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Abstract

This dissertation explores the transformation of Chinese *materia medica* in the 19th- and the first half of the 20th-centuries, especially the Republican period, in a global context. It is based on a microhistory of the caterpillar fungus, a curious object and also a medicinal substance initially used by Tibetans no later than the 15th century and then assimilated into Chinese *materia medica* from the 18th century. This study first traces the transmission of specimens and knowledge of the caterpillar fungus in Chinese society and from China to France, Britain, Russia and Japan by the end of the 19th century; then it investigates the tensions and negotiations between Chinese and newly produced European knowledge about the caterpillar fungus, initially emerging in 18th- and 19th-century Europe but then shifting to communities of scientists, traditional physicians and other intellectuals in Republican China. The overall research question is that why did the caterpillar fungus attract the attention of so many different scientific communities, and how did its transnational travels impact on the making of the 20th-century Chinese *materia medica*? Drawing on Bruno Latour’s discourse on the agency of objects and characterisations of modernity, this dissertation demonstrates that the caterpillar fungus stimulated people’s curiosity about exotic objects and their pursuit of new medicinal substances, with itself changing from a transformable wonder in China to a scientific wonder in Europe and East Asia in transnational networks of knowledge production; in the meantime the caterpillar fungus also witnessed the powerful rhetoric of modern science. On the basis of a further analysis of changes in knowledge about Chinese medicinal substances represented by the caterpillar fungus in Republican China, I argue that the ‘modern’ Chinese *materia medica*, characterised by plural knowledge systems related to and in conversation with the new goal of scientification, had never been modern.
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Chapter 1 Introduction

‘Earlier accounts of the historical construction of Western science, technology, and medicine in Republican China depended on specious claims that the Chinese sciences failed during the Ming and Qing dynasties.

The Chinese construction of modern science, medicine, and technology on their own terms is a remarkable achievement, even if they did not initiate the internal and external revolutions that provoked that construction.’

—— Benjamin A. Elman¹

In the last three decades the introduction of new methodologies and perspectives related to the extensive participation of sociologists, historians and anthropologists have substantially added to the vitality of studies in the history of Chinese medicine. Previously, such studies were almost all undertaken by medical authors.² However, although there have been numerous studies of the history of a modern Chinese medicine,³ there has been no critical review that can compare with those of the secondary literature on classical Chinese medicine.⁴ Moreover, historians of late-19th- and early-20th-century medicine in China, generally speaking, have given insufficient attention to materia medica and the historical studies involved in its making. A ‘modern’ Chinese materia medica is often discussed together with, rather than independent of other aspects of traditional medicine in China, the latter taken to refer to the complex of acupuncture, traditional Chinese medical theories and related techniques such as massage, therapeutic movement and dietetics known globally as Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM). According to He Bian’s recent Ph.D dissertation, medicinal substances gradually obtained their central position in Chinese medicine in late imperial

---

¹ Elman 2005, p. 420.
³ The widely known series The Cambridge History of China defines the year 1800 as the beginning of the modern period in Chinese history, see Twitchett and Fairbank 1978; Twitchett and Fairbank 1980. However, Chinese historians usually prefer to use the year 1840 as the starting point of modern Chinese history, see Jiang 2002, pp. 1-8.
⁴ In this dissertation, the term ‘modern’ in the Chinese context refers to the period from 1840 to 1949.

Although scholars have written a few reviews involving modern Chinese medicine, they just focus on some facets such as diseases, see, for example, Pi 2006, pp. 251-278. In contrast, there are many reviews of historical studies of Qing and pre-Qing Chinese medicine, see, for example, Hinrichs 1998, pp. 287-352; Zheng 1998, pp. 152-181; Yu 2002, pp. 15-23; Di 2014, pp. 65-72. The term ‘classical’ is frequently used by Marta Hanson in her monograph on diseases in late imperial China, see, for example, Hanson 2011, pp. 11-14. Compared with terms such as ‘pre-modern’, this term gives a sense of the genealogy of Chinese medical knowledge. In this dissertation I am inclined to use the term ‘classical’ to refer to the Chinese medicine/materia medica that had not been profoundly influenced and adapted by biomedicine. But I do not abandon the use of the term ‘pre-modern/modern’ (Chinese medicine and alike) when the emphasis of my narratives is placed on different times.
China. While in the first half of the 20th century, the undoubted efficacy of key Chinese medicinal substances, which embodies all the value of Chinese medicine in the eyes of many influential traditional physician and scholar commentators, has been at the heart of modern controversies between Chinese and Western medicine, and deployed in arguments on both sides of an impassioned debate about whether there is any value in TCM in China. Detractors warn of its potential poisonous effects, while supporters of the use of traditional Chinese substances point to how some, like artemesia, have become modern drugs effective in the battle against high priority infectious diseases in the 21st century. These controversies also accompanied a dramatic transformation in theories about and research into Chinese materia medica, which did not happen spontaneously in China alone, but were closely connected to changes in the study of ‘nature’ in the world. This makes it impossible to study 20th- and 21st-century Chinese materia medica as a discrete topic in isolation both from its relationship to pharmacology in pre-modern times and to its emergence in medical practice, and debates about medical practice, abroad. Of course, there were differences between ‘nature’ in Chinese and European contexts. Georges Métaillé’s investigation of the concept of ‘nature’ in 16th- and 17th-century Chinese materia medica indicates that ‘in the Chinese context the natural world was acculturated since the origins and presented to mankind with its ‘directions for use’, when on the opposite in Europe it was an open space to be discovered, its objects named and possibly used for the benefit of mankind.’ The concept of ‘nature’ (the physical world as opposed to humans or human creations) in the European context did not exist in China until it was transmitted to China through translation in the late 19th century. Some historians also point out that there was not a nature generally independent of humans in pre-19th-century China; and the sense of the classical Chinese term ‘bo wu 博物’, which was often associated with almost everything ranging from plants and animals to historical tales and celestial beings, did not correspond to that of the term ‘natural history’ in the European context.

This dissertation mainly examines the transformation of Chinese materia medica in the 19th and the first half of the 20th centuries, especially the Republican period (1912-1949). It highlights

---

5 Bian 2014.
6 Chen 1935c, p. 1; Hao 2006, pp. 83, 88-93. To facilitate expression I am inclined to use the term ‘traditional physicians’ or ‘physicians of classical medicine’ rather than ‘Chinese physicians’ to refer to the ‘zhong yi 中醫’ in this dissertation, though some of them might see themselves revolutionary, and some aspects of Chinese medicine have always been changing. See also later discussions on ‘Chinese/tradition/traditional’ in this chapter.
the key importance of *materia medica* to the survival and social context of TCM. Drawing inspiration from Clifford M. Foust, Zheng Yangwen and Marta E. Hanson’s studies of rhubarb, opium and snakestones, respectively, I present the transformation of modern Chinese *materia medica* in global context through the biography of *dong chong xia cao* 冬蟲夏草 (the caterpillar fungus). This biography, in many ways, functions as a microhistory of the changing status of the Chinese *materia medica* in the late Qing and Republican periods. Compared with authors of previous histories of Chinese *materia medica*, I am more concerned about the origins and flows of foreign knowledge in the modern Chinese *materia medica*. This biography of the caterpillar fungus enables me to closely track specific perceptions and knowledge generated and circulated in different cultures in company with the dissemination of the Chinese medicinal substances themselves. This will throw new light on their roles in the shaping of the Chinese *materia medica*. The overall research question of this dissertation is that why did the caterpillar fungus attract the attention of so many different scientific communities, and how did its global travels impact on the making of the 20th-century Chinese *materia medica*?

To some extent this dissertation responds to Harold Cook’s appeal for historical studies of the ‘transmissibility’ of different kinds of medical knowledge and substances and, as a corollary, it explains how certain qualities predispose a substance to travel. This latter part of the question also locates my dissertation as a response to Latour who has argued that objects themselves have agency in the networks of knowledge creation. The agency of objects is one of the key notions of the influential actor-network-theory (ANT) which was authored in the 1980s through collaborations between Bruno Latour, Michel Callon, and John Law in the social studies of science and technology. ANT breaks down simplistic modern dichotomies between humans and nonhumans, subject and object, society and science, culture and nature, pre-modern and modern; it suggests that both humans and nonhumans can be equal actors in any transformative event. In this case nonhumans such as the caterpillar fungus are no longer passive objects but have agency to ‘transform, translate, distort, and modify the meaning or the elements they are supposed to carry’ in heterogeneous networks of actors, aligned by their shared interests. This dissertation will reveal how the caterpillar fungus participated in the creation of scientific disciplines by inspiring

---

mycologists to establish new categories of fungi, and pharmacologists to explore its reported medicinal efficacy in new terms. To do so it had to intrigue physicians, collectors, merchants, mycologists, entomologists, museum curators and many national institutions with its curious transformations; its secret had to speak clearly to their respective hierarchies in the new transnational commercial, political and scientific worlds with the promise of epistemologically relevant data.

My selection of the caterpillar fungus for the focus of this thesis is mainly based on the following three criteria: first, it has long been used as a medicinal substance in Chinese society. Nowadays it remains very popular, expensive and highly esteemed in and even outside China. Second, it is a representative cross-cultural medicinal substance which continuously reinvents itself. Initially, more than five centuries ago, it was used by Tibetans. Two centuries later it began to be employed by traditional physicians living in central and eastern China around the course and hinterland of the two main rivers, the Yellow River and the Yangtze River, and also in the northeast, particularly Beijing. Thereafter it was then assimilated into the Chinese materia medica. People arriving from other places in the world, both from the East and from the West, beyond the geographic and political boundaries of China, obtained the caterpillar fungus and produced new styles of knowledge about it in their own cultures. The ensuing world picture of the history of the caterpillar fungus will help us to get to the international roots of the transformation of Chinese materia medica. Third, as a ‘natural’ object intriguing to different scientific communities concerned with the construction of knowledge and the transformation of traditions, it facilitates a nuanced discussion about the significance of changes in the wider world to the transformation of the Chinese materia medica. In this dissertation the caterpillar fungus, and its extraordinary ability to transform itself from one thing to quite another, is also a metaphor for the dynamic mutability of medical systems, as well as the transformation of modern Chinese materia medica itself.

Since the mid-20th century there have been articles and books published concerning the history of the caterpillar in China, Japan and the West. The major contribution of the East Asian secondary accounts lies in exploration, rather than interpretation, of related historical sources in different languages. For example, late twentieth-century authors Zhu Zhengang 祝振綱 (1956), Nie San 聶三 (1984) and Chen Shiyu 陳士瑜 (1991 & 1993) have discovered some accounts of the caterpillar fungus in modern and pre-modern Chinese notebooks, and literary, medical and natural history texts.

This is representative of traditional evidential scholarship and adds to those records originally gathered by Zhao Xuemin 趙學敏 (1719-1805) over two centuries ago. But, as I will explain, the quality of their textual research varies. Kobayashi Yoshio 小林義雄 (1986) and Okuzawa Yasumasa 奥沢康正 (2007 & 2012) offer us some finely arranged 18th- and 19th-century Japanese historical sources on the caterpillar fungus. But, for our purposes, the concept of the caterpillar fungus in Okuzawa’s publications is broader than that in pre-modern Chinese literature and can create misconceptions about the earlier records (see Chapter 3). In his ethnographic account of modern usage of the caterpillar fungus, Daniel Winkler gives us some historical background. He helpfully introduces and translates a 15th-century Tibetan record of the caterpillar fungus, but makes the mistake of identifying the *Ben cao bei yao* 本草備要 (Essentials of Materia Medica) as the earliest extant Chinese record of the caterpillar fungus. In her study of the exoticism demonstrated in Zhao Xuemin’s extension of Li Shizhen’s 李時珍 (1518-1593) *materia medica*, Carla Nappi introduces quite a few historical European and Chinese records of the caterpillar fungus, but also introduces some inaccuracies in her narrative. In contrast, Bhushan Shrestha and his colleagues provide a relatively extensive and very accurate survey of historical European scientific records of the caterpillar fungus, but their attention is mainly devoted to the scientific naming of the caterpillar fungus. Overall, with the exception of Nappi’s attempts to identify a central Asian origin for the caterpillar fungus, existing historical studies involving the caterpillar fungus largely neglect to investigate the social and cultural contexts of related historical sources; and few efforts have been made to establish correlations among the historical narratives of the caterpillar fungus across different cultures; moreover, many multi-linguistic historical sources about the caterpillar fungus have not yet been unveiled to a modern audience and analysed by historians. Before carrying out this

---

16 Zhao [c. 1803] 1983, pp. 138-139; Zhu 1956, pp. 43-44; Nie 1984, pp. 42-43; Chen 1991, pp. 45-46; Chen 1993, pp. 7-8. A few authors have also published their histories of the caterpillar fungus despite the lack of new historical sources and opinions, see, for example, Chen 1993, pp. 161-163; Jiang 1993, pp. 29-30.

17 For example, Zhu Zhengang mistakenly states that the text *Gu wang ting zhi* 姑妄聽之 (Just Listen to It, 1793) contains a record of the caterpillar fungus, Cf. Ji [1789-1798] 1994, pp. 356-469. Chen Shiyu claims that the poems about the caterpillar fungus quoted in his articles were composed in the Ming dynasty. But actually none of them were Ming poems. In contrast, Nie San refutes a false statement that the Ming physician Li Shizhen 李時珍 (1518-1593) had recorded the caterpillar fungus.

18 Kobayashi 1986, pp. 62-66; Okuzawa 2007, pp. 178-179; Okuzawa 2012, pp. 39-246. In one of Kobayashi’s notes to the sources, he mistakes the year ‘*kyou ki yuu* 享保己酉’ (i.e. 1729) for 1728.

19 Winkler 2008a, pp. 1-47; Winkler 2009, pp. 291-316. The caterpillar fungus was not first recorded in the *Ben cao bei yao*, see Chapter 2.

20 Nappi 2009, pp. 141-148, 201-202. For example, Nappi neglects Dominicus Parennin’s (1665-1741) record of the caterpillar fungus but considers Reaumur the first (European) person who mentioned the caterpillar fungus; she dates the *Si chuan tong zhi* [of the Yongzheng reign] to 1731, but actually it was compiled during the period 1733-1735, and printed in 1736; she says that the caterpillar fungus ‘was found on snowy mountains in central Asia’, but no information about ‘central Asia’ can be found in Zhao’s record, see Chapter 2 & 3.

21 Shrestha et al. 2010, pp. 228-236.
study, it is therefore necessary to survey the historiography of studies of the modernisation of Chinese medicine and *materia medica*, examining relevant theoretical approaches that scholars have taken to the subject, and to the transmission of Euro-American medicine and *materia medica* to 19th- and 20th-century China.

1.1 Historical Studies of Chinese Medicine

Historians of Chinese medicine generally consider Chen Bangxian’s 陳邦賢 (1889-1976) monograph *Zhong guo yi xue shi* 中國醫學史 (History of Chinese Medicine), finalised in 1919 and printed the following year, the first general history of Chinese medicine as well as a watershed in the establishment of medical history as a distinct branch of the study of medicine in Republican China.\(^{22}\) And from 1929 onward some Chinese higher education institutions also began to offer courses on the history of medicine.\(^{23}\) From Chen’s preface, introduction and the content of his monograph, it is clear that he was writing a progressive, positivist history, which would underpin and legitimate his vision of the future of Chinese medicine and the possibility that it could become a part of a scientific modernity. His idea did not change in his revision of the monograph, which was finalised in 1936 and published the following year. Probably due to Japanese encroachment into China before 1936, and the threat of invasion, Chen added explicitly that one of the purposes of his revision was to revive the Chinese nation. Saving Chinese medicine was tantamount to saving China.\(^{24}\) Throughout the 1920s and 30s histories of Chinese medicine written in Chinese or in foreign languages, from different perspectives and in different styles, came out one after another: German physician Franz Hübotter’s *Die Chinesische Medizin zu Beginn des XX. Jahrhunderts und ihr Historischer Entwicklungsgang* (1929), Ryou Atsuhito’s 廖溫仁 *Shi na chuu sei i gaku shi* 支那中世医学史 (History of Medieval Chinese Medicine, 1931), K. Chimin Wong and Wu Lien-Teh’s *History of Chinese Medicine* (1932), and Tao Chisun’s 陶熾孫 *Zhong guo yi xue shi* 中國醫學史 (History of

---

\(^{22}\) Zhang 2008, pp. 9-18. Chen Bangxian explicitly expressed his idea about history of medicine as an individual branch of medicine, see Chen 1920, p. 3. Some sections of Chen’s monograph originated from his twelve articles published in 1914-1915, see Chen 2014, pp. 35-52. There had been books or articles on the history of Chinese medicine printed before 1919, see, for example, Li [1513] 2002; ZGZYJYZGYSWXYJS 1989, pp. 27-29; Xue 2007, p. 871. But their influence on studies in the history of Chinese medicine since modern times has not been as profound as Chen’s monograph.


Chinese Medicine, 1933). These works, no doubt, enriched existing translations of Chinese medical texts that had begun with the Jesuits. And there is no doubt that various aspects of China’s encounter with a new tradition of medicine served to bring about a crisis of self-identification which stimulated most of these publications. They also facilitated the circulation of classical Chinese medical knowledge and ideas throughout different cultures in East Asia and Europe, as well as increasing the potential interactions of Chinese medical with other medical systems and traditions.

In the first half of the 20th century, a variety of professional societies, journals and museums devoted to the history of Chinese medicine significantly promoted related academic research, communication and education. For example, the Zhong hua yi xue hui yi shi wei yuan hui 中华医学会医史委员会 (Committee on the History of Medicine, Chinese Medical Association), established by Wu Lien-Teh, K. Chimin Wong et al. in 1935, was the predecessor of the present Zhong hua yi xue hui yi shi xue fen hui 中华医学会医史学分会 (Society of Medical History for Chinese Medical Association). It published five Chinese and four English special issues on medical history through the journal Zhong hua yi xue za zhi 中华医学杂志 (The National Medical Journal of China) in 1936. Furthermore, it also launched the journal Yi shi za zhi 医史杂志 (The Chinese Journal of Medical History) in March, 1947, which accepted both English and Chinese articles, and eventually evolved into the current journal Zhong hua yi shi za zhi 中华医史杂志 (Chinese Journal of Medical History). K. Chimin Wong also founded the Zhong hua yi xue hui yi shi bo wu guan 中华医学会医史博物馆 (Museum of Medical History, Chinese Medical Association) with the aid of Wu Lien-Teh and some others in 1938. These activities indicated a rise in attention to the history of Chinese medicine and related research in Republican China. And an important factor that led to this phenomenon was a surge in Chinese scholars’ nationalist sentiments in the face of the transformation of the early-20th-century academic world and to the political uncertainties of the time. Many of the authors and participants in these societies were trained in scientific developments of medicine, biology and chemistry, and naturally their writing reflects the priorities they had learnt in that

---

25 Hübotter 1929; Ryou 1931; Wong and Wu [1932] 1936; Tao 1933. The original Chinese title for Hübotter’s book was ‘Zhong hua yi xue 中华医学’ (literally Chinese medicine).
26 Li and Deng 1989, pp. 94-96.
27 Zhu 1996, pp. 137-146; Yang et al. 2014, pp. 453-455. There was another Republican journal entitled Guo yi wen xian 国医文献 (National medical literature). It was established in 1936, and devoted to research on classical Chinese medical literature. But it was discontinued after the publication of two issues, see SHZYXYSBWG 1965, p. 76. Therefore its influence on the study of the history of Chinese medicine was not comparable to the Yi shi za zhi.
28 Fu 1989, pp. 73-76.
process; their work, and especially how they integrated their perceptions of the past with the presentist agenda deserves more detailed analysis. Overall, there were some groundbreaking efforts at that time, although retrospectively they are insufficient in their attention to the deeper social and cultural contexts of history. As historians today we are concerned to achieve a richer historical observation, but equally are interested in how those observations make sense in a postmodern world.

Since the second half of the 20th century, especially from the 1980s, there have been substantial studies of Chinese medical history, which tackle a variety of subjects including changing medical theories, specialties, medical technology, key figures in the development of Chinese medicine, its education, management and policies, Sino-Foreign medical exchange. These have been produced in very specialised studies, for example of the medical content of manuscripts excavated from Han tombs, as well as in diachronic compilations of general histories of a medicine that arguably spans thousands of years. Meanwhile, since the beginning of the 21st century growing attention has been paid to the making of modern Chinese medicine in academic communities around the world, and this has led to the publication of many insightful works. For example, Bridie Minehan examines the scientisation of Chinese medicine in the late Qing and early twentieth century, showing how this process involved great flexibility with both scholars and practitioners adapting their work in response to the practices of missionary medicine, perceptions of modern science and in particular the impact of the Japanese medical reforms. Similarly, Sean Hsiang-Lin Lei demonstrates how scientific knowledge and practice, together with associated discourses of modernity, impacted on the study of traditional pharmacology and ensured its survival into the twentieth century as a credible living tradition. Both these studies challenge the notion that there was a radical break at that time consistent with the coming of a modern medicine, and this observation is a premise that I will carry forward into my analysis. With respect to case studies, Ruth Rogaski focuses on the treaty port of Tianjin and a significant change in the meaning of the classical Chinese term ‘wei sheng 衛生’ (guarding life/hygiene) in modern times. She explores the Chinese intellectual elite’s reflections on the body, public hygiene and national sovereignty and related social mobilisation in the pursuit of a

---

30 On this point, there has been only one general but preliminary study, see Geng 2015. In contrast, there have been a few case studies relevant to modern Chinese historical research on Chinese medicine, see, for example, Pi 2013, pp. 159-185.


32 Minehan 2009, pp. 159-188; Minehan 2014, pp. 69-88.

33 Lei 2014.
national modernity.\textsuperscript{34} Her identification of the importance of institutions in the cross-cultural dissemination of ideas about modernity has trained my attention on universities and schools as a site of significant mediation.

Examining the ensuring decades, John R. Watt pays attention to the Chinese Communist and Nationalist parties’ varied efforts at establishing public hygiene and medical care in wartime China, and analyses the key role of political will in the establishment of the modern healthcare system on the basis of biomedicine.\textsuperscript{35} At the level of national administration, Zhang Daqing 張大慶 unveils the establishment of a new-style healthcare system, and the revolution in social mechanisms for responding to diseases during the period 1912-1937.\textsuperscript{36} While Wen Xiang 文庠, borrowing Yang Nianqun’s 楊念群 insight into conflicts that emerged between modern Chinese and Western medicine at the level of the social and political construction of the body, and its healers, also attaches importance to the state administration of Chinese medicine.\textsuperscript{37} She finds that even though Chinese medical practitioners were restrained by, and unable to totally adjust to new modern medical administrative systems, they actively sought to acquire equality with doctors trained in the new sciences, and successfully occupied certain influential social spaces.\textsuperscript{38} Compared with the above authors, Hu Cheng 胡成 reflects the late twentieth century shift away from studying only the intellectual and political elite towards subaltern studies. Through rich case studies he gives in-depth analyses of the complex and dynamic social conditions of medical services and hygiene, from the bottom up, before the full-scale outbreak of the Sino-Japanese War.\textsuperscript{39} Volker Scheid’s long-term investigation of the Menghe tradition of medical learning also analyses the efforts made by practitioners to adapt and innovate within their families and social networks in China from late imperial times in order to survive in the face of a powerful challenge from new institutionalisation of medicine and science backed by government.\textsuperscript{40} His research represents a continuity in the transmission of ancient and pre-modern medical knowledge into modern China, but does not focus on medicinal substances. These representative studies, whether seen from their theoretical approaches or from their discoveries and interpretation of historical materials, have all been inspirational indeed.

\textsuperscript{34} Rogaski 2004.  
\textsuperscript{35} Watt 2013.  
\textsuperscript{36} Zhang 2006.  
\textsuperscript{37} Yang 2006.  
\textsuperscript{38} Wen 2007.  
\textsuperscript{39} Hu 2013.  
\textsuperscript{40} Scheid 2007.
Generally speaking, since the 1990s, the participation of historians and the application of European and American historical theories and approaches have significantly expanded the horizon and depth of studies of Chinese medical history, especially the history of diseases.\textsuperscript{41} The historical products stimulated by these new studies were certainly not identical. Scholars from the historical community are relatively more inclined to place emphasis on the sociology, culture or politics of modern or pre-modern Chinese medicine. And sometimes medicine is only treated a means of observing imperial and Republican Chinese societies.\textsuperscript{42} Zheng Jinsheng 鄭金生 and Li Jianmin 李建民 characterise these studies as ‘\textit{wai shi} 外史’ (externalist histories), while those focusing on medical knowledge and ideas are defined as ‘\textit{nei shi} 内史’ (internalist histories).\textsuperscript{43} A similar binary in related historical writing was popularised first in the field of the history of science, and can be traced to Robert K. Merton’s social studies of science of the 1930s. However, by the late 1950s and early 1960s, historical research into both science and medicine became more eclectic; and since the beginning of the 1990s the externalism-internalism binary has been less commonly mentioned by historians of science.\textsuperscript{44} Indeed, as stated by the historian of Chinese science, Nathan Sivin, such ‘a dichotomy of ideas and social relationships made it impossible to see any historical situation as a whole’.\textsuperscript{45} Nevertheless, it is likely that an (eclectic) internalist and externalist approach to histories of Chinese medicine will sustain itself, as future historians of Chinese medicine entertaining different knowledge structures will still tend to focus their work through one or other of the two research paradigms. This dissertation intends to work across the rather artificial externalist-internalist boundary, and to examine the stories of the caterpillar fungus for both their social and intellectual interest.

1.2 Research into the Modern Chinese Materia Medica

Modern Chinese \textit{materia medica} has received relatively less attention from scholars in the field of the history of Chinese medicine.\textsuperscript{46} Since the beginning of the 20th century, historians of Chinese \textit{materia medica} have tended to compile rather general histories or to collate and study Chinese

\begin{itemize}
\item See, for example, Li 2008; Li 2012.
\item Zheng and Li 1997, pp. 26-35.
\item Shapin 1992, pp. 333-369.
\item Sivin 2000, p. 17.
\end{itemize}
classical texts. But since the 1970s-80s studies on diverse subjects such as the history of medicinal substances, modern pharmaceutical businesses and the pharmacologists themselves, as well as excavated materials about medicine or materia medica have also gradually become emerged. Monographs dedicated to modern Chinese materia medica, however, remain very limited in number and scope. With respect to the general histories of Chinese materia medica published before the 1990s, some of their authors, such as Zhang Zanchen 張贊臣 and Yu Shenchu 余慎初, entirely neglect the modern period; others, such as He Shuangmei 何霜梅, Qu Shiguang 茹時光 and Paul U. Unschuld, only give brief summaries of selected facets of modern Chinese materia medica deemed representative of their approach. Compared with the above authors, Xue Yu 薛愚 offers a far more informative account of materia medica in late Qing and Republican China. But it mainly concerns the introduction of Western materia medica, changes in Chinese materia medica, scientific research on medicinal substances, the development of the pharmaceutical business, and the publication of journals and books on materia medica. Although there are some mistakes about historical sources in this volume, Zheng Jinsheng, a leading historian of Chinese materia medica, still considers it the first treatise to systemically examine the history of modern Chinese materia medica.

Strictly speaking, the first historical monograph on modern Chinese materia medica must be Zhong guo jin dai yao xue shi 中國近代藥學史 (A History of Modern Chinese Materia Medica), written by Chen Xinqian 陳新謙 and Zhang Tianlu 張天祿 in 1990 and published in 1992. Chen Xinqian wrote most of the content of this monograph, which partly originated from a series of his articles published in the 1980s. Xue Yu’s book provided an important reference for Chen and Zhang. But the latter also enriched some of Xue’s accounts and supplemented them with several new topics such as the development of the Zhong guo yao xue hui 中國藥學會 (Chinese Pharmaceutical Association). Xue Yu, Chen Xinqian and Zhang Tianlu’s books laid a foundation

49 Xue 1984, pp. 315-485.
51 Chen and Zhang 1992.
52 Chen had received Western pharmacology education before the early 1940s. For his life, see Zhang 1985, pp. 760-761; Lou 1996, pp. 345-351. In the mid-20th century Chen had published one book on phytochemistry and two books on pharmacology, see Qiu and Chen 1949; Chen 1951; Chen 1953.
54 For a contemporary review of Chen and Zhang’s monograph, see Cao 1993, pp. 569-570. Chen Xinqian did some
for the writing of many chapters on the modern Chinese materia medica in later general histories of Chinese materia medica. Overall, the above histories serve to illustrate and outline the late Qing and Republican Chinese materia medica, and to dig up many basic historical sources to embellish their accounts. Generally, however, they have a tendency to simplify European and American scholarship in the history of medicine, Euro-American medicine’s influences on modern China, and often have little new to say. Some of them lack new historical materials, analyses and opinions. Given the innovative approaches taken by some of the current scholarship in the history of 19th- and 20th-century Chinese medicine and materia medica, mentioned above, some narratives and positions taken up in the materia medica studies seem outdated, and need to be further enriched and revised.

Since the 1980s, scholars have made significant progress in analysing the history of the modern Chinese Pharmaceutical Association, in their understanding of traditional prescriptions, and in determining the chemistry of Chinese medicinal substances, etc. For example, in 2008, about two decades after the publication of Xue Yu’s book on the history of the Chinese Pharmaceutical Association (founded in 1907 or 1908), a more detailed and comprehensive history of the Association was published. Pi Guoli’s focus on advertisements for medicinal substances published in a Taiwan daily newspaper during the Japanese occupation of Taiwan, reveals complex transformations in ideas about the body, diseases, and the use of Chinese and Western medicinal substances among contemporary Taiwanese. Huang Xin gives a survey of modern innovations in the materia medica, and outlines the influence of ‘Western’ science in the study of classical Chinese prescriptions. Wu Likun discusses new approaches to the theories, practices, societies, publications and academic research relating to Chinese materia medica, while Zhao Jimeng explores the active influence of ‘Western’ pharmacology on the scientific study of Chinese medicinal substances mainly from the perspectives of academic institutions and their researchers. Zhang Fengcong carries out in-depth analyses of modern studies in pharmacognosy, medicinal chemistry and pharmacology, as well as their relationships with classical

original historical studies of the Chinese Pharmaceutical Association in the 1980s. But before the beginning of the 1990s Xue Yu had also published a book on the history of this Association, which also covers the first half of the 20th century, see Xue 1987, pp. 1–22.

56 Song 1997, pp. 711-714.
57 Xue 1987; ZGYXH 2008
58 Pi 2009.
59 Huang 2005.
60 Wu 2005.
61 Zhao 2012.
Chinese *materia medica* as they are represented in articles on Chinese medicinal substances published in modern Chinese medical journals.\(^{62}\) These studies enable us to deepen our perceptions of what constitutes a modern Chinese *materia medica*, despite their universal assumption of a unilateral hidden ‘impact-response’ model that runs from an essentialised all-powerful West towards the East.\(^ {63}\) Notwithstanding these recent new studies, the history of modern Chinese *materia medica* remains at the margin of the field of the history of modern Chinese medicine. This lamentable lacuna is not in keeping with the continued importance of Chinese medicinal substances and *materia medica* in modern Chinese medicine and society. It leaves a gap into which this study will insert a tale about the agency of the caterpillar fungus, as representative of the myriad substances, people and communities that have contributed to the survival of China’s materia medica to the 20th and 21st centuries.

### 1.3 Relevant Theoretical Issues

There are some common issues that pertain to existing studies in the history of modern Chinese medicine and its *materia medica*. Some of them are also closely related to this dissertation. Critically, political factors have not only influenced the development of medicine or *materia medica*,\(^ {64}\) but they have also affected historians’ judgments. Influenced by prevailing political histories of China, for example, historians of the late 20th and early 21st centuries have often indicted a Western imperialism for frustrating the modernisation of the Chinese *materia medica*, especially the slow development and even decline of indigenous pharmaceutical enterprises.\(^ {65}\) On occasion, following this political sensibility, Chinese historians have also intentionally paid little attention to the important role of the institutions, research methods and perceptions of modern science and medicine in the transformation of the modern Chinese *materia medica*, preferring to locate the inheritance of change in the relation between a pre-modern and modern Chinese *materia medica*.\(^ {66}\) To some extent

---

\(^{62}\) Zhang 2013.

\(^{63}\) The ‘impact-response’ model, advanced by American sinologists represented by John K. Fairbank (1907-1991) in the 1950s, used to be very popular in the field of modern Chinese history from the 1950s to 1960s. Without doubt there were Western impacts and China’s responses in modern times. But this model treats interactions between China and the West unilateral, and neglects some changes in modern Chinese society that were irrelevant (or less relevant) to Western impacts, as well as the influence of Chinese traditions. For a thorough critique of this model, see Cohen \[1984\] 2010, pp. 9-56.

\(^{64}\) Cao 1999, pp. 298-324.


the two narratives, that tend to identify and/or combine the causes of decline in imperialism and the tradition-modernity binary, both involved nationalist discourses which, when supported by selected historical sources, distorted the history of Chinese materia medica in the late Qing and Republican periods. The following sections discuss some other important theoretical issues which are not confined to the field of the history of modern Chinese materia medica, but more generally affect the field of Chinese medical history, and even Chinese history itself.

1.3.1 ‘Chinese’ and ‘China’

The noun ‘zhong guo 中国’ (China/of China) is a geographical and political, rather than an ethnic descriptor.\textsuperscript{67} It is common to see the use of this term, or the English translation ‘China’, in characterising the territorial and political scope of various histories of medicine or materia medica. However, what these histories reveal is actually the history of an essentialised ‘Han’ Chinese medicine or materia medica.\textsuperscript{68} This phenomenon that equates the concept of China with that of a Han Chinese, consciously or unconsciously, has not been exclusive to the history of medicine or materia medica. According to a recent study, the equivalence has generally prevailed in narratives of Chinese history only since modern times.\textsuperscript{69} The ‘Han’ are in fact an essentialised group made up of an enormously diverse population, who often self-identify as the political and historic majority, the ‘Han’ originally being the designation of the ruling elite of the second empire. This was the government hailed as the cultural and administrative source of a Chinese identity. In English publications on medical history, the term ‘Chinese’ has the meaning of something uncritically pertaining to the political entity of China. However, it is usually understood and used as (something pertaining to) the imagination of a culturally unified ethnic Chinese who live within the ever-changing imperial boundaries of this territory, and with certain powerful centralising points of identity formation such as the early Empires and the ‘High Tang’.\textsuperscript{70} So, understanding the use of the term zhong guo, China or Chinese not only concerns the political and geographic concepts themselves, but also involves how we understand these narrative frameworks that shape the history.

\textsuperscript{67} Yu 1986, pp. 75-80; Yang 2006, pp. 1-8; Coughlan 2008, p. xxvii. Of course, in some cases this term can also be translated as ‘Chinese’.
\textsuperscript{68} See, for example, ZYYJYZYJCBJWYH 1960; Yu 1987.
\textsuperscript{69} Jiang 2015, pp. 145-287.
\textsuperscript{70} See, for example, Needham and Lu 2000; Hsu 2001; Taylor 2005.
of medicine or the *materia medica* itself. In short, it deals with whether ‘zhong guo yi (yao) xue’ 中國醫藥學’ or ‘Chinese medicine (materia medica)’ should be understood as all the (different types of) medicine or *materia medica* in China or those that relate only to an essentialised ‘Han Chinese medicine or *materia medica*’, without any recourse to the plurality of peoples that have inhabited China since time immemorial. This issue is often obscured in specific case studies, but becomes clear in general histories of medicine or *materia medica*. Chen Xinqian and Zhang Tianlu seemed to have been aware of this issue before they finalised the *Zhong guo jin dai yao xue shi* 中國近代藥學史. They used the term ‘zhong guo’ in the book title, and additionally introduced the history of Tibetan and five other ‘non-Han’ Chinese people’s *materia medica*.71 In this case, the *materia medica* within the meta-framework of *zhong guo* was clearly not exclusive to the ‘Han’ Chinese. Although the chapter on ‘ethnic minority’ Chinese *materia medica* only accounts for a very small proportion of the whole book, and is also not well integrated with the other chapters, the book provides a new reference for subsequent similar histories.72

Looking into the history of medicine in China post the Opium Wars we find the inheritance of pluralistic medical systems and traditions, including at least a classical Chinese medicine which, to varied extents, had been influenced by medicines that arrived from afar over millennia via the land and maritime routes, indigenous traditions such as the Tibetan or the Huihui (Moslem) traditions, and modern scientific medicine.73 Such a medical environment challenges the writing of medical histories of late imperial and 20th-century China. Many publications place emphasis on a sudden and drastic change that apparently transformed classical Chinese medicine, but some also contain relatively independent narratives about the development of the plural traditions of medicine that existed in China and of ‘Western’ medicine in modern China.74 In K. Chimin Wong and Wu Lien-Teh’s English book *History of Chinese Medicine*, the greater part of the content is devoted to the development of a Western medicine in China rather than the classical Chinese medicine.75 This indicates that the authors treated the development of a Western medicine in China as an integral part of the history of ‘Chinese’ medicine. That is to say, the English term ‘Chinese’ in the book title does

---

71 Chen and Zhang 1992, pp. 197-207.
72 See, for example, Xie and Cong 2013, pp. 227-230, 238-241, 250-263, 276-284.
73 For the transplant of Western medicine in modern China, see Balme 1921; Cadbury and Jones 1935; Bowers 1971; He 2006; Liu 2012. For an analysis of various medical systems in Shanghai in the 1920s and early 1930s, see Lei 2014, pp. 121-140. At present there are also some (general) medical histories of different ethnic groups in China, see, for example, Li and He 1990; Cai 1996; Huang et al. 1998; Buell and Anderson 2000; Shan 2004; Ba 2004; Hong 2002.
74 See, for example, Deng and Cheng 1999, pp. 295-546.
75 For the chapters on Western medicine in this book, see Wong and Wu [1932] 1936, pp. 257-822.
not refer to an indigenous Chinese medicine, but is more like ‘China’s’ or ‘of China’. As for the use of the English term ‘China’, we can say that Ralph C. Croizier’s, albeit out-dated, masterpiece *Traditional Medicine in Modern China* neglects the traditional non-dominant medical traditions that have flourished in China’s political territory.\(^76\) The above cases reflect the fact that many authors use the Chinese term ‘zhong guo’ or the English term ‘Chinese’ or ‘China’ rather randomly to frame their medical histories. In the following chapters of this dissertation, the term ‘Chinese’ in my narratives, as it refers to ‘Chinese medicine/materia medica’ strictly refers to the dominant and ever-emerging tradition both in its written form and in the ways it was practiced by those communities of physicians living in the changing political territories of China, whether or not it was influenced by elements of ‘scientific’ medicine arriving from Europe, America and Japan.

### 1.3.2 Progressive History

The practice of establishing a progressive history for China initially came about with the influence of Europe at the end of the Qing dynasty, and it gradually replaced the classical concept of cyclical history where dynasties were seen as rising and falling with a ‘natural’ renewal of the political mandate being authorised by a divine entity known as Heaven. It had a profound influence on Chinese intellectual elite.\(^77\) The transmission to, and localisation of modern science in China and the rhetoric of modern science that underpinned the progressive history encouraged many modern Chinese intellectuals to believe that a Western science (especially medicinal chemistry) was the ultimate target of, and only correct methodology for, the future development of classical Chinese medicine and its *materia medica*.\(^78\) Meanwhile, the practice of progressive history makes also invisibly contribution to the differentiation between *new* and *old* knowledge, beliefs, and approaches. Influenced by the idea and the implementation of the New Governance policies at the end of the Qing dynasty, the corresponding titles ‘zhong yi 中醫’ (Chinese medicine) and ‘xi yi 西醫’ (Western medicine) prevailed in the late Qing period and stressed the distinction between China and

\(^76\) Croizier 1968.

\(^77\) Wei 2012, pp. 268-285. For example, the noted historian Liang Qichao 梁啟超 (1873-1929) once delivered the idea of progressive history in his book *Xin shi xue 新史學* (New History), see Liang [1902] 1989, pp. 1-32. For the idea of progressive history and related historical writing in the West, see Crowe 1966, pp. 109-124; Woolf 2014, p. 744.

\(^78\) See, for example, He 1930, pp. 85-97. In He’s book the terms ‘jin hua 進化’ (evolution) and ‘jin bu 進步’ (progress) are often used without differentiation despite of their different meanings. The development of modern European science contributed much to the prevalence of the idea of progressive history. For a detailed account, see Lombardo 2006, pp. 267-422.
the West. These binaries gradually transformed to ‘jiu yi 舊醫’ (old medicine) and ‘xin yi 新醫’ (new medicine) in the Republican period emphasising their difference in terms of temporal sequence rather than geographical territories.\(^79\) The latter designations reflected a hierarchy, which naturally intensified controversies between people and institutions invested in Chinese and Western medicine as strictly bounded systems.

It is against this background that the titles ‘jiu yao 舊藥’ (old materia medica) and ‘xin yao 新藥’ (new materia medica) became popular in Republican China.\(^80\) Many current historians treat scientification as the lighthouse that guided the transformation of classical Chinese \textit{materia medica} and that shaped its subsequent development.\(^81\) Such a narrative model, consciously or, often, unconsciously examines classical \textit{materia medica} from the perspective of modern and contemporary science, and produces progressive histories of \textit{materia medica} that embody the generally discredited Whig interpretation in the field of history of science.\(^82\) As a result, it simplifies the history of Chinese \textit{materia medica}, and also reduces attention to the diversity of intellectual processes and activities that have come to bear on the \textit{materia medica}. Here I appreciate Benjamin A. Elman’s contextualisation of late imperial Chinese ‘natural studies’ as set within their social, political and economic contexts.\(^83\) This research methodology is also applicable to my historical study of the caterpillar fungus in this dissertation.

The idea of progressive history not only concerns historians’ narratives, but also has a wider impact. Since the nineteenth century people influenced by this idea, whether elite or ordinary folk, engaged in imagining and constructing a progressive society. Situated in such a society, the mainstream standards of new social values might embed with local features, but would not betray the underlying progressive principles.\(^84\) For example, although Chinese medicine obtained political support in the early years of Communist China, its future would still rest on the underlying principles of scientific medicine.\(^85\) Of course, since the late Qing period, there has always been

\(^80\) See, for example, Liu 1931, p. 78; Shen 1934, pp. 5-9; Xie 1943.
\(^81\) See, for example, Chen and Zhang 1992, pp. 212-218; Xie and Cong 2013, pp. 264-270, 298-309.
\(^82\) The British historian Herbert Butterfield (1900-1979) first coined the term ‘Whig interpretation’, which in his discourse referred to seeing the present as a result of a progressive development in the past, see Butterfield 1931. Butterfield mainly focused on the (political) histories written by Whig historians. But the tendency similar to ‘Whig interpretation’ was also common in histories of science, as science was particularly progressive to many historians of science, see Bynum \textit{et al.} 1981, pp. 445-446. For discussions on Whig interpretation in the field of history of science, see Jardine 2003, pp. 125-140; Alvargonzález 2013, pp. 85-99.
\(^83\) Elman 2005, pp. i-xxxviii; Elman 2015, pp. 251-262.
\(^84\) On this point we can refer to the destiny of Kampo medicine in Meiji Japan, see Sugiyama 2004.
\(^85\) Taylor 2005, pp. 14-38; Li 2011, pp. 70-77.
controversy about what constitutes the scientification of classical medicine in China or its *materia medica*. Some authors from the community of traditional physicians criticise scientification as a deviation from the Chinese medical traditions and classics,\(^{86}\) while others still complain that Chinese medicine has still not been thoroughly scientised.\(^{87}\) However, if supporters of Chinese medicine had ignored any process of scientification and attempted to completely sustain its classical scholarship, it would have flown in the face of the ideals of those many groups advocating a progressive society and soon been faced with a survival crisis. But if Chinese medicine had been scientised without any consideration for its historical identity, it would have simply merged into a universal biomedicine which means that it would have become a misnomer for us to continue to differentiate it as ‘Chinese’ in any way. In terms of the above two extreme possibilities or propositions (which have not generally come true to date), those concerned with the development of a Chinese medicine are inevitably caught in a dilemma. However, if we shift our attention from theoretical controversy to the real social environment and no longer treat Chinese medicine as a whole or a ‘system’ which is hard to justify, we will soon find that that ‘dilemma’ has never wholly emerged.\(^{88}\) I do not deny the existence of forms of progress in (modern) society. But in many cases it is hard to simply judge whether an idea, event or action was progressive in its motivation or impact when properly described in its social and historical context. In order to approach the complicated history of the caterpillar fungus and Chinese *materia medica*, progressive history has no part in this dissertation except as an object of enquiry.

### 1.3.3 Dichotomies

From the modern period onward, the corresponding and interdependent terms such as ‘zhong yi’ (Chinese medicine) and ‘xi yi’ (Western medicine), ‘jiu yi’ (old medicine) and ‘xin yi’ (new medicine), ‘guo yi 國醫’ (national medicine) and ‘yang yi 洋醫’ (foreign medicine), ‘zhong yao 中藥’ (Chinese materia medica) and ‘xi yao 西藥’ (Western materia medica), and ‘jiu yao’ (old materia medica) and ‘xin yao’ (new materia medica) began to prevail in the Chinese society. This linguistic phenomenon took root in the need for cultural differentiation during the first substantial encounters
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\(^{86}\) See, for example, Liu 2003.

\(^{87}\) See, for example, Fang 2007.

\(^{88}\) For example, from 1928 to 1933, the numbers of traditional physicians and drugstores in Shanghai increased from 1800 and 28 to 4780 and 29, see Anonymous 1929, p. 158; GMZFZICTJ 1935, p. 395. Obviously such a change cannot be simply associated with dilemma.
between Chinese and Western medicine. However, such simple distinctions inclined writers to treat
Chinese medicine as if it were heterogeneous when compared to Western medicine (and vice versa),
and to see Chinese and Western medicine as two coherent and definable wholes. With the rise of
studies of modern controversies between a Chinese and a Western medicine since the 1980s, this
tendency has been reinforced by a variety of historians of medicine. Comparative studies, are
inclined to compress representations of Chinese and Western medicine so as to facilitate essentialist
analyses. Part of the reason for adopting such an approach lies in a static view of medical history.
As early as 1860, the British medical missionary Benjamin Hobson (1816-1873) made a brief
comparison between ancient Chinese and Greek medicine in an article on the history of Chinese
medicine. He claimed that the state of Chinese medicine in his time was ‘what it has been for many
centuries past’. Obviously, if one ignores historical innovations throughout history, both modern
Chinese and Western medicine would easily be simplified as smoothly having evolved from ancient
times, with their fundamental philosophies changed little.

However, although much Chinese medical thought and knowledge has been passed down from
early China (e.g. the doctrine of nature and flavour), developments in Chinese medicine have also
been accompanied and stimulated by the assimilation of exotic medical knowledge, with criticisms
and adaptation of existing medical theories and knowledge, constructing and stimulating medical
innovations. For example, traditional physicians’ perceptions of causes, mechanisms and
therapeutics of externally contracted heat diseases were constantly changing and being reinterpreted
throughout Chinese history. And in pre-modern China there were also competing doctrines about
whether the spirit in the body was controlled by the heart or the brain (or both), which involve varied
perceptions of human consciousness and physiology, and still give rise to much controversy in
contemporary China. With respect to ‘Western medicine’, it has also never been a coherent whole
from an epistemological point of view. The development of medicine in different modern European
countries and America varied; and even in one modern Western country there were also pluralistic
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89 Zhao Hongjun 趙洪鈞 is generally considered the first author who has done a relatively systematic study of
controversies between Chinese and Western medicine in modern China, see Zhao 1989. For a historiography of the
modern controversies, see Su and Zou 2012, pp. 9-15.
90 See, for example, Huang et al. 1993; Feng 2001; Li 2011, Zhang 2012.
93 Cao 2004; Hanson 2011.
8-9; Yu 2014, pp. 328-330.
medical conceptions and ways of healing. Moreover, the development of the so-called modern ‘Western’ medicine was actually a global process. Much medical and scientific knowledge was produced in non-European regions, and was actively circulated between those regions and European countries. Indeed, much of the so-called ‘Western’ medical knowledge circulating in modern China was actually from the East, from Japanese medical texts and their Chinese translations, or introduced through Chinese students studying in Japan. And in the ‘Western’ medical knowledge arriving from Japan, there was new knowledge produced by Japanese scholars. In this case it is even more improper to use the term ‘Western’ or ‘Western medicine’.

It is necessary to point out that the term ‘Western medicine’ has become cognate with the ‘scientific medicine’ or ‘biomedicine’, and relates to a discourse of regionality that developed after the mid-19th century. According to Andrew Cunningham and Bridie Minehan’s analysis of the nature of scientific medicine in terms of its use, origin and structure, ‘scientific medicine was a development in the native medicine of modern western Europe, which was then made universal by exportation — by the exporting of it to non-European countries as they became subject to imperialism and colonialism’. As ‘a medicine of domination’, it is ‘inherently imperialist by nature’, and ‘its subject is power’. The British historian of medicine Harold Balme (1878-1953) used ‘scientific medicine’ or ‘scientific’ to describe the medicine transmitted from European countries and America to late Qing China. And Chen Zhiqian 陳志潛 (1903-2000), a public health expert, also used the term ‘scientific medicine’ in his 1933 article on rural public health in Tianjin. The Chinese scholar Huang Minglong 黃鳴龍 (1898-1979), who obtained his Ph.D in organic medicinal chemistry from the Universität Berlin in 1924, even stated in 1929 that Chinese medicine was not medicine but just ‘xie shu 邪術’ (evil arts); and only the so-called ‘Western’ medicine in China could be treated medicine. Clearly Huang had a prejudice against Chinese medicine. But

95 Berg and Mol 1998. For the plurality of medicine in modern Europe, see Bynum 1994; Lindemann 2010
96 Gao 2014, pp. 91-102.
97 In this respect there have been many scholarly publications. See, for example, Chakrabarti 2004; Ebrahimnejad 2009; Raj 2010; Lightman et al. 2013; Renn 2015, pp. 241-252.
100 Cunningham and Minehan 1997, pp. 1-23.
101 Balme 1921, pp. 8, 20, 96. For his life, see Anonymous 1953, pp. 511-512.
102 Ch’en 1933, pp. 97-129. For Chen’s memory of his life and medical efforts, see Chen 1989.
103 Huang 1929, pp. 7-13. For Huang’s life and academic achievements, see Huang 1922, pp. 7-11; Wang and Huang
his opinion represents attitudes shared by influential Chinese elites’ and their understanding of the nature of ‘Western medicine’ in his time.

Furthermore, the Chinese terms ‘zhong yi 中醫’ and ‘xi yi 西醫’ can also be understood as ‘doctors of Chinese medicine’ and ‘doctors of Western medicine’ respectively. However, the former group assimilated forms of scientific medicine and the latter group have always appropriated elements of the Chinese medical experience and medicinal substances, so both to blurred the boundary between the two identities in their practice. With respect to the knowledge of Chinese medicinal substances as explored by Chinese scientists, there was a divergence of opinion among modern physicians as to whether or not such knowledge could be a part of traditional materia medica. The divergence itself indicates that some physicians did not think all such knowledge was essentially incompatible with a Chinese materia medica. ‘Zhong yi’ and some other similar modern titles (such as the nationalist ‘guo yi’ (state medicine)), highlighted the ‘Chinese’ nature of the medicine and thus reflected the influence of a distinct and separate conception of scientific medicine. A few scholars suggest that we use ‘classical medicine’ to denote pre-modern ‘Chinese’ medicine so as to avoid essentialism and unconsciously presuppose an anachronistic existence of ‘Western’ medicine. This is a creative suggestion. But if we are to use the term ‘classical medicine’ it should not exclude the medicine developed and practiced by non-Chinese people in pre-modern China, and thus it cannot completely replace the term ‘Chinese medicine’ as it changes through time. In this dissertation, I use ‘scientific medicine’ or ‘biomedicine’ to denote what most others imagine as a ‘Western medicine’ prevailing in modern China. My choice avoids characterising modern Japanese medicine transmitted to China as ‘Western’ medicine. But I do not completely abandon the use of the term ‘Western medicine’ or ‘Chinese medicine’ in consideration of the historical narratives themselves and in specific contexts where it is more appropriate to the actor’s categories.

1.3.4 Tradition and Modernity

‘Traditional Chinese medicine’ (TCM, ‘chuan tong zhong yi 傳統中醫’ in Chinese) is now a very
popular term among people interested in traditional or Chinese medicine as well as in academic publications on Chinese medical culture and history. However, as pointed out by Kim Taylor, this term did not exist in pre-modern China but was actually created as late as 1950s. Similarly, the terms ‘traditional Chinese materia medica’ (‘chuan tong zhong yao xue 傳統中藥學’ in Chinese) and ‘traditional Chinese medicinal substances’ (‘chuan tong zhong yao 傳統中藥’ in Chinese) are also popular today despite their relatively late appearance. From a broader perspective, the combinations that involve the use of the term ‘traditional’ or ‘tradition’ are absolutely not limited to the field of Chinese medicine or materia medica. We have a variety of examples such as ‘chuan tong she hui 傳統社會’ (traditional society), ‘chuan tong wen hua 傳統文化’ (traditional culture), ‘chuan tong ren wu 傳統人物’ (traditional figures), ‘chuan tong si xiang 傳統思想’ (traditional thoughts), ‘chuan tong zong jiao 傳統宗教’ (traditional religions), ‘chuan tong cun luo 傳統村落’ (traditional villages), and ‘chuan tong yin shi 傳統飲食’ (traditional foods). However, along with the widely used designation ‘traditional’ or ‘tradition’ in histories of Chinese medicine, many authors pay little attention to what the term itself signifies.

What is ‘traditional’ Chinese medicine or a Chinese medical ‘tradition’? As mentioned above, the state of Chinese medicine in history was never static. But many people unconsciously associate different things and practices in contemporary China with the ‘long history’ of Chinese civilisation and this may be the origin of the emphasis on ‘tradition’, although this also may be a global phenomenon in response to a marked non-Chinese thirst for all things ‘traditional’ in China. . But this long history’ has been called into question on a number of counts. For example, Bridie Minehan finds that the filiform needles generally used in contemporary Chinese acupuncture were initially invented by the Japanese, and later transmitted to China in the 20th century. Another example is electro-acupuncture, which was introduced to China in the first half of the 20th century but was still seen by some Chinese authors as ‘one of precious Chinese national medical legacies’. According to Edward Shils (1910-1995), a belief or practice ‘has to last over at least three generations’ to become a tradition. That is to say, traditions can form in less than a century. The filiform needles and electro-acupuncture, now interwoven with people’s imagination of ‘ancient’ acupuncture, have
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108 See, for example, Ding 1991; Bao et al. 2004.
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become what Eric Hobsbawm (1917-2012) referred to in his analysis of ‘invented traditions’ that
support new nationalist movements, albeit not entirely imagined, but rather embellished, in this
case.113 Similar invented or embellished traditions are not rare in modern and contemporary Chinese
medicine or culture.114 Of course, the above examples of invented traditions do not represent the
whole face of modern Chinese medicine.

According to Paul U. Unschuld, the collapse of traditional Chinese social structures and
ideologies eliminated the legitimising environment for Chinese medicine in the 20th century; as a
result, Chinese medicine resembles a tree without roots, which may exist for a long time despite that
it is actually dead.115 That is to say, China’s classical medicine as a tradition is now dead rather than
being revived or invented in ever emerging social environments. This view can be associated with
Hobsbawm’s account of ‘genuine traditions’. Hobsbawm believed in the existence of ‘genuine
traditions’, and stated that ‘where the old ways are alive, [genuine] traditions need be neither revived
nor invented’.116 The ‘old ways’ are consistent with practices that are connected to the ‘root’ in
Unschuld’s account. However, was Chinese medicine a genuine tradition before its death so
conceived? And, is Chinese medicine really dead? These issues are closely connected with our
understandings of tradition. Volker Scheid questions Hobsbawm’s vague distinction between
‘genuine’ and ‘invented’ traditions, and provides us with a new perspective for treating tradition as a
dynamic process, within which the elements that constitute tradition are open to change.117 Ideas
and practices associated with Chinese medicine, and its practitioners, have been struggling for
survival since the modern period, and their efforts to reconstruct themselves have revealed an ability
to adapt to social and intellectual changes. Chinese medicine’s appropriation of biomedicine also
added to the plurality of Chinese medical theories and healing arts. Meanwhile, there ensued issues
concerning Chinese medicine’s self-identification as well as other-identification.118 Overall, a
modern (and contemporary) Chinese medicine did not entirely cut off its connection with

114 Influenced by Hobsbawm, some authors turn to China and successively unveil more and more invented traditions
in modern and contemporary Chinese society. For example, see Zhao 2008, pp. 10-12; Yan 2013, pp. 191-195; Luo
117 Scheid 2007, pp. 5-11. Scheid points out that according to Hobsbawm, Chinese medicine was not a genuine
tradition as contemporary historian of medicine consider it reinvented itself from time to time; but if Chinese
medicine has always been a genuine tradition (many contemporary practitioners hold this view), it will be difficult for
us to describe innovations in Chinese medical history, and to determine the transformation of genuine tradition to
invented ones.
pre-modern Chinese medicine, and also kept a distance from being thoroughly scientised according to any of the definitions given before. Sean Hsiang-lin Lei considers that a modern Chinese medicine, which looked ‘neither donkey nor horse’, actuated or manifested Chinese medicine as ‘a valuable living tradition’.\(^{119}\) As for the modern Chinese materia medica, medicinal substances produced by traditional physicians from both natural products long used for medical purposes in China combined with imported medicinal chemicals,\(^{120}\) testify to a revival in response to various voices and policies surrounding its future.

A variety of changes occurred in modern societies which have given rise to considerable discussion on the nature of modernisation and its relationship with tradition. The modernisation theory that emerged in the mid-20th century was initially based on the belief in a dichotomy between traditional and modern social systems. It stressed that non-Western societies had to abandon their own cultures so as to embrace modernisation, which was embodied in Western societies.\(^{121}\) In this theory, tradition was not only simply placed in opposition to modernity, but was also treated as an obstacle on the road to modernisation. However, such a view failed to obtain support from the development of non-Western societies in reality, especially after the Second World War.\(^{122}\) And from the 1960s the modernisation theory began to be widely criticised.\(^{123}\) For example, Joseph R. Gusfield (1923-2015) pointed out that tradition and modernity were not necessarily mutually exclusive, but could coexist; modernity would not necessarily undermine tradition; and tradition could both resist and support social changes.\(^{124}\) With the decline of the modernisation theory, the paradigm of multiple modernities, first formulated by Shmuel N. Eisenstadt (1923-2010) in the early 1990s, has received more and more acclaim.\(^{125}\) As a result, modernity was no longer necessarily equated with Westernisation despite that Western patterns of modernity provided a basic reference for non-Western societies. Eisenstadt suggested that the best way of understanding the contemporary world was to ‘see it as a story of continual constitution and reconstitution of a multiplicity of cultural programs’; and unique expressions of modernity were realised through specific social actors who engaged in ongoing reconstructions of multiple institutional and ideological patterns.\(^{126}\)

\(^{119}\) Lei 2014, p. 164.  
\(^{120}\) See, for example, Huang 1991, pp. 197-202; Deng et al. 1997, pp. 583-585.  
\(^{123}\) Tipps 1973, pp. 199-226.  
\(^{125}\) Eisenstadt 1993, pp. 401-410; Preyer 2013, pp. 187-225.  
\(^{126}\) Eisenstadt 2000, pp. 1-29. See also Eisenstadt 2002. From the 1990s some scholars who seem to be unaware of
paradigm of multiple modernities soon became popular in social and historical studies, despite that there are also some cautious criticisms and revisions of the paradigm such as the formulation of the theory of varieties of modernity. Anyway, whether from the perspective of advocates or critics of the paradigm of multiple modernities, modernity is now no longer considered fixed.

China is in an important position for examining theories about modernity. And China’s modernity has been extensively explored academically, especially since the 1990s. While some scholars such as Bruno Latour hold a negative attitude towards the very existence of modernity, most historians of modern China, with the exception of Paul A. Cohen and a few others, are keen on exploring the unique expressions of modernity in modern Chinese society. Of course, contemporary scholars’ reflections on modernity are one thing. Post the Opium Wars intellectuals’ belief in the possibility of a Chinese modernity was quite another. Some of them did believe in the existence of a European modernity, and saw modernity an important goal for personal and social development. In this respect, according to Michel Foucault (1926-1984), modernity might be better understood as ‘an attitude rather than as a period of history’. In contrast to the various theoretical discussions on China’s modernity, Ruth Rogaski stresses the importance of understanding how modern Chinese elites ‘envisioned modernity and sought to transform the nation’. Her study of the modern sense of the Chinese term ‘wei sheng’ (hygiene) in the late Qing and Republican periods is actually an example of how specific social groups discovered modernity for themselves in modern China. Yang Xiangyin’s analysis of Shanghai medical advertisements published during the decade before 1937 demonstrates how they explicitly related healthy bodies to national prosperity, and delivered their appeal for establishing a nation-state to a wide social audience through the hygienic context. Sean Hsiang-Lin Lei, considering the separation of Chinese medical theory and Chinese medicinal substances, as proposed by modern Chinese figures like Yu Yunxiu (a Chinese

the paradigm of multiple modernities, such as Samuel P. Huntington, also separate Westernisation from modernisation, see Huntington 1996, pp. 68-78.  
127 Woolcock 2009, pp. 4-9; Sadria 2009; Fischer-Lichte et al. 2011; Grenier 2013; Preyer and Sussman 2015.  
128 For example, Volker H. Schmidt criticises that the notions of culture and cultural difference in the paradigm of multiple modernities are vague. He proposes his own theory of varieties of modernity on the basis of the previous theories of varieties of capitalism and modernisation, see Schmidt 2006, pp. 77-97; Schmidt 2010, pp. 511-538.  
129 Yao 2000, pp. 4-13; Valentine 2006, pp. 7-22; Chen 2009, pp. 67-76.  
130 Latour 1993. However, compared with criticisms of modernity, construction of theories on modernity is relatively more active, see Lee 2006, 355-368. With respect to modern China, many historians think that not only did modernity exist, but also there were multiple modernities, see Ip 2003, pp. 490-509.  
131 Cohen 2010, pp. 57-96.  
132 See, for example, Lihu [1934] 1985, pp. 57. See also Zanasi 2004, pp. 113-146.  
133 Foucault 1984, pp. 32-50.  
134 Rogaski 2004, p. 300.  
135 Yang 2008b, pp. 52-59.
doctor of scientific medicine), suggests the former is ‘viewed as a purely cultural construct’, while
the latter is ‘characterized as raw material from nature’, and that their analysis reflects a modernist
divide between nature and culture. My investigation of modern physicians of classical medicine
interacts with this interesting finding of Lei’s. And in my opinion, the distinction made by these
physicians between ‘old’ and ‘new’ medicine or medicinal substances in modern China also
embodies, according to Latour’s characterisations of modernity, their belief that there was a radical
break between the past and the modern world.

Like Rogaski, Liang Qizi states that the history of medicine provides an effective
route to explore the nature of China’s own vision of modernity. She makes a general review of
modern Chinese medicine, and its changes, through analysing specific cases (such as the
replacement of variolation by cowpox vaccination) and through comparisons between Chinese and
Western medicine. In the review she considers that any exploration of Chinese medicine’s modernity
must avoid taking Western experience as the standard; and concludes that it is possible to discover
an emerging Chinese modernity before the 19th century by investigating local Chinese societies.

Certainly, we can revise and even reject European concepts of modernity as relevant to the Chinese
case by nuancing our understanding of the changing character of Chinese society and history in the
17th and 18th century. But in my opinion, if we want to seek China’s modernity, we have to analyse
how it refers to the European experience, as the concept of modernity itself is derived and borrowed
from European discourses. Normally, it is considered that European civilisations began to modernise
from the 17th-18th centuries. Jack Goody opines, the English word ‘modernity’ was even a
nineteenth-century invention. If we separate China from the rest of the world, and see changes in
China’s indigenous medical ideas, techniques, theories and institutions pre-19th-century as
representing achievement of the universal character of modernity, just as Liang suggests, then such
‘modernity’ will not only have little relationship with what is usually designated as the modern
period (since, for example, Francis Bacon’s technologies that presaged rapid change in Europe,
gunpowder, the printing press, and the nautical compass, were already well in place in China by
Southern Song China [1127-1279] if not long before), but we will also neglect the role of

139 Huntington 1996, p. 69.
140 Goody 2006, p. 128.
interactions among different Western and non-Western societies in the social construction of modernity. In this dissertation, following Scheid I see the modern Chinese medicine or materia medica neither as a genuine tradition nor as an invented tradition, but as a dynamic living tradition. As for modernity, I appreciate Ruth Rogaski’s perspective. For historians of modern China it is of critical importance to pay attention to modern intellectuals’ narratives and imagination of modernity as an integral feature of their inspired efforts to reshape a failing country. My study of modern Chinese materia medica is in part a response to all these medical historians’ investigations of China’s modernity through the history of Chinese medicine.

