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We present a summary of the campaign of remote observations that supported the European Space Agency’s Rosetta mission. Telescopes across the globe (and in space) followed comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko from before Rosetta’s arrival until nearly the end of mission in September 2016. These provided essential data for mission planning, large-scale context information for the coma and tails beyond the spacecraft, and a way to directly compare 67P with other comets. The observations revealed 67P to be a relatively ‘well behaved’ comet, typical of Jupiter family comets and with activity patterns that repeat from orbit-to-orbit. Comparison between this large collection of telescopic observations and the in situ results from Rosetta will allow us to better understand comet coma chemistry and structure. This work is just beginning as the mission ends – in this paper we present a summary of the ground-based observations and early results, and point to many questions that will be addressed in future studies.
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1. Introduction

Comets are mostly studied via telescopes, and while the European Space Agency (ESA)'s Rosetta mission has told us much more about comet 67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko (hereafter 67P) than remote observation alone could ever achieve, remote observation of the comet is necessary for a number of reasons. Firstly, observations were used to characterise the comet ahead of the spacecraft’s arrival, to plan the mission. Secondly, the comet’s coma and tails stretch thousands to millions of kilometres, far beyond Rosetta’s orbit, so a wider view is necessary to understand the total activity and the large scale context that complements the in situ view from the spacecraft. Finally, telescopic observations allow a comparison between 67P and other comets, the vast majority of which will only ever be astronomical objects and not visited directly. Parallel observations allow Rosetta measurements to provide ‘ground truth’ to compare with the interpretation of observations, allowing various techniques to be tested, and for the lessons from Rosetta to be applied to the wider comet population.

The world-wide campaign of observations of 67P includes most major observatories, and deploys all possible techniques across a wide range of wavelengths, from ultraviolet to radio. Unlike previous comet mission support campaigns (for example those supporting the NASA Deep Impact and EPOXI missions [1,2]), the Rosetta mission and campaign are unique in their long duration – there is not a single fly-by or impact to observe, but rather the long-term evolution of the comet as it approached and then retreated from the Sun. The campaign has been coordinated via a website[^1], mailing lists, and regular meetings. The coordination largely began with a meeting in London in 2012, sponsored by the EU FP7 research infrastructure ‘Europlanet’ under its networking activity fund[^2]. Further meetings were hosted by the European Southern Observatory (ESO) and ESA (usually as parallel sessions to Rosetta Science Working Team meetings). Europlanet again sponsored a workshop in June 2016, at Schloss Seggau near Graz in Austria, towards the end of the parallel Rosetta observations, where results could be exchanged and further analyses of data planned. In addition to the wide range of observations from professional observatory facilities, a large number of amateur astronomers have collected a significant and useful data set. The amateur campaign was coordinated with support from the NASA Rosetta project office, in parallel with and as part of the main campaign, and is described in detail elsewhere [3].

This paper presents an overview of the observations of 67P, together with a review of some key results from the observing campaign. These include description of the large-scale morphology of the comet (section 3), results from spectroscopy (section 4) and polarimetry (section 5), and estimates of total activity levels (section 6). Further detailed studies are ongoing, but some other preliminary results, and discussion on their implications, are included in section 7.

2. Observations

Prior to its selection as the Rosetta mission target in 2003, comet 67P was not particularly well studied. It was discovered in 1969 and observed at its 1983, 1995 and 2002 perihelion passages as part of narrowband photometry surveys of comets [4], and was targeted at larger heliocentric distance (for nucleus observations) in between these [5,6]. While the original target of the Rosetta mission, comet 46P/Wirtanen, was studied in detail as the mission was developed [7], the delay in

[^1]: http://www.rosetta-campaign.net
[^2]: http://europlanet-scienet.fi/
Figure 1. Observability of the comet, as seen from Earth, during the Rosetta mission. The observability of the comet from Earth is shown by hatched, cross-hatched and solid grey areas marking when the solar elongation is less than 50°, 30° and 15°, respectively. Perihelion (in August 2015) is marked by a vertical dashed line. At that time the comet was 43° from the Sun. Dash-dot vertical lines show the boundaries between the years 2014-2016. Upper panel: Solar elongation $\epsilon$ (solid line) and phase angle $\alpha$ (dashed line); Middle panel: Declination; Lower panel: Heliocentric $r$ (solid line) and geocentric $\Delta$ (dashed line) distances.

