A new perspective on turbulent Galactic magnetic fields through comparison of linear polarization decomposition techniques
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ABSTRACT
We compare two rotationally invariant decomposition techniques on linear polarization data: the spin-2 spherical harmonic decomposition in two opposite parities, the $E$- and $B$-mode, and the multiscale analysis of the gradient of linear polarization, $|\nabla P|$. We demonstrate that both decompositions have similar properties in the image domain and the spatial frequency domain. They can be used as complementary tools for turbulence analysis of interstellar magnetic fields in order to develop a better understanding of the origin of energy sources for the turbulence, the origin of peculiar magnetic field structures and their underlying physics. We also introduce a new quantity $|\nabla EB|$ based on the $E$- and $B$-modes and we show that in the intermediate- and small-scale limit $|\nabla EB| \simeq |\nabla P|$. Analysis of the 2.3 GHz S-band Polarization All Sky Survey shows many extended coherent filament-like features appearing as ‘double jumps’ in the $|\nabla P|$ map that are correlated with negative and positive filaments of $B$-type polarization. These local asymmetries between the two polarization types, $E$ and $B$, of the non-thermal Galactic synchrotron emission have an influence on the $E$- and $B$-mode power spectra analyses. The wavelet-based formalism of the polarization gradient analysis allows us to locate the position of $E$- or $B$-mode features responsible for the local asymmetries between the two polarization types. In analysed subregions, the perturbations of the magnetic field are triggered by star clusters associated with H II regions, the Orion–Eridanus superbubble and the North Polar Spur at low Galactic latitude.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Magnetic fields are omnipresent in the Milky Way and play a crucial role in many physical processes in the interstellar medium (ISM). Because interstellar matter is never completely neutral, magnetic fields are locked into a diffuse plasma and have a significant influence on the distribution of matter through the ISM. The interaction between the magnetic field lines and the gas induces an additional pressure in the ISM and can control the star formation process in the Galaxy (Crutcher 2012). Magnetic fields are also essential for the acceleration of charged particles leading to the propagation of cosmic rays. Unfortunately, magnetic fields cannot be observed directly. Since we know that they are acting on a broad range of spatial scales in the Galaxy, we need a tracer that allows us to connect the large-scale field, which is believed to
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be regular and following the morphology of Galactic arms, with the small-scale field, which is believed to be affected by turbulence and quasi-random. Diffuse synchrotron emission is present everywhere in the Galaxy and therefore is a powerful tracer of the magnetized turbulent non-thermal medium over a broad range of spatial scales. Many surveys of the Galactic polarized emission over a large portion of the sky and including large-scale structures have now been performed [e.g. the Canadian Galactic Plane Survey (Landecker et al. 2010), the Southern Galactic Plane Survey (Haverkorn et al. 2006), the S-band Polarization All Sky Survey (S-PASS; Carretti et al. 2010; Carretti et al., in preparation)] or are on the way to being completed [e.g. the G-ALFA Continuum Transit Survey (Taylor & Salter 2010), the GaLactic and Extragalactic All-sky MWA survey (Wayth et al. 2015)]. These surveys are giving us a new view of the Galactic magnetic field structure and are revealing its complexity at all spatial scales.

However, to interpret the structures in such polarized emission data, we need robust analysing techniques. Stokes parameters $Q$ and $U$ are not invariant under arbitrary translations and rotations and choice of the local coordinate frame. Such shifts are common and can result, for example, from a smooth distribution of intervening polarized emission, a smooth uniform screen of foreground Faraday rotation, the effects of missing large-scale structure in an interferometric data set, or a combination of any of the three. Also, polarization data are usually interpreted in terms of the amplitude, $|P| = \sqrt{Q^2 + U^2}$, or the angle, $\theta = (1/2)\arctan(U/Q)$, of the polarization vector $P = Q + iU$ separately, which leads to an incomplete analysis of the magnetic field power spectrum in the ISM.

In order to create two scalar rotationally invariant quantities, Zaldarriaga & Seljak (1997) introduced the spin-2 decomposition of the Stokes $Q$ and $U$ signal in a scalar and a pseudo-scalar quantity commonly called the $E$- and $B$-mode polarization. This decomposition is mainly used for cosmic microwave background (CMB) analysis since density fluctuations of the primordial radiation produce $E$-type polarization only. On the other hand, $B$-type polarization can be produced by parity-odd components such as the presence of a stochastic background of gravitational waves (Crittenden et al. 1993; Kamionkowski, Kosowsky & Stebbins 1997; Seljak & Zaldarriaga 1997; Carretti 2010). By construction, the spin-2 decomposition of Stokes $Q$ and $U$ is non-local and thus sometimes makes its interpretation non-intuitive. In order to gain an intuition on the nature of the decomposition, Zaldarriaga (2001) showed examples of how polarization patterns inside filaments would create $E$- or $B$-type structures and how the emission of a supernova remnant (SNR) would look in these modes. These kinds of polarization patterns have been already detected in the magnetized filamentary structure of infrared dust emission (Planck Collaboration XXXVIII 2016b), and they are believed to be responsible for the $E-B$ asymmetry reported in the power spectra analysis of the Planck 353 GHz polarization maps (Planck Collaboration XXX 2016a; Planck Collaboration XXXVIII 2016b). Planck Collaboration X (2016c) also reported an $E-B$ asymmetry for the synchrotron emission at 30 GHz.

Gaensler et al. (2011) also introduced a new quantity invariant under arbitrary translations and rotations of the $Q-U$ plane, the gradient of linear polarization. It is defined as

$$|\nabla P| = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial y}\right)^2}.$$  

Developed for the analysis of radio synchrotron emission, the gradient acts as an edge detector in a polarization map and highlights areas of sharp change in the magnetic field and/or the free-electron density. Robitaille & Scaife (2015) demonstrated that the gradient of $P$ analysis can be extended to multiple spatial scales, allowing one to calculate the power spectrum of the gradient of $P$ and to give a complete measure of the magnetic field fluctuations.

The aim of this paper is to underline the interesting relationships that exist between both methods and demonstrate that analyses of local variations in both decompositions can be used as complementary tools in order to develop a better understanding of the origin of energy sources for the turbulent magnetic field, the origin of peculiar magnetic field structures and their underlying physics. The paper is organized as follows: the data set is presented in Section 2, a brief review of the multiscale analysis of the gradient of linear polarization is given in Section 3, a comparison between $|\nabla P|$ and the spin-2 decomposition is done in Section 4, results and analysis of particular regions are presented in Section 5, power spectra analysis and the influence of $E$- and $B$-mode asymmetries are analysed in Section 6 and a discussion and a conclusion on the main results of this paper are in Sections 7 and 8.

2 OBSERVATIONS

Our analysis is performed on the S-PASS. S-PASS is a single-dish polarimetric survey of the entire southern sky at 2.3 GHz, performed with the Parkes 64 m Radio Telescope and its S-band receiver with beam width FWHM (full width at half-maximum) = 8.9 arcmin [Carretti et al. (in preparation) and Carretti et al. (2013), see also Carretti et al. (2010) and Iacobelli et al. (2014)]. Final maps were convolved with a Gaussian window of FWHM = 6 arcmin to a final resolution of 10.75 arcmin. We use the Stokes $Q$ and $U$ maps produced by this survey to calculate the multiscale gradient of $P$ and the spin-2 decomposition.

3 MULTISCALE ANALYSIS OF THE GRADIENT OF LINEAR POLARIZATION

The development and first application of the multiscale $|\nabla P|$ method was presented by Robitaille & Scaife (2015). Here we review the basic principles of the method and expand the original description to provide the reader with a more complete understanding of the work presented in this paper.