1.3.5 Spatial Turn and Global Context

As contacts among different regions of the world became increasingly intense, by the mid-20th century scholars, interested in creating spaces for social action, began to be aware that the utility of the analytical tool afforded by the nation-state, and its hierarchical spatial order deemed to have started to assert itself in the 19th century, was no longer the most appropriate reference frame. From the 1960s scholars such as Henri Lefebvre (1901-1991) and Michel Foucault began to critically reflect on the rigid imagination of space in history and the time-space relationship. They stressed that there was an equal relationship between time and space, and carried out inspiring research on the important role of space in the exercise of power, the generation of knowledge, and the reproduction of social relations, particularly as it related to the expansion of capitalism, etc. With increasing attention being paid to space, the spatial turn as a new paradigm began to prevail in humanities and social sciences from the 1980s. This historiographical moment heralded a departure from conceptions of space as a fixed and inanimate container for historical development, and also moved away from methodological nationalism and centrism. The spatial turn treated space as being constructed, and acknowledged the coexistence of different spatial frameworks, highlighting the central role of historians and historical actors in specifying spatial orders. The spatial turn has had a profound influence on historical studies. It changed historical writing, which in the past placed emphasis on time and neglected or marginalised space; meanwhile, it has also contributed to the rise
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of research into transnational history, which lays stress on the movements of things, ideas and practices that crossed geographical, social and cultural boundaries.145

After the beginning of the 1990s, scientific universalism, that is a belief in the unity of modern science, gradually became unpopular in the academic community. However, historians and sociologists began to pay more attention to the key role of place and space in the production and application of scientific knowledge. For example, the emphasis of research on science in the Enlightenment has now turned from achievements, figures, time and causes to place; and the nation-state framework now also gives place to various spaces (such as museums, meeting rooms, laboratories, ships, textual spaces, and places in the field) which facilitate attention to transnational intellectual exchange and local interactions.146 In Diarmid A. Finnegan’s review of recent studies in the history of life sciences, we find historians of science more concerned with the local, spatial and social characters of scientific enterprise; historians that use different approaches to observe and explore the circulation of scientific knowledge for the purpose of deepening our understanding of the globalisation of science.147 Meanwhile, as Carla Nappi states, growing attention to local case studies and historiographies of science also causes tension between the local and global, and brings challenges for weaving together global histories of science.148 In terms of modern Chinese medicine, the spatial turn enables us to study and write its history from a new perspective. Indeed, there have always been interactions between Chinese and exotic medicine despite the ancient indigenous origins of Chinese medicine.149 However, in the modern period with the substantial opening of China to the more closely linked world, the nature, state and transmission of Chinese medicine has also became much more complicated than ever before. Therefore it is difficult, even impossible, for us to have a deep insight into modern Chinese medicine from a purely indigenous perspective.

There have been few historical studies of modern Chinese medicine or materia medica from this spatial perspective. Hao Xianzhong 郝先中 finds that the introduction of the hospital system to modern China gradually transformed the space for medical healthcare from private families to public

145 Kumin and Usborne 2013, pp. 305-318; Iriye 2013, pp. 1-18. In historical studies ‘global history’, ‘world history’ and ‘transnational history’ are all associated with the transcendence of national boundaries and avoidance of ethnocentric narratives. Relatively speaking, according to a 2006 conversation on distinctions between the three types of histories, transnational history is concerned with movements, flows and circulation across national borders and the construction of historical processes in the movement between different areas, see Bayly et al. 2006, pp. 1440-1464.
146 Withers 2009, pp. 637-658.
147 Finnegan 2008, pp. 369-388.
148 Nappi 2013, pp. 102-110.
149 There have been a variety of publications on this point, see Ma et al. 1993; Li 1998; Lo and Cullen 2005; Feng 2010; Hinrichs and Barnes 2013.
Yang Nianqun is also aware of this phenomenon. But he is more interested in the local and spatial factors involved in conflicts between Chinese and Western medicine, and Western doctors’ utilisation of space in integrating themselves into the Chinese society. In terms of the large-scale transnational space, Volker Scheid’s survey of the development of the Menghe 孟河 medical current of learning from the late imperial period to the beginning of the 21st century also involves movements of Menghe physicians and their medical knowledge in global networks. Such a perspective places emphasis on circulation and variation of knowledge, but does not aim to make essentialist distinctions. Different from previous historical studies of communications between Chinese and foreign medicine, studies from this perspective no longer need to centre on the imperial or national category of ‘China’ in contradistinction to an indigenous Chinese medicine. In this respect, Harold J. Cook offers us a good case study, in which he mainly examines the English physician John Floyer (1649-1734) and Jesuits’ explorations of the ‘pulse’ in Chinese medicine in the 17th century. Interestingly, their misunderstandings about the ‘pulse’ did not result in serious medical consequences but inspired Floyer and others’ efforts to explore natural changes in the body. Cook’s research is instructive for the study of the history of medicine or materia medica in 19th- and 20th-century China.

1.4 Scientific Medicine Travelling to Modern China

What happened in modern Chinese medicine and materia medica had a close relationship with the globalisation of modern science. The Europeans and Americans who went to China, especially the Protestant missionaries such as Robert Morrison (1782-1834), played a pivotal role in transmitting European and American knowledge to modern China. The imagination of this modern science and medicine as an effective auxiliary tool for spreading the knowledge of Christ gradually diffused in China. The German missionary Karl F. A. Gützlaff (1803-1851) believed that Western ‘useful knowledge and science’, ‘the handmaids of true religion’, was what the ‘stationary’ Chinese
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When missionaries in China devoted themselves to saving natives’ souls and healing the sick, they also advanced the transplantation of Western medicine in this country. The American medical missionary Peter Parker (1804-1888) has been regarded as a pioneer who ‘opened the gates of China with a lancet when European cannon could not heave a single bar’. To prosecute the required medical undertakings, Parker and some other missionaries founded the ‘Medical Missionary Society in China’ in Guangzhou on 21 February, 1838. At the conference held by this society on 14 April of the same year, Parker and the other missionaries reached a consensus that ‘the Chinese admit their ignorance of medical science’. Obviously they noticed some differences between Chinese medicine and their own medicine. And the key point of the statement was the absence of their ‘science’ in Chinese medicine.

The Qing court’s strength and control of the country declined after the Opium War (1840-1842). The Treaty of Wanghia (signed on 3 July, 1844) and some later treaties between Qing China and foreign powers legitimised Christian (medical) missionary activities to move away from treaty ports to the interior of China. From the 1840s onward, the transmission of Western medicinal substances and related knowledge through medical practice, commerce, education and publications, still mainly pioneered by missionaries, reached a higher level. From 1877 to 1889, the number of mission hospitals and dispensaries in China increased from sixteen and twenty four to sixty one and forty four. By 1907, the number had surged to 166 and 241 respectively. In 1909, there were more than 800 medical missionaries and about forty trained nurses working at approximately 350 hospitals and dispensaries in China; they treated about two million patients annually. These medical intuitions consumed large amounts of Western medicinal substances. Peter Parker, for example, returned from America to Guangzhou after the Opium War. He continued to use medicinal substances such as copper sulphate, silver nitrate and strychnine to treat eye
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diseases in his hospital, and also began to apply ether and chloroform as anesthesia to surgical
operations from the second half of the 1840s.\footnote{166}

Along with its opening on 17 November, 1843, Shanghai gradually replaced Guangzhou as the
largest import and export trade port in China.\footnote{167} The supply and production of Western medicinal
substances and the popularisation of Western \textit{materia medica} in Shanghai also preceded those in the
rest of China. Statistical data indicates that forty one foreign (mainly European and American)
companies’ which were purveyors of Western medicinal substances were established in Shanghai
during the period 1843-1911,\footnote{168} while the number of both foreign and Chinese purveyors of Western
medicinal substances in Guangzhou in the same period was only twenty seven.\footnote{169} Besides, ‘\textit{Wai guo
yao liao} 外國藥料’ (foreign medicinal substances) exported to China through ports remained duty
free until 1902, at which point a tariff of 5\% began to be imposed.\footnote{170} This meant that the tariff
schedule of Qing China before 1902 was objectively beneficial to the import of Western medicinal
substances to China.

The introduction of Western \textit{materia medica} into modern China was closely tied up with the
development of education about Western medicine in China. Prior to 1838, European and American
medical institutions in Guangzhou had already accepted Chinese children sent by their parents to
learn medical knowledge. Western doctors there also placed high expectations on these young
Chinese students, hoping that Western medicine could be transmitted in their footsteps to broader
areas of China. One of these students was Guan Tao 关韬 (1818-1874), who started to learn from
Peter Parker in 1836, and thus became the first modern Chinese doctor of Western medicine.\footnote{171}
More importantly, in the Pok Tsai Hospital (Guangzhou), the Presbyterian medical missionary John
G. Kerr (1824-1901) established the first institution for Western medical education and training in
China in 1866, i.e. the Canton Medical School. Once the school opened it attracted some Chinese
students, while Kerr himself taught \textit{materia medica} and chemistry.\footnote{172} By 1909, China not only had
more professional mission medical schools, but also had mission universities that included

\footnotetext{166}{Gulick 1973, pp. 111, 148-149, 163-165.}
\footnotetext{167}{Yue 1994, pp. 29-38; Sun 2004, pp. 81-85; Nield 2015, p. 197. The port of Guangzhou was opened on 27 July,
1843, see Zhou 2014, pp. 115-120.}
\footnotetext{168}{SHSYYGS \textit{et al.} 1988, pp. 17-35.}
\footnotetext{169}{GZSDFZBZWHY 1998, pp. 462-463.}
\footnotetext{170}{ZHTSHGZCC 1902, p. 12.}
\footnotetext{171}{Song 1994, p. 256; Liu 2000, pp. 98-100.}
\footnotetext{172}{Cadbury and Jones 1935, pp. 101-143, 192-198; SYXBSYXYCBWYH 1935, p. 21. See also Li 2015, pp.
in classical China, see Wong 1919, pp. 106-111.}
departments of medicine.\textsuperscript{173} The first education institution devoted to Western \textit{materia medica}, i.e. \textit{Bo xi yao xue yuan} (Boxi College of Materia Medica), was also established in Suzhou in 1907.\textsuperscript{174} Moreover, Western \textit{materia medica} had been listed as a higher education subject independent of ‘medicine’ since 15 August 1902, when the Qing court started to implement reforms of the educational system.\textsuperscript{175}

A few monographs on Western \textit{materia medica} in the Chinese language, such as \textit{Xi yao lü e shi} (Manual of Materia Medica, 1871), \textit{Xi yao da cheng} (Materia Medica and Therapeutics, 1887) and \textit{Wan guo yao fang} (A Manual of Therapeutics and Pharmacy in the Chinese Language, 1890), were compiled by European and American missionaries in the latter part of the 19th century.\textsuperscript{176} They popularised knowledge about Western medicinal substances, and found favour with some Chinese intellectual elite. For example, the Viceroy Li Hongzhang (1823-1901) wrote a preface to \textit{Wan guo yao fang}, which heaped high praise on this book and its author Stephen A. Hunter.\textsuperscript{177} In addition to such monographs, many other missionaries’ Chinese publications also contain knowledge of Western medicinal substances, as we can see in Benjamin Hobson’s (1816-1873) book \textit{Nei ke xin shuo} (New Treatise on Internal Medicine, 1858).\textsuperscript{178} The second volume of this book, entitled \textit{Dong xi ben cao lu yao} (Record of Basic Eastern and Western Medicinal Substances), includes both Chinese medicinal substances (e.g. \textit{fu ling} [\textit{Wolfiporia cocos} (Schwein.) Ryvarden & Gilb.]) and Western natural or chemically produced medicinal substances (e.g. \textit{xi yang shen} [\textit{Panax quinquefolius} L.] and \textit{su da} [sodium carbonate]). Just as is indicated in the volume title, Hobson made an effort to integrate knowledge about Chinese and Western medicinal substances despite his tendency to denigrate Chinese, while elevating Western \textit{materia medica}.\textsuperscript{179}

\textsuperscript{173} \textit{The China Medical Journal} published a set of articles about more than ten such schools and universities in the ‘Original Communications’ column in September 1909, see Pott \textit{et al}. 1909, pp. 300-344. The articles just cover part of such schools and universities by 1909, while the actual number of them remains unclear but should be bigger.

\textsuperscript{174} SZDXFSDYYY 2008, pp. 12-13; Mei 2013, p. 33.

\textsuperscript{175} Qu and Tang 1991, pp. 236-237, 359-361.

\textsuperscript{176} Thomson 1887, pp. 115-121; Lu and Zhang 1936, pp. 1108-1120; Chen 1988, pp. 20-36. The English titles for \textit{Xi yao lü e shi} and \textit{Xi yao da cheng} are from Thomson 1887, pp. 115-121. The English title for \textit{Wan guo yao fang} is shown in the book.

\textsuperscript{177} Li 1890a, pp. 11-14. See also Li 1890b, pp. 24-25. Stephen A. Hunter’s book was mainly translated from Peter Squire’s \textit{A Companion to the British Pharmacopoeia} (London: J. Churchill & Sons, 1866) and supplemented by American, Indian, and Chinese pharmacopoeias and other sources, see Doleželová-Velingerová and Wagner 2014, p. 450. For a brief overview of Hunter’s life, see Moreland 1938, p. 102. For Li’s life, see Little 1903; Chu and Liu 1994.


\textsuperscript{179} Hobson’s varied attitudes to Chinese and Western materia medica is revealed by a recent study of Hobson’s original autograph manuscripts of two Chinese medical translations, see Chen \textit{et al}. 2013, pp. 243-293.
Hobson’s approach can be seen as a strategy for introducing knowledge of Western medicinal substances in the tradition of the Jesuits. But he did have an interest in Chinese medicinal substances and their efficacies. And there were not a few European and American people, like Hobson, curious about Chinese medicinal substances in 19th-century China. They looked for new effective medicinal substances with the intention of enriching Western medicine, or hunted for effective indigenous substitutes due to factors such as shortages of, or high prices for Western medicinal substances. However, they often criticised Chinese scholarship on medicinal substances for being unscientific. For example, in the influential work *The Middle Kingdom* (1848), the author, American missionary Samuel W. Williams (1812-1884), wrote that ‘in all departments of learning, the Chinese are unscientific; ... they have never pursued a single subject in a way calculated to lead them to a right understanding of it’; and the *Ben cao gang mu* (Compendium of Materia Medica, 1578), valued by the Chinese, contains ‘a deal of incorrect and useless matter’, while ‘the use of acids and reagents is unknown [to Chinese physicians], for they imply more knowledge of chemistry than the Chinese possess’. Hobson held a similar view to Williams’s. He considered that Chinese *materia medica* lacked the science of chemistry as well as Chinese terminologies for chemicals such as an oxide; it contained the incorrect *yin yang* and *wu xing* theories, and was short of preparations from the Mineral Kingdom, ‘which forms so important part of our Pharmacopoeia’. In brief, there might be gross inaccuracies in European and American criticisms of Chinese *materia medica* which centred on its ‘unscientific’ nature.

The Qing court, defeated by Western powers in two Opium Wars and troubled by the Taiping rebellion (1850-1864), finally determined to support the Foreign Affairs Movement (the so-called ‘Self-Strengthening Movement’, 1861-1895). Although the movement ended up with the Qing court’s military failure in the Sino-Japanese War (1894-1895), it promoted the transmission of Western science, technology and medicine through avenues such as new-style education, translation...
and Chinese overseas students in late Qing China. For example, Li Hongzhang, leader of the foreign affairs faction, adopted the British medical missionary John K. Mackenzie (1850-1888) and a few other missionaries’ suggestion, and precipitated the establishment of modern China’s first official school of Western medicine in the Viceroy’s Hospital in Tianjin on 15 December, 1881. British and American doctors taught in this school, offering courses on medicinal substances, medicinal properties and medicinal chemistry. Japan’s victories in the Sino-Japanese War and the Russo-Japanese War (1904-1905) also significantly changed the Qing court’s superior attitude to Japan. Reforms, modelled after the Japanese educational system, were put into practice. The New Governance period (1901-1911) also witnessed an upsurge in both the arrival of Japanese teachers in China and the departure of Chinese students for Japan. It temporarily transformed the situation where Western knowledge, including medical knowledge, had mainly been introduced from Chinese translations of European and American rather than Japanese texts. My perspective on the main historical actors that mediated the transmission of scientific medicine in 19th-century China, i.e. Western missionaries, the state, and voluntary Chinese individuals, is basically consistent with Bridie Minehan’s recent account of the making of modern Chinese medicine. But in Chapter 4 my focus is on the first half of the 20th century (especially the Republican period) and is more concerned with a much expanded range of Chinese sources.

From about the 1910s onward, Chinese students studying pharmacology, chemistry and other natural sciences in Japan and the West returned to China in quick succession, giving rise to the formation of native research strengths in scientific medicine. Influenced by indigenous medical culture, some of them naturally drew attention to Chinese medicinal substances and related practical knowledge and historical records. But their research methods were mainly characterised by chemical
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188 Specific courses in this school before 1916 remain unknown. Here these courses were extracted from the school regulations in 1916, see Anonymous [1916] 1987, pp. 423-427. See also Lu 1991, pp. 25-30.
190 Guo 1999, pp. 81-84.
191 For the arrival of Japanese teachers in China in the 1900s and related statistics, see Cui 1996, p. 135; Wang 2014, pp. 70-140, 351-365; Katou 2015, pp. 73-85. For Chinese students in Japan in the 1900s, see Sanetou 1960; Huang 1975. A few statistics on the number of these Chinese students have been published despite of some variances, see Li and Chen 1996, pp. 41-52; Zhou 2008, pp. 104-112; Li 2010, p. 235; Lü 2012, p. 185.
192 Tsien 1954, pp. 305-327; Tsien 2011, pp. 163-190; Wang 2008, pp. 45-63; Xiong 2011, p. 11. There were 958 Chinese translations (books) of Japanese books during the period 1896-1911, including 46 books on medicine and materia medica, see Tan 1980, pp. 41, 47. See also Li and Chen 2008, pp. 117-120. The above statistical data are not so complete, see Zhang 2008, pp. 20-36.
193 Minehan 2014, pp. 51-88.
analyses and biological experiments rather than *yin yang*, *wu xing* and other indigenous historical medical theories.\(^{194}\) Taken from another angle, Iwo Amelung stresses that what accompanied these Chinese students’ adoption of new scientific practices was the voice of national salvation in modern Chinese society.\(^{195}\) Stacey Bieler’s case study of Ren Hongjun (1886-1961), who studied in Japan and America, shows that many Chinese overseas intellectuals cherished the dream of saving their country through science.\(^{196}\) Geng Xuan’s recent Ph.D dissertation also demonstrates that the ideology of saving or serving China through science ‘was a central motivation of early twentieth-century Chinese intellectuals’ studying in America.\(^{197}\) These studies help us to understand the main context for the active transmission and localisation of scientific knowledge about Chinese medicinal substances as will be exemplified by the analysis of the caterpillar fungus in modern Chapter 4.

Scientific medicine maintained its strong position in official discourses, public health and hospital medicine through political interventions in Republican China (1912-1945).\(^{198}\) It did not, however, obtain any predominance or even make a major contribution to society overall in comparison with indigenous Chinese medicines.\(^{199}\) In fact, Chinese medicine and *materia medica* were not at all extinct but, as revealed by Sean Hsiang-Lin Lei,\(^{200}\) survived vividly in different forms in Republican society despite serious top-down challenges.\(^{201}\) In terms of medical education in the first half of the 20th century, as pointed out by Gao Xi, ‘the Ministry of Education lacked basic powers of jurisdiction’ over ‘government-operated medical schools’, not to mention medical schools established by private citizens/organisations, missionary societies, and foreign

\(^{194}\) Chen and Zhang 1992, pp. 120-132; Niu 2003, pp. 228-243.

\(^{195}\) Amelung 2014, pp. 39-66.

\(^{196}\) Bieler 2004, pp. 270-284.

\(^{197}\) Geng 2015, pp. 1-2.

\(^{198}\) In the case of medical education, Chinese medicine education in Republican school systems was basically suppressed by the government, see ZHYYXH 2014, pp. 116-118. None of the courses on medicine in the regulations of specialist schools and universities, formulated by the Ministry of Education, was devoted to Chinese medicine, see JYB 1912, pp. 1-19; Pan and Liu 1993, pp. 510-512. Moreover, the Ministry of Public Health also approved a series of proposals including one for forbidding schools of old medicine in February, 1929, see Wen 2007, p. 75. Afterwards, Chinese medicine education and schools of Chinese medicine remained beyond official education system despite their harsh situation was slightly relieved with protests from the community of traditional physicians, see Deng and Cheng 1999, pp. 226-230-233. Partly for this reason, professional expertise in Chinese medicine and materia medica was still mainly passed down by means of private tutorship, see Chen and Zhang 1992, pp. 110-111.

\(^{199}\) In most regions of Republican China, Chinese medicine and medicinal substances remained the mainstream of social medical care. For example, two statistics covering 11 provinces in 1929 and 28 cities in 1933 demonstrate that traditional physicians and Chinese drugstores in all these provinces and a majority of these cities were significantly more than doctors of Western medicine and Western dispensaries, see GMZPB 1935, p. 395.

\(^{200}\) Lei 2014.

\(^{201}\) For example, according to a preliminary statistics, there were 208 and 197 periodicals of Chinese and Western medicine respectively founded in modern China; and 94.6 % of them were founded in the Republican period, see Yuan and Wang 1997, 50-54. The periodicals of Chinese medicine obviously formed important places for exchange of Chinese medical knowledge. There were also hospitals of Chinese medicine or integrated Chinese and Western medicine throughout the Republican period, see Cao 2006, pp. 18-22.
governments.\footnote{Gao 2014, pp. 173-211.} Although the Republican government in China suppressed the establishment of schools of Chinese medicine, such schools continued to exist throughout the Republican period.\footnote{The Bei ping guo yi xue yuan 北平國醫學院 (Beijing College of Chinese Medicine, 1930-1944) was an example of such schools, see Gao et al. 1992, pp. 61-62.} The root of the above phenomenon lay in stubborn resistance from proponents of Chinese medicine and the Republican government’s lax control of the whole country. This will be discussed in Chapter 4 in connection with the government regulation of medicinal substances. Kim Taylor provides an excellent analysis of the subsequent political utilisation of Chinese medicine in early Communist China, but she is more pessimistic than I about the transmission and inheritance of Chinese medical knowledge in Republican China, probably due to her exclusive focus on political rhetoric rather than the actual circumstances on the ground.\footnote{Taylor 2005, pp. 1-13.} On this point I agree with Volker Scheid that there was continuity in the transmission of Chinese medical knowledge, in different ways (such as, for example, mentoring relationships developed within medical pai 派 [currents] of learning), throughout Republican and Communist China.\footnote{Scheid 2007, pp. 173-318.} In particular, Wen Xiang finds that the Republican central and local governments moderated their negative attitude to Chinese medicine during the Sino-Japanese War, and shifted to a policy of encouraging traditional physicians’ active participation in the wartime medical service and even the application of Chinese medicinal substances. No doubt this was important to them and necessary due to the shortage of any medical specialists and medicinal substances.\footnote{Wen 2007, pp. 102-107.} In this dissertation, my examination of knowledge about the caterpillar fungus in Chinese society is also a response to the above scholars’ analyses.

1.5 Methodology

My exploration of the transnational history of the caterpillar fungus is a multi-sited and interdisciplinary case study. It is based on analyses of relevant multi-linguistic historical texts printed or written in East Asia, Europe, Russia and America. I place emphasis on medical and natural history texts, but meanwhile also pay attention to other sources including local chronicles, travel notes, letters, literary writings, dictionaries, encyclopedias, advertisements, customs publications, economic surveys and official documents. The use of diverse historical sources enables me to construct a
polyvocal history of the caterpillar fungus, and to situate the caterpillar fungus, knowledge and historical actors in intricate social networks. This dissertation does not simply bring them together and produce a chronologically linear history, but makes an effort to contextualise narratives within varied cultural frameworks. By tracking the transmission of specimens of the caterpillar fungus, I draw on Latour’s discourse on the agency of objects, and connect the stories of the caterpillar fungus occurring in different places and cultures together, and probing into causes, means, contexts and consequences of flows of specimens and knowledge. This is appropriate to the research question of this dissertation and allows me to make reference to recent scholarship in the transnational history of medicinal substances. Unlike Volker Scheid and Sean Hsiang-Lin Lei, I focus on both material and humans. But I abandon the constructed separation between object and subject, as argued by Bruno Latour, in heterogeneous networks for knowledge production and exchange, and allow material agency to help trace and unveil social connections.

In this dissertation the transnational history of the caterpillar fungus mainly covers the period from the 18th century to the first half of the 20th century. As I see the transformation of Chinese materia medica as both a Chinese and global event, considerable attention is given to the study of the caterpillar fungus outside China before the Republican period. This allows us to better examine and evaluate the arrival and influence of knowledge about Chinese medicinal substances from outside China, and also to unveil the interplay of ‘natural’ knowledge that took place in modern Chinese materia medica. An investigation of the caterpillar fungus in pre-modern China is also a kind of microhistory that illustrates dynamically the exploitation, circulation, uses and study of medicinal substances in classical Chinese society. It facilitates a comparative inspection of significant changes in the modern Chinese materia medica. My examination of the transformation of Chinese materia medica in the Republican period abandons the popular but simplistic narrative framework that concentrates on controversies between Chinese and Western medicine, or progressive scientists and conservative traditional physicians. When moving my attention to Republican China, I give special attention to connections between the localisation and production of modern scientific knowledge about Chinese medicinal substances and traditional physicians’ reflections on the survival and future of Chinese materia medica.

I collect modern European, American, Chinese and Japanese literature on China and/or the
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caterpillar fungus mainly according to a series of authoritative catalogues such as Henri Cordier’s *Bibliotheca Sinica* (1904-1924), Tung-Li Yuan’s *China in Western Literature* (1958), John Lust’s *Index Sinicus* (1964), *Zhong guo jin dai qi kan pian mu hui lu* 中國近代期刊篇目彙錄 (Collection of the Titles of Modern Chinese Articles, 1980-1984), *Min guo qi zong shu mu* 民國時期總書目 (A General Catalogue of Republican [Chinese] Books, 1986-1995), *Koku sho sou moku roku* 國書總目録 (A General Catalogue of [Japanese] National Texts, 1963-1976), and *Ko ten seki sou gou moku roku* 古典籍総合目録 (Union Catalogue of Early [Japanese] Texts, 1990). In particular, I refer to *Lettres Édifiantes et Curieuses, Écrites des Missions Étrangères* (vols. 9-14, 1819) to systemically examine European Jesuits’ letters about China and/or the caterpillar fungus. Besides, I use some online databases such as WorldCat, JSTOR, Biodiversity Heritage Library, Internet Archive, CiNii, National Diet Library Digital Collections to search for target scientific and medical articles and/or books in different languages. Many primary and secondary sources, together with private communications with scholars and academic institutions around the world and my own reading experiences, also enable me to obtain more Euro-American, Russian, Chinese, Japanese texts relating to the caterpillar fungus. My search strategy is: first looking for secondary publications involving the caterpillar fungus; then gathering records of the caterpillar fungus in Qing and Republican Chinese local chronicles, traditional medical texts, scientific and biomedical publications; then obtaining Euro-American, Russian and Japanese scientific and/or biomedical reports on the caterpillar fungus published before the end of the 19th century; finally collecting multilingual economic records of the caterpillar fungus, as well as other primary and secondary sources for supporting this dissertation. Of course, the quality of the sources that I have gained vary. In primary sources, the geography, production, morphology, medicinal properties and/or other aspects of the caterpillar fungus were described at various levels of detail. While in secondary sources, many descriptions of the history of the caterpillar fungus often do not additionally pay attention to relevant records in exotic cultures, non-scientific reports, or origins of some accounts of the caterpillar fungus. On the basis of predecessor scholars and a relatively abundant collection of multilingual sources, this dissertation endeavours to give a relatively clear history of the caterpillar fungus in a global context.

1.6 Chapter Structure
This dissertation contributes to current scholarship in modern Chinese *materia medica* and transnational history of medicinal substances. The chapters in this dissertation are laid out according to the transmission of specimens and knowledge of the caterpillar fungus in the world. Chapter 2 mainly explores the emergence and spread of the caterpillar fungus as a curious natural product and potent medicinal substance in Chinese society by the end of the Qing dynasty. It first gives a detailed critical analysis of the earliest extant Tibetan and Chinese records of the caterpillar fungus. On this basis it examines Chinese people’s growing efforts to locate, disseminate, perceive and use the caterpillar fungus which, in the meantime, seemed to be neglected in Tibetan medicine, and transformed from a Tibetan medicinal substance to a Chinese medicinal substance. In this chapter I argue that the transmission of the caterpillar fungus by means of trade, tribute and other avenues in a Sino-Tibetan context was largely rooted in its marvelous physical transformation.

Chapter 3 turns to the exterior of China during the 18th and 19th centuries. It consists of two parts. The first part tracks the travels of the caterpillar fungus from China to the rest of the world (mainly France, Britain, Russia and Japan), while the second part mainly investigates new perceptions and study of the caterpillar fungus in those countries. In addition, this chapter provides an opportunity to reveal the diversity of the knowledge and approaches that came to bear on the Chinese *materia medica*. It is important to be aware of the plural epistemologies involved in stories of transmission, so as to observe consciously the quality of interactions between knowledge about medicinal substances in different cultures. Special attention is paid to some key actors’ motives for disseminating and studying specimens of the caterpillar fungus, European attitudes to Chinese knowledge about the caterpillar fungus, and the influence of European knowledge about the caterpillar fungus in 19th-century Japan. The latter two aspects were closely associated with some significant changes in Chinese knowledge about the caterpillar fungus since the end of the Qing dynasty. In this chapter I argue that the pursuit of new effective medicinal substances and curiosity about exotic natural objects contributed to the journeys of the caterpillar fungus abroad. Meanwhile, European scholars critically assimilated Chinese knowledge about the caterpillar fungus, and produced new knowledge about the caterpillar fungus within their own cultural frameworks. Part of the new (taxonomic) knowledge also coordinated with the pursuit of new medicinal substances. What happened in Europe then gave rise to Japanese scholars’ critical reflections on the caterpillar fungus and its relationship to similar species.
Chapter 4 moves back to modern China, and places emphasis on Republican China. It is divided into two parts. The first part looks into the transmission of knowledge about the caterpillar fungus from outside China since the end of the Qing dynasty, as well as the rise of scientific research on the caterpillar fungus in the Republican period. These processes presented challenges to conventional knowledge and ideas. Meanwhile, the Republican government and some Chinese scientists also actively strived to advance scientific research on (Chinese) medicinal substances. The second part is then mainly devoted to traditional physicians’ new reflections on the role of Chinese medicinal substances in saving Chinese medicine as they encountered a crisis of survival, their efforts to reconstruct the Chinese materia medica, and the actual influence of modern science and politics on the use of the Chinese medicinal substances in practice. The two parts display the pluralistic scholarship on Chinese medicinal substances in modern China, and the transformation of Chinese materia medica at a theoretical level. In this chapter I argue that the tensions and negotiations between modern science and indigenous Chinese knowledge about medicinal substances contributed to the formation of a new Chinese materia medica; modern science, which certainly stimulated exploration of effective medicinal substances, did not stimulate the radical break in the continuity of Chinese materia medica as is often assumed, nor did it necessarily impact the use of Chinese medicinal substances in practice.
Chapter 2 Medicine and Miracles: the Spread of a Sino-Tibetan Marvel

‘The annexation and exploration of new territory by the Qing had profound repercussions for bencao studies.

Naturalists struggled to cope with a pharmacy’s worth of new and unfamiliar substances, texts, and terms, as plants, animals, and the drugs made from them traveled into China across land and sea.’

—— Carla Nappi

2.1 Introduction

On 1st January 1925, Shen bao (Shanghai News) published an advertisement (Fig. 1) for a kind of food called dong chong [xia] cao ya (winter worm [summer] grass-duck):

The bei shi branch of Guan sheng yuan is selling a newly invented tonic food, which is produced by boiling dong chong xia cao and ducks together. It can nourish both qi and blood, and is also beneficial to yin and yang due to the appropriate recipe. And it will act quickly on the body if the soup is drunk by blending it with wine; honestly speaking, it is a good tonic food eaten in winter. Additionally, it tastes quite fresh and delicious, loved by people of all circles and in great demand. We are producing it everyday, and hence become so busy. (冠生園北市支店告一種新發明食物補品: 用(冬蟲夏草)與鴨共燉. 因其配合得法, 能雙補氣血, 互助陰陽; 將汁沖酒和飲, 其效尤速, 誠冬令之良好補品, 且味甚為鮮美, 頗受各界歡迎, 銷數甚廣; 連日趕製, 殊形忙云.)

Nappi 2009, p. 141.
Guan sheng yuan is an old and popular food company headquartered in Shanghai. Its history can be traced back to 1915. And Shanghai as a pivotal city in China’s modernisation process which walked at the cutting edge of innovation. People from East and West, North and South encountered each other in this city, where different cultures were also well integrated. So many people in this busy city would see this advertisement and be attracted to the nourishment promised by ducks and the caterpillar fungus (also *dong chong xia cao* 冬蟲夏草, literally winter worm summer grass)! Clearly its selling point lay in the caterpillar fungus rather than the duck which as a very common poultry and not quite worth the advertisement. So, what is the caterpillar fungus? How would its combination with the humble duck create an innovative exceptional product?

I am reluctant to describe the modern understanding of the caterpillar fungus’ life cycle for fear that it will undermine and subvert subsequent interpretations of historical records, and might indicate that this is a positivist history of the medicinal substance. However, for the purposes of communication with my readers, who are contemporary after all, I will set out here the biological story as it is known now: the fungus (*Cordyceps sinensis*) infects the larvae of the insects belonging to the family Hepialidae (a group of moths) in autumn, and then grows out of their heads and forms fruiting bodies the following spring or summer. As the fruiting bodies mature, they will release
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spores to the environment in which some of the larvae will then be infected and start the above process. If the larvae are not infected, they will then develop to moths.\textsuperscript{213} The infection then kills the larvae. At the point of collection for human consumption the larvae is dead, but the fungus is still alive.

This chapter outlines the transcultural history of the caterpillar fungus to the end of the Qing dynasty, in its Sino-Tibetan context. In particular, it clarifies what made the caterpillar fungus travel down the Tibetan Plateau and surrounding Himalayas to eastern areas of China, and how it changed its identity from a curious and exotic entity to an enduring substance of Chinese \textit{materia medica}. While the overall theme of this thesis engages with discourses about the nature of Chinese \textit{materia medica} as dynamic and ever emerging, this chapter is mainly concerned with reinterpretations of the caterpillar fungus and explorations of new knowledge about the caterpillar fungus within classical Chinese cultural frames. Additional attention is paid to engaging with Latour’s discourse on the agency of objects in the context of the transmission of the caterpillar fungus as a living transforming beast, a medicinal substance, and as an inanimate commercial product. I argue that the magical characteristic of the caterpillar fungus apparent both in its living and dead forms was largely responsible for its transformation from a Tibetan to a Chinese medicinal substance; and the arrival of the caterpillar fungus in Chinese \textit{materia medica} also gave rise to a range of medical applications and explorations that deny the popular being static, moribund and unchanging simplified view about classical Chinese \textit{materia medica}.

\subsection*{2.2 The Oldest Extant Sino-Tibetan Records}

Despite the celebrity status of the caterpillar fungus today it was never explicitly mentioned before the 15th century. The oldest extant account of the caterpillar fungus was given by the Tibetan physician Zur mkhar mnyam nyid rdo rje ཤ་མཁར་མཉམ་ཉིད་རྡོ་རྗེ། (Zurkhar Nyamnyi Dorje, 1439-1475) in his medical text \textit{Man ngag bye ba ring brel} མན་ངག་བྗེ་བ་རིང་བསྗེལ། (Oral Instructions on a Myriad Medicines; Fig. 2).\textsuperscript{214} As founder of one of two important medical schools of 15th-century Tibet, he is said to

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{213} Packer \textit{et al.} 2004, pp. 581-584; Liang 2007, pp. 125-126.
\item \textsuperscript{214} Zurkhar Nyamnyi Dorje 1977 [15th century], pp. 347-349. For a collated version of the text, see Zurkhar Nyamnyi Dorje 2005 [15th century], pp. 308-310. For the English translation of the Tibetan record, see Winkler 2008a, pp. 1-47; but in the original text given in the appendix to Winkler’s article, the character ‘ཛ’ is missed from the line ‘དྗེ་ཡང་དབར་རྩ་དགུན་འབུ་ཞེས་།’. Luo claimed that the caterpillar fungus was mentioned in the 8th-century Tibetan medical text \textit{SMan dpyad zla ba'i rgyal po} སྨན་དཔྱད་ཟླ་བའི་རྒྱལ་པོ། (The medical investigations of the moon king), see Luo 1986, pp.
have received the great Tibetan physician Yuthok Yonten Gonpo’s (708-833) teaching in dreams, and to have studied medicine and Buddhism with a variety of teachers. Though he wrote a series of significant medical treatises in his short life, there is little biographical information. According to the text, the caterpillar fungus is called ‘dam bu bur shing ’jag ma rtsa འདམ་བུ་བུར་ཤིང་འཇག་མ་ པ་’སྟག་པར་’ རྩ་’, also known as ‘dbyar rtsa dgun ‘bu ཕུར་རྩ་དགུན་འབུ’ (yartsa gunbu). The latter term, literally ‘summer grass winter worm’, is consistent with the current Tibetan name for yartsa gunbu. As described in the text, it is a medicinal substance, ‘a faultless treasure of an ocean of good qualities’, growing on the grass-covered slopes of mountain areas; in the summer it is a blade of a herb on a worm, resembling the leaf of mountain garlic; its flower is similar to sedge; while at the end of autumn its root looks like cumin seed. Zurkhar Nyamnyi Dorje’s description of its external characteristics is basically well-observed, although we now know that the ‘blade of grass’ is the fruiting body of the caterpillar fungus; the ‘flower’ is the mature perithecia which resembles the spadices of some kinds of sedge, e.g. Acorus calamus; the shape and colour of the ‘root’ (i.e. the worm) at the end of autumn also does resemble those of the cumin seed to some extent.

Zurkhar Nyamnyi Dorje did not give specific place names for the production areas of yartsa gunbu, but only depicted the kind of environment where it grew. A knowledge of the substance’s

Fig. 2  The folio of the Tibetan text on yartsa gunbu in an 18th-century Sde dge redaction of Man ngag bya ring bsrel.

69-76. However, I did not find records of the caterpillar fungus in this text and a few other earlier Tibetan medical texts such as Bbud rtis snying po yan lag brgyud pa gsang ba man ngag gi rgyud ces bya ba bzhus sso བདུད་རྩི་སིང་པོ་ཡན་ལག་བོད་ལའི་དཔའ་གསང་བ་མན་ངག་གི་རྒྱུད་ཅེས་བ་བཞི་ (briefly rGyud bZhi བྲེ་; literally Four Medical Tantras, late 8th century). And no incontrovertible evidence demonstrates that there are records of the caterpillar fungus older than that given by Zurkhar Nyamnyi Dorje, see Kam Chopel 1993, pp. 177-178; Winkler 2008b, pp. 291-305; Boesi and Cardi 2009, pp. 52-61.


216 ‘Grass’ here should be understood as ‘a blade of grass’; and ‘herb’ is probably much better than ‘grass’. Because of the implications in English that grass is simply what grows in lawns etc. But considering that ‘summer grass winter worm’ is now a habitual usage, I do not intend to replace ‘grass’ by ‘a blade of grass’ or ‘a blade of a herb’.

217 Winkler 2008a, pp. 1-47.
usual habitat as well as the precise location in which it has been found is most helpful to herb and root collection. But overall, Tibetan physicians paid less attention to the recording of place names in comparison to Chinese physicians. The record of *yartsa gunbu* at most informs us that it was used by Tibetans of that time, who occupied some places in today’s Western Sichuan, e.g. the Sde dge rdzong (i.e. Dege 德格) county.

Aphrodisiacal effect is the central subject of the record. According to the text, it has a slight warm quality and a sweet but mild astringent taste; it particularly increases byang sens (semen), and is most effective in increasing sbyor ba (sexual union) so as to help people enjoy sexual pleasure and increase fertility; additionally, it is believed to be able to cure prana and bile diseases without increasing phlegm. These explanations immediately reveal the transcultural Indo-Tibetan-Sino contexts in which the knowledge of *yartsa gunbu* was formed.

Prana is an Indian concept of Vedic origin, relating to ‘breath’ or the sustainer of life processes. Tibetan physicians themselves are notable for preferring to attribute their sources to an Indian Buddhist origin. However, there had been a flow of information and textual records between the Tibetan plateau and central China for many centuries before this time and some work has been carried out analyzing pre-10th century manuscripts from Dunhuang in the far northeast of China that bear evidence to this. The part of Zurkhar Nyamnyi Dorje’s record that is salient to this history is the Tibetan adoption of the ethnically Chinese medical potencies of ‘warm quality and a sweet but mild astringent taste’. Flavours and thermostatic potencies were codified in ancient Chinese pharmacopoeia, such as *Shen nong ben cao jing* (Divine Husbandman’s Classic of Materia Medica, finalised in the late 1st or 2nd century). So given that there is very little early evidence for a system of Tibetan medicine before the 11th century at this stage it is most likely that the knowledge transfer about these potencies attributed to *materia medica* was from East to West. The process of preparing and consuming the drug is complicated but can be roughly
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218 In this respect, we can clearly find the difference through comparing the accounts of medicinal substances in this Tibetan medical text by Zurkhar Nyamnyi Dorje with those in an early 15th-century Chinese medical text by Zhu Su 朱橚 (1361-1425), *Jiu huang ben cao* 救荒本草 (Materia Medica for Relief of Famine), see Zhu [1406] 1986, pp. 609-860.
219 ZGKYMYZYZCSMZHLSDCZ 1963, p. 2; Fang 2001, pp. 18-26; JTBYYZZJ 1937, p. 612; Wu et al. 2009, pp. 53-57, 63. The fame of this small county is largely associated with the Dege Sutra-Printing Academy established in 1729. The Academy also printed many works on medicine, astronomy, mathematics, literature, handicrafts, etc., see Yang 2000, pp. 28-49; Jia and Chen 2010, pp. 624-627.
223 Lo 2005, pp. 163-186.
summarised as follows: fill the chest of a sparrow with the powder of *yartsa gunbu* (ground in advance) and yeast, then boil the sparrow in sheep milk until all moisture is evaporated; grind the dry material and some additional ingredients (e.g. black pepper) to a fine powder respectively, and then make them into pills; the pills should be taken with wine at dawn for one month; sexual intercourse is forbidden, and raw foods or spoiled vegetables should be avoided in this month.

Aphrodisiacs had been used in ancient Greek, Roman, Indian, Chinese and Arabic cultures long before the 15th century.\textsuperscript{225} And the tradition of using animal products as aphrodisiacs (e.g. sparrow, otter, a kind of black frog, and sheep’s testicles) and using sparrows as containers for different medicinal substances in Tibetan medicine can also be traced back to at least the 12th-century Tibetan medical texts.\textsuperscript{226} Although we have no idea how the aphrodisiacal effect of *yartsa gunbu* was initially discovered, or whether it may well be related to the modern observation that yaks began estrus after grazing on *yartsa gunbu* on the grasslands, just as the Nepalese observed in the late 20th century.\textsuperscript{227} Since Tibetan records demonstrate many different kinds of aphrodisiacs were discovered before Zurkhar Nyamnyi Dorje’s record, perhaps this is why *yartsa gunbu* as another candidate was mentioned so late, and why *yartsa gunbu* received little attention by authors of Tibetan medical texts written before the 20th century. Moreover, a lack of additional ancient and modern Tibetan medical records of this substance also indicates that it was not a commonly used medicinal material in the Tibetan medical tradition. The reason why it is so valued now owes more to its function within the Chinese experience than to its Tibetan history.

*The Earliest Extant Chinese Record*

The circulation of medical knowledge between Tibetan and Chinese medicine, sometimes interwoven with political factors, began at least by the 10th century, and never stopped between the 16th and 17th centuries.\textsuperscript{228} But the earliest extant Chinese record of *yartsa gunbu* (mainly written as

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{225} Taberner 1985, pp. 21-40; Li 2000, pp. 457-468; Manniche 2002, pp. 103-105; Dalby 2003, p. 14; Mazars 2006, pp. 56-59.
  \item \textsuperscript{226} For example, a whole chapter titled ‘Ro tsa bar bya ba རོ་ཙ་བར་བ་’ (literally enhancing sexual performance and pleasure) in the *rGyud bZhi*  རྒྱུད་བཞི (Four Medical Tantras) is devoted to a number of medicinal substances and prescriptions used to build up men’s strength, increase semen, and enhance sexual performance, see Yuthok Yonten Gonpo [12th century] 2005, pp. 1483-1491. This chapter mentions two methods of preparing aphrodisiacs, both using sparrows as containers for different medicinal substances. For identifications and descriptions of a few aphrodisiacs in this chapter, see Pasang Yonten Arya 1998, pp. 109, 121, 172, 254, 283.
  \item \textsuperscript{227} Guan and Cao 2010, pp. 102-104.
  \item \textsuperscript{228} Pordié 2008, pp. 91-102; Garrett 2014, pp. 178-197.
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
dong chong xia cao or xia cao dong chong 夏草冬蟲 in the Chinese language) first appeared in Chinese literature as late as the early 18th century. A few scholars stated that the first Chinese record of the caterpillar fungus was offered by the physician Wang Ang 汪昂 (1615-c. 1700) in his medical text entitled Ben cao bei yao 本草備要 (Essentials of Materia Medica). However, the record only exists in some of the extant extended versions of Wang’s text. It is clear that Wang completed this text in 1683 and enlarged it in 1694. But the authenticity of the various extant extended versions which claim to be finalised in 1694, has recently been challenged. Some historians of medicine have found that a few supplements in the versions were actually written by another physician called Wu Yiluo 吳儀洛 (c. 1704-c. 1766), several decades later than 1694; and the illustrations (more than 400) in the versions were oddly never mentioned by Wang in his preface to the extended version.

In fact, the record of the caterpillar fungus in some of the extant extended versions of Wang’s medical text is almost identical to that in Wu Yiluo’s Ben cao cong xin 本草從新 (Renewed Materia Medica, 1757). Wu wrote the medical text for the purpose of revising and enlarging Wang’s text. However, the place name ‘Jiading Fu 嘉定府’ mentioned in the record began to be officially used in 1734, while during the period from 1376 to 1734 it was called ‘Jiading Zhou 嘉定州’. This proves that the record of the caterpillar fungus must have been written in some year after 1734, and therefore could not have been written by Wang Ang as he would have had to have been 119 years old. It is reasonable to consider that later editors added Wu’s record of the caterpillar fungus into Wang’s medical text, with slight revision, and thus generated the record of the caterpillar fungus in forged (not the original) extended versions of Wang’s medical text. Due to the popularity of his medical text, Wu’s record enabled the caterpillar fungus to be known as a transformable medicinal substance to more and more Chinese people. His account of the caterpillar fungus was frequently cited or mentioned by later texts. Considering some authors

230 See, for example, Wang [1694?] 1996, p. 139; Wang [1694?] 1997, p. 76. For reprints of some of the other enlargement versions which do not mention the caterpillar fungus, see Wang [1694?] 1990; Wang [1694?] 1997; Wang [1694?] 1998.
234 Huang et al. [1736] 1983a, pp. 91-92.
235 Some historians of Chinese medicine also thought that the caterpillar fungus appeared in Wu Yiluo’s medical text was not previously mentioned by Wang Ang despite that he did not offer any proof, see, for example, Shang 2009, p. 299.
236 Shang 2009, p. 301. For a variety of reprint editions of Ben cao cong xin, see Wang 2009, pp. 494-495.
237 For example, Yi shu 醫述 (Narrating Medicine, printed in 1826), Ben cao fen jing 本草分經 (Herbs for
have attributed the earliest Chinese record of the caterpillar fungus to Wu Yiluo,\textsuperscript{238} here it is necessary to point out that Wu was not the first Chinese author to record this medicinal substance.

A Chinese man called Tang Fangyi 唐方沂 (1686-1722) kept the oldest extant Chinese record of the caterpillar fungus. But in the past his name was never associated with the caterpillar fungus, and his life and writings were also seldom examined by historians. According to a few local chronicles and genealogical records, it is known that he was born in Shanghai Xian 上海縣 (today’s Minhang district of Shanghai) in 1686, and died in Beijing on 23 September, 1722.\textsuperscript{239} In 1714, the second year of his marriage, he went to Beijing, and engaged in the compilation of the encyclopedia *Gu jin tu shu ji cheng* 古今圖書集成 (The Complete Collection of Ancient and Modern Texts). This project was one of the great national compilation projects launched by the Qing court for the purpose of pleasing Han intellectuals and hence consolidating Manchu rule. It started in 1716, and lasted until 1720; but the encyclopedia had not been printed until 1725 due to personnel changes.\textsuperscript{240}

At that time, a proportion of the students at the *guo zi jian* 國子監 (Imperial Academy) would be selected to transcribe the manuscripts of encyclopedias and large collections of books. They would also be rewarded for their efforts.\textsuperscript{241} Tang Fangyi was attracted by the project. He managed to become a student of the Academy by means of donating money to the central government, and thus went to Beijing. In 1720, after being assessed, he was appointed as candidate for magistrate of his hometown. Regretfully, two years later he died in Beijing while waiting to take up office.

Tang Fangyi wrote two books in his life. One of them was entitled *Qing li yu zhao* 青藜餘照 (Excess Candlelight), which is now lost.\textsuperscript{242} However, a record of the caterpillar fungus in this book is fortunately quoted in two other books: Tang Bingjun’s 唐秉鈞 *Wen fang si kao tu shuo* 文房肆考圖說 (Illustrated Detailed Notes from the Study Room, initially printed in 1778) and Zhao Xuemin’s 趙學敏 (1719-1805) *Ben cao gang mu shi yi* 本草綱目拾遺 (A Supplement to the

---

\textsuperscript{238} See, for example, Chen 1991, pp. 45-46; Jiang 1993, pp. 29-30.
\textsuperscript{240} Pei 2001, pp. 17-42; Xiang 2013, pp. 254-262.
\textsuperscript{242} Ying \textit{et al.} [1871] 1975, pp. 1536-1537, 2467. The extant painting ‘*shang hu fan yue tu* 尚湖泛月圖’, drawn by Wang Hui 王翬 (1632-1717) in 1717, contains a seal which reads ‘*qing li yu zhao* 青藜餘照’. The owner of the seal was probably Tang Fangyi. Weng Tonghe 翁同龢 (1830-1904) mentioned the painting in his diary for 25 December, 1869; but meanwhile he recorded that it had already been bought by Shao Xiaocun 邵小村, who was the Mayor of Shang hai dao 上海道 (a region covered today’s Shanghai, Suzhou and Taicang) during the period 1882-1886, see Weng [1904] 1989, p. 733. Cf. Liu [1930] 1988, p. 86; SHTZBZWH 2005, p. 409; SHJZQJZZ 2001, p.131.
Compendium of Materia Medica, finalised c. 1803). To facilitate further analysis I quote and translate the record from both books as follows:

From *Wen fang si kao tu shuo*:

‘The official historian Dong Hong ([style name] Yuwan) incidentally said, the caterpillar fungus was produced in Sichuan; its root resembled a movable hairy silkworm; in the summer a seedling grew out of its head up to several cun; while in the winter the seedling became dry and withered, only its root survived, which often crept over the snow-covered land in bitterly cold weather.’ (太史董育萬宏偶談: 四川產夏草冬蟲, 根如蠶形, 有毛能動; 夏月其頂生苗,長數寸; 至冬苗槁, 但存其根, 嚴寒積雪中, 往往行於地上.)

From *Ben cao gang mu shi yi*:

‘The caterpillar fungus was produced in Sichuan; its root resembled a movable hairy silkworm; in the summer a seedling grew out of its head up to several cun; while in the winter the seedling became dry and withered, only its root survived, which often crept over the snow-covered land in bitterly cold weather.’ (四川產夏草冬蟲, 根如蠶形, 有毛能動; 夏月其頂生苗,長數寸; 至冬苗槁, 但存其根, 嚴寒積雪中, 往往往行於地上.)

Obviously, the only difference between the above two quotations lies in the seven characters relating to an intellectual called Dong Hong. Dong was the man who delivered the information about the caterpillar fungus to Tang Fangyi. Here it is worth noting that although Tang Bingjun was Tang Fangyi’s nephew, he was actually raised by Tang Fangyi and brought up by the latter. Tang Bingjun and his true father were both noted physicians. Therefore, Tang Bingjun must have had privileged access to Tang Fangyi’s book, and could quote the passage on the caterpillar fungus describing this strange medicinal substance. Then, who was Dong Hong?

---

244 One cun 寸 was approximately 3.2 cm at that time, see Qiu *et al*. 2001, p. 447.
245 The term ‘dong yu wan hong 董育萬宏’ is a common manner of recording ancient Chinese people’s names: putting one’s style name between his family name and given name. ‘Yu wan 育萬’ is the style name of the man ‘Dong Hong 董宏’. For example, in volume 40 of the book *Mei cun jia cang gao* 梅村家藏藁, the author Wu Weiye (1609-1671) recorded the literateur Su Shi’s 蘇軾 (1037-1101) name as ‘Su zi zhan shi 蘇子瞻軾’, see Wu [c. 1670] 1919. ‘Su 蘇’ and ‘shi 軾’ are the family name and given name respectively, while ‘zi zhan 子瞻’ is the style name.
Dong Hong was born in Qingpu county (today’s Qingpu district of Shanghai). In 1712 he became a jinshi 进士 (metropolitan graduate) and was soon appointed as a royal historian in the
Han lin yuan 翰林院 (Academy of the Forest of Brushes, Beijing; aka Hanlin Academy). Thereafter he stayed in Beijing and devoted his life to the country until he died there in 1742. His name was also written as Hu Hong 胡宏, Dong Huhong 董胡宏, or Dong Hong 董洪.247 Dong Hong and Tang Fangyi both came from Shanghai, and resided in Beijing. It is easy to imagine that the two must have been delighted to know each other in that city which was more than one thousand kilometers away from their hometown; and Dong Hong’s words about the caterpillar fungus must have been a small matter in their conversation. In the record Tang Fangyi called Dong Hong 太史 (official historian), which indicates that the record was written after 1712, because official historians were selected from metropolitan graduates and worked at Hanlin Academy (Beijing). Considering Tang Fangyi went to Beijing in 1714, it is certain that the record of the caterpillar fungus and the book Qing li yu zhao were written during the period from 1714 to 1722.

The literal meaning of the Chinese term dong chong xia cao in Dong Hong’s words, i.e. summer grass winter worm, corresponds to that of the Tibetan term yartsa gunbu. Dong compared the ‘root’ of the caterpillar fungus to a silkworm, not only because it resembled the latter, but also because it was believed to be able to move like the latter. However, although the ‘root’ does not have hairs, it is still possible that Dong Hong had personally observed a specimen. Improper preservation will cause a mildew infection of the ‘root’, making it look hairy,248 so it may be that by the time it had reached Beijing it was already mildewed. Following the quotation from Qing li yu zhao, Tang Bingjun also additionally pointed out that during the reign of the emperor Kangxi (1661-1722) the caterpillar fungus was already on sale in the drugstores in Beijing; and it then had gradually entered the medicine market in Suzhou in subsequent years.249

Further confirmation of the caterpillar fungus’s travels to northeast China in the early 18th century will come in Chapter 3 from the French missionary Dominicus Parennin (1665-1741), who went to Beijing in 1698 and died there. It is now known that there were three ways in which raw

247 The character Hong 洪 in his name was wrongly written in the 1877 Qing pu xian zhi 青浦縣志, while the correct character should be Hong 宏, see Shen et al. 1877; Fang and Du 1941, p. 57; Li [1904] 1969, p. 1740; Zhu and Xie 1979, pp. 2682-2683. Hu 胡 was his surname which he signed in his examination paper. It was also his original surname. However, he changed it to Dong 董 after he passed the national examination and became a metropolitan graduate. The phenomenon that examinee changed their surnames, given names or style names after passing the national examination was common in Qing China, see Jiang 2004, pp. 67-68.
medicinal substances entered the imperial palace: annual tribute by provincial governments, occasional tribute by officials, and purchase by the royal household. The annual tribute remained a major source, and many of the medicinal purchases were actually multi-ingredient formulations. The caterpillar fungus could be delivered into the hands of royal physicians through any of these three ways.

Dong Hong, therefore, did have a chance to see the caterpillar fungus in Beijing. He knew where it came from, but his narrative of its life cycle and behaviours was rather imaginative. No matter whether the imaginative description was originally his own or from others, it is apparent that this special substance greatly challenged people’s cognitive abilities, and inspired their imagination. In particular, Dong lived at a distance from its production areas and therefore lacked first-hand observation. And there must have been a lack of new explanations for its strange formation during the intervening two and a half centuries. Otherwise this senior intellectual and official historian would have related the updated explanations to Tang Fangyi. Here it is worth noting that before quoting Dong Hong’s story, Zhao Xuemin recorded a region where the caterpillar fungus was produced, i.e. Hualinping, Jiangyou county, a place located in Northwest Sichuan. Zhao added that the Qiang people had a custom to collect the caterpillar fungus as a first-class medicinal substance. The Qiang people has a very close cultural relationship with the Tibetan people. And in the Qing dynasty they lived in the area equivalent to today’s Northwest Sichuan. Zhao’s record indicated that he knew the caterpillar fungus was not initially discovered and used by the Chinese people. However, neither Zhao nor Dong offered the geographical information about the production of the caterpillar fungus in ‘central Asia’, which is mistakenly attributed to Zhao by Nappi. Overall, the oldest extant Chinese record of the caterpillar fungus mentions nothing about its medicinal properties but only details of its physical transformation and the region where it was produced, which reflects Dong Hong’s interest in the strange caterpillar fungus, namely in its miraculous transformation.

2.3 The Product

---

252 Olson 1998, pp. 286-287; Wang 2008, pp. 121-126. The Qiang people were still collecting the caterpillar fungus in Northwest Sichuan in 1941, see DXSSQBJFWT [1941] 2010, pp. 494-495.
253 Nappi 2009, pp. 143-144.
In order to track the caterpillar fungus’s travels from the Tibetan highlands to the rest of China we first need to examine evidence about the production areas. Here I mainly draw on local chronicles, in which records of local products were closely linked with commerce and tribute, to unveil Chinese people’s effort to locate this medicinal substance. This section lays the foundation for mapping the routes it took and Chinese people’s perceptions of this curiosity.

The 21st volume of the Si chuan tong zhi 四川通志 (General Chronicle of Sichuan, finalised in 1735), entitled Xiyu 西域 (western regions), is now considered by historians the earliest general chronicle of Tibetan regions albeit from a Chinese perspective. This volume covers the region from Dajianlu 打箭爐 (today’s Kangding county, Ganzi Tibetan autonomous prefecture, Sichuan) to Tibetan regions, and introduces their local history, geography, culture, politics, economy, products, etc. The caterpillar fungus is recorded as one of the local products of the Bolanggong 撥浪工 mountain, Litang 稂塘. Bolanggong was the Chinese pronunciation of the Tibetan name for the Mountain. As it was recorded as the highest mountain lying on the border between Yajiang 雅江县 and Litang county, we can determine that it is the snowy mountain west of Sichuan, now called in Chinese Jianziwan 剪子灣 (4 659 meters in height). The American Tibetologist William W. Rockhill (1854-1914) once reached this mountain on 28 September, 1892. He reported that ‘this mountain is famous as producing that curious worm-plant known as the Shar-tsa gong-bu (tung-chung hsia-ts’ao in Chinese), called by botanists Cordyceps sinensis.’ In the Qing dynasty, starting from Dajianlu, two diverging roads, one in the south and the other in the north, stretched to Tibet. The main road was the southern route, along which Bolanggong was a frequent stopping point.

The 1735 Sichuan tong zhi is just one of thousands of extant Chinese local chronicles compiled before 1949, a genre which can be dated to the pre-Qin period (up to 221 BC). Considered a predecessor of the local chronicle, the chapter Yu gong 禹貢 (Tribute of Yu, finalised c. 5th century BC) in Shang shu 尚書 (Historical Classic) records regional products that were part of a mandatory
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254 He 1985a, pp. 80-82; He 1985b, pp. 9-12.
255 Huang et al. [1736] 1983, p. 174. This record was fully quoted in the book entitled Wei zang tu zhi 衛藏圖志 (Illustrated chronicle of Weizang, 1792), but the authors of the book did not indicate the information about its origin, see Ma and Sheng [1792] 2003, p. 392. However, the authors’ joint friend Lu Huazhu 魯華祝 pointed out that they did excerpt some words from Si chuan tong zhi, see He 1985b, p. 30; Chen 2009, pp. 136-137.
tribute system to kings in the pre-imperial period.\textsuperscript{259} From then on, local chronicles of later periods customarily paid attention to the recording of local products in addition to describing local history, geography, people, and so on. Products formed the basis of people’s material and economic life; and so, government officials kept a close eye on them. Apart from being used to satisfy the requirement for royal luxury, regional products were also an important source of central government finance.\textsuperscript{260} In this regard, local products of an administrative area were also one means by which imperial power was embodied. When the Qing court embarked on an energetic expansion of its territorial boundaries and strengthened its control of the frontiers and the surrounding areas, especially in the Qianlong reign (1735-1796),\textsuperscript{261} a volume on various aspects of the western regions was thus added to the \textit{Si chuan tong zhi}. Because of their function of providing diverse, continual and detailed information of a certain place, local chronicles play an important, even indispensable, role in regional studies, especially studies in historical changes in the distribution, transmission and economy of various regional products.\textsuperscript{262}

A local product would have to have been recognised, used, or consumed for some time before it was recorded into local chronicles. For example, as early as 1732 Wang Shirui 王世睿 (1674-1745), the magistrate of Luzhou, Sichuan, was sent to Tibet by royal decree on 27 October. In the chronicle of this journey Wang recorded his observation on the caterpillar fungus as a product of Bolanggong mountain.\textsuperscript{263} While in 1720 the Governor of Sichuan and Shaanxi went to Beijing and brought the emperor some curious local products, among which there was the caterpillar fungus as a medicinal substance. The products were routine tribute to the emperor.\textsuperscript{264} As mentioned before, tribute was a major source of the raw medicinal substances used in the imperial palace, which was irrelevant to trade.

The Governor had become familiar with the caterpillar fungus, its medicinal properties and methods of preparation earlier than 1720. The fact that he carried it with him a long way to Beijing suggests he valued it and might not have known that it could be obtained there. Meanwhile, he also brought some knowledge about the caterpillar fungus from Sichuan to Beijing. In 1885, a Tibetan leader of Zhaliáo乍了 (today’s Chaya county, Changdu, Tibet) requested to meet the Guangxu

\begin{itemize}
\item\textsuperscript{260} Zheng 2000, pp. 251-254.
\item\textsuperscript{262} Li 2011, pp. 26-33.
\item\textsuperscript{263} Wang [c. 1740] 2002, p. 442.
\item\textsuperscript{264} Du Halde \textit{et al.} 1819a, pp. 470-485.
\end{itemize}
emperor in company with the tribute team from Changdu in the following year. The Governor of Sichuan had an obligation to assist the tribute team to go to Beijing. Ding Baozhen 丁寶楨 (1820-1886), who held the position during the period 1877-1886, then reported his request to the emperor. And the emperor approved it on 25 June, 1885. According to Ding’s report, the Tibetan leader prepared some presents for the emperor, including some medicinal substances such as 50 liang 兩 of the caterpillar fungus. This incident indicated that there was a continuous supply of the caterpillar fungus to the imperial palace in Beijing in the late Qing dynasty; and the Tibetan leader and his people in Zhaliang must have thought that the caterpillar fungus was valuable enough to be considered a special present. The route taken by the tribute team, at that time must have gone from Tibet to Beijing through Sichuan, Shaanxi and Shanxi.