the launch of the mission (due to concerns about the launch vehicle) meant that 67P was selected only a year before Rosetta launched towards it, and the relatively unknown comet suddenly became the target of many observations. 67P was just past its perihelion at the time, and the first observations from ESO constrained gas activity levels via spectroscopy \[8\], while Hubble Space Telescope (HST) imaging was used to estimate nucleus size, shape and rotation rate information using coma subtraction techniques \[9,10\]. Imaging and polarimetric observations at this and the next perihelion passage (in 2009) were used to constrain the dust activity levels and morphology of the coma \[11–14\], including large scale jets \[15\], to monitor changes in dust properties and produce models of the dust size distribution \[12,16,17\]. Around the aphelion passage between these, a series of observations were used to pin down nucleus properties \[18–22\].

These observations around a full orbit following selection as the Rosetta target meant that, by 2010, 67P was one of the best characterised Jupiter family comets that had not yet been visited by a spacecraft. An analysis of images taken from archives over all previously observed orbits allowed predictions on total activity to be made \[23\], which were largely confirmed during the 2014-2016 Rosetta mission parallel observations. A summary of all observations obtained through the 2009 perihelion passage (up until the end of 2010) is given in table 2 of ref. \[23\]. Additional archival images from the 1 m Jacobus Kapteyn Telescope (JKT) and 2.5 m Isaac Newton Telescope (INT) on La Palma in April 2003 and February 2004, and the 4 m SOAR in August and September 2007, have subsequently been identified. In addition, there were regular observations during the 2011-2012 aphelion passage from ESO telescopes, despite the comet being both faint and located in the direction of the crowded star fields towards the galactic centre.

The coordinated campaign of parallel observations with Rosetta began in the 2013 observing season, with approximately monthly imaging with the ESO 8 m VLT from April to October, primarily dedicated to astrometric measurements to improve the orbit determination ahead of Rosetta's arrival at the comet in 2014. Further observations with the VLT followed the
beginning of detectable activity through 2014, up until the Philae landing in November 2016, which coincided with the end of the 2014 visibility window from Earth. The comet became brighter as it approached perihelion in August 2015, and was observed by a wide range of facilities through the main visibility window in parallel with Rosetta, which stretched from April 2015 until August 2016. The visibility windows around the Rosetta mission are shown in fig. 1, and a summary of all observations in the coordinated campaign is given in table 1. More detailed information on the observations can be found on the online log of observations at http://www.rosetta-campaign.net/observations. The broad geographical spread

### Table 1. Summary table of observations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telescope/Instrument</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Wavelength range</th>
<th>Dates (YY/MM/DD)</th>
<th>ToT</th>
<th>PI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VLT/FLM</td>
<td>IMG</td>
<td>µm</td>
<td>15/04/17 – 16/06/20</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>C. Snodgrass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| VLT/FLM               | IMG       | µm               | 15/03/13 – 16/06/16 | 0.9 | K. Mach
| NOT/ALFOSC            | IMG       | µm               | 15/10/13 – 16/05/27 | 10.6 | H. Lubis |
| NOT/Standard Cam      | IMG       | µm               | 14/09/24 – 16/06/22 | 11.4 | H. Lubis |
| VLT/FORS              | SPEC      | 300-1100 nm      | 14/05/07 – 16/08/18 | 56.1 | C. Snodgrass |
| LBT/3mTCS             | R         | µm               | 16/02/03 – 16/06/26 | 2.7 | K. Mach |
| LOT (1.1m)            | IMG       | BVRL, NB, OSIRIS set | 16/06/30 – 15/11/30 | 50.6 | Z. Liu |
| HST/ACS/WFC           | PCC       | F814W            | 16/06/30 – 15/11/30 | 50.6 | D. Mihos |
| Gemini S/Flamingo-2   | IMG       | J,H,K            | 14/09/19 – 15/06/30 | 5.5 | M. Knight |
| GNC/1.2m              | IMG       | g,r,i,z          | 14/09/20 – 15/11/30 | 4.5 | M. Knight |
| GMT/Spitzer           | IMG       | g,r,i,z          | 14/09/16 – 16/07/04 | 4.6 | D. Koschny |
| VLT/EXINCENTER        | SPEC      | 0.3-2.5 µm       | 16/03/09 – 16/11/16 | 10.1 | C. Snodgrass |
| TRAPPIST 1m           | IMG       | R, V, R, CN, C2, BC, GC, BC | 16/06/30 – 15/11/30 | 50.6 | Z. Liu |
| SATU/70 Augustine - Tulea CCD | IMG       | R           | 16/05/23 – 15/06/17 | 4.0 | H. Lubis |