Robitaille & Scaife (2015) showed that the spatial gradient of linear polarization can be calculated using a wavelet formalism. This formalism has properties similar to the $\Delta$-variance analysis used to characterize multiscale structures induced by turbulence in molecular clouds (Stutzki et al. 1998; Bensch, Stutzki & Ossenkopf 2001; Ossenkopf, Krips & Stutzki 2008a,b; Schneider et al. 2011; Alves de Oliveira et al. 2014). The technique also shares similarities with the wavelet transform modulus maxima (WTMM) method used to characterize the multifractal nature of a surface or to find singularities in a signal (Arnéodo, Decoster & Roux 2000; Khalil et al. 2006;
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Kestener et al. (2010). By taking advantage of the smooth filter shape of wavelets localized in the spatial and the frequency domain, this wavelet formalism allows us to expand the analysis of the polarization gradient to multiple spatial scales and, importantly, to derive the power spectrum of that quantity.

In order to perform a multiscale $|\nabla P|$ analysis, the continuous wavelet transform of Stokes parameters $Q$ and $U$ must be calculated at different spatial scales. The continuous wavelet transform is defined as

$$f(l, x) = \begin{cases} \tilde{f}_1 = l^{-1} \int \psi_1[l^{-1}(x - x')] f(x') d^2x' \\ \tilde{f}_2 = l^{-1} \int \psi_2[l^{-1}(x - x')] f(x') d^2x', \end{cases}$$

(2)

where $\psi_1(x)$ and $\psi_2(x)$ are the wavelet functions and $l$ is the wavelet scaling factor. The function $f(x)$ represents the map of Stokes $Q$ or $U$. The wavelet functions are defined as

$$\psi_1(x, y) = \partial^m \phi(x, y)/\partial x^m,$$

$$\psi_2(x, y) = \partial^m \phi(x, y)/\partial y^m,$$

(3)

where the function $\phi$ is a Gaussian distribution,

$$\phi(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{2}}.$$  

(4)

Even values of $m$ produce symmetric wavelet functions and odd values produce asymmetric wavelet functions. For $m = 1$, equation (2) is equivalent to the calculation of the gradient of $f(x)$ smoothed by the Gaussian kernel $\phi$:

$$\tilde{f}(l, x) = l^{-1} \nabla \{ \phi(l^{-1} x) \otimes f(x) \},$$

(5)

where $\otimes$ is the convolution operation. Fig. 1 shows how the derivative of the Gaussian function changes the properties of the filtering function in the frequency domain. The Fourier transform of a Gaussian function conserves the same shape in the frequency domain and acts as a low-pass frequency filter, damping the high-frequency content of the signal. The derivative of a function in the frequency domain is defined as $\hat{\phi}^m(k) = (2\pi k)^m \hat{\phi}(k)$, where $\hat{\phi}$ represents the Fourier transform of $\phi$, $m$ the order of the derivative and $k$ the wavenumber. Following this definition, the derivative in the frequency domain becomes a weighting function applied to the amplitude of the Gaussian distribution, which, according to Fig. 1, modifies the function and produces a band-pass frequency filter.

In the spatial domain, the derivative of Gaussian, or DoG wavelet, becomes an oscillatory function that satisfies all the properties of a wavelet function.

As described by Robitaille & Scaife (2015), the wavelet scaling factor $l$ of equations (2) and (5) is substituted by $l_p = \sqrt{m} \cdot l \cdot (2\pi)^{-1}$ in order to facilitate comparison of the scaling factor $l$ with the Fourier wavenumber $k = 1/l$. Fig. 1 shows the size and the position of a one-dimensional Gaussian distribution

$$l_p^{-1} \phi(l_p^{-1} x) = \frac{1}{l_p \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-0.5(x/l_p)^2},$$

(6)

with a standard deviation of $l_p$ compared with the wavelet function

$$l_p^{-1} \psi(l_p^{-1} x) = \frac{-x}{l_p^2 \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-0.5(x/l_p)^2},$$

(7)

which corresponds to the first derivative of a Gaussian multiplied by the normalization factor $l_p^{-1}$ as in equation (2). Fig. 1 shows that this substitution allows one to relate the angular size $l$ of the wavelet function in the spatial domain with the position $1/l$ of the band-pass filter in the frequency domain.

The new definition of the multiscale polarization gradient is

$$|\nabla \tilde{P}(l, x)| = \sqrt{|\nabla \tilde{Q}(l, x)|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{U}(l, x)|^2},$$

(8)

where, referring to equation (2),

$$|\nabla \tilde{Q}(l, x)| = \sqrt{|\tilde{Q}_l(l, x)|^2 + |\tilde{Q}_l(l, x)|^2},$$

$$|\nabla \tilde{U}(l, x)| = \sqrt{|\tilde{U}_l(l, x)|^2 + |\tilde{U}_l(l, x)|^2}. $$

(9)

In this paper, the multiscale analysis of $|\nabla P|$ is considered for the complete Southern hemisphere as well as for subregions of the S-PASS survey. The analysis of the normalized spatial gradient of linear polarization, $|\nabla P|/|P|$, has already been applied by Jacobi et al. (2014) on the S-PASS survey at its original resolution. They analysed the detail of the morphology of several objects, such as H II regions and SNRs, and compared also the high-order moments of observed $|\nabla P|$ structures with $|\nabla P|$ structures of real magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulations realized at different sonic Mach numbers. Here, we extend the analysis on many spatial scales and compare the filamentary structures of the $|\nabla P|$ images with extended linear polarization patterns. The turbulent component is analysed through the $|\nabla P|$ power spectrum.

Analysis of the southern sky hemisphere is done by projecting the map on healpix pixelization format with an $N_{side}$ resolution of 2048. Each pixel produced with the healpix tessellations of the sphere covers the same surface area and thus avoids the ‘compression effect’ on structures located at the edge of the maps. On the sphere, the multiscale polarization gradient is calculated using equation (5). The healpix map is first smoothed using the ismoothing healpix procedure and then the derivative is calculated using outputs of the isynfast procedure. The derivation of the DoG wavelet on the sphere and its convolution to a spherical map would also be possible; however, such calculation is beyond the scope of this paper.

---

2 The relation in equation (5) in this paper differs from that of equation 5 in Robitaille & Scaife (2015). This modification is consistent with the normalization factor $l_p^{-1}$ in equation (2) in this paper. It is worth noting that this definition differs from the one initially proposed by Arnaudo et al. (2000).

3 http://healpix.jpl.nasa.gov
4 COMPARISON BETWEEN $|\nabla P|$ AND THE SPIN-2 DECOMPOSITION

The $|\nabla P|$ method was originally proposed by Gaensler et al. (2011) as a quantity unaffected by arbitrary translations, or missing zero-offset, or rotations of the $Q-U$ plane unlike quantities such as the polarization amplitude and polarization angle that are not preserved under arbitrary translations and rotations. In the image domain, the invariance property of $|\nabla P|$, associated with the shift of the polarization vector caused, for example, by a foreground Faraday screen, can be interpreted differently. As demonstrated in the previous section, the derivative of a map at a certain resolution produces a band-pass filter in spatial scale. Consequently, this filtering operation prevents any translation or rotation of the polarization vector in the image plane that would be caused by the superposition of larger fluctuations on the map.

Another way to define a rotationally invariant quantity from the Stokes parameters $Q$ and $U$ is with the spin $\pm 2$ spherical harmonic decomposition in two opposite parities, the magnetic-type parity (B-modes) and the electric-type parity (E-modes; Zaldarriaga & Seljak 1997). The E-mode, like the polarized intensity $|P|$, is a scalar quantity and the B-mode is a pseudo-scalar quantity. This means that their rotationally invariant power spectra can be easily calculated from their expansion in spherical harmonics:

$$C_{\ell m} = \frac{1}{2\ell + 1} \sum_m |a_{X,\ell m}|^2,$$

with $X = E$ or $B$ and

$$X(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \sum_{\ell m} a_{X,\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}),$$

where $Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)$ are the spherical harmonics and $a_{X,\ell m}$ are the spherical harmonic coefficients. The spherical harmonic expansion is the natural way to describe the E- and B-mode decomposition. However, in the small-scale limit, this decomposition can also be done on the plane using a standard Fourier transform, see Seljak (1997) and Tucci et al. (2002) for more details.