Some local chronicles compiled before the end of the Qing dynasty record the caterpillar fungus as a local product, and therefore provide much information about its production areas (Appendix 1). We can examine such information together with records of the caterpillar fungus in other types of texts such as materia medica, travel notes and contemporary investigations. To facilitate further discussion I classify the production areas into two groups according to today’s administrative provinces of China as follows:

**Group I  Production areas where *Cordyceps sinensis* can be found:**
1. Sichuan (Litang, Jiading, Huili);
2. Tibet (including Jiuzu, Jiali, Yanjing and Enda);

**Group II  Production areas where *Cordyceps sinensis* never grows:**
3. Xinjiang (Huijiang and Tianshan);
4. Guangdong (Xinning).

The attributions in the records to regions where *Cordyceps sinensis* did not grow are intriguing. Contemporary authoritative works on fungi and Chinese herbs and some 19th-century European identifications of fungi, recognise *Cordyceps sinensis* as the authentic species corresponding to the caterpillar fungus. This species grows in China’s high-altitude areas in today’s Tibet, Sichuan,

---

266 Li 1991, pp. 70-81. The tribute route to Beijing changed several times, and this route was determined in 1860.
Yunnan, Gansu, and Qinghai.\textsuperscript{267} The areas listed in Group I can be seen as old records of some production areas of \textit{Cordyceps sinensis}. But it should be noted that some other similar-looking species of the genus \textit{Cordyceps} also grow in these areas: \textit{Cordyceps liangshanensis} in Sichuan and Yunnan, \textit{Cordyceps gracilis} in Yunnan, Xinjiang and Jiangsu, \textit{Cordyceps aspera} in Tibet, Yunnan, Sichuan and Guangdong.\textsuperscript{268} The areas listed in Group II do not produce \textit{Cordyceps sinensis} but may produce other \textit{Cordyceps} species. Of course it is also possible that records from the areas in Group II mistook some exotic products (such as the caterpillar fungus) sold on local markets for local products.

The caterpillar fungus growing in different areas would not be treated or used indiscriminately. The physician Wu Yiluo said, ‘[The quality of] the caterpillar fungus that grows in Jiading Fu of Sichuan is the best, while [the quality of] the caterpillar fungus that grows in Yunnan and Guizhou is inferior.’\textsuperscript{269} A Republican local chronicle also points out the differences in the appearance and quality of the caterpillar fungus growing in Sichuan and Enping (a county in today’s Jiangmen city, Guangdong): ‘Its stem is slightly bigger [than that of the same production in Sichuan], and it is not as good as that produced in Sichuan, which is slender and small.’\textsuperscript{270} The basis on which ‘good’ was identified was probably potency. No doubt transregional trade enabled people to compare the quality of the caterpillar fungus from different areas. And the trade in the caterpillar fungus not only increasingly attracted people’s attention, but also motivated them to find this medicinal and economic curiosity beyond traditional production areas. When people believed they had discovered it elsewhere (e.g. Guangdong), for example, they would then be able to compare it with those disseminated from recognised production areas (e.g. Sichuan). This new level of observation stimulated by trade, as Harold Cook notes for global maritime trade in the 17th century,\textsuperscript{271} led to a new and observational style of discourse about the qualities of similar products from different areas.

The Chinese names for the caterpillar fungus in local chronicles also reflect the new dissemination and trade of the 18th-19th centuries. As mentioned before, the caterpillar fungus from Sichuan had already been sold in Beijing during the period 1661-1722. And Parrenin also recorded the caterpillar fungus as ‘\textit{hia-tsaol-tom-chom}’ (i.e. \textit{xia cao dong chong}), indicating that the Governor

\textsuperscript{268} Liang 2007, pp. 89, 90, 98, 140.
\textsuperscript{269} Wu [1757] 1982, p. 36. Wu Yiluo’s words were also quoted by the \textit{Guizhou tong zhi} 貴州通志 (General Chronicle of Guizhou, 1948) as the evidence that proved the caterpillar fungus was a local product of Guizhou, see Liu \textit{et al.} [1948] 2006, p. 167.
\textsuperscript{271} Cook 2007, pp. 304-338.
and natives in Sichuan used this name at that time. However, in the 1735 Si chuan tong zhi the caterpillar fungus was recorded as dong chong xia cao rather than xia cao dong chong. Reasons for this change in word order remain unclear. It was probably caused by an accidental slip of the tongue during oral communication between different ethnic groups and different areas about this medicinal substance. This then led to the spread of the term dong chong xia cao so that it was adopted into the 1735 Si chuan tong zhi. Besides, since 1891 two abbreviations of the Chinese name appeared in Qing local chronicles: dong chong cao 冬蟲草 (winter worm grass) and chong cao 蟲草 (worm grass), with the latter being more popular. According to extant records, chong cao was first mentioned as the su cheng 俗稱 (popular name) for the caterpillar fungus by Li Xinheng 李心衡 in 1790,272 while dong chong cao appeared later. The latter seemed to be first mentioned by the physician Wang Shixiong 王士雄 (1808-1866) in 1838.273 The abbreviations originated from communications about the caterpillar fungus, but also in turn facilitated communication.

Perhaps what lay behind the abbreviations was an increasing dissemination and consumption of the medicinal caterpillar fungus, mainly driven by medicine trade. Li Xinheng, who had been an official in West Sichuan for more than a decade, explicitly mentioned the anonymous cai yao zhe 採藥者 (root gatherers) in Jinchuan as well as their experience of collection. These collectors, at the starting point of the trade chain, are represented in literature well after the trade began no later than the early 18th century. Wang Shixiong was a physician born in Hangzhou, who practised medicine in his hometown and Shanghai (both cities lie in the most Eastern part of China), his knowledge and use of the caterpillar fungus must have resulted from an increased trade in this medicinal substance in the East during his time.

Overall, the appearance of the caterpillar fungus in local chronicles reflects Chinese people’s efforts to locate this regional medicinal product. This effort was driven by medical and commercial purposes, and the requirement of tribute. The caterpillar fungus could be used locally, or be disseminated from its production areas through tribute, trade, and other avenues (e.g. interpersonal gifting). In the broad dissemination of the caterpillar fungus tribute was confined to the imperial palace; while trade will have increased the volume of the caterpillar fungus spread in non-production areas beyond the imperial palace. Knowledge of the caterpillar fungus also circulated among the Chinese who came into contact with the caterpillar fungus on its travels. In order to figure out how

272 Li [1790] 1936, p. 64.
this happened, it is necessary to carry out a close examination of the nature and characteristics of the caterpillar fungus in historical context.

2.4 The Marvel

Miracles have always been a feature of medical efficacy, and mostly have been studied in relation to religious healing. Recent literature has highlighted the role of miracles in the construction of medical authority and the practices and experiences of efficacy.\(^{274}\) Miracle medicines also have commercial cache.

Prior to the 15th century, a few Chinese texts on \textit{materia medica} recorded another kind of medicinal substance that looked similar to the caterpillar fungus: \textit{chan hua} 螳花 (flowers on cicada). It was first mentioned in the \textit{Lei gong pao zhi lun} 雷公炮炙論 (Duke Lei’s Treatise on the Preparation of Medicinal Substances, \textit{c}. 5th century),\(^{275}\) which, however, did not give morphological descriptions. In light of this, the earliest account of the morphological characters of \textit{chan hua} was offered by the \textit{Ben cao tu jing} 本草圖經 (Illustrated Classic on Materia Medica, 1061). The author of this text recorded \textit{chan hua} as a medicinal product in Sichuan.\(^{276}\) Both the above medical texts are lost and extant in quotations in the \textit{Zheng lei ben cao} 證類本草 (Materia Medica Arranged According to Pattern, \textit{c}. 1108). According to the latter text, \textit{Ben cao tu jing} records \textit{chan hua} as follows:

Nowadays there is a kind of cicada in Sichuan; a horn extending from the head of its shell resembles the petals of a flower; it is called \textit{chan hua}. Some people from western regions carried it to the capital. Official physicians said, ‘it is the most marvelous thing when used as a medicinal substance.’ (今蜀中有一種蟬, 其蛻殼頭上一角, 如花冠狀, 謂之蟬花. 西人有齎至都下者. 醫工云, ‘入藥最奇.’)\(^{277}\)

\(^{274}\) Zhan 2009, pp. 91-118.
\(^{276}\) Li 1993, pp. 21-22; Luo and Song 2007, pp. 14-15. The records of \textit{chan hua} in \textit{Lei gong pao zhi lun} and \textit{Ben cao tu jing} can be found in collected versions of the texts, see Lei \textit{[c}. \textit{5th century}] 1991, p. 124; Su [1061] 1988, pp. 429-430. However, the authoritative \textit{Zhong hua ben cao} 中華本草 (Chinese Herbs, 1999) still considers \textit{Ben cao tu jing} the first text recording \textit{chan hua}, which should be corrected, see ZHBCBWH 1999, p. 499.
\(^{277}\) Tang \textit{[c}. 1108\textit{]} 1993, pp. 510-511.
The formation of *chan hua*, like that of the caterpillar fungus, is also related to fungal parasitism: the fungus *Cordyceps sobolifera* (distributed in Anhui and Sichuan) or *Cordyceps cicadae* (distributed in Zhejiang, Guangdong, Fujian, and Anhui) parasitises some of the nympha-state insects belonging to the Cicadidae family, such as *Cicada flammata* and *Platythele kaempferi*, and then grows out of the latter’s heads, and forms fruiting bodies which resemble flowers.\(^{278}\) However, although *chan hua* and the caterpillar fungus look similar, there are still differences in their morphological characteristics: normally, the former’s fruiting body resembles a flower, while the latter’s resembles a slender stick; the former’s insect part reveals its nymph state, while the latter’s reveals its larva state.

Both *chan hua* and the caterpillar fungus could be found in Sichuan, but the latter first entered Chinese *materia medica* as late as 1757. And one century later, the physician Tang Zonghai 唐宗海 (1846-1897), a native of Sichuan, was still ignorant of previous related records and claimed that the caterpillar fungus had never been mentioned before.\(^{279}\) This presents a deficit in his scholarship, but knowledge acquisition then was not as convenient as is today. Anyway, Tang and other Qing recorders of the caterpillar fungus never associated it with *chan hua* despite of their similar appearance. This is quite puzzling. The main reasons probably lay in the following two aspects: first, the explanation of the caterpillar fungus, which was of Tibetan origin and was different from that of *chan hua*, impressed the Chinese people who first got to know it, and therefore would not prompt them to link it with *chan hua*; second, although the caterpillar fungus and *chan hua* look similar, the insect part of the caterpillar fungus is a larva rather than a nymphae. A larva is more likely to inspire people’s imagination as the larva greater potential for change transforms to a blade of grass.

**Attracting Eastern China**

The caterpillar fungus is more marvelous than *chan hua*. In the 23rd chapter of the novel *Ru lin wai shi* 儒林外史 (The Scholars, finalised *c.* 1750), the wealthy salt merchant Wan Xuezhai treated some of his friends to a slap-up lunch in Yangzhou (a city of the coastal Jiangsu), and the first dish was just the caterpillar fungus. To stress its rarity, and his ability to obtain it, he bragged

\(^{278}\) Xing 1975, pp. 21-26; Liang 2007, pp. 77-78, 127-128. However, the species *Cordyceps cicadae* was omitted in the 2008 revision of the list of Chinese medicinal fungi, see Dai and Yang 2008, pp. 801-824.

complainedly that it had to be transported from remote areas and could not be found locally despite that Yangzhou provided a wide variety of products from different regions.\textsuperscript{280} The background to the plot of the novel was Yangzhou’s impregnable position as the centre of salt trade in 18th-century China.\textsuperscript{281} Wan, a fictional character, represented the salt merchant group whose considerable wealth exceeded that accumulated by any other group. Based on economic strength and a relationship with imperial officials, these rich merchants, without official political status themselves pursued a life of luxury, spending money like water.\textsuperscript{282} If allowed, they could have bought up the entire Yangzhou city.

In broader perspective, the rise of Yangzhou in the 18th century was one phase in the North to South and West to East shift of the economic and cultural centre of China, a shift that had been happening since the Han Empire. This migration intensified in the Song dynasty (960-1279).\textsuperscript{283} Scholars have pointed out that the novel \textit{Ru lin wai shi} is not entirely fictional.\textsuperscript{284} A book entitled \textit{Yangzhou hua fang lu} 阜州畫舫錄 (Record of the Painted Boats of Yangzhou, first printed in 1795) records the life and times of an affluent Yangzhou salt merchant. Whenever he and his wife had a meal, they would be served with over ten different dishes; he kept hundreds of horses each of which cost him tens of \textit{liang} in gold everyday; but the elaborately decorated horses were just led out of the city in the morning and led back in the evening in a conspicuous display of wealth.\textsuperscript{285} The prosperity of 18th-century Yangzhou, a junction of the Beijing-Hangzhou Grand Canal and the Yangtze River, attracted both people and products from the rest of the empire. Even the emperor Qianlong (1711-1799) would delight in Yangzhou on each of his six extravagant inspections of the regions south of the Yangtze River. Much of his travel expense was funded by the Yangzhou salt merchants.\textsuperscript{286}

The author of \textit{Ru lin wai shi}, namely Wu Jingzi 吳敬梓 (1701-1754), had a rough life. He was born in Quanjiao 全椒, Anhui, where most Yangzhou salt merchants came from or congregated. In 1733 Wu migrated to Nanjing, Jiangsu. In the last year of his life, he went to Yangzhou to drink with

\textsuperscript{280} Wu [c. 1750] 1977, p. 278.
\textsuperscript{281} Ho 1954, pp. 130-168.
\textsuperscript{284} Chen 2006, pp. 78-267.
\textsuperscript{285} Li [1795] 1997, pp. 148-149. 1 \textit{liang} was roughly equal to 37 grams in the Qing dynasty, see Qiu \textit{et al}. 2001, pp. 431-432.
\textsuperscript{286} As one of the major commodity distribution centres in the early and middle Qing dynasty, Yangzhou benefited much from its convenient shipping, see Liao 2009, pp. 103-108. For Qianlong and Yangzhou, see Zhu 1989, pp. 136-140.
his friends, and finally died there. It was not his first time in Yangzhou, and his intimate friends included a salt merchant called Cheng Jinfang 程晋芳 (1718-1784). Therefore we can assume that Wu recorded a vignette about our caterpillar fungus in the background of his knowledge of their lifestyle. And it is reasonable to believe that the caterpillar fungus had already spread to Yangzhou or other wealthy cities of Jiangsu before 1750. The vague term for the production area of the caterpillar fungus, i.e. ‘fang wai’ (remote areas), suggests that the caterpillar fungus obtained by Wan Xuezhai had passed through several hands before reaching him, so that the information about its origin ultimately blurred.

Living not far from Yangzhou, Yuan Dong 袁棟 (1697-1761), a litterateur in Wujiang county of Suzhou, Jiangsu, once got some caterpillar fungus from one of his friends coming from far away. In his 1744 book Shu yin cong shuo 書隱叢說 (Collected Notes from the Shuyin House), he wrote:

A friend of mine once came from far away and presented me with the caterpillar fungus, a thing that grows in the regions bordering Shaanxi. It gets the name because it is grass in summer and turns into a worm in winter. Soak it in wine, and then the wine can be used to remove diseases and prolong life. When I saw it, it was found to be a dead grass-root of which the upper and lower parts differed in figure and colour: the half-green part looked like grass, while the half-black part was slightly bigger and seemed as if it was going to move. I have not found any written records of this substance yet, but I will try to find some later. (昔有友人自遠來餉予一物，名曰‘夏艸冬蟲’，出陝西邊地。在夏則為草，在冬則為蟲，故以是名焉。浸酒服之，可以卻病延年。余所見時，僅草根之枯者，然前後截形狀，顏色各別：半青者，僅作草形；半黑者，略粗大，具有蠕蠕欲動之意。不見傳記書之，以俟後考云。)

West Shaanxi bordered Gansu and Sichuan in the Qing dynasty. From Shanxi to Wujiang, Yuan’s friend would have needed to travel over one thousand kilometers. The caterpillar fungus as a present for Yuan not only represented his respect for their friendship, but also reflected his regard for the caterpillar fungus itself. In his eyes, this transformable medicinal substance could ‘remove diseases and prolong life’. When he presented it to Yuan Dong, he also shared its marvelous potencies.

---

288 Yuan [1744] 2002, p. 486. The character ‘cao 草’ (grass) is a variant form of ‘cao 草’. For Yuan Dong’s life and writings, see Zhao 2013, pp. 1-22.
Suzhou, approximately 160 kilometers straight southwest of Yangzhou, was a flourishing and large city comparable with Yangzhou. Yangzhou began to decline in the 19th century, whereas Suzhou did not stop developing. According to Tang Bingjun’s account in his book *Wenfang si kao tu shuo* (1778), the caterpillar fungus began to appear on the medicine market in Suzhou some years before he wrote down the record. Geographically, Yangzhou and Suzhou lay north and south of the Yangtze River, and goods could be exchanged through the convenient water transportation network. It was not difficult for salt merchants to know and obtain the caterpillar fungus from Suzhou.

Yuan mentioned that the worm part of the caterpillar fungus looked ‘half-black’. Normally this part looks yellow or brown. Perhaps the earth adhering to the worm part was not well cleared away; or, the worm part had decayed due to improper storage and the long journey. In fact, the decay of the caterpillar fungus and related colour changes were explicitly mentioned by Parennin in 1723. In terms of the storage problem which was essential to commercial profits, the epigraphist Zhu Feng (1695–?) offered us a solution in his book *Gan yuan xiao zhi* (Short Notes from Ganyuan, c. 1780): ‘It would not be eaten by woodworms if stored together with saffron.’ Saffron would have made the product yellow and, being very expensive, added to its value.

Zhu Feng was not a merchant. In addition to his identity as an epigraphist, he was also a litterateur and traveler. When his son was appointed the county leader of Liquan, Shanxi in 1751, he also left his hometown Qiantang county of Hangzhou, Zhejiang, and accompanied his son there. Except for two returns to Qiantang in 1756 and 1760 respectively, he remained in Shanxi and Henan until 1780 when his son was dismissed from office. From his notes in *Gan yuan xiao zhi* it is clear that his interests were not confined to epigraphy, but also plants, animals, geography, folklore, etc. The term he used for the caterpillar fungus was *chun chong xia cao* (spring worm summer grass), which was unique among Qing records of the caterpillar fungus. Zhu reported that it grew in Dajianlu 打箭爐, Sichuan and was able to remove pains in the part of the body between the waist and knees, and also to benefit the kidney.

The above potencies need to be understood in context. According to the Chinese medical classic *Huang di nei jing su wen* (Huang Di’s Inner Classic-Basic Questions, c. 1st century

---

292 Zhu c. 1780, p. 47.
BC), the kidney is the organ where jing 精 originates; and jing is the spring of life. The concept of jing and its range of meanings embrace sexual potency, which materialises in semen. The benefiting or nourishing of the kidney has been one enduring theme in medicine in China since the beginning of the first millennium. For young and middle-aged people alike, taking care of the kidney largely meant enhancing and maintaining sexual function, which is closely related to disciplining their instinctive pursuit of sexual pleasure, all allied to enhancing procreative potential and, as we shall see, human potential for longevity. Having more offspring was beneficial to various activities such as crop and livestock production. If we remember the Tibetan physician Zurkhar Nyamnyi Dorje’s words about yartsa gunbu, we should be clear that he did stress the goal of increasing fertility through boosting libido.

Furthermore, pre-modern Chinese thought also related having offspring to the virtue of xiao 孝 (filial piety). Not producing offspring was generally regarded as the most unfilial behaviour, as well as a moral crime. Therefore impotence was always a huge issue. Tang Bingjun offered us the earliest extant medical case recorded of curing male impotence, which also involved the spread of the caterpillar fungus:

My teacher Kong, whose given name is Jiyuan…told me that his younger brother was impotent and sweated heavily. He was quite afraid of wind, and stayed under the mosquito net in a closed room even when it was summer. He had been sick for three years, and no medicinal substances were found to be effective in curing his illness. At that time a relative quit his official position and returned from Sichuan, and gave him three jin of the caterpillar fungus. He braised it together with meat and vegetables, and ate them every day. Gradually, he made a recovery from his illness. Therefore I learnt from this case that it really could protect the lung and build muscles. Subsequent use of the caterpillar fungus also proved its effectiveness. I believe that the potencies of it is no lower than that of ginseng, so I attach these words here.

---

294 Anonymous [c. 1st century BC] 1992, pp. 13, 149. This Han medical text had been revised by Tang and Song editors. For a brief discussion on the dating of this text, see Sivin 1993, pp. 196-215.


It was a miracle of healing!

Tang was a native of Shanghai (Shanghai neighbours Suzhou). According to his narrative, Kong Jiyuan was born in the town Wuzhen, Zhejiang; he eventually held the position of director of the Yingkui College, which was located in today’s Jiading district of Shanghai. When a relative of Kong Jiyuan, an official rather than a merchant, returned from Sichuan to Wuzhen, he also carried the caterpillar fungus to his hometown. Obviously he knew the potencies of this medicinal substance and the methods of using it. Although the impotent man had tried treatments before, none of them really worked. We can imagine his anguish during those ineffective treatments, as well as his delight with his recovery. The caterpillar fungus proved to be a very effective cure for impotence; meanwhile, its effectiveness earned it a reputation as a medicinal substance comparable with ginseng, a highly esteemed tonic herb generally believed to have marvelous effects.

Kong Jiyuan was surely not the only person who spread the news of the marvel, and Tang Bingjun not the exclusive audience. These men’s family, relatives, neighbours and friends would also bear witness to the miracle cure. When Tang wrote down this record, the caterpillar fungus had already been sold in Suzhou drugstores for years, and there was a market demand for this medicinal substance. However, the concept of jing was not confined to semen. For old people, whose interest in sexual activity has significantly decreased, caring about their jing and the kidney meant maintaining their spirit and body and prolonging their life. This was in line with the nurturing life culture that dated back to at least the Warring States period (475-221 BC). In this capacity, the caterpillar fungus is valued as a tonic rather than an aphrodisiac, despite that the two attributes cannot be clearly separated as they normally go hand-in-hand in remedy books. Yuan Dong’s friend told him that the caterpillar fungus was able to prolong life; and Zhu Feng also additionally added that ‘someone says it is good for old people if they eat it boiled together with a male duck.’

---

297 Tang 1778, pp. 27-28. 1 jin 斤 was equal to approximately 595 grams then, see Qiu et al. 2001, p. 430.
300 For example, the noted work on materia medica, Ben cao gang mu shi yi (A supplement to the Compendium of Materia Medica, c. 1803), states that ginseng can bring a [dying] person back to life, or save incurable and serious patients, see Zhao [c. 1803] 1983, p. 61.
302 Zhu c. 1780, p. 47. The original words are ‘或云：與雄鴨同煮食，宜老人.’ This is an example of self-help in the Chinese Yangsheng culture, see Dear 2012, pp. 1-33.
Whether in terms of physical needs or the moral imperative to procreate, the caterpillar fungus as an aphrodisiacal and tonic substance was a strong lure for people.

*Miraculous transformation*

The miraculous transformations of the caterpillar fungus, as we shall see, were in themselves fascinating and attracted the attention of physicians and traders alike. An 18th century record spoke of the caterpillar fungus gatherers as ‘groveling on the ground, first to find its sprout and then its root’. Today adults and children still spend hours lying on the grasslands waiting for a caterpillar fungus to surface. Their patience is motivated by commercial gain, but their concentration vividly evokes the value of their quarry which is embodied in its strange shape. That value as it attracted the caterpillar fungus to travel in ever greater numbers to East China is grounded in the ancient Chinese imagination of shape-shifting animals. Roel Sterckx sums this up in his observation that the ability of animals to transform like dragons was used as an epithet for transcendent virtue and sagehood. It led to a variety of imaginative theories, some of which were associated with human morality and sexuality in early China.

Ancient Chinese literature is full of descriptions of animals and legendary beasts enacting supernormal transformations. Sometimes these transformations are markers of time. For example, the earliest extant Chinese calendar *Xia xiao zheng* 夏小正 (Lesser Annuary of the Xia) recorded that the *ying* 鷹 (eagle) and the *jiu* 鳩 (dove) could change from one to the other in the first and fifth months respectively; and the *Li ji* 禮記 (Record of Rites) also stated that the *ying* 蟻 (firefly) emerges out of decayed grass. Animals that could cross boundaries in the environment, especially those that crossed the domains of land and air, or land and water, or represented in some way earthly transformations were especially powerful symbols, and so it is not surprising that their flesh and eggs came to be perceived as potent medicinal substances. Snake meat, dragon bones (actually the inscribed turtle shells and cow scapula that were used as divinatory oracles in Shang (17th-11th century BCE) times that were mistaken for dragon bones in later times), rare birds, tortoises, etc. were extensively valuable. During the period that the caterpillar fungus left the Tibetan
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303 Li [1790] 1936, p. 64.
304 Sterckx 2002, pp. 165-204.
highlands en masse, physicians like Zhao Xuemin (1719-1805) knew the ancient works on animal metamorphoses and were newly sinicising their transformations. The processes of transformation newly acquired attributions associated with the medical theories of yin 陰 and yang 陽 theory that explained the physical changes in the shape and nature of the caterpillar fungus as it cycled seasonally between grass and a worm.\textsuperscript{307}

So when we consider the stimuli to the spread and reception of the caterpillar fungus, we have to be aware of the longstanding Chinese culture of aphrodisiacs and its valuing of the magical qualities of animal transformation. A variety of aphrodisiacs and tonics had been used by the Chinese long before the caterpillar fungus became known to them. For example, a group of herbs called yin yang huo 淫羊藿 (Epimedium spp.), noted for treating yin lou 陰痿 (impotence), was included in the earliest extant Chinese text on materia medica Shen nong ben cao jing, and has been used for about 1 800 years.\textsuperscript{308} The Daoist physician Tao Hongjing 陶弘景 (456-536) added that yin yang huo got its name because goats exhibited estrus after grazing on the leaves of huo (Epimedium spp.) on the grasslands in northwest Sichuan.\textsuperscript{309} Li Shizhen 李時珍 (1518-1593) also cited Tao’s observation. He demonstrated the interest traditional physicians of Ming times retained in category transformations. The well-known tonic ginseng, regarded as tu jing 土精 (spirit of earth), also had strange animal behaviours. Citing an earlier record, Li told us that it cried every night behind the house; and only when it was dug out, the cries stopped.\textsuperscript{310}

As a latecomer from some western areas of China, proven efficacies, no doubt, were a very important factor that propelled the spread of the caterpillar fungus to other areas, as well as the medicine trade in the caterpillar fungus. But here two things mentioned by Dominicus Parennin demand attention. First, the caterpillar fungus was known to, and used by the royal physicians in the imperial palace in Beijing in the early 18th century. Second, the governor of Sichuan and Shanxi had brought it to the emperor. However, we cannot find the caterpillar fungus in any of the over 30 000 thousand royal medical case records written during the period from 26 January, 1652 to 25 October, 1922.\textsuperscript{311} In particular, the medicinal substances used to nourish the kidney of the emperor Guangxu (1871-1908), who had been troubled by spermatorrhea for over twenty years, also did not include the

\textsuperscript{307} Zhao [c. 1803] 1983, p. 139.
\textsuperscript{308} For the record of yin yang huo in Shen nong ben cao jing, see Ma 1995, pp. 214-215. For its production areas and modern uses, see Liang et al. 1988, pp. 7-10; Wu 1998, pp. 267-268; Ying and Chen 2001, pp. 266-300; Guo and Xiao 2003, pp. 303-307.
\textsuperscript{310} Li [1578] 1975, pp. 699-700.
\textsuperscript{311} For the content of the royal medical case records in the Qing dynasty, see Chen 2009, pp. 17-1085.
caterpillar fungus. Yet the question remains, why was the caterpillar fungus seldom used by the elite physicians in the imperial palace? Of course, it is possible they did not record its use. But I think the major reason was that, based on their experience, they did not think it was irreplaceable or more effective than other alternatives (e.g. *yin yang huo*) with which they were more familiar. Even today, some traditional physicians, whether they are Tibetan or Chinese, hold a similar view; and even in Tibetan settlements it is rarely used in treatments. So, there must be a stronger cultural factor that prompted the spread of the caterpillar fungus.

We must remember that the Yangzhou salt merchant Wan Xuezhai once bragged about the production area of his first dish of the caterpillar fungus. At the time he mentioned nothing about its potencies. Indeed, he could show off his great wealth and ability through the conspicuous display of an exotic. Wan would not show off a distant but ordinary substance, e.g. a herb coming from far away but also growing in Yangzhou. Not only did this salt merchant, though fictional, not mention its potencies, but also the official historian Dong Hong in the earliest extant Chinese record of the caterpillar fungus only spoke of its production area and life cycle. Actually, if we only look at the 18th and 19th-century Chinese accounts of its potencies, we will not feel that it is a special medicinal substance. On this point we just need to compare the potencies of the caterpillar fungus with those of *yin yang huo* and ginseng described in the medical text *Ben cao cong xin* (1757). If we shift our gaze to the 18th-century Chinese accounts of its life cycle, just as summarised by the Chinese name *dong chong xia cao* or *xia cao dong chong*, we will find what made it special: marvelous transformation.

In the earliest Chinese medical record of the caterpillar fungus, offered by Wu Yiluo in 1757, its transformation is described as follows:

In winter it stays in the ground and is able to move, resembling a piliferous old silkworm; while in summer its hair grows out of the ground, and turns into a blade of grass together with the body. If it is not gathered in summer, it will turn into a worm again in the coming winter. (冬在土中，身活如老蠶，有毛，能動；至夏則毛出土上，連身俱化為草；若不取，至冬則復化為
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312 Zhou 2009, pp. 1231-1234. For the medical case records about the emperor Guangxu, see Chen 2009a, pp. 760-935.
313 Li 2007, pp. 15-16; Boesi and Cardi 2009, pp. 52-61.
From winter to summer, from a worm to a blade of grass, the transformation of the caterpillar fungus follows the seasons. More amazingly, this transformation is reversible. Such a clear expression of the reversibility of its transformation is quite unusual among Qing records of the caterpillar fungus. In most cases the reversibility was expressed implicitly. We can compare the above account with another one offered by Tan Cui 檀萃 (1724-1801). Tan spent many years in Guizhou and Yunnan as an official after being selected as a metropolitan graduate in 1761. In the book *Qian nang* 黔囊 (Bag of Guizhou, c. 1761), he wrote:

The caterpillar fungus grows in the areas northwest of the Wumeng Mountain [lying on the Yunnan-Guizhou Plateau and extending in a northeast-southwest direction]. The reason why it is given this name is that in summer it is a blade of grass growing out of the ground, while in winter it hibernates in the ground and turns into a worm. (夏草冬蟲者，出烏蒙塞外，暑茁土 為草，冬蟄土為蟲，故以名。)

Here the life cycle of the caterpillar fungus starts from grass in summer, rather than a worm in winter. The two accounts indicate that there are no clear boundaries between the starting point and ending point of its life cycle. The imagination of its reversibility remained popular to the end of the Qing dynasty. For example, Zheng Guangzu 鄭光祖 (1775-?) assimilated the idea about its reversible transformation in his book *Yi ban lu* 一斑録 (Notes on trivia, 1844) when speaking of the caterpillar fungus produced in Sichuan and Yunnan. And in 1903 the Japanese Buddhist monk Kawaguchi Ekai 河口慧海 (1866-1945), who reached the boundary of Tibet via Nepal on 4th July, 1900, and then traveled and studied in Tibet until 15 June, 1902, returned to Japan with Tibetan articles as well as plant specimens. He told the Japanese biologist Ito Keisuke 伊藤篤太郎...
(1866-1941) that natives in Tibet thought the caterpillar fungus reversibly transformed from a worm to grass.\(^{320}\) Therefore, the reversibility of the caterpillar fungus, which was not clearly stated by Zurkhar Nyamnyi Dorje about five hundred years previously, was actually also of later Tibetan origin.

Crossing the boundaries of plants and animals, the caterpillar fungus inspired a sense of mystery and the supernatural. The litterateur Xu Kun 徐昆 (1715-?) particularly wrote the transformation of the caterpillar fungus into his 1792 collection of mystery stories.\(^{321}\) And in the winter of 1803, after requesting tens of the specimens from his uncle who returned from Sichuan with some caterpillar fungus produced in Xiaojingchuan, Chen Yong 陈镛 (born in Suzhou) could not wait to show off this curiosity to other people.\(^{322}\) No wonder a Qing intellectual called Wang Peixun 王培荀 (1783-1859), born in Zichuan, Shandong but holding an official position as the magistrate of a few counties of Sichuan for 14 years (1835-1848), expressed his perplexity in the form of poetry:

> Which is the true form of the caterpillar fungus? 何形畢竟是真形？
> It keeps on reversibly changing from a blade of grass to a worm. 爲草為蟲化未停。
> How different it is from the elusive fireflies which will finally disappear, 那似流螢終滅沒，
> And the grassland which will not be the same green as before in the spring breeze. 春風原上不重青。\(^{323}\)

It is worth mentioning the scholar Wu Qijun 吳其濬 (1789-1847) who offered a Chinese illustration of the caterpillar fungus (Fig. 3) in his well-known book Zhi wu ming shi tu kao 植物名實圖考 (Treatise on Names and Entities of Plants, 1848). During his term of office in Yunnan 1843-1845, he collected hundreds of plants, among which he noticed the *si yi bu ji* 思議不及 (unbelievable) caterpillar fungus.\(^{324}\) However, Wu Qijun claimed that it could also be found in Guangdong and Guangxi provinces, where its root had a worm-like appearance, and its leaf resembled a blade of young couch grass. Like Wu, Li Zuoxian 李佐賢 (1807-1876) stated that the
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320 Anonymous 1903, pp. 440-444.
322 Chen [1804] 1864. The original text contains no page numbers.
caterpillar fungus could also be found in Fujian and Guangdong provinces.\textsuperscript{325} But we know it must be other species of the \textit{Cordyceps} genus that grew there, e.g. \textit{Cordyceps gunnii} (Berk.) Berk.\textsuperscript{326} Wu’s belief reflects the desire to find this marvel in East China, especially in the economically more developed southeastern China where the marvelous qualities of the caterpillar fungus could be easily converted into money. Wu and Li’s discoveries illustrated people’s efforts to find the caterpillar fungus in non-production areas in the 19th century. The production areas being limited to West China reinforced reliance on the ‘West—East’ trade in the caterpillar fungus, prompting a search for alternative production areas to break the monopoly or exploit the caterpillar fungus. This is closely related to the identification of variant species of \textit{Cordyceps} in eastern production areas, as regarded in local chronicles. Besides, Wu Qijun added that people in Yangcheng (i.e. today’s Guangzhou, Guangdong) treated the caterpillar fungus as a kind of delicious food. For culinary purposes, the Yangcheng caterpillar fungus was not, in fact, a fungus but the root of the plant \textit{cao shi can} 草石蠶 (\textit{Stachys sieboldii} Miq., Fig. 4).\textsuperscript{327} The \textit{Cordyceps} themselves do not taste delicious; usually they are added into dishes as a tonic or medical ingredient. But the rhizome of \textit{cao shi can}, which also resembles a worm, has an excellent savory flavour when tossed with white vinegar and served as salad tasting good indeed.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig3}
\caption{Illustration of the caterpillar fungus in the 1919 reprint of \textit{Zhi wu ming shi tu kao} 齊藤氏之譜 (1848).}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig4}
\caption{Photograph of \textit{cao shi can} 草石蠶 (\textit{Stachys sieboldii} Miq.).\textsuperscript{328}}
\end{figure}

\begin{flushleft}
\textsuperscript{325}\ Li 1875.
\textsuperscript{326}\ Liang 2007, p. 92.
\textsuperscript{327}\ For a botanical description of \textit{cao shi can}, see Wu and Li 1977, pp. 18-19.
\textsuperscript{328}\ This photograph is available at http://www.plantphoto.cn/tu/1111595.
\end{flushleft}
The caterpillar fungus was a romantic marker of continuous transformation which stirred a pre-existing fascination for icons of immortality. To perceive the importance of the account of marvelous transformation in the spread of the caterpillar fungus to other regions through out China, especially East China, we can compare the caterpillar fungus with another Tibetan medicinal substance *ga dur* ག་དུར* (Rhodiola wallichiana). The latter was first recorded in *rGyud bZhi* gyi gser (Four medical tantras, 12th century). In this text it was listed as one of the medicinal substances that were capable of treating acute epidemics. But it never entered Chinese materia medica until the 1970s. Aside from occasionality, another important factor that caused its absence in pre-modern Chinese *materia medica* must have been that, it was not attractive enough for traditional physicians who had explored many medicinal substances and methods to treat acute epidemics long before this time.

Packaging the Miracle and Trade

Trade played an important role in the wide spread and ongoing dissemination of the caterpillar fungus as a marvel. But attempts to map the trade network for the 18th and 19th centuries by using Chinese historical literature are kind of frustrating. The difficulties are closely related to a longstanding negative attitude to commerce in Chinese elite culture. Chinese intellectuals, who were taught to be familiar with Confucian classics, bought in to the social hierarchies of Confucian humanism, and were certainly also influenced by Confucius’s appreciation of knowing plants and animals. Because Confucius encouraged his students to acquire knowledge about birds, beasts, herbs and woods from poetry. It is likely that they thought that such a marvel should not be associated with money and profit. Although we have explored some trading information in previous sections, it is necessary to summarise the geographic evidence of the caterpillar fungus. With knowledge of the trading centres, beginning in the early 18th, but mostly from the 19th century, we
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330 A variety of Chinese medical texts containing various treatments or prescriptions for acute epidemics, ranging from approximately the first century BC to the present, can be found in Yang (ed.) 2008[1989], pp. 821-824.

331 For classical Chinese knowledge of flora and medicinal plants, see Métaillé 2015.

can begin to reconstruct the caterpillar fungus’s early travels to the rest of Qing China.

Let’s begin with Qin Wuyu 秦武域 (1725-?). Qin became acquainted with the caterpillar fungus during his travels through Sichuan. He reported that local people in Jiazhou 嘉州, Dajianlu 打箭爐 and some other places in Sichuan would dry it in the shade and then tied it in bundles; and the bundles would be given to those who were going to travel.333 Apparently, the caterpillar fungus was a precious product, and it was bundled up for the sake of convenience. These bundles could be used personally, and could also be sold as a commodity. In the late 18th century a Suzhou physician called Long Bai 龍柏 remarked in his book Mai yao lian zhu 脈藥聯珠 (Medicines Associated with Mai, 1795) that the caterpillar fungus from Jiazhou was well known and could be bought in Suzhou as drugstores there had sold it for decades.334

Fortunately, although we do not know what the bundles in Qin Wuyu’s record actually looked like, we can get a general picture from two illustrations by the Mongolian physician Jampel Dorje (Jampel Dorje, 1792-1855). He was born in today’s Zalute Qi of Inner Mongolia, and had been to Qinghai, Tibet, Beijing and Wutai Mountain. His medical book, Mdzes mtshar mig rgyan མཛེས་མཚར་མིག་རྒྱན། (Beautiful marvellous eye ornament), contained two illustrations showing a quantity of yartsa gunbu bounded together by two strings (Fig. 5).335 In addition, he also offered the Chinese translation of dbyar rtsa dgun ’bu ཉིགས་སྐྱེས་འབུ (the corresponding Tibetan phonetic notation was given to its left) on the right side of one of the illustrations (Fig. 5A), indicating that he knew the use of yartsa gunbu by the Chinese in his time. So it is reasonable to conclude that he had seen yartsa gunbu in the form of a commodity. And, considering Jampel Dorje’s travels, it was possible that he witnessed the transport of the commodity yartsa gunbu via northern land routes from the Western regions. Through these routes the caterpillar fungus was continuously supplied to Beijing and other Northern places. A record offered by the Russian missionary physician Alexander A. Tatarinov (Александр А. Татаринов, 1817-1886), who stayed in China during the period 1840-1850, proved that the caterpillar fungus was still sold in drugstores in mid-19th century Beijing. Tatarinov bought it and another 496 kinds of medicinal substances in Beijing, and then brought them to St. Petersburg.

335 Jampel Dorje [early 19th century] 1971, p. 168. For Jampel Dorje’s life and works, see Bao et al. 2004a, pp. 162-165. Some contemporary books on Mongolian materia medica include yartsa gunbu as a Mongolian medicinal substance, see GIZYYGLIZHBCBWH 2004, pp. 172-174. But such a practice is improper because the original record of yartsa gunbu was offered by a Tibetan physician, and Mongolian physicians in later times did not contribute any fresh knowledge about it. Moreover, the majority of the areas where the Mongolian people lived in the 18th and 19th centuries never produced yartsa gunbu, see Lu 1990, pp 63-79; Liang 2007, pp. 125-126.
Doubtless Tatarinov’s ability to market extensive acquisitions benefited from the medicine trade in Beijing.

Fig. 5 Woodcuts of *yartsa gunbu* in *Mdzes mtshar mig rgyan*’s main text (A) and appendix (B).

Fig. 6 The folio of the Tibetan text on *yartsa gunbu* by Sumpa Khenpo Yeshe Paljor.

Growth of the medicine trade was often accompanied with explorations of new medicinal properties and innovations in consumption. Mongolian physicians were unlikely to directly engage in the trade in the caterpillar fungus. From their texts we cannot find new knowledge of the caterpillar fungus. In fact, Jampel Dorje’s account of *yartsa gunbu* was extracted from an earlier medical book entitled *Gso dpyad bdud rtsi chu rgyan gyi cha lag gi nang tshan gyi sman so so so'i mgon brjod dang ngos 'dzin shel dkar me long* (‘White crystal mirror’ for short; Fig. 6), written by the Mongolian physician Sum pa mkhan po ye shes dpal ‘byor (Sumpa Khenpo Yeshe Paljor, 1704-1788) in the second half of the 18th century. Sumpa Khenpo Yeshe Paljor was born in today’s Haiyan county, Qinghai province, and learnt Tibetan medicine during his study in Lhasa. This book by him is the earliest extant Mongolian medical text despite of its Tibetan language. However, even his account of *yartsa gunbu* was just an abridged version of Zurkhar Nyamnyi Dorje’s record, involving the
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336 Tatarinov 1856, p. 45. For his life in China, see Bretschneider 1898, pp. 559-569.
information about *yartsa gunbu*'s habitat, morphological characteristics, and its positive effect on increasing semen.337 Both the use of the Tibetan language and Tibetan origin of the excerpts reflected the influence of Tibetan medical culture on Mongolian medicine. Mongolian physicians certainly did not lack creativity. In my opinion, the above two Mongolian records of the caterpillar fungus, which did not contain any new knowledge but originated from a Tibetan record, indicated that Mongolian physicians seldom used the caterpillar fungus (and therefore seldom recorded it), so new knowledge surrounding it was hard to generate.

With the opening of a series of treaty ports in late Qing China, from 1859 onward there were successive reports of trade at different ports, compiled and published in English by Western officials working in the Chinese maritime customs. Some of the maritime customs publications were exclusively devoted to the medicine trade. Among them, the *List of Chinese Medicines* (1889) can be used to illustrate a relatively complete picture of the trade in the caterpillar fungus in the mid-1880s. This list comprises two parts. The first part mainly contains statistics on identified Chinese medicinal substances imported from or exported to the ports of Niuzhuang 牛莊, Tianjin 天津, Zhifu 芝罘, Yichang 宜昌, Hankou 漢口, Jiujian 九江, Wuhu 萊湖, Zhenjiang 鎮江, Shanghai 上海, Ningbo 宁波, Wenzhou 溫州, Fuzhou 福州, Xiamen 廈門, Shantou 汕頭, Guangzhou 廣州, Qiongzhou 瓊州, Beihai 北海, Danshui 淡水 (Taiwan) and Dagou 打狗 (Taiwan) during the period from 1 November, 1884 to 31 October, 1885 (12 months in total). The second part is a general alphabetical list of 1,575 kinds of Chinese medicinal substances categorised into 8 groups (Roots, Barks and Husks, Twigs and Leaves, Flowers, Seeds and Fruits, Grasses, Insects, and Sundries). The caterpillar fungus was called ‘Ch’ung-ts’ao’, ‘Hia-ts’ao-tung-ch’ung’, ‘Tung-ch’ung-hsia-ts’ao’ or ‘Tung-ch’ung-ts’ao’ in the List. At different ports it was categorised into the ‘Sundries’, ‘Grasses’, or ‘Roots’ group, indicating that different customs did not share a common perception of the nature of the caterpillar fungus. Besides, it was also identified as ‘*Cordiceps sinensis*’, and noted as ‘a fungus which grows on the head of a caterpillar’.338 The circulation of the caterpillar fungus among the ports is shown in Appendix 2.

It is clear that most of the caterpillar fungus circulating among the ports was produced in Sichuan. And the caterpillar fungus in Sichuan was mainly exported eastward to Shanghai via the
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338 Order of the Inspector General of Customs 1889, p. 442. ‘*Cordiceps*’ was identical to ‘*Cordyceps*’.
ports of Yichang and Hankou. In the late Qing period, Yichang customs, established in 1877, had been the westernmost maritime customs along the Yangtze River until the establishment of the Chongqing customs on 1 March, 1891. Consignments of the caterpillar fungus from Sichuan converged in Yichang, and then were eventually shipped to Shanghai through the Yangtze River. And from Shanghai, where a significant amount of the caterpillar fungus would be consumed, some of the caterpillar fungus was then disseminated to other coastal ports, such as Ningbo and Fuzhou, and Hong Kong. Although medicine trade at the port of Hong Kong was not independently recorded in the List, and statistics on the export of the caterpillar fungus to Hong Kong were not recorded, Hong Kong actually acted as an important transshipment centre for the caterpillar fungus. From Hong Kong some the caterpillar fungus was returned or dispatched to Shanghai, Danshui, Xiamen, Shantou and Qiongzhou. It is noteworthy that in the List Henan, Hubei and Guangdong were wrongly recorded as production areas of the caterpillar fungus that passed through the Hankou, Xiamen and Shantou customs. This was probably because the customs obtained incomplete information about true origins of the caterpillar fungus. For example, the caterpillar fungus claimed to be produced in Henan was identified as ‘Cordiceps Sinensis’, which actually did not grow in Henan. Therefore it is reasonable to consider that the three production areas were actually transshipment places for the caterpillar fungus from Western China.

The List only records Tibet as a production area of the caterpillar fungus once. Some of the caterpillar fungus claimed to be produced in Sichuan was probably from Tibet, as there had been thriving bilateral trade between Tibet and Sichuan for centuries; and Tibetan products had been exported in bulk to central and Eastern China via Sichuan. Moreover, the caterpillar fungus as a Tibetan medicinal product of today’s Mangkang 芒康, Jiali 嘉黎, Chaya 察雅, Naqu 那曲, Changdu 昌都 and Leiwuqi 類烏齊 was also mentioned in some local chronicles of Eastern Tibet finalised at the end of the Qing dynasty. The production of the caterpillar fungus in these places must have been earlier than related written records in the chronicles. Overall, Appendix 2 mainly reflects water routes for the inter-port transport of the caterpillar fungus in late Qing China; and the

339 Brunero 2006, p. 11.
341 Order of the Inspector General of Customs 1889, pp. 80-81. The caterpillar fungus claimed to be produced in Hubei and Guangdong was not identified in the List.
Yangtze River played a key role in connecting the supply of the caterpillar fungus in West China with the demand for the caterpillar fungus in southeastern China. Of course, there must also have been land routes for the trade in the caterpillar fungus, especially those from Sichuan or Tibet to Beijing.

Actor-network-theory can be used to understand the transmission of the caterpillar fungus from a new perspective. According to Latour, humans and non-humans can both be actors and have agency. At the early stage of the transmission in the early 18th century, the caterpillar fungus as a Tibetan medicinal substance was not popular among the Chinese; collectors wanted to earn their living, but the market demand for the caterpillar fungus remained low; merchants aimed to maximise their profits, but supplies and consumptions of the caterpillar fungus were inadequate; travellers from non-production areas saw the esteemed medicinal caterpillar fungus, but it had not been used by their families, friends or relatives in their hometown yet; physicians and patients, who were involved in the use of the potent caterpillar fungus in treatment, could not obtain the caterpillar fungus easily; drugstores contained some common medicinal substances, but lacked the potent and profitable caterpillar fungus. The above actors constituted a heterogeneous actor-network that contributed to the lasting and widespread spread of the caterpillar fungus from western areas to the rest of China. As for the agency of the caterpillar fungus, it largely rested on the caterpillar fungus’s marvelous ability to transform, which strengthened the economic value and medical reputation of the caterpillar fungus. Through different human agents the caterpillar fungus was collected, spread, consumed, described, or studied, with new knowledge of the caterpillar fungus generated. Certainly, there are controversies surrounding the agency of non-humans, such as whether there should be a premised distinction between human and non-human, or whether non-humans had agency. Many of the controversies about object agency emerged due to scholars’ different ontologies and epistemologies. But a variety of case studies have specified the agency of some non-humans ranging from trees to artefacts, pictures and texts. The transmission of the caterpillar fungus, examined within the theoretical frame of the actor-network-theory, has also revealed the agency of the

345 Casper 1994, pp. 839-856; Barron 2003, pp. 77-99; Passoth et al. 2012, p. 3; Tatnall 2013, p. 203. For example, Geoffrey E. Braswell rejects the notion that both objects and humans have agency, but considers that ‘agency exists only in the interaction between subjects, objects, and ideas’, see Braswell 2011, pp. 1-14. Ian Russell, however, attempts to transcend the controversies and suggests understanding humans as ‘enmeshed media in the world’ in consideration of contemporary scientific implications for ‘human epistemological relations with modern material culture’, see Russell 2007, pp. 71-87.
346 Knappett and Malafouris 2008.
caterpillar fungus.

In summary, the appearance of the caterpillar fungus in East China in the 18th and 19th centuries was the result of tribute, travelers and traders’ joint effort. The aphrodisiacal and tonic properties that the caterpillar fungus had were not strange to Chinese medical culture, as there were a variety of Chinese medicinal substances that had the same, or even stronger properties. The efficacies of the caterpillar fungus proved that it was worth spreading. But I have argued that the basic factor that stimulated and facilitated its early nationwide dissemination must have been its reversible transformation. This character could easily arouse human curiosity and interest in broadcasting its reputation for its marvelous activity. With respect to the medicine trade, the magical qualities of the caterpillar fungus played a key role in promoting its prevalence among the public. Against this background the caterpillar fungus was even reported to grow in non-production areas in 19th-century East China. Trade and traders in the Chinese records of the caterpillar fungus were rarely explicitly mentioned probably due to the ingrained negative attitude to commerce in pre-modern Chinese society. Nevertheless, primary sources for this analysis are to be found in English publications on modern Chinese port trade which were able to illustrate the trade network of the caterpillar fungus, and its reliance on the Yangtse River, in the late 19th century.

2.5 The Medicine

Basically, the caterpillar fungus was a medicinal substance. Evidence of the application of the caterpillar fungus in treatment was recorded here and there in 18th and 19th-century Chinese literary records. In addition to Tang Bingjun, some non-physician authors, such as Shen Weicai 沈維材 (1697-?) and Zhu Delin 祝德麟 (1742-1798), also made notes of medical uses of the caterpillar fungus. The litterateur Shen Weicai once wrote a letter to a man surnamed Cheng, who was recovering from illness. One year previously he had presented the caterpillar fungus as a medicinal substance to Cheng. Shen was a native of Haining, Zhejiang, and spent years living and travelling in Henan, Shaanxi, Hubei, Hunan, and Guangdong.347 It is unknown when and where he wrote the letter, or any more details about the patient Cheng. But at least we can assume that Shen must have

known something about the medicinal properties of the caterpillar fungus, and have thought it was beneficial to Cheng’s sick body. As for the poet Zhu Delin, also a native of Haining, Zhejiang, he once went to see one of his friends who loved poetry. His friend, though seriously sick, pointed at an envelope containing the caterpillar fungus on the table, and claimed that it was a wonderful topic for a poem. Unfortunately, the caterpillar fungus did not succeed in saving his life, and he died before Zhu composed the poem dedicated to the caterpillar fungus and his friend: *dong chong xia cao shi* 冬蟲夏草詩 (Poem about the caterpillar fungus). It included the following lines: ‘Although medicinal substances were fully stored in medical boxes, they were unable to save my friend from death…what is this striking substance [on the table]? It transforms between an animal and a plant.’ (儲藥滿籠中，曾不救一死...咄哉此何物，動植互頂踵)\(^{348}\) In the two cases we see the market for the caterpillar fungus in practice.

The increasing use of the caterpillar fungus for edible and medicinal purposes not only enriched people’s perceptions of the caterpillar fungus, but also advanced new explorations of its medicinal properties and uses and inspired poetry. Appendix 3 shows how some new medicinal properties were added to those mentioned by the 15th-century Tibetan medical text, e.g. prolonging life, stopping bleeding, good for old people, and removing cardiodynia. According to Zhao Xuemin and Tang Zonghai, Chinese physicians sometimes even separated the ‘grass’ and the ‘worm’ apart, and used them in different treatments. For example, some of Zhang Zirun’s 張子潤 statements cited by Zhao Xuemin told us that if a woman just took the ‘grass’ part, she would be *jue yun wu zi* 絕孕無子 (infertile without children). Moreover, Zhao and Tang also attempted to use the old *yin* and *yang* theory to explain the transformation or qualities of the caterpillar fungus through different seasons: *yin* formed the unmovable grass part in summer, while *yang* formed the movable worm part in winter; *yin* and *yang*, or the grass and worm parts, alternate with seasons. It would not have been difficult for them to associate this theory with the caterpillar fungus, because there was a long tradition of applying the *yin* and *yang* theory in the usage of medicinal substances in pre-modern Chinese medicine.\(^{349}\) In the hands of Chinese physicians, the caterpillar fungus transformed from a Tibetan medicinal substance to a Chinese medicinal substance.

New medicinal properties were explored on the basis of pre-existing Tibetan knowledge of the
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\(^{349}\) For the role of the *yin* and *yang* theory in pre-modern Chinese *materia medica*, see Zheng 2007, pp. 81, 234; Kang 2008, pp. 616-617.
caterpillar fungus. It is found that some of the medicinal properties of the caterpillar fungus mentioned in the Chinese records actually originated from Tibet, such as the warm quality, increasing jing (including semen), and enhancing the male sexual organ, even if they were formed by the ideas that had originally used by the Chinese. On the whole, the core of its medicinal properties in the Chinese records is vested in its tonic and aphrodisiacal effects, and the latter was particularly emphasised by Zurkhar Nyamnyi Dorje. When viewed from this perspective, we can actually see the fusion of Tibetan and Chinese medical cultures in the Chinese texts.

"The Caterpillar Fungus in Prescriptions"

A source which is increasingly utilised in historical studies of Chinese medicine is the yi an 医案 (medicine casebooks). They were dedicated to recording cases seen by physicians themselves, involving descriptions of patients’ illness and recoveries, prescriptions and their effectiveness, etc. The history of medicine casebooks can be dated at least to the second century BC, when the physician Chunyu Yi 淳于意 (c. 205-?) offered us the earliest extant medical cases. According to Elisabeth Hsu, Chunyu Yi’s medical cases had their origin in the legal case records in Qin (and even earlier) times. However, we must be careful not to always read them as true records of practice. Physicians might exaggerate or even invent successful case records in order to enhance their teachers or families’ reputation. Here my emphasis is not on the respective authenticity of the cases involving the use of the caterpillar fungus, but the geographical information revealed by the cases. Through examining physicians’ birth places and practice locations we can roughly determine some areas where the caterpillar fungus was sold and consumed. Appendix 4 briefly lists the related cases. And from this Appendix we can also see an increase in the cases involving the use of the caterpillar fungus in the 19th century, which to some extent reflected the relative prevalence of the caterpillar fungus as a medicinal substance from afar.

Nevertheless, it is difficult to ascertain whether the caterpillar fungus played a major role in
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350 Medical cases in Chinese histories have been recently discussed by Charlotte Furth, Judith T. Zeitlin and Ping-chen Hsiung in the book entitled Thinking with Cases: Specialist Knowledge in Chinese Cultural History, see Furth et al. 2007, pp. 125-204. Some Chinese historians of medicine also stress the importance of medicine casebooks in understanding and learning Chinese medicine, see, for example, Zhu and Li 2011, pp. 237-239; Sun 2013, pp. 3-6; Zhong 2013, pp. 12-13.  
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treating patients because it was just one ingredient in complex prescriptions. In this respect, there are some traces which could be oriented to some potencies of the caterpillar fungus. For example, in a medical case the physician Zhou Shimi 周士禰 (active c. 1778) gave a multi-ingredient prescription containing the caterpillar fungus to treat impotence, spermatorrhea, and a few other symptoms. But we should also be aware that the same prescription also contains other ingredients that were attributed the same potencies, e.g. *shan zhu yu* 山茱萸 (*Cornus officinalis*) and ginseng. In addition to medicine casebooks, some prescription texts also have different prescriptions containing the caterpillar fungus. Their authors include the Zhejiang physician Ding Yaochen 丁堯臣, the Sichuan physician Tang Zonghai (1851-1908) who practiced in places including Shanghai, Beijing and Guandong, and the Zhejiang physician He Lianchen 何廉臣 (1861-1919).

Most of the above physicians and those listed in Appendix 4 came from southeastern coastal regions of China, namely Jiangsu, Zhejiang, Fujian, and Guandong. Even the two physicians who came from other regions, namely Xinchuan 心禪 (a Buddhist, whose birth place is unknown) and Tang Zonghai, all had experience of practicing in southeastern cities such as Hangzhou and Shanghai. It was because the caterpillar fungus was sold in these areas that the physicians could easily obtain it and add it into a range of prescriptions. The outline of the inter-port transport of the caterpillar fungus in the mid-1880s, as examined before, also reflected a demand for it in southeastern China. Although the prescriptions and cases involving the caterpillar fungus were (far) less than those involving some other kinds of tonics (e.g. ginseng), they were still significant to Chinese *materia medica* as the exotic caterpillar fungus entered into Chinese medical texts as late as the mid-18th century. Moreover, the ingredients in the preceptions, preparations, and usage of the prescriptions vary from each other, and also differ from those recorded by Zurkhar Nyamnyi Dorje in the 15th century. The prescriptions, a result of medical practices and the circulation of the
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355 The Ming physician Li Shizhen (1518-1593) listed ginseng as one of the medicinal substances for spermatorrhea, and *shan zhu yu* for enhancing male sexual function, see Li [1578] 1975, pp. 226, 2094.
358 Dai and He [1911] 2005, pp. 234-235. A prescription in *Chong ding guang wen re lun* 重訂廣溫熱論 contains the caterpillar fungus. According to He’s annotation, this prescription was extracted from the 1718 text entitled *Gu song yuan yi jing* 隨松園醫鏡. However, although the latter text does include the prescription, the prescription itself lacks the caterpillar fungus, see Gu [1718] 1961, pp. 135-136. In addition, the 1675 text *Guang wen yi lun* 廣瘟疫論 also does not mention the caterpillar fungus, see Dai [1675] 1992, pp. 1-81. Therefore the caterpillar fungus as an ingredient was actually added by He in 1911 when he was revising Dai’s text.
359 Chen 2009b, pp. 1422-1423.
caterpillar fungus and related medical knowledge, demonstrated Chinese physicians’ creative adoption and adaptation of the caterpillar fungus as a medicinal substance.

2.6 Conclusion

In retrospect, the caterpillar fungus-duck food advertised in Shanghai News on 1st January, 1925 was not really ‘newly’ invented by the food company. Actually, this recipe was known to the Chinese no later than the early 18th century. And its origin can be traced back to the yartsa gunbu-sparrow recipe mentioned by Zurkhar Nyamnyi Dorje of 15th-century Tibet.

The history of the caterpillar fungus from the 15th century to the beginning of the 18th century remains a blank due to the absence of written records, despite uninterrupted communication between those involved in Tibetan and Chinese medicine. The caterpillar fungus was disseminated to Beijing by the early 18th century in trade and tribute. From about 1770s onward new centres of the trade in the caterpillar fungus prospered in economically more developed southeastern areas of China. They dominated the ‘West—Southeast’ direction of this trade, but did not cause the disappearance of the trade centre in Beijing. In addition to trade and tribute, the caterpillar fungus had been also disseminated by sporadic travelers without a commercial purpose. Sichuan and Yunnan were more frequently mentioned as production areas of the caterpillar fungus in post-18th century Chinese literature, but given their strategic positions on trade routes from Tibet, they may also have been transshipment stations for the the caterpillar fungus from Tibet and Qinghai. These production areas blurred the geographical boundaries between the Tibetan and Chinese knowledge of the caterpillar fungus, making ‘Tibetan’ and ‘Chinese’ two cultural rather than geographical concepts. As for the dissemination of the caterpillar fungus from western areas to eastern areas of China, it benefited much from the marvelous physical transformation between a worm and a blade of grass, which amazed the Chinese people and inspired their wonder and praise. Gradually, the Chinese associated it with other similar transformations described in ancient Chinese texts, linked it with similar Chinese creatures, and endowed its marvelous reversible transformation with Chinese cultural and moral connotations.

The transmission of the caterpillar fungus in a Sino-Tibetan context provides a vivid example of the updating of Chinese materia medica on the basis of appropriating exotic medicinal substances.
and related information. The medicinal properties of the caterpillar fungus in 15th century Tibetan culture, which centred on aphrodisiacal effects (partly described according to a system inherited earlier from the Chinese), also valued by the Chinese, significantly assisted the dissemination through how the cures and miracles of healing were represented. Though the caterpillar fungus was not an irreplaceable medicinal substance to the Chinese, Chinese physicians still gradually explored new medicinal properties, and in particular the tonic effects and more diverse ways of using it. With respect to object agency, the caterpillar fungus as a non-human object could not travel alone. However, as a dead animal nourishing a live fungus it had an extraordinary appearance that fascinated observers. It initially granted agency to the caterpillar fungus, and enabled it to be mediated by its human agents (traders, travellers, physicians, and patients) in company with related changing knowledge in a network, or ‘a string of actions’ that spread from the Tibetan Plateau to the southeastern coastal regions of China. As a transcultural medicinal substance it is also iconic of the interactions between the agency of humans and objects. During the process of localising the knowledge about the caterpillar fungus in a transcultural context, the caterpillar fungus could be veritably treated as a ‘Chinese’ medicinal substance, not to mention that it was also discovered in some areas bordering on Tibet in the Chinese political domain.
Chapter 3 Exotic Eyes on the Caterpillar Fungus

‘The search for powerful drugs has caused people and commodities to move around the globe for many centuries, as it still does, for it profits both states of bodily well-being and the pocketbook.’

—— Harold J. Cook and Timothy D. Walker

3.1 Introduction: Scientific Practice and Soft Power

With the great Age of Discovery (15th-18th century) the footsteps of traders, missionaires, naturalist-travellers and envoys gradually connected most regions of the world into a global network for the dissemination of knowledge and objects. Not only did the fields of natural history and philosophy in modern Europe benefit from overseas knowledge and ideas, but materia medica in modern China also went through a sudden and accelerated process of appropriating natural knowledge from elsewhere. Substances had always travelled by both land and sea routes in and out of the regions that now make up China but this new and truly transnational context saw the arrival of a multitude of new substances such as American ginseng and ammonia water. Significant to this chapter is how the work of recent scholars allows us to deepen our existing understandings of how new epistemologies that arrived with the substances did or did not affect the transformation of Chinese materia medica in modern times. New global histories of medicine, as discussed by Harold J. Cook, advocate non-essentialist approaches and focus on the dynamic interplay between different cultures. Cook himself attaches particular importance to the role that global trade played in the worldwide circulation of local natural knowledge and the consequent scientific innovations in Europe in the early 18th century. Clifford M. Foust’s historical study of rhubarb from the 17th to the 19th century, though marginalising China as an important production region, remains one of the few inspirational studies which speak to the transnational history of the caterpillar fungus and other
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Chinese medicinal substances.\textsuperscript{366} It is exemplary for how it covers the history of rhubarb from a variety of fascinating angles (from the point of views of trade, political power, medicine and emerging scientific cultures of identification), tracing the transmission and reception of local knowledge and concomitant changes in values, uses, attributions and perceived potencies that occurred in the encounter with Russian and European cultures.