Notes: ToT = time on target (hours). Techniques are IMG = imaging, PHOT = photometry, SPEC = spectroscopy, IPOL = imaging polarimetry, PMOS = spectropolarimetry. Filters in letters for standard bands, with lowercase (griz) indicating SDSS type filters and upper case (BVRI) indicating Johnson/Cousins types. NB = narrowband (followed by central wavelengths), some cometary narrowband filters labelled by name (e.g. CN around CN emission band). Wavelength range given for spectroscopy, in typical unit (nm for visible, µm for near-IR, etc.).
of participating observatories is illustrated in fig. 2. Totalling the (approximate) time on target from each set of observations, we calculate that ~1300 hours of telescope time were dedicated to observing comet 67P during the Rosetta mission.

In 2014 we were mainly limited to larger telescopes, the 8 m VLT and Gemini-S, due to the comet’s brightness and Southern declination. There were also observations using the 2.5 m Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) on the island of La Palma, which has the advantage of being able to point to low elevations, and was therefore one of the only telescopes able to follow the comet over the full observability range from both hemispheres [25]. In the second quarter of 2015 the comet was briefly visible from Southern sites again, before being a Northern hemisphere target through perihelion, although visibility was limited to a short window before sunrise from any given site.

Around perihelion robotic telescopes played a large part in the campaign, as these are ideal for obtaining regular short observations [26]. One of the key robotic contributors to the campaign was the 0.6 m TRAPPIST telescope at La Silla observatory in Chile [27], which is dedicated to monitoring comets (and extrasolar planets). A larger robotic facility, the 2 m Liverpool Telescope (LT) on La Palma [28], was able to provide spectroscopic monitoring using a new instrument specially designed and commissioned for this observing campaign, LOTUS [29]. The LT observations were performed as part of a large International Time Programme across six Canary Island telescopes, which enabled a wide range of observations to be taken with various techniques (broad- and narrow-band imaging, spectroscopy, polarimetry) across the visible and near-IR wavelengths. Near-IR observations were also taken at the NASA IRTF facility on Hawaii and over a long period at the Gemini telescopes, while even longer wavelength observations were possible with the Spitzer and NEOWISE space telescopes in the IR and sub-mm arrays on Earth, including ALMA, near to perihelion. Meanwhile spectroscopic observations continued at the ESO VLT over as wide a time range as possible, despite some very challenging weather in 2016 in Chile. Many other facilities contributed imaging monitoring observations while the comet was relatively bright and well placed in late 2015 and the first half of 2016, with the Wendelstein observatory in Germany [30], Calar Alto observatory in Spain, ESA’s optical ground station on Tenerife, the Lulin observatory in Taiwan and the Lowell Observatory in the USA providing regular and world-wide coverage.

As Rosetta entered its extended mission in 2016 the comet was increasingly visible all night, although fading as it retreated from the Sun, and was targeted with wide-field imagers, including
a serendipitous deep and wide observation with the 8 m Subaru telescope (Hyper Suprime-Cam) on Hawaii, and with integral field unit spectrographs (MUSE and SINFONI at the VLT), to investigate compositional variations across the gas and dust coma. The dust coma was further investigated by measuring its polarisation as the observing geometry changed, using various facilities including the Russian 6 m, the 2 m at Rohzen observatory in Bulgaria, the 4 m William Herschel Telescope on La Palma, the HST, and the VLT. Finally, as the Rosetta mission reached its end in September 2016, a last set of remote imaging observations was collected by the NASA Kepler satellite, as the comet happened to be crossing the survey field of this facility in the weeks before the end of mission, after it was no longer visible from Earth.

