whereas the D436A protein had only ∼20% of the normal level (Figure 10). When AMP retention by R416E was analyzed by HPLC, very little AMP could be detected (Figure 11).

\textbf{Computational Analysis of the Effect of Ligand Binding on \textit{SdKefQCTD} Stability.} To investigate computationally the effect of AMP and related ligands on protein stability, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed. To include regions of the protein that are disordered in the X-ray crystal structure, the \textit{SdKefQCTD} homology model previously reported by Healy et al.\textsuperscript{12} was employed. Four systems were evaluated: (a) \textit{SdKefQCTD} bound to one GSH molecule and two AMP molecules (one bound to each of the Rossmann folds in the dimer), (b) \textit{SdKefQCTD} bound to one ESG molecule and two AMP molecules, (c) \textit{SdKefQCTD} bound to one GSH molecule, and (d) \textit{SdKefQCTD} bound to one ESG molecule.

Consistent with the experimental data described above, MD simulations of the systems with AMP bound to the Rossmann fold were more stable than the same model without AMP (as quantified by smaller per-residue fluctuations (shown in Figure 12A,B)). The \textit{SdKefQCTD}–AMP–GSH complex did not show...

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline
\textbf{S. denitrificans} Kef mutant & protein yield (mg/L) & AMP retention (%) \\
\hline
WT-FL & 3 ± 1 & 107.3 ± 21.5 (\(n = 2\)) \\
WT-QCTD & 9 ± 1 & 101.5 ± 11.6 (\(n = 2\)) \\
D436E-QCTD & 8 ± 2 & 99.7 ± 23.2 (\(n = 2\)) \\
D436A-QCTD & 2 ± 1 & 14.7 ± n/a (\(n = 1\)) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Protein Yields and Percentages of AMP Retention of the D436E and D436A Mutants}
\end{table}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure10.png}
\caption{AMP percentage of retention calculated by comparing the absorption at 280 nm (\(A_{280}\)) of the denatured proteins and AMP standards that were run the same day, at equal concentrations, at equal sample volumes, and in identical buffers. A representative example is shown. Mutants D436A and D436E were studied; 100 \(\mu\)L of a 200 \(\mu\)M sample was treated as described in Materials and Methods, and all resulting single peaks appearing in the gel filtration profiles were subjected to further mass spectrometry analysis for nucleotide identification (Figure S5D–F).}
\end{figure}
large conformational changes during the entire simulation. This model had a stabilized root-mean-square deviation (RMSD), relative to the initial point of the MD, of approximately 2.2 Å after the first 5 ns of MD (Figure 12C); the $Sd$KefQCTD–AMP–ESG complex stabilized after 25 ns of MD at an approximately 2.3 Å RMSD (Figure 12C). This behavior is predictable, because the initial homology model was based on the $Ec$KefQCTD X-ray crystal structure with AMP and GSH both bound (PDB entry 3L9W), so some conformational changes are to be expected upon substitution of GSH with ESG. In contrast, a 40 ns
simulation time was insufficient to observe stability for SdKefQCTD−GSH and SdKefQCTD−ESG complexes without AMP bound, which displayed larger geometric fluctuations, as judged by RMSD values of >3 Å. These results are qualitatively consistent with AMP being an important factor in stabilizing the SdKefQCTD structure.

The AMP−R416 interactions (electrostatic and hydrogen bonding) were maintained for 40 ns of MD, with one or two hydrogen bonds observed throughout the simulation (see Figure S13 for further information). Hydrogen bonding interactions at the interface of the protein dimer (R416, E524, R503, and I505) may help to provide structural rigidity. A 40 ns simulation of SdKefQCTD bound only to ESG (Figure 12B) shows the high flexibility of the region containing Pro439−Leu445 residues, as a result of unfavorable steric interactions with the succinimide ring of ESG. This effect is not observed in the SdKefQCTD−AMP−ESG complex, because of hydrogen bonds with Asp436 (Figure S14 and Figure 12B) and aromatic face-to-face (so-called π-stacking) interactions with His437 (Figure S15). These interactions are maintained during 40 ns of MD simulation and increase the structural stability of the amino acids in the P439−L445 region.

■ DISCUSSION

A combination of crystallography, DSF, HPLC analysis, MS, and NMR studies provides evidence that the SdKefQCTD domain binds AMP; HPLC analysis suggests the full-length protein also binds AMP. The stoichiometry of the complex, determined using MS and HPLC, is 1:1 (one AMP molecule per SdKefQCTD monomer), which is consistent with that observed by

