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Ambulance services around the world have been introducing electronic patient record systems. If your trust hasn’t yet, it’s only a matter of time. The business cases for these new systems promise the triple aim of better health, better healthcare and lower cost.

In order to support the continued shift to out of hospital care, ambulance clinicians need to be able to decide which patients will benefit from being left at home, refer to alternative care providers, and ensure that appropriate patient information is passed on to them. Technology can help in a number of ways. Firstly, apps and referral tools can aid decision-making at the scene. Secondly, technology can facilitate the transfer of patient information to ambulance clinicians at the scene or even before arrival, e.g. by sharing information on past contacts with a GP, or on a DNACPR directive. Thirdly, it can support real time remote sharing of information so that, for example, an ED consultant can advise about the appropriate conveyance and care decisions while the patient is still at home. Fourthly, it can support the easy transfer of patient information to other care providers like GPs.

Finally, electronic records can make data more readily available for audit, research and evaluation (Morrison et al., 2014). Data can be used in future research to inform service improvements, as well as providing ambulance services with a valuable store of information to run automated clinical and management reports, as well as defending against medico-legal action.

But is it that easy to introduce electronic records and reap such benefits? Elsewhere in healthcare, grand proposals for digitisation promised much, but didn’t always deliver (Greenhalgh et al., 2009). The much-vaunted National Programme for IT cost £12.4 billion yet failed to deliver its central aim (House of Commons Committee of Public Accounts, 2013; Wachter, 2016). Further back, the failure of an electronic dispatch system for the London Ambulance Service has become a classic case study of a failed implementation (Finkelstein, 1993).

In February 2016, NHS England announced £4.2 billion to digitise health care. This investment, it has again been promised, will deliver better care for less money. Perhaps this time the NHS can get it right. The recent Wachter review (2016) ‘Making IT Work’ is a sensible starting point, warning that digitising healthcare is not easy and not cheap (it already warns that the new money is insufficient). We write as enthusiasts for technology in healthcare, but introducing new systems and getting the best out of them is far from straightforward, and can go very wrong. Whether implementations go right or wrong, we can learn from what happened. That is what we’re aiming to do in a new project studying the adoption of electronic records in ambulances across the UK, funded by the National Institute for Health Research.

One of the lessons of the past is not just focus on the technology. To get the best out of new systems, you need to think about service re-design. Although we’re looking at technology, we’re interested in people. We cannot divorce the technology from the many issues in paramedic practice today: role changes and personnel upskilling, increasing non-conveyance decisions, medico-legal threats, and so on. Our approach is to consider a network of actors and technology; we need to understand what is going on from how usable a new piece of tech is out in the field, up to how an organisation uses the data collected. Wachter warns of the “productivity paradox”: the benefits of IT often take many years to be realised. This is because successful implementations require sustained engagement between stakeholders who need to work together on shaping the technology and how it is used. Anecdotal reports from some UK ambulance trusts support the idea that benefits can take time to...
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The new Electronic Care System being introduced across South Western Ambulance Service.
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to emerge, but may be different to what was anticipated.

There is almost nothing in the Wachter review about pre-hospital care, although NHS England’s response to the review touted real-time video links in ambulances as an example technology (NHS England, 2016). But we’ve begun our research by reviewing the literature on digitisation in ambulances.

One challenge with electronic records is data entry. Hill et al. (2013) found doctors in a US emergency department spent on average 43% of their shift on data entry and approached 4000 mouse clicks per 10-hour shift. Paramedics are more likely to be using tablets, but the weight of data entry is just as significant. US (Landman et al., 2012) and Finnish studies (Kuisma et al., 2009) found call durations can, at least initially, increase with electronic record systems taking longer to fill out.

An alternative is to have technology that directly records outcomes, yet achieving this is not straightforward. For example, public access automated external defibrillators collect clinically useful data when used, but this is often not collected, with a lack of standardisation in both hardware and software as barriers (Lim Choi Keung et al., 2016).

But generally electronic record systems mean we have more data and can do more with it. The benefits of data may come immediately, a warning that a patient’s blood pressure is dropping, or take much longer; an analysis of data collected over many years, aggregated across different regions, to then input into a new treatment guideline. If there

In our research, we plan to talk to all the ambulance trusts in the UK to understand how far advanced electronic records and other digitisation plans are. We are then focusing on four case studies of trusts at different stages of adoption. Our team, including research paramedics, are going to be out in the field observing the use of new technologies in real situations, and talking to staff in ambulance trusts and other health care partners about the potential – and possible pitfalls – of electronic records. We want to know how ambulance trusts can maximise the value of electronic records in providing safe, appropriate care to patients.
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