The Stokes parameters $Q$ and $U$ and their transformation under rotation by an angle $\psi$ are defined as (Kraus 1966)

$$Q' = Q \cos 2\psi + U \sin 2\psi,$$
$$U' = -Q \sin 2\psi + U \cos 2\psi.\tag{12}$$

This behaviour means that the Stokes parameters $Q$ and $U$ are coordinate dependent. For example, if $U = 0$ in a particular coordinate system and one rotates this coordinate system, the rotation will change the value of $Q$ and add a $U$ component. This is why, the calculation of a rotationally invariant power spectrum of $Q$ and $U$ is complicated, since, for each wavenumber, $Q$ and $U$ have to be rotated to a common frame before the superposition can be done (Zaldarriaga & Seljak 1997).

Following the definition in equations (12), Stokes $Q$ and $U$ can also be defined as a spin $\pm 2$ signal, $(Q \pm iU)/(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \exp(\mp 2i\psi) (Q \pm iU)(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$. The transformation of the spin $\pm 2$ signal into the scalar E-mode and pseudo-scalar B-mode is done essentially by calculating the linear combination of the spherical harmonic coefficients of both spin signals (Zaldarriaga & Seljak 1997):

$$a_{E,\ell m} = -(a_{+2,\ell m} + a_{-2,\ell m})/2,$$
$$a_{B,\ell m} = i(a_{+2,\ell m} - a_{-2,\ell m})/2,$$

where

$$a_{\pm 2,\ell m} = \int d\Omega_2 Y_{\ell m}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}})(Q \pm iU)(\hat{\mathbf{n}}),$$

and $Y_{\ell m}^*(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$ are spin-weighted spherical harmonics associated with spin $\pm 2$ signals. The spin-2 spherical harmonics $(a_{\pm 2,\ell m})$ in equation (14) can also be written in terms of spin-0 spherical harmonics $(Y_{\ell m})$ using the spin raising and lowering operators, $\tilde{\partial}$ and $\tilde{\partial}$ (Newman & Penrose 1966):

$$\tilde{\partial} Y_{\ell m} = [(\ell - 2)/(\ell + 2)]^{1/2}\tilde{\partial} Y_{\ell m},$$
$$\tilde{\partial} Y_{\ell m} = [(\ell - 2)/(\ell + 2)]^{1/2}\tilde{\partial} Y_{\ell m}.$$\tag{15}

In spherical coordinates, these operators appear as differential operators applied on both angular coordinates,

$$\tilde{\partial} \equiv -\sin^\prime \theta \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{i}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \sin^{-1} \theta,$$
$$\tilde{\partial} \equiv -\sin^{-1} \theta \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \frac{i}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) \sin^\prime \theta.$$\tag{16}

Using equations (13) and (15), one can derive the relation in the real space between the spin-2 polarization signal and the E- and B-mode as (Bunn et al. 2003)

$$Q + iU = \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} (\Psi_E + i\Psi_B),$$
$$Q - iU = \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} (\Psi_E - i\Psi_B),$$\tag{17}

and inversely,

$$\tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \Psi_E = \frac{1}{2} [\tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} (Q + iU) + \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} (Q - iU)],$$
$$\tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \Psi_B = \frac{1}{2} [\tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} (Q + iU) - \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} (Q - iU)].$$\tag{18}

The quantities $\Psi_E$ and $\Psi_B$ are defined by Bunn et al. (2003) as the scalar and pseudo-scalar ‘potentials’ of $E$ and $B$, respectively. They are useful because they allow us to define the spin-2 signal $Q \pm iU$ in terms of the spin-0 quantities $\Psi_E$ and $\Psi_B$ using only the spin raising and lowering operators, $\tilde{\partial}$ and $\tilde{\partial}$. In equations (17), they are respectively raising the spin from 0 to 2 and lowering the spin from 0 to $-2$.

In the flat-sky approximation, the left-hand sides of equations (18) are equivalent to $\nabla^2 E$ and $\nabla^2 B$ (Bunn et al. 2003).\footnote{Zaldarriaga & Seljak (1997) defined $\tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \Psi_E$ and $\tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \Psi_B$ as $\mathbb{E}$ and $\mathbb{B}$. In this paper, we keep the notation $\tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \Psi_E$ and $\tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \Psi_B$ to avoid any confusion with our notation in equation (2) associated with the DoG wavelet transform.}

The quantities $\Psi_E$ and $\Psi_B$ are defined by Bunn et al. (2003) as the scalar and pseudo-scalar ‘potentials’ of $E$ and $B$, respectively. They are useful because they allow us to define the spin-2 signal $Q \pm iU$ in terms of the spin-0 quantities $\Psi_E$ and $\Psi_B$ using only the spin raising and lowering operators, $\tilde{\partial}$ and $\tilde{\partial}$. In equations (17), they are respectively raising the spin from 0 to 2 and lowering the spin from 0 to $-2$.

In the flat-sky approximation, the left-hand sides of equations (18) are equivalent to $\nabla^2 E$ and $\nabla^2 B$ (Bunn et al. 2003).\footnote{Zaldarriaga & Seljak (1997) defined $\tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \Psi_E$ and $\tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \Psi_B$ as $\mathbb{E}$ and $\mathbb{B}$. In this paper, we keep the notation $\tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \Psi_E$ and $\tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \Psi_B$ to avoid any confusion with our notation in equation (2) associated with the DoG wavelet transform.} From this point of view, $\tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \Psi_E$ and $\tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \tilde{\partial} \Psi_B$ share similarities with the gradient of the linear polarization vector of equation (1). Assuming that scalar fields $E$ and $B$ are affected by a Gaussian window function, corresponding to the Parkes telescope beam in the case of S-PASS,
the rotationally invariant quantities $\bar{\nabla}^2 \bar{\nabla}^2 \Psi_E$ and $\bar{\nabla}^2 \bar{\nabla}^2 \Psi_B$, in the planar approximation, can be easily derived in a manner comparable to the wavelet approach described in equation (5):

$$
\bar{\nabla}^2 \bar{\nabla}^2 \Psi_E(\ell, x) = \ell^\dagger \bar{\nabla}^2 \left[ \phi(\ell^{-1} x) \otimes E(x) \right],
$$

$$
\bar{\nabla}^2 \bar{\nabla}^2 \Psi_B(\ell, x) = \ell^\dagger \bar{\nabla}^2 \left[ \phi(\ell^{-1} x) \otimes B(x) \right],
$$

where $E$ and $B$ are simply the spherical harmonic synthesis of $a_{E, \ell m}$ and $a_{B, \ell m}$ defined in equations (13). Fig. 2 shows similar graphs to those in Fig. 1, but now with the second derivative applied to a Gaussian kernel. The same definition of the scaling factor $\ell$ is used. The second derivative of a Gaussian produces a symmetric function commonly known as the Mexican hat. Its band-pass filter function in the Fourier domain is similar to that of the DoG wavelet, but since the two quantities are not coordinate dependent. However, the differential operators $\bar{\nabla}$ and $\bar{\nabla}$ isolate the small-scale variations in the signal, which make the quantities $\bar{\nabla}^2 \bar{\nabla}^2 \Psi_E$ and $\bar{\nabla}^2 \bar{\nabla}^2 \Psi_B$ not sensitive to an intervening smooth Faraday screen. On the other hand, it is important to note that $E$ and $B$ maps are fundamentally different from the conventional $|P|$ or polarization angle map. Without applying the differential operators $\bar{\nabla}$ and $\bar{\nabla}$, $E$ and $B$ maps represent, respectively, a spin-0 scalar and pseudo-scalar field, which trace patterns in fluctuations occurring in $|P|$ and in the polarization angle simultaneously. Furthermore, the scalar field $E$ remains unchanged under parity transformation while pseudo-scalar field $B$ changes sign. According to Zaldarriaga (2001), if the polarization vectors are aligned or are perpendicular to the direction over which the magnitude of the polarization is changing, the $E$-mode will dominate for that region. On the other hand, the $B$-mode dominates when the polarization vectors are oriented at approximately 45° to the change of magnitude.