In this way, this chapter draws inspiration from recent research that has examined the close relationship between natural knowledge innovations and the rise of global trade. Along with the traders that opened new territories for commerce came new ways of identifying substances, closer observation, illustration and measuring in different ways that could standardise relative values, advance ordering, and correct delivery around the world. This measurement of the world and its produce went hand-in-hand with collecting for the purposes of natural history and other sciences, and occurred across public and private spheres such as the English East India Company, the Horticultural Society of London, Cambridge University, Kings College, London, the British Museum, and Kew Gardens. As Jessica Ratcliff has observed, the collection-based disciplines and their projects focuses us on the ‘material relationship between scientific practice and the imperial political economy’.\textsuperscript{367} The power of a material culture analysis permits us to pay added attention to non-commercial factors, and scholarship that highlights the question of the agency of the caterpillar fungus as it played out at the interface of the local and rapidly globalising negotiations within transnational networks of knowledge production.\textsuperscript{368}

Such tensions and negotiations surrounding Chinese medicinal substances initially emerged in Europe, but ultimately, resulted in the building of a new and modern Chinese \textit{materia medica} on their [Chinese] own terms, since it retained significant local characteristics. The particular case study of the caterpillar fungus will demonstrate how it retained its agency and magic within Latourian networks of actants, in the face of the new science, and therefore also in the unique characteristics of scientific modernity in China. This chapter comprises two parts. The first part is devoted to the transmission of the caterpillar fungus as a medicinal substance and wonder of natural history from China to France, Britain, Russia and Japan mainly before the 19th century. This story is intimately wrapped up with the community of Jesuits that were stationed in the Qing court, and who discovered

\textsuperscript{366} Foust 1992.
\textsuperscript{367} Ratcliff 2016, pp. 495-517.
\textsuperscript{368} In this respect Fan Fa-ti’s exploration of British naturalists’ activities in Qing China, which also reveals a picture of Sino-British trade, is an excellent example, see Fan 2004.
for themselves the caterpillar fungus as part of the culture of their mission and its unique remit which involved acquiring and accommodating themselves to local language and knowledge. Specifically, it is concerned with the material culture of this story and tracks the journeys of specimens of the caterpillar fungus to west Europe (France and Britain), East Asia (Japan), and the geographically intermediate Russia in the historical context of these countries’ contacts with China. Special attention is given to the disseminators and causes of transnational transmission. The second part first investigates European perceptions and study of the caterpillar fungus in the 18th and 19th centuries, as well as Europeans’ attitudes to Chinese materia medica more generally. It then turns to 19th century Japan, and to investigate changes in Japanese perceptions of the caterpillar fungus and their connections with Chinese and European scholarship.

At the heart of this analysis is the way in which we can use the caterpillar fungus to represent larger trends in the formation of a uniquely modern Chinese materia medica. One of the essential questions addressed in this thesis must surely be, what came first in the matter of scientific innovation: a compulsive desire to dominate the world through collection and control of its flora and fauna, as a part of the soft power of informal empire, or the purely intellectual practice of a scientific modernity? Or if we, as we suspetct, we cannot divide these aspects of globalisation how did they intersect in the new forms of knowledge production?

3.2 Journeys to the Outside

3.2.1 France

The contact between France and China was first established by Jesuits in the 17th century and they were famously the first European scholars who were to engage in a meaningful way with Chinese thought. But more than three centuries previously, some Frenchmen had already reached northern areas of East Asia. In the mid-13th century King Louis IX (1214-1270) sent embassies to the capital of the Mongol empire (i.e. Ulaanbaatar) in order to spread the gospel and make a military alliance with the Mongols.\footnote{Jackson 2009, pp. 65-71; Aigle 2014, pp. 45-48. For King Louis IX’s life, see Labarge 1968; Gaposchkin 2008.} These aims were not fulfilled. Following the collapse of Mongol rule in China in 1368 and the establishment of the Ming dynasty (1368-1644),\footnote{Mote and Twitchett 1988, pp. 11-57; Langlois [1981] 2014, pp. 25-55.} European Jesuits began to leave
for Ming China from the second half of the 16th century. The first of them was the Spanish missionary Franciscus Xavier (1506-1552), who reached the Shangchuan Island (near today’s Taishan, Guangdong) in August, 1552. Xavier was also one of the seven original members of the Society of Jesus, founded in Paris on 15 August, 1534 and officially recognised by Pope Paul III on 27 September, 1540.

Against this late sixteenth and seventeenth century background of a new and mutual awareness of political and spiritual power in the administrative centres of Europe and China, there was a remarkable interchange of medical and scientific knowledge. Jesuit, Nicolas Trigault (1577-1629) of Douai, Belgium, arguably the first Frenchman to travel to China (since Douai became French after his death), arrived in Macao and Zhaqing in 1610, and then Beijing the next December. In 1618 he made a second trip to China, leading a group of 22 Jesuits and bringing with him about 7000 Western books. These books, which dealt “not only with religion and philosophy but also with the full range of Renaissance science and technology”, had been assembled to constitute a basic library for the Jesuits in China as well as a base for the project of translating Western religious and natural knowledge into Chinese. In the early 17th century, the Italian Jesuits Alfonso Vagnone (1566-1640) and Giulio Aleni (1582-1649) had already published Chinese writings that formed a brief introduction to parts of the curriculum of European higher education (including Theologia and Medicina). The Beijing Jesuits, including Trigault, also realised the power of European science, technology and medicine to gain ‘their greatest renown among the late Ming literati’, spread Christianity, and to defend the mission. King Louis XIV (1638-1715), who had ambitions in Asia, dispatched Jesuits as Mathematicians of the King in 1685 for the purpose of establishing an academic outstation in Beijing. After the Ming-Qing transition (1640s), in 1687, 
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five French Jesuits reached China, and thereafter contributed much to the exchange of natural knowledge between France and China. They were Jean de Fontaney (1643-1710), Joachim Bouvet (1656-1730), Louis le Comte (1655-1728), Jean-François Gerbillon (1654-1707) and Claude de Visdelou (1656-1737).\textsuperscript{381} Their arrival in China presaged the Belgian Jesuit Ferdinand Verbiest’s (1623-1688) effort to recruit more European Jesuits for missionary work in China.\textsuperscript{382} Notably, Verbiest wrote a short text on snakestones in around 1686, which is considered the earliest Chinese treatise on European \textit{materia medica}.\textsuperscript{383} In many respects his descriptions are hardly distinguishable from Chinese \textit{materia medica} in their form and structure, and would have been easily acceptable at the Qing court. Even though it represented a different language and tradition there is no radical new scientific paradigm to be found emerging in this period and its transactions. It is therefore appropriate to use the Jesuits as a starting point against which later more transformational interactions can be measured.

The emperor Kangxi (1654-1722) had a strong interest in European science and medicine. Bouvet and Gerbillon were invited by him to teach European mathematics and astronomy in the royal palace, while the others were permitted to undertake missionary work beyond Beijing.\textsuperscript{384} Upon Kangxi’s request they were also to compile a Manchu text on Western \textit{materia medica} in 1693, which introduced about 40 medicinal substances used in Europe, including cinchona (called ‘quinquina’ by the Jesuits).\textsuperscript{385} In 1693, de Fontaney and de Visdelou went to Beijing with some quinquina samples obtained through another Jesuit in India, and successfully used it to cure Kangxi who was then suffering from a malignant fever.\textsuperscript{386} Thirsting for more such French Jesuit, apparently elite, knowledge, Kangxi soon commissioned Joachim Bouvet as his emissary, and sent him to Louis XIV to recruit more Jesuits for the Qing court.\textsuperscript{387} In 1698 Bouvet brought eight more French Jesuits
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to China, among them Dominicus Parennin (1665-1741). Parennin was to be the first person to send the caterpillar fungus to France, or indeed to Europe.

Dominicus Parennin and the Caterpillar Fungus

Dominicus Parennin arrived in Guangzhou on 4 November, 1698. During Parennin’s journey to Beijing, the emperor Kangxi, who was then on a tour of inspection of the empire, summoned Joachim Bouvet and his confrères to Jinshan Island (Zhenjiang, Jiangsu province). At Kangxi’s request, Bouvet selected Parennin and four other Jesuits to serve in the royal palace, while the others were permitted to spread the knowledge of Christ elsewhere in China.388 Parennin stayed in Beijing until his death on 29 September, 1741. He soon mastered the Chinese and Manchu languages, and was then able to teach Kangxi European geometry, botany and medicine.389 He was a great polymath. On finding errors in provincial maps of China in 1698, for example, he even suggested that Kangxi organise a geographical survey of the whole empire according to European methods.390 In some of the Qing court’s diplomatic interactions with the Russians, he also acted as interpreter and translator.391 After the death of Kangxi in 1722, Parennin was permitted to spend more time gathering information about China and communicating it to French scholars and academic institutions.

In 1723, Parennin wrote two letters to Bernard Le Bovier de Fontenelle (1657-1757), perpetual secretary to the Académie des Sciences in Paris.392 The first letter, written in Beijing on 1 May, mainly dealt with his impressions of the Manchu language, and his own theory and practice of translation. Parennin enclosed with the letter some of his Manchu translations on European anatomy, medicine and physics.393 According to the second letter, written in Beijing on 15 October, Parennin’s despatch to the Académie of 1 May also included some ‘racines particulières’ (particular roots); and the second letter was written to provide some descriptions of them.394 In the second letter
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393 Du Halde et al. 1819b, pp. 444-470.
394 Du Halde et al. 1819b, pp. 470-485
he introduces the following five medicinal substances in turn:

‘Hia-tsaการแสดง’ (i.e. Xia cao dong chong 草冬蟲; the caterpillar fungus)

‘San-si’ (i.e. San qi 三七; Panax notoginseng (Burkill) F.H.Chen)

‘Tai-hoam’ (i.e. Da huang 大黃; Rheum spp.)

‘Tam-coe’ (i.e. Dang gui 常歸; Angelica sinensis (Oliv.) Diels)

‘Ngo-kiao’ (i.e. E Jiao 阿膠; donkey-hide gelatin)

The caterpillar fungus comes first, indicating Parennin’s special attention to this substance. In fact, it was also the only one of the five medicinal substances whose efficacies Parennin had personally experienced. Although Parennin did not explain why he sent the caterpillar fungus to Paris, his experience of being cured by it must have been an important factor that drew his attention to effective Chinese medicinal substances. In the second letter he recorded that in 1720, the Governor of Sichuan and Shaanxi went to see the emperor. The Governor brought with him ‘singuliers’ (singular) products of his provinces and neighbouring areas, among which was the caterpillar fungus. He also dropped in on Parrenin, who was then extremely feeble and seemed unable to be restored to health by any medicinal substance. He gave Parennin some caterpillar fungus, and told him how to use it: put five ‘drachmes’ (16.2 grams) of the caterpillar fungus into a duck’s belly and simmer them; when the duck is cooked, remove the caterpillar fungus and then eat up the duck in eight to ten days in the morning and evening. Parennin followed these directions; his appetite finally increased, and he also recovered his physical energy. Parennin further developed an interest in this potent but curious ‘root’. As he observed, it looked very much like a yellow worm, but little was known about its leaves, flowers and stems; if it was put aside and exposed to the air for a long period, it would decay and thus become black. In order to know more about its medicinal properties, he questioned the royal physicians and was informed that it could restore and increase physical force lost due to overwork or long-term illness. Additionally, Parennin remarked that its efficacies were similar to those of ginseng; but unlike ginseng, the caterpillar fungus would not cause bleeding even if it was taken frequently.

Here I tentatively add current scientific names for some of the medicinal substances according to The Plant List database (http://www.theplantlist.org, accessed on 13 January, 2017), in case they may provide taxonomic clues for pharmacognosists who are interested in the substances, see Heinrich 2015, pp. 3-9; Lardos 2015, pp. 333-341.

Parennin did not mention the Governor’s name. But according to Qing Chinese sources, it was Nian Gengyao, see Qian 1980, pp. 1384-1388. Little is known about how Nian Gengyao knew Parennin. But Nian Gengyao’s elder brother Nian Xiyao 年希堯 (1671-1738), who was enthusiastic about European natural knowledge, had contacts with Parennin, see Yi 2000, pp. 155-165; Corsi 2001, pp. 375-418. Probably it was through his elder brother that Nian Gengyao established a relationship with Parennin.
Parennin was clearly enthused with the wonders of the Chinese world, and the spirit of adventure that had characterised the Age of Discovery in the centuries leading up to the Jesuit presence in China. His interest in materia medica linked him to communities of scholars working in both secular and religious organisations. These were the same communities who were, within another century or so, to be at the forefront of the creation of a self-consciously distinct and modern science. Nevertheless, apart from his methods of collecting and the new global networks of knowledge distribution, at this point there was little to distinguish Parennin’s observations of Chinese materia medica from the empirical observations that Chinese had made of Tibetan materia medica of a century before. These we have already encountered in Chapter 2. According to the second letter, Parennin had the French pharmacist Pierre Pomet’s (1658–1699) Histoire Générale des Drogues (General History of Drugs) to hand, which enabled him to compare European and Chinese accounts of medicinal substances. To collect more information about Chinese materia medica, he frequently consulted Chinese physicians, visited drugstores, and wrote down what he heard about Chinese medicinal substances in Beijing. In a broad sense, Parennin had wider interests in medicine than just the materia medica. He is noted for his co-authorship of a Manchu anatomy translation (c. 1723) based on two late 17th-century European medical texts, which has been studied extensively.

Less known is his work on materia medica. In the 18th century the Jesuits in China were keen to introduce Chinese (medicinal) plant specimens and seeds to France. This is reflected in a second letter, where Parennin mentions that, some Jesuit “botanistes” (botanists) and “droguistes” (druggists) had journeyed from Paris to Guangzhou in order to collect plants and medicinal substances. But Parennin did not think they would achieve success, as he considered that interesting plants could only be found in southwest China. Moreover, because none of the French missionaries resided in such remote regions, Parennin thought that all that the French Jesuits in China could do was to ‘faire quelque version’ (make some translation) of the ‘l’Herbier Chinois’ (Chinese herbal, [i.e. probably
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Ben cao gang mu]. Probably this was why Parennin endeavoured additionally to communicate his information about Chinese flora and materia medica to the Académie in the second letter. In brief, how his own interests intersected with French exploration of Chinese flora and materia medica also prompted his transmission of the caterpillar fungus and the other medicinal substances.

Parennin tried to obtain more caterpillar fungus. However due to its rarity, it was used only when royal physicians made up a prescription, and could not easily be found outside the royal palace in Beijing. After asking the royal physicians about the possible areas where it could be procured, he wrote to a friend in the ‘Hou-quam’ (i.e. Huguang 湖廣; today’s Hunan and Hubei) province, requesting the latter to send some specimens of the caterpillar fungus. His friend duly bought some specimens, at a cost of four times their own weight in silver, and sent them to him in Beijing. Parennin, like other French Jesuits in 18th-century Beijing, was able to send letters and natural objects to France via land (via Russia) or sea routes. From a Latourian perspective, we can see how the caterpillar fungus brought by the Governor exerted its own agency when Parennin was impressed by its ‘singular’ appearance and efficacies. It was unknown to him and probably also the savants in Paris. In the spirit of exploration and collection of the time, they would no doubt, as did Reamur a few years later, have considered it an interesting contribution to French natural history and materia medica.

A synopsis of Parennin’s above two letters was published in the 1726 Histoire de l’Académie Royale des Sciences (History of the Royal Academy of Sciences). Parennin’s specimens of the caterpillar fungus had also arrived at the Académie by 1726. Later, the French Jesuit historian Jean-Baptiste du Halde’s (1674-1743), who had never been to China, quoted Parennin’s account of the caterpillar fungus (with slight revisions) in his four-volume general history of China published in Paris in 1735. As the editor of the Lettres Édifiantes et Curieuses, Écrites des Missions Étrangères (Edifying and Curious Letters, Written from Foreign Missions) during the period 1709-1743, Du Halde was able to read Parennin’s letter on Chinese medicinal substances. Du Halde’s history became very popular after its publication. In 1736, its English translation was

400 However, doubtless Chinese medicinal substances could be found beyond southwest regions in China, as exemplified by the French Jesuit Pierre Jartoux’s (1669-1720) discovery of ginseng in northeastern China in 1709, see Jartoux 1713, pp. 237-247; Du Halde et al. 1819b, pp. 71-81. For Jartoux’s life, see Pfister 1932, pp. 584-586; Dehergne 1973, pp. 131-132.
401 Genest 1997, pp. 27-47.
403 Du Halde 1735, pp. 607-608. For Du Halde and his general history of China, see Isabelle 2002.
published in London.\textsuperscript{405} The second and third editions of this English translation soon came out in 1739 and 1741 respectively following its publication,\textsuperscript{406} and this increasing publicity would doubtless advance the transmission of Parennin’s account of the caterpillar fungus throughout Britain.

Jean-Baptiste du Halde also included Jesuit translations of portions of the Chinese medical text \textit{Ben cao gang mu} (Compendium of Materia Medica, 1578) in his general history of China, which, as Carla Nappi states, ‘were frequently studied and cited by Western scholars well into the nineteenth century’.\textsuperscript{407} However, most French missionaries in China were officially commanded by the Chinese emperor to leave the mainland due to the Chinese Rites Controversy over Jesus Christ’s place, or lack of a place, in the Chinese ritual world, which came to a head in the early 18th century.\textsuperscript{408} Thereafter, the Catholic missionary enterprise was officially banned in Qing China for about a century, while the political situation for those French missionaries allowed to stay in Beijing, also seriously deteriorated. This partly explains the interruption of subsequent transmission of the caterpillar fungus to France.

Nevertheless, in about a century and against the religious background of the Jesuit process of missionising with its assimilation of local knowledge, specimens of the caterpillar fungus were transmitted to Paris through a network of heterogeneous actors including the caterpillar fungus itself, Parennin, the Governor, royal physicians, his friend in Huguang, transnational messengers, Bernard Le Bovier de Fontenelle and the Académie des Sciences. In this way they all contributed to the dynamic production of an emergent and globalising knowledge of flora and fauna in the early 18th century.

\subsection{3.2.2 Britain}

Britain’s first direct contact with China was established in 1637, more than two decades later than with France. In this year the sea Captain James Weddell (1583-1642), who was then serving in the English East India Company, led a fleet to Macao (June) and Guangzhou (August) under a royal decree on trade issued by King Charles I (1600-1649). Owing to the intervention of Britain’s

\textsuperscript{405} For the account of the caterpillar fungus in English translation, see Du Halde 1736, pp. 41-42.
\textsuperscript{406} Du Halde [1736] 1739; Du Halde [1736] 1741.
\textsuperscript{408} Minamiki 1985, pp. 183-204; Mungello 1994, pp. 3-12.
European competitors in Southeast Asia (especially the Portuguese in Macao) and communication issues between Weddell and Chinese officials, Weddell did not succeed in his attempt to establish a direct commercial relationship between Britain and China. After the Ming-Qing transition, the English East Indian Company began to trade with the Chinese in Macao, Guangzhou and a few other coastal cities, and finally established a factory with a permanent staff in Guangzhou in 1715. Afterwards, the Qing court confined Sino-European trade to the port of Guangzhou in 1757. From then on, Sino-British trade was carried out in Guangzhou until 1843-1844, when five treaty ports in southeastern China were opened under the Treaty of Nanking (signed on 29 August, 1842), with Hong Kong ceded to Britain. From the second half of the 18th century, when Britain was undergoing an industrial revolution, the centre of gravity of the British empire was also shifting from the Atlantic to the Indian Ocean. In this context, George Macartney (1737-1806) and William P. Amherst (1773-1857) led two British embassies to Beijing in 1793 and 1816 respectively, but they did not accomplish their purpose of expanding Sino-British trade. However, regardless that the Qing government thwarted British imperial ambitions, throughout the 19th century, Hong Kong and Guangzhou were international transshipment centres where people, objects and information from China, Britain and other countries converged.

Trade facilitated the arrival of Western doctors, naturalists and missionaries in China. For example, as early as the 1800s cowpox vaccine had been shipped from Bombay and Manila to Guangzhou and Macao. Alexander Pearson (1780-1874), a British surgeon of the English East India Company, successfully introduced vaccination with cowpox to the latter two cities in 1805 and 1806. John Livingstone (1782?-1829), also a British surgeon of the English East India Company, arrived in Macao in about 1793. Knowing that Livingstone had a great interest in Chinese materia medica and its potential contribution to medical care in the West, his missionary friend Robert Morrison (1782-1834) generously bought him 800 volumes about Chinese medicine and an

409 Morse 1900, p. 51; Morse [1926] 2000a, pp. 15-30; Needham 1954, p. 144; Wan 2011, pp. 652-677. For Weddell’s life, see Lee 1899, p. 130-132. For Charles I’s life, see Gregg 1981. The English East India Company was established in 1600, but ceased to exist in 1873, see Goetz et al. 1998, p. 329.
413 Staunton 1797, pp. 250-347; Abel 1818, pp. 92-129; Puga 2013, pp. 123-130. For Macartney and Amherst’s lives, see Robbins 1908; Stephen 1885, pp. 360-361; Goetz et al. 1995, p. 340.
415 Pearson 1833, pp. 35-41. Cowpox vaccination was invented by Edward Jenner (1749-1823), who formally reported it in 1798, see Jenner 1798. For Jenner’s life, see Baron 2014. Cowpox vaccination gradually replaced the Chinese variolation in the 19th century. For the history of variolation and cowpox vaccination in China, see Liao 1988, pp. 36-44; Leung 2011, pp. 5-12.
assortment of Chinese medicinal substances. Livingstone was also invited to work with a Chinese physician in Morrison’s dispensary established in Macao in 1820, and thus was able to observe Chinese medical practice. A few months later, Livingstone reported that in this institution both European and Chinese practice achieved success in treating patients, and ‘both they [i.e. the Chinese] and we have much useful information to impart to each other’.\footnote{Morrison 1839b, pp. 20-24. For Morrison’s life, see Morrison 1839a; Morrison 1839b. For Livingstone’s life, see Morse [1926] 2000c, p. 110; Cox 1945, pp. 51-52, 216-218; Fan 2004, pp. 22, 25, 38, 44, 164.} Moreover, Livingstone also had an enthusiasm for horticulture, and was elected corresponding member of the Horticultural Society of London in 1817. He published articles about the Chinese knowledge and practice of gardening in the Society’s Transactions, and also sent plant specimens and seeds from China to the Society, botanical gardens, and nurseries in Britain.\footnote{Breitschneider 1898, pp. 266-268. The Horticultural Society of London was founded in 1804, see Foster and Sheppard 2001, p. 361; Elliott 2003, pp. 1-27. Loudon records that Livingstone introduced an American plant to China, see Loudon [1838] 1854, pp. 172-177. But the original source to which he refers indicates that it was a ‘Mr Beale’ rather than Livingstone, see Reeves 1835, pp. 437-438.} People such as Pearson, Morrison and Livingstone were key actors in linking China and Britain in a key transition that contributed to the globalisation of botanical, zoological and geographical knowledge. Another character working for the East India Company, who was instrumental in advertising the curious characteristics of the caterpillar fungus was John Reeves.

Case I: John Reeves and the Caterpillar Fungus

In the second edition of John O. Westwood’s (1805-1893) book \textit{The Natural History of Insects} (1835), the author writes:

In China is found a geometrical larva, which has a long, rather thick stem, growing from the head; this is about two inches and a quarter long, while the insect itself is not quite one inch and a half in length…On opening the body of a larva, however, we find that the root of the fungus entirely occupies the whole interior portion from the head to the opposite end.\footnote{Westwood [1833] 1835, pp. 263-264. These words appear in the second (1835) and subsequent editions of this book, see Westwood 1833; Westwood [1833] 1837, pp. 263-264; Westwood [1833] 1842, pp. 263-264.}

Westwood was an English entomologist, and a founding member of the Entomological Society of
London in 1833.\footnote{Anonymous 1893, p. 143; Weir 1893, p. 24; Lee 1909a, pp. 1292-1293. For the founding of the Entomological Society of London, see Neave 1933, pp. 1-12.} Obviously Westwood had already seen and examined specimens of the caterpillar fungus from China before 1835. Although the origin of the specimens is not disclosed in this book, a clue was offered by Westwood at the meeting of the Entomological Society of London held on 1 March, 1841 and chaired by William W. Saunders (1809-1879). At the meeting he exhibited ‘dried specimens of a Chinese larva, from the back of the neck of each of which a slender fungus... had been produced’. The caterpillar fungus, as Westwood stressed, was ‘esteemed of great efficacy as a drug in China’. He then spoke of a man called ‘Mr Reeves’, who had forwarded a number of specimens of the caterpillar fungus tied up in bundles (each bundle containing about a dozen individual specimens) from Guangzhou to the Linnean Society of London.\footnote{Saunders 1841, pp. 22-26. See also Saunders 1842, pp. 217-220. For Saunders’s life, see Lee 1909b, pp. 820-821. Anonymous 1894, pp. 26-27. The Linnean Society of London was established in 1788, see Gage and Stearn 1988, pp. 13-22.} Considering Westwood was an elected fellow of the Linnean Society of London as early as 1 May, 1827,\footnote{Anonymous 1894, pp. 26-27. The Linnean Society of London was established in 1788, see Gage and Stearn 1988, pp. 13-22.} the specimens in his possession probably came directly or indirectly from Reeves, who also held membership in the Linnean Society of London.

The Mr Reeves mentioned by Westwood was the British naturalist John Reeves (1774-1856), who is said to have made ‘the greatest contribution to the knowledge of Chinese plants and animals in the early nineteenth century’.\footnote{Anonymous 1856, pp. 43-45.} In 1808, he entered the English East India Company and served at the office of Inspector of Tea in England. Four years later, he was appointed assistant inspector and sent to the Company’s factory in Guangzhou, where he was subsequently promoted to Chief Inspector of tea. Reeves remained in Guangzhou and Macao during the period 1812-1831, except that he left for Britain twice in 1816 and 1824. In 1817 he was elected fellow of the Linnean Society of London,\footnote{Anonymous 1834, pp. 226-230. The Pun Tsaou is presumably an abbreviated and romanised transliteration of the Chinese Ben cao gang mu (1578). Morrison’s Dictionary assimilated some botanical and other natural history terms from Reeves’s Index, see, for example, Morrison 1815, p. 707; Morrison 1819, p. 1063; Morrison 1822, p. vi.} ten years before Westwood became a fellow of the same society. Considering Reeves retired in 1831 and then returned to England,\footnote{Anonymous 1834, pp. 226-230. The Pun Tsaou is presumably an abbreviated and romanised transliteration of the Chinese Ben cao gang mu (1578). Morrison’s Dictionary assimilated some botanical and other natural history terms from Reeves’s Index, see, for example, Morrison 1815, p. 707; Morrison 1819, p. 1063; Morrison 1822, p. vi.} his specimens of the caterpillar fungus must have been transmitted to Britain no later than 1831.

During his years in China, Reeves spent much spare time satisfying his curiosity about the natural world in China. He not only compiled an ‘Index to the Pun Tsaou’,\footnote{Anonymous 1893, p. 143; Weir 1893, p. 24; Lee 1909a, pp. 1292-1293. For the founding of the Entomological Society of London, see Neave 1933, pp. 1-12.} but also entered into correspondence with British naturalists such as John Livingstone (1782?-1829), Joseph Banks.
(1743-1820), and John Lindley (1799-1865), and natural history societies, including the Horticultural Society of London. Moreover, he also sent many biological specimens, seeds and living plants that he had collected or cultivated in China to the latter. In his time, as the American missionary Samuel W. Williams (1812-1884) wrote, ‘the number of plants shipped to Europe and America yearly is considerable, and the demand is increasing’. From the late 18th century the English East India Company, which expected to realise profits from commercial and medicinal plants and animals, began to support collecting activities by naturalists and its own staff members in the Far East, and to purchase drawings of native plants and animals for identification and collection. The Horticultural Society of London, which requested Reeves to send coloured drawings of Chinese ornamental plants for the purposes of selecting plants for British gardens, even awarded him a medal on 7 July, 1818. Evidently, Reeves took an interest in Chinese medicinal substances, for he published an article on some of them in 1828. The Pun Tsaou, the basis for his Index, is also a classical Chinese text on materia medica (or its European translations). Considering that Reeves obtained the caterpillar fungus in the commodity form (bundles) in Guangzhou, he must have been told by the seller that it was a medicinal substance. However, it seems that Reeves did not try to trace its geographical origin.

Fig. 7 Jonathan Pereira’s illustrations of the specimens of the caterpillar fungus given by John Reeves (1843).
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On 1 March, 1843, the English pharmacognosist Jonathan Pereira (1804-1853), an honorary member of the Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain, published an article in the *Pharmaceutical Journal and Transactions*. It aimed to introduce the caterpillar fungus ‘which is highly valued in China as an article of the Materia Medica, [and] may not be uninteresting to the Members of the Pharmaceutical Society’. Pereira wrote that ‘Mr Reeves, to whom I am indebted for some specimens of it, tells me that it is better known at Canton in the common dialect as *Tong chong ha cho*, which means *winter-worm-summer-plant*. …Mr Reeves states that it is brought to Canton tied up in bundles, each containing about a dozen individuals’. Reeves’s paraphrase of the Chinese name for the caterpillar fungus actually introduced the idea of its transformation to Pereira. Pereira also carefully illustrated a single specimen and a bundle of the caterpillar fungus respectively (Fig. 7). The illustrations can be treated as visual evidence of the actual appearance of the caterpillar fungus as a commodity in early 19th-century China.

![Fig. 8](image)

The holotype (A) and isotype (B) of the caterpillar fungus preserved at Kew Gardens. Photographs provided by Dr Begoña Aguirre-Hudson. Courtesy of Kew Gardens.

Some of Reeves’s specimens of the caterpillar fungus were circulated to the British Museum,

---
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and then the Royal Botanic Gardens at Kew (Kew Gardens). Also in 1843, the British mycologist Miles J. Berkeley (1803-1889), founder of the discipline of mycology in Britain,\textsuperscript{433} published his taxonomic study of the caterpillar fungus and related fungal species.\textsuperscript{434} According to his account, the specimens of the caterpillar fungus that he examined were preserved at the British Museum. ‘It is sold in little bundles tied up with silk. I have seen several of these [specimens]’, he wrote. But he recorded the locality where the caterpillar fungus was originally collected simply as ‘China’. This suggests that the original owner of the specimens, i.e. John Reeves, was unclear about their specific origin. In 1879, the specimens of the caterpillar fungus that had been examined by Berkeley were transferred to Kew Gardens and attached to the herbarium sheet (Fig. 8 A&B), on which the year was printed. Based on the fungal specimens preserved in the herbarium of Kew Gardens, in 1883 the English mycologist Mordecai C. Cooke (1825-1914) published his identification of those belonging to the family Hypocreaceae. In this category, which groups together species of fungi mainly according to similarities in morphological characteristics of fruiting bodies and microscopic structures of fungal perithecia, there was the caterpillar fungus.\textsuperscript{435}

In addition to academic societies and institutions, some of Reeves’s specimens of the caterpillar fungus were also exchanged privately among professional and amateur naturalists in Britain. In 1850 an Irishman called ‘Mr Short’ of Stranwillis, near Belfast, published some remarks on the caterpillar fungus. He said that ‘the specimen I possess of this rare fungus was brought to England by Mr Reeves’. But Short did not directly acquire the specimen from Reeves. At the end of Short’s article, he states that ‘I cannot in justice omit to mention that I am indebted to ... the Rev. Gerard Smith, Ashton Hays, Cheshire, for my Chinese specimen’.\textsuperscript{436} Here the ‘Rev. Gerard Smith’ was the botanist Gerard Edwards Smith (1805-1881), who collected plants of Derbyshire and published related botanical studies as early as 1829.\textsuperscript{437} It is unknown whether John Reeves had directly given some specimens to Gerard E. Smith. Jessica Ratcliff’s recent study of the East India Company’s museum in the early 19th century indicates that its extensive exotic collections of the natural history of Asia, which were gathered through the Company’s transnational trading networks, contributed much to the

\textsuperscript{433} Chisholm 1910, p. 781; Sutton 1996, p. 85.
\textsuperscript{434} Berkeley 1843, pp. 205-211. For Berkeley’s life, see Thiselton-Dyer 1897, pp. 4-11; Thiselton-Dyer 1907, pp. 1-9; Thiselton-Dyer 1913, pp. 261-279; Massee 1913, pp. 225-232. The British Museum was established in 1753, see Order of the Trustees 1893, p. 7.
\textsuperscript{435} Cooke 1883, pp. 77-83. For Cooke’s life, see C. 1914, pp. 315-316; Dendy 1914, p. 406; Desmond 1994, p. 166. The Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew was established in 1759, see Bean and Thiselton-Dyer 1908, pp. 11-14.
\textsuperscript{436} Short 1850, pp. 200-201.
\textsuperscript{437} Britten 1890, pp. 306-314; B. 1894, pp. 61-62; Reade 1904, p. 260.
flourishing of new scientific societies and disciplines (e.g. paleontology) and the material and visual culture of science in Britain. This background to the rise of medical history facilitates our understanding of the culture of John Reeves’s private collection and its display of the caterpillar fungus, and makes it less incomprehensible that such a small organism from China was able to remain attractive and travel among different people, societies and institutions in a strange land. He worked in the Company for over two decades, at the time when there was a rapid British political economic expansion and with it the material culture of science.

In this case, the flow of knowledge and the distribution of John Reeves’s specimens in Britain, together with his own interests and narrative account, indicate his awareness of specific practices in the discipline of natural history and their relation to medical value. The transmission of his specimens, occurred against the background of Sino-British trade, expansion of the British empire and the flourishing of Victorian natural history sciences, and did not directly involve economic concerns on his part. Reeves became a key agent of the caterpillar fungus in its transmission from China to Britain as well as its dispersal around Britain. In Britain, his specimens gradually entered into networks of Victorian scientists, societies and institutions, and found new agents (e.g. Gerard E. Smith and the British Museum). The wide interpersonal and interinstitutional circulation of Reeves’s specimens contributed much to the production of new knowledge about the caterpillar fungus in 19th-century Britain, which will be discussed in detail later.

Case II: Henry Frewin and the Caterpillar Fungus

In 1886 the Irish doctor ‘E. B. Ivatts’ (Medicinae Doctor) of Dublin reported the effects of the caterpillar fungus on his own body. His motive for the self-experiment originated in his reading of an unattributed article on the caterpillar fungus in about 1877. He was attracted by ‘its semi-animal and semi-vegetable reputation’, and ‘had conjectured a theory that most, if not all, parasitic plants might also have a special affinity for the male or female generative system’. Therefore, ‘through a friend I got into correspondence with a Mr Frewin, residing in Swatow, China, and he obtained and sent me the specimens’. Here the ‘Mr Frewin’ was the Englishman Henry Frewin (1830–?). He evidently

438 Ratcliff 2016, pp. 495-517.
440 Ivatts 1886, pp. 137-138. E. B. Ivatts’s life remains obscure. But he was certainly a medical practitioner, and
led an extraordinary life, but historical sources on his life remain rare. The most detailed account of him is given in a book published in 1908:

CAPTAIN HY. Frewin is the oldest foreign resident in Swatow, and a pioneer of trade in this district. His career has been varied and interesting. Born in London, in 1830, he went to sea at the age of fourteen, and for many years was trading in the Indian and Chinese seas. As gunner of the frigate *Sesostris*, he saw a good deal of fighting in the Burmese War, of 1852, 53, and was awarded the silver medal. Now he carries on the business of a marine surveyor, living a quiet and retired life.\(^{441}\)

A few other publications of the late 19th century record Frewin’s identity as a marine surveyor, marine pilot, or merchant; and he had also founded a company called ‘Frewin & Co’ in Swatow (Shantou, Guangdong province).\(^{442}\) Probably Frewin began to reside in Shantou after 1860, as the port of Shantou was opened to foreign trade on 1 January, 1860 under the Treaty of Tientsin (1858).\(^{443}\) Obviously, during his residence in Shantou, Frewin still maintained contacts with his friends in Britain.

The transmission of Frewin’s specimens from China to Britain, carried out within transnational trade networks, was basically driven by Ivatts’s curiosity about the putative medical properties of the caterpillar fungus. The unattributed article that Ivatts had came across, served as an introduction to the caterpillar fungus, an introduction that had been stimulated by the travels of Reeves’s specimens around Britain. At that time John Reeves had been dead for about two decades, and since his self-experiment seemed to require fresh caterpillar fungus from China, Ivatts resorted to calling on Frewin’s local expertise to procure a batch. Frewin seems to have had no special interest in natural history, but Ivatts provided him with the necessary information about the caterpillar fungus. In this case, the article about the caterpillar fungus and Ivatts’s letter to Frewin were the human and non-human vectors through which Ivatts, as the human intermediary, transformed Frewin into an agent of the caterpillar fungus sold in China. But the primary agency was located in the caterpillar fungus’, by now internationally famous; its transformations and reported medical potency ultimately

\(^{441}\) Wright 1908, pp. 835-836.
\(^{442}\) Anonymous 1874, p. 33; Anonymous 1875, p. 97; Anonymous 1886, p. 513.
\(^{443}\) Chen 2011, pp. 16-21; Nield 2015, p. 215.
resulted in the arrival of fresh specimens into Ivatts’s possession.

We will return later on in this chapter to the nature of the science involved in Ivatt’s self-experimentation and his hypothesis that there was a gendered selectivity inherent in the behaviour of parasitic plants. But first it is necessary to track the caterpillar fungus as it fascinated ‘the last of the great plant hunters’ and his society of collectors, geographers and scientists in the first decade of the twentieth century.

Case III: Frank Kingdon Ward and the Caterpillar Fungus

The English naturalist Frank Kingdon Ward (1885-1958), ‘the last of the great plant hunters’, developed an interest in exotic flora during his undergraduate years at the University of Cambridge (1904-1906). Unfortunately, Ward had to drop out of university in 1906, as his father Harry M. Ward (1854-1906) died from diabetes in this year, leaving the family in straitened circumstances. On the recommendation of a family friend, Herbert A. Giles (1845-1935), Professor of Chinese at the University of Cambridge, Ward obtained the position of junior master at the Shanghai Public School. He reached Shanghai in 1907 with an ardent desire to see the Asian tropics and, with this ambition in mind, resigned from this post in 1909 due to his dislike of teaching. His arrival in China involved different factors (e.g. life pressures and Giles’s help), but the decisive factor was his desire to explore exotic flora.

Ward’s career as a plant hunter started in the same year, when Michael R. O. Thomas (1858-1929), curator of mammals at the British Museum, introduced him to the American zoologist Malcolm P. Anderson (1879-1919). At Anderson’s invitation, Ward joined his animal hunting expedition to west China during the period 1909-1910. After the expedition, he sent his collection of plant specimens to the herbarium of the University of Cambridge for taxonomic identification.

444 This is the subtitle of Charles Lyte’s biography of Ward (1989). For Ward’s life and publications, see Mills 1958, p. 422; Mason 1958, pp. 141-142; Schweinfurth and Schweinfurth-Marby 1975, pp. 15-93; Schilling 1985, pp. 264-269; Lyte 1989; Matthew and Harrison 2004, pp. 292-294. There are a few variant spellings of Ward’s name in the historical literature, see Stearn 1960, pp. 11-18. Here I adopt the name ‘Frank Kingdon Ward’.
446 Lyte 1989, pp. 6-11. Harry M. Ward was a famous English botanist and plant pathologist. For his life, see Thiselton-Dyer 1907, pp. 1-9; Thiselton-Dyer 1913, pp. 261-279; Ainsworth 1994, pp. 21-25.
Taxonomists from both the University and Kew Gardens between them identified 79 kinds of plants. Given that the University of Cambridge was his alma mater, it seems clear that Ward did not choose an institution at random to receive his specimens. Ward’s first independent plant hunting expedition began in 1911. When the Liverpool merchant Arthur K. Bulley (1861-1942) planned to employ a new specialist plant hunter to collect Chinese alpine plants for his nursery business, Ward’s father’s friend Isaac B. Balfour (1853-1922) linked Ward with Bulley. Bulley had funded some of Ward’s plant hunting expeditions since 1911, while Ward had also gradually established extensive networks with different societies and institutions and individuals in Britain, and the rest of the world, such as the Royal Geographical Society, the Linnean Society of London, the India Office, and John Ramsbottom (1885-1974), Keeper of Botany at the Natural History Museum (1930-50). As a result, when the seeds of about 250 species collected by Ward on the Tibetan Plateau during the period 1924-1925 reached England, they were distributed and planted immediately ‘at Kew, at Edinburg, at Wisley, and in a hundred other gardens in Britain’; ‘seeds were also promptly dispatched to New Zealand, South and East Africa, South and North America, and elsewhere.’

Ward first saw and collected the caterpillar fungus during his third expedition to China. In February 1913 he left England for Yunnan and Eastern Tibet. What attracted him most around his tent in the ‘Ka-kar-po’ mountain in Deqin, Yunnan was the caterpillar fungus. He noticed that the Chinese regarded it as a high-value medicine and called it ‘chung-ts’ao’, namely ‘insect plant’. His attendant, a young Chinese man, ‘spent all his spare time lying on his belly looking for these little curiosities to sell for a fabulous sum on his return to Tali-fu’ (today’s Dali, Yunnan). In Ward’s eyes, the caterpillar fungus was ‘a most grotesque growth, the little black fungus finger above and the shrivelled brown skin, retaining the shape of the dead caterpillar, below.’ Probably he knew of its fungal nature but was uncertain about its species. Therefore, he sent some specimens of it to ‘Mr F. T. Brooks’ of the University of Cambridge. The latter was the Mycologist Frederick T. Brooks (1882-1952), whose position of Demonstrator in Botany at the University then was initially arranged
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by Ward’s father in 1905.457 Brooks informed Ward that it was a fungal species of the genus ‘Cordiceps’.458 Considering the purposes of the expedition (plant hunting and geographical investigation in West China),459 we can deduce that Ward’s interest in the caterpillar fungus derived from his natural curiosity. Through the networks of knowledge that linked Ward in China and Brooks in Britain, and their desire to collect and exchange, the ‘natural’ world in European perceptions of Asia was also being redefined.

Ward was not the earliest British naturalist to have seen the caterpillar fungus in West China. For example, Antwerp E. Pratt (1852-c. 1920) witnessed the export of the medicinal ‘Tchöng-tsäo’, ‘a most curious plant’ from Tibet in Yichang, Hubei in October, 1887. On 16 May, 1890, he met about 50 Chinese collectors, who had gathered the caterpillar fungus and some other medicinal substances, in Sichuan.460 Another example is Ernest H. Wilson (1876-1930). During his travels from Chengdu to Dajianlu (i.e. Kangding) in 1908, he noticed a medicine market in West Sichuan, which was noted for ‘Ch’ung-tsao’ and a few other medicinal substances sold by ‘tribesfolk’.461 Nevertheless, there are no records to prove that the two naturalists had collected specimens of it or sent them to Britain or elsewhere.

On this occasion, Ward entered Yunnan through Burma, which was then a province of British India.462 Such a route facilitated his expedition as well as the transmission of the caterpillar fungus and his seeds and plant specimens to Britain. The caterpillar fungus was brought to Ward’s notice through the Chinese attendant’s personal collecting of this rare and costly medicinal substance. As the purveyor of the caterpillar fungus, the attendant interacted with both drug sellers in Dali and with Ward as a foreign naturalist. He successfully drew Ward’s attention to the caterpillar fungus, while Ward as a new agent of this organism took an interest in its species rather than its economic value.

Through emerging actors in Ward’s extensive transnational networks, as analysed above, the caterpillar fungus finally reached the hands of Frederick T. Brooks. Overall, the three cases of the caterpillar fungus’s journeys to Britain, though varying from each other, bore no relation to the

458 ‘Cordiceps’ was a seldom used synonym for ‘Condyceps’, see, for example, Berkeley 1860, p. 66; Berkeley 1875, pp. 1-16; Massae 1895, pp. 1-44. ‘Cordiceps’ might be a misspelling of ‘Condyceps’, as it is absent from the 10th edition of the Ainsworth & Bisby’s Dictionary of the Fungi, see Kirk et al. 2008, p. 171.
459 The task of investigating geography was assigned by the Royal Geographical Society, see Lyte 1989, pp. 44-48.
460 Pratt 1892, pp. 16-18, 131, 187-188. For a brief introduction to Pratt’s obscure life, see Barton and Dietrich 2009, pp. 201-202.
Christian mission but embodied the expansion of the British empire in the Far East.

From the three cases we can clearly see British actors’ natural curiosity and quest for potential medicinal substances as well as their importance for the transmission of the caterpillar fungus through academic and professional networks from China to Britain. They exemplify the scientific networks as well as those of the commercial and political elite in the emergence of an ‘informal empire’ in China.\textsuperscript{463} The notion of ‘informal empire’ is usually applied to extra-territorial legal control, particularly in the service of British interests within the jurisdiction of China. While the important loci in this network of people at the forefront of the new natural sciences, such as The British Museum, Royal Geographical Society, and the University of Cambridge cannot be said to have been directly involved in pursuing economic interests, it is abundantly clear that the impact of their activities served to assert various forms of cultural dominance over areas outside of British political control — and this observation is key to how we understand the subsequent growth and influence of a modern science.

3.2.3 Russia

Russia’s direct official communication with China can be dated back to 1618. In this year Tsar Mikhail F. Romanov (1596-1645) sent an expedition to China to collect first-hand information about this country. Ivan Petlin (Иван Петлин), leader of the expedition, set out from Tomsk on 9 May, 1618, crossed Mongolia, and reached Beijing on 1 September. Petlin and his fellows received the hospitality of the emperor Wanli (1563-1620); four days later, they set off on the return journey to Russia.\textsuperscript{464} Before this expedition, the Russian expansion into Siberia had facilitated Russia’s obtaining information about China, especially Chinese geography and products, from the Mongolians.\textsuperscript{465} Meanwhile, Russia had declined some European requests for permission to pass through its territory to trade with China and India, and had begun to make its own efforts to establish a trading relationship with China.\textsuperscript{466} Petlin’s expedition pioneered such efforts. He recorded many medicinal substances and other products on the Chinese market in his expedition report.\textsuperscript{467} which

\textsuperscript{463} For the concept of ‘informal empire’, see Fan 2004, pp. 61-90.
\textsuperscript{465} Sladkovskiy 1974, p. 63; Delwig 2012, p. 92.
\textsuperscript{466} Trusevicha 1882, pp. 1-10; Sladkovskiy 1974, pp. 61-69.
\textsuperscript{467} Myasnikov and Demidova 1966, p. 60. See also Lin 2012, pp. 146-153.
formed part of the foundation for Russia’s subsequent trade with China. However, a formal commercial relationship between Russia and China was not established until 1689, when the Treaty of Nerchinsk was signed by both powers.\textsuperscript{468} Subsequently, the Treaty of Kyakhta, signed in 1727, confined Sino-Russian border trade sites to Nerchinsk and Kyakhta.\textsuperscript{469} Kyakhta had more geographical advantages and thus remained the most important site of Sino-Russian trade till the mid-19th century. In the sinologist Nikita Y. Bichurin’s (Никита Я. Бичурин, 1777-1853) Chinese language textbook for Russian students in Kyakhta, there was even a glossary of Russian terms for Chinese medicines and other goods.\textsuperscript{470} From the 1850s, the opening of China to the European powers caused the decline of Kyakhta in Sino-Russian trade,\textsuperscript{471} but it remained an important station on the route that connected Russia with China.

The Russians also brought Russian Orthodox Christianity to China. In 1695 the Qing court granted the priest Maxim Leontev (Максим Леонтьев) and the other Russians in Beijing a temple for their religious activities. It was soon transformed into an Orthodox church, and then a base for the Orthodox missionary enterprise in China.\textsuperscript{472} Following Leontev’s death, the Russian government’s plan of sending an Orthodox priest as a successor to Leontev was approved by the Qing court. The first Russian Orthodox mission to China reached Beijing in 1715, while the dispatch of subsequent missions was legitimated by the fifth article of the Treaty of Kyakhta. By 1917 when the Bolshevik Revolution broke out, there had been 18 Russian Orthodox missions to Beijing.\textsuperscript{473} These missions had religious, diplomatic and cultural significance for both countries.\textsuperscript{474} Besides, as early as the 17th century, some Russian doctors had already accompanied Russian merchants to China. When Kangxi, who recognised European medicine, granted his consent for the first Orthodox mission to Beijing, he also expected Russia to simultaneously send a distinguished doctor.\textsuperscript{475} This resulted in the arrival of Thomas Garwin in Beijing.\textsuperscript{476} Thereafter seven Russian doctors were sent

\textsuperscript{468} Trusevicha 1882, pp. 82-118; Sladkovskiy 1974, pp. 95-109; Ding and Wang 2014, pp. 32-35. See also Chen 1966, pp. 86-105; BJZFDXQSYJXZ 1977, pp. 359-381. For the content of the Treaty of Nerchinsk (Chinese version), see Wang 1957, pp. 1-5.


\textsuperscript{471} Sladkovskiy 1974, pp. 264-271; Sladkovskii 2008, pp. 75-98.

\textsuperscript{472} Adoratskiy 1887, pp. 5-46; Norman 2010, pp. 280-290.

\textsuperscript{473} Standaert 2001, pp. 208-209; Phan 2011, pp. 159-160.

\textsuperscript{474} Tong 1999, pp. 9-17; Xiao 2008, pp. 37-43.

\textsuperscript{475} Skachkova 1958, pp. 136-148; Song 1986, pp. 93-96.

\textsuperscript{476} Barnes 2005, p. 108; Puente-Ballesteros 2011, pp. 86-162. The Scottish Thomas Garwin was a (temporary or permanent) resident in Russia. In Qing official documents he was called ‘Ga er fen’ 噶爾芬, a transliteration of his surname, see, for example, LFY [1717] 1996, p. 1185.
together with missions to Beijing during the period 1719-1857. These Russian doctors not only practised their own medicine in Beijing, but also studied Chinese medicine and collected Chinese medical texts and natural objects. Alexander A. Tatarinov (Александр А. Татаринов, 1817-1886) was the most eminent of them all. He was also the first Russian to bring the caterpillar fungus to Russia.

_Tatarinov and the Caterpillar Fungus_

Alexander A. Tatarinov was born in Penza in 1817. He enrolled at the Imperial Medico-Surgical Academy (Императорской Медико-Хирургической Академия), St Petersburg in 1835, and graduated in 1840. Soon, Tatarinov was appointed doctor to the 12th Orthodox mission to Beijing. He passed through Kyakhta on 21 July and arrived in Beijing on 4 October, 1840. During his ten years in Beijing, Tatarinov mastered the Chinese language and studied Chinese medicine. Meanwhile, he also sustained a special interest in exotic plants and animals. Some of the plant specimens he collected in Beijing were sent to Friedrich Ernst Ludwig von Fischer (1782-1854), director of the St Petersburg Botanical Garden (1823-1850), while a set of coloured botanical drawings of 452 kinds of wild Beijing plants, with their Chinese names added, was also produced by a Chinese painter under his supervision. When he returned to Russia in May, 1850, he brought back his collections of Chinese biological specimens and medicinal substances. These collections reached the Medico-Surgical Academy in 1851, and were then examined by scientists including Paul F. Horaninov (Павел Ф. Горянинов, 1796-1865), Otto Bremer (?-1873) and William Grey. In 1857, the Imperial St Petersburg Academy of Sciences bought up Tatarinov’s collections and the set of botanical drawings. On this basis, Carl I. Maximovich (Карл И. Максимович, 1827-1891) of the St Petersburg Botanical Garden completed the ‘Index Florae Pekinensis’ (1859), which gained

---

479 Stepanov 1976, pp. 141-142; Standaert 2001, pp. 198-200. The Imperial Medico-Surgical Academy, St. Petersburg was established in 1808, see Otto 1839, p. 92.
481 Bretschneider 1881a, pp. 123.
483 Bretschneider 1898, pp. 559-569.
484 Maximovich 1859, pp. 468-479; Mikulinskii _et al._ 1977, pp. 235-237; Darwin 2015, p. 441. The predecessor of the Imperial St. Petersburg Academy of Sciences was the Academy of Arts and Sciences founded in 1724, see Cross 1997, p. 8.
praise from Euro-American botanists such as Henry F. Hance (1827-1886) and Francis B. Forbes (1839-1908). Obviously there existed networks among Tatarinov, his collections, scientific institutions, and scientists in Russia, Europe and America, which facilitated the exchange of natural objects and knowledge.

Tatarinov’s interest in Chinese medicine did not conflict with his interest in plants, not only because he himself was a doctor, but also because the study of (medicinal) plants in Russia remained a part of materia medica until the mid-18th century when European botany began to take root in European Russia. Most of his publications were devoted to the study of Chinese medicine. In particular, he was actually awarded the degree of Doctor of Medicine in St Petersburg for his essay ‘Chinese Medicine’ (Китайская Медицина) published in 1853. This and another of his articles on Chinese medicine were even translated into German and published in Berlin in 1858. All of Tatarinov’s publications were written in Russian, except one mainly written in Latin. This was the Catalogus Medicamentorum Sinensium: quae Pekini Comparanda et Determinanda Curavit, printed in St Petersburg on 13 November, 1856. The caterpillar fungus is recorded on the 45th page of this booklet (Fig. 9).

---

488 Abel and Mecklenburg 1858, pp. 421-473. The other text was ‘Bemerkungen über die Anwendung schmerzstillender Mittel bei Operationen und über die Hydropathie in China’, which was originally published in Russian in 1857.
489 Tatarinov 1856, p. 45.
The booklet records 497 Chinese medicinal substances, most of which are medicinal plants. Each of the entries comprises a Chinese name, its Russian and Latin transliterations, and its scientific (Latin) name. All the medicinal substances are arranged alphabetically according to the Russian transliterations. According to the preface by Tatarinov, the medicinal substances were obtained during his time in Beijing, and were then taken back with him on his return to Russia; after he arrived in St. Petersburg in 1851, Professor Horaninov identified most of the medicinal substances. Tatarinov mainly intended the booklet to assist in the determination of Chinese names for displaying Chinese medicinal substances in European museums. This explains why Tatarinov chose to write it mainly in Latin rather than Russian, and paid extra attention to recording the original Chinese characters for the substances’ Chinese names, together with their pronunciations. Despite the fact that Latin was no longer the mainstream academic language in Europe by then, it was still active in the naming of species through binomial nomenclature, i.e. the system of writing scientific names in Latin. The scientific names in this brief catalogue would not have been obstacles to experienced taxonomists. In fact, on the publication of this catalogue, Horaninov sent it to the British botanist and pharmacognosist Daniel Hanbury (1825-1875), who was also collecting

490 The binomial nomenclature was first introduced by Carl Linnaeus in his *Species Plantarum* (1753), see Heller 1964, pp. 33-70; Gribbin 2003, p. 217.
Chinese medicinal substances through his friends in China. Hanbury then introduced it in the *Pharmaceutical Journal and Transactions* (London) in 1861.\(^{491}\) In this way, the caterpillar fungus was transmitted via land from Beijing to European Russia; the relationship between its Chinese name and species identity was established in St Petersburg, and then, as Tatarinov anticipated, spread further to Europe.

In the catalogue, the Chinese name for the caterpillar fungus, i.e. ‘*xia cao dong chong* 夏草冬虫’, is transliterated as ‘sia-cao-dun-czun’. Such a transliteration cannot be found in modern European and American literature, and it was probably based on Tatarinov’s own spelling system. But the Chinese name is consistent with the term used by the Chinese to refer to the caterpillar fungus. Besides, it is identified as ‘*Sphaeria chinensis*’. The term ‘*chinensis*’ was used no later than 1753, when Carl Linnaeus adopted it to denote species that belonged to China in his book *Species Plantarum*.\(^{492}\) Although ‘*chinensis*’ was actually synonymous with ‘*sinensis*’, the collocation ‘*Sphaeria chinensis*’ was far less popular than ‘*Sphaeria sinensis*’, coined by Miles J. Berkeley in 1843 (see below). What is important, however, is that the presence of the record of the caterpillar fungus itself in this catalogue indicates that this medicinal substance was still being transported from West China to Beijing in the mid-19th century.

Tatarinov collected the caterpillar fungus and other Chinese medicinal substances not only out of personal interest. His alumnus Porfirij E. Kirilov (Порфирий Е. Кирилов, 1801-1864?), who accompanied the 11th Orthodox mission to Beijing and stayed there during the decade 1831-1840, also brought back collections of plants and 127 Chinese medicinal substances to the Imperial Medico-Surgical Academy, St. Petersburg in 1841.\(^{493}\) In 1848, Tsar Nicholas I Pavlovich (1796-1855),\(^{494}\) who was curious about Chinese medicinal substances, commanded Kirilov to test his collection of medicinal substances. This collection did not include the caterpillar fungus. The Imperial Medico-Surgical Academy soon established a committee consisting of Kirilov and some other experts. They selected 38 medicinal substances from the collection, and used them to treat patients in the winter of 1848. But the results, according to their own conclusions, were unsatisfactory due to loss of efficacy in the intervening seven years. At that time Tatarinov was about

---

\(^{491}\) Hanbury 1861, pp. 15-18. The journal was established in London in 1841, see Curth 2006, p. 82. For Hanbury’s life, see Stephen and Lee 1890, pp. 270-271; Desmond 1994, p. 313. Hanbury’s articles on Chinese *materia medica* published in *Pharmaceutical Journal and Transactions* were then printed as a collection in 1862, see Hanbury 1862.

\(^{492}\) See, for example, Linnaeus1753, p. 273.

\(^{493}\) For Kirilov’s life, see Asmous 1943, pp. 107-117; Skachkov 1977, pp. 145-146.

\(^{494}\) For Nicholas I Pavlovich’s life, see Kapustina 1996, pp. 256-293.
to leave Beijing. Therefore they subsequently listed 89 medicinal substances, offered Tatarinov a grant, and then assigned him the task of buying fresh Chinese medicinal substances from the list. On Tatarinov’s arrival in St. Petersburg, they actually received 97 Chinese medicinal substances including, as an addition, the caterpillar fungus. They administered 17 of the fresh substances to 12 patients; moreover, analyses of their chemical ingredients (e.g. inorganic salts, pigments, proteins, and alcoholic extracts) were carried out, which did not proceed further to the exploration of new effective chemical drugs. However, the 17 substances did not include the caterpillar fungus. Finally, the committee concluded that some of the Chinese medicinal substances did have curative effects on, for example, rheumatism, but none of them was irreplaceable by Western medicinal substances (e.g. chloroform, mercurous chloride, strychnine, and Digitalis purpurea L.).

Probably influenced by such a conclusion, Emil Bretschneider (1833-1901), a Russian historian of Chinese plants and also physician to the Russian Legation at Beijing (1866-1884), wrote in an 1870 article that, ‘our materia medica can learn nothing more from the Pên-ts’ai. It is undeniable, that the Chinese possess several very good medicaments, ...but we possess either the same plants, or others of a similar action.’ Nevertheless, the above experiments clearly demonstrate the Tsar and some Russians’ intention of seeking effective medicinal substances from China. They not only made empirical observations and evaluations, but also employed new scientific methods tailored to identifying active chemical ingredients.

Tatarinov was clearly curious about the taxonomic position of the caterpillar fungus. Soon after he returned to St. Petersburg he offered specimens, together with the other medicinal substances, to Professor Horaninov for taxonomic identification. Moreover, being familiar with Chinese medicine, he was also interested in its medicinal properties. This was why he also presented it to the committee which, however, did not carry out further research on its medical effects. In the context of the new scientific networks, the caterpillar fungus gained a new kind of agency and, indeed, transformed Tatarinov into its own kind of travel agent. From its point of departure in Beijing, the caterpillar’s on-going challenge to the classification of substances, inspired Tatarinov to its value as a curiosity in the new science. From there it entered the network that connected Tatarinov to scientific institutions

495 Li 2013, pp. 423-436. In Tatarinov’s manuscript he recorded the weights and prices of all the 97 Chinese medicinal substances except the caterpillar fungus (Ся—пунь—чунь). This further indicates that the caterpillar fungus was not originally on the list of 89 Chinese medicinal substances but was added by Tatarinov.
496 Imperatorskoy Mediko-Khirurgicheskaya Akademiya 1852, pp. 21-46.
497 Bretschneider 1870, pp. 157-163. See also Bretschneider 1871, pp. 2-3. For Bretschneider’s life, see Cordier 1901, pp. 192-197; K. 1901, pp. 69-72; Walravens 1983, pp. i-iii.
in Russia, and beyond, that had been established through the desire to collect natural objects to further scientific research. In his time Russia was seeking expansion in East Asia. This also corresponded with his disparate roles as a physician, a sinologist, an interpreter, a diplomat and a Foreign Office official in the 1840s-60s. In a broad sense, the transnational transmission involved scientific, medical, commercial, religious, and political factors. Nevertheless, the assumption about the replaceability of Chinese medicinal substances spread among Russians, and thus became a major obstacle for later transmission of the caterpillar fungus to Russia.

### 3.2.4 Japan

Japan is a close neighbour of China in terms of the geography of East Asia. There is a distance of only 463 nautical miles between Shanghai and Nagasaki. Because of this, some historians speculate that some of the thousands of people sent out into the eastern seas by the first Chinese emperor Ying Zheng (259-210 BC) to the sea to seek celestial beings and the elixir of immortality, who did not return to China, actually settled in Japan. The earliest official contact between Japan and China, however, dates back to 57 AD, when the state of Na sent a mission to the Han court. Two centuries later in 240 AD, an envoy from the Chinese Wei court was dispatched to the state of Wa. The period from the seventh to the ninth century marked the first high tide of circulation of people, commodities, technology, arts and ideas between a unified Japan and Tang China. Prior to the 19th century, Japan consistently maintained much closer cultural, economic, and political relationships with China than with European countries.

Chinese medicine had been transmitted to Japan since the mid-6th century. For example, the Buddhist monk Jian Zhen 善真 (688-763), who had a good knowledge of materia medica, brought with him some Chinese medicinal substances on his voyage from Yangzhou to Japan in 753.

---

498 Bretschneider 1898, pp. 559-569.
Subsequently, the Japanisation of Chinese medicine and its encounter with indigenous medicine in Japan gradually gave rise to the formation of Kampo medicine.\textsuperscript{506} Tamba Yasuyori’s 丹波康頼 (912-995) I shin pou 醫心方 (Essence of medical prescriptions, 984) ranks among the earliest extant texts on Kampo medicine.\textsuperscript{507} The development of Kampo medicine and its close relationship with Chinese medicine facilitated the circulation of medical knowledge and physicians between China and Japan even in the so-called ‘sakoku 鎖國’ (national seclusion, 1633-1853) period.\textsuperscript{508} A brisk trade in medicinal substances and (medical) texts was also carried out between Qing China and Tokugawa (德川) Japan.\textsuperscript{509} Overall, close geographical and cultural relations between Japan and China greatly facilitated the exchange of people, knowledge and objects between the two countries.

The Caterpillar Fungus Travels to Japan

In 1775 the Swedish naturalist Carl P. Thunberg (1743-1828), one of Carl Linnaeus’s (1707-1778) foremost disciples, travelled to Japan to investigate Japanese flora.\textsuperscript{510} After he landed on Dejima island in Nagasaki harbour, the interpreters told Thunberg of a very ‘besynnerlig mask’ (singular worm), which was a creeping worm in summer but a plant in winter. They added that this little organism was called ‘Totsu Kaso’; it was among those medicinal substances brought to Japan by the Chinese, and was considered to possess tonic virtues.\textsuperscript{511} ‘Totsu Kaso’ was a phonetic spelling of the Chinese name dong chong xia cao. Attracted by the intriguing appearance of the caterpillar fungus, Thunberg not only made a drawing of it, but also procured some specimens. According to his explanation of its formation, the caterpillar, to resist its change to a chrysalis, apparently burrowed into the earth and then fastened itself to a plant root. In this case, obviously Sino-Japanese trade in medicinal substances and the Japanese appropriation of Chinese medical treasury propelled the transmission of the caterpillar fungus from China to Nagasaki. Chinese traders and medical texts


\textsuperscript{507}For Tamba Yasuyori’s life, see Hirano and Seno 2006, p. 633. For the content and English translation of I shin pou, see Tamba [984] 2011; Tamba [984] 1997.

\textsuperscript{508}Ooba 1995, pp. 40-52; Yan 1992, pp. 3-65; Li and Yoshida 1996, pp. 63-87; Norihito 2003, pp. 108-144. For the Qing Chinese physicians heading for Japan and their activities in Japan, see Guo et al. 1999, pp. 115-120; Zhang 2003, pp. 97-100. For the national seclusion policy and its social influence, see Laver 2011.


