Calcium channel modulation as a target in chronic pain control
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Neuropathic pain remains poorly treated for large numbers of patients, and little progress has been made in developing novel classes of analgesics. To redress this issue, ziconotide (Prialt™) was developed and approved as a first-in-class synthetic version of ω-conotoxin MVIIA, a peptide blocker of Ca_{2.2} channels. Unfortunately, the impracticalities of intrathecal delivery, low therapeutic index and severe neurological side effects associated with ziconotide have restricted its use to exceptional circumstances. Ziconotide exhibits no state or use-dependent block of Ca_{2.2} channels; activation state-dependent blockers were hypothesized to circumvent the side effects of state-independent blockers by selectively targeting high-frequency firing of nociceptive neurones in chronic pain states, thus alleviating aberrant pain but not affecting normal sensory transduction. Unfortunately, numerous drugs, including state-dependent calcium channel blockers, have displayed efficacy in preclinical models but have subsequently been disappointing in clinical trials. In recent years, it has become more widely acknowledged that trans-aetiological sensory profiles exist amongst chronic pain patients and may indicate similar underlying mechanisms and drug sensitivities. Heterogeneity amongst patients, a reliance on stimulus-evoked endpoints in preclinical studies and a failure to utilize translatable endpoints, all are likely to have contributed to negative clinical trial results. We provide an overview of how electrophysiological and operant-based assays provide insight into sensory and affective aspects of pain in animal models and how these may relate to chronic pain patients in order to improve the bench-to-bedside translation of calcium channel modulators.

Abbreviations
CPM, conditioned pain modulation; CPP, conditioned place preference; CRMP2, collapsin response mediator protein 2; DRG, dorsal root ganglion; NNT, number needed to treat; NS, nociceptive specific; SNL, spinal nerve ligation; VGCC, voltage-gated calcium channel; VPL, ventral posterolateral; WDR, wide dynamic range
Introduction

Neuropathic syndromes are often characterized by a complex combination of positive and negative sensory phenomena including allodynia (perceiving non-noxious stimuli as pain), hyperalgesia (increased pain to normally painful stimuli) and also paroxysmal or persistent paraesthesias (abnormal sensations, e.g. numbness and tingling) and dysesthesias (abnormal and unpleasant sensations, e.g. shocking and paradoxical burning). These symptoms are often confounded by co-morbidities such as depression, anxiety and sleep disturbances. Meta-analyses suggest that pain with neuropathic characteristics has a prevalence of 7–10% in the general population (van Hecke et al., 2014) and that large numbers of neuropathic patients fail to achieve adequate relief from currently available treatments (Finnerup et al., 2010; Finnerup et al., 2015). These studies highlight the urgent need for novel classes of therapeutics and better utilization of currently available treatments. In an attempt to address this ongoing challenge, the first-in-class drug ziconotide (Prialt®) was developed as a synthetic version of the Ca_{2.2} channel blocker ω-conotoxin MVIIA, derived from Conus magus, and is licensed for chronic pain. However, due to the narrow therapeutic window and considerable side effects, ziconotide is only administered intrathecally to patients who have failed to respond to other treatments (Sanford, 2013).

As a rare example of bench-to-bedside translation, intrathecal ω-conotoxins were demonstrated to be antinociceptive in neuropathic animals over 20 years ago (Chaplan et al., 1994). Pain has both sensory and affective dimensions but is also a subjective experience; hence by definition, pain in animals must be inferred. As in this study, most animal behavioural experiments rely on evoked reflex withdrawals to assess ‘pain responses’. A binary outcome measure of this nature renders it near impossible to correlate the intensity of a stimulus with the level of response given that a reflex response determines the first point at which a stimulus becomes aversive. As a consequence, the validity of stimulus-evoked reflexes as predictors of clinical efficacy has been questioned in light of the fact that many pharmacological agents are efficacious in preclinical models, including calcium channel blockers, but fail to translate into the clinic (Percie du Sert and Rice, 2014). The thorny issue of translation is of course far more complex, and there are numerous instances where choice of appropriate endpoints and identifying underlying mechanisms of neuropathy has led to successful forward and back-translation. Heterogeneity of patient populations is likely to have led to the failure of many clinical trials and sensory profiling and stratification of patients as an alternate approach to aetiological grouping may lead to better indicators of successful treatment (Baron et al., 2012; Freeman et al., 2014; Bouhassira and Attal, 2016). For example, in diabetic neuropathy, low conditioned pain modulation (CPM) predicts efficacy of duloxetine and tapentadol (Yarnitsky et al., 2012; Niesters et al., 2014), and in peripheral neuropathy patients, oxcarbazepine was more efficacious in those with the irritable nociceptor versus the non-irritable phenotype [number needed to treat (NNT) of 4 and 13 respectively] (Demant et al., 2014). As illustrations of successful back-translation, in the spinal nerve ligation (SNL) model of neuropathy in rats, CPM or diffuse noxious inhibitory controls are absent and can be restored by reboxetine and tapentadol, and carbamazepine inhibits spinal neuronal excitability (Chapman et al., 1998; Bannister et al., 2015). In this respect, the SNL model could represent comparable underlying mechanisms to patients with low CPM or the irritable nociceptor phenotype and thus provides a basis for forward translation. Calcium channel activity could be surmised to be favoured in the SNL model and in patients with the irritable nociceptor phenotype, and so, heterogeneous patient groups based on aetiology only may not provide adequate sensitivity for revealing effects of these drugs.