In this paper, we propose to examine different patterns in the $E$- and $B$-mode decomposition to study peculiar features seen in the polarized synchrotron emission. This analysis can be considered

\footnote{http://www.jasonmcewen.org}
as complementary to the multiscale analysis of $|\nabla P|$ in order to develop a better understanding of the origin of these structures. As described by Iacobelli et al. (2014), gradients in the polarization vector can be caused by fluctuations in different quantities and it is also true for $E$- and $B$-mode fluctuations in polarized synchrotron emission. For the analysis of the S-PASS data at 2.3 GHz, the synchrotron emission is likely affected by Faraday rotation along the line of sight. Thus, $|\nabla P|$ as well as $E$- and $B$-mode fluctuations represent a mix of RM fluctuations induced by the turbulent magnetionic medium and fluctuations in the polarized synchrotron foreground/background emission.

The scalar $E$ and pseudo-scalar $B$ maps without applying the differential operators $\delta$ and $\bar{\delta}$ are sensitive to an intervening smooth Faraday screen located along the line of sight; a uniform rotation of the polarization by an angle $\theta$ induces a fraction $\sin 2\theta$ of the $E$-mode to be converted into $B$-mode (Kamionkowski 2009; Pogosian 2014). Nevertheless, sharp charges in the magnetic field or the free-electron density causing an alignment of the polarization vector over a filamentary feature would produce an $E$-mode pattern that should also be correlated with the gradient of the polarization. Fig. 4(a) shows the polarization gradient of the same region as in Fig. 3(a), but at a larger angular scale of $\sim 161$ arcmin. The feature described by Iacobelli et al. (2014) still appears as a double jump at this scale. At its original resolution, the distorted double-jump feature may be altered by noise fluctuations. Another double jump also appears on the right-hand side of the subregion. Figs 4(b) and (c) present, respectively, the $E$- and $B$-mode decomposition without the differential operators $\delta$ and $\bar{\delta}$, i.e. the spherical harmonic synthesis of $a_{E,l,m}$ and $a_{B,l,m}$ following equation (11). All maps in Fig. 4 are overlaid with the $|\nabla P|$ maxima chains at an angular scale of $\sim 161$ arcmin. The maxima chains$^7$ associated with the two double-jump features define well the contours of two positive filament-like features in the $B$-mode map. Using the line integral convolution (LIC) technique (Cabral & Leedom 1993), Figs 5(a) and (b) show respectively the $E$- and $B$-mode maps overlaid with the orientation of the magnetic field. It can be seen in Fig. 5(b) that the two positive filament-like features are indeed located in regions where the direction of the magnetic field changes abruptly to be generally oriented 45° to these features. On the other hand, the elongated negative region on the left-hand side of Fig. 5(a) is broader and not as defined as the $B$-mode filament but the vector orientation is generally perpendicular to the feature. Figs 5(c) and (d) show respectively the amplitude of the linear polarization vector, $|P|$, and the Hz emission map of Finkbeiner (2003) for the same subregion. Depolarization canals are present on the edges of the left-hand side filament in the $|P|$ map, where changes of the magnetic field orientation are the most abrupt. As noticed by Iacobelli et al. (2014), the same filament is well correlated with Hz emission.

The $E$- and $B$-mode decomposition applied on the polarized synchrotron emission does not carry the same physical meaning as when it is applied for the CMB analysis. Nevertheless, the spin-2 decomposition of the polarized synchrotron emission remains a very interesting strategy to highlight coherent features where a particular alignment of the magnetic field lines occurs. It is demonstrated in Section 6 that instead of applying the differential operators $\delta$ and $\bar{\delta}$, one can calculate the multiscale gradient of the $E$- and $B$-mode maps, i.e. $|\nabla E(l, x)|$ and $|\nabla B(l, x)|$ [defined in equations (22)]. These quantities are also rotationally invariant in the spatial domain and are sensitive to fluctuations at larger angular scales than the original telescope resolution.

5 POLARIZATION GRADIENT OF THE SOUTHERN HEMISPHERE

This section shows results of the multiscale gradient of $P$ analysis for the entire S-PASS survey and for some subregions of interest. For these subregions, a comparison is done with structures seen in $E$- and $B$-mode maps.

5.1 Galaxy-scale and halo

Figs 6 and 7 show the spatial gradient of polarized synchrotron emission at 2.3 GHz for the Southern hemisphere at the original resolution of the S-PASS survey, and at scales of approximately 3°, 8° and 33°. These Southern hemisphere all-sky views show the $|\nabla P|$ as

\footnotesize

\begin{align*}
\text{Figure 4. (a) The polarization gradient at an angular scale of } & \sim 161 \text{ arcmin. (b) and (c) represent respectively the } E \text{- and } B \text{-mode decomposition without the differential operators } \delta \text{ and } \bar{\delta}. \text{ The white lines trace the } |\nabla P| \text{ maxima chains at the angular scale of } \sim 161 \text{ arcmin.}
\end{align*}

\footnotesize
maps instead of $|\nabla P|/|P|$ as shown by Iacobelli et al. (2014). This choice is justified by the large number of depolarization canals in the $|P|$ map. Fig. 8 shows a subregion including the old SNR Antlia (McCullough, Fields & Pavlidou 2002) where many depolarization canals are present. This effect, caused by beam depolarization, occurs when the telescope beam is larger than the scale of the RM gradient (Haverkorn & Heitsch 2004). Depolarization canals are present mainly in highly turbulent regions where the gradient of the polarization vector is so high that the beam averaging cancels the polarized emission. Consequently, the division of $|\nabla P|$ by the very low polarization intensity of those regions falsely increases the value of the polarization gradient. Nevertheless, since depolarization canals trace sharp changes of the polarization intensity as a function of the position, these canals are also correlated with structures seen in $|\nabla P|$ at the original resolution but do not show very high intensity filaments as in the $|\nabla P|/|P|$ maps.

The very large column density of the magneto-ionic medium and the dynamical complexity of the Galactic Centre also make the polarized signal in large areas near the Galactic Centre highly depolarized (Carretti et al. 2013). For this reason, polarization gradients at the original resolution and at 3° and 8° in Figs 6 and 7(a) show depth depolarization in the Galactic plane near the Galactic Centre. Despite this similarity, each scale shows greater differences in the filament network across the sky than might be expected from simply a smoothed version of the previous angular scale. As described in Section 3, the polarization gradient operation applied on different scales acts as a band-pass filter in the frequency domain and thus reveals fluctuations in the data that are present over a certain range of scales only. The most obvious difference is the amount of structure at high and low Galactic latitudes. This effect can be explained by the fact that some large-scale structures are located closer to us and thus cover a larger angular size in latitude.