\textsuperscript{510}Screech 2005, p. 228. On the basis of his investigation, Thunberg published the Flora Japonica in Leipzig in 1784. For Thunberg’s travels and his contributions to natural history, see Nordenstam 1994, pp. 161-174; Nordenstam 2013, pp. 11-17; Skuncke 2014.

\textsuperscript{511}Thunberg 1791, pp. 77-78.
must also have contributed to the spread of medical knowledge of the caterpillar fungus to Nagasaki. Besides, this case indicates that the arrival of the caterpillar fungus in Japan must predate Thunberg’s journey to Japan.

According to an account by the Japanese naturalist and physician Kurimoto Tanshu 栗本丹洲 (1756-1834), on 13 May, 1728, the ‘barbarian’ Chinese ship owner Yin Xinyi carried tou chuu ka sou 冬蟲夏艸 (winter worm summer grass) from Ningbo to Nagasaki. Afterwards, he notes a defence commander in Nagasaki offered it as a gift to touto bakufu 東都幕府 (the Shogunal government in Edo). Kurimoto’s story was based on a Japanese translation officer’s records of exports shipped to Japan during the Kyouhou period (1716-1736). Yin Xinyi was one of those active Chinese traders then travelling to and fro between China and Japan. Kurimoto added a coloured picture of the caterpillar fungus (Fig. 10), and a brief introduction to the fungus. The latter stated that it grew in the mountains more than one thousand ri 里 (1 ri was approximately 576 metres) west of Shaanxi; its ‘grass’ part, which resembled a spring onion seedling about two to three sun 寸 (1 sun was approximately 3.55 cm) in length, sprouted out of the worm’s head in summer. The geographical distance given above indicates that the mountains were in Qinghai or Sichuan, where the caterpillar fungus did exist. Probably because the caterpillar fungus as a medicinal export from China was no longer a rarity in Kurimoto’s time, he did not explicitly relate it to medicine.

---

Fig. 10  Illustration of the caterpillar fungus in the 1879 transcription of Kurimoto Tanshu’s 栗本丹洲 Kuri shi sen chuu fu 栗氏千蟲譜 (1811).

The transmission of the caterpillar fungus to Japan in the Kyouhou period (1716-1736) is supported by a few other Japanese historical sources. The herbalist Niwa Seihaku 丹羽正伯 (1691-1756), who took a strong interest in natural productions, wrote a note on the caterpillar fungus in about 1735. According to his note, in 1729, a Chinese ferry passenger brought tou chuu ka sou 冬蟲夏芧 as a tribute to the Japanese sovereign; this man also spoke of its magical seasonal transformation. Niwa seems to have observed the caterpillar fungus. He describes it as being six to seven sun in length, and as thick as sedge, with a root resembling a silkworm. He goes on to refer to the Chinese medical text Ben cao gang mu (Compendium of Materia Medica, 1578), and points out that [the larva of] the insect ‘shi can/ Seki san 石蚕’ (Phryganea japonica McLachlan), included in this text, is similar to the caterpillar fungus.†14 Probably, the ultimate purpose of the Chinese man’s gift to the sovereign was medicine trade. At that time there was trade in the caterpillar fungus between China and Japan. The naturalist Aoki Konyou’s 青木昆陽 (1698-1769) recorded that the ‘shin shou nin 清商人’ (merchants from Qing China) brought the caterpillar fungus to Japan in the middle of the Kyouhou period, i.e. about 1726.†15 One of the Qing medicine merchants was Yu Meiji, who transported ginseng to Japan in 1726 and went to Japan several times before or after this year.†16 It is reasonable to suppose that the Chinese ferry passenger mentioned by Niwa was probably a medicine merchant like Yu Meiji.

†14 Niwa c. 1735, p. 59. For Niwa’s life, see Matsushima 1968, pp. 32-67. The year was ‘kyou hou ki yuu 享保己酉’, which refers to the year 1729. A few Japanese scholars mistook the year for 1728, see, for example, Shirai [1914] 1925, p. 361; Kobayashi 1983, p. 63. For the record of shi can in Ben cao gang mu, see Li [1578] 1975, pp. 2257-2258. For the identification of shi can, see JSXYY [1977] 2004, p. 817.
The transmission of the caterpillar fungus was by no means confined to the Kyouhou period. Thunberg’s record is an example. Besides, as recorded by the Japanese physician Tamba Genkan 丹波元簡 (1755-1810), in the Kansei period (1789-1801) ships from Wu (Suzhou) still carried the caterpillar fungus to Japan. At that time some Japanese people, who seemed never to have seen the fungus before, consulted Tamba about its medicinal properties. Therefore Tamba subsequently showed them a few relevant records from Chinese texts.\footnote{Tamba [1801] 1955, p. 117. For Tamba’s life and medical achievements, see Osodo 2003, pp. 759-765. A few scholars mistakenly considered the caterpillar fungus was first transmitted to Japan in the Kansei period, see, for example, Shirai [1914] 1925, pp. 361-362; Zhu 1956, pp. 43-44. For Zhu, he also misidentified Kansei as the period 1460-1465.}

The physician Hirokawa Kai 広川獬, author of Nagasaki bun ken roku 長崎聞見録 (Notes on the Things Heard and Seen in Nagasaki, 1800), also wrote that the caterpillar fungus had recently been imported by the Chinese to Nagasaki as a kidney-benefiting medicinal substance. Like Tamba, Hirokawa knew of some Chinese accounts of the caterpillar fungus. Furthermore, he added an illustration of the caterpillar fungus to his text (Fig. 11), and made a note of the slight yellow and black colouring of the worm and ‘grass’ parts of the caterpillar fungus.\footnote{Hirokawa [1800] 1975, pp. 10-11. For a brief introduction to Hirokawa’s life, see Ueno 1973, p. 436.}

The physician Yuzuki Tokiwa 柚木常盤 also made a drawing of the ‘haku rai 舶来’ (imported) caterpillar fungus in 1801 (Fig. 12).\footnote{Yuzuki 1801, p. 1. For Yuzuki’s life, see Shirai [1932?] 1990, p. 140.} Although he provided no textual information about its importation, it was presumably imported from China, as in the early 19th century the caterpillar fungus continued to be exported by Chinese ships to Japan and sold in

Fig. 11 Illustration of the caterpillar fungus in the 1975 reprint of Nagasaki bun ken roku 長崎聞見録 (1800).

Fig. 12 The caterpillar fungus drawn by Yuzuki Tokiwa 柚木常盤 in 1801.
Japanese drugstores. Yuzuki’s personal collection of drawings, which illustrate both the imported caterpillar fungus and 16 specimens of other similar organisms collected in the mountains near his residence in Goushuu, Japan, stemmed from his own interest in natural curiosities.

The spread and use of the caterpillar fungus in Japan led to the dissemination of related Chinese natural knowledge. Meanwhile, some Japanese physicians or naturalists also sought to discover it in their own country. Yuzuki was such a figure. Another example is offered by the German physician Philipp Franz von Siebold (1796-1866), who arrived in Dejima (Nagasaki) in 1823 and took up residence there. Von Siebold joined a tribute expedition to the court of Edo in 1826, but made his own observations and collections of natural history along the way. He departed Dejima on 15 February, reached Edo on 10 April, and returned to Dejima on 7 July. On 23 February, he visited some of his students and friends in Shimonoseki. They showed him some interesting Japanese specimens, among which there was a ‘Wunder der Natur’ (wonder of nature), namely ‘Kaso totsiu’ (summer grass winter worm). Though considered to be a cross between a plant and a worm in Japan, it was, according to von Siebold, formed by ‘Keulenschwämmen’ (Clavaria), which were the decayed larvae of insects (especially cicadas and caterpillars). This ‘wonder of nature’ was not the caterpillar fungus exported to Japan from China, but some similar species found in Japan.

To sum up, the transmission of the caterpillar fungus to Japan, initially emerging in the 1720s, relied on the Sino-Japanese trade in medicinal substances. The trade was grounded in the well known close relationship between Chinese medicine and Kampo medicine. It also benefited much from the short geographical distance between China and Japan. The Chinese traders, who sought to profit from the caterpillar fungus, became its powerful agents. After being exported to Japan through trade networks, its intriguing appearance, economic value, medicinal properties and transformational ability endowed it with agency, and enabled it to find new agents among physicians, naturalists, and medicine merchants in Japan. At the same time in Japan, new networks also emerged from Japanese efforts to find the caterpillar fungus locally. But in such new networks the caterpillar fungus from China was soon marginalised.

3.2.5 Summary

So far this chapter has described a variety of people and institutions that acted as agents in the outset of the intercontinental travels of the caterpillar fungus: first to France and Japan in the 1720s, then Britain in about 1831, and then to Russia in 1851. In general, people’s curiosity about exotic natural objects and their demand for new, effective medicinal substances, often intertwined, advanced the transmission of the caterpillar fungus from China to the rest of the world. The transmission involved religious, commercial, medical, biological and/or political factors. However, there were uncertainties around its value and attraction among all the different human and non-human actors (missionaries, naturalists, traders, doctors, scientific societies and institutions, articles, etc.) in the transnational networks within which the caterpillar fungus travelled. For example, the discontinuance of its subsequent travels to France and Russia was closely related to the political suppression of religious actors (Jesuits) in Qing China and the belief in the replaceability of Chinese medicinal substances respectively. During its transnational travels, new agents of the caterpillar fungus continued to emerge, and gave rise to new observations that were to be framed as ‘objective’, and from new ways of looking associated with this new concern for observation without pre-conceptions the production of a new knowledge.

3.3  Great Examination

3.3.1 A Wonder No More?

‘If Westerners had been content with trading and conquering, looting and dominating, they would not distinguish themselves radically from other tradespeople and conquerors. But no, they invented science, an activity totally distinct from conquest and trade, politics and morality.’

The rise of objectivity, and the ‘gaze’ that created a new science, Daston and Galison argue, must be historically conceptualised as having its early origins in the Atlas-making of early eighteenth-century observers of the natural world. Others have noted that new accurately focussed styles of observation arose at an earlier time with the need to describe, order and identify commodities
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discovered in exotic places for the purposes of attributing commercial value. Atlases, however, were not so directly driven by commerce, but may have benefitted in this way from global trade. The images, that were at the heart of Atlas-making, were often seeking a metaphysical truth that lay beneath the surface, attempting to capture the essence of the particular object and of its class. This has been styled the ‘truth-to-nature’ process of knowledge creation.

Atlases were not only about mapping territory, but were also large works on a specialist subject that aimed to be comprehensive in this way and structured the way one looked at that subject. As a feature of informal empire-making at end of the Age of Discovery, those involved in the natural philosophy projects employed communities of drug and specimen collectors (local people around the world), the best artists and illustrators to help them in their project, and sought people financing the projects, transporters, printers, and distributors. These groups of people also set new rules by which knowledge was to be collected. This cultivation of learned recorders of the world, their epistemic virtue, linked the training of the self, the perceiver, with the quality of what was perceived. The images that they created, and those that we will see, followed on from the truth-to-nature process in the mid-19th century. So that, Daston and Galison maintain, the image-making itself created the culture of objective science. They were the science, the illustrations made the science.

Much of Daston et al.’s analysis holds true for new descriptions of the caterpillar fungus, and in what follows we will see how European collectors and institutions sponsoring the formation of new styles of knowledge, and their descriptions and image-making form the background to a new powerful rhetoric about the authority of this new science – a rhetoric that bifurcated an East stuck in the fantasies of the past and a West forging progress and modernity. But the question is, how did the caterpillar fungus confound this new world?

When Parennin’s specimens of the caterpillar fungus reached the Académie des Sciences in Paris, they presented a challenge to French savants’ existing knowledge and imagination. In addition to a synopsis of Parennin’s two letters, the same issue (1726) of the Histoire de l’Académie Royale des Sciences (H.A.R.S.), also published a research article by René-Antoine Ferchault de Réaumur (1683-1757) on the caterpillar fungus. Réaumur was a distinguished Enlightenment natural philosopher, acclaimed by some of his contemporaries as ‘the Pliny of the eighteenth century’.

\[525\] Cook 2007, pp. 82-377.
\[526\] Daston and Gallison 2007, pp. 55-114.
\[528\] Schlager and Lauer 2000, p. 175.
After moving to Paris in 1703, he submitted his first academic paper (on a geometric problem) to the Académie in 1708, and was soon elected a member of the Académie via his mathematics supervisor Pierre Varignon’s (1654-1722) nomination in March of the same year. Before Parennin dispatched his letters and specimens in 1723, Réaumur had become sub-director and then director of the Académie in 1713 and 1714. 529 One of Réaumur’s contributions to natural history was his entomological research mainly on insect behaviours, represented in his six-volume work Mémoires Pour Servir à l’Histoire des Insectes (Memoirs Serving as a Natural History of Insects, 1734-1742). 530 As early as 1710 Réaumur had already published a long article discussing the possibility of using spiders to produce silk. 531 His research on spider silk attracted the attention of Parennin. In Parennin’s letter to the Académie (1 May, 1723), he wrote that he had told Kangxi about Réaumur and another French scholar’s experiments on spider silk when the emperor spoke of spider webs. With great curiosity Kangxi then requested Parennin to translate the article through which he learnt of Réaumur and spider silk. 532

In Réaumur’s article of 1726, he first briefly introduces Parennin’s ‘magnifiques présents’ (magnificent presents) to the Académie, including some samples of ‘drogues’ (drugs) and ‘racines’ (roots) which he considered were able to expand French knowledge of natural history. 533 Réaumur then recounts the story of his fascination with ‘un étonnant prodige’ (an amazing prodigy), i.e. the caterpillar fungus. Parennin sent approximately 300 specimens of the caterpillar fungus to the Académie; the biggest specimen was about three ‘lignes’ (c. 6.75 mm) in diameter, while the longest specimen was three ‘pouces’ (c. 81.21 mm) in length. Though Réaumur mentions Parennin’s account of its transformation, 534 he emphasises that in his time no one is willing to believe in such a wonder. He was, in fact, the first person to refute the idea that metamorphosis occurs within a portion of the root. However, Réaumur did not deny the value of the traditional information about the caterpillar fungus’s production areas and medicinal and natural properties recorded by Parennin, and indeed excerpted it in his account. On the basis of the French entomological scholarship of his time,
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530 Réaumur 1734-1742. Réaumur is considered the founder of ethology, see Egerton 2006, pp. 212-224.
531 Réaumur 1710, pp. 386-408.
532 Du Halde et al. 1819b, pp. 444-470. The other French scholar was François Xavier Bon de Saint Hilaire (1678-1761), who published his ‘Dissertation sur l’Araignée’ in 1719, see Bon de Saint Hilaire 1710. See also Barton and Dietrich 2009, pp. 196-197.
533 Réaumur 1726, pp. 302-306.
534 However, Parennin’s account of the transformation of the caterpillar fungus cannot be found in his letters to the Académie. His letters might have been edited before their publication; or, this information might have been conveyed to the Académie through other avenues.
Réaumur gave his own explanation of the caterpillar fungus’ formation: the worm in the ground first targeted the plant root, and then attached its ‘queue’ (tail) to the end of the root and prepares for metamorphosis; in such a manner that the worm appeared to be an extension of the root. We note that he is entranced by the caterpillar fungus. But, pointing for the first time to the separate and coeval identities of caterpillar and root, he wrote, ‘La merveille se réduit sans doute’ (the marvel is doubtless diminished). Meanwhile he adds, the caterpillar fungus will remain remarkable in its production areas, as unlike France, there are neither ‘physiciens’ (physicists) nor ‘observateurs’ (observers) there. From Réaumur’s article we clearly see pride and prejudice, and a significant tension and negotiation between Chinese natural knowledge and European natural history.

Réaumur offered a theoretical explanation mainly from the perspective of insect behaviours. In his drawings of the caterpillar fungus (Fig. 13), he particularly illustrated those portions of the root that connected with a worm’s tail in order to further his thesis. Probably to further visualise his theory, he even depicted the ‘cavité’ (cavity) in the root, and annotated that it was able to pull the tip of the worm’s tail. To a modern eye, the mature fruiting body of the caterpillar fungus is indeed usually a hollow structure; but it is the worm’s head rather than tail that ‘attaches’ itself to the ‘root’. Besides, Réaumur noted that to represent those portions of the root he had first removed the ‘fibres ligneuses’ (wood fibre) from it. In this connection, he may have discovered what is now called fungal mycelium. Réaumur used simple lines to illustrate the physical appearance of the caterpillar fungus, and also added varying degrees of shading to make the caterpillar fungus look three-dimensional. However, he did not add scale bars to the drawings despite providing some length data in the main text of his article. Therefore the magnified portions (magnification unknown) and
whole specimens were shown together in one plate.

Réaumur’s new theory about, and depiction of, the formation of the caterpillar fungus as two separate entities simultaneously, was accepted by some European naturalists. The British mycologist John Ramsbottom (1885-1974) remarked that Carl P. Thunberg’s simple note on its formation (see above) was actually derived from Réaumur’s article.535

Réaumur defined the caterpillar fungus as, in his own words, ‘plante ver’ (worm plant). This term coined by Réaumur appears twice in his article. In contrast with ‘summer grass winter worm’, however, it simply denotes a physical combination of a plant and a worm, which had no ability to transform itself. Réaumur’s perspective and study embody Daston and Park’s argument that wonder was disappearing from 18th-century European natural philosophy, and giving way to the pursuit of ‘objective’ approaches, observations and facts.536 To biologists nowadays, Réaumur’s theory is outdated and rather unacceptable. But in the early 18th century it was revolutionary and published in the reputable and scholarly journal H.A.R.S. Réaumur’s vision was perhaps trained and distorted by his own expertise (in insect behaviours) which regularised his observation to fit theoretical expectations. Critically, he was also working without the microscope since the structures that he was observing were apparently visible to the naked eye. They were also, then, vulnerable to essentialising and idealising according to what Daston and Gallison call the ‘truth-to-nature’ scientific gaze.

**Fougeroux de Bondaroy’s Correction**

The most important academic response to Réaumur’s theory in 18th-century Europe came from the French naturalist Fougeroux de Bondaroy (1732-1789), who published a related review article in the H.A.R.S. in 1769.537 A few European discoveries, which formed the basis of Bondaroy’s discourse, had been made during the period 1726-1769. The Spanish Franciscan friar Joseph Torrubia (1698-1761) found some ‘abispas muertas’ (dead wasps) with plants growing from them in Havana (today’s capital city of Cuba) on 10 February, 1749. According to Torrubia’s collection of notes on natural history, published in 1754, they were intact ‘esqueletos’ (skeletons) with wings; a plant full
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537 Bondaroy 1769, pp. 467-476. For Bondaroy’s life, see Dinechin 1990.
of ‘espinas’ (prickles) germinated from the venter of the wasp, and grew up to five palms high; the natives called the plant ‘GIA’. Later, the British naturalist Emanuel Mendes da Costa (1717-1791) informed his friend George Edwards (1694-1773) of Torrubia’s discovery. But Edwards commented in 1764 that ‘the Spaniards have not yet attained to any perfection in natural history, and I believe the good Father might mistake the bunch of protuberant parts from the fungus for dried leaves’. Such a link between plants on dead wasps and fungi contributed to the later study of such organisms. Certainly, by the first half of the 18th century, fungi had already been categorised as a group of plants in Europe, but there had not been a generally accepted essentialised definition of fungi. For example, the Italian botanist Pier Antonio Micheli (1679-1737), who recorded hundreds of fungi and introduced many fungal genus names in his 1729 book *Nova Plantarum Genera* (New Genera of Plants), ‘offered a prima-facie case that fungi were autonomous organisms but this view, though accepted by some, was questioned by many for the next hundred years’, so that mycology as a discipline with its own institutions did not emerge until the 19th century. ‘Torrubia’, a fungal genus named in honour of Joseph Torrubia, was described in 1865. Joseph Torrubia’s discovery was made in the transnational networks resulting from Spanish overseas expansion, as Cuba was a colony of Spain during the period 1511-1898.

On 15 November, 1763, the British naturalist William Watson (1715-1787) wrote ‘an account of the insect called the Vegetable Fly’, and sent it to the Royal Society, London. His account starts with a letter from the British doctor John Huxham (1692-1768), which he received at the beginning of October, 1763. Huxham told Watson that he had indirectly witnessed the ‘vegetable fly’ through the agency of ‘Mr Newman’, an officer in the island of Dominica. Huxham also sent Newman’s description of it to Watson. Newman had found the ‘vegetable fly’ in Dominica. It had no wings, but resembled ‘the drone in both size and colour more than any other English insect’. Amazingly, it buried itself in the earth in May; then it started to vegetate until, at the end of July, ‘the
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539 Edwards 1764, pp. 265-266. For da Costa’s life, see Hayward 2003, pp. 101-114. For George Edwards’s life, see James 1933, pp. 486-493. John Ramsbottom thought that the fungus observed by Torrubia was probably ‘Cordyceps sphecophila’, see Ramsbottom 1941, pp. 223-374.
540 Micheli 1729, pp. 117-227; Ainsworth 1976, p. 18.
541 Tulasne and Tulasne 1865, pp. 4-5; Massee 1895, pp. 1-44. However, ‘Torrubia’ as a genus name was superfluous, as Cordyceps [validly published earlier in 1833] could have been used, and Torrubia is thus unacceptable (illegitimate), see Hawksworth 2001, pp. 171-192. See also below.
543 Watson 1763, pp. 271-274. For Watson’s life and writings, see Editors of the Literary Magazine 1789, pp. 401-411; Watt 1824, p. 953.
544 For Huxham’s life, see Talbott 1970, pp. 204-205.
tree’ (resembling a coral branch) was about three inches high, and produced a few ‘little pods’; later, the pods dropped off, and became worms and then flies. Just because Huxham did not believe such a conceptualisation which seemed ‘quite repugnant to the usual order of nature’, he wrote to Watson for accounts and observations ‘set in a full and true light’. Watson, who was acquainted with George Edwards’s comments on ‘GIA’, then consulted the British naturalist John Hill (1714-1775) who had examined some specimens of it.\textsuperscript{545} In Hill’s reply, he related it to ‘a fungus of the Clavaria kind’, or specifically ‘Clavaria Sobolifera’.\textsuperscript{546} The ‘seeds’ of the Clavaria found a ‘proper’, fertile, bed on dead insects, and grew. After telling Watson about ‘all the fact’, Hill stressed that it was still unknown to the ‘untaught inhabitants’ and also to the author of a related Spanish imaginative drawing (i.e. Joseph Torrubia). Later, some specimens of the ‘vegetable fly’ were sent to the Royal Society. Watson soon carefully examined the ‘extraordinary production’ by himself, and agreed with Hill’s opinion. The ‘seeds’ mentioned by John Hill were actually fungal spores. More than four decades previously, for example, Pier Antonio Micheli made a series of experimental observations on fungal ‘semina’ (seeds) with a primitive microscope in 1718, initially proving that the ‘seeds’ from a fungus could germinate and produce fruiting bodies of the same fungus.\textsuperscript{547} The cases of GIA and the ‘vegetable fly’ indicated bear testimony to 18th-century European naturalists’ pursuit of factual or ‘true’ natural knowledge about wonders of nature within the transnational networks of informal empire.

From Fougeroux de Bondaroy’s article we know that he followed European discussions on the generation of organisms. Although supporters of the spontaneous generation of large animals and plants were decreasing in the 17th and 18th centuries, the invention of microscopes and microscopic observations fostered many people’s belief in the spontaneous generation of microorganisms, which seemed to grow out of nothing.\textsuperscript{548} Clearly the culture of ‘truth-to-nature’ continued into microscopic vision for they did not notice, at that time, the way spores germinated in the host organism. The British naturalist John T. Needham (1713-1781), for example, not seeing any seeding process, carried out experiments in support of such a belief.\textsuperscript{549} He also endeavoured to prove that microscopic plants were able to generate microscopic animals, a contention which was, however,

\textsuperscript{545} For Hill’s life, see Desmond 1994, p. 342; Fraser 1994, pp. 43-67.
\textsuperscript{546} ‘Clavaria’ as a genus name was first introduced by Sébastien Vaillant in 1727, and later adopted by Pier Antonio Micheli in 1729, by Carl Linnaeus in 1753, and by a variety of other taxonomists in the 18th century, see Methven 1990, p. 7.
\textsuperscript{547} Micheli 1729, pp. 136-139. Cf. Ainsworth 1976, pp. 84-88.
\textsuperscript{548} Wayne 2009, pp. 299-300. For a brief historical review of debates on generation, see Magner 2002, pp. 132-176.
\textsuperscript{549} Roe 1983, pp. 158-184. For Needham’s life and academic contributions, see Stefani 2002.
quickly refuted by some other scholars including the Italian biologist Lazzaro Spallanzani (1729-1799). Fougeroux de Bondaroy’s reflections on the caterpillar fungus were, precisely, grounded in the above discoveries, ideas and discussions.

Fig. 14 One of the two plates in Fougeroux de Bondaroy’s article (1769). Notice the two figures of the caterpillar fungus (fig. 10 & 11 in the plate) by Réaumur (1726).

Fougeroux de Bondaroy’s article, a critical review of existing scholarship on the insects on which plants grew, began with his claim that ‘faits’ (facts) about the natural history of such organisms were worthy of unveiling by ‘physiciens’ (physicists). He expected his article to be able to engage more people (such as educated travellers) in studying such organisms and seeking a ‘juste explication’ (correct explanation) of their formation. As indicated in the article, Bondaroy’s comments were partly based on his own observations on ‘plantes-animales’ (animal-plants) in natural history collections. Probably inspired by John Hill, he argued that the ‘plants’ which were evidently growing on insects were Clavaria fungi, and the term ‘fungus’ appears eleven times in his article. For example, he makes explicit mention of his discovery of a new species of ‘fungus’ on a cicada from Cayenne, French Guiana. It is clear that Bondaroy, like some of his contemporaries,
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550 Roe 1982, pp. 295-303. See also Spallanzani 1765; Spallanzani and Needham 1769. For Spallanzani’s life and academic contributions, see Adams 1927, pp. 528-537; Fusco 2002.

551 See, for example, Bulliard 1783.
regarded fungi as a group of plants. In this spirit he also uses botanical terms such as ‘racine’ (root) and ‘pédicule’ (pedicle) to describe some parts of a fungus. He seems to have examined the specimens of the caterpillar fungus from China, as he notes that the organism on which the plant (identical to fungus in his discourse) grows is a ‘ver’ (worm) rather than a ‘chrysalide’ (chrysalis) (Fig. 14). But he disagrees with Réaumur on the formation of this organism, as he claims that it seems to be the plant that attaches itself to the worm, not the worm to the plant.\(^552\) This indicates that he did not adopt John Hill’s idea about the ‘seeding’ of the fungi. However, probably influenced by Réaumur’s account, he states that the plant is located on the last ring of the worm, near the anus.

The natural history collections that Bondaroy examined actually consisted of objects gathered by various actors from around the world. Their collecting activities were all part of the discipline of the scientist, and this discipline and learning its rhetoric was all a part of cultivating the scientific self. Bondaroy’s interest in the caterpillar fungus therefore lay in his association with the networks of natural history and their professional identities. His text makes no mention of medicinal properties. Moreover like Réaumur, Bondaroy does not treat the caterpillar fungus as a wonder. He criticises the Chinese for ignoring the fact that insects also attach themselves to other parts of the plant (e.g. branches) to undergo metamorphosis; and argues that the insects are absolutely not a transmogrified form of, for example, branches, as the latter clearly produce fruits rather than insects. On this point we see the tension between Chinese and European natural knowledge once more. Bondaroy revised Réaumur’s theory about the formation of the caterpillar fungus, and at the same time introduced the concept of a scientific fungi, but without yet giving a clear definition and differentiation of fungi as belonging to a separate domain and category to plants. As a result, for European scientists, the caterpillar fungus was no longer a kind of grass as it would remain in the Chinese natural order for another century; this wonder of nature in Chinese discourse was also deconstructed rhetorically as well as biologically. However, when the caterpillar fungus as a single transformable organism was deconstructed as a physical combination of two organisms belonging to two distinct categories, did such a combination (plant/fungus-animal), as first described by Réaumur, become a new (scientific) wonder? Going by the subsequent flowering of studies on the caterpillar fungus in 19th-century Europe (see below), the answer is yes.

Bondaroy’s article, as he anticipated, attracted much attention throughout Europe in later years.
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\(^{552}\) See also Ramsbottom 1953, p. 151.
Summaries of the article, for example, were published with remarks in the British review journals *The Monthly Review* (London) and *Medical and Philosophical Commentaries* (Edinburgh) in 1773. The remarks in the former journal said that specimens of the plant-animals had been delineated by Bondaroy ‘with more care and accuracy’, while previously there was no lack of ‘exaggerated and ridiculous descriptions’ of the vegetable fly. With the development of European fungal studies and the transmission of the caterpillar fungus to Britain, studies on the caterpillar fungus began to flourish in Britain from the early 19th century. Essentially, however, these new theories were not part of the ‘objectivity’ revolution so-framed by Daston *et al*. They all contain observations that are predetermined by belief in competing theories, and do not exhibit the kind of ‘blind sight’ which was to demand that the vision of scientific objects be unimpeded, without prejudice, skill, fantasy or judgement.

### 3.3.2 New Identifications

In 18th-century Europe, ‘the evident vitality of the fungi was a source of wonder for these pioneering investigators [e.g. Pier Antonio Micheli], and there was a great deal of confusion surrounding their affinity with other forms of life.’ What was a (species of) fungus? Puzzled by the nature of fungi, Carl Linnaeus (1707-1778), for example, just created a intersectional genus ‘Chaos’ under the ‘Vermes’ (worms) for a few fungi who he saw as ‘having a free body, uniform, reviving and with no external joints or sense-organs’. Evidently, Linnaeus was both challenged and excited by the liminal nature of these organisms and could not make sense of them within his existing frameworks. The degree to which they confounded him is obvious by his choice of nomenclature. Changing perceptions of the nature of fungi were correlated with the ordering of fungi. At the turn of the 19th century the naturalist Christiaan H. Persoon (1761-1836) had treated fungi as true plants that merely presented themselves as ‘nackte fruchtificationstheile’ (naked fruit-producing) organisms’. His choice of language, unlike Linnaeus, suggests that fungi had a kind of human plant-like ontology. Initially, he used hierarchical Linnaen binomial nomenclature to establish a...
relatively comprehensive classification system for fungal species. His effort provided the main basis for modern mycological taxonomy. Though the taxonomy of fungi was based on morphological characteristics of fruiting bodies before the early 19th century, microscopic structures of fungi (e.g. spores and mycelia), which began to be properly analysed from the late 18th century, became more and more important in characterising fungal species. This was the result of an accumulation of knowledge about fungal development and sexuality in the 19th century. Moreover, from the mid-19th century Charles Darwin’s (1809-1882) theory of evolution prompted taxonomists to explain and establish affinities among species that were considered descended from a common ancestor. However, Darwin was not in the trace of fungi, so ignored the possibility of an ancestral fungi spirit. Meanwhile, although controversies over notions of species as fixed or evolving arose, taxonomic research on fungi in the field of mycology that was emerging in the 19th-century gained pace. The new identifications of the caterpillar fungus in 19th-century Europe, described below, embodied European efforts to find the positions of its two parts through both macro and microscopic imaging as they became fixed according to hierarchical taxonomic systems; and simultaneously to nuance their relationships with other organisms in those systems.

The Chinese name for the caterpillar fungus and the French term ‘plante ver’ appeared in dictionaries and encyclopaedias published in Britain by the early 19th century. For example, a revised edition of the French lexicographer Lewis Chambaud’s (?-1776) A New Dictionary English and French and French and English, published in London in 1815, contains the terms ‘HIA-TSAO-TOM-TCHOM’ and ‘plante ver’. The former term is defined as ‘a Chinese plant, the root of which, owing to a caterpillar nicely joined to it, was thought to change into a worm’. The two terms and the definition point to an origin in Réaumur’s article. Another example is the Welsh encyclopaedist Abraham Rees’s (1743-1825) The Cyclopædia, published in London in 1819. It includes the term ‘HIASTAOTOMTEHOM’, ‘a Chinese name’ denoting ‘a plant, the root of which is said [by the Chinese] to change, at a certain time, into a worm’. However, the emphasis in this entry is laid on Réaumur’s discovery of the ‘whole truth’ of the transformation of the caterpillar

558 Ainsworth 1962, pp. 22-23; Mehrotra and Aneja 1990, p. 68.
559 Drews 2001, pp. 213-227; McDevitt 2014, pp. 6-10.
561 Ellis 2011, pp. 343-363.
562 Chambaud 1815, p. 34. Little is known about Chambaud’s life, see Jones 1881, p. 107. The dictionary was first published in Paris in 1776. But that edition did not include terms for the caterpillar fungus from China, see Chambaud and Robinet 1776, p. 258.
fungus.\textsuperscript{563} Rees was apparently unaware of Bondaroy’s article, otherwise he might have been puzzled by whom the ‘whole truth’ had been discovered, or what that ‘whole truth’ might be. Although dictionaries and encyclopaedias normally contained less up-to-date natural knowledge than learned scientific journals, they probably played no less important a role in integrating and disseminating global natural knowledge. Active specialists in natural sciences, such as the English entomologist John O. Westwood (1805-1893), also often referred to such publications in order to acquire information about exotics.

As mentioned before, Westwood exhibited some specimens of the caterpillar fungus at the meeting of the Entomological Society of London on 1 March, 1841. He also read out two extracts about the caterpillar fungus from European sources; one was from the 1736 English translation of Du Halde’s general history of China, while the other was from Rees’s \textit{The Cyclopædia}. In addition, he relayed to the audience some first-hand information about the caterpillar fungus from John Reeves, including ‘its proper [Chinese] name’, i.e. ‘Hea Tsaon Taong Chung’. He added that in Guangzhou it was more frequently called ‘Ting Ching Hea Tsam’, a version of the former name with the two pairs of characters transposed. One of the reasons why Westwood and his European contemporaries and predecessors paid attention to its original Chinese names was that names for objects mattered for establishing corresponding relationships between European and exotic taxonomic positions of the same organisms, and were necessary in their procurement. Moreover, at the meeting Westwood also tentatively identified its fungal part as ‘\textit{Clavaria Entomorrhiza}’, but did not give details about his identification.\textsuperscript{564} Despite being an entomologist, however, he did not identify the species of the larva. On this point the main reason, in my eyes, lay in the difficulty in making an entomological identification of an unknown insect based only on the morphological characters of its larva.\textsuperscript{565} Westwood’s identification was inspired by some preceding naturalists, especially John Hill, as he mentioned Hill’s account in his earlier publications.\textsuperscript{566}

Westwood’s pursuit of ‘the truth’ (in his own words), like Bondaroy’s want of ‘facts’ and Rees’s mention of the ‘whole truth’, also prompted him to seek a \textit{true} explanation of its formation. As early as 1835, he speculated that the ‘seeds’ of the fungus alighted on an underground larva’s head, which was exposed to the air; they were then swallowed by the larva; finally they germinated in the larva

\textsuperscript{563} Rees 1819, p. 768. For Rees’s life, see Gilman \textit{et al.} 1911, p. 785. ‘\textit{STAO}’ and ‘\textit{TEHOM}’ in the entry are obviously misspellings.
\textsuperscript{564} Saunders 1841, pp. 22-26.
\textsuperscript{565} Dierl 1978, p. 22; Thyssen 2010, pp. 25-42.
\textsuperscript{566} See, for example, Westwood [1833] 1835, p. 263.
and caused its death.\textsuperscript{567} This explanation reflected John Hill’s influence, as Hill spoke of the ‘seeds’ of the Clavaria fungi and proposed a similar but not identical idea in 1763. Westwood also corrected a mistake in previous European accounts, as he pointed out that ‘the root of the fungus entirely occupies the whole interior portion [of the larva] from the head to the opposite end’.\textsuperscript{568} Obviously he realised that it was the larva’s head rather than tail that was connected with the fungus.

Soon afterwards, the English pharmacognosist Jonathan Pereira (1804-1853), who seemed to be unaware of Westwood’s identification, made an attempt to determine the species of both the fungus and larva parts that constituted the caterpillar fungus. According to his article published on 1 March, 1843,\textsuperscript{569} Pereira regarded the caterpillar fungus as both ‘a Chinese article of the materia medica’ and ‘a remarkable and very interesting natural production’. In addition to the information from John Reeves (such as its Chinese name), Pereira also obtained some Chinese natural and medical knowledge about the caterpillar fungus from Du Halde, Thunberg and Rees’s accounts. By that time he had already established that it was ‘a caterpillar, out of whose neck grows a vegetable (a fungus or mushroom)’. He observed that each specimen of the caterpillar fungus was about three inches long; one half of the specimen was a light yellowish brown cylindrical caterpillar; the fungus, ‘a slender club-shaped body’, projected from the back of the caterpillar’s neck. He identified the fungus as a species of the genus ‘\textit{Sphaeria}’, which he thought was ‘closely allied to the \textit{Sphaeria entomorrhiza}’. Besides, he tentatively identified the caterpillar as a ‘lepidopterous’ insect (one belonging to the order of Lepidoptera [including butterflies and moths]).

In order to ascertain the species of the caterpillar, Pereira then went to consult the English entomologist Edward Doubleday (1811-1849). Doubleday was an expert in Lepidoptera, who served as an assistant in the Zoological department of the British Museum during the period 1841-1849.\textsuperscript{570} After carefully examining ‘a very perfect larva’, Doubleday formed the opinion that it was a species of the genus ‘\textit{Agrotis}’.\textsuperscript{571} This genus was first described in 1806,\textsuperscript{572} while the above genus ‘\textit{Sphaeria}’ was first described in 1768.\textsuperscript{573} Doubtless both Pereira and Doubleday’s identifications were based on their predecessors’ taxonomic research. To identify the fungus part of the caterpillar
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fungus, Pereira referred, at least, to Miles J. Berkeley’s (1803-1889) *Fungi* (the second part of the fifth volume of *The English Flora*, 1836) and William J. Hooker’s (1785-1865) *Icones Plantarum* (volume 1, 1837). Berkeley’s *Fungi* seems to have contributed more to his identification, as he only quoted Berkeley’s accounts of the genus ‘*Sphaeria*’ as well as the species ‘*Sphaeria entomorrhiza*’ so as to support his own identification. Berkeley attached importance to characteristics of microscopic structures when describing a fungal genus or species. For example, he described the fungi ‘*Sphaeria*’ as having the following minute characteristics: ‘*Perithecia* rounded, entire, furnished at the apex with a minute orifice. *Asci* converging, at length dissolving’. ‘*Sphaeria*’ in Berkeley’s book was a hierarchical genus, subordinate to, and in the ascending family tree of, the macrofungi Pyrenomycetes (Tribe), Gasteromycetes (Suborder), and Cryptogamia fungi.

Pereira was not a specialist in fungus or insect taxonomy. Though he was curious about the caterpillar fungus as a natural production, his main concern, as clearly indicated in his article title, was with its medicinal properties. His colleagues were also enthusiastic about gathering exotic medicinal substances and testing their effects, as exemplified by the Irish doctor William B. O’Shaughnessy (1809-1889). O’Shaughnessy, also mentioned in Pereira’s article, once presented specimens of ‘*Cannabis Indica*’ (or ‘Indian hemp’) and the bark of ‘*Strychnos Nux vomica*’ from Bengal, together with his observations on their therapeutic effects, to the Pharmaceutical Society in London. The reason why Pereira paid attention to identification, in addition to his natural curiosity, was that identification laid the foundation for collecting global medicinal substances. Pereira’s illustration of a single specimen of the caterpillar fungus, showing his observations of its essential features, the fruiting body and the ventral side of the larva (natural size), embodies the epistemic virtue of ‘truth-to-nature’ in making scientific images (Fig. 7). While his illustration of a bundle of the caterpillar fungus from John Reeves, clearly not drawn for species identification, displays the flow of this exotic commercial medicinal substance (like ‘*Cannabis Indica*’) within transnational networks; both commercial utility and scientific knowledge production move together hand-in-hand.

*Miles J. Berkeley’s Identification and the Discovery of a Species in Mycology as a Discipline*

574 Berkeley 1836; Hooker 1837. For Hooker’s life, see Hooker 1903.
576 Pereira just called him ‘Dr O’Shaughnessy’ in the article. But according to his mention of O’Shaughnessy’s study of medicinal uses of cannabis, it is certain that he was William B. O’Shaughnessy. For O’Shaughnessy’s life, see Gorman 1984, pp. 51-64.
The participation of professional mycologists in identifying the fungal species of the caterpillar fungus begins with Miles J. Berkeley, who has been regarded as the founder of mycology in Britain. His book *Fungi* (1836), published as part of a series of British flora, was the first comprehensive list of English fungi as a separate category of the plant world. In it he coined the terms ‘mycology’ and ‘mycologist’ which are still widely in use today. According to Geoffrey C. Ainsworth, with the application of microscopy and pure culture techniques, the scope and depth of European mycological research were significantly expanded from the latter half of the 19th century. Identifications of European and exotic fungi, which formed the basis of mycological taxonomy, were constantly in progress. They not only involved the rectification of scientific (Latin) names for fungal species, but also the establishment of the proximity of relationships among fungi within hierarchical categories (species, genus, family, order, etc.). The expansion of modern European powers and the emerging of transnational networks also resulted in substantial increases in European collections of global natural history specimens. In Britain, Kew Gardens has been a world centre for taxonomic research on fungi since the late 19th century. Based on a collection of about ten thousand fungal specimens donated by Berkeley in 1879, Kew Gardens now holds the world’s largest fungarium, which contains as many as approximately 1.25 million dried specimens of fungi.

In 1843, Berkeley published an article entitled ‘On some Entomogenous Sphaeriae’. The caterpillar fungus, or a ‘celebrated drug in the Chinese Pharmacopoeia’, is one of seven fungal species described in this article. Berkeley first briefly introduces some 18th-century and early 19th-century discoveries of fungi that develop on insects, which ‘excited much attention’. He regards Réaumur as the first European to have noticed such productions. He identifies the caterpillar fungus as ‘*Sphaeria Sinensis*’. The use of ‘Sinensis’ means that Berkeley defines the fungus as a species from China, which is consistent with his record of the fungus’s habitat, i.e. China. Berkeley
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also offers detailed observations of its morphological features, and states that ‘the specimens figured by Réaumur were imperfect, and therefore their true nature was not recognised’. Additionally, he provided his own drawings of two specimens and their, ‘radiating appearance of a fractured stem’, and a few microscopic structures (‘filaments’ and ‘oil globules’) (Fig. 15). Réaumur’s drawings, in contrast, lack microscopic structures which, in the eyes of Berkeley, are the critical visual evidence to show the true nature of the fungus. However, there was a deficiency in the specimens examined by Berkeley. He adds, ‘I… have not been able to find any [of Reeves’s specimens] in which the perithecia were fully developed’. ‘Perithecia’ are often flask-shaped fruiting bodies that produce spores. Although he did try to discover ascospores (released from ‘asci’) and basidiospores (i.e. ‘sporidia’), he failed to detect ‘perfect asci and sporidia’ in the specimens of all the seven fungal species. From the second half of the 19th century, this deficiency became the seed of controversy about whether or not the specimens of the caterpillar fungus examined by Berkeley could be used as type specimens. These are controversies of the truth-to-nature project as it played out between those establishing the ideal types in mycological identifications.

Fig. 15 Berkeley’s drawings of ‘Sphaeria Sinensis’ (1843).

Berkeley’s identification of the caterpillar fungus was soon widely accepted by biologists. For example, when the English botanist John Lindley (1799-1865) mentions the fungus in his 1846 book *The Vegetable Kingdom* and its later editions, he always records it by its Latin name ‘Sphaeria sinensis, Berk.’ 584 ‘Berk.’, an abbreviation of ‘Berkeley’, was added after the species name in order to indicate Berkeley as the first person who identified the species. However, on 4 November 1856,
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Berkeley gave an update to his identification in an article also devoted to ‘Entomogenous Sphaeriae’. This time Berkeley turned his attention to his collection of nearly five thousand species of fungi from the United States. He identified and described a few new species of ‘the most curious and interesting’ entomogenous fungi, while additionally listing some entomogenous species that had already been identified. Though the caterpillar fungus, emphasised by him again as a drug used in China, was not the focus of this article, it and the other newly or formerly identified entomogenous species were all cast into the genus ‘Cordyceps’ without any explanation. The Latin name for the caterpillar fungus was thus changed from ‘Sphaeria Sinensis’ to ‘Cordyceps sinensis’. Berkeley himself soon adopted the latter name in his publications such as Introduction to Cryptogamic Botany (1857). But this new name and the article were seldom noticed or cited by biologists or mycological taxonomists before the 1880s. For example, the Index Fungorum (1863) and Medical Lexicon (1874) still used the old species name to denote the caterpillar fungus. This academic phenomenon added to the difficulty of solving the problem of tracing the authorship of the new species of the caterpillar fungus, which in fact involved the Italian mycologist Pier Andrea Saccardo (1845-1920), in the late 1870s and early 1880s.

A further puzzle was posed with the publication of an article by Saccardo in 1878. Saccardo included the caterpillar fungus in the genus ‘Cordyceps’, and recorded its Latin name as ‘C. sinensis Berk.’, referring to Berkeley’s 1843 article. But in that article the fungus is actually named ‘Sphaeria Sinensis’. The name in Saccardo’s article might mislead readers into supposing that Berkeley named the fungus ‘C. sinensis’ as early as 1843. So had Saccardo ever read Berkeley’s 1856 article? If so, why did he not cite it? If not, why did he change the genus name yet still indicate ‘Berk.’ rather than ‘Sacc.’ or ‘(Berk.) Sacc.’ in the Latin name? On the whole, it is more likely that Saccardo was not aware of Berkeley’s 1856 article. On this point, we can refer to the second volume of his monograph entitled Sylloge Fungorum Omnium Hucusque Cognitorum (literally Compendium of All Fungi hitherto Known, 1883). In this book Saccardo modifies the Latin name to ‘Cordyceps sinensis (Berk.) Sacc.’, citing his own 1878 article and Berkeley’s 1843 article. The use of ‘(Berk.) Sacc.’ could mean either that Saccardo has updated the previous Latin name given by Berkeley, or that the fungus
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has been newly named ‘*Cordyceps sinensis*’ by Saccardo. Then again, the two Latin names in Saccardo’s publications may also prompt us to suspect that Saccardo intentionally neglected to cite Berkeley’s 1856 article so as to take the credit himself for the new identification. In any case, ‘*Cordyceps sinensis* (Berk.) Sacc.’ gradually became the most widely used formal Latin name for the caterpillar fungus mainly due to the great influence of Saccardo’s 1883 monograph. In the important 1895 revision of the genus Cordyceps, for example, the author, the English mycologist George E. Massee (1850–1917), adopted the name ‘*Cordyceps sinensis*, (Berk.) Sacc.’ to denote the caterpillar fungus. From another perspective, we can also say that a series of European experts finally found the taxonomic ‘truth’ about the caterpillar fungus in the second half of the 19th century in the sense that the Latin name ‘*Cordyceps sinensis*’ is still widely used in and beyond scientific communities today.

Another problem relating to Berkeley’s identification concerns the recognition of type specimens. It is well known that type specimens serve as points of reference in determining species of known or unknown organisms. As mentioned before, the specimens identified by Berkeley in 1843, which thus became type specimens, were transferred to Kew Gardens in 1879. However, since Berkeley stated in 1843 that the perithecia in the specimens were not fully developed, could these immature specimens still be recognised as type specimens of the caterpillar fungus? In view of this, the English mycologist Mordecai C. Cooke (1825–1914), who worked at the Herbarium of Kew Gardens during the period 1880–1892, stressed in 1892 that he had successfully observed ‘quite mature sporidia, breaking up as usual into truncate joints’ during his 1883 re-examination of the specimens. ‘Sporidia’ (basidiospores), which was what Berkeley wanted to discover, are perhaps the most important feature that determines the validity of type specimens of the caterpillar fungus, or stable anchors for fixing the reference of the taxon name ‘*Sphaeria (Cordyceps) sinensis*’. But soon after, Massee, who had also re-examined the same specimens in order to revise the genus
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Cordyceps, reported in 1895 that the head of one of the specimens depicted by Berkeley was ‘shown to be compressed and inclined to branch at the apex’, and ‘the flattening appears…to be due to shrinkage, being immature and soft when collected’.\textsuperscript{596} Controversy over the type specimens of the caterpillar fungus extended into the 21th century due to different observational results on perithecia and spores.\textsuperscript{597} At all events, the controversy not only underlined the importance of type specimens and microscopic structures to identification, the basis for knowing and collecting, but also indicated the pursuit of ‘truth-to-nature’ in the first stage of Daston and Galison’s history of objectivity as exemplified in European biological taxonomy.\textsuperscript{598}

![Fig. 16](image)

The caterpillar fungus obtained (A) and drawn (B) by Alexander C. Jones (1891).

The popularisation and acceptance of Berkeley or Saccardo’s identification of the caterpillar fungus was accompanied by occasional uses of synonyms or irregular spellings of Cordyceps or sinensis.\textsuperscript{599} Examples include Alexander A. Tatarinov’s use of ‘Sphaeria chinensis’ (1856),\textsuperscript{600} Berkeley’s use of ‘Cordiceps sinensis’ (1860),\textsuperscript{601} Cooke’s use of ‘Torrubia sinensis’ (1866),\textsuperscript{602} and Adolphe Gubler’s (1821-1879) use of ‘Cordiceps chinensis’ (1873).\textsuperscript{603} Here it is worth mentioning Alexander C. Jones (1830-1898), who served as American consul in Chin Kiang (i.e. Zhenjiang) from 1886 onwards and finally died there. He sent specimens of the ‘Chinese insect-fungus drug’ (or
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‘officinal preparation of the parasitic fungus’) and a letter of explanation from Zhenjiang to the United States Department of Agriculture, Division of Entomology in 1891 (Fig. 16). In the letter, published in the journal of the Division of Entomology (i.e. *Insect Life*), Jones used ‘*Cordyceps chinensis*’ to refer to the caterpillar fungus which was ‘esteemed even more highly than ginseng, as a medicine, ...sold at a high price for medical purposes’.

His drawing of the caterpillar fungus growing out of the earth (Fig. 16B) is actually a flight of imagination rather than an attempt at revealing its ‘truth-to-nature’. The above synonyms or spellings were not only less frequently used but also gradually gave way to ‘*Cordyceps sinensis*’ from the late 19th century onward. According to Jack Goody, list-making emerged with early writing systems in Sumerian and other archaic cultures; it created categories, facilitated comparison and classification, and altered both the world and the psyche. From Linnaeus’s times lists of species were not only ‘stable objects of contemplation’, but also dialectically invited ‘manipulation through reordering’ of nature. The above changes in the naming of the caterpillar fungus were often embodied in scientists’ list-making activities.

*Identifications of the Caterpillar Part*

The caterpillar part of the caterpillar fungus was identified afresh in the second half of the 19th century. The English zoologist George R. Gray (1808-1872), keeper of the ornithological section of the British Museum during the period 1831-1872, probably examined Reeves specimens at the British Museum in the 1850s as Doubleday also had. He disagreed with Doubleday’s identification. In his book on the insects susceptible to fungal infections, which he privately printed in 1858, Gray tentatively identified the caterpillar as a species belonging to the genus ‘*Gortyna*’ of the family ‘*Noctuidae*’ (Fig. 17). Though Gray cited Thunberg’s account of the caterpillar fungus, he mistakenly thought that it was, ‘also stated to be brought from Japan’. Such a judgment misled some later mycologists about its production areas. Gray and Doubleday’s identification processes are
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unknown to us in their detail. Their identifications, though different from each other at the genus level, both considered the caterpillar a species of moth.

Later, in 1871, the British physician Frederick P. Smith (1833-1888) published an article on ‘Chinese blistering flies’, in which he characterised the caterpillar fungus as ‘a capital sample of a Chinese pet medicine’, and identified the caterpillar as a moth of the genus ‘Hepialus’. This genus was first described as early as 1775. But prior to 1871, moths of this genus were associated with some other fungal species of the genus ‘Sphaeria’ or ‘Cordyceps’. For example, in 1843 Pereira mentioned the fungus ‘Sphaeria Robertsii’ from New Zealand, as well as Doubleday’s identification of the insect infected by the fungus, i.e. ‘Hepialus virescens’. Thereafter the caterpillar fungus was always treated as a parasite on Hepialus moths, despite that different Hepialus species were identified as the host organism. Smith probably drew inspiration from Doubleday’s identification of Hepialus virescens. After 1871 Smith’s identification of the caterpillar gradually replaced previous identifications and obtained recognition from more authors. Nowadays although it is known that the fungus Cordyceps sinensis parasitises a group of caterpillars rather than one species of caterpillar, the host caterpillars still mainly fall within the genus Hepialus. Interestingly, Frederick P. Smith was a medical missionary sent to Central China by the English Wesleyan Missionary Society, arriving in Hankou on 16 May, 1864. Prior to his mission to China, he was
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elected a medical associate of King’s College, London and a member of the Royal College of Surgeons in 1855.616 In 1870 he returned to Britain from China due to illness.617 His account and identification of the caterpillar fungus represent the transnational network of knowledge exchange and production that was operating under the English Wesleyan missionary movement, but had links to academic institutions in Britain.

Here it is worth adding that the British naturalist Henry N. Moseley (1844-1891), who voyaged around the world during the period 1872-1876, was ‘astonished’ to find the caterpillar fungus as ‘a condiment in the sauce of some stewed pigeons’ at a Chinese dinner in Hong Kong.618 Later Moseley sent a letter from Hong Kong, containing some Chinese natural and medical knowledge about the caterpillar fungus, together with a drawing by himself (Fig. 18),619 to the Royal Horticultural Society on 31 December, 1874.620 The letter was then read by Berkeley at the meeting of the scientific committee of the Society on 3 March, 1875.621 Moseley also sent some specimens of the caterpillar fungus (imported from Shanghai) from Hong Kong to Britain.622 Like Pereira’s, his drawing of a bundle of the caterpillar fungus did not serve to identify species of the caterpillar fungus, but displayed the commodification of this medicinal substance. Compared with John Reeves’s specimens, however, Moseley’s were less well-known in Britain. This was because species of the caterpillar or fungus had already been identified and accepted before 1875; meanwhile some of Reeves’s specimens had therefore become type specimens of the caterpillar fungus. This meant that later taxonomists had to refer to Reeves’s specimens of the caterpillar fungus rather than others’ in case of uncertainty about the specific species of new specimens of the caterpillar fungus or other similar organisms.

The arrival of John Reeves’s specimens of the caterpillar fungus in Britain significantly advanced British naturalists’ taxonomic research on this exotic organism in the 19th century. Based on a distinct divide between the ‘caterpillar’ and ‘fungus’, emerging in 18th-century France and since then widely accepted in Europe, the species of the two constituent parts were identified from time to time and finally determined in the late 19th century. Through European naturalists’ lasting
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and constant pursuit of facts or truth about natural objects, both parts of the caterpillar fungus obtained a place in the European natural order based on Linnaeus’s classification system. This process was accompanied by an increasing emphasis on the importance of microscopic structures in characterising fungal species. In the case of the caterpillar fungus, related efforts included Berkeley’s observation of fungal ‘filaments’ and attempt to find spores (1843), and Cooke’s discovery of mature ‘sporidia’ (basidiospores) (1883). The frequently cited Chinese names for the caterpillar fungus allowed identifiers to establish a correspondence between its positions in the European and Chinese natural orders. The significance of such identification and of such relationships lay not only in their role in building the European natural order, but also in favouring the procuring of exotic medicinal substances. This was also why some kinds of knowledge that aided identification, such as geographical information about production areas, was of concern to some identifiers. In fact, the caterpillar fungus was widely known as a medicinal substance among the identifiers, and some of them, like Jonathan Pereira, took a particular interest in its medicinal properties. The identifiers’ selective appropriation of some Chinese knowledge about the caterpillar fungus, and their disinterest in other elements, demonstrates tensions and negotiations between Chinese and European natural knowledge in Europe. The images of the caterpillar fungus made by 19th-century identifiers represent their pursuit of the ‘truth-to-nature’ rather than mechanical objectivity which, according to Daston and Galison, emerged from the mid-19th century but ‘did not drive out truth-to-nature’ representations which continued to co-exist. In European history, as pointed out by Berkeley and historians of biology, Réaumur was the first European to study parasitic fungi in animals. In this sense we can say that the caterpillar fungus transformed to a European scientific wonder, which advanced European research on such parasitic fungi.

3.3.3 Medical Concerns

Medicinal substances derived from native and exotic natural products (mainly plants) remained in common use in 19th-century Europe despite some significant developments (e.g. animal experimentation) in European materia medica. Meanwhile, according to the British doctor
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Charles T. Downing (1811-1873) who travelled to China in the 1830s, ‘many drugs’ were brought from China to Europe, where researchers considered them to possess ‘very excellent qualities’. Despite noting that Europeans and the Chinese had different perceptions of the medicinal properties of some substances, Downing stated that they did not differ so much from each other regarding styles of medication and application of natural products as medicinal substances. Among 19th-century European works on materia medica, Jonathan Pereira’s monograph The Elements of Materia Medica and Therapeutics, initially published in 1839, was regarded by a reviewer in 1842 as ‘the most comprehensive and complete summary of Materia Medica’ ever published in Britain. It included finely classified medicinal substances from around the world, and accounts of their physiological actions and therapeutic uses extracted from copious European publications. The entry on ‘Sphaeria Sinensis, Berk.’ first appears in the third American edition of 1854. It is ranked among the entries of the Sub-order ‘Pyrenomycetes’, which again shows Pereira’s emphasis on taxonomy. The information and illustrations in the entry mainly originate from Pereira’s own 1843 article on the caterpillar fungus. But it also incorporates Berkeley’s identification and description of the fungus.

Since the mid-19th century, there have always been European medical authors who have made efforts to include the caterpillar fungus into their works on regional or global materia medica. For example, the Welsh pharmacist Theophilus Redwood (1806-1892) added the caterpillar fungus to his A Supplement to the Pharmacopoeia in 1857. This book was a revision of the English pharmacologist Samuel F. Gray’s (1766-1828) Gray’s Supplement to the Pharmacopoeia, which was first published in London in 1818 with the intention of supplementing the Pharmacopoeia Londinensis with new medicinal substances. Aside from Chinese names for the caterpillar fungus, Redwood also briefly describes the caterpillar fungus’s ‘strengthening and renovating properties’ and the method of preparing and eating it with duck. However, he only records ‘Thibet’ as its production area, and also misspells some of its Latin and Chinese names from related literature. In France,
for example, the pharmacists Jean L. Soubeiran (1827-1892) and Dabry de Thiersant (1826-1898) published their treatise *La Matière Médicale Chez les Chinois* (literally Chinese Materia Medica) in Paris in 1874. They recorded its effects on “jaunisse” (jaundice) and “phthisie” (phthisis), as well as its ability to endow people with “prouesses génésiques les plus grandes” (the greatest reproductive prowess).633 The French pharmacists Emile Perrot (1867-1951) and Paul Hurrier also published a monograph on Sino-Annamese *materia medica* in Paris in 1907. In addition to some medical knowledge extracted from existing publications, they added that the caterpillar fungus was called ‘Trung-thao’ in Annamite, and tasted as delicious as European ‘truffes’ (truffles).634 In Germany, the pharmacist Georg Dragendorff (1836-1898), for example, also included the caterpillar fungus, or ‘Seidenraupenpilz’ (silkworm fungus), in his ambitious work *Die Heilpflanzen der Verschiedenen Völker und Zeiten* (literally The Medicinal Plants of Various Nations and Times, 1898). This work offers very brief and basic information about 12 700 medicinal plants (and fungi) from around the world (probably to save the the book from becoming excessively long). For the caterpillar fungus, he simply recorded some mycological information and its Chinese name,635 which, however, would also have been helpful for procurement.

An important source of reference for some of the above publications was Frederick P. Smith’s *Contributions towards the Materia Medica & Natural History of China* (1871). It was published in Shanghai and London in 1871, with the assistance of the Irishman Robert Hart (1835-1911), who served as Inspector General of the Imperial Chinese Maritime Customs during the period 1863-1911.636 All the medicinal substances in this book are arranged alphabetically according to the first letters of their Latin names. According to Smith’s preface, this book was compiled on the basis of his examination of the best native drugs as well as Euro-American and Chinese texts on Chinese *materia medica* and natural history in his leisure time in Hankou, Hubei province (1864-1870). It was the integration of European and Chinese knowledge that gave rise to the idea that Chinese natural knowledge could make equal ‘contributions’ with that of the European world, and probably, though this is not explicitly stated, had originally given him the idea of entitling his book ‘*Contributions towards an Anglo-Chinese Materia Medica*’.637 Smith hoped that this book would
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‘have some practical value, in suggesting the best available remedies, or substitutes for foreign drugs dictated by necessity or economy.’ The target readership of the book also included travellers, soldiers, medical missionaries and Chinese labour inside or outside China. Obviously Smith’s book also reflects the importance of taxonomic identifications to the European procuring of effective exotic medicinal substances, and the skills necessary for the functioning of informal empire.

Probably to assist readers in obtaining the ‘Cordyceps sinensis’ (or ‘Hia-ts’au-tung-ch’ung’) and further using it as a substitute for ‘foreign drugs’, Smith pays special attention to commercial and also geographical information. He not only speaks of its commodity form (bundles), but also points out that ‘the present supply comes from Kia-ting fu [i.e. Jiading] in Sech’uen [i.e. Sichuan]’ although ‘it is said to be common in southern Thibet’. In the light of Du Halde’s account of its rarity, he stresses that it is no longer so rare. Clearly this was because the natural resource of the caterpillar fungus had been further exploited by his time. Smith records that the caterpillar fungus is used in ‘jaundice, phthisis and in cases of injury of any serious nature’. The use of the caterpillar fungus to treat jaundice and phthisis had not been reported in previous Chinese and Euro-American literature, so it is reasonable to speculate that Smith knew of this through his own medical practice or his contacts with Chinese physicians. In 1911 the American Methodist medical missionary George A. Stuart (1859-1911), who sailed to China in 1886, published a revision of Smith’s book. But it provided no new knowledge about the caterpillar fungus. In general, the above European works on materia medica reflect their authors’ efforts to assemble worldwide natural knowledge about the caterpillar fungus and other medicinal substances. Their main purpose was to provide a basis for exploiting global medicinal substances. A new feature of these European works was the integration of European binomial nomenclature and biology and exotic medical knowledge about medicinal substances.

From Frederick P. Smith we can determine the transnational networks within which medicinal substances and natural knowledge were exchanged. The main reason why Smith was able to obtain assistance from Chinese maritime customs (then administered by Robert Hart) was the latter’s own interest in Chinese medicinal substances, as well as the actual need for a comprehensive list of them so as to facilitate the governance of imports and exports. Considering that Smith’s book placed
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emphasis on gathering medical knowledge and thus was not very convenient for practical use in everyday customs regulation, Euro-American medical officers serving in the Chinese maritime customs began to compile their own up-to-date quick and easy directories of Chinese medicinal substances as early as the first decade after 1871. Much detailed information about medicinal uses, Latin names, and other aspects of a large number of such substances was collected and reported by the medical officers to the Chinese maritime customs service. They thought that ‘although in China the art of medicine is in decadence, … the art of pharmacy appears to be in a better state’. As a result, the List of Medicines Exported from Hankow and the Other Yangtze Ports and its revision were published as individual volumes by the statistical department of the Inspectorate General of Customs in 1888 and 1909 respectively. The List of Chinese Medicines, which covered even more ports of China, was also published by the same department in 1889. These customs publications only retain information about Chinese and English (or Latin, if ascertainable) names, production areas, economic value and transportation of Chinese medicinal substances. They all record the caterpillar fungus. To ensure the accuracy of the correspondence between scientific (Latin) and Chinese names for medicinal substances, drafts were sent to some customs employees who were considered to possess authoritative knowledge about biological species in China, such as the Irishman Augustine Henry (1857-1930), for revision before publication. From this we can still see the utilitarian function of scientific names. Actually, in some cases these customs publications, printed in Shanghai but sold both inside and outside China (e.g. Yokohama, Singapore, London, Paris and New York), acted as practical guides for knowing or obtaining Chinese natural or medicinal objects.