3. Large scale morphology

The appearance of the comet changed significantly during the campaign, as it went from inactive, through perihelion, and then as the activity faded as it retreated from the Sun. A selection of images that illustrate the general appearance of the comet is shown in fig. 3.

The earliest observations in the campaign (in 2013 and early 2014) showed a point source, an apparently inactive nucleus, although photometry and observations from Rosetta/OSIRIS indicated that detectable activity began early in 2014, when the comet was more than 4 au from the Sun [24,31]. The comet became visibly active during 2014, showing a short tail at least 10 arcseconds long, corresponding to 25 000 km at the distance of the comet, by the time of the Philae landing in November.

When the comet was again visible from Earth in 2015 it was considerably brighter, with a teardrop shape and a long tail (∼70″ / 120 000 km), showing a similar appearance through perihelion. The apparent tail length increased as the comet continued to brighten, and also as it became visible in darker skies. As the comet began to retreat from the Sun it took on a distinct aspect, similar to that shown on previous orbits, with a broad coma and a clear narrow tail (possibly a so-called ‘neck-line’, composed of dust released 180° in true anomaly before the date of observation), along with a very long dust trail tracing its orbit. It maintained this appearance until the end of the campaign, although fading as it reached ∼3.5 au from the Sun by the end of observations in 2016.

The long dust trail was particularly apparent in wide field images obtained in early 2016, when the comet was well placed for deep imaging. Figure 4 shows a mosaic taken with the wide field camera on the 2.5 m INT on La Palma, with each L-shaped field-of-view covering approximately half a degree on a side. The trail can be traced to over 10⁷ km from the comet, but is seen to be at a slightly different angle from the tail/neck-line feature that is brighter closer to the comet. The ‘two tails’ (trail and neck-line) are also apparent in deep and wide-field images obtained serendipitously with the 8 m Subaru telescope on Mauna Kea, in observations on 2016/03/08 using the new Hyper Suprime-Cam, which is a mosaic imager with a 1.5 degree field of view (fig. 5). Detailed modelling of these structures is still to be done, but it is clear that the trail and neck-line become more apparent post-perihelion. Finson-Probststein models [32,33] indicate that the narrow tail structure should contain old dust; for example in early November 2015 it should be dust that is at least 400 days old, released long before perihelion [30].

It is worth noting that only dust tails (or trails) were observed. Despite dedicated searches there was no ion tail feature seen. The observing geometry (and the low inclination of 67P’s orbit) meant that such observations were always challenging, but it seems that any ion features near to the comet were too faint to separate from the dust, and further away could not be detected even in the deepest images. While the comet was relatively bright a number of observations were made through narrowband filters, either special cometary filters from the Hale-Bopp set [34], or by selecting suitable bandpasses from larger narrowband sets (e.g. for the 10 m Gran Telescopio Canarias [GTC]). At the 1 m Lulin Optical Telescope (LOT) in Taiwan and at the 2.4 m at Lijiang in China, copies of the same narrowband filter set flown on Rosetta/OSIRIS [35] were used to observe the comet from the ground, providing a direct comparison between the inner 10s of km seen from the spacecraft and the whole coma. Preliminary results from narrowband imaging do
not reveal obvious differences between gas and dust morphologies, other than the large scale gas coma being more symmetrical with no tail seen, but the observations generally have relatively poor S/N and analysis is ongoing. Photometry from these images can be used to derive gas production rates, which are consistent with spectroscopy results (see section 4), and (in the case of the LOT/Lijiang data) will be used to make direct comparisons with the Rosetta/OSIRIS gas observations [36,37].

The morphology within the coma on $10^3$–$10^5$ km scales is more complex than the large scale tail/trails picture. Various image enhancement techniques can be used to reveal structure within the coma, and a similar pattern is visible in different data sets and using different techniques. A stable pattern of fans or jets is seen using either Larson-Sekanina [38] processing or subtraction of an azimuthal median profile (fig. 6). This pattern showed a slow evolution [30,39], with the
Figure 4. Wide field image taken with the 2.5 m INT in March 2016, showing the long trail (approximately 2 degrees).