Nevertheless, it can be seen that most of the large-scale structures above a Galactic latitude of $b \gtrsim 10°$ are concentrated between Galactic longitudes $45° \gtrsim l \gtrsim 315°$. At a scale of $\sim 30°$ in Fig. 7(b), we found structures that correspond well to the giant magnetised outflows identified by Carretti et al. (2013), themselves corresponding closely to the Fermi bubbles revealed in γ-ray emission (Su, Slatyer & Finkbeiner 2010). As shown in Fig. 9, this correlation becomes stronger at larger scales. According to Carretti et al. (2013), the large-scale emission associated with these giant magnetized outflows must be located behind the depolarizing objects seen towards the centre of the Galactic plane. Those depolarization features are spatially correlated with the Hα emission of objects mostly located in the Sagittarius arm, which implies a minimal distance of 2.5 kpc for the outflow lobes. They concluded that the radio lobes are probably star-formation-driven outflows associated with the molecular gas ring occupying the Galactic Centre. The high polarization fraction and the spectral index value of $-1.0$ to $-1.2$ of the lobes are good indications that these outflows originate from the plane, driven by the intense star-forming molecular gas pushing the ionized gas and the frozen-in magnetic field lines in the Galactic halo. The diffuse emission of the lobes is only visible at scales larger than $\sim 10°$, while smaller fluctuations associated with the ridges and the Galactic Centre spur, also discussed by Carretti et al. (2013), appear at smaller scales and at the original resolution of the $|\nabla P|$ map. The large-scale features correlated to the giant lobes at the bottom of Fig. 9 extend outside the previous edges delimiting the polarization intensity. This extension in the $|\nabla P|$ map can be explained mainly by two factors. The first factor is that the spatial gradient of the...
linear polarization vector traces edges of features where changes in the polarization vector occur. According to that definition, the $|\nabla P|$ map should follow the dashed line of Fig. 9 and not be enclosed by it. This description fits well with the bottom of the southern lobe.

The extension of the southern lobe outside the dashed line is likely to be associated with the New Loop described by Wolleben (2007) and the VIIb loop described by Vidal et al. (2015). The second factor is that the gradient of the linear polarization is sensitive to spatial
variations of the polarization intensity and to spatial variations of
the polarization angle. This property of the $|\nabla P|$ map could explain
the continuation, which seems to close the two loops, for both the
northern and the southern lobes.

5.2 H II regions, SNRs and small-scale features
This section focuses on peculiar regions, notably some reported
by Iacobelli et al. (2014) in section 3.3. These are structures in-
duced by electron density and/or magnetic field strength fluctuations

Figure 7. Same as Fig. 6 at scales of approximately 8° (top) and 33° (bottom).
associated with nearby \( \text{H}\:\text{II} \) regions, nearby and old SNRs, or simply singular regions because of their intensity or peculiar shape.

Iacobelli et al. (2014) discussed two nearby \( \text{H}\:\text{II} \) regions, Sh 2-7 and Sh 2-27, which have a clear spatial correlation with \text{H}\(\alpha\) emission. Both of these \( \text{H}\:\text{II} \) regions in Fig. 10(a) show depolarization canals in the \(|P|\) map; thus, a direct comparison of their normalized polarization gradient features, \(|\nabla P|/|P|\), with MHD turbulence simulations representing only foreground Faraday fluctuations should be interpreted carefully. Despite the fact that features in the southern part of Sh 2-7 are affected by the overlap of the Galactic Centre spur, \( \text{H}\:\text{II} \) regions Sh 2-7 and Sh 2-27 appear well defined in the \(|\nabla P|\) map (Fig. 10b) compared to the \(|P|\) map. This confirms that fluctuations seen in \(|\nabla P|\) are foreground Faraday rotation fluctuations produced by the \( \text{H}\:\text{II} \) regions. At larger scales, Sh 2-7 is dominated by features of the Galactic Centre spur and diffuse emission. Figs 10(c) and (d) show respectively the \( E\)- and \( B\)-mode maps of the same subregion. Contrary to the polarization intensity map shown in Fig. 10(a), the \( E\)- and \( B\)-mode maps are sensitive to both variations of the polarization vector, the polarization intensity and its position angle. For this reason, fluctuations caused by Faraday rotation in \( \text{H}\:\text{II} \) regions Sh 2-7 and Sh 2-27 appear on these maps.

In the direction of the Galactic anti-centre, a complex region located between the Gum nebula and the Orion–Eridanus superbubble shows several correlated features between \(|\nabla E|\), the \( B\)-type polarization and \( \text{H}\alpha\). Figs 11(a) and (b) show respectively the \(|\nabla P|\) map at its original resolution and at \( \sim\)136 arcmin. At the original resolution, many double-jump morphology features are visible. Some of these features are still visible on larger scales, such as the feature in box B (Fig. 11b), whilst others become more distinct on larger scales, such as the features in boxes A and C. On the other hand, the double-jump feature with the higher intensity in Fig. 11(a) (box D), which marks the edge of the nebula IC 2177, seems to disappear in Fig. 11(b). The double jump B is well correlated with a filament in \( \text{H}\alpha\) marking the upper edge of the Orion–Eridanus superbubble (see Fig. 11d). Feature A is located between two filamentary structures in \( \text{H}\alpha\) likely associated with the \( \text{H}\:\text{II} \) region Sh2-310. The latter also appears as a positive filament in the \( B\)-mode map in Fig. 11(c). Similarly, feature C, which appears as a negative filament in the \( B\)-mode map, is also located at the edge of an \( \text{H}\alpha\) filamentary structure. The positive or negative value of a feature in the \( B\)-mode map depends on the relative direction of the 45\(^\circ\) alignment of the polarization vector with changes in the polarization intensity. For this particular region, this morphology might be caused by the compression of magnetic field lines generally aligned with the expanding bubble.

### 6 Influence on power spectra analysis

#### 6.1 \(|\nabla P|\) versus \(|\nabla E|\) and \(|\nabla B|\) power spectra

The analysis of complex regions such as the one presented in Fig. 11 shows that polarization patterns creating \( B\)-mode filament-like
features are correlated with double-jump features in \( |\nabla P| \) maps and that they can appear at different angular scales. Since Robitaille 
& Scaife (2015) have shown that particularly intense fluctuations, such as double jumps, can influence the power spectrum analysis of a region, it is justified to test if the peculiar features found in Fig. 11 influence the power spectra of \( E \) and \( B \) maps.

We introduce a new quantity \( |\nabla B| \) that applies the concept of gradient to \( E \)- and \( B \)-modes. Inspired by the definitions in equations (8) and (9), it is defined in the wavelet space as

\[
|\nabla \tilde{E}(l, x)| = \sqrt{\langle |\nabla \tilde{E}(l, x)|^2 \rangle + \langle |\nabla \tilde{B}(l, x)|^2 \rangle},
\]

\[
|\nabla \tilde{E}(l, x)| = \sqrt{\langle \tilde{E}_1(l, x)^2 \rangle + \langle \tilde{E}_2(l, x)^2 \rangle},
\]

\[
|\nabla \tilde{B}(l, x)| = \sqrt{\langle \tilde{B}_1(l, x)^2 \rangle + \langle \tilde{B}_2(l, x)^2 \rangle},
\]

where indices 1 and 2 refer to the two directional wavelet transforms of equations (2) and (3). Because using a wavelet with more vanishing moments can represent more complex signals (Tropea, Yarin 
& Foss 2007), the third-order wavelet, i.e. \( m = 3 \) in equation (3), is used to calculate the wavelet coefficients involved in the wavelet power spectrum, which is defined as

\[
S_k(l) = \langle |\nabla \tilde{X}(l, x)|^2 \rangle_x,
\]

where \( \tilde{X} \) can be \( P \), \( E \), \( B \) or \( E \) and \( \langle \rangle_x \) represents the average operation over the two-dimensional coordinate \( x \).