With their worldwide circulation, some readers in the West, such as the English naturalist Ernest H. Wilson (1876-1930), was thus able to gain an insight into many contemporary medicinal substances used in diet or the treatment of diseases in China. The Chinese maritime customs also published some English catalogues to promote the export of Chinese products
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including the caterpillar fungus and other medicinal substances. One of them, for example, was the *China: Port Catalogues of the Chinese Customs’ Collection at the Austro-Hungarian Universal Exhibition, Vienna, 1873*, which ‘make a very valuable volume, especially with respect to Chinese drugs and vegetable products’. These customs publications no doubt advanced the transnational circulation of the caterpillar fungus as a medicinal substance. Its appearance in ‘a Chinese pharmacy’ in Denver, America no later than the 1910s was a result of its export to America.

*A Medical Case Offered by Ivatts*

The rise in attention given to the caterpillar fungus in 19th-century Europe resulted in new investigations of its medical effects on the human body. In this respect the most impressive example is offered by the Irish doctor E. B. Ivatts. Inspired by an article on ‘*Torrubia Sinensis*’ (i.e. *Cordyceps sinensis*) in about 1877, Ivatts reasoned that since ergot (a parasitic fungus) of rye and mistletoe (a parasitic plant) exerted a specific action on the female reproductive system, most parasitic plants might also have ‘a special affinity for the male or female generative system’. In Europe, the effect of ergot on the female reproductive system was first reported no later than the seventh century, while the use of mistletoe in increasing fertility can be traced back at least to the first century. But ergot’s fungal nature and parasitism only began to be recognised by European scientists in the 18th century. With the application of microscopy and germination techniques, ergot’s scientific name and life cycle were also given and described in the first half of the 19th century. In order to ascertain if the parasitic caterpillar fungus ‘had any such action’, Ivatts entered into correspondence with Henry Frewin, who then sent Ivatts specimens of the caterpillar fungus at his request some time between 1877 and 1886. On receiving the specimens and assuming that there also be an affect on men as well, Ivatts directly tested them on himself. As we have seen, self-experimentation with medicinal substances was an important and defining feature of *materia medica* in pre-modern China. In 1886 Ivatts read a paper on his physical reactions before the
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Dublin Philosophical Club. He first quoted two accounts of the caterpillar fungus by Frederick P. Smith (1871) and the English mycologist James Britten (1877), and then reported:

I made up the first centesimal trituration and took the drug twice a day over several days, and found the effects as follows: During the first four days the action upon the generative system produced a most decided aphrodisiac effect, but after the four days the reverse action set in and the generative system became alarmingly depressed, the organ being reduced to the size of a baby’s. About the fifth day it produced a dull headache, followed by violent sneezing, and the next day a running from the nose (coryza) came on, which lasted for several days; the mucous membrane inside the nostrils continued dry and inflamed; vesicles came out upon the upper lip, which broke and healed in six days; odd red spots appeared here and there on the body; the teeth and gums were sore for several days; the bowels were constipated, with occasional discharge of single hard pieces, knotted, black, and with a greenish metallic hue, the same hue as observed in the powder of the Torrubia Sinensis under the microscope. The action of the drug on the nasal passages was similar to that of Iodide of Potassium.

Finally, Ivatts added that he also occasionally prescribed the caterpillar fungus to those who suffered from ‘ordinary running colds’. From their feedback he thought that it seemed to be able to bring relief and reduce attacks. Besides, he stated that it was probably also useful in ‘liver complaints, constipation and impotence’.

Ivatts was clearly acquainted with some Chinese knowledge about the caterpillar fungus through, for example, Frederick P. Smith’s 1871 work on materia medica. His attention to the aphrodisiac effect of the caterpillar fungus on his body indicates the influence of such knowledge. But in general, his self-experiment, which lasted about ten days, was carried out within European theoretical frameworks. That is not to say that his spirit of self-experimentation was any different to Chinese who had made similar experiments on themselves to test prevailing belief about the efficacy of the caterpillar fungus. We might, however see a difference in the precision of the account, or in the way it referenced contemporary European ‘traditions’ of medicine such as Homeopathy, rather
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than Chinese medicine. Since Ivatts had observed a number of negative symptoms, why did he still offer it to those who suffered from ‘ordinary running colds’, ‘liver complaints, constipation and impotence’? The ‘centesimal trituration’ in his narrative indicates the influence of homeopathy. Homeopathy was founded in Germany in the late 18th century, but later spread throughout Europe and other countries in the 19th century. It started to be introduced to Britain in the 1830s, with the British Homeopathic Society and The British Journal of Homoeopathy subsequently established in 1843 and 1844. In the late 19th century when Ivatts was active, homeopathic practitioners often produced centesimal triturations (containing 1 per cent of the original substance and normally 99 per cent of milk sugar) and administered them or their derivatives (such as further diluted solutions) to the sick. Ivatts did not indicate the concentration of the trituration of the caterpillar fungus given to those who had colds, constipation and impotence. But it may reasonably be supposed that the concentration was lower than one per cent, because the centesimal trituration was reported to have negative effects on his body; and homeopathy suggested the use of a lower concentration, which homeopathic practitioners believed would relieve or remove the symptoms caused by the same substance.

Ivatts made new observations on complex human physical reactions to the caterpillar fungus. But his way of employing the caterpillar fungus was different from the usual Chinese method which, as mentioned in his quotation from James Britten’s account, involved the use of duck in conjunction with the caterpillar fungus. He also drew inspiration from European biological and homeopathic knowledge. Here it is worth asking if Ivatts’s self-experimentation was convincing and of universal significance to medical treatment. Since the late 19th century homeopathy has gone out of favour with mainstream medicine and has been critised as lacking scientificity. In his self-experimentation, there was undoubtedly an absence of the kind of controlled conditions required by later trials, and a lack of statistical records. Therefore his observational results and suggestions cannot be any more valid than those derived from pre-19th century European and Chinese medical practice if these positivist (and anachronistic) criteria for establishing value are to be rationally applied. However, Ivatts’s exploration of the medicinal properties of the caterpillar fungus was
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consistent with the spirit of empiricism even though the results did not well support his hypothesis. Through Ivatts's reasoning, self-experimentation, observations and propaganda, we can witness the caterpillar fungus, a Chinese wonder of nature claimed to be deconstructed by (taxonomic) scientists, being transformed into a new medical wonder. This is reflected in his promotion of the caterpillar fungus as a cure among his patients, which also built a network for the dissemination of the caterpillar fungus in Britain. There were no microscopy and chemical analyses in Ivatts's own self-experiment. But based on his report, it is certain that he was familiar with the microscope and Iodide of Potassium (a chemically synthesised inorganic medicinal substance), which represented new ways of exploration in late 19th-century European medical sciences but were lacking in 19th-century Chinese medicine. However, little evidence can be found to confirm the prevalence of such a homeopathic use of the caterpillar fungus in 19th-century Europe and America.

To sum up, the appearance of the caterpillar fungus in 19th-century European works on regional or global materia medica shows the authors’ concerns about procuring and using exotic medicinal substances. They actively assimilated taxonomic, geographical and/or commercial information from Europe and abroad because it helped procurement. Through their efforts some local medical knowledge about medicinal substances including the caterpillar fungus was also transmitted to Europe (and even America). Partly on this basis, the medicinal properties and usages of some of such exotic substances could also be newly explored by European medical practitioners in their own scientific and medical frameworks. The case of Ivatts’s self-experiment not only reflected new explorations of exotic medicinal substances, but also highlighted re-evaluations of exotic medical knowledge. The latter indicated a hidden tense relationship between Chinese and European medical knowledge about Chinese medicinal substances exemplified by the caterpillar fungus. This tension is clear in the rhetoric of knowledge formed with the extension of European informal empire of knowledge networks.

3.3.4 Changes in Japan

*By separating the relations of political power from the relations of scientific reasoning while continuing to shore up power with reason and reason with power, the moderns have always had two
irons in the fire. They have become invincible.\textsuperscript{660}

The 19th century witnessed a profound transformation in Japanese perceptions of the caterpillar fungus. This is worth investigating in a separate section in consideration of the important role of Japan in transmitting new European (and Japanese) natural knowledge to modern China and serves to bridge the argument into the next chapter. In particular, Benjamin A. Elman points out that Meiji Japan became an East Asian model for Qing China to revive itself by means of assimilating Western learning at the end of the 19th century.\textsuperscript{661} Similarly Bridie Minehan finds that the medical reforms in Meiji Japan had a significant influence on the reform of traditional culture and medicine and the appropriation of the rhetoric of Western science in modern China.\textsuperscript{662} In the case of the caterpillar fungus, European scientific knowledge about it was initially transmitted to modern China through Chinese translations of Japanese articles from the beginning of the 20th century (see Chapter 4).

During the period 1640-1854, the Netherlands were the only European country allowed to trade with Japan. Meanwhile, Japanese people began to acquaint themselves with some European knowledge through their contacts with Dutch savants who entered Japan on Dutch merchant ships. Some of the European texts exported to Japan were then translated into the Japanese language and greatly informed the Japanese about the scientific norms of European civilisation. In this context ran gaku 蘭学 (Dutch learning) prospered among Japanese intellectuals until the decline of Dutch maritime supremacy in the late 18th century and the opening of Japan in the mid-19th century.\textsuperscript{663} Historians normally consider that the publication of Kai tai shin sho 解体新書 (New Text on Anatomy, 1774) marked the emergence of Dutch learning in Japan,\textsuperscript{664} which in turn reflects the important position of European medicine in Dutch learning.\textsuperscript{665} European medicine’s encounter with Kampo medicine exposed different medical understandings of the human body, diseases, treatment, and so on, and to a large extent undermined confidence in Kampo medicine in Japan. The translation of Kai tai shin sho, for example, was actually stimulated by the Japanese translator’s (also a physician) strong impression of a greater anatomical precision embodied in the original Dutch text after his observation of a human dissection. European materia medica was also disseminated in
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\textsuperscript{664} Clements 2015, p. 148; Kaji \textit{et al.} 2015, p. 284. \textit{Kai tai shin sho} was a Japanese translation of the 1734 Dutch text \textit{Ontleedkundige Tafelen}, while the latter was actually a Dutch translation of the third edition of the German text \textit{Anatomische Tabellen} (literally Anatomical Tables; first published in 1722), see Ishida 2002, pp. 31-51.
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18th- and 19th-century Japan through medical practice and texts such as Noro Genjou’s 阿蘭陀本草和解 (Japanese Interpretation of Dutch Materia Medica, 1750) and Tsuboi Shinryou’s 新薬百品考 (A Study of One Hundred New Drugs, 1866).

The journey of Dutch learning into Japan did not proceed smoothly. It was suppressed several times by the Tokugawa shogunate before the mid-19th century mainly due to ideological and political issues. On 8 July, 1853 a fleet of warships led by the American commodore Matthew C. Perry (1794-1858) reached Edo bay in Japan, with the professed intention of establishing an official trade relationship between America and Japan. After being declined, Perry left Japan only to return the following year. This time the Tokugawa shogunate, under military pressure, was forced to enter into the Treaty of Kanagawa with Perry on 31 March, 1854. As a result, Japan ended its seclusion and gradually opened its doors to the outside world. Such a change was also accompanied by the decline of Dutch learning in Japan, as the Dutch were no longer the sole medium through which Japan had intercourse with the Western world. The opening of Japan further facilitated the transmission of Western medical knowledge to the country. Meanwhile, the two Opium Wars in which Qing China was defeated by European powers also stimulated the Japanese government to embrace Western science and technology with the intention of solving the national crisis and modernising the country, beginning in the Meiji period. In 1869, the Meiji government started to promote German medicine in Japan. By contrast, Kampo medicine was largely suppressed by the Meiji government especially after 1883, and was thus caught in a real dilemma of survival and legitimacy. The introduction of Western chemical, biological and pharmacological approaches to research on medicinal substances used in Kampo medicine also led to the emergence of a new scholarship on materia medica in Japan. This academic transformation, which accompanied the rhetoric of ‘scientific’ authority aided by military power, later further influenced modern China.
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and contributed to the transformation of Chinese *materia medica*.

*In Search of the ‘Japanese’ Caterpillar Fungus*

Prior to the beginning of the 19th century, the caterpillar fungus as transmitted to Japan was still generally understood by reference to Chinese accounts. However, the flourishing of European natural history sciences in Edo and Meiji Japan significantly boosted Japanese naturalists’ new passion for observing, describing and collecting native or exotic natural objects. European botany and the Linnaean classification system, which began to take root in Japan in the early 19th century, also prompted Japanese naturalists to establish correspondences between East Asian and European scientific (Latin) names for indigenous organisms. Some Japanese naturalists and physicians were no longer satisfied with learning about the caterpillar fungus from previous Chinese and Japanese records. By the beginning of the 19th century, they had begun to discover this natural curiosity and medicinal substance in the wild in their own country. Although the caterpillar fungus growing in China had never been truly found in Japan (its natural habitat did not exist in Japan), this movement was coupled with new natural history findings and reflections in the encounter between East Asian and European academic traditions.

Yuzuki Tokiwa, as mentioned before, ranked among those Japanese pioneers. In his 1801 collection of drawings, the caterpillar fungus from China and some other insect-fungi growing in Japan are all called ‘*ka sou tou chuu* 夏草冬蟲’ (summer grass winter worm), although he clearly illustrates their different morphological characteristics and particularly records the former as imported. In this sense, the Japanese term ‘*ka sou tou chuu* 夏草冬蟲’ or its inverted form ‘*tou chuu ka sou*’, which contains the same two pairs of characters in the Chinese term ‘*xia cao dong chong* 夏草冬蟲’ or ‘*dong chong xia cao*’, actually refers to a group of fungal species. Although it is not always certain that the Chinese term in Chinese historical literature exclusively denoted one fungal species, identifications made in Europe and Russia prove that the *xia cao dong chong* sent to those two regions belonged to the same single fungal species. In European and Russian contexts, transliterations of the Chinese term were also specifically used to denote the species *Cordyceps*
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(Sphaeria) sinensis.

Yuzuki’s findings received attention from the herbalist Ohara Momohora 小原桃洞 (1746-1825). According to his posthumous manuscript, Ohara had collected some Japanese and Chinese accounts of the origin and medicinal properties of the caterpillar fungus. He agreed with Yuzuki that similar organisms also grew in Japan, as some Japanese publications had reported discoveries of such organisms around ditches and courtyards in 1805, 1808 and 1824. In addition, eleven specimens of such native organisms were illustrated in his manuscript.677 Both Yuzuki and Ohara were aware of morphological differences between the Chinese caterpillar fungus and native Japanese insect-fungi. However, Ohara had begun to suspect that some of the insect-fungi found in Japan were actually ‘semi hana/chan hua 螳花’ (flowers on cicada), which had long been used as a medicinal substance in China.678 Afterwards, more and more Japanese naturalists paid attention to such insect-fungi when collecting and making field observations. For example, the herbalist Mizutani Toyofumi 水谷豊文 (1779-1833) produced a collection of drawings of insects and animals in the early 1830s, a few of which, though lacking captions, actually depict eleven specimens of ‘flowers on cicada’.679 The specimens mainly differentiate themselves from each other by the morphological characteristics of their fruiting bodies. Compared with those illustrated by Kurimoto Tanshu in 1811 (see above), they represented bind sight in observation, which characterises scientific objectivity.680 Throughout the 19th century, discoveries of insect-fungi were constantly being made in Japan, especially Chikugo, Kyushu.681 The insect-fungi in relevant Japanese publications were still often categorised under the title ‘ka sou tou chuu 夏草冬蟲’ or ‘tou chuu ka sou’.682 This also means that the term was generally used in a broadened sense by Japanese authors despite its Chinese origin.

In order to differentiate the Chinese expression ‘xia cao dong chong 夏草冬蟲’ (or ‘dong chong xia cao’) from the Japanese homonym ‘ka sou tou chuu 夏草冬蟲’ (or ‘tou chuu ka sou’), some Japanese authors began to stress the origins of the organisms in question when they used the terms. For example, Fujii Kansai 藤井咸齋 stated that he had seen both the Chinese caterpillar

678 For the use of chan hua in Chinese medicine, see Li [1578] 1979, pp. 2309-2310. For modern perceptions of chan hua, see Luo and Song 2007, pp. 14-15. The Latin name for chan hua is Cordyceps cicadicola, see Liang 2007, pp. 144-145.
679 Mizutani c. 1833, pp. 88-90. For Mizutani’s life, see Chi ba ken risu chuu ou haku butsu kan 2008, p. 244.
681 Esaki 1929, pp. 221-231.
682 See, for example, Oda 1898, p. 465.
fungus sold in Japanese drugstores and some similar Japanese native organisms. At the beginning of the entry ‘tou chuu ka sou 冬蟲夏草’ in his 1829 text on Chinese and Japanese materia medica, Fujii explicitly states that there are two kinds of such organisms: one is ‘haku rai 舶來’ (imported), while the other is ‘kazu san 和產’ (produced in Japan). However, the expression ‘haku rai 舶來’ is ambiguous because it does not explicitly indicate where the caterpillar fungus was imported from. Therefore, in the late 19th and early 20th centuries some Japanese scientists began to use a the more specific term ‘han san 漢產’ or ‘shi na san 脂那產’ (produced in China) to refer to the caterpillar fungus from China, or ‘Cordyceps sinensis’ (or ‘Sphaeria sinensis’). The above attempts to seek a ‘Japanese’ caterpillar fungus were accompanied by reflections on new relationships between names and entities. The discovery of similar Japanese native organisms present new findings on the geographical distribution of insect-fungi. The transformation of ‘夏草冬蟲’ (or ‘冬蟲夏草’) from a Chinese term to a Japanese term and the broadened meaning of its identifications in the Japanese context also indicate a Japanisation of the category for the Chinese caterpillar fungus. This is consistent with Benjamin A. Elman’s analysis of the adaptation of Chinese medicine and appropriation of Chinese thoughts and learning before the late 19th century in Japan. The introduction of scientific discoveries of the caterpillar fungus and similar organisms, which will be discussed below, also testify to European influence and a pluralistic understanding of such organisms in 19th-century Japan.

New Perceptions

In a pre-modern Sino-Tibetan context, the caterpillar fungus was able to transform between a worm and a blade of grass. But the Chinese never associated this transformation with the ‘flowers on cicada’ (‘chan hua’ in Chinese) or any other organisms that resembled the caterpillar fungus. Interestingly, however, even in the late 19th century not only did some Japanese authors still believe the transformation theory, but they also applied the theory to native insect-fungi (e.g. Cordyceps nutans Pat.).
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Since the early 19th century, with the transplantation of European natural history sciences into Japan, some Chinese natural knowledge about the caterpillar fungus had become a target for criticism. In this respect, the earliest critic was probably the Japanese naturalist Masushima Ranzono 増島蘭園 (1769-1839), who mentioned the caterpillar fungus in his book entitled ‘Kin shi 菌史’ (History of Fungi, finalised in 1811). Although he misdated the initial arrival of the caterpillar fungus in Japan to the Kansei (1789-1800) period, probably due to his misunderstanding of Tamba Genkan’s account, he had carefully observed specimens of it. Unlike authors such as Tamba, Masushima related the ‘grass’ to ‘kin 菌’ (fungi), and emphasised that the formation of the so-called ‘winter worm summer grass’ was absolutely not caused by transformation but by fungal infections of dead insects underground. In his eyes, the transformation theory was extremely absurd. Nevertheless, he valued Chinese accounts about the medicinal properties and uses of the caterpillar fungus, and asserted that people should not abandon them together with the fallacious transformation theory. This concern for medical utility was also why he particularly quoted a related medical record by the Chinese physician Wu Yiluo.687 On the point of the separation of domains of medicine and natural biology, and their relative utility, Masushima did not significantly differ from some of the European naturalists, pharmacognosists or doctors mentioned before.

In the late 19th century, Japanese botanists and entomologists published a few academic articles on the caterpillar fungus and similar organisms. They not only introduced new European natural knowledge, but also sometimes set out to inform Japanese readers of the true nature of these organisms. For example, a Japanese man’s inquiry about the caterpillar fungus and its transformation stimulated the Japanese botanist Miyoshi Manabu 三好學 (1862-1939) to publish a review article in the Shoku butsu gaku zatsu shi 植物學雜誌 (The Botanical Magazine) in 1888.688 Miyoshi aimed to help him and others abandon their belief in fallacious ideas prevailing in Japan. After quoting Ohara Momohora’s account, Miyoshi then turns to English publications. He also treats ‘tou ouch ka sou 冬蟲夏草’ as a group of similar organisms, and lists nine species of fungi belonging to the genus Torrubia (family Sphaeriaceae, division Ascomycet[e]s). His references include an account by Mordecai C. Cooke, which, according to my examination, comes from Cooke’s book Fungi: Their Nature and Uses (1875).689 Moreover, he paid additional attention to introducing the

689 For Cooke’s original account, see Cooke 1875, pp. 241-252.
insects on which these fungi grew, such as *Hepialus virescens*. According to his article, 25 kinds of such insects had been discovered; and both the insects and fungi were distributed around the world. With this assertion, the insect-fungi not only lost their transformation ability, but also lost rarity value.

A few years later, the botanist Yasuda Atsushi 安田篤 (1868-1924) reported his identifications of two kinds of fungi parasitic on insects in 1894. One was ‘Isaria arachnophila, Ditm.’ growing on ‘tsuchi gumo 蟲蟷’ (trapdoor spider); while the other was ‘Torrubia militaris, Fr.’ growing on nymph of some species belonging to the order Lepidoptera. Yasuda discovered them in Japan, and called them ‘tou chuu ka sou 冬蟲夏草’. Like Miyoshi, he criticised the transformation theory as a fallacy. But the emphasis of his articles was on macroscopic and microscopic descriptions of the specimens, given to support his identifications. Because Yasuda perceived the specimens within the frame of European mycology, it was natural for him to use mycological terms of European origin to describe their macroscopic and microscopic structures, such as ‘shi jitsu tai 子實体’ (stroma), ‘hou shi 胞子’ (spore), ‘kin shi 菌糸’ (mycelium) and ‘hachi rechi shi 八裂子’ (ascospore). Besides, he also used characters such as ‘ka 科’ (family), ‘zoku 屬’ (genus) and ‘tane 種’ (species) to describe their taxonomic rank. Doubtless the concept of species and taxonomic hierarchy and the application of microscopic observations in identifying species originated from modern European biology. In his articles, the two specimens were formed as follows: fungal spores infected underground insects, then developed into mycelium inside the insects’ bodies, and eventually killed them; after the mycelium had occupied the interior of the dead insect bodies, it then grew out of the bodies and gradually formed visible fruiting bodies. Basically, Yasuda’s discoveries constituted Japanese efforts to seek out and record native species similar to the caterpillar fungus from China. However, his identifications and theoretical explanations of their formation embodied the tensions between East Asian and European perceptions of nature that were encountered in Japan. In particular, the microscope enabled Japanese biologists to ‘see’ inaccessible and invisible regions of nature. The power of new scientific instruments (e.g. the microscope and telescope), which were perceived by modern Europeans as ‘evidence of the superiority of their age over antiquity’, was also adopted into the powerful rhetoric of modern science in Japan.
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691 For an earlier brief European introduction to this fungus, see Craig 1847, p. 96.
692 The terms ‘stroma’ and ‘ascospore’ are not my own translations but are directly cited from Yasuda’s articles.
693 Van Helden 1983, pp. 49-84.
Both Miyoshi and Yasuda paid little attention to the caterpillar fungus from China. In contrast, one of the botanist Kurita Manjirou’s articles published in 1889 was primarily devoted to its natural history. Kurita first briefly introduces its fungal nature, its identity as a famous Chinese medicinal substance and its scientific name, by referring to John Lindley’s *The Vegetable Kingdom* (1853). He does not directly criticise the popular transformation theory, but the quotation from Lindley actually indirectly reflects his acceptance of modern European understandings of the nature and formation of the caterpillar fungus. He then quotes records of the caterpillar fungus from three *materia medica* texts in the English, Chinese and Japanese languages respectively, i.e. *Contributions towards the Materia Medica & Natural History of China* (1871), *Ben cao gang mu shi yi* 本草綱目拾遺 (1765), and *Zou ho te ban hatsu mou* 增補手板發蒙 (1823). In addition to the above quotations, Kurita’s article also contains much natural and medical information of East Asian origin. Like some of his predecessors, Kurita associated the caterpillar fungus with similar Japanese insect-fungi, and still called the latter ‘*ka sou tou chuu*’. Generally speaking, Kurita tried to avoid acting as a judge of true or fallacious natural knowledge, and endeavoured to tolerate and bring together natural knowledge from different cultures. His efforts were made within transnational networks of knowledge, but we can detect the growing acceptance of a hierarchy of scientific knowledge. The terms ‘*Sphaeria Sinensis, Berk.*’ and ‘*kin zoku*’菌屬 (Fungi)’ introduced at the beginning of the article indicate the priority of European scholarship in his mind with regard to the caterpillar fungus’s natural properties.

Some Japanese naturalists were also keen to popularise modern European mycological knowledge relating to the insect-fungi discovered in Japan in an exoteric way. For example in 1898, the entomologist Oda Zeisuke 小田勢助 (1872-1917) published a short article about the diversity in the species of such native organisms in the magazine *Kon chuu se kai* 昆蟲世界 (Insect World). It does not contain any quotations, but briefly introduces their fungal and insect nature, taxonomic positions, scientific formation theory, and habitat in the field. Oda’s article, though entitled ‘*tou chuu ka sou* 冬蟲夏草’, lacks any information about the caterpillar fungus growing in China. From the Meiji period the number of scientific publications involving insect-fungi also
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694 Kurita 1889, pp. 29-32. For Kurita’s life, see Hasegawa 1977, pp. 18-19.  
695 Kurita recorded the book title and the author as ‘*Abura na kasuri hin bi kou* 脂那藥品備考’ and ‘*su mi su* スミス’. The latter was actually a Japanese transliteration of the English word ‘Smith’. Besides, Kurita added that he referred to the 73rd page of Smith’s text. Thus after examining the content of the quotation, it is certain that ‘*Abura na kasuri hin bi kou* ‘is a reference to Frederick P. Smith’s 1871 book on *materia medica*.  
significantly increased in Japan.\footnote{Esaki 1929, pp. 221-231. See, for example, Shirai 1925 [1914], pp. 361-375.} This further highlights the expansion of interest in exploring Japanese native species and situating them in the European natural order. In the fields of modern pharmacognosy and pharmacology, some scientific research on natural medicinal substances used both in China and in Japan was also being carried out in Meiji Japan,\footnote{See, for example, Tamba 1881, pp. 5-8; Shimoyama 1882, pp. 6-12; Wang 1909, pp. 461-472.} although the Chinese caterpillar fungus and Japanese insect-fungi had as yet received little attention from either field. However, Yasuo Otsuka reminds us that paralleling studies of ‘Chinese and Japanese drugs’ by ‘using methods of the natural sciences’ (e.g. chemical analysis) in 18th- and 19th-century Japan, Kampo practitioners, modern science had little influence on Kampo medical practice since Kampo was severely restricted after the Meiji Restoration.\footnote{Otsuka 1976, pp. 322-340.}

Overall, the efforts to seek, observe, identify and describe the caterpillar fungus and similar organisms in 19th-century Japan were made in the context of interactions between Chinese and Japanese natural cultures and their encounter with European natural history. From the beginning of the 19th century, new natural knowledge about such organisms was produced in Japan, while tensions between East Asian and European understandings of their nature and formation also emerged. The perceived superiority of the European natural history sciences to East Asian indigenous natural knowledge systems, partly established by the Meiji government, prompted new patterns of perceiving and studying natural objects in Japan. The general application of microscopy, hierarchical Linnaean taxonomy, binomial nomenclature and modern European chemical and pharmacological theories and approaches in 19th-century scientific communities in Japan embodied significant changes in attitudes towards exploring East Asian medicinal substances or natural objects. But this did not simultaneously happen in the community of Kampo practitioners. However, Chinese empirical medical knowledge about the caterpillar fungus, valued by some European authors, had also received relatively positive attention in Japan. This was mainly because such knowledge did not simply contradict or prove itself compatible with European natural history sciences, but would provide an important reference for scientific research on East Asian medicinal substances.

3.4 Conclusion
The pursuit of new medicinal substances and curiosity about exotic natural objects propelled the transmission of specimens of *materia medica* from China to France, Britain, Russia and Japan in the 18th and 19th centuries. Within this new wave of interest, and under mechanistic scrutiny, the caterpillar fungus remained particularly attractive as a category-crossing phenomenon. With its arrival in Europe, European scholars embarked on research on the caterpillar fungus within the parameters of emerging European academic and scientific networks of knowledge. The research was performed in a new set of pan-European institutions by a new class of professional scientists. These groups established theories of fungal parasitism on animals based on microscopic observations, which led to new classifications. Their research mainly included revelations about its fungal nature, parasitic mechanisms, and identifications of its specific species according to those theories. Chinese natural and medical knowledge about the caterpillar fungus served as an important reference for (and supplement to) European research where, from the early 18th century onward, ideas about spontaneous cross-species transformation were criticised as primitive belief in wonders. But meanwhile, the caterpillar fungus was actually also transformed into a scientific or medical object of wonder, giving rise to a significant change in perceptions of the nature of fungal parasitism on larvae and the development of a new classification. Similar examples were discovered among native insect-fungi in 19th-century Japan. They relate closely to the transformation of Japanese scientific and medical studies, which later profoundly influenced the building of a modern Chinese *materia medica*. There is no doubt that the special features of the caterpillar fungus attracted the attention of scientists around the world, and that it retained its agency throughout its extensive journeys abroad, negotiating its place in the new world, and confronting the challenges of modernity.

The new scientific theories had limited direct impact on medical knowledge and practice. Mainly drawing on pre-modern observations about its aphrodisiac effect, the Irish medic Ivatts associated the caterpillar fungus, now identified as a parasitic fungus, with some parasitic fungi and plants that had effects on the human reproductive system, and thus carried out self-experimentation. The new identification of the mycological category facilitated the hypothesis that others members of the same category might have similar physiological effects, but this method cannot be accounted unique and in many ways represents the ancient and well tried method grounded in correspondence thinking. In a modern context, scientific theories and knowledge were deployed as often rhetoric that served to establish relations between an increasingly powerful and belligerent West and a
dangerously enfeebled millennia-old imperial China in its death throes. While Chinese physicians recommended that there should be new experimentation, in actual fact this new research has had little implication for the development and usages of *materia medica*. And this observation pertains to the present day as we will begin to see in the next chapter. The institutions of power and science of the 18th and 19th centuries shaped the beliefs and social dynamics of physicians and scientists, but they did not necessarily change practice in the coming decades of the early twentieth century. And in contemporary Sino-Tibetan cultures, this is ever more the case as the caterpillar fungus enjoys a revival of popular belief in its magical efficacy and its potency in promoting long life and increased sexual competence. Although there were substantial scientific discoveries during the first half of the 20th century, there was also very little effect on the medical. With modern science becoming a discursive power for the deconstruction of Chinese medicine,\(^{700}\) Chinese empirical knowledge about medicinal substances, valued by both new scientists/doctors and Chinese physicians, became a basis for buffering the impact of modern science on the survival of Chinese medicine and advancing the academic transformation of Chinese *materia medica*.

\(^{700}\) Lei 2002, pp. 333-364; Lei 2014, pp. 91-96.
Chapter 4 The Caterpillar Fungus Negotiates Science with Chinese Physicians

‘The adjective ‘modern’ designates a new regime, an acceleration, a rupture, a revolution in time. When the word ‘modern’, ‘modernization’, or ‘modernity’ appears, we are defining, by contrast, an archaic and stable past… ‘Modern’ is thus doubly asymmetrical: it designates a break in the regular passage of time, and it designates a combat in which there are victors and vanquished.’

—— Bruno Latour701

4.1 Introduction

Material exchanges and cross-cultural interactions between Europe and China, and also within East Asia, increasingly intensified from the 17th century. At the same time, the transmission of knowledge about medicinal substances that had already enjoyed a long and dynamic history in China involved an accelerating integration and adaptation into new epistemologically distinctive practices. Existing studies have pointed out that during the encounter of the so-called scientific medicine with Chinese medicine in the modern period, Chinese materia medica, now decontextualised from discredited medical themes and theories, was less subject to criticism and misunderstanding than were other aspects of Chinese medicine.702 Indeed, different epistemologies legitimating the same medicinal substances at the same time had given rise to controversy in the intellectual community about the validity of one kind of knowledge or another. But that the debate continues into the 21st century also indicates that the political interventions described in Chapter 1 on the side of a modern science could never fundamentally unify the central academic and epistemological issues from the top down. How do two, potentially, extreme, positions, one made explicit by creators and disseminators of scientific and biomedical ‘truth’, the other represented in the practice of traditional physicians, actually figure in the work of early twentieth century scientists and writers? In practice we will see a number of groups contributing to different continuities and ruptures in Chinese and exotic natural knowledge about indigenous medicinal substances. Each engaged in the

transformation of Chinese *materia medica*, leaving a body of knowledge and practice that can neither be said to be truly modern nor traditional, and we will track these observations by following the 19th and early 20th century footsteps of the caterpillar fungus.

This chapter first investigates the transplantation and production of scientific knowledge about the caterpillar fungus through transnational networks of people and institutions in modern China, especially Republican China. This process involved the interposition of modern science in indigenous knowledge of medicinal substances and the establishment of the discursive power of modern scientific knowledge. The second section will focus on a case study of the academic ideology and activities of the traditional physician Chen Cunren 陳存仁 in the 1920s and 1930s. Chen represents mainstream traditional physicians’ new understandings of the importance of indigenous medicinal substances, as exemplified by the transformations of the caterpillar fungus in Chinese medicine, as well as their reflections on the prospects for Chinese *materia medica* and responses to modern science. I argue that the advocates of a modern science brought about the emergence of a new Chinese *materia medica*, but that this *materia medica* was, counter intuitively, characterised by plural knowledge systems related to and in conversation with the new goal of scientification. The epistemically distinct practices of the new scientists in China and their rhetoric challenged the nature of indigenous knowledge about Chinese medicinal substances, but they tended to favour the retention of much Chinese empirical medical knowledge as a valuable source for exploring new effective medicinal substances or medicinal substitutes. In modern Chinese societies, however, the new scientific knowledge did not necessarily impact on indigenous ways of using Chinese medicinal substances or the communities involved in their exchange and prescription. We will therefore examine how different communities who were attracted to the ways in which the caterpillar fungus could articulate both new and old positions, and the caterpillar fungus itself, negotiated the future of ‘traditional’ medicine.

4.2 Locating a ‘Scientific’ Caterpillar Fungus

The book *Zhi wu xue* 植物學 (*Elements of Botany*), published by the London Missionary Society Press in Shanghai in March, 1858, was the first introduction to modern European botany in Chinese translation. In the first volume of this book, the caterpillar fungus, which ostensibly transformed
from a blade of grass in spring and summer to a worm in winter, was taken as an example of the integration of animals and plants in a discussion of their relative continuities. This example was fraught with the implications of its association with natural theology, and the point it was intended to demonstrate was out of step with the academic European botany of that time. Although *Zhi wu xue* was translated from works by the British botanist John Lindley (1799-1865) and other European authors, the explanation about the caterpillar fungus’ transformation is nowhere to be found in Lindley’s works. It was probably extracted and interpolated from ancient Chinese records of the caterpillar fungus by one of the translators, Li Shanlan 李善蘭 (1811-1882). In *Zhi wu xue* its identity still hovered between ‘animal’ and ‘plant’, and had nothing to do with fungi. However, although some relatively new information about the caterpillar fungus was assimilated into some European texts published in China, due to their expected readership, this hybrid knowledge did not circulate extensively in China. About two decades later, the German missionary Ernest J. Eitel (1838-1908), who arrived in China in 1862, published his work entitled *A Chinese Dictionary in the Cantonese Dialect* (1877) in London and Hong Kong. Eitel included the term ‘xia cao dong chong 夏草冬蟲’, and provided its Cantonese pronunciation ‘há ts’ò tung ch’ung’ as well as the Latin name ‘Cordyceps Sinensis’. But because this dictionary was compiled for the use of foreign students of the Cantonese Dialect as a general guide to the written language of China, Eitel’s mention of the Latin name for the caterpillar fungus was understandably neglected by publications in the Chinese language. And therefore early influence of the new languages of European science cannot be dated to this time.

4.2.1 New Chinese Eyes on the Caterpillar Fungus Cause a Cognitive Crisis

The caterpillar fungus therefore retained its identity as a magical substance in late 19th-century China. In addition to being diffused and consumed throughout all the levels of Chinese society, a
history I will come back to, it evidently also had much emotional resonance for Chinese literati. For example, Wu Yangxian 吳仰賢 (1821-1887), a native of Jiaxing, Zhejiang, expressed his disappointment at the inconstancy of human society through a comparison with the ever-transforming and thus immortal caterpillar fungus, in a poem entitled ‘The Caterpillar Fungus’: ‘shen shi yan liang wai, ji guan dong zhi zhong; you lai bu si cao, zhi shi ke lian chong’ (one’s lifetime may be exciting or dull, so cunning are the animals and plants; but the grass that has long been considered immortal, is merely a pitiful worm). Similarly Shen Shourong 沈壽榕 (1823-1884), a native of Haining, Zhejiang who held an official position in Sichuan, eulogised the marvellous transformation and potency of the caterpillar fungus produced in Sichuan as well as the mystery of nature: ‘sheng ji wan zhuan bian dong chong, yi yang wu zhi you hua gong’ (vigorously transforming to and from a winter worm, it is strange to people but embodies the power of nature). After receiving the fungus as a gift from one of his Sichuan friends, with the advice about its medical and culinary purposes, Yu Yue 俞樾 (1821-1907), a native of Deqing, Zhejiang, praised it as a ling yao 靈藥 (magically effective panacea): ‘ling yao dong chong huan xia cao, qi hua shi si you gan sheng’ (This panacea transforms between a winter worm and a summer grass; it is like a bizarre flower which dies in moist conditions but grows in dry conditions). Chinese literati thus lamented and extolled the virtues of the caterpillar fungus in this way and associated it with their own personal experience and reflections on the potency and immortality of Chinese culture. Through these figures, some of whom, like Yu Yue, had both a local and national reputation, the fame of the caterpillar fungus as a marvel reached an ever greater number of people and areas. However, from the beginning of the 20th century, challenges to previous Chinese narratives of the caterpillar fungus also began to emerge in China.

In August, 1900, the Japanese sinologist Fujita Toyohachi 藤田豐八 (1869-1929) published a Chinese article entitled Dong chong xia cao 冬蟲夏草 (winter worm summer grass) in Nong xue bao 農學報 (Journal of Agriculture). It was translated from a 1898 Japanese article of the same
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709 Shen [1883] 2002, p. 217. Shen noted that ‘the caterpillar fungus produced in Sichuan is a good medicinal substance’ (蜀產冬蟲夏草，良藥也).
712 Fujita 1900, pp. 484-485.
title in the journal *Kon chuu se kai* 昆蟲世界 (Insect World). Nong xue bao was a journal established in Shanghai in 1897 against the background of a social movement directed at rejuvenating the country by means of agriculture. It was committed to disseminating agricultural science and technology, with emphasis on both recovering classical Chinese knowledge of agriculture and introducing fresh European, American and Japanese agriculture and applied sciences through translation of foreign works. Fujita was not an author, but was employed in Shanghai until 1919 by Luo Zhenyu 羅振玉 (1866-1940), one of the founders of the journal, to translate Japanese sources for the journal. The case of Fujita represents one important avenue for the transmission of scientific knowledge to China. It came at a time just after the fall of the Qing dynasty, when some famous Chinese academics were sponsoring numerous Chinese translations of Japanese publications.

Aside from the article about the caterpillar fungus, Fujita also translated two other articles in this issue that had originally been published in the same Japanese journal. The other two articles focused on parasitic insects and toads, which were highly relevant to crop protection. In contrast, the article about the caterpillar fungus, which was positioned before the two other articles, had little relationship with agriculture. In this sense Fujita’s introduction to the caterpillar fungus in this Chinese journal of agriculture was exceptional. Fujita did not give any account of his intentions in publishing it, but was evidently eager to overturn the long prevailing stories of the magical transformation of the caterpillar fungus. His translation first mentions that a man called Nakazawa 中澤 once saw two specimens of the caterpillar fungus in Japan: one produced two jing 葠 (stems) apparently growing out of the head of a ma tiao 馬蜩 (cicada), while the other showed one jing (stem) growing out of an unknown insect’s back. The article then criticises the old view that the caterpillar fungus’s stems were transformed from insects and offers a new and disenchanted explanation: the caterpillar fungus belonged to the family yan jun ke 簷菌科 (Basidiomycete).
and its stems actually develop from the zhong zi 种子 (seeds) of the jun lei 菌類 (fungi) which ‘invade’ the bodies of dead insects under the ground in autumn and absorb nutrition for their growth. Obviously, ‘seeds’ here refers to the identification of what were to be known as fungal spores. And the term for caterpillar fungus in the translation does not specifically refer to Cordyceps sinensis but to species of the genus Cordyceps in a broad sense, especially those growing in Japan. Moreover, both Fujita’s translation and the original article neglect to mention the caterpillar fungus’ origin in China.

Fig. 19  A specimen of Cordyceps sinensis from Tibet (top right corner) identified by Ito Tokutaro in 1903.

Subsequently, a relatively long article entitled Dong chong xia cao shuo 冬蟲夏草說 (Speaking of winter worm summer grass) was published in Nong xue bao in October, 1903. It was translated from an article originally written by the Japanese botanist Ito Tokutaro 伊藤篤太郎 (1866-1941) and published in the Japanese journal Shin nou hou 新農報 (Journal of New Agriculture). The Chinese translation starts with a discussion of more than ten specimens of the caterpillar fungus brought from Tibet to Japan by the Buddhist monk Kawaguchi Ekai 河口慧海 (1866-1945), who then sent them to Ito for identification (Fig. 19). Ito describes the appearance of these specimens, and briefly mentions the historical trade in the caterpillar fungus as a medicinal

However, the caterpillar fungus and other closely related species do not belong to Basidiomycete but Ascomycetes. Besides, the original Japanese article additionally mentions that the caterpillar fungus belong to the ‘su be i ri a スベイリア’, which is the Japanese transliteration of the Latin genus name ‘Sphaeria’.

This judgment is based on the fact that Cordyceps sinensis is not distributed in Japan, and does not grow on cicada.

Anonymous 1903, pp. 440-444. The translator for this article remains unknown.

Shin nou hou was established in 1899. For Ito Tokutaro’s life, see Iwatsu 2010.

Kawaguchi travelled to Tibet twice, and stayed there during July 1900-June, 1902, and January, 1914-April, 1915. For his two journeys, see Kawaguchi 1904; Kawaguchi 1909; Kawaguchi 1966. The specimens mentioned here must have been obtained during his first stay in Tibet. For Kawaguchi’s life, see Berry 1989; Kouyama 2011.
substance between China and Nagasaki. He explains its life cycle as an irreversible process of fungal infection, and then briefly reviews the history of European studies of the caterpillar fungus (i.e. *Cordyceps sinensis*). As he refers to European literature, and stresses that 62 species of the caterpillar fungus have been discovered around the world, apparently he too treats the caterpillar fungus as the *Cordyceps* species in a broad sense. Like Fujita, Ito claims that Japan also has native species of the caterpillar fungus.

In fact in December 1902, Kawaguchi had also presented Ito with some plants collected in the vicinity of Darjeeling in Sikkim Himalaya. But Ito seemed to be more interested in the caterpillar fungus, and therefore he wrote an article exclusively on this curious organism. His intention, as is stressed in the article, was to expose the errors of the popular old theory about the formation of the caterpillar fungus, so that, since the scientific theory of its life cycle had become clear in his time, people should not continue to believe the erroneous theory. Compared with the translation by Fujita discussed above, this article is more scholarly since it contains a systematic review of European scholarship on mycology. For Chinese readers, it must have been also more intelligible as it centres on the familiar Chinese caterpillar fungus and contains illustrations of a few different *Cordyceps* species.

Beyond the science and technology journals, we also see the caterpillar fungus active in the popularisation of new knowledge in the domestic sphere. Some Chinese progressive intellectuals of the end of the Qing dynasty considered that female readers should not be excluded from scientific knowledge. In 1905, the journal *Nü zi shu jie* 女子世界 (The Female World), which was dedicated to female education and women’s rights, published an article on the creation of botanic gardens in its ‘*Ke xue* 科學’ (science) column. The article was translated from the last chapter of the Japanese botanist Miyoshi Manabu’s 三好學 Shoku butsu manabu minoru ken sho ho 植物學實驗初步 (Introduction to Botanical Experiments, 1899). In a prolegomenon to the translation, the Chinese translator first complains that science is a subject that is very rarely studied in China. He then strongly criticises the backwardness of indigenous Chinese knowledge of plants. He further stresses that China has only ornamental gardens and lacks botanic gardens for the scientific study of plants.
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723 Ito 1903, pp. 157-159.
724 Zhiqun 1905, pp. 21-26; Zhiqun 1905, pp. 31-46. *Nü zi shu jie* was established in Changshu, Jiangsu in 1904. For the objectives of this journal, see Jin 1904, pp. 1-3.
725 Miyoshi 1899, pp. 134-141. Miyoshi published an article on *tou chuu ka sou* 冬蟲夏草 in 1888, Miyoshi 1888, pp. 36-40.
like those in the West. He therefore suggests that Chinese ladies who are not able to go to school should create botanic gardens at their homes and buy books on botany so as to study plants with their friends. The main body of the translation can be seen as a brief synthetic outline of 19th-century European classification systems of flowering and flowerless and seedless plants. The caterpillar fungus is listed as a representative species of the Ascomycetes fungi. Although the article does not offer specific biological knowledge about the caterpillar fungus, it shows the taxonomic rank of the caterpillar fungus in the natural order established by European scholars and uncritically accepted by both Miyoshi and the Chinese translator.

Overall, both early East Asian scientists, translators working for the new scientific publications, and those involved in the popularisation of European science in China made explicit criticisms that directly rejected Chinese knowledge about the caterpillar fungus and which accompanied the transmission of scientific knowledge translated from Japanese literature in the 1900s. The caterpillar fungus was no longer represented as a unique Sino-Tibetan panacea and tonic, but a member of a new class of biological organisms common in East Asia, and indeed throughout the world. The caterpillar fungus could not magically transform from a blade of grass to a worm and vice versa, but was merely formed through a fungal infection of insects. Such perceptions without doubt challenged most Chinese readers’ conventional thinking. And the Chinese translator discussed above obviously ranked among the ‘enlightened’ figures in modern China who became agents of modern science. Particularly, in the first decade of the 20th century, the Qing court implemented the New Policies reform, which actively promoted the transplantation of Western science to China.726 In this context, the caterpillar fungus maintained its identity in China as a highly esteemed medicinal substance, but some long-standing understandings surrounding it began to be judged redundant. As scientific knowledge of the caterpillar fungus and some closely related species, such as *Cordyceps aspera*, sparked new interest in the Chinese world of the 1900s, it continued to travel and be updated in a variety of Chinese communities, especially those members of the scientific community in Republican China.

4.2.2 Popularisation of Exoteric Science —— The Caterpillar Fungus Teaches Science to the Public

China has a long tradition of observing plants and animals on its own terms. An abundance of knowledge has been continuously accumulated and passed down since antiquity incrementally, forming an open knowledge system with indigenous characteristics. In the Republican period, however, the rise of modern natural sciences based on new ways of looking at the world, and new categories for labelling it, not only presented far-reaching challenges to indigenous knowledge, but also deconstructed the latter’s authority. The noted scholar Hu Shi 胡適 (1891-1962), who had studied at Cornell University and Columbia University in America during 1910-1917, wrote on 9 December, 1923 that ‘in the recent three decades, a term has obtained a supreme position in China; one dares not look down upon or sneer at it whether one understands it or not, and whether he is fogyish or revolutionary. That term is ‘science’.’ Hu pointed out that this ‘science’ enjoyed near unanimous admiration throughout the country. In such an atmosphere, the knowledge surrounding the caterpillar fungus was undergoing reconstruction under the influence of the style of this ‘science’ as stressed by Hu Shi.

On 11 May, 1913, a set of short articles by Ya Bo 亞波 (probably a Chinese overseas student in Japan), who studied at the College of Agriculture, Imperial University of Tokyo, were published in the ‘Ke xue cong hua 科學叢話’ (Collected narratives of science) column of Da tong zhou bao 大同週報 (Great Harmony Weekly) in Shanghai. The first of these articles was entitled ‘Dong chong xia cao zhi zhen xiang 冬蟲夏草之真相’ (Truth about winter worm summer grass). First of all, Ya Bo refutes general accounts of the magical transformation of the caterpillar fungus (Sichuan production) in historical Chinese medical texts in the name of modern standards of truth. He then writes that ordinary eyes experience difficulty in exploring the true facts about the caterpillar fungus, whereas scientific investigation reveal the mycelia infection of the underground butterfly larvae as the true reason for the formation of the caterpillar fungus. To reinforce the authenticity of the new scientific explanation, Ya Bo urges readers interested in natural history to carry out microscopic observations of the caterpillar fungus cells and to compare them with those of animals and plants. In Ya Bo’s time, scientific explanations of natural objects and phenomena had far-reaching impacts on
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727 In this respect, Carla Nappi’s analysis of Li Shizhen and his great work Ben cao gang mu (Compendium of Materia Medica, 1578) provides a specific example, see Nappi 2009.

the Chinese intellectual community; in many cases, the mere fact of publication in a scientific
journal established authority with an audience who were not in a position to replicate the research
themselves. For example, in the first edition of *Ci yuan* 莫源 (Origins of [Chinese] Terms, 1915),
which has been regarded as the first modern Chinese comprehensive encyclopaedia, the entry on the
caterpillar fungus deals only with fungi, insects and parasitism, totally ignoring classical Chinese
accounts. This accords with one of the main principles for its compilation, i.e. scientism in the
conceptualisation of natural objects. The illustration in the entry on the caterpillar fungus (Fig. 20)
shows the fungus growing out of a mature insect rather than a larva. This means that the caterpillar
fungus in both the entry and illustration is not the Chinese caterpillar fungus (*Cordyceps sinensis*)
but some other species of the genus *Cordyceps*, which also reflects the influence of Japanese
scholarship, as we have mentioned before.

Here it is noteworthy that the dissemination of exotic scientific ‘truth’ in old China did not go
smoothly, since the intellectual environment for its dissemination was not uniformly favourable. In
the 1910s, several articles on the caterpillar fungus published in journals like *Tong su jiao yu bao* 通俗
教育報 (Journal of Popular Education, Shanghai) and *Xin min bao* 新民報 (Journal of New
Citizens, Shanghai) totally neglected to introduce any new scientific knowledge. On 4 April, 1924,
an author lamented in an article published in *Shen bao* (Shanghai News) that the caterpillar fungus
was still popularly considered among the Chinese to be a magical organism capable of transforming
between a blade of grass and a worm. And a few days previously, the Chinese painter Zhu Fengzhu 朱鳯竹
published an article on ‘bu ke si yi 不可思議’ (the incredible), in *Hong za zhi* 紅
雜誌 (Red Magazine) in Shanghai on 28 March, 1924, promoting the transformation theory of the
caterpillar fungus on the basis of his observation of the medicinal wonder from Sichuan. Moreover,
Zhu strongly asserted that the caterpillar fungus transcended the categories of animals and plants;
and when compared with bats, another similar organism that transcended the categories of birds and
beasts, the caterpillar fungus no longer seemed so implausible. To induce readers to accept his point
of view, like Ya Bo, he confidently suggested that readers should buy samples from drugstores and
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729 Lu 1915, p. 303.
731 The entry of the caterpillar fungus also says that the infected insects include lou gu 蠅蛄 (mole cricket), which
*Cordyceps sinensis* actually does not infect. Besides, a Chinese author complained in 1937 that the caterpillar fungus
he had seen in Xikang (an area roughly covering Eastern Tibet and Western Sichuan) looked somewhat different from
that in the illustration when referring to *Ci yuan*, see Shu 1937, pp. 511-513. A continuation of *Ci yuan* was published
in 1931, while the entry of the caterpillar fungus remained the same.
732 Anonymous 1913, p. 1; Chai 1915, pp. 33-34.
733 Li [1924] 1983, p. 68.
examine them with their own eyes, for themselves. Obviously, people’s perceptions of the caterpillar fungus differed due to the different epistemological assumptions that they had grown up with. And to a significant extent, both Ya Bo and Zhu Fengzhu’s views could claim to be verifiable through observation, because what people saw largely depended on what readers believed in advance, especially since there was no popularly accepted standard for analytic comparison between Ya and Zhu’s ideas. Nevertheless, although Zhu considered the caterpillar fungus neither an animal nor a plant, he and his followers did not succeed in seeing its creeping root or magic transformation in the field; in contrast, people following Ya’s suggestions were able to repeatedly observe fungal cells and other evidence supporting his contentions through a microscope. But as a rule, people living in east China, where the two articles were published, and even natives of production areas, were not in a position to spend a year or more observing the life cycle of the caterpillar fungus in cold alpine environments. This contributed much to the survival of the transformation theory of the caterpillar fungus in modern China.

Fig. 20 Illustration of the caterpillar fungus in *Ci yuan* 辭源 (1915).

Fig. 21 Illustration of the caterpillar fungus in *Er tong shi jie* 兒童世界 (1928).

From the 1910s onward, many Chinese of different persuasions gradually engaged in the dissemination and production of scientific knowledge of the caterpillar fungus. Those efforts helped
enormously in locating such knowledge in the Chinese world. Some Chinese intellectuals placed emphasis on extra-curricular science education for there was a new emphasis on children as the future hope for national rejuvenation. For example, the Shanghai weekly *Er tong shi jie* 兒童世界 (Children’s World), established by the writer and historian of literature Zheng Zhenduo 鄭振鐸 (1898-1958) in January, 1922, began to publish popular science writing, in addition to literary fiction, from the first issue of the third volume in 1922.\(^{735}\) On 6 October, 1928, a popular illustrated science article on the caterpillar fungus (Fig. 21), appeared in the ‘*Xiao ke xue* 小科學’ (Little science) column of this weekly.\(^{736}\)

Before giving a scientific account of the caterpillar fungus, the author started with the statement that traditional accounts of the worm-grass transformation were disastrously wrong! In addition, he refuted some other popular opinions on similar phenomena, such as the transformation from decayed grass to fireflies, or from spoiled meat to maggots. To convince readers of the fact that one species could by no means change to another species, he suggested that they should cover a piece of meat with a net and then observe if maggots grew on it when it spoiled. Although he drew the readers’ attention to the illustration of the caterpillar fungus, he did not describe the three parts marked with three numbers. In fact, if we look carefully at this illustration, we will find that it does not accord with the actual common appearance of the caterpillar fungus, but involves imaginative recreation and artistic licence: both the stroma (denoted by the number 3) and joint (denoted by the number 2) between the worm’s head and stroma base are somewhat thinner than they are in reality, whereas the ratio of the stroma’s length to the worm’s is exaggerated; besides, possibly to facilitate typesetting, the stroma and worm cross almost at a tidy right angle. The author was also probably influenced by Japanese scholarship, even though a larva, rather than the mature insect of the Japanese scientific imagination, is depicted in the illustration. That is to say, the author did not confine himself to the Chinese caterpillar fungus (*Cordyceps sinensis*), which grows out of the larvae of the insects belonging to the Hepialidae family, but also spoke of those growing out of the *lou gu* (mole cricket), *huang chong* 蟑螂 (locust) and *chan* 蟬 (cicada).

The dissemination of scientific knowledge about the caterpillar fungus through popular print media in eastern China was closely related to its growing popularity as a tonic in that region. Evidently this was an effect of the strong culture of consuming tonics there which prospered as the

---


\(^{736}\) Ren 1928, pp. 33-36.
economy flourished. It was reported in 1934 that the tonic caterpillar fungus, a staple product of Xikang 西康 (an area roughly covering eastern Tibet and western Sichuan), was priced high yet still found much favour with wealthy people in Guangdong, Fujian, Shanghai and Nanjing; and according to the maritime customs statistics for Dajianlu (today’s Kangding in western Sichuan), the amounts of caterpillar fungus exported from Kangding in 1930, 1931 and 1932 were as high as 24941, 13467 and 13267 jin.\textsuperscript{737}

Strong consumer demand meant that the caterpillar fungus continued to be exported from production areas to the economically more developed eastern areas of China. This process also stimulated an ever-greater appetite for further knowledge about this curious organism. At the beginning of the 1930s, a Foshan 佛山 author called Pan Jing 潘敬, who had studied in France with financial support from the Qing court and then returned to China before 1911, wrote a note on three famous Sichuan foods, among which was the caterpillar fungus. Representing it as a delicious tonic, he did not offer any criticism, but briefly added some scientific knowledge according to ‘\textit{jin shi sheng wu xue jia yan jiu jie guo} 近世生物學家研究結果’ (recent studies [of the caterpillar fungus] by biologists): the caterpillar fungus was identified as one of the Ascomycetes fungi; it parasitised larvae, and then sprouted from the latter; its nature was the same as that of yeast which was used to brew beer and grape wine; and it was scientifically named ‘\textit{Cordyceps}’.\textsuperscript{738} As he associated the caterpillar fungus with yeasts, which also belong to the Ascomycetes fungi, he would have been conflating the fungal nature of both products so that his audience would understand the biological nature of the caterpillar fungus.

Meanwhile in Tianjin, a major coastal port city in north China, lovers of modern science also actively spread scientific knowledge of the caterpillar fungus among the public. Lu Wenyu 陸文鬱 (1888-1974), a painter enthused with microscopic images and their reproduction who worked at the public educational institution Guang zhi guan 廣智館 (Institution for Spreading Wisdom), published a note in the \textit{Guang zhi xing qi bao} 廣智星期報 (Weekly for Spreading Wisdom) in 1932.\textsuperscript{739} Lu first speaks of a man who gave some specimens of the caterpillar fungus from Sichuan to the institution, although he does not accept the latter’s account of its oddities and narrow

\textsuperscript{737} Anonymous 1934, p. 12. See also Anonymous 1936, p. 74. For the establishment of Xikang as a province and changes in its territory, see Huang 2001, pp. 95-100. 1 jin was then equal to 500 grams.

\textsuperscript{738} Pan 1931, p. 131. For Pan Jing’s life, see Ou \textit{et al}. [1934] 1987, p.1142; Pan 1931, pp. 19-20; Zhang and Yu 2013, pp. 142, 150.

\textsuperscript{739} Lu 1932, pp. 4-6. For Lu’s life, see Wang 1983, pp. 82-88; Lu 1989, pp. 166-188. Guang zhi guan and \textit{Guang zhi xing qi bao} were established in Tianjin in January, 1925 and January, 1926 respectively, see Liu 1985, pp. 192-196; Lai and Guo 2001, p. 636.
distribution. In order to inform people of some ‘chang shi 常识’ (common knowledge) about the caterpillar fungus, he wrote this note, referring to Matsumura Jinzou’s 松村任三 (1856-1928) *Shoku butsu mei i 植物名彙* (Collection of Botanical Terms), Nishimura Suimu’s 西村酔夢 (1879-1943) *Semi no ken kyuuu 蝉の研究* (A Study of Cicada) and the German botanist Adolf Engler’s (1844-1930) system of plant classification. In addition to the taxonomic position and scientific name (i.e. ‘Cordyceps sinensis Sacc.’) of the caterpillar fungus, Lu also briefly introduces a few other fungal species of the same genus. At the end of the note, he mentions the medical and culinary uses of the caterpillar fungus in China, and further recalls his brother’s experience of eating steamed caterpillar fungus at table in Sichuan, and particularly refers to the caterpillar fungus/duck food recently marketed by the Guan sheng yuán food company. Like Pan Jing, Lu Wenyu obviously acknowledged the authority of scientific knowledge within a popular scientific context. Both Pan and Lu also highlight the role of the caterpillar fungus in the material life of the Chinese.

The above cases of the caterpillar fungus are representative of the phenomenon that understandings of nature were under reconstruction in the wider context of Chinese society through the outreach activities sponsored by scientific institutions. The drive towards reconstruction was partly derived from the dynamic indigenous culture of interest in natural objects, especially foods and medicinal substances. In the 1930s and 1940s, scientific knowledge about the caterpillar fungus continued to be spread among the public. Examples can be found in, but are not confined to, the Beijing daily newspaper *Shi jie ri bao 世界日報* (World Daily, 1936), the Shanghai monthly journal *Zhi shi hua bao 知識畫報* (Knowledge Pictorial, 1937), the Shanghai bimonthly journal *Guo xun 國訊* (National News, 1937), and the Shanghai weekly *Jue qun zhou bao 覺群週報* (Waken the Public Weekly, 1946). The last was in fact a Buddhist journal. From a geographical perspective, the above popular scientific stories about the caterpillar fungus were produced in the north and eastern coastal China, especially Shanghai.

### 4.2.3 Rise of Scientific Research —— The Caterpillar Fungus Employs Scientists

---

740 Lu did not give specific editions of these literature. Matsumura’s *Shoku butsu mei i* was first published in 1895, see Matsumura 1895. Nishimura Suimu’s *Semi no ken kyuuu* was published in 1909, see Nishimura 1909. For Engler’s life and his classification system, see Rehder 1937, pp. 497-500; Lack 2000.
743 Zhu 1937, p. 100.
744 Zhiren 1946, p. 9.
In contrast with popular science articles or notes, serious scientific publications usually communicated more abstruse but also more scholarly and authoritative scientific knowledge; it is here in these articles and books that we can find Chinese records of European mycology introducing the post-Linnean European hierarchies of classification that were based on affinities between species. Both popular and scientific literature made contributions to the promotion of scientific literacy despite their different target audiences. Scientific mycological studies conducted by the Chinese can be traced to this time in the mid-1910s. Chinese mycologists had begun to collect specimens of the caterpillar fungus by the late 1920s. In 1932, Deng Shuqun (1902-1970, born in Fuzhou) published a paper on his identifications of some fungi in southeastern areas of China, among which were specimens of ‘Cordyceps sinensis (Berk.) Sacc.’ obtained from a drugstore in Sichuan in 1928. Deng remarked that at that time the caterpillar fungus sold in most drugstores in China came from Sichuan. The scientific name given by Deng consists of the genus name ‘Cordyceps’, specific epithet ‘sinensis’, basionym author ‘Berk.’, and authority of the new combination, i.e. ‘Sacc.’. Here the so-called ‘scientific name’ is just a synonym for the Latin binomial of a species, and demonstrates the equation of Latin with scientificity. And ‘Berk.’ and ‘Sacc.’ refers to the appropriation of the caterpillar fungus by British mycologist Miles J. Berkeley (1803-1889) and the Italian mycologist Pier A. Saccardo (1845-1920) who, as mentioned before, named and renamed the caterpillar fungus. Again, the new biological science gains its authority through its association with the attribution with European names, and European scientists, so that whether or not the Chinese readers were fully familiar with, and trained in the scientific methods of mycology, the rhetoric of power was framed as definitively Latin, and in the European tradition, and not Chinese. Given that Deng published his identifications in English, he clearly did so for the reason that he intended to communicate with his international colleagues. Certainly he had the ability and/or motivation to write English research articles, as he had studied at Cornell University from 1923, and pursued a doctorate in plant pathology there during 1925-1928. Deng’s English research article and the

746 Teng 1932, pp. 1-4. This paper was published in the journal Contributions from the Biological Laboratory of the Science Society of China: Botanical Series. This journal was established in Nanjing in 1930, see Zhang 2005, p. 219; Ma 2011, p. 286.
747 For Deng’s life and publications, see Hong 1992, pp. 463-467; Anonymous 2002, p. 465; Deng 2002, pp. 107-109. Deng completed his Master’s thesis on Chinese forest conditions at Cornell University in 1926, see China Institute in
journal *Contributions from the Biological Laboratory of the Science Society of China: Botanical Series* were aimed at professional scientists rather than general readers.