Figure 5. Wide field image taken with the 8 m Subaru telescope and the Hyper Suprime-Cam, taken on 2016/03/08 when the comet was at 2.5 au from the Sun. Left: Full field of view of HSC (single frame), showing the region containing the comet. Top right: Extracted comet region (62.3 × 14.2 arcmin), total of 10 × 6 minute exposures stacked. Bottom right: same images median combined after shifting to account for comet motion.

relative intensity of the different jets approximately following the changing seasons on the comet – the Southern structures are brighter around perihelion when this hemisphere of the nucleus is illuminated [40]. Preliminary analysis of coma morphology seen throughout the apparition is consistent with predictions [15] for the source regions and pole solution [Vincent, priv. comm.], indicating that these jets are features that reappear each orbit [30,39,41].

Further analysis of the shape of the coma reveals evidence for a short-lived change (outburst) in late August 2015, around the time of peak activity post-perihelion, and a change in the slope of the coma profile indicating possible dust fragmentation [30]. Observations with the HST
revealed differences in polarisation within the jets compared with the background coma [42]. Finally, models can be employed to recreate the coma morphology based on assumptions on dust properties. In the case of 67P, where in situ instruments provide many constraints on these properties, detailed models have linked the 2014 observations and early OSIRIS observations [43] and will further investigate the changing morphology with time [44].

4. Composition

From the ground, the composition of comets (or any astrophysical object) is generally probed by spectroscopy. The solid components of the comet (its nucleus and dust coma) have similar and generally featureless spectra, reflecting sunlight back with a red slope in the visible range and a more neutral reflectance spectrum in the near-IR. The spectrum of the dust coma seen early in the mission matched Rosetta/VIRTIS observations of the nucleus [24,45]. As the comet approached perihelion Rosetta observations revealed some variation across the surface, including exposed ice patches. Near-IR spectroscopy with Gemini-N (GNIRS) and the NASA IRTF (SpeX) was obtained with the goal to look for and characterise the signatures of water-ice grains in the coma as previously obtained in the much more active comet 103P/Hartley 2 [46]. Analyses of the GNIRS and SpeX data are ongoing [47].

The gas coma of comets is far more revealing, as emission features from various species can be measured across all possible wavelengths, for bright enough comets. Rosetta’s own remote sensing spectrograph suite observed the gas coma from the UV through to the sub-mm (ALICE, VIRTIS, MIRO), detecting water already from June 2014 onwards and also mapping CO₂, OH and CN, among other species [48-50]. From the ground we were mostly limited to observations...
Figure 7. Spectrum taken with the blue arm of ISIS on the WHT, on 2015/08/19, with the comet just past perihelion. The narrow red line shows a scaled solar analogue (i.e. the continuum/dust signal) for comparison. The strongest emission bands are identified.

of 67P in the visible range, where emissions from so-called ‘daughter’ species are seen (e.g. fig. 7). Using the detection of these species to probe the composition of the parent ices in the nucleus requires the use of photochemistry models, which Rosetta presents a unique opportunity to test (by comparing these observations with in situ measurements of parent gasses escaping directly from the nucleus). The spectrum in figure 7 was taken with the ISIS spectrograph on the WHT within a week of perihelion, and shows a fairly typical comet emission pattern, with obvious OH and CN bands, and weaker C$_2$, C$_3$ and NH features. The intensity of C$_2$ and C$_3$ in spectra of 67P recorded in this campaign is relatively low (compared with the strong CN band), placing 67P in the carbon-chain depleted class of comet, in agreement with earlier observations [51].