Fig. 12(a) shows the wavelet power spectrum of \( |\nabla P| \) compared with wavelet power spectra of \( |\nabla E| \), \( |\nabla B| \) and \( |\nabla EB| \) of the Orion–Eridanus region. Since the scalar \( E \) and pseudo-scalar \( B \) are tracing together all fluctuations of the polarization vector \( P \), the wavelet power spectrum of \( |\nabla P| \) should, in the small-scale limit, be equal to the wavelet power spectrum of \( |\nabla EB| \) (see Appendix A for the full derivation). We can see in Fig. 12(a) that this equality is true for scales \( l \lesssim 600 \) arcmin. The inequality between \( SE \) and \( SEB \) at larger scales arises from the fact that the \( E \)- and \( B \)-mode decomposition is non-local. Consequently, polarization patterns contributing to the \( E \)- and \( B \)-mode features at large scales can be located outside the field. We must recall that the \( E \)- and \( B \)-mode decomposition is done using all data projected on a sphere. According to Fig. 12(a), there are also small deviations between \( SE \) and \( SB \) for \( 160 \lesssim l \lesssim 550 \) arcmin with a mean variance ratio \( SE/SEB \approx 1.14 \). The smaller deviation between \( E \)- and \( B \)-spectra at larger scales might be caused by the same effect as the deviation between \( SE \) and \( SEB \), i.e. the non-local property of the \( E \)- and \( B \)-mode decomposition. For \( l \gtrsim 900 \) arcmin, the mean variance ratio is \( SB/SEB \approx 1.43 \).

Fig. 12(b) shows the \( |\nabla E| \) and \( |\nabla B| \) wavelet power spectra overlaid with Fourier power spectra of the same regions. Fourier power spectra are defined as

\[
C^E(k) = \langle |\tilde{f}(k)|^2 \rangle_\theta,
\]

where \( \tilde{f}(k) \) is the Fourier transform of the image, i.e. the \( E \)- or \( B \)-mode map, and \( \langle \rangle_\theta \) is the average operation over the azimuthal direction \( \theta \). In Fig. 12(b), the wavenumber \( k \) is converted to the angular scale \( l \) according to the relation \( k = 1/l \) (see Section 3) and then converted to multipoles \( \ell \) following the relation \( l = 180^\circ / \sqrt{\ell(\ell+1)} \). In the small-scale approximation, this calculation of the \( E \)- and \( B \)-mode power spectra is equivalent to equation (10). Even if asymmetric wavelets are not designed to reproduce the Fourier power spectrum (Kirby 2005), both spectra are generally similar and deviations between \( E \)- and \( B \)-type fluctuations noticed in wavelet’s power spectra are also visible in the Fourier power spectra.
Figure 11. Anti-centre Galactic field including the H II region Sh2-310, the nebula IC 2177 and a part of the Orion–Eridanus superbubble. The panel (a) shows the $|\nabla P|$ map at the original resolution, panel (b) shows the $|\nabla P|$ map at $\sim$136 arcmin, panel (c) shows the $B$-mode map overlaid with the magnetic field line direction in drapery texture and panel (d) shows the H$\alpha$ overlaid with the same drapery texture. White dashed boxes indicate areas where $|\nabla P|$ and $B$-mode features are correlated. No colour bar is displayed in panels (c) and (d) because the drapery structure alters the colour scale.

However, the smooth shape of the wavelet function produces a smoother spectrum where significant deviations can be identified more easily.

As noticed by Robitaille & Scaife (2015), the wavelet power spectra do not sample properly the drop of power caused by the telescope beam. Thus, in order to measure power laws corrected for the effective beam, Fourier power spectra have been fitted with a similar relation to that of Carretti et al. (2010):

$$C(\ell) = \left[ C_{200} \left( \frac{\ell}{200} \right)^\beta W^{\ell}_C + N \right] W^{\ell}_B,$$

(25)

where $C_{200}$ is the spectrum at $\ell = 200$, $W^{\ell}_B$ is the telescope beam window function with FWHM = 8.9 arcmin and $W^{\ell}_C$ is a Gaussian window function of FWHM = 6 arcmin modelling the convolution of the final maps (see Section 2). The noise level $N$ is not uniform across the S-PASS survey; however, it has been modelled as a constant for the analysis of subregions. Both Gaussian window functions, $W^{\ell}_B$ and $W^{\ell}_C$, are fixed for the fit. Fitting values are summarized in Table 1.

6.2 Locating E- and B-mode asymmetries

The main advantage of using wavelet coefficients instead of Fourier coefficients to evaluate the power spectrum of an image is that wavelet coefficients’ spatial distribution can be analysed as a function of scale. This analysis can be used to locate specific features responsible for the E- or B-type polarization excess in the power spectrum. As shown in Fig. 12, $|\nabla E|$ shows no particular break in its power spectrum. Since it represents the total power for that region, depending on the polarization patterns present at a given scale, we can see that the total power is sometimes distributed evenly between $|\nabla E|$ and $|\nabla B|$ power spectra and sometimes it is distributed unequally. Fig. 12(c) shows the histogram of the squared
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Figure 12. (a) The wavelet power spectrum of $|\nabla P|$ compared with wavelet power spectra of $|\nabla E|$, $|\nabla B|$ and $|\nabla EB|$ for the Orion–Eridanus region. (b) Wavelet power spectra of $|\nabla E|$ and $|\nabla B|$ compared with the Fourier power spectra of $E$- and $B$-mode maps. (c) shows the histogram of the power distribution for $l \approx 271$ arcmin. The blue and red dashed lines represent, respectively, the mean value for $|\nabla E|^2$ and $|\nabla B|^2$ distributions and the black dashed line represents the chosen threshold delimiting the power excess. (d) is the $B$-mode map of the Orion–Eridanus region overlaid with the $B$-mode maxima chains excess for scales $161 \lesssim l \lesssim 543$ arcmin.

Table 1. Fitting values for the Fourier power spectra between multipoles $\ell = 20$ and 1150.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>$C^E_{20}$ ($\times 10^{-3}$ [Jy/beam]$^2$)</th>
<th>$\beta^E$</th>
<th>$C^B_{20}$ ($\times 10^{-3}$ [Jy/beam]$^2$)</th>
<th>$\beta^B$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orion–Eridanus</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>−1.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>−2.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G353–34</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>−2.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>−3.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

coefficient distribution using the first-order wavelet, i.e. $m = 1$, for the angular scale $l \approx 271$ arcmin, which represents approximately the middle of the excess in the $B$-mode power spectrum. Both fields are non-Gaussian; however, according to equations (21) and (22), the distributions should be comparable to non-central chi-squared distributions with two degrees of freedom for $|\nabla E|^2$ and $|\nabla B|^2$, and four degrees of freedom for $|\nabla EB|^2$ with a non-unitary variance. The blue and red dashed lines represent, respectively, the mean values for $|\nabla E|^2$ and $|\nabla B|^2$ distributions and the black dashed line represents the chosen threshold delimiting the power excess. The threshold has been set at $4\sigma$ over the mean value of $|\nabla EB|^2$ divided by 2.

In order to display features associated with the excess for a range of scales, only the maximal pixels along each maxima chain are considered. If a maximal pixel value is above the threshold, then the entire associated maxima chain is displayed. Fig. 12(d) displays the $B$-mode map of the Orion–Eridanus region, overlaid with the $B$-mode maxima chains excess for scales $161 \lesssim l \lesssim 543$ arcmin. For this range of scales, only one region contributes significantly to the excess in the $B$-type polarization. This region is correlated with the Orion–Eridanus superbubble edge where a filament-like feature in $B$-mode is also present.