Figure 12. (A) Per-residue root-mean-square fluctuations (RMSF) of the Ca atoms of a homology model of SdKefQCTD in the presence of either GSH and AMP (blue) or only GSH (red). (B) Per-residue RMSF of the Ca atoms of a homology model of SdKefQCTD in the presence of either ESG and AMP (green) or only GSH (yellow). (C) Temporal root-mean-square deviation (relative to the starting structure) for Ca atoms during a 40 ns MD simulation for SdKefQCTD in complex with GSH (red), GSH and AMP (blue), ESG (purple), or ESG and AMP (green).
crystallography. Although we were unable to measure a direct binding constant, several pieces of evidence point to this being a high-affinity interaction, which we suggest is approximately in the nanomolar range. First, it was possible to remove AMP only by denaturing the protein and, second, the high degree of thermal stabilization of SdKefQCTD by adding additional AMP; third, we were not able to exchange AMP with related nucleotides, and finally, the AMP must have been bound in the cytoplasm of E. coli, where the concentration of AMP is much lower than those of other adenosine-containing nucleotides. The estimated cellular concentrations of relevant nucleotides in E. coli are 9 mM ATP, 0.5 mM ADP, 0.28 mM AMP, 2.6 mM NAD+, and 0.08 mM NADH.22 AMP pools are integrated with those of ADP and ATP via the activity of adenylate kinase. The E. coli adenylate kinase has a high affinity for AMP (≈30 μM) and a high V_max [1247 μmol min⁻¹ (mg of protein)⁻¹], ensuring a rapid equilibrium.23 Thus, the growing cell maintains an ATP:ADP:AMP ratio of 100:10:2 (stationary phase cells generally have higher AMP concentrations). We did not detect any protein with NAD+, NADH, or ATP bound, which implies that in the cell the domain has a binding constant for AMP at least 200-fold tighter than that for NAD+, 6-fold tighter than that for NADH, 700-fold tighter than for ATP, and 35-fold tighter than for ADP (these values are predicated upon an estimated upper limit of 5% for missed detection of such complexes). The observation that the protein appears (within the error of our measurement) to be fully occupied with AMP despite extensive purification suggests a very tight binding. It should be noted, however, that the observed K_a value of the KtrAB system for nucleotides falls well below the concentration of cellular pools,24 and it has been speculated that the change in these pools is accompanied by nucleotide exchanges that are intrinsic to the allosteric transition.

The Kef system is normally in an inactive, GSH-bound, state. When GSH is replaced by an electrophilic adduct of GSH, this triggers channel opening. The formation of such an adduct occurs when the bacterial cell is intoxicated, and the activation of the K⁺ efflux system is part of the survival response. In such a scheme, what is the role of AMP? Dimeric KefC protein possesses two GSH-binding sites that are located at the interface between the two protein monomers. These sites are in the proximity of the two nucleotide-binding sites, and in fact, complex series of hydrogen bond and salt bridge interactions link AMP, the dimer, and the residues that form the GSH-binding site (Figure 3). We hypothesized that the GSH (and GSH adduct)-binding site requires dimer formation, which in turn is dependent on the presence of AMP. Thus, we propose AMP plays a vital role in creating a protein architecture that can respond to the chemical change induced by binding GSH adducts. Specifically, AMP makes a number of contacts with helix 418–437 that extends across the dimer interface and is thought to be involved in the conformational change associated with Kef channel gating.

In support of this model, we note that addition of exogenous AMP results in significant stabilization of the protein, which is much larger than typically expected for stabilization of a monomeric protein alone. As SdKefQCTD always co-purifies with the bound nucleotide, we were unable to generate a true apo structure to measure the stabilizing effect of ESG on its own; however, we noted its stabilization effect was additive to AMP, and NMR analysis confirmed that ESG binding did not displace AMP. Computational modeling was used to generate a structure lacking bound AMP, and classical MD simulations showed lower structural stabilities, even when GSH was added. By contrast, models with AMP remained stable regardless of whether GSH was present. Experimental confirmation of the importance of AMP binding for structural integrity was obtained by a panel of mutants selected to disrupt AMP binding. In each case, the mutants displayed a decrease in the AMP content of purified protein, reduced stability, and loss of activity.

Previous crystallographic studies of KTN domains from channels and transporters have identified a variety of bound nucleotides. Usually, these have been ligands added during crystallization rather than being carried through during purification, and in many cases, the apoprotein is readily isolated. For the octameric assemblies, the crystallographic evidence of the role of these specific nucleotides has been supported by biochemical evidence. In contrast, for the determined structures of the dimeric complexes, the observed density is consistent with AMP, but crystallography on its own is not a definitive tool for the identification of ligands. For the E. coli KefC KTN domain structures, density consistent with AMP was observed in two crystal forms (the apoprotein and the GSH-bound form), whereas a sulfate ion was found in the AMP-binding site in the ESG-bound form. These structures have provided valuable insight into the nature of the conformational change induced by the electrophilic modification of GSH. A KTN domain structure with AMP has been deposited by a structural genomics consortium (PDB entry 3c85), but no comment about what if any ligands were added and the basis of ligand identification is available. Thus, it seems plausible that the dimeric KTN modules have AMP as a structural moiety, while the octameric proteins have the nucleotides, most probably ATP and NADH, as allosteric modulators of channel activity.

A further difference between the dimeric and octameric assemblies is the recognition of additional ligands, such as GSH, that regulate the activity of the dimeric systems, unlike in the octamers where dissociation of the nucleotide is used to achieve activation. In contrast to the other KTN domains (i.e., Trk, Ktr, and potentially the channels) for which the nucleotide may play a major role in gating, we propose AMP in Kef is required to form the structure that binds GSH and its adducts. It follows, therefore, that the affinity for the gating ligands (whether nucleotide or GSH) should be within the dynamic range of actively metabolizing cells. While a stabilizing ligand should bind sufficiently tightly to ensure the integrity of the protein under all metabolic states.
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