Most clinical trials continue to rely on ongoing pain scores as a primary clinical endpoint, though more recently in smaller trials, there has been a concerted effort to perform quantitative sensory testing and classify patients according to their sensory profile (Bouhassira and Attal, 2016). This approach attempts to provide a quantitative and qualitative read-out of the patient’s pain state. In terms of a comparable translatable measure, electrophysiological characterizations of neuronal excitability in animals allow reproducible, objective and quantitative measures of sensory neuronal processing to multiple modalities and have the advantage of examining responses to supra-threshold and brush stimulation which are not particularly amenable to behavioural testing. Ongoing or paroxysmal pain has been difficult to demonstrate in animal models but is a major cause of suffering and poor quality of life in neuropathic patients. As ongoing pain is unpleasant and aversive in its nature, and pain relief is rewarding, a conditioned place preference (CPP) assay in rodents was developed to explore whether injured animals associate with contextual cues affiliated with relief. This method has demonstrated efficacy of clinically used drugs such as intrathecal clonidine (King et al., 2009).

Calcium channel blockers have been successfully developed for the treatment of absence seizures and are an emerging drug class for the treatment of neurological disorders and chronic pain (reviewed in detail by Zamponi, 2016). In this review, we provide an updated overview of the effects of calcium channel blockers in chronic pain models, with a particular focus on how electrophysiological and CPP studies may provide insight into sensory and affective dimensions of pain. Additionally, we consider the prospects of voltage-gated calcium channels (VGCCs) as targets for chronic pain and how preclinical data may guide design of clinical trials.

Targeting trafficking of VGCCs

Auxiliary calcium channel subunit α_{2δ}-1

By far and away, the most frequently prescribed calcium channel modulators for neuropathic pain are the gabapentinoids, gabapentin and pregabalin. Gabapentin and the newer derivative pregabalin were designed as analogues of GABA. As it transpired, gabapentin was identified as a ligand for the auxiliary voltage-gated calcium channel subunit α_{2δ} rather than GABA receptors (Gee et al., 1996). Transgenic approaches strongly support that the
interaction of gabapentinoids with α_2δ-1 is required for anti-nociceptive activity in neuropathic conditions given the lack of efficacy in the corresponding null mice (Field et al., 2006; Patel et al., 2013). At the cellular level, it is unclear precisely how gabapentinoids disrupt transmitter release, but a direct block of channel activation seems highly unlikely (Hendrich et al., 2008). Instead, gabapentin has been shown to inhibit axonal trafficking of α_2δ-1, which is elevated in injured primary afferents, and also recycling of calcium channel complexes between intracellular compartments and the synaptic membrane (Bauer et al., 2009; Tran-Van-Minh and Dolphin, 2010) (Figure 1). The selective anti-nociceptive effects in facilitated states imply injury-induced factors that influence efficacy (reviewed in detail by Patel and Dickenson, 2016). Thus, it would be expected that clinical correlates of these mechanisms would be a determinant of the action of these drugs and so affect their NNT.

In behavioural assays, acute, systemically administered, gabapentin exhibits state-dependent anti-nociceptive activity when assessed by changes in withdrawal threshold (Field et al., 1997). Both spinal and supra-spinal mechanisms are likely to be involved as intrathecal and intracerebroventricular pregabalin increase withdrawal thresholds after peripheral nerve injury (Takeuchi et al., 2007). Likewise, when examined against spinal wide dynamic range (WDR) neuronal responses, gabapentin displays state-dependent inhibitory activity. Increased descending facilitatory drive is key in shaping the inhibitory effects of acute gabapentin treatment after nerve injury, and remarkably, mimicking enhanced descending facilitation in naïve rats recreates the permissive state for gabapentin to act (Suzuki et al., 2005). Increased activity in this pathway underlies the efficacy of pregabalin in a model of opioid-induced hyperalgesia where no injury exists (Bannister et al., 2011).

In models where central sensitization is evident, even in the absence of pathology or where neuropathy is not the only component, gabapentin and pregabalin reduce spinal neuronal firing to both mechanical- and heat-evoked responses across low and high intensities of stimulation (Donovan-Rodriguez et al., 2005; Omori et al., 2009; Bannister et al., 2011; Thakur et al., 2012). Patients with osteoarthritis frequently report pain with neuropathic characteristics (Ohtori et al., 2012), and similarly, rodent models of osteoarthritis can be characterized by a neuropathic component leading to cutaneous sensitization outside the injured area which is sensitive to pregabalin inhibition (Rahman et al., 2009; Thakur et al., 2012). In contrast, animal models of osteoarthritis lacking neuropathic characteristics are not sensitive to pregabalin anti-nociception (Thakur et al., 2012). Correspondingly, there is some evidence that patients reporting pain using neuropathic descriptors respond positively to combination therapy with pregabalin and advocates the benefits of stratification of patients (Ohtori et al., 2013). A complex interplay of inflammatory, neuropathic and disease-specific 