The same analysis has also been performed on another region located at higher Galactic latitude, including the field shown in Fig. 5 and the G353–34 shell structure (Testori, Reich & Reich 2008). Results are shown in Fig. 13. The power spectrum shows significant asymmetries between $E$- and $B$-mode scales $25 \lesssim l \lesssim 500$ arcmin.
Figure 13. (a) The wavelet power spectrum of $|\nabla P|$ compared with wavelet power spectra of $|\nabla E|$, $|\nabla B|$ and $|\nabla EB|$ for the G353−34 region. (b) shows wavelet power spectra of $|\nabla E|$ and $|\nabla B|$ compared with the Fourier power spectra of $E$- and $B$-mode maps. (c) shows the histogram of the power distribution for scale $l \sim 136$ arcmin. The blue and red dashed lines represent respectively the mean value for $|\nabla E|^2$ and $|\nabla B|^2$ distributions and the black dashed line represents the chosen threshold delimiting the power excess. (d) is the $B$-mode map of the G353−34 region overlaid with the $B$-mode maxima chains excess for scales $24 \lesssim l \lesssim 457$ arcmin.

The variance ratio in this range of scales is $S_B/S_E = 1.19$. As shown in Table 1, both $E$ and $B$ Fourier power spectra have a steeper slope compared to the Orion–Eridanus region. The histogram of Fig. 13(c) shows the distribution of the wavelet coefficients for $l \simeq 136$ arcmin, which represents approximately the middle of the excess in the $B$-mode power spectrum. Fig. 13(d) shows the $B$-mode map overlaid with the maxima chains excess. The excess at the top right of the map is correlated with the $B$-mode filament-like counterparts discussed in Section 4 and displayed in Fig. 5(a). It is interesting to note the coherence of these excesses over a large range of spatial scales. The second coherent excess is located around the G353−34 shell structure. Finally, a significant amount of the excess is located above the G353−34 shell. Parts of these structures are also visible in the $|P|$ map. The feature in the $|P|$ map is more extended than in $E$- and $B$-mode maps and had been identified as a possible southern extension to the Loop I, also called the North Polar Spur (Vidal et al. 2015). However, it is still debated and there is no proof yet that it is a southern extension of Loop I. The feature has also been identified by Carretti et al. (2013) as the Southern Ridge. The structure is well known for its coherent magnetic field lines along the filament, which might explain why it produces $E$- and $B$-mode patterns.

7 DISCUSSION

Similarly to the previous work introducing the multiscale analysis of the gradient of $P$ (Robitaille & Scaife 2015), the polarization fluctuation analysis of the entire Southern hemisphere has revealed different networks of filaments as a function of angular scale. The gradient of $P$ analysis at small and intermediate scales reveals complex morphologies through the entire Galactic plane and also at high Galactic latitude. The fact that some uncertain morphological structures at the original resolution, e.g. single or double morphology features, become clearly associated with $B$-mode filament-like structures at larger angular scales implies that a direct analysis of $|\nabla P|$ morphological structures applied at a unique resolution must be considered carefully. Morphological interpretation of some features can be biased by the presence of noise at smaller scales. Moreover, by applying the gradient at the original resolution only, large
structures associated with large-scale and distant MHD processes are filtered out and are not considered in the analysis.

An exhaustive classification of all peculiar structures seen in $B$-type polarization and $|\nabla P|$ as a function of angular scales for the entire Southern hemisphere is beyond the scope of this paper. Fig. 14 shows an overall comparison between the $|\nabla P|$ map at 200 arcmin and the $B$-mode map seen from the poles, both overlaid with the gradient of $P$ maxima chains. A close inspection of these maps reveals multiple correlations between the maxima chains and the spin-2 decomposition of the polarization map.

Planck Collaboration XXXVIII (2016b) measured an asymmetry between the variances of the $E$- and $B$-mode maps of the infrared

Figure 14. Comparison between the $|\nabla P|$ map (left) at 200 arcmin and the $B$-mode map (right) seen from the poles both overlaid with the gradient of $P$ maxima chains. The two top spherical projections show the northern Galactic pole and the two bottom spherical projections show the southern Galactic pole.
The polarization is caused by the alignment of the dust grains with the magnetic field lines, which makes the orientation of the magnetic field more likely to be correlated with the overall matter distribution. For non-thermal synchrotron emission, instead of observing a general alignment of the magnetic field lines with filamentary structures, we observe sharp changes in the magnetic field direction, producing patterns in B-type polarization and double-jump features in the |∇P| map. In many cases, these features do not correlate with fluctuations in the polarization intensity map, |P|, or with fluctuations in the total intensity map, Stokes I. In addition, the orientation of the synchrotron polarization is subject to Faraday rotation, which is dependent on non-thermal electron density and the magnetic field strength. Consequently, the mix of intrinsic polarized emission and Faraday rotation along the line of sight makes the analysis of structure formation in the magnetic field difficult. However, the gradient of P and the decomposition in E- and B-mode allow us to reveal peculiar regions where the magnetic field traced with synchrotron emission is organized in such a way that it also produces local asymmetries between E- and B-type fluctuations. Some asymmetries are localized at the edges of HI regions or Hα bubbles (Fig. 11); others are located at high Galactic latitude without visible correlation with Hα (Fig. 14). Such asymmetry can often be described, but not always, as B-mode filamentary structures with the polarization vector aligned ∼45° to the structure (Fig. 5).

The wavelet power spectra in Figs 12 and 13 show that those asymmetries can also occur at different angular scales, where the E- or B-type can dominate. The best-fitting power laws for the two regions in Table 1 respect the general tendency noticed by Carretti et al. (2010) where fields at high Galactic latitude (b = [−90°, −20°]) have steeper power laws. The best-fitting power laws for both E- and B-mode in the G353–34 field are close to their median value for this latitude range, β(E+H)/2 ≈ −2.6 and the power laws for the Orion–Eridanus field are close to those measured for low-latitude fields (b = [−20°, 0°]). The authors explain this power-law variation by mid–high latitudes characterized by smooth emission with most of the power on large angular scales, and a disc field more evenly distributed with a slight predominance of power at smaller scales. The measured variance ratio S_E/S_B for two different regions, one near the Galactic plane and one lower Galactic latitude, varied between 1.04 and 1.43. Planck results (Planck Collaboration XXX 2016a; Planck Collaboration XXXVIII 2016b; Planck Collaboration X 2016c) have shown that an asymmetry of S_E/S_B ≈ 0.50 exists between the amplitudes of power spectra fits of Galactic B- and E-modes in dust polarization at 353 GHz. Planck Collaboration X (2016c) also reported an E−B asymmetry (S_E/S_B ≈ 0.35) for the synchrotron emission at 30 GHz. These asymmetries are assumed to be caused by the magnetic field alignment with filamentary structures. For these studies, no S_E/S_B ratio above 1.0 was reported. Besides the fact that polarized synchrotron emission and polarized dust emission are produced by two different physical processes, synchrotron emission at 2.3 GHz is also affected by Faraday rotation. Most of the features associated with an excess are not visible in the polarization intensity map, which means that these features are probably caused by spatial fluctuations in Faraday rotation only. Those perturbations of the magnetic field seem to be triggered, at least in part, by energy injected by star clusters associated with star-forming regions or superbubbles. However, as it was demonstrated with the B-mode excess associated with Loop I in Fig. 13, some excess in E- or B-mode can also be attributed to features seen in polarization intensity.

The origin of the peculiar structures mentioned in this paper is diverse. It is generally accepted that the random and ordered magnetic field components can be roughly separated in terms of, respectively, small-scale turbulent fluctuations and large-scale coherent fluctuations aligned with the spiral arms and the structures in the Galactic halo. The latter component is nicely shown by the large-scale coherent structures associated with the giant magnetized outflows in Fig. 9. Turbulence certainly plays a major role in the structure formation of the random component of the magnetic field. Nevertheless, other physical processes occurring at smaller scales than the Galactic arms can produce extended coherent features such as HI regions, interstellar bubbles or superbubbles. The close interplay between the ionized medium and magnetic field also increases the complexity of the magneto-ionic medium and challenges the analysis of structure formation in the ISM. Structures visible in B-modes in Fig. 5 are good examples of where a sharp deviation of the magnetic field lines is well correlated with the Hα emission and where the origin of the structure is unclear.