As a pioneer in the investigation of the fungal resources and plant pathogenic fungi of China, Deng Shuqun’s research was institutionalised in universities and scientific institutes from when he returned from America to China and began to hold a teaching position at Lingnan University, Guangzhou (Lingnan University, Guangzhou) in 1928. In 1934, he published another English article in which he reported his identifications and descriptions of 42 species of fungi, including the caterpillar fungus (Fig. 22). This time he gave more detailed descriptions of its production areas and natural habitat, and also macroscopic and microscopic structural characteristics of its stromata, perithecia and spores, referring to the authority of European scientific publications. Such microscopic descriptions, which could be used by other mycologists to accurately determine whether or not a fungus was the caterpillar fungus, had been absent from his 1932 article. The specimens for his identification of *Cordyceps sinensis* were bought by him from a drugstore. Although he did not show the microscopic characteristics of the spores and mycelium in his illustration of the specimens, he used black-and-white dots and lines to represent the three-dimensional appearance of the fruiting bodies of the specimens. This mode of representation, helpful in showing different shades of grey, had become popular in illustrated scientific publications. To give viewers an impression of the actual sizes of the specimens, Deng added a scale bar to the illustration. For the first time in China we begin to see a concern for measuring the specimens, but otherwise there is no depiction of the microscopic knowledge that he writes of.
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749 Teng 1934, pp. 269-298. His article was published in *Sinensia: Contributions from the National Research Institute of Biology*, a journal established in Nanjing in 1929, see Ma 2011, p. 286.
750 Wood and McDonnell 1994, pp. 31-46.
Deng’s identifications and descriptions in the above 1934 article were later revised and integrated into his 1939 English monograph entitled *A Contribution to Our Knowledge of the Higher Fungi of China*.751 As the first monograph on mycology written by a Chinese scientist, it soon became a key reference for Deng’s international colleagues.752 It was completed during the Japanese invasion of China,753 with his patriotic sentiments explicitly expressed in his preface. Following the outbreak of the full-scale Sino-Japanese War in 1937, Deng transferred more than 2000 of the collected specimens of Chinese fungi to the National Fungus Collections (BPI) and to Cornell University, both in America for safe and good preservation.754 His monograph in English and the specimens preserved in America without question facilitated the sharing of Chinese mycologists’ knowledge of fungal biodiversity.

Here it is worth noting that, whenever describing the caterpillar fungus, Deng never omitted to mention the connection of his mycological research with the caterpillar fungus’s identity as a medicinal substance in classical Chinese *materia medica*. This indicates the subtle influence of indigenous medical culture on Chinese scientists’ research. This example is also evidence of the wider observation that the globalisation of modern scientific knowledge has always been infused with considerations based on local history, culture and knowledge hierarchies.755 Further examples are to be found in two of the earliest scientific studies of fungi by Chinese authors: Wang Huanwen’s 王煥文 (1882-?) study of the biology and medicinal chemistry of *fu ling* 茯苓 (*Pachyma cocos*) of 1909 and Wu Bingxin’s 吳冰心 treatise on the biology and experimental cultivation of *bai mu er* 白木耳 (snow fungus, *Tremella fuciformis*) of 1914.756 Both start by discussing the roles of the two kinds of fungi in Chinese medicine and food traditions. The latter were important non-scientific
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751 For the caterpillar fungus in this monograph, see Teng 1939, p. 36.
752 Chilton *et al.* 1943, p. 142.
754 On 13 April, 2009, Cornell University decided to send back the specimens to the Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences; and 2278 of them returned to China on 7 November, 2009, see Hodge 2005, p. 3; Anonymous 2009, pp. 11-13.
756 Wang 1909, pp. 461-472; Wu 1914, pp. 48-51. Here the scientific names for *fu ling* and *bai mu er* are extracted from the original publications. For Wang’s life, see Cao 2002, pp. 394-395. Wu’s life remains unclear, but it is known that he was one of the founders of the ‘Zhong hua bo wu yan jiu hui’ (Chinese Society for the Study of Natural History, Shanghai), see Yao *et al.* 2006, pp. 285-291.
factors that motivated Wang and Wu to conduct their research.

In return, fresh scientific knowledge would also feed back into the exoteric science of the time. In 1947, Pei Jian 裴鑑 (1902-1969), a research fellow of the Institute of Botanical Studies, Academia Sinica, pointed out that the caterpillar fungus and snow fungus were often mentioned in tandem when Chinese people spoke of tonics suitable for everyone. For the purpose of introducing up-to-date scientific knowledge of the two tonics, he published a Chinese article in the popular science magazine *Ke xue shi jie* 科學世界 (Scientific World) in Shanghai. In it, he briefly cites a few Qing records about the medicinal properties of the caterpillar fungus, and then refers to Deng Shuqun’s 1930s descriptions of specimens, together with his personal knowledge. Moreover, he contributes an illustration of new specimens of this fungus (Fig. 23). But the measurements he gives for different parts of the caterpillar fungus are all ten times larger than in reality, e.g. the length of the stroma is said to be 4 to 11 decimetres, when it should actually be 4 to 11 centimetres. Oddly, the scale bar in the illustration is accurate. In contrast with Deng’s illustration which just displays the appearance of entire specimens of the caterpillar fungus, Pei’s additionally shows the anatomical and microscopic structures such as the transverse section and asci. Pei’s version can therefore be considered an improvement on Deng’s illustration even though it was printed in a popular science magazine.

To sum up, whenever Deng Shuqun and Pei Jian wrote in professional and popular publications they ignored any reference to traditional ideas about the caterpillar fungus’s transformational ability and its entity as a grass-worm combination, and promoted knowledge about its fungal nature, microscopic characteristics, and position in the hierarchical Linnaean taxonomic system, including data that aspired to accurate measurement. This represented a tentative paradigm shift in the way of observing and describing the caterpillar fungus, reflected in Deng and Pei’s image-making. However, the terms and language they employed also embodied the pervasive rhetoric of power about modern science. Nevertheless, they additionally gave certain attention to the fungus’s medicinal value or properties recognised by classical Chinese *materia medica*. In this sense we see both rupture and continuity in indigenous knowledge about Chinese medicinal substances in the community of Chinese scientists.

757 Pei 1947, pp. 102-104. For an introduction to this magazine, see Tao and Luo 2010, pp. 90-93. Pei Jian obtained his PhD in Botany from Stanford University in 1931. For his life, see Lou 1995, pp. 137-147.
Biochemical and Pharmacological Studies

With Chinese overseas students of pharmacology and related subjects such as chemistry and biomedicine continuously arriving from Europe, America and Japan from about the 1900s/10s, scientific research surrounding medicinal substances gradually began to prosper in modern China. And to a large extent, such research developed on the basis of reexamining Chinese medicinal substances and related historical indigenous knowledge. Biochemical studies of the caterpillar fungus were conducted in the mid-1940s when the Sino-Japanese War was coming to an end. They emerged somewhat later than those of *fu ling* (*Wolfiporia cocos* (Schwein.) Ryvarden & Gilb.), *bei mu* 貝母 (*Fritillaria* spp.) and some other fungal and plant medicinal substances that had also long been used in China.

In 1945 the *Zhongguo yao xue hui zhi* (Bulletin of the Chinese Pharmaceutical Association, Chengdu) published a ‘preliminary study’ of the caterpillar fungus by Tang Tenghan 湯騰漢 (1900-1988) and two other authors. The expression ‘preliminary’ also implies that research on this topic in China had hitherto been absent or scarce before. At that time Tang was professor of medicinal chemistry at the *Hua xi xie he da xue* 華西協和大學 (West China Union University, Chengdu); and prior to this, he obtained his PhD in chemistry from the University of Berlin in 1929. Tang and the other authors first determined the content of water (10.84%), fat (8.40%), crude protein (25.32%), crude fibre (18.53%), carbohydrate (28.90%) and ash (4.10%) in the fungus, further analysed the content of unsaturated and saturated fatty acids (13.00% and 82.2%), and then investigated physical and chemical properties of the acicular crystals extracted from the fat, and the amino acids from hydrolysed protein. Obviously, their research on the caterpillar fungus focused on constituent analysis, which laid a foundation for exploring the biological functions of the chemical constituents of the caterpillar fungus.

In 1948, Yang Shoushen 楊守紳 (1900-1984), principal of the *Lu jun shou yi xue xiao* 陸軍獸醫學校 (Military Academy of Veterinary Medicine) in Chengdu, reported his preliminary study of the *dong chong xia cao jun su* 冬蟲夏草菌素 (Cordycep) in the *Guo fang ke xue jian bao* 國防科學簡報.

758 JYBZGYJBSWYH 1934, pp. 16, 18, 86, 132, 134, 137, 143-146; Chen and Zhang 1992, pp. 120-137.
This study was conducted according to his hypothesis that *Cordyceps sinensis* must have generated some substance that inhibited the growth of other kinds of microorganisms in the larvae responsible for its development into a mature insect. He successfully extracted a fat-soluble light yellow crystal by means of chemical methods from specimens of the caterpillar fungus produced in Lijiang, Yunnan province. The crystal, which was then named ‘Cordycepin’, melted at 96 °C. Furthermore, Yang carried out in vitro experiments on Cordycepin to determine its antibacterial properties. As a result, he found that Cordycepin demonstrated different degrees of resistance to streptococcus, *Pseudomonas mallei*, anthrax, haemorrhagic septicaemia, and *Staphylococcus* sp. He went on to inject animals with varied doses of a solution of Cordycepin in water, with two concentrations for rabbits and dogs. The injections did not produce abnormal responses and thus provided preliminary proof of the nontoxicity of Cordycepin. According to Yang’s conclusion, he hoped that this study would make a contribution to research on issues relating to bacterial infections in animals rather than humans. At the same time, he also pointed out deficiencies in his study; in particular, the chemical formula for Cordycepin remained unknown, and in vivo antibacterial experiments had not been carried out. In contrast to Tang Tenghan’s study, Yang did not place emphasis on constituent analysis but on pharmacology. His practical aim was probably to lay a foundation for solving some veterinary issues that would affect the breeding and supply of domestic animals in armies and/or ordinary people, which were also social issues. Although his theoretical speculation involving fungi and its antibacterial effects was based on modern biological knowledge, we cannot say that the occurrence of the caterpillar fungus in the community of Chinese scientists had no direct or indirect relation to historical or contemporary Chinese medicine and consumer culture.

From the above investigations we can also see that new practices of scientific or biomedical research into Chinese medicinal substances were institutionalised in the Republican period. Some foreign scientists in China also conducted related studies in research and education institutions. Among these people, Dr. Bernard E. Read (1887-1949), who arrived in Beijing in 1909, was an outstanding figure. His most representative studies of Chinese medicinal substances were carried out at Peking Union Medical College in the latter 1920s and early 1930s. He was also one of the
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763 For Bernard E. Read’s life and publications, see Schwarz 1950, pp. 216-217; Walravens 2012, pp. 481-516.
764 For Bernard E. Read’s activities in China, see Liang 2012, pp. 370-389; Liang 2014, pp. 108-120. The Peking Union Medical College, established with financial support from the Rockefeller Foundation in 1917, is widely
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nine censors of the Zhong hua yao dian 中華藥典 (Chinese Pharmacopoeia, 1930), the sole national pharmacopoeia of Republican China, devoted to ‘xin yi 新醫’ (new/scientific medicine). As Mary B. Bullock remarks, ‘some at PUMC had been active in assessing the chemical properties of traditional Chinese drugs, and perhaps no one person contributed more to their understanding prior to 1950 than Bernard Read, Professor of Pharmacology.’ One of Read’s best known publications was Chinese Medicinal Plants from the Pen Ts’ao Kang Mu. This book deals with hundreds of medicinal plants recorded in the Ben cao gang mu (Compendium of Materia Medica, 1578). However, what Read did in this book was not to translate or interpret ancient records as he had done elsewhere, but instead he reconstructed modern knowledge of these plants mainly by listing their species identities, botanical synonyms and chemical constituents on the basis of copious European, American and Asian secondary sources. Read’s effort represented the pursuit of new frameworks and approaches dedicated to arranging and exploring natural knowledge about Chinese medicinal substances as they were emerging in leading research and educational institutions in Republican China.

Certainly, the participation of the Chinese themselves was indispensable to rooting modern science in China. In this regard, educational institutions remained important places for creating, exchanging and disseminating scientific or biomedical knowledge of Chinese medicinal substances. According to the first China education yearbook (1934), there were eight medical universities/colleges in Republican China before 1934; in 1918 and 1925, there were ten and nine specialist schools of medicine respectively. Later, the second China education yearbook (1948) reports that at the end of 1947, there were sixteen medical colleges and nine specialist schools of medicine in China. The institutions in these statistics produced by the Ministry of Education were all biomedical rather than Chinese medical educational institutions. Most of them offered courses on
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765 Liu 1931, p. 78. Read’s Chinese name ‘Yi Boen 伊博恩’, together with the other eight Chinese censors’ names, were printed on a page before the main text of the Pharmacopoeia. Read also published some scholarly articles related to the Pharmacopoeia, see, for example, Pak and Read 1931, pp. 125-133. For the content and compilation of the Pharmacopoeia, see WSB 1930; Yu et al. 1930, pp. 23-36; Meng 1953, pp. 430-431. For a brief introduction to this pharmacopoeia, see Xue 1984, pp. 478-482; Unschuld 1986, pp. 261-266; Chen and Zhang 1992, p. 167.
769 JYBJYNJBSWYH 1948, pp. 89-99.
materia medica, while a few of them were even specialist schools of materia medica.\textsuperscript{770} Here it is important to mention the Guo li yao xue zhuan ke xue xiao 国立藥學專科學校 (National Specialist School of Materia Medica). As the first and only national school of materia medica in modern China,\textsuperscript{771} it was of particular significance to scientific studies of Chinese medicinal substances from the late 1930s onwards.

The National Specialist School of Materia Medica was established through the efforts of Meng Mudi 孟目的 (1897-1983) and others commissioned by the Ministry of Education in July, 1936. Before this, Meng studied at the School of Pharmacy, University of London, during the period 1921-1925, finally obtaining his BSc in pharmacy.\textsuperscript{772} He became one of the five editors of the Zhong hua yao dian (Chinese Pharmacopoeia) in 1929, principal of the School in 1936, and president of the Zhongguo yao xue hui 中國藥學會 (Chinese Pharmaceutical Association), the most influential organisation for scientific studies of medicinal substances in China, in 1948.\textsuperscript{773} Due to the Sino-Japanese War, this school had to move from Nanjing to Hankou (October, 1937) and then Chongqing (February, 1938). It remained in Chongqing until July, 1946, when teachers and students started to return to Nanjing.\textsuperscript{774} Soon after that, in August, 1947, the new principal Meng Xinru 孟心如 (1903-1947) published an article putting forward four missions for the School:

First, to explore the effective constituents in special Chinese medicinal substances, extract and refine them, establish their physiological and pathological effects, and then introduce them to the global medical community; second, to reproduce and invent synthetic drugs; third, to publish academic articles and series of popular books on materia medica so as to improve our Chinese people’s knowledge of materia medica and convey general knowledge [of materia medica] to people from all walks of life in China; fourth, to establish links with world famous institutions of materia medica for the purpose of communicating with each other and interchanging ideas and information. (一，探求我國特產藥材之有效成分，提取，精煉，證定其生理及病理上之效用，介紹於全球醫藥界；二，研究合成藥物之仿製及發明；三，刊行專門論文及通俗藥學智識之叢書，以期提起國人對於藥學之認識，及灌輸一般常識於全

\textsuperscript{770} Chen and Zhang 1992, pp. 110-111. For a specific example of such specialist schools, see JYBJYNJBZWHYH 1948, p. 301; Yang 1994, pp. 181-182; SXSDMZBZWHYH 1996, p. 555.
\textsuperscript{771} Dai 1990, pp. 747-750.
\textsuperscript{772} For Meng’s life, see Yuan and Xie 1981, pp. 22-25; Shou 2011, pp. 2636-2639.
\textsuperscript{774} ZGDELSADAG 2000, pp. 307-309
Meng Xinru entered the University of Berlin in 1920, and later obtained his PhD in chemistry from this university in 1925.\textsuperscript{776} The above mission statement shows his global vision and special attention to re-exploring the medical value of Chinese medicinal substances by means of scientific approaches. The regard he shows for both cutting-edge studies and science communication also embodies his dual roles as a medicinal chemist and educator. The school led by Meng had two faculties, i.e. Yao xue zhuan ke 藥學專科 (Faculty of materia medica) and Gao ji yao ji zhi ye ke 高級藥劑職業科 (Faculty of advanced pharmacy for vocational work). Oriented to high school and junior high school graduates respectively, the two faculties laid emphasis on training researchers and senior technicians. From the perspective of the Republican government, the establishment of this national school doubtless reflected the government’s advocacy of scientific studies of medicinal substances. Fortunately, this school survived the Chinese Civil War (1945-1949), and became a precursor of today’s Zhongguo yao ke da xue 中国藥科大學 (China Pharmaceutical University, 1986-).\textsuperscript{777} In a sense, this school is still alive, and continues to make a significant contribution to scientific research on Chinese medicinal substances.

4.2.4 Summary

As we saw in Chapters 1 and 3 Euro-American missionaries had initiated the transplantation of European science into modern China, as well as the criticism of ‘unscientific’ indigenous Chinese medicine, materia medica and even all aspects of Chinese learning. Through the Self-Strengthening Movement and New Governance Reforms, the Chinese state actively promoted the introduction of modern science and technology to late Qing China. At the beginning of the 20th century, scientific knowledge of the caterpillar fungus started to enter the Chinese world through Chinese translations of relatively new Japanese literature. This process, initiated by Japanese translators and their Chinese sponsors, was accompanied by criticisms of indigenous Chinese perceptions of the caterpillar fungus,

\textsuperscript{775} Meng 1947, pp. 1-4.
\textsuperscript{776} CZSDFZBZWYH 1995, p. 981. His date of birth was 1903 rather than 1902. This can be confirmed by his epitaph recently discovered in Nanjing. The historian Meng Sen 孟森 (1868-1938) was his father, see Meng [1937] 2007, p. 481.
\textsuperscript{777} Ji 1992, pp. 530-534.
especially the worm-grass transformation theory that had long been prevalent in East Asia. The criticisms can be seen as a continuation of the 19th-century missionaries’ critique.

Soon after, Chinese intellectuals began to involve themselves in the popularisation of scientific knowledge about the caterpillar fungus; special attention was also paid to female readers and children for the purpose of promoting scientific literacy among the public, and in the growing generation. Against the background of nationalist movements to save what they perceived to be a degenerating Chinese ‘race’,\(^{778}\) students trained abroad returned to their homeland enthused with the new scientific enterprise and paid special attention to new analyses of native substances. They promoted mycological studies of the caterpillar fungus (*Cordyceps sinensis*) from the 1930s, and biochemical and pharmacological studies of this fungus from the 1940s. Meanwhile, new scientific knowledge produced in China was published in English, which in turn facilitated its transmission into the wider world. From this case study of the caterpillar fungus it can easily be seen that the scientific knowledge transmitted to and produced in modern China was also engaged, consciously or unconsciously, in the construction of new scholarship on Chinese medicinal substances. This process obtained support from the Nationalist government (1927-1949). As the medicinal value of the caterpillar fungus had never been the focus of criticisms, this practical factor had the potential to increase communication between those working in the fields of modern science and indigenous Chinese *materia medica* rather than exacerbate conflict. In this context we see no rupture between the ancient and the modern, but rather continuity in empirical innovation. For scientists, however, Chinese medicinal substances such as the caterpillar fungus, first of all, were objects from a newly classified ‘nature’; their identity as raw medicinal substances and indigenous empirical medical knowledge about them became a cultural legacy (rather than constituents of natural sciences) that was able to motivate the scientific study of nature. Such a hidden conception, still prevailing among scientist-authors in contemporary China,\(^{779}\) reflected a conceptual divide between an objectified nature in the practice of science and a subjective culture mediated by social norms. But this divide, characteristic of modernity, actually did not really exist in modern scientific practice since, as we have demonstrated, scientists’ work was often more or less interwoven with cultural or social factors, and was dependent on historical experience for its hypotheses; and thus as historians we cannot distinguish between such an essentialised subject and object.

---

\(^{778}\) Minehan 2014, p. 162.

\(^{779}\) See, for example, Fang 2007, pp. 1-4.
4.3 The Caterpillar Fungus Engages in Saving Chinese Medicine

On 27 December, 1899, the Shen bao (Shanghai News) published a series of examination topics that had been used to test the students at Qiu zhi shu yuan 求志書院 (College of Nurturing Ambitions, Shanghai). To assess their academic performance in the subject of ci zhang 詞章 (literature), they were required to write a composition with the title ‘Dong chong xia cao ge 冬蟲夏草歌’ (Eulogy of the caterpillar fungus).\footnote{Anonymous [1899] 1985, p. 834. The Qiu zhi shu yuan was established in Shanghai in 1876, and closed down in 1905, see Ma \textit{et al.} 1992, p. 342.} Shen bao did not simultaneously provide a writing sample. So, what did the examiner intend the students to praise? To my mind, it is highly unlikely to have been the aphrodisiac or tonic potencies of the fungus, which were probably irrelevant to an examinee nurturing young students’ zhi 志 ‘ambitions’ within the moral environment of the late nineteenth century. Considering that China was at that time undergoing a painful social and political upheaval, perhaps the caterpillar fungus, as a marvel of transformation, had a heightened significance for intellectuals who did not want their old country to fall into decline or perish altogether. Most probably the examiner had, consciously or unconsciously, been drawn to the caterpillar fungus for the way in which it acted as a metaphor for the immortality of China, and for its ability to model the potential for continuing transformation.

In addition to serving as spiritual ballast, the caterpillar fungus was even used as a pen name by an author who satirised the domestic ‘xin sheng huo 新生活’ (new life) under the governance of the Chinese Nationalist Party on the eve of the full-scale outbreak of the Sino-Japanese War.\footnote{DCXC 1936, pp. 9-12.} Possibly this pen name implied the writer’s hopes for social transformation. Soon after the Sino-Japanese War, another Chinese author subtly mocked the poor performance of the government during the famine of 1946, and wrote that the caterpillar fungus could be a saviour of thirty million hungry people; for although the grass roots in some regions had been eaten up by starving people in spring, the caterpillar fungus could still generate grass for them to eat in the forthcoming summer.\footnote{Hu 1946, pp. 28-30.}

Certainly the caterpillar fungus was attractive for many different reasons, as well as an important commercial product. In modern China, especially Republican China, the latter two identities enabled it to engage in saving patients, the declining fortunes of Chinese medicine, and
even the weakened nation. The dissemination and exploitation of the caterpillar fungus never ceased in the Republican period, but perceptions of it in those parts of society dedicated to renewing the Chinese nation, as suggested before, were changing in complex ways. Compared with scientists and advocates of science or scientific medicine, traditional physicians should receive equal or even more attention in this regard, as their new understandings of Chinese medicinal substances had a direct and profound influence on the prospects of Chinese materia medica and medicine.

4.3.1 Domestic Circulation of the Caterpillar Fungus

During the Republican period, the central government promulgated three regulations and one national pharmacopoeia devoted to the regulation of medicinal substances and medicine men in Chinese society.\(^{783}\) Basically, they were products of the trend toward globally unified standards for medicinal substances and formulae.\(^{784}\) While the internal cause of the creation of the regulations and pharmacopoeia was the uncontrolled domestic manufacture, sale, and use of medicinal substances, especially during the Nationalist era (1928-1949).\(^{785}\) However, ‘zhong yao 中藥’ (Chinese medicinal substances) or ‘jiu yao 舊藥’ (old [Chinese] medicinal substances), as called by makers of the regulations and pharmacopoeia, were marginalised or unequally treated to varying degrees.\(^{786}\)

Compared with the Beiyang government (1912-1927), the Nationalist government imposed more restrictions on Chinese medicinal substances through the above regulations and pharmacopoeia, although it temporarily softened the restrictions during the Sino-Japanese War, mainly due to shortage of medicinal substances and financial crises.\(^{787}\) However, there was a substantial gap between expectations and realisation in the state regulation of medicinal substances before 1937. The

\(^{783}\) They were ‘Regulations on Drug Merchants’ (管理藥商章程, 10 October, 1915), ‘Regulations on Medicine Men’ (管理藥商規則, 24 Aug., 1929; revised on 16 Feb., 1944), ‘Regulations on Non-Prescription Medicinal Substances’ (管理成藥規則, 26 Apr., 1930; revised on 8 Dec., 1936 and 16 Feb., 1944), and ‘Chinese Pharmacopoeia’ (中華藥典, 1930), see NWB 1915, pp. 40-46; WSB 1929a, p. 5; WSB 1929b, pp. 59-62; WSS 1944b, pp. 4-6; WSB 1930a, pp. 53-54; WSB 1930b, pp. 124-126; WSS 1936, pp. 49-51; WSS 1944c, pp. 3-4; WSS 1944d, p. 2; WSS 1944e, p. 6; WSB 1930. Cf. WSB 1930c, pp. 5-6; GDSZF 1936, pp. 106-107; Zhang and Xian 1990, p. 209. Currently there are just a few brief reviews of some of the regulations, see Deng and Cheng 1999, pp. 342-343; Chen 2011, pp. 76-79; Fan 2012, pp. 61-65. Here my emphasis is placed on common medicinal substances rather than narcotics. For the regulations on narcotics in Republican China, see NWB 1915, pp. 40-46; GMZF 1929, pp. 46-48; WSB 1930d, pp. 129-138; GMSF 1931, pp. 1-3.


\(^{787}\) Wen 2007, pp. 102-108. For the state of national finance in Republican China, see Yang 1999, pp. 90-105.
reasons for this phenomenon mainly included the resistance of medicine merchants, the Ministry of Health’s unwillingness to compromise, and local governments’ dereliction of duty. After that year, the whole country was engaged in resisting a full-scale Japanese invasion. The Nationalist government was also unable to impose a more effective control of the manufacture, sale and use of medicinal substances in wartime China. These circumstances actually created a certain space for the ongoing use of Chinese medicinal substances in society at large. In particular, as in many cases a Chinese medicinal substance (like the caterpillar fungus) could also be used as a food or culinary ingredient, its use and sale could thus also circumvent the state regulation of medicinal substances, just as it does today in contemporary Europe.

Explicit records of the caterpillar fungus being produced in today’s Tibet remain rare before the end of the 19th century. But from the beginning of the 20th century onward, the caterpillar fungus in Tibet began to be briefly recorded as a medicinal product in local chronicles. Appendix 5 lists such records in Republican local chronicles, which can be seen as a result of a growing local attention to its medicinal or/and commercial value. From a geographical perspective, the caterpillar fungus in these records was almost all produced in today’s Eastern Tibet; when it was transported eastward, it had to first pass through Sichuan, Yunnan and Qinghai provinces. In contrast, explicit records of production areas of the caterpillar fungus in Qinghai first appeared as late as the early Republican period. For example, according to an investigation of Yushu 玉樹, Qinghai in 1919, the caterpillar fungus was a special export product of some places (e.g. Zhawu 紮武) in Yushu; at that time many people were collecting the fungus there and trading with Chinese merchants; the collection activities there even bred discontent among local headmen, who thought that the ‘pulse’ of the land was thus being broken by the impact of medical consumerism, and many flocks and herds were dying in consequence. It is clear that a significant percentage of the Chinese merchants came from Shaanxi. The records indicate that trade in the caterpillar fungus contributed much to the local economy in Yushu despite tension between local headmen and merchants. Production of the caterpillar fungus in today’s Tibet and Qinghai was being actively and profitably exploited in the

---

789 Cf. Watt 2013, pp. 115-158.
790 For example, medicinal substances prepared according to ancient Chinese prescriptions were even publicly advertised in the newspaper Shanghai News, see SHGYCJS [1930] 1984, p. 62; Chen [1933] 1985, p. 590.
794 Ma 1947, pp. 374-375, 386-388.
Republican period. But in comparison with the two regions, Sichuan and Yunnan were more widely
known than as production areas of the caterpillar fungus.

An extensive investigation of products in postal areas of China, conducted by the General Post
Office of the Ministry of Communications during the period from the spring of 1934 to February,
1936, enables a general overview of the production and nationwide dissemination of the caterpillar
fungus in the mid-1930s. With the investigation came a published book in 1937, wherein the
prefaces indicated that its aim was to give a general idea of Chinese products so as to facilitate their
procurement; underlying the investigation was the belief that the flourishing of local commodities on
the national market would be both beneficial to rescuing the war beleaguered national economy from
shortages and crises while simultaneously improving the postal services.795 Indeed, northeastern
China had been under Japanese occupation since 1931,796 while the growth of the Chinese
Communist Party from 1921 onward often set the central government’s nerves on edge.797 Moreover,
China was also undergoing a profound transition from an imperial economy to a capitalist
economy, coupled with uncertainties such as inflation and disorder in the monetary systems.798 In this context,
the production and consumption of products took on a special significance.

Fig. 24  Photographs of the caterpillar fungus produced in Maogong (A, right) and Kangding (B,
left), Sichuan province, and Lijiang (C), Yunnan province in the mid-1930s.

The caterpillar fungus produced in Maogong (Sichuan), Kangding (Sichuan) and Lijiang

795  JTBYZZJ 1937, pp. 5-6.
796  Fairbank and Feuerwerker 1986, pp. 492-518.
(Yunnan) was photographed for the investigation (Fig. 24).\textsuperscript{799} As photographs were only used to show a few representative products of a province, the photographs of the caterpillar fungus themselves indicated the fame of this fungus as a local product in the above three places. As the results of the investigation show, the caterpillar fungus was mainly produced in today’s Sichuan and then Yunnan provinces (Appendix 6).\textsuperscript{800} In the mid-1930s, Sichuan was noted for its abundance of medicinal products;\textsuperscript{801} and a Sichuan government publication did list the caterpillar fungus as one of the approximately 30 kinds of highest-yielding native medicinal products.\textsuperscript{802} From Appendix 6 we can see one of the main routes for transporting the caterpillar fungus through the Yangtze River, which connected the production areas and southeastern China. Hankou, a city located in the middle reaches of the Yangtze River, was an important transshipment station for the caterpillar fungus.

Although this investigation contained omissions (e.g. no coverage of today’s Western Tibet), it was still careful and relatively comprehensive. The reported production places of the caterpillar fungus in West China can be confirmed by a variety of other investigations or records of the 1930s-40s\textsuperscript{803} pertaining to how the caterpillar fungus from Sichuan and Yunnan sold well in Shanghai, Hong Kong and some other cities in southeastern China.\textsuperscript{804} In 1947, it was reported that the export volume and prices of medicinal products from Chongqing (then, as now, a part of Sichuan) had recently significantly increased; and some merchants trading between Guangdong province and Chongqing, who were keen to make money from profitable medicinal products, were even willing to spend about twice as much money as they had before for the caterpillar fungus.\textsuperscript{805}

Overall, the investigation by the General Post Office in the mid-1930s provides evidence of the west-east trend in the transport of the caterpillar fungus from its production areas to economically more developed areas. Driven by economic interests, more production areas of the caterpillar fungus were exploited in the Republican period. We can chart the growing Chinese commercial interests over the decades leading up to the Communist victory, so that in November, 1948, the governor of Changdu (a city in today’s eastern Tibet) issued a proclamation, in which the first demand was to open up the mountains, previously forbidden by local lamaseries, and allow people to collect natural

\textsuperscript{799} JTBYZZJ 1937, pp. 7 (Sichuan Section), 3 (Xikang Section), 3 (Yunnan Section). Cf. SSBZSKKK 1941, p. 383.
\textsuperscript{800} JTBYZZJ 1937, pp. 9-23 (Sichuan Section), 1 (Xikang Section), 7-17 (Yunnan Section), 9 (Gansu Section).
\textsuperscript{802} SCSZF 1936, p. 15.
\textsuperscript{803} For example, see Deng 1936, pp. 133-139; Zhuang 1937, pp. 127-128; Feng [1930?] 2010, p. 239; DXSSQBJFWT [1941] 2010, pp. 494-495.
\textsuperscript{805} LHZXS 1947, p. 7.
products (including the caterpillar fungus) there.\textsuperscript{806} Doubtless the announcement aimed to boost production of the caterpillar fungus and thus promote the growth of local economy.

\textit{Consumption of the Caterpillar Fungus in East China}

Data for the production and sales of medicinal products in mid-20th century China provides evidence of the lively consumer demand for medicinal products in Chinese society, a culture that was by no means confined to medical care for curative purposes. In many cases, there was an indistinct boundary between medicinal products and food and tonics for improving health, rather than treating illness, were embedded throughout the region, sold in drugstores, dispensaries, food companies and restaurants.\textsuperscript{807} When the caterpillar fungus was used by traditional physicians for medical treatments in southeastern areas of late Qing China,\textsuperscript{808} Chinese drug merchants were also enthusiastic about exploring its commercial value by developing new products.\textsuperscript{809} In the Republican period, the caterpillar fungus continued to be sold as a medicinal substance in drugstores in Suzhou and other areas.\textsuperscript{810} But in prosperous Shanghai, the commercial capital of China,\textsuperscript{811} the value of the caterpillar fungus as a way of celebrating the tonic culture was greatly exploited. As mentioned in chapter 2, the food company Guan sheng yuan published an advertisement for its new tonic food called the caterpillar fungus-duck on New Year’s Day, 1925. In the catering industry, some smart restaurants such as the Nan yuan jiu jia 南園酒家 (South Park Restaurant) also served tonic dishes involving the use of the caterpillar fungus, such as ‘\textit{chong cao ru ge 蟲草乳鴿}’ (caterpillar fungus-young pigeon).\textsuperscript{812} These dishes were actually variations on the caterpillar fungus-duck combination. As the recipes for these dishes were not so complex, some gourmets actively introduced them to the public through periodicals like \textit{Chang shou 長壽} (Longevity, Shanghai).\textsuperscript{813}

Because the caterpillar fungus was a profitable and popular substance, some speculative merchants began to sell it even though it was beyond the scope their original business. For example, a snow fungus company in Shanghai published an advertisement on 4 January, 1928, stating that it

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{806} Anonymous [1948] 1985, p. 348.
\item \textsuperscript{807} Fan 2012, pp. 14-36.
\item \textsuperscript{809} See, for example, LYTZR [1881] 1983, p. 687; LYTGS [1884] 1983, p. 741.
\item \textsuperscript{810} See, for example, Lloyd 1918, pp. 766-780.
\item \textsuperscript{811} Hauser 1940, p. 198.
\item \textsuperscript{813} Shen 1935, p. 350.
\end{itemize}
sold not only the snow fungus (a tonic suitable for everyone, see Pei Jian above) but also the tonic caterpillar fungus. At that time, medicinal products sold in Shanghai had two main complementary sources of supply: the stores dotted along the Yangtze River and in inland areas, and the stores located in Tianjin, Hankou, and other port cities in Guangzhou, Fujian, etc.814 Consumers of the caterpillar fungus in Shanghai did not need to worry unduly about sources of supply. It is reasonable to assume that those who consumed the above dishes and food did so expecting to be nourished by a tonic rather than treated with medication. Accordingly, merchants also actively tailored propaganda about the caterpillar fungus so as to satisfy consumers’ expectations.

Exhibitions were also important platforms for the promotion of medicinal products. From the 1910s onward, national product ‘movements’ prospered in China, giving rise to hundreds of big or small exhibitions of ‘guo huo 国货’ (national products).815 The ‘movements’ were infused with a culture of propaganda about how the products would further national economic interests, and delivered in highly nationalistic and anti-imperialist tones.816 National salvation could be achieved through economic means. Medicinal products constituted an important part of the ‘national products’ on show. For example, during the period from 1 November, 1928 to 3 January, 1929, the largest national products exhibition ever yet held in modern China, was held in Shanghai for the purpose of promoting domestic products as well as industries and commerce.817 It successfully brought together in Shanghai more than ten thousand products from different provinces. At the end of December, 1928, Li Kuian 李奎安 and Dong Shaoshu 董紹舒, two official representatives of Sichuan and Yunnan, proudly presented products of their own provinces to visitors. Both of them mentioned the caterpillar fungus as one of their most prized local medicinal products.818 More than ten thousand invited guests and fifty thousand tourist visitors visited the exhibition over the two months. The propaganda would wield wide and positive influence on the sale of the caterpillar fungus produced in Sichuan and Yunnan. Certainly, the consumer market for the caterpillar fungus was not confined to China. Probably to expand its overseas market in Southeast Asia and/or America, a Chinese company even commissioned the Consulting Institute for International Trade (Shanghai) to advertise its caterpillar fungus stocks and futures in the latter’s weekly on 20 June, 1946.819

815 Ma and Hong 2009, pp. 69-83.
819 GJMYZXS 1946, p. 1.
By this time, the caterpillar fungus was a popular but still relatively expensive medicinal product. If we look at the prices of medicinal products from Kangding and Lijiang in the mid-1930s (Appendix 7), we can clearly see that it was significantly more expensive than most or all of the other medicinal plants and fungi. It was, however, cheaper than medicinal animal products (e.g. bear bile, mainly used for brightening eyes and soothing the liver). In about 1935, the caterpillar fungus sold in a noted drugstore in Shanghai was priced at 44.24 yuan/jin ($/斤). So, the price of the caterpillar fungus in Shanghai was about 7.37-4.92 times the prices in Kangding, i.e. 6.00-9.00 yuan/jin. Common strains of rice sold in Shanghai in 1935, for example, were priced at a fraction of the price at around 0.067-0.080 yuan/jin. An increase in the prices was consistent with the flow of the caterpillar fungus from production areas to places of consumption. The above domestic circulation of the esteemed caterpillar fungus was an epitome of the dynamic production and consumption of many kinds of Chinese medicinal substances in the Republican period. Against this background, the caterpillar fungus ignored the sarcasm of modern science, and continued to obtain favour with many people from local mountain traders in eastern Tibet, west Sichuan, northwest Yunan and south Qinghai, through Chinese merchants, and consumers in East China, Hong Kong and probably South East Asia, not to forget the restaurateurs and their clients and physicians and patients in all these places. As a scientific wonder, however, it meanwhile engaged traditional physicians’ attention to an interest in medicinal substances shared by Chinese physicians and scientists or doctors representing the new scientific medicine.

4.3.2 Integration, Scientification, and Medicinal Substances of Growing Importance

The modern Chinese public’s deep-seated belief in Chinese medicine was shaken in the encounter of Chinese medicine and scientific medicine. There were those who apparently supported what they understood as the latter wholeheartedly, and even those who proposed to abolish Chinese medicine altogether. These included many educated Chinese people who were trained in or simply believed the rhetoric of a scientific medicine and accordingly deprecated Chinese medicine; there were also other intellectuals who suggested integrating Chinese medicine and scientific medicine was the
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821 ZGKXYSHJJYJS and SHSHKXYJJYJS 1958, p. 217.
822 Feng 2007, pp. 121-129.
path to a future on China’s own terms;\textsuperscript{823} some still recommended the abolition of Chinese medicine but while retaining the use of Chinese medicinal substances.\textsuperscript{824} In some cases, the root causes behind such varied views of medicine lay in individuals’ personal experiences of medical care, or that of their family members. For example, the Confucian scholar Yu Yue’s 俞樾 (1821-1907) proposal for the abolition of Chinese medicine was grounded in the tragic death of his sick relatives whom traditional physicians had failed to cure.\textsuperscript{825} Yu Yue’s attitude to Chinese medicine also underwent a transformation from calling for the abolition of Chinese medicine to separating \textit{materia medica} from Chinese medicine and advocating the use of Chinese medicinal substances. Another similar example is that of Lu Xun 魯迅 (1881-1936), one of the most important literary writers in 20th-century China. Though he received training in scientific medicine in Japan during the period 1904-1906, Lu Xun’s antagonism to Chinese medicine had its roots in his youth at the end of the 19th century, when he witnessed his father’s death despite treatments offered by traditional physicians.\textsuperscript{826} But after his marriage, Lu Xun also bought or used Chinese medicinal substances to treat his sick family members,\textsuperscript{827} which indicated an ambiguous position. His literary antagonism to traditional medicine famous in \textit{Kuang ren ri ji} 狂人日記 (A Madman’s Diary) and \textit{Yao} 藥 (Medicines) was also part of the larger rhetorical position in \textit{Na han} 呐喊, literally the ‘Call to Arms’ against ‘feudalism’ in the pursuit of a revolutionary modernity.\textsuperscript{828} In fact he was not absolutely opposed to the use of Chinese medicinal substances in practice.

In the face of scientific medicine and its impact on the social conditions of medical care, modern Chinese medicine and its proponents were also seeking self-transformation. Gradually, the integration of Chinese medicine and scientific medicine evolved into a mainstream ideology among the community of traditional physicians. Historians of medicine generally consider that this idea was first explicitly expressed by the viceroy Li Hongzhang in 1890, who recommended ‘\textit{he zhong xi zhi shuo er hui qi tong} 合中西之說而會其通’ (integrating Chinese and Western doctrines and reaching confluence).\textsuperscript{829} Soon after, the physician Tang Zonghai 唐宗海 (1851-1897) began to use the expression ‘\textit{zhong xi hui tong} 中西匯通’ (integrating Chinese and Western [medical knowledge]) in
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the titles of his medical texts from 1892.830 Tang was not the first Chinese person who made efforts to integrate Chinese medicine and scientific medicine in practice.831 But his identity as a famous traditional physician and his explicit proposition in his writings heavily promoted the rise of the idea of integrating Chinese medicine and scientific medicine among traditional physicians, especially those in Shanghai, where he practised and published.832 Although there was not always a consensus of specific opinions, or of practices, traditional physicians of the integration school basically placed emphasis on Chinese medicine, while recognising the possibility of constructive communication between Chinese medicine and scientific medicine.833

The idea of ke xue hua 科學化 (scientification) appeared and rapidly developed in this trend for integrating Chinese medicine and scientific medicine. Scientification, as an extremely influential methodology for adapting Chinese medicine, was embraced by more and more traditional physicians from the end of the Qing dynasty onwards. The following paragraphs will unveil the basis on which these physicians understood ke xue hua and the scientification of Chinese materia medica in the first half of the 20th century. Key elements of this movement include chemical analysis of ingredients in Chinese medicinal substances, the laboratory, new image-making and biomedical experimentation. This combined and collective practice undeniably represents a methodological change in exploring and evaluating the effectiveness of Chinese medicinal substances. But we cannot simply treat it as a ‘paradigm shift’, as some ‘old’ Chinese medical ideas and empirical knowledge actually continued to inspire new scientific research on Chinese medicinal substances. Ding Fubao 丁福保 (1874-1952), a influential but also controversial figure in modern Chinese academic history, pioneered the spread of the idea of scientification among traditional physicians.834 In 1899, Ding began to buy and read an extensive range of ‘zhong xi yi shu 中西醫書’ (Chinese and Western medical books) because of his own health problems.835 However, Ding’s acquisition of ‘Western medical knowledge’ actually came from the East, since it was based on Japanese rather than Western literature.836 His book Hua xue shi yan xin ben cao 化學實驗新本草 (New Materia Medica Based on Chemical Experiments),
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finalised in 1908, was basically translated from a Japanese text on pharmacognosy.\footnote{Mayanagi 2010, pp. 151-159.} His preface to this book mentions some of his contemporaries’ contempt for Chinese medicinal substances after the arrival of Western medicine, probably from the East, in China. He stresses that:

> Chinese medicine has degenerated to an extreme degree, but [Chinese] medicinal substances may not be useless. …Because Japanese and Western pharmacologists carry out chemical analyses of their constituents and further experiments [on the constituents], they are able to unveil the essence [of Chinese medicinal substances], and therefore reduce the prevalence of fallacies [about Chinese medicinal substances]. If people exercising the profession of Chinese medicine refer to those pharmacologists’ methods and fruits of their medical practice, and further connect them with old [Chinese] prescriptions, the day will surely come when Chinese and Western [medical] knowledge have been integrated. (吾國之醫雖退化至於極點，而藥物未嘗不可用。……蓋東西洋之藥物學家以化學分析其成分而實驗之，故能窮源竟委，力闢流傳虛妄之習。而吾國業醫者，苟由是而治病，由是而上合古方，必有中西匯通之一日。)\footnote{Ding 1909, pp. 1-2.}

In the above passage, Ding Fubao explicitly expressed his disappointment with Chinese medicine, yet still pinned his hopes on Chinese medicinal substances. However, the future of Chinese medicinal substances needed to be based on chemical analyses and pharmacological experiments, which were, precisely, Ding’s expected approaches to integrating Chinese and Western medical knowledge. In his later years, Ding still firmly believed in the effectiveness of Chinese medicinal substances, but complained that Chinese medicine lacked the new medical and scientific intelligence represented by Western scientific medicine.\footnote{Ding 1948, pp. 18-19.} Stimulated by the Japanese medical revolution in the Meiji period, Ding Fubao reached the conclusion that Chinese medicine was not only unscientific, but also deviated from the international progressive trend in medicine towards a laboratory based ‘scientification’:

> I have carefully examined the most serious shortcomings of Chinese medicine. Chinese medicine lacks elementary medical intelligence involving anatomy, physiology, histology,
embryology, bacteriology and pathology, as well as international new knowledge of epidemiology and internal medicine. Therefore Chinese physicians were unable to attend medical conferences held by governments or international conferences on the prevention of epidemic disease. When Chinese physicians and Western doctors were seeing patients in the latter’s homes together, Chinese physicians’ narratives of etiological factors, symptoms and pathological mechanisms do not coincide with scientific principles and go against the international progressing trends in medicine. (詳細調查國醫最大之缺點，在於無解剖學，生理學，組織學，胎生學，細菌學，病理學等基礎醫學之智識，又無傳染病及內科學等之世界新智識。故政府開醫學大會或國際開防疫大會等，國醫皆不克列席。甚至與西醫在病家會診，凡論說病原，症候，病理等，皆不合於科學之原則，而且昧於各國醫學日新之趨勢。)

At the same time, he did not totally negate the value of Chinese medicine, but had considerable confidence in the potential of effective empirical knowledge and material from Chinese medical history, especially potent Chinese medicinal substances. Ding himself developed two new kinds of pills made from Chinese medicinal substances (jing zhi bu xue wan 精製補血丸 [the refined pill for supplementing blood] and ban xia xiao tan wan 半夏消痰丸 [the banxia, or Pinellia ternata, pill for eliminating phlegm]), which won him the top prize at a commodity exposition held in Nanjing in 1909. The reason why Ding recognised empirical Chinese medical knowledge but also criticised it as unscientific was that he essentially treated modern science as the sole correct epistemology in the field of medicine. Whether Ding was insufficiently trained in the new science, or he was just using effective terminology for communicating with his customers, we have to note at this point, that his new ‘scientific’ pills are not very ‘scientific’ and are aimed at unambiguously ancient Chinese bodies when they aim to ‘supplement the blood’ and ‘eliminate phlegm’.

The idea of scientising Chinese medicine or materia medica undoubtedly also had marketing traction with the public, and was quite popular among urban elites of China in the 1920s-30s. Its influence was by no means confined to the community of traditional physicians, as those who had received a scientific or biomedical education also became involved in the movement for the
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scientification of Chinese medicine. For example, Yu Yunxiu 余雲岫 (1879-1954, aka Yu Yan 余巖), who had studied scientific medicine in Japan and then started his career as a doctor in 1916, published two critical articles on Chinese medicine in 1920. In those articles he advocated separating the wealth of historical empirical knowledge of using medicinal substances from medical theories rooted in yin yang, wu xing, and other ‘fallacious doctrines’; more importantly, he made an appeal that scientific studies of Chinese medicinal substances, begin with taxonomic identification and include chemical analysis and physiological experimentation, ‘following their Western pharmacological methods’ (照他們西洋藥物學研究的法兒). Although Yu Yunxiu was a key and representative figure in proposals that the government should abolish Chinese medicine at the end of the 1920s, he valued the effectiveness of Chinese medicinal substances and also used them in medical practice. Furthermore, in 1928 he further proposed selecting some target medicinal substances from classical Chinese medical records in order to conduct chemical studies of them. Like Yu Yunxiu, some Chinese doctors of scientific medicine would also make direct use of Chinese medicinal substances in treatment especially when there was a shortage of chemical medicinal substances. So even in the community of doctors of scientific medicine there were people valuing Chinese medicinal substances, but they suggested different methods to explore and evaluate the effectiveness of the substances.

Yu Yunxiu valued historical empirical knowledge about the use of Chinese medicinal substances because such knowledge was helpful in the search for new effective medicinal substances by means of scientific approaches. This was consistent with Yu’s tripartite division of Chinese medicine into ‘theory, Chinese drugs, and experience’, as analysed by Sean Lei. Lei points out that, Yu Yunxiu, influenced by the Japanese ‘ancient formula current’ which attached importance to practice and empirical knowledge rather than theoretical frameworks, drew on the concept of experience to reassemble an ‘empirical’ subtradition of Chinese medicine. And inspired by Japanese pharmacology, Yu Yunxiu also ‘actively promoted the study of Chinese drugs’, which, according to Lei, embodied the ‘valorization of Chinese drugs as promising objects for scientific research’ in
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Ding Fubao and Yu Yunxiu’s ideas became very popular amongst the community of physicians of classical medicine, and were advocated by a variety of influential figures in the modernising of Chinese medicine like Lu Yuanlei 陸淵雷 (1894-1955). The next section is devoted to examining the background of another influential figure, Chen Cunren 陳存仁 (1908-1990), who as a physician of classical medicine found himself in direct ideological conflict with Yu’s proposal for abolishing Chinese medicine but actually accepted Yu’s appeal for carrying out scientific research on Chinese medicinal substances. Chen acknowledged Ding as his teacher, and also shared Ding’s idea about the importance of scientification. Chen had gone to Nanjing with four other representatives of all the physicians of classical medicine in the country to protest against the government’s proposals to abolish Chinese medicine, and at this stage his position was diametrically opposed to that of Yu. I will first examine his path to becoming a traditional physician and the compilation of his highly influential work *Zhongguo yao xue da ci dian* 中國藥學大辭典 (Great Dictionary of Chinese Materia Medica). Then, on this basis, I will investigate his reflections on the future of Chinese *materia medica*, the potential for it to have new significance within the new scientific paradigms promoted at this time, through the case study of his analysis of the entry on the caterpillar fungus in this dictionary.

### 4.3.3 The New Face of Chinese Materia Medica —— A Case Study of Chen Cunren’s Medical Thought and Efforts

Chen Cunren was born into a merchant family which carried on the silk business in Shanghai. Following his graduation from middle school, he proceeded to Nan yang yi ke da xue 南洋醫科大學 (Nanyang University of Medicine, Shanghai). The university offered courses in scientific medicine. A year after the beginning of his undergraduate study, Chen unfortunately reported that he suffered from ‘*shang han* 傷寒’ (cold damage) during his summer vacation. After being cured by Ding Ganren 丁甘仁 (1865-1926), a famous physician or classical medicine of the Menghe
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school,\textsuperscript{852} he terminated his study at Nanyang University, and enrolled in Shanghai zhong yi zhuan men xue xiao \textsuperscript{852} (Shanghai Specialised School of Chinese Medicine) in 1923.\textsuperscript{853} At this school Chen Cunren formally started a life of studying and practising Chinese medicine. He graduated from this school in the autumn of 1927,\textsuperscript{854} the year when the Nationalist Government was established in Najiing.

The Shanghai Specialised School of Chinese Medicine was a self-funded educational institution, established by Ding Ganren and some other traditional physicians in 1915.\textsuperscript{855} It had a great nationwide influence on Chinese medicine education.\textsuperscript{856} Though concerned about the future development of Chinese medical schools and medical education, Ding and his colleagues did not uncritically reject or denigrate scientific medicine, but treated Chinese medicine and scientific medicine as equals. They stated that medicine was a humanitarian art, and people should learn good medical knowledge regardless of its origin. They not only acknowledged the benefits of scientific medicine (especially surgery), but also planned to employ teachers with relevant expertise.\textsuperscript{857} From its opening in 1916, the school of Chinese medicine also offered courses in Western physiology, hygiene and anatomy, although these subjects accounted for less than 10\% of all the courses.\textsuperscript{858} Therefore, the Chinese medical education that Chen Cunren received at this school was doubtless intermingled with a certain amount of scientific medical knowledge.

In around 1928, Chen Cunren embarked on his career as an independent traditional physician.\textsuperscript{859} He soon became very active in the community of traditional physicians in Shanghai thanks to his teachers’ reputation and his own diligence and enthusiasm for social interaction. Prior to his migration from Shanghai to Hong Kong in 1949, his activities, which centred on Chinese medicine, significantly expanded his fame from Shanghai to the whole country. According to his own words in 1947, he had constantly aimed to serve the community of traditional physicians, to strive for the equal status of Chinese medicine, and to seek improvement in Chinese medicine.\textsuperscript{860} Sean Hsiang-Lin Lei, in his monograph on the struggle of Chinese medicine in late Qing and

\textsuperscript{852} For Ding Ganren’s life and the Menghe learning, see Scheid 2004, pp. 10-68; Scheid 2005, pp. 79-130; Yang 2008.
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\textsuperscript{859} Chen [1976] 2007, pp. 5-8.
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Republican China considers his work the most outstanding example of orienting Chinese medicine to modern science, but does not give a detailed analysis of his contributions to this transnational history.\textsuperscript{861} In the modern history of Chinese \textit{materia medica}, Chen Cunren was a key figure who still remains largely neglected. I therefore include him here as his life and his influential publications, particularly his dictionary of medical substances, embody the 20th century transformation of Chinese \textit{materia medica}.

\textit{Compilation of the Great Dictionary of Chinese Materia Medica (1935)}

The Chinese term ‘\textit{ci dian} 辭典’ (dictionary) was coined no later than the 6th century, but its modern sense was actually imported from Japan.\textsuperscript{862} Indeed, the rudiments of Chinese dictionaries can be traced back to the \textit{Er Ya} 爾雅 (Literary Expositor), a text of the 2nd century BC;\textsuperscript{863} but the compilation styles and principles of modern Chinese dictionaries were profoundly influenced by foreign cultures of dictionary-making, especially Japanese, British, and American styles, and bore only a distant relationship with ancient Chinese textual traditions.\textsuperscript{864} Situated in a dramatically transforming society, Chinese intellectuals were also actively rethinking and reconstructing their own knowledge system when assimilating new knowledge from the rest of the world and this is nowhere more evident than in the ways in which they translated or newly compiled dictionaries.\textsuperscript{865}

The content of modern Chinese dictionaries of \textit{materia medica} reveals the compilers’ views of classical Chinese \textit{materia medica} as it negotiated with this modern science, as well as their reflections on the future of Chinese \textit{materia medica}. The first modern comprehensive dictionary of Chinese medicine was compiled by Chen’s teacher Xie Guan 謝觀 (1880-1950) in 1921.\textsuperscript{866} Xie was strongly in favour of dictionaries as a form of organising and popularising Chinese medical knowledge. He wrote that dictionaries were the most appropriate means of simplifying complexity and presenting essential information.\textsuperscript{867} Although his dictionary is not a specialist dictionary of Chinese \textit{materia medica}, dictionaries of Chinese \textit{materia medica} followed, including the one
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published by Chen Cunren in 1935.\textsuperscript{868} Chen’s dictionary was the most influential dictionary of Chinese \textit{materia medica} in modern and early Communist China, even though it incurred criticism as well as positive comments.\textsuperscript{869} However, published studies of Chen’s dictionary remain few,\textsuperscript{870} and those that there are pay little attention to its original sources or its integration of local and global knowledge about Chinese medicinal substances.

Chen Cunren was offered the opportunity to compile the dictionary by his teacher Xie Guan. The Commercial Press in Shanghai invited Xie to compile a dictionary of Chinese \textit{materia medica} in 1929.\textsuperscript{871} But Xie recommended Chen Cunren, who accepted the task and had soon drafted an outline and some sample entries. He then accompanied Xie to the Commercial Press to meet the director of the compilation department and sign the publishing contract. Unfortunately, about half a year later, the Commercial Press broke all existing contracts due to a series of strike actions at the press.

Nevertheless, with Ding Fubao’s encouragement and help, Chen Cunren continued to compile his dictionary. He employed four sub-editors, four copyists, two illustrators, two photographers, and four students. In addition to text editing, he also delegated students to collect Chinese medicinal substances from medicine markets and in different regions. Chen himself collected over 500 specimens of medicinal substances in Qichun, Hubei province, and Guangdong province.\textsuperscript{872} The dictionary was finalised in June, 1933.\textsuperscript{873} It contained about 3 200 000 characters, 14 000 entries,\textsuperscript{874} 800 colour illustrations, and some additional black-and-white pictures and pen drawings. Chen submitted the manuscript of this dictionary to the Shi jie shu ju 世界書局 (World Press) in Shanghai, expecting the latter to publish the manuscript as a single book. But when it was finally published in April, 1935, the World Press turned out to have re-edited it, without consulting the author, as two separate books, one containing the text, and the other the pictures (colour illustrations and black-and-white pictures). Moreover, the latter book, entitled \textit{Zhongguo yao wu biao ben tu ying} 中國藥物標本圖影 (Pictures of Specimens of Chinese Medicinal Substances), did not include all the pictures, but just a portion of them, covering about 450 kinds of medicinal substances. Though Chen was dissatisfied with the publisher’s autonomous actions, the dictionary was frequently
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reprinted and remained very popular. Soon after, the World Press cut about three fifths of its content, and published the abridged edition of the dictionary in April, 1937. According to the Press’s sales records and Chen’s reference to various legal or illegal reprint editions in different regions, the abridgement was also widely welcomed, and bought by traditional physicians in almost all counties of every province in China. As late as 1956, due to its wealth of sources and significant practical value, a revised edition of Chen’s dictionary was republished in mainland China.

To compile this dictionary, Chen extracted a great deal of information from hundreds of Chinese and Japanese books and articles relating to medicines from China. According to the reference list, the sources of the dictionary comprised 222 Chinese books, 40 Japanese books, 159 Chinese articles, and three Japanese articles. Among the Chinese books, Qing and pre-Qing works account for 76.6% of total references. The Japanese books, though accounting for only 9.4%, provide much of the new scientific knowledge about Chinese medicinal substances, mainly concerning the Linnaean taxonomic system, plant and animal anatomy, chemical constituents and human physiology. Chen Cunren assimilated this knowledge into his dictionary. When the manuscript of the dictionary was already in proof, Chen obtained a report by the Japanese pharmacognosist Nakao Manzou (1882-1936), detailing the chemical constituents of 142 Chinese medicinal substances. He considered the report to have great value, but was no longer in time to add the chemical information to individual entries. He therefore included the report as an appendix. A noted traditional physician in his own right, Chen Cunren, unlike his teacher Xie Guan, did not reject ‘xin xue shuo 新學說’ (new doctrines) when compiling his dictionary. Although he fought against doctors of scientific medicine (like Yu Yunxiu) when it came to the abolition of Chinese medicine, he actually also stood with the latter in view of his proposal for reforming Chinese materia medica by adopting scientific approaches such as chemical analysis. His efforts in this regard will be examined below.

The Caterpillar Fungus, Materia Medica, and Academic Expectation

Chen Cunren’s preface to the dictionary clearly shows that he was among those physicians of
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classical medicine who advocated its scientification. He was deeply dissatisfied with classical Chinese *materia medica*. For example, he criticised *Ben cao gang mu* (Compendium of Materia Medica, 1578) for its inclusion of excessive numbers of medicinal substances and prescriptions, indifference to specific doses of medicinal substances, lack of attention to morphological characteristics and identifications of medicinal plants and animals, etc. Moreover, he considered the *yin yang* and *wu xing* theories to be ‘imaginary vacuous theories’ (意象空論), which ‘led the scholarship of Chinese medicine to catastrophe, and caused it to be denounced by modern scientists’ (中醫學術上大受浩劫，而為近世科學家所詬病). In addition to the aim of producing a great reference book, Chen also intended his dictionary to be able to arouse scientists and biomedical experts’ interest in Chinese medicinal substances, and ‘then [stimulate them to] carry out real work on the scientification of Chinese medicinal substances’ (進而做中藥科學化之真實工作). This intention is also consistent with the sentiments that he expresses in his introduction to Nakao Manzou’s report:

The information about the chemical constuents of 142 Chinese medicinal substances, recently gathered together in the report by the Japanese doctor of medicine Nakao Manzou, was all formally published in journals of [scientific] medicine or *materia medica*. It is indeed a great harvest of scholarship on Chinese medicinal substances. Shouldn’t we Chinese people wake up to it? I wish Chinese physicians not to be obsessed with old doctrines and vacuous theories, and defend them with meaningless arguments. [We Chinese physicians] should know that the only precious thing in Chinese medicine is those Chinese medicinal substances that have validatable special efficacies. From now on, [Chinese physicians] should make an effort to study literature on Chinese medicinal substances, distinguish genuine [Chinese medicinal substances] from unrecognised ones, improve preparation and cultivation of [Chinese medicinal substances], do chemical analyses of constituents [of Chinese medicinal substances], and determine effective doses of [Chinese medicinal Substances]. Research on these subjects will lay a solid foundation for Chinese medicine forever. It will be the correct way of scientising Chinese medicine. Furthermore, I also wish domestic scientists and experts in Western medicine and *materia medica* to change their objects of study [to Chinese medicinal substances], and thus engage in
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scientific studies of] the national treasure now dominated by foreign people. The above are the reasons why I have compiled this work. (近由日本醫學博士中尾萬三集得中藥一百四十二種之成分報告，均系正式發表於醫藥雜誌者，誠皆中國藥學上之重大收穫。國人對此能不惶然警惕？吾願國醫同志，勿再泥於舊說學派之空論，作無謂之自訟。當知國醫之唯一寶物，即在效驗卓特之中國藥物。今後當盡力於研求國藥文獻，辨別地道真偽，改進炮制種植，化驗成分性質，判定藥效度量，此數端即為國醫造成萬世不滅之根基，亦為國醫科學化之正確途徑。又願國內科學專家，西醫藥家轉易研究目標，勿使國傳瓖寶坐讓於人。是本書之所由作也。)

The above passage clearly shows Chen Cunren’s opinion on Chinese medicinal substances and their importance to the future of Chinese medicine. In his eyes, indigenous scholarship on Chinese medicinal substances before and in his time was not only out of date, but also was conducted in ‘incorrect’ (i.e. unscientific) ways. Of course, the chemical analysis of the constituents of Chinese medicinal substances, highly valued by Chen, did not exist in classical Chinese medicine. And neither did unified standards for drug dosages. In short, Chen delivered us the constituents of a Chinese medical modernity, i.e. placing emphasis on medicinal substances and related literature, identifying true medicinal species or substances, and standardising usage on the basis of chemical analysis.

Chen’s confidence was not without foundation, as some foreign experts such as Nakao Manzou had already embarked on the kind of research that he was advocating to traditional physicians, scientists and biomedical experts. When Chen Cunren wrote his memoirs in Hong Kong in the early 1970s, he recorded the satisfaction that he still continued to feel with his own procedure in compiling the dictionary, i.e. discarding old Chinese medical theories:

Previously some books on [Chinese] medicinal substances resorted to *wu xing* and *liu qi* 六氣 (six climatic factors) theories when they were unable to explain medicinal substances’ primary effects. I thought that such theories were unscientific, therefore none of the 3 200 000 characters in the whole book [i.e. Chen’s dictionary] is devoted to *wu xing* and *liu qi*. This was a revolutionary approach, which more or less brought about a reform in [subsequent] Chinese
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medical books. (從前有一部分本草書，講不出藥物主治作用時，就用五行六氣來解釋。我對這點認為不科學，所以全書三百二十萬字，五行六氣是矢口不提的。這是一種革新的精神，也算對中國醫藥書籍掀起了一種革命。)

In his collection of notes on early Chinese medical history, Chen never disguised his conviction in the efficacies and latent scientificity of Chinese medicinal substances. He treated some classical treatments involving the use of Chinese medicinal substances as ‘neglected inventions’ (被忽視的發明), because they could be satisfactorily explained by scientific knowledge, yet were used in practice before the rise of modern science. Chen’s enthusiasm for modern science was not generated out of nothing. The city of Shanghai, where he lived, walked in the forefront of modernisation and remained the centre of debates between people who essentialised themselves as traditionalists or in favour of the modern scientific medicine in the Republican period. From the turn of the 1920s–30s onward, criticisms of unscientific Chinese medical theories and proposals for adapting Chinese medicine by using biomedical approaches and knowledge spread like wildfire even among traditional physicians. Ding Fubao, who had a close relationship with Chen Cunren, was a powerful advocate of integrating Chinese medicine and scientific medicine on the basis of scientising Chinese medicine. And Chen Cunren did not refuse to study scientific medicine, since integration had already become a marker of identity among those literate physicians of classical medicine publishing in the new professional journals. He employed a doctor of scientific medicine to tutor him on internal medicine for approximately two years before he left for Hong Kong in 1949. His contribution to the ‘reform’ of Chinese materia medica can be specifically investigated through the entry on the caterpillar fungus in his representative work Great Dictionary of Chinese Materia Medica.