Longer wavelength and/or higher resolution spectroscopy was possible when the comet was at its brightest. High-resolution near-IR spectroscopy, useful to separate cometary water emission lines from the terrestrial atmosphere, was attempted close to perihelion (2015/07/26-31) in good conditions with CSHELL on the IRTF, but resulted only in (3σ) upper limits of $Q$(H$_2$O) $\leq$ 5.1 $\times$ 10$^{27}$ molecules s$^{-1}$ and $Q$(C$_2$H$_6$) $\leq$ 9.9 $\times$ 10$^{25}$ molecules s$^{-1}$, assuming a rotational temperature of 40 K. These limits were close to the total water production interpolated from Rosetta results ($\sim$ 3.9 $\times$ 10$^{27}$ molecules s$^{-1}$ for late July [52]), suggesting a detection was just out of reach. At longer IR wavelengths Spitzer/IRAC [53,54] and WISE [55] photometry can be used to estimate the production rate of CO$_2$ [56–58], another major parent species in the coma. The comet’s CO$_2$-to-dust ratio at 2.8 to 3.0 AU (post-perihelion) appeared relatively low compared with other comets observed at similar distances in the same survey [59]. Figure 8 shows the four Spitzer epochs median combined into a single image. An asymmetry in the 4.5 $\mu$m coma due to emission from the CO$_2$ $\nu_3$ band at 4.26 $\mu$m suggests the production of this gas is dominated by the southern hemisphere, similar to Rosetta/VIRTIS and Rosetta/ROSINA observations from elsewhere in the orbit [60–62]. Observations were also carried out in the sub-mm range, using the
Figure 8. Spitzer/IRAC images of the comet at 3.6 and 4.5 µm (left and center). The CO\textsubscript{2} coma (right) is apparent after the 3.6 µm image (dust) is subtracted from the 4.5 µm image (dust and gas). Celestial North (N), the projected orbital velocity (v), and the projected direction of the Sun (☉) are marked with arrows. Each image is approximately 200000 km on a side.

large ground-based facilities IRAM and ALMA to detect HCN (a parent of CN) and CH\textsubscript{3}OH at rates of \sim 9 \times 10\textsuperscript{24} and \sim 2 \times 10\textsuperscript{26} molecules s\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively, in September 2015. From above Earth’s atmosphere, observations with the Odin satellite in November 2015 searched for a water signature, but were only able to give upper limits (Q(H\textsubscript{2}O) \sim 3.3 \times 10\textsuperscript{27} molecules s\textsuperscript{-1}), again close to the Rosetta value at that time [52].

5. Polarimetry

Polarimetry, and more specifically linear polarisation imaging and phase curves, provides evidence for changes in dust physical properties and gives clues to size and morphology of the dust particles (see, e.g., ref [63]). Polarimetric images of 67P have been obtained from the HST ACS/WFC in 2014, 2015 and 2016. In August and November 2014, the comet, still far away from the Sun, was observed at low galactic latitudes; for a phase angle about 15.5°, the average polarisation was nominal, about -2% [64]. Three months after perihelion, in November 2015, the comet was still quite active, with conspicuous structures in intensity; for a phase angle about 33°, the average polarisation was in agreement with what had been noticed at the previous passage [12], above average values, suggesting significant changes in the properties of dust aggregates ejected by the comet after perihelion [42,65]. Polarimetric images have also been obtained from the VLT, the WHT, Rohzen observatory, and BTA, the 6 m Russian telescope, between August 2015 and April 2016. The polarisation maps (fig. 9) provide evidence for different properties (e.g. size, shape, porosity) in the dust particles across the coma [66].

6. Total activity

One of the fundamental measurements provided by the Earth-based view of 67P was an assessment of the ‘total’ activity of the comet, which is an important reference for Rosetta results. Activity measurements from the spacecraft necessarily depend on various models, to reconstruct the global activity from a local measurement at one position inside the coma. Reassuringly, attempts to compare the measurements from various instruments with the ground-based total activity view produce largely consistent results (including between different Rosetta instruments, although there some differences between ROSINA and VIRTIS), suggesting that the models used to interpret local measurements are valid [52,67].
The total activity of the comet can be measured in various ways from the ground, looking at the dust or gas coma. The total dust activity is easiest to follow, and can be assessed using broadband photometry (typically $R$-band, to avoid contamination in the bandpass by gas emissions). Archival imaging was used to measure the total activity in previous orbits [23], and make predictions for 2014-2016, and the same sort of measurements were applied to the campaign data: We measure the total brightness of the comet in $R$-band within a constant circular aperture of radius $\rho = 10,000$ km at the distance of the comet. Some observations were taken with Johnson or Cousins $R$-band filters, while others used the $r'$ filter of the SDSS system (and VLT/FORS observations used the 'R_SPECIAL' filter that is somewhere between these). All photometry was calibrated onto the Cousins $R$ (Landolt) photometric scale, using transformations from the SDSS system and the $(g-r) = 0.62 \pm 0.04$ colour of the comet measured with the LT near perihelion where necessary [26]. This allowed direct comparison with predictions.

We show the measured total brightness of the comet in $R$-band in fig. 10, along with the prediction from three previous orbits. It is immediately clear that the comet’s brightness followed the prediction very well, implying that the activity level of the comet is consistent from one orbit to the next. It is also clear that the campaign resulted in very complete coverage of the 2014-2016 period, with regular observations whenever it was possible to obtain them. The peak in activity in late August (~2 weeks after perihelion) is obvious. There are subtle differences between data sets taken with different filters ($R$ vs $r'$), implying a possible change of colour in the coma with time. There are no large outbursts or other sudden brightness changes, and the phase function assumed in the prediction (a linear phase function with $\beta = 0.02$ mag deg$^{-1}$) clearly gives a decent fit over the range of phase angles seen from Earth ($\alpha \leq 35^\circ$). The simple power law dependencies on heliocentric distance on which the predictions are based ($\propto \rho^{-5.2}$ pre-perihelion and $\propto \rho^{-5.4}$ post-perihelion [23]) can be used to give a good first order description of the dust brightness.
Figure 10. Total $R$-band magnitude of the comet, compared with prediction from previous orbits (solid line). Solar elongation is indicated with hatching as in fig. 1.

Just before perihelion the observed brightness appears to be slightly below the prediction, but this is probably an effect of the peak in activity being offset slightly from perihelion, which isn’t considered in the simple power law model [26]. In terms of the widely used $Af\rho$ parameter for quantifying cometary activity [68], we find that the peak in activity was around $Af\rho \approx 1000$ cm. The Wendelstein data support an $Af\rho$ power law dependence on heliocentric distance with an exponent of -3.7 to -4.2, depending on the phase function assumed, using data from mid-September to the end of December 2015 [30]. This is close to the $Af\rho \propto r^{-3.4}$ post-perihelion from the prediction paper, and within the range of previous determinations discussed there [23].

The total activity can also be measured in terms of gas production rates. The most abundant species released by the comet is water, but this is difficult to measure from the ground – only comets considerably brighter than 67P can be regularly observed with high resolution spectroscopy to separate cometary water emission lines (e.g. in the near-IR) from the terrestrial atmosphere. In the weeks pre-perihelion an upper limit of $Q(H_2O) \lesssim 5.1 \times 10^{27}$ molecules s$^{-1}$ was measured with IRTF, as described in section 4. The alternative way to obtain water production rates from the ground is through observation of the daughter species such as OH, via the emission bands around 308 nm in the UV, or the [OI] lines near 630 nm. These are also challenging for a faint comet, given the strong absorption in the UV by atmospheric ozone and the need for high resolution to separate oxygen lines from terrestrial ones. Successful detections of OH were made in 67P, primarily using the ISIS spectrograph on the WHT (fig. 7). A production rate of $Q(OH) = 2.6 \times 10^{27}$ molecules s$^{-1}$ was found on 2015/08/19 (within a week of perihelion), which corresponds to $Q(H_2O) = 3.2 \times 10^{27}$ molecules s$^{-1}$. Further observations with ISIS were attempted until April 2016, but the OH production rate was only measurable relatively close to perihelion [69]. Observations of OH emission with the Lowell 1.1m and Kron photoelectric photometer (and narrowband filters) were used to derive water production rates of $Q(H_2O) = 7.7$ and $3.4 \times 10^{27}$ molecules s$^{-1}$ on 2015/09/12 and 2015/10/15, respectively. [OI] lines were detected using UVES on the VLT and HIRES on Keck in late 2015. While used in the past as a reliable proxy for $H_2O$ production in comets [70–72], the detection of abundant molecular oxygen in the coma of 67P [73] complicates interpretation of the observed [OI] line fluxes in terms of $H_2O$ production rates.
The most easily detected gas species in a typical cometary coma is the CN radical, with a strong emission band around 389 nm, so the longer term gas production rate was monitored by observations of this band. Preliminary Rosetta results suggest that CN production does largely follow the water production rate, although there may be some long term variation in the relative proportions [Altwegg, priv. comm.]. The detection of CN was still challenging in 67P, with sensitive searches with the VLT and FORS in 2014 unsuccessful [24]. When the comet returned to visibility in 2015 CN was still not immediately detected, despite the considerably brighter coma, and an upper limit of $2 \times 10^{23}$ molecules s$^{-1}$ was found in May with the VLT, even though the heliocentric distance was only 1.6 au. The rate then rapidly increased, with a positive detection finally achieved in early July at $2 \times 10^{24}$ molecules s$^{-1}$ (1.35 au), and a range of facilities were able to make observations via spectroscopy or narrowband imaging in the months after perihelion, while VLT and SAO 6 m observations continued to trace CN out to $\sim 3$ au post-perihelion. Estimates of the CN production rate against heliocentric distance are shown in fig. 11. The strong asymmetry around perihelion is clear – while detection was impossible with even the best telescopes until just before perihelion inbound, CN was measured for many months post-perihelion.

7. Discussion and open questions

The observing campaign largely demonstrated 67P to be a fairly typical Jupiter family comet, with a predictable and smoothly varying activity level, and no major outbursts or unusual events. In this way it confirmed that Rosetta was seeing typical behaviour of a typical object – an important
statement that allows the conclusions from Rosetta measurements to be taken as generally true for comets. However, there were some surprises that require further investigation. The most obvious of these is the puzzling difference between the symmetrical rise and fall of total activity as measured by dust brightness, and the sharp onset and then slow decrease in activity measured by CN gas production. Taken at face value this implies a significant change in dust-to-gas ratio with time, but the observation does not agree with the symmetrical rise and fall in total gas production seen by in situ Rosetta instruments \[52, 67\]. While Rosetta/ROSINA sees some change in the CN/water ratio with time, these are subtle, and in general in situ measurements find that the CN and water production rates appeared to be correlated (while the relative abundance of other major species to water, e.g. CO\(_2\)/water, varies across the nucleus and with time \[49, 60\]). The apparent turn on and off of CN as seen from Earth are near to the dates of equinox on the comet, so this could be a seasonal effect (i.e. the CN parent is mostly released from the Southern hemisphere), but this was not obvious in Rosetta/ROSINA measurements [Altwegg, priv. comm.]. This implies a difference between in situ and whole coma measurements, which still needs to be explained. One possibility is a distributed source of CN, at distances > 100 km from the nucleus, that is not seen by Rosetta. A more detailed analysis of the long term gas and dust production rate monitoring will appear in a future paper \[75\].

8. Conclusion

An unprecedented long-term campaign of observations followed comet 67P throughout the Rosetta mission, including characterisation of the nucleus and activity levels before the spacecraft arrived, and parallel to its operational period (2014-2016). This made 67P one of the best studied short period comets, with observations following it from its inactive state through perihelion and back out to beyond 3 au, despite challenging geometry (low solar elongation) for much of this apparition. The parallel observations with the long-term in situ monitoring from Rosetta provide a unique opportunity to test observational techniques and models against ‘ground-truth’. We
find that the comet’s brightness largely varies in a smooth and predictable way, with no major outbursts or changes from orbit-to-orbit, but subtle variations can be identified. The morphology of both the inner coma and the large scale tails and trails is also repeatable between orbits, and implies a stable pattern of activity, which we hope to correlate with the detailed view of active regions seen by the spacecraft. The comet’s composition is typical of the carbon-depleted class, but the dust and CN gas production rates varied in different ways around perihelion, indicating possible differences in composition across the nucleus. With ~ 1300 hours of observation over 4 years, there is a wealth of ground-based data to compare with the treasure trove of Rosetta results: A large number of detailed follow up studies are on going, and will be published in the coming year(s).

Data Accessibility. It is our intention that all observational data from the campaign will be archived alongside Rosetta instrument data at the ESA Planetary Science Archive (http://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/psa). In addition, much of the raw data are (or will be) available from individual observatory archive facilities. Observing log information available at http://www.rosetta-campaign.net will also be permanently archived at the PSA.
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