Consequently, simulations of the magneto-ionic medium, as a tool to characterize structures seen in observations or to build a model of the Galactic radio foreground emission, have to take into account the multiple origins and the great complexity of the medium in order to give a reliable description of the physical processes in action, and to create a representative model of the Galactic radio polarized emission.

8 CONCLUSION

We have extended the previous analysis of Iacobelli et al. (2014) of the spatial gradient of the synchrotron linear polarization on the S-PASS survey at multiple spatial scales, following the method introduced by Robitaille & Scaife (2015). It is shown that the method can be easily adapted for spherical maps and that the multiscale technique can reveal different sets of filament-like networks previously filtered out with the original calculation of |∇P|.

We demonstrate that the rotationally invariant $\tilde{\nabla}^2 \phi_\alpha$ and $\tilde{\nabla}^2 \phi_\beta$ fluctuations in the real space proposed by Zaldarriaga & Seljak (1997) have similar properties to applying the gradient directly on scalar fields E and B without the spin operators. We conclude that |VE| and |VB| are included in |V P| and that both analyses are complementary. This decomposition can be used to locate peculiar structures in synchrotron polarization data and to help characterize the influence of nearby physical processes on structures in the magnetic field.

A more extended analysis of peculiar structures seen in the polarization gradient and in the E- and B-mode maps of synchrotron emission could lead to a classification of different types of structure according to their spatial correlation with structures seen in polarization intensity or Hα. By using similar methods to those introduced in this paper, such classification could help us to identify the energy injection contribution of different kinds of physical processes, such as star formation regions or SNRs, in the Galactic magnetic field and measuring their influence in the nearby turbulent medium. New algorithms, such as the calculation of directional spin wavelet transforms on the sphere (McEwen et al. 2015) and curvelets on the sphere (Chen et al. 2017), which allows the extraction of filamentary structures in a spin-2 signal, are promising avenues that will be explored in the future. Applying such analysis to MHD simulations could also allow us to experiment with the type
of perturbations that influence the interstellar magnetic field and to compare their signature with observations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS


REFERENCES


APPENDIX A: [VP] AND [VEB] WAVELET POWER SPECTRA

In the small-scale limit, since both decompositions are tracing all fluctuations of the polarization vector \( \mathbf{P} \), the wavelet power spectrum of \( |\mathbf{P}| \) is equal to the wavelet power spectrum of \( |\mathbf{E}| \). The definition of \( |\mathbf{E}| \) in the wavelet space is

\[
|\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{l}, x)|^2 = |\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{l}, x)|^2 + |\hat{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{l}, x)|^2
\]

where

\[
|\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{l}, x)|^2 = |\hat{\mathbf{E}}_1(\mathbf{l}, x)|^2 + |\hat{\mathbf{E}}_2(\mathbf{l}, x)|^2,
\]

\[
|\hat{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{l}, x)|^2 = |\hat{\mathbf{B}}_1(\mathbf{l}, x)|^2 + |\hat{\mathbf{B}}_2(\mathbf{l}, x)|^2.
\]

In the flat-sky limit, the relation between the \( E \), \( B \)-mode and the \( Q \), \( U \) Stokes parameters can be defined in the Fourier domain through the rotation of the \( k \)-space (Seljak 1997):

\[
\hat{E}(\mathbf{k}) = \hat{Q}(\mathbf{k}) \cos(2\phi_k) + \hat{U}(\mathbf{k}) \sin(2\phi_k),
\]

\[
\hat{B}(\mathbf{k}) = -\hat{Q}(\mathbf{k}) \sin(2\phi_k) + \hat{U}(\mathbf{k}) \cos(2\phi_k),
\]

where \( \phi_k \) is the direction angle of the two-dimensional vector \( \mathbf{k} \). Because the wavelet power spectrum can also be defined directly in the Fourier domain via the relation (Farge 1992)

\[
S(\mathbf{l}) = \int_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{|\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{k})|^2}{|\mathbf{k}|^2} |\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{k})|^2 |\mathbf{k}|^2 d\mathbf{k},
\]

where \( \hat{\psi}(\mathbf{k}) \) is the Fourier transform of the wavelet function \( \psi(x) \) with the scaling factor \( l \), the wavelet power spectrum of the \( E \)-mode gradient in equation (A2) can be defined as

\[
S_E(l) = \int |\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{k})|^2 |\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{k})|^2 + |\hat{\mathbf{E}}_2(\mathbf{k})|^2 |\hat{\psi}(\mathbf{k})|^2 d\mathbf{k}.
\]
where \( \hat{\psi}_{1,2}(k) \) are the Fourier transform of the DoG wavelets. From equations (A2), (A3) and (A5):

\[
S_{E}(l) = \int \left| \hat{Q}(k) \cos(2\phi_k) + \hat{U}(k) \sin(2\phi_k) \right|^2 \left| \hat{\psi}_{1}(k) \right|^2 \\
+ \left| \hat{Q}(k) \cos(2\phi_k) + \hat{U}(k) \sin(2\phi_k) \right|^2 \left| \hat{\psi}_{2}(k) \right|^2 \, dk,
\]

\[
= \int \left| \hat{Q}(k) \cos(2\phi_k) + \hat{U}(k) \sin(2\phi_k) \right|^2 \left| \hat{\psi}_{1}(k) \right|^2 \\
+ 2 \times \left| \hat{Q}(k) \cos(2\phi_k) + \hat{U}(k) \sin(2\phi_k) \right|^2 \left| \hat{\psi}_{2}(k) \right|^2 \\
+ 2 \times \left| \hat{Q}(k) \cos(2\phi_k) + \hat{U}(k) \sin(2\phi_k) \right|^2 \left| \hat{\psi}_{2}(k) \right|^2 \, dk,
\]

where \( \left| \hat{\psi}_{1}(k) \right|^2 = \left| \hat{\psi}_{1}(k) \right|^2 \left| \hat{\psi}_{2}(k) \right|^2 \). Similarly for B-mode gradient,

\[
S_{B}(l) = \int \left| - \hat{Q}(k) \sin(2\phi_k) + \hat{U}(k) \cos(2\phi_k) \right|^2 \left| \hat{\psi}_{1}(k) \right|^2 \\
+ \left| - \hat{Q}(k) \sin(2\phi_k) + \hat{U}(k) \cos(2\phi_k) \right|^2 \left| \hat{\psi}_{2}(k) \right|^2 \, dk,
\]

\[
= \int \left| \hat{Q}(k) \sin(2\phi_k) + \hat{U}(k) \cos(2\phi_k) \right|^2 \left| \hat{\psi}_{1}(k) \right|^2 \\
- 2 \times \left| \hat{Q}(k) \sin(2\phi_k) + \hat{U}(k) \cos(2\phi_k) \right|^2 \left| \hat{\psi}_{2}(k) \right|^2 \\
+ \left| \hat{Q}(k) \sin(2\phi_k) + \hat{U}(k) \cos(2\phi_k) \right|^2 \left| \hat{\psi}_{2}(k) \right|^2 \, dk.
\]

Consequently,

\[
S_{E}(l) = S_{E}(l) + S_{B}(l) \\
= \int \left| \hat{Q}(k) \right|^2 + \left| \hat{Q}_{2}(k) \right|^2 + \left| \hat{U}_{1}(k) \right|^2 + \left| \hat{U}_{2}(k) \right|^2 \, dk,
\]

\[
= S_{P}(l),
\]

where \( S_{E}(l) \) and \( S_{P}(l) \) are respectively the wavelet power spectra of \( \left| \nabla E \hat{B}(l, x) \right| \) and \( \left| \nabla P(l, x) \right| \).