Chen Cunren’s dictionary was completed by means of ‘scientific methods’ (科學方法); and ‘all the material for scientific research in old [Chinese] materia medica and new modern theories developed through chemical analysis were adopted, as far as possible, and were compiled in the

883 Chen [1970?] 2008, pp. 11-78.
887 In the Republican period, most of the periodicals devoted to Chinese materia medica attempted to assimilate scientific knowledge, see Lu 2016, pp. 147-172.
form of a dictionary’ (所有舊有藥學上之科學材料及近世化驗發明之新學說，儘量采入，而以辭典之方式編纂而成). Clearly Chen thought that there were both unscientific and latent scientific knowledge in old Chinese materia medica. And it is certain that the sense of the term ‘yao xue 藥學’ (materia medica) in the dictionary title must be situated in the scientific or biomedical rather than the ben cao 本草 (herbal) context. To stress that there was material for scientific research in old Chinese medicine, Chen particularly spoke of scientific studies of Chinese medicinal substances in Germany, Britain, Japan and America. In turn, these international examples also lent support to Chen’s propaganda for his reform of Chinese materia medica. Here we can refer to the entry and illustration of the caterpillar fungus (Fig. 25) in the dictionary so as to examine how he put his ideas into practice.890

Fig. 25  The entry (A, part) and illustration (B) of the caterpillar fungus in Chen

889  Chen 1935e, p. 1.
890  For the entry on and illustration of the caterpillar fungus, see Chen 1935a, pp. 303-306; Chen 1935, p. 24.
The entry on the caterpillar fungus consists of nine sections: ‘ming ming 命名’ (naming), ‘other ancient names’ (古籍別名), ‘foreign names’ (外國名詞), ‘production areas’ (產地), ‘morphological characteristics’ (形態), ‘collection’ (採取), ‘[medicinal] properties’ (性質), ‘main efficacies’ (主治), and ‘recent doctrines’ (近人學說). The number of specific sections in individual entries varies due to different amounts of available references. Scientific knowledge about the caterpillar fungus in the entry is located in the ‘foreign names’ and ‘recent doctrines’ sections, whereas the knowledge in the other sections is actually all extracted from Zhao Xuemin’s (1719-1805) *Ben cao gang mu shi yi* (A Supplement to the Compendium of Materia Medica, c. 1803). The ‘foreign names’ section only gives the Latin name for the caterpillar fungus, ‘Cordyceps sinensis’. The Latin name is also what Chen refers to as ‘xue ming 學名’ (scientific name) in his instructions on the principles of compilation. The ‘recent doctrines’ section was actually extracted from Cao Bingzhang’s (1877-1956) 1917 article on the caterpillar fungus.

In Cao’s original article and also the ‘recent doctrines’ section in Chen’s dictionary, Cao starts with the statement that Japanese and Western naturalists have already found the caterpillar fungus to be ‘a kind of parasitic fungus ’ (一種寄生菌); then he introduces some modern scientific knowledge (about, for example, the germination of spores in larvae) from the Japanese journals *Shin nou hou* 新農報 (Journal of New Agriculture) and *Kon chuu se kai* 昆蟲世界 (Insect World), and the Chinese journal *Bo wu xue za zhi* 博物學雜誌 (Journal of Natural History), and then presents some pre-modern Chinese natural knowledge from the primary work of Zhao Xuemin and other authors. Cao did not consult the original Japanese texts, but just read Chinese translations of two articles published in the Japanese journals. Because the Chinese translations had been published in 1900 and 1903 respectively (see above), and many of Cao’s words were identical to the translated versions. Cao’s accounts of the morphological characteristics, life cycle, taxonomic classification and scientific names relating to the caterpillar fungus in the European context were largely taken verbatim from the two translations. That is to say, although these accounts originated from modern European natural knowledge, they were actually indirectly derived through (Chinese translations of)
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In the ‘naming’ section, however, Chen Cunren still explains that the caterpillar fungus got its name because of its transformation from a worm in winter to a blade of grass in summer. This might be understood for its antiquarian value of preserving a traditional explanation in the historical section. But in the ‘morphological characteristics’ section, Chen again uses the popular theory about the seasonal transformation of the caterpillar fungus to explain its appearance and life cycle; and in the ‘recent doctrines’ section, Chen quotes Cao’s remark that ‘Doubtless the caterpillar fungus transforms between a worm and a fungus. And scientists’ identification of the caterpillar fungus as a parasitic fungus is probably incorrect, because they drew the conclusion by just observing specimens of it (其為蟲菌遞變，已無疑義。而科學家但就其標本觀之，謂為寄生菌，恐非確論). From these words, it seemed that Cao, and probably also Chen, then accepted the idea that the ‘grass’ part of the caterpillar fungus was actually a kind of fungus, but he still believed in the caterpillar fungus’s transformational ability. Such statements in the entry make one doubtful of Chen’s own scientific literacy and adherence to his compilation principles. Moreover in the ‘main efficacies’ section, there are also expressions such as ‘wen 温’ (warm) and ‘ping 平’ (balance) in the ‘[medicinal] properties’ section, and ‘li zhu xu bai sun 理諸虛百損’ (treating all kinds of deficiencies and impairments) [terminology from the conceptual system of classical Chinese medicine]. Probably Chen treated these categories as material for scientific studies of old Chinese materia medica, but there are no direct matches for them in scientific terminology.

Besides, Chen’s classification of organisms is not consistent with modern biological classification. Chen classified Chinese medicinal substances into the following 28 groups:

- **jin yu lei**: (metal and minerals)
- **shi lei**: (stone)
- **shan cao lei**: (mountain herbs)
- **fang cao lei**: (fragrant herbs)
- **shi cao lei**: (marshy herbs)
- **du cao lei**: (toxic herbs)
- **man cao lei**: (creeping herbs)
- **shui cao lei**: (aquatic herbs)
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These categories were not devised by Chen, but were established in Chinese medical texts, such as *Ben cao gang mu* (Compendium of Materia Medica, 1578) and *Ben cao cong xin* (Renewed Herbal Classic, 1757), centuries before. But the binomial nomenclature in Latin, evolutionary species concept-based classification, and microscope-based observation and description added to the rhetoric of power, which made these categories traditional and unscientific and the Linnaean taxonomic system modern and scientific.

Chen Cunren attached much importance to illustrations. According to his own instructions about the compilation principles, common and important medicinal substances were illustrated in colour; secondary medicinal substances and those requiring three-dimensional representations were photographed and rendered in black-and-white drypoint etchings; rare and seldom used medicinal substances were illustrated with pen drawings. The illustration of the caterpillar fungus (Fig. 24B) vividly displays the appearance and colours of eight specimens. Given that it is illustrated in colour, in Chen’s own view, this meant the caterpillar fungus belonged to the group of ‘common and important medicinal substances’. The illustration shows the dorsal, ventral and lateral sides of the caterpillar fungus by means of different specimens. In addition to revealing general features of the
caterpillar fungus (e.g. the worm-fungus structure), it also realistically depicts differences between specimens (e.g. different ratios of the fungus part to the worm part); probably to intensify the three-dimensional effect, it even retains the projected shadows of the specimens.

The earliest extant illustrations of medicinal substances in classical Chinese medical texts are the ink and brush drawings preserved in the text *Ben cao tu jing* 本草圖經 (Illustrated Classic on Materia Medica, 1061), which make a concerted attempt at ‘xie shi 寫實’ (representing actual appearance). However, the printed or hand-drawn ink pictures or coloured illustrations of medicinal substances in Ming and Qing dynasty texts gradually developed general problems of over-simplification, distortion and artistic licence, although some of these illustrations were still drawn from life. Wu Qijun’s (1789-1847) *Zhi wu ming shi tu kao* 植物名實圖考 (Treatise on Names and Entities of Plants, 1848), which won praise from later botanists due to its fine illustrations, also contains an ink drawing of the caterpillar fungus. It depicts two specimens of the caterpillar fungus outlined with clean black lines, showing the dorsal oblique and ventral oblique views. However, it does not use shading show to render a three-dimensional view; and detailed characteristics of the caterpillar fungus (e.g. the appearance of the fungus part) are also largely absent, although to a significant extent Wu’s textual description supplements the deficiencies in the illustration. Of course, from the perspective of biological identification, the illustrations of the caterpillar fungus in Chen Cunren and Wu Qijun’s books both lack scale bars and images of microscopic structures (e.g. perithecia and spores). But they were drawn by employed illustrators rather than scientists; the shadow and the inclusion of different sizes of specimens is also an indication of a blind sight of nature, or, according to Daston and Galison, ‘seeing without inference, interpretation, or intelligence’, which embodied scientific objectivity.

Through the entries in Chen Cunren’s dictionary, exemplified by the entry on the caterpillar fungus, we can see Chen’s effort to introduce what he understood to be scientific knowledge about Chinese medicinal substances through Japanese literature and Chinese translations. Such knowledge often relates to binomial nomenclature in Latin, Linnaean taxonomic system, European biological terminology for morphological characteristics, microscopic descriptions, chemical constituents,
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896 Zheng 2007, p. 5.
898 The Russian botanist and sinologist Emil Bretschneider considered Wu’s book ‘incomparably the best pictorial work of the Chinese of this class’, see Bretschneider 1871, p. 6.
900 Daston and Galison 2007, p. 17.
and/or their physiological functions as to biomedicine. But at the same time, the dictionary also retains (even more) classical Chinese knowledge about medicinal substances, including ancient names, production areas, appearance, medicinal properties, preparations, prescriptions, etc. Knowledge from disparate sources partially overlaps in the sections that constitute an entry. However, in many (not all) cases, the integration of classical Chinese and scientific knowledge is largely mechanical rather than organic complementary, which is shown in Chen’s comment on the scientific explanation of the caterpillar fungus’s nature and formation (see above). The entry on the caterpillar fungus is more or less emblematic of the plurality of the knowledge about medicinal substances in Chen’s dictionary. Chen Cunren himself, no doubt, aspired to compile a dictionary that reflected the scientific spirit, as he understood it. But because of his poor scientific literacy and inability to read scientific publications in European languages, he was sometimes unable to identify opinions that clearly deviated from standard scientific explanations. On the other hand, Chen’s belief in the latent scientificity of parts of classical Chinese medical knowledge also enabled him to retain large amounts of ‘old’ material in the dictionary without feeling there was any epistemological conflict. He was also one of the few authors who used the character ‘xue 學’ (-ology) in the titles of Republican dictionaries of Chinese medicinal substances, i.e. ‘Zhongguo yao xue da ci dian 中國藥學大辭典 (Great Dictionary of Chinese Materia Medica)’. This indicates his ambition to update that branch of learning and contribute to the ‘field’ of Chinese medical studies.

From the above analyses we are able to see the Japanese influence on Ding Fubao, Yu Yunxiu and Chen Cunren, who held similar opinions on Chinese medicinal substances and related empirical Chinese knowledge. Both Chen and Yu repudiated what they regarded as erroneous Chinese medical theories. Chen’s medical idea reflected Yu’s tripartition of Chinese medicine into ‘theory, Chinese drugs, and experience’ (see above).901 And his proposal for scientising Chinese materia medica engaged with Yu’s empirical world of Chinese medicine. But his was rather a discursive practice that produced a divide between nature (represented by, for example, Chinese medicinal substances as objects for scientific research) and culture (represented by, for example, Chinese medical theories), and a distinction between a pre-modern and an emerging modern Chinese materia medica. Such a divide actually obscures the reality of Chen’s organisation of knowledge in the entries of his dictionary.

---

901 Lei 2014, p. 94.
The *Great Dictionary of Chinese Materia Medica* soon became an important reference for those who wanted to know something about Chinese medicinal substances. For example, in an article published in a Shanghai literary journal on 25 March, 1937, the author Shushan 曙山 writes that he once received a big pack of a ‘ming yao 名藥’ (a famous medicinal substance; i.e. the caterpillar fungus) from a friend of his, and was informed of the method of consuming it in a dish of a boiled duck. Having no knowledge of the caterpillar fungus, he referred to the *Ci yuan* (Origins of [Chinese] Terms) but was dissatisfied with the definition and illustration in the relevant entry. In particular, he considered the illustration looked different from what he saw in front of him. However, his puzzle was *completely* solved after consulting Chen’s dictionary. Much delighted, he even excerpted a passage from the entry on the caterpillar fungus in the dictionary.902 Moreover, when replying to curious readers’ enquiries about the caterpillar fungus, various authors, like the traditional physician Zhu Peiran 朱沛然, also referred to Chen’s dictionary.903 In 1945, the entry for the caterpillar fungus in Chen’s dictionary was even extracted and republished as an article under a pen name in the medical journal *Xian dai yi yao za zhi* 現代醫藥雜誌 (Journal of Modern Medicine and Materia Medica, Guiyang).904 Chen Cunren’s dictionary gradually became a source of ‘standard’ knowledge about Chinese medicinal substances in circulation among the public. The knowledge about the caterpillar fungus in the dictionary also profoundly shaped its readers’ views on the true nature of this noted medicinal substance.

### 4.3.4 Between Scholarship and Practice

Chen Cunren presented his positive attitude to scientification of Chinese *materia medica* in his dictionary, and thus included scientific knowledge to the best of his ability. Nevertheless, the emphasis of the dictionary was still on indigenous medical knowledge. The prefaces and afterwords written by celebrated traditional physicians, a humanities scholar and a politician all give lavish praise to the quality of Chen’s dictionary.905 The popularity of the dictionary enables us to suppose

902 Shu 1937, pp. 511-513.
904 Chengren 1945, pp. 15-18.
905 Authors of the prefaces to Chen’s dictionary include Zhang Taiyan 章太炎 (humanities scholar), Jiao Yitang 焦易堂 (politician), Xiao Fangjun 蕭方駿 (traditional physician), and Chen Cunren himself. Authors of the afterwords include Ding Zhongying 丁仲英 (traditional physician), Wang Zhongqi 王仲奇 (traditional physician),
that traditional physicians confronted with this thick and informative reference book would have considered it a useful, practical tool; and despite its scientific shortcomings, doctors of scientific medicine, would not have rejected it out of hand due to the embedded idea of scientification and Chen’s optimistic expectations of scientists and experts in scientific medicine. In fact, the dictionary succeeded in gaining support from doctors of scientific medicine and even politicians partly by means of Chen’s sophisticated social networking skills. Those who wrote congratulations to Chen Cunren include:

Niu Huisheng 牛惠生 (PhD in medicine from Harvard University, 1892-1937);
Lü Zhegong 呂哲公 (President of Association of Chinese Physicians in Hong Kong);
Yu Yunxiu 余雲岫 (BSc in medicine from Osaka i ka dai gaku 大阪医科大学 [Osaka Medical College], 1879-1954);
Li Ting’an 李廷安 (PhD in public health from Harvard University, 1898-1948);
Xu Xiangren 徐相任 (traditional physician, 1881-1959);
Lu Zhong’an 陸仲安 (traditional physician, 1882-1949);
Chen Lifu 陳立夫 (Politician, 1900-2001);
Chu Minyi 褚民誼 (PhD in medicine from University of Strasbourg, also politician, 1884-1946);
Yan Fuqing 顏福慶 (PhD in medicine from Yale University, 1882-1970).

Over half of the above people were doctors of scientific medicine, including the very same Yu Yunxiu who once strongly advocated the abolition of Chinese medicine. Yu’s congratulations show his approval of Chen’s dictionary and advocacy of scientific studies of Chinese medicinal substances. Obtaining Yu’s support can also be seen as part of Chen’s strategy for popularising the dictionary. In this respect, the role of Chu Minyi’s congratulatory message was similar to that of Yu’s. Chu ranked

Lü Zhegong 呂哲公 (traditional physician), Xia Shaoting 夏紹庭 (traditional physician), Cao Bingzhang 曹炳章 (traditional physician), Yun Tiqiao 楊鐵樵 (traditional physician), and Xie Liheng 謝利恒 (traditional physician). Among over ten Republican dictionaries of Chinese materia medica published before 1936, Chen’s dictionary was one of the two (the other, compiled by Wu Weier, will be mentioned below) referred to by the pharmacologist Bernard E. Read in his Chinese Medicinal Plants from the Pen Ts’ao Kang Mu, see Read 1936, p. xiii.

For Niu’s life, see Ma et al. 1992, p. 168. For Yu’s life, see Hao 2004, pp. 72-76. For Li’s life, see ZSSRMZFDZBG 2012, p. 175. For Xu’s life, see Xiong 2005, pp. 226-227. For Lu’s life, see Li et al. 1995, p. 840. For Chen’s life, see Zhang 2006. For Chu’s life, see Liu and Zhang 1991, pp. 424-425. For Yan’s life, see Qian and Yan 2007. Lü Zhegong was born in Xinhui, Guangdong province, see Lü 1936, p. 280. However, his life remains obscured. Here his identity is indicated in his congratulatory message to Chen on the dictionary.
among the influential figures who attended the Nationalist government’s conference on public health in February, 1929, at which proposals for abolishing Chinese medicine were accepted. Soon after the conference, Chen wrote a letter of protest to Chu, defending the value of Chinese medicine. Nonetheless when his dictionary came to be published, he still turned to Chu to ask for the latter’s support in a display of remarkable tolerance. Chen’s social network not only contributed to his personal success, but also effectively expanded the influence of his dictionary and the project of the scientification of Chinese materia medica.

From Dictionaries to Textbooks

Republican dictionaries of Chinese medicinal substances were almost all published in Shanghai, the publishing centre of Republican China. A few had already appeared in print before Chen Cunren’s dictionary was published, but compared with Chen’s dictionary, they had fewer pages and they did not pay special attention to scientific sources. However, Zhong hua xin yao wu xue da ci dian 中華新藥物學大辭典 (Great Dictionary of Chinese New Materia Medica) by the doctor of scientific medicine Wu Weier 吳衛爾, published in Tianjin in 1934, was an outstanding example to the contrary. Although the entries are all Chinese medicinal substances, Wu’s selection and organisation of knowledge are much more radical than Chen’s. As is signalled by the character xin 新 (new) in the title, Wu despised ‘jiu yao xue 舊藥學’ (old [Chinese] materia medica). In particular, he called his readers’ attention to the fact that the medicinal substances in his dictionary were classified according to the Western biological classification system, while information about the chemical constituents, efficacies and recommended dosages of the medicinal substances was all obtained through chemical analysis and animal experiments. Such a statement embodied Wu’s rupture with old Chinese materia medica, and simultaneously indicated his acknowledgement of the authenticity and authority of scientific and biomedical knowledge. Wu’s procedure resonated with Yu Yunxiu’s appeal for scientific studies of Chinese medicinal substances. But unlike Chen Cunren, Wu totally abandoned the attempt to integrate a Chinese medical knowledge system with scientific
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908 Chen 1929, pp. 15-16. Chen Cunren had contact with Chu Minyi in his daily life. But according to Chen’s memoirs, his impressions of Chu were not very positive, sometimes even negative, see Chen [1979] 2001, pp. 56-76.
909 Reed 2004, pp. 203-256; Yuan 2011, pp. 201-213.
910 For examples of such dictionaries, see Chen 1930; WSBGBJB 1930; Jiang 1931; Wu 1933; Zhang 1934.
911 Wu 1934, pp. 1-2. Wu Weier’s life remains obscure. However, a traditional physician once studied scientific medicine under Wu in Tianjin during the period 1925-1927, see Ma 2009, p. 261.
knowledge. Yet despite this, Wu’s dictionary was far less popular than Chen’s in Republican and even Communist China. To some extent this is not so inexplicable as it might appear at first sight if we consider that Wu’s dictionary does not include the Western medicinal substances preferred by doctors of scientific medicine, while the scientific and biomedical knowledge that permeates it would not have been intelligible to traditional physicians who, in most cases, had not received an extensive education in science or scientific medicine.

From around the mid-1930s, dictionaries of Chinese *materia medica* began to consciously or unconsciously assimilate scientific knowledge to varying degrees in addition to classical Chinese medical accounts. For example, the entry of the caterpillar fungus in the *Zhongguo yao wu xue ji cheng* (Collection of Chinese Materia Medica; Shanghai, 1935) describes the formation of the caterpillar fungus as follows:

It is a fungus, parasitic on underground dead bodies of mole cricket and so on; [its] mycelia grow out in winter, and become a mature fruiting body in summer; [during the period between winter and summer] the dead bodies of the insects decaying, providing the fungus with nutrients. The fungus is four or five *cun* in length, lacks a cap, has a thick lower portion and a thin upper portion, is blackish brown in colour, and can be used for medical purposes. The quality of the fungus produced in Sichuan is the best. (屬菌類，寄生於土中蚯蚓等之死體；冬時發生菌絲，至夏則菌長成，蟲體腐爛爲其養料。菌長四，五寸，無傘，下粗上細，黑褐色，可入藥。用以四川產者爲最佳。)

This book has been neglected in recent statistics on modern dictionaries of Chinese *materia medica*. It includes a few instructions on the use of medicinal substances and entries on more than 400 Chinese medicinal substances. Jiang Yubo 蔣玉伯 (1891-1965), author of the Collection, was a practitioner of Chinese medicine, and Dean of Studies and professor of *materia medica* at the Hu bei guo yi zhuan ke xue xiao 湖北國醫專科學校 (Specialist School of Chinese Medicine in Hubei). He also valued modern science and scientific medicine. In the above description, the caterpillar fungus loses its marvellous transformational ability, while the *yin yang* theory also vanishes. What

---

912 For example, see Hu 1935; Pan 1935.
913 Jiang 1935, p. 489.
Jiang offers us a biological explanation despite a minor inaccuracy (i.e. the fungus parasitises living insects rather than their dead bodies). Like the entries in Chen Cunren’s dictionary, however, Jiang’s accounts of the medicinal properties and efficacies of the caterpillar fungus in this entry are excerpted from classical Chinese medical texts. As this trend continued and grew in the second half of the 20th century, scientific knowledge thus became common in dictionaries of Chinese materia medica or medicinal substances.\(^9^{16}\) This phenomenon accorded with popular practice among traditional physicians, who actively engaged in studying scientific medicine. For example, the traditional physician Zhang Ruoxia 張若霞 (1885-1957) published an article on the caterpillar fungus in the ‘Guo yao jiang zuo 國藥講座’ (Lectures on Chinese medicinal substances) column of a Shanghai journal in 1948. In it he introduces the production of the caterpillar fungus in China, and then quotes some historical Chinese accounts of the caterpillar fungus from Ben cao gang mu shi yi (A Supplement to the Compendium of Materia Medica, c. 1803); furthermore, he also excerpts much mycological knowledge and an illustration (with slight adaptations) from the translated article ‘Dong chong xia cao shuo’ (Speaking of winter worm summer grass, 1903).\(^9^{17}\) Although Zhang was a traditional physician, he did not hesitate to reject the long prevailing worm-grass transformation theory at the beginning of his article.

Certainly not all traditional physicians were highly sensitive to scientific knowledge. Some physicians remained preoccupied with the knowledge about medicinal substances recorded in classical Chinese medical texts. For example, the articles published in the Yao wu xue 藥物學 (Materia Medica) column of Zhong yi za zhi 中醫雜誌 (Journal of Chinese Medicine, Shanghai) indicate that their authors were still obsessed about classical Chinese medical theories and knowledge.\(^9^{18}\) In this journal, an author called Hu Fangxi 胡仿西 even published several dozen old-style poems on Chinese medicinal substances, with his own annotations, in this column in 1925. One of them was devoted to the caterpillar fungus:

冬蟲夏草 (山草) \(^9^{19}\) The Caterpillar Fungus (mountain grass)

\(^9^{18}\) This was a quarterly journal established in Shanghai in December, 1921, and discontinued in September, 1930, see Shen 2012, p. 130.
\(^9^{19}\) Hu 1925, p. 7. His own annotations are shown in brackets.
冬蟲夏草性平甘

The caterpillar fungus is balanced and sweet.

‘補’肺金兮且化痰

It ‘nourishes’ the lungs as jin 金 (metal) and disperses phlegm.

益腎止紅(血也)已勞嗽

It benefits the kidneys, stops bleeding (hong 紅, i.e. blood), and eliminates phthisical cough.

最佳嘉定次雲南(四川嘉定府所
產最佳, 雲南貴州所出者次之)

Jiading is the best, while Yunnan is inferior (The best caterpillar fungus is produced in Jiading, Sichuan, while the inferior is produced in Yunnan and Guizhou).

The annotations in the poem prove that Hu’s source was *Ben cao cong xin* (Renewed Herbal Classic, 1757), and his poem was actually a condensed version of the relevant entry in the Qing text. The only addition to the original record was the character jin 金 (metal), one of the five elements or phases in the *wu xing* theory depreciated by Chen Cunren. Clearly, Hu Fangxi associated the lungs with jin (metal), which accords with the classic system of correlations between the five organs (i.e. xin 心 [heart], gan 肝 [liver], pi 脾 [spleen], fei 肺 [lungs], and shen 腎 [kidneys]) and five elements (huo 火 [fire], mu 木 [wood], tu 土 [earth], jin 金 [metal], and shui 水 [water]).

Another example is offered by Yang Baicheng 楊百城 (1861-1928) and the *Yi xue za zhi* 醫學雜誌 (Journal of [Chinese] Medicine, Taiyuan). Yang Baicheng was a traditional physician who highly valued Western science, while this journal also focused on the integration of Chinese medicine and scientific medicine. However, Yang’s article on the caterpillar fungus, published in this journal in 1926, relies on a few sources from the Qing.

Some traditional physicians also acted as teachers of Chinese *materia medica* at schools of Chinese medicine/*materia medica*. They had different responses to scientific knowledge, which would then have had a direct influence on their students. For example, Zhang Cigong 章次公 (1903-1959), a teacher who lectured at a variety of such schools from the 1930s onward, published a book entitled *Yao wu xue* 藥物學 ([Chinese] Materia Medica), based on his teaching handouts, in Shanghai in 1949.
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920 For early accounts of the correlations between the five organs and five elements, see Anonymous 1992 [c. 1st century BC], pp. 83-90.
and scientific or biomedical knowledge (mainly involving modern biological classification and morphology, chemical constituents, and pharmacological and physiological effects) of 95 Chinese medicinal substances. The latter kind of knowledge was especially plentiful in the book, and was mainly extracted from Chinese translations of Japanese literature. In contrast, Meng Zhongsan 孟仲三, who taught at the Bei ping guo yi xue yuan 北平國醫學院 (Beijing College of Chinese Medicine) in the 1930s, strongly argued against mixing classical Chinese materia medica with scientific or biomedical knowledge. His textbook on Chinese materia medica, finalised in 1932 and published in 1940, was written by consulting ‘high-quality texts by ancient famous [Chinese] physicians’ (古名醫大家之善本) and ‘the best of ancient [Chinese] people’s doctrines’ (古人論理之精當者).923 In 1926, a set of articles written by students from the Hu bei zhong yi zhuan men xue 小湖北中醫專門學校 (Hubei Specialist School of Chinese Medicine) were published as model essays in the Yi xue za zhi 醫學雜誌 (Journal of [Chinese] Medicine, Wuchang). One of them was a textual study of the caterpillar fungus.924 It focused on Qing Chinese sources, and claimed that the transformation of the caterpillar fungus, driven by yin and yang, could not readily be explained by modern science.

More commonly, however, scientific or biomedical knowledge was more or less thoroughly embedded in textbooks by teachers of Chinese materia medica. In this regard, Zhang Cigong’s book, outlined above, is a fine example. But there were also some textbooks of this kind that paid attention to Western taxonomic knowledge alongside classical Chinese knowledge about medicinal substances, exemplified by Yang Shucheng’s 楊叔澄 (c. 1896-?) Zhongguo yao wu xue 中國藥物學 (Chinese Materia medica) and Zhongguo zhi yao xue da gang 中國製藥學大綱 (A General Outline of the Preparation of Chinese Medicinal Substances).925 Both of those works were set texts for students studying at the Beiping zhong yao jiang xi suo 北平中藥講習所 (Beijing Training Institute of Chinese Medicinal Substances). Notwithstanding, the Western taxonomic knowledge in the two books was helpful in identifying raw medicinal substances.

Consequences of Scientification

924 Ming 1926, pp. 76-78.
925 Yang [1940?] 2012a; Yang [1940?] 2012b. For Yang’s life, see ZGZYYJZGYSWXYS 1988, p. 204.
The scientification of Chinese medicinal substances led to two main consequences, both of which can be seen in China today: one was the use of Chinese medicinal substances with special reference to scientific or biomedical explanations, while the other was the attempt to isolate effective medicinal substances from the chemical constituents of Chinese medicinal substances. With regard to the former, scientific or biomedical explanations sometimes influenced traditional physicians' preparations of Chinese medicinal substances in Republican China, and more commonly, provided a legal framework for the use of Chinese medicinal substances in the society where the government promoted new scientific medicine rather than old Chinese medicine. Appendix 8 lists some Republican traditional physicians’ use of the caterpillar fungus together with other Chinese medicinal substances in treating patients in Eastern China. In these medical cases, all the terminology in the physicians’ records of their observations, diagnoses and prescriptions has its origins in classical Chinese medicine. The physicians also seem to have neglected biomedical knowledge in their practical use of Chinese medicinal substances. Some other records of their medical practice indicate that a few of them occasionally invoked biomedical knowledge. For example, Zhang Cigong (1903-1959) once recorded that a female patient’s disease was caused by bacterial invasion of lymph. However, Zhang seems simply to have been concerned to establish a relationship between diseases in Chinese medicine and biomedicine. This did not change his use of Chinese medicinal substances according to indigenous knowledge about diseases and medicinal substances. On this point, Zhang Cigong and the other traditional physicians listed in Appendix 8 were not different from each other.

In particular, scientific or biomedical knowledge about the effects of Chinese medicinal substances was usually oriented towards single natural substances and even their individual constituents, while the drugs that traditional physicians commonly used in practice were compounds of natural medicinal substances. In light of this, scientific medicine did not necessarily have a direct or substantial impact on traditional physicians’ use of medicinal compounds. On the contrary, scientific or biomedical explanations served to reinforce some traditional physicians’ belief in Chinese ways of using these substances. Sherman Cochran’s case study of Xu Guanqun’s (1899-1972) New Asia Medical Materials Plant in the 1930s-40s indicates that scientific discourse could be employed as a business strategy for promoting medicinal products. Here I give another

similar example. ‘Science’ or ‘scientific’ remained the major selling point of the Chinese medicinal products sold by the Shanghai Buddhist Mercy Medicine Factory (established in 1929). When this factory even started to sell some Chinese medicinal products claiming to contain electricity, Lu Xun published a short article in the *Shanghai News* in 1933, which satirised the seller’s overuse of the vocabulary of science and its scientific illiteracy.\(^{928}\) Also in 1933, a doctor of scientific medicine commented in an article published in the *Shanghai News* that, ‘legal research on medicinal substances is a very slow process. It is absolutely unlike what is being done by cunning drug sellers. They feel free to lay claim to producing scientific Chinese medicinal substances, and think that it is sufficient merely to label something as scientific.’\(^{929}\) His comment was probably made with special respect to the above factory. Doubtless this factory utilised the public belief in the power of science to increase its profits.

As for research seeking to isolate medicinal chemicals from Chinese medicinal substances, historians of medicine have often taken delight in talking about Chen Kehui 陳克恢 (1898-1988) and ephedrine.\(^{930}\) Ephedrine, a bioactive chemical extracted from the medicinal plant *ma huan* 麻黃 (*Ephedra vulgaris* Rich. var. helvetica Hook. et Thomp.) was first reported by the Japanese scientist Nagai Nagayoshi 長井長義 (1845-1929) in 1887. But Nagai focused on its mydriatic effect.\(^{931}\) During the period 1923-1925 when Chen was working at Peking Union Medical College, he collaborated with Carl F. Schmidt (1893-1988) on researching new pharmacological effects of ephedrine through chemical methods and animal experimentation. After he moved to America in 1926, ephedrine ‘was submitted to the Council of Pharmacy and Chemistry of the American Medical Association’, as a new drug for asthma, hypotension and some other symptoms and was subsequently given approval.\(^{932}\) The significance of Chen’s case, as Sean Hsiang-Lin Lei writes, was that ‘even those who had originally held conflicting attitudes towards Chinese medicine and had been its biggest critics consequently began to share the opinion that Chinese drugs, unlike other
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\(^{929}\) Pang [1933] 1985, p. 348. The original words are ‘藥物的合法研究, 是一樁很緩慢的事, 絕非像奸滑藥商, 隨便自稱科學國藥, 把無論什麼東西帶上科學帽子, 就算了事.’ For Pang’s life, see NJTSG 2011, p. 685.

\(^{930}\) Chen Kehui obtained his PhD in Physiology from University of Wisconsin in 1922, and a further PhD in Medicine from Johns Hopkins University in 1927. For his life and achievements, see Lou 1995, pp. 88-97; Welland 2006, pp. 159-166, 200-210, 236-238, 268-269.

\(^{931}\) Nagai 1887, p. 700. See also Nagai 1892, pp. 109-114. For Nagai’s life, see Kanao 1960. An early Chinese record of *ma huan* can be found in the *Shen nong ben cao jing* (Divine Husbandman’s Classic of Materia Medica, finalised in the late 1st or 2nd century), see Ma 1995, pp. 200-202.

\(^{932}\) Chen and Schmidt 1930, pp. 4-7. For Schmidt’s life, see Koelle 1995, pp. 273-288.
aspects of Chinese medicine, were worthy of serious scientific research’. However, what many historians of medicine neglect to say is that the story of ephedrine was a very special case. In the whole of the Republican period, more than 400 kinds of Chinese medicinal substances were studied by scientists around the world, while Chinese scientists studied about 114. Nevertheless, few new drugs were successfully developed from the chemical constituents of these substances, not to mention a wide range of subsequent clinical trials. In Republican China there were insufficient human and financial resources for isolating and testing new medicinal chemicals from medicinal plants or fungi. Meanwhile, China’s weak pharmaceutical industrial basis, its reliance on imported medicinal chemicals, and the shortage of medicinal chemicals and biological preparations caused by the Sino-Japanese War in the 1930s and the first half of the 1940s all prompted some Chinese scientists to turn their attention to Chinese medicinal substances. Whether seeking effective substitutes or researching new medicinal chemicals, they had to first study classical Chinese materia medica. This helped to spread empirical knowledge about Chinese medicinal substances among scientists.

From the above analysis it is clear that there was a significant distance between traditional physicians’ academic orientation and practical applications of the caterpillar fungus and other Chinese medicinal substances in Republican China. Contemporary Chinese ‘doctors of Chinese medicine’, as Volker Scheid finds, ‘routinely make biomedical diagnoses, prescribe biomedical drugs, and even perform surgery’. This phenomenon can be traced back to early Communist China (1945-1963). Kim Taylor argues that ‘without its deliberate promotion by the Chinese Communist Party, Chinese medicine would exist very differently from how it does today’. In Republican China, the phenomenon witnessed by Volker Scheid was far from universal. The scientification of Chinese medicinal substances basically remained at a level of academic discourse.

933 Lei 2014, pp. 90-91.
935 Zhang 2010, pp. 31-34.
936 For example, dichroine B (or ‘febrifugine’), an anti-malarial chemical extracted from the medicinal plant Chang shan 常山 (Dichroa febrifuga Lour.) by Chinese scientists in the 1940s, did not succeed in becoming a new medicine due to its serious side effects, see Lei 1999, pp. 323-358; Rao 2013, pp. 263-270.
938 Li and Wan 2015, pp. 147-149.
939 Scheid 2002, p. 17. This phenomenon is very common in contemporary urban hospitals and colleges of Chinese medicine. But it does not always occur in some rural areas of China.
and did not significantly influence indigenous ways of using Chinese medicinal substances. The ‘scientific’ use of Chinese medicinal substances, premised on effective and safe chemicals being discovered from the substances, barely existed among Republican traditional physicians and even among scientists due to a lack of such chemicals. However, the pursuit of medicinal substitutes or new medicinal chemicals by doctors of scientific medicine or scientists lent continuity to the transformation of Chinese materia medica.

4.4 Conclusion

The transmission of modern science to China through the medium of key individuals and the state entailed tensions and negotiations with indigenous knowledge about Chinese medicinal substances, exemplified by our account of the caterpillar fungus. In modern China, especially Republican China, Chinese materia medica began to dramatically transform under the discursive power of science. Across a number of domains we have now tracked how indigenous knowledge about Chinese medicinal substances was positioned as inferior to scientific knowledge, but may not have been entirely replaced by it; while much indigenous empirical medical knowledge, valued by both traditional physicians and scientists, was retained in the newly emerging Chinese materia medica due to its value in the search for medicinal substitutes or new effective medicinal chemicals. The new Chinese materia medica was thus actually reconstructed from plural knowledge systems. The power of science and scientific rhetoric did not necessarily change practical uses of Chinese medicinal substances, but was sometimes even used to legitimate such usages or even to promote Chinese medicinal commodities. The continuous circulation of the caterpillar fungus and many other Chinese medicinal substances in China, for various purposes, also facilitated the spread and continuation of related Chinese empirical and cultural knowledge, and thus preserved a certain continuity in the 20th century process through which the indigenous materia medica transformed into a seemingly modern, yet distinctively national Chinese, materia medica. But the non-existent divide between nature and culture in Republican traditional physicians’ practical efforts, which embodied Latour’s criticism of modernity, indicated that Chinese materia medica had changed to some extent but had never been ‘modern’. Anyway, Chinese medicinal substances and the empirical medical knowledge attached to them became a bridge of communication and a key tool for
Republican traditional physicians to save the legacy of classical medicine in China as it survived a profound and life-threatening existential crisis.
Conclusion

The caterpillar fungus is a curious object and also a potent medicinal substance. Both identities, seldom separated from each other, played important roles in attracting human actors to aid in the spread of the caterpillar fungus. From the early 18th century the caterpillar fungus travelled down from the Tibetan Plateau and surrounding Himalayas to Central and East China, and from there to the rest of the world. For 15th-century Tibetan observers the most fascinating aspect of its curious appearance was the evidence of its apparently marvellous transformation between a worm in winter and a blade of grass in summer. Reports of this miracle were fundamental to the early transmission of the caterpillar fungus in the Sino-Tibetan context. From the early 18th century it undoubtedly gained traction with a Chinese audience because of the deep-seated belief in such wonders of nature that was already embedded in the Chinese imagination from pre-imperial times. Once knowledge of the caterpillar fungus spread throughout China, Chinese literary, medical and culinary audiences gradually endowed its marvelous transformation with Chinese cultural and moral connotations, exploiting the economic value of its miraculous power and endowing it with medicinal potency within pre-established Chinese medical frameworks, thereby transforming it from an exotic Tibetan medicinal substance to an esteemed Chinese medicinal substance. With this new identity it gained favour in the royal palace in Beijing, and on the medicine markets of Suzhou and other regions of China. However, the initial voyage of the caterpillar fungus, with the transmission of specimens and knowledge between the Tibetan plateau and Central and East China, did not result in any significant tensions in their respective natural knowledge systems.

After Chinese travellers, as agents of the caterpillar fungus introduced it to Chinese society in the early 18th century, this intriguing organism caught the attention of travellers from outside of China. Exotic objects and the pursuit of new effective medicinal substances were not only an obsession for people in pre-modern China. The caterpillar fungus’ extraordinary boundary-crossing status continued to stimulate the transmission of specimens from China to France and Japan in the 1720s, to Britain in about 1831, Russia in 1851, and North America in 1891. Complex and interwoven religious, commercial, medical, biological and political interests spurred on the travels of the caterpillar fungus within dynamic, but sometimes also fragile, transnational networks. Obstacles to the transnational travels of the caterpillar fungus included the political suppression of Jesuit
missionaries in Qing China due to the Chinese Rites Controversy, and the belief that Chinese medicinal substances were replaceable with local and European products for Russians. These factors served to interrupt the caterpillar fungus’s subsequent travels to France and Russia.

Nevertheless, the caterpillar fungus defied these obstacles to its progress and attracted much attention from those at the forefront of the fields of natural history and materia medica in 18th- and 19th-century Europe. It initiated European research on fungal parasitism on animals, and created new positions in the European natural order. Though this transformable Chinese wonder of nature was deconstructed into a fungus and a larva, using new European scientific theories and more penetrating ways of looking with the microscope in various academic institutions, and that it no longer had the power of species transformation, its extraordinary material mutability was an epistemologically transferable characteristic; it remained a scientific or medical wonder that fascinated growing networks of taxonomists, pharmacognosists and doctors. European taxonomic re-identifications of the caterpillar fungus, useful for their more accurate descriptions that aided the procuring of this medicinal substance, were necessary to coordinate the European materia medica enterprise. Now there were significant epistemological tensions between Chinese and European perceptions about the natural categories and properties of the caterpillar fungus. However, Chinese medical descriptions, terminology for, and geographical and commercial information about the caterpillar fungus were still valued in Europe for their utilitarian functions, due to their potential contribution to procuring and using this sought-after medicinal substance. In 18th- and 19th-century Europe, a re-examination of the caterpillar fungus as an object for display in public-private collections of the global natural world, and simultaneously under the microscope, meant it, along with all the other newly defined species of the world, took on the role of materialising the divide between culture (society) and nature (science) which, according to Bruno Latour, was a cornerstone of the very notion of modernity.941 This not only made the caterpillar fungus in Chinese and European worlds demonstrably pre-modern or modern respectively, but also granted the ‘modern’ human voice the discourse of power to define ‘an archaic and stable past’.942 Such a strangely unnatural, ‘natural’ divide was absent in China before the late 19th century, as the European concept of ‘nature’ (the physical world opposed to humans or human creations) did not exist there at the time.

941 Latour 1993, pp. 10-11.
With the subsequent globalisation of a European scientific enterprise, the tensions and negotiations between traditional Chinese and European knowledge about Chinese medicinal substances, as exemplified in the travels of the caterpillar fungus, extended from Europe to 19th-century Japan and then China. With political and intellectual investment in the study of nature and medicine in the community of scientists in Meiji Japan, coupled with the representation of a scientific modernity as the ultimate goal in the new discourses of power, European and Japanese scientific institutions, their new styles of education and research methods, became a model for reform-minded Chinese. Significant changes in perceptions and the styles in which Chinese medicinal substances were studied in the first half of the 20th century are evident in the records of the caterpillar fungus from that time. Japanese translations of knowledge about its biological characteristics and taxonomic position in the new scientific hierarchies were read by Chinese intellectuals who had been trained in the new institutions, often in Japan, Europe and America, from the beginning of the 20th century. From the 1930s onwards, Chinese scientists’ mycological, biomedical and pharmacological studies on the caterpillar fungus made a significant contribution to the construction of the new scholarship of Chinese medicinal substances, discovering, in particular, the chemical constituents and active ingredients that could have an affect on living organisms. As Chinese medicinal substances and related indigenous empirical medical knowledge proved useful for scientific explorations of medicinal substitutes and new effective medicinal chemicals, the value of the traditional materia medica was tacitly acknowledged, and this utilitarian function ensured its survival throughout the Republican period.

Stimulated by the power of the scientific rhetoric, some revolutionary traditional physicians such as Chen Cunren made efforts to modernise the so-called old Chinese materia medica. This therefore intensified perceptions that there was a division between medicinal substances in the Chinese materia medica, which was to be an object of scientific observation enquiry, and long-standing empirical medical knowledge about the efficacy of those substances. In theory Chen Cunren’s project was to replace Chinese medical and cultural theories with scientific concepts and descriptions, in a transition mirroring that of 18th- and 19th-century Europe and among Republican Chinese scientists. But in practice he could not sustain that project, because that empirical knowledge was exactly what he needed for his new scientific enquiries; the knowledge about efficacy was essential to forming hypotheses for modern experimentation. The newly emerging
Chinese *materia medica* was thus characterised by plural knowledge systems and a certain continuity of Chinese medical knowledge in Republican China. The modernity of such a Chinese materia medica centred on transitions to the Linnaean taxonomic system, binomial nomenclature in Latin, microscopic observation and description, chemical analysis, and biomedical explanation. However, this modernity basically stayed at a theoretical and textual level and added to the rhetoric of power, but could not be generally observed in the practical use and study of Chinese medicinal substances among traditional physicians. From a Latourian perspective, there was no real divide between nature and culture in Republican traditional physicians’ scholarship, as they, represented by Chen Cunren, had limited or bare scientific literacy, and thus could not perform scientific studies and radically decontextualise Chinese medicinal substances from traditional (medical) culture. In this sense, the changing Chinese *materia medica* had never been ‘modern’ in Republican China.

Chinese medicinal substances were continuously and widely used throughout the ensuing periods of the 20th century. Indeed, as 21st-century Chinese national policies focus on the invention of a uniquely Chinese modernity, and the reinvention of ancient traditions for a globally successful post-socialist Chinese world, the discourses of power are in rapid transition. These are happy times for Chinese medicine, and for the caterpillar fungus who takes pride of place in the marketplace, outdoing Korean ginseng and bird’s nests at an average of somewhere between £44-66 and sometimes even £116 a gram in Lhasa tourist shops and at all China’s international airports. The underlying irony is that with all the noise about paradigm shifts, the discourses of modern science have hardly changed the use of indigenous medicinal substances in practice, and perhaps their greatest impact has been on its legal status, which in the case of Europe has had a radical and limiting effect on its potential for worldwide travel. Taken in broad perspective and through this microhistory of the caterpillar fungus, we have seen that the transformation of the modern Chinese *materia medica* has played a major part in the globalisation of natural knowledge. It has also engaged modern Chinese scientists in the construction of a new global science, an on-going process that is more inclusive than many like to imagine, and wherein we can predict that we will find the caterpillar fungus on the next leg of its journey. Fungi now also serve as a potential source of eco-friendly materials for packing, interior design, and even house construction.

---

944 Bone 2011, pp. 276-277; Peters 2013, p. 54; Travaglini *et al.* 2014, pp. 222-236.
Space Station (ISS) for the purpose of exploring new drugs from fungal secondary metabolites. These events mark the start of a new era of fungal travels that 'boldly go where no man has gone before'. But these contemporary stories are for future research initiatives, and lie outside of the small contribution to scholarship made in this dissertation. For the moment it is enough to conclude that the material mutability of the caterpillar fungus, as an amazing scientific object in itself, gave it all the agency it ever needed to travel among humans and nonhumans, to engage in the adaptation of Chinese materia medica and the expansion of scientific enterprise, and also to tease about the imagination on modernity!

945 These words are extracted from Star Trek series (1966-1969) script, see Terrace 2011, p. 1011.
Appendix

Appendix 1 Records of the Caterpillar Fungus in Qing Local Chronicles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Local Chronicle</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Nature</th>
<th>Production Area</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1735</td>
<td>Si chuan tong zhi</td>
<td>DCXC</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Bolanggong Shan, Litang</td>
<td>It has never been mentioned by herbals; it has a warm quality and increases semen and bone marrow. (本草不載, 性溫和, 补精, 益髓.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1746</td>
<td>Xi zang jian wen lu</td>
<td>DCXC</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Litang</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1777</td>
<td>Xi ya wen jian lu</td>
<td>XCDC</td>
<td>Huijiang</td>
<td>It grows in snowy mountains. In summer its leaf, which resembles the leek, grows out, and its root looks like a piece of dead wood; in winter the leaf dries, while the root moves and turns into a worm. As a medicine, it has an extreme hot quality. (生雪山中, 夏則葉岐出, 類韭, 根如朽木, 冬至枯,虫化蟲,入藥極熱.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1792</td>
<td>Wei zang tu zhi</td>
<td>DCXC</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Bolanggong Shan, Litang</td>
<td>[An extract from the 1735 Si chuan tong zhi.]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1806</td>
<td>San zhou ji lue</td>
<td>XCDC</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Tianshan</td>
<td>There is a kind of grass in snowy mountains; its leaf resembles the leek and grows out in summer; in winter its leaf withers, while its root turns into worms. (雪山中有草, 類韭, 夏生冬枯,根蠕動,化為蟲.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1816</td>
<td>Si chuan tong zhi</td>
<td>DCXC</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Jiading Fu</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1874</td>
<td>Hui li zhou zhi</td>
<td>DCXC</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Huili Zhou</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1891</td>
<td>Xu xiu xin ning xian zhi</td>
<td>DCC</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Xinning Xian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Jiu zhi dian zhi</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Jiuzu Xian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Jiu zhi jin zhi</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Jiali Xian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1912</td>
<td>En da xian tu zhi</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Enda Xian</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

947 Qi 1777, p. 200.
948 Ma and Sheng [1792] 2003, p. 392
949 He [c. 1806] 1968, p. 297. The information about the caterpillar fungus was from Tian shan fu 天山賦 and related annotations by its author Ouyang Yi 歐陽錫. According to a recent study, the real author of Tian shan fu should be Wang Dashu 王大樞 (1731-1816; born in Taihu, Anhui), see Shi 2013, pp. 56-59. Wang Dashu’s life and writings were recorded in two local chronicles of Taihu compiled in the Qing and Republican periods respectively. In the chronicles, Tian shan fu was included as one of Wang’s writings despite that the description of the caterpillar fungus was slightly different: ’雪山中有草，夏出葉如韭，至冬草枯，根蠕蠕，化為蟲，名夏草冬蟲.’ See Fu et al. 1872; Gao et al. [1922] 1998, p. 479.
950 Chang et al. [1816] 1984, p. 2434. The main text of the Wu chan 物產 section of this chronicle recorded the caterpillar fungus but did not offer a description, whereas the appendix to this section, entitled ‘Jiu zhi dong zhi pu 舊志動植譜’, quoted a record of the caterpillar fungus from Jin chuan suo ji 金川瑣記, see Chang et al. [1816] 1984, p. 2467; Li [1790] 1936, p. 64.
951 Deng et al. [1874] 1976, p. 1052.
952 He et al. 1891.
953 Liu [c. 1912] 1961, p. 17. This chronicle had never been published in the author’s life, and the specific date when it was finalised remains unclear. But the most recent content in this manuscript can be dated no later than the beginning of the Republican period, see Peng 2008, pp. 44-45.
Appendix 2  Port Trade in the Caterpillar Fungus during 1 Nov., 1884 — 31 Oct., 1885

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Port</th>
<th>Production Area</th>
<th>Inwards</th>
<th>Outwards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>From</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Value °</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yichang</td>
<td>Sichuan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hankou</td>
<td>Sichuan, Henan</td>
<td>31.70</td>
<td>2,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanghai</td>
<td>Sichuan</td>
<td>46.68</td>
<td>3,258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ningbo</td>
<td>Sichuan</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuzhou</td>
<td>Sichuan</td>
<td>0.0405</td>
<td>11.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danshui</td>
<td>Sichuan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiamen</td>
<td>Hubei</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shantou</td>
<td>Guangdong</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guangzhou</td>
<td>Sichuan, Tibet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qionghou</td>
<td>Sichuan</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: (I) Unit: Piculs (1 Picul=100 Catties); (II) Unit: Hong Kong Taels [of Silver] (Hk. Tls.); (III) ‘—’—: no data.

Appendix 3  Medicinal Properties of the Caterpillar Fungus Recorded in Some Qing Texts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Quality and Potency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1736</td>
<td>Sichuan tong zhi</td>
<td>It has a warm quality and increases jing and bone marrow. (性溫補精，益骨髓)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1744</td>
<td>Shu yin cong shuo</td>
<td>Soak it in wine, and then the wine can be used to remove diseases and prolong life. (浸酒服之，可以卻延年)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1757</td>
<td>Ben cao cong xin</td>
<td>It (used to nourish the lung and the kidney) is sweet and balanced. It protects the lung, benefits the kidney, stops bleeding, dispenses phlegm, and eliminates phthisical cough. ((補肺腎)甘，平，保肺腎，止血，化痰，已勞嗽)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1761</td>
<td>Qian nang</td>
<td>The disease li [digestive diseases] can be cured by eating it. (食之已癥)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1777</td>
<td>Xi yu wen jian lu</td>
<td>It has an extreme hot quality. (入藥極熱)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1778</td>
<td>Wen fang si kao tu shuo</td>
<td>It has positive qi and a warm quality...it is proved to be able to protect the...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

958 The texts that only quoted predecessors’ records of the caterpillar fungus are not shown in this Table.
962 Tan [c. 1761] 1922-1943, p. 35. The character li 了 used by Tan to denote a disease has been fairly uncommon. I failed to find it in a variety of ancient and modern Chinese dictionaries. I suspect that it refers to the disease called ge 腹 (digestive diseases) in pre-modern Chinese medicine. According to the character outline, it was a disease relevant to li 腹. In pre-modern Chinese medicine, sometimes li 腹 was identical to ge 腹, see ZYDCDBJWYH 1987, p. 56, 276, 399, 407. For an interpretation of this disease, see Zhou 2003, pp. 223-224.
963 Qi 1777, p. 200.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Physician</th>
<th>Hometown</th>
<th>Practice location</th>
<th>Uses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1778</td>
<td>Zhou Shimi</td>
<td>Suzhou</td>
<td>Locally</td>
<td>Impotence (陽痿), involuntary ejaculation of semen during sleep (夢交脫精), etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1847</td>
<td>Shixiong</td>
<td>Hangzhou</td>
<td>Hangzhou, Shanghai</td>
<td>Phlegm accumulation in the upper jiao (上焦痰濁), mensens stop and muscle weakness (脅斷肌消), lumps in the abdomen (少腹聚處), upward adverse flow of qi to the chest, and the pain in the chest (氣沖胸下,故痛難堪), sleepless when lying down (臥不能眠), unable to eat when hungry (飢不能食), dry cough (幹咳), etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appendix 4 Prescriptions Containing the Caterpillar Fungus in Qing Medicine Casebooks

![Table](https://example.com/table.png)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Physician</th>
<th>Hometown</th>
<th>Practice location</th>
<th>Uses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1778</td>
<td>Zhou Shimi</td>
<td>Suzhou</td>
<td>Locally</td>
<td>Impotence (陽痿), involuntary ejaculation of semen during sleep (夢交脫精), etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1847</td>
<td>Shixiong</td>
<td>Hangzhou</td>
<td>Hangzhou, Shanghai</td>
<td>Phlegm accumulation in the upper jiao (上焦痰濁), mensens stop and muscle weakness (脅斷肌消), lumps in the abdomen (少腹聚處), upward adverse flow of qi to the chest, and the pain in the chest (氣沖胸下,故痛難堪), sleepless when lying down (臥不能眠), unable to eat when hungry (飢不能食), dry cough (幹咳), etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

mouth and dark red tongue (口乾舌絳), five
types of heat and no urination (五熱溺無),
head and neck sweating (頭項汗頻), low
voice and pale complexion (音低色奪), fire
stagnation (火鬱), abdominal pain and
distention (腹之疼勣), limb oedema (肢腫),
vomiting, diarrhea and spasm (吐瀉轉筋),
depletion of qi and fluid in the body (氣液兩亡),
etc.

1865976  Pan Mingxiong 潘名熊  Panyu 番禺  Guangzhou 廣州  Chronic cough (長咳嗽) and vomiting of
blood (吐血).

c. 1893777 Ling Huan 况奤  Huzhou 湖州  Huzhou 湖州  Lung wilt (肺萎), pain in the pharynx and
throat obstruction (咽痛喉痹), fatigue (體瘦), thin and weak (羸瘦), etc.

1889978 Xinchan 心禪  Hangzhou 杭州  Hangzhou 杭州  Weakening cough (虛損), cough without
phlegm (咳逆無痰), gasping and lacking
strength when moving (動喘乏力), feeble
pulse and spontaneous sweating (脈虛自汗),
and deficiency of both the lung and spleen
(肺脾兩虛).

1897979 Zhang Naixiu 張乃修  Wuxi 無錫  Wuxi, Shanghai 無錫, 上海  Deficiency and injury (虛損), chronic cough
after childbirth (產後久咳), vomiting of
blood (吐血), deficiency of the lung and
kidney (肺腎皆虛), internal injuries and
serious illness (內傷重症), etc.


Appendix  5 Records of the Caterpillar Fungus Produced in Tibet in Republican Local Chronicles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Production Area (Today)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c. 1917</td>
<td>Medicinal Product</td>
<td>Leiwuqi 類烏齊, Tibet</td>
<td>Ding qing xian tu zhi 定青縣圖誌981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. 1918</td>
<td>Medicinal Product</td>
<td>Changdu 昌都, Tibet</td>
<td>Chang du xian zhi 昌都縣誌982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. 1918</td>
<td>Medicinal Product</td>
<td>Gongjue 貢覺, Tibet</td>
<td>Gong xian zhi 貢縣誌983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. 1918</td>
<td>Medicinal Product</td>
<td>Jiangda 江達, Tibet</td>
<td>Tong pu xian zhi 同普縣誌984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. 1921</td>
<td>Medicinal Product</td>
<td>Gongjue 貢覺, Tibet and part of Baiyu 白玉, Sichuan</td>
<td>Wu cheng xian zhi 武城縣誌985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. 1930</td>
<td>Medicinal Product</td>
<td>Mangkang 芒康, Tibet</td>
<td>Ning jing xian zhi 寧靜縣誌986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. 1935</td>
<td>Medicinal Product</td>
<td>Chayu 聶隅, Tibet</td>
<td>Cha yu xian zhi 聶隅縣誌997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. 1939</td>
<td>Medicinal Product</td>
<td>Chayu 聶隅, Tibet</td>
<td>Ke mai xian zhi 科麥縣誌998</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

981 Liu [c. 1917] 1995, p. 573. This and below chronicles compiled by Liu Zanting 劉贊廷 (1888-1958) had never
been published in his life, while specific dates when they were finalised remain unclear. For the dating of these
chronicles, see Peng 2008, pp. 44-45. For a brief introduction to Liu’s life and his valuable chronicles, see Yang 2006,
Appendix  6  Production and Sales of the Caterpillar Fungus in the Mid-1930s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Production Place</th>
<th>Annual Sales (jin)</th>
<th>Destination(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chengdu 成都</td>
<td>approx. 500</td>
<td>Shanghai 上海</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maoxian 毛縣</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Hankou 漢口</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifan 童番</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maogong 慈谿</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>Guanxian 灌縣, Baxian 巴縣</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baoxing 寶興</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanyuan 漢源</td>
<td>400 000 gen</td>
<td>Yazhou 雅州, Jiading 嘉定</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kangding 康定</td>
<td>970 000</td>
<td>Shanghai 上海</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luding 灔定</td>
<td>1 200</td>
<td>Shanghai 上海</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yajiang 雅江</td>
<td>5 000</td>
<td>Kangding 康定</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daofu 道孚</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Kangding 康定</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danba 丹巴</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>guo nei 國內 (domestic [consumption])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lihuia 理化</td>
<td>5 000</td>
<td>Kangding 康定</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dege 德格</td>
<td>20-50 tuo</td>
<td>Kangding 康定</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiulong 九龍</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Kangding 康定</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luhuo 倫霍</td>
<td>1 000</td>
<td>Shanghai 上海, Sichuan 四川</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baxian 巴縣</td>
<td>7 083 dan</td>
<td>Guangdong 廣東, Nanyang 南洋, Hong Kong 香港</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fengyi 婁儀 (Xiaoguan 下關)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Hankou 漢口, Shanghai 上海, Hong Kong 香港</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lijiang 麗江</td>
<td>6 000</td>
<td>Kunming 昆明, Shanghai 上海, Hong Kong 香港</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weixi 維西</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>Lijiang 麗江, Xiguan 下關</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhongdian 中甸</td>
<td>5 000</td>
<td>Lijiang 麗江, Xiguan 下關</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yongsheng 永勝</td>
<td>2 000</td>
<td>Kunming 昆明</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wenxian 文縣</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>dang di 當地 (local [consumption])</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The data in this table is extracted from Zhong guo tong you di fang wu chan zhi (Record of the Products in Postal Areas of China, 1937). I-IV refer to today’s three provinces and one municipality: I=Sichuan 四川; II=Chongqing 重慶; III=Yunnan 烏南; IV=Gansu 甘肅. Jin=斤 (1 jin=500 grams); gen=根 (sticks); tuo=駄 (loads of goods carried by animals such as horses; it was not a formal unit).

Appendix  7  Prices of the Medicinal Products of Kangding and Lijiang in the Mid-1930s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medicinal Product</th>
<th>Kangding (Prices (yuan/jin))</th>
<th>Lijiang (Prices (yuan/jin))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The caterpillar fungus</td>
<td>6.00-9.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>da huang 大黃 (rhubarb)</td>
<td>0.005-0.10</td>
<td>da huang 大黃 (rhubarb)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qin jiao 秦艽 (Gentiana macrophylla)</td>
<td>0.009-0.20</td>
<td>qin jiao 秦艽 (Gentiana macrophylla)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zhi mu 知母 (Anemarrhena asphodeloides)</td>
<td>1.00-2.00</td>
<td>zhi mu 知母 (Anemarrhena asphodeloides)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bei mu 貝母 (Fritillaria spp.)</td>
<td>4.00-6.00</td>
<td>bei mu 貝母 (Fritillaria spp.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>she xiang 番香 (musk)</td>
<td>280-440</td>
<td>she xiang 番香 (musk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qing guo 青果 (Canarium album)</td>
<td>1.20-2.00</td>
<td>qing guo 青果 (Canarium album)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hong hua 紅花 (Carthamus tinctorius)</td>
<td>20.00-32.00</td>
<td>hong hua 紅花 (Carthamus tinctorius)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lu rong 鹿茸 (deer horns)</td>
<td>60-120</td>
<td>lu rong 鹿茸 (deer horns)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>huang lien 黃連 (Coptis chinensis)</td>
<td>3.00-4.00</td>
<td>huang lien 黃連 (Coptis chinensis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jia zi shen 珠子參 (Panax pseudoginseng)</td>
<td>0.80-1.00</td>
<td>jia zi shen 珠子參 (Panax pseudoginseng)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xiong dan 熊膽 (bear bile)</td>
<td>50-70</td>
<td>xiong dan 熊膽 (bear bile)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: (I): The ‘yuan’ here particularly referred to dian bi 滇幣 (bank notes issued in Yunnan).

---

989 JTYBZZJ 1937, pp. 1 (Xikang Section), 14 (Yunnan Section).
### Appendix 8  Some Republican Medical Cases Involving the Use of the Caterpillar Fungus Together with Other Chinese Medicinal Substances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Physician</th>
<th>Hometown</th>
<th>Practice Location</th>
<th>Patient’s Symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1913</td>
<td>Lu Jinsui</td>
<td>Suzhou</td>
<td>Shanghai</td>
<td>Cough (咳症), interruption of menses (經斷), vomiting blood (吐血), and difficult defecation (大便難).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. 1914</td>
<td>Fei Shengfu</td>
<td>Wujin</td>
<td>Wujin, Shanghai</td>
<td>Coughing up blood (咳兼血) and vomiting blood (吐血).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. 1922</td>
<td>Shao Lansun</td>
<td>Shaoxing</td>
<td>Xiaoshan, Shanghai</td>
<td>Deficiency and fatigue (虛勞), sudden cough (喚咳), cold body (形寒), night sweat (盜汗), thick phlegm with blood (痰疹膿厚帶紅).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1927</td>
<td>Ding Ganren</td>
<td>Wujin</td>
<td>Shanghai</td>
<td>Miscellaneous internal injuries (內傷雜病) and wasting-thirst (消渴).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1930</td>
<td>Ma Peizhi</td>
<td>Wujin</td>
<td>Wujin, Beijing</td>
<td>Cough (咳嗽), aversion to cold (畏寒), obstruction qi in the liver (肝氣拂鬱), pain in abdomen (肚腹作痛), pain in the pharynx (咽喉), an increase in phlegm (痰多), and anal leakage (肛漏).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1934</td>
<td>Wu Keqian</td>
<td>Haining</td>
<td>Shanghai</td>
<td>Cough (咳嗽) and an increase in phlegm (痰多).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. 1940</td>
<td>Zhang Cigong</td>
<td>Zhenjiang</td>
<td>Shanghai</td>
<td>Injury and deficiency (損怯) caused by sabre-beadstring scrofulae (馬刀挾癭).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. 1945</td>
<td>Wang Jinjie</td>
<td>Shexian</td>
<td>Shexian, Shanghai</td>
<td>Cough (咳嗽), rapid breathing (喘急), soreness of waist and thin body (腰酸形瘦), night sweat (寐覺汗泄), interruption of menses (經停), sloppy defecation (大便滑泄), chronic infectious disease (勞瘵), deficiency in qi and energy (氣力虛乏), and weak qi and energy in the kidney (腎臟氣力微弱).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

990 This is not a selected list of related medical cases used to support my idea; in this table I list all the Republican medical cases that I can find at present, despite they must only occupy a portion of the total. The dates of some of these medical cases were not clear, therefore I use the dates of the publications instead.
995 Ma [1930] 2008, pp. 36-37. For Ma’s (1820-1903) life and writings, see She 2011, p. 312.
997 Zhang [1940?] 1980, pp. 355-356. Most of Zhang’s records of medical cases in this text were written down around 1940, see Chen et al. 2007, p. 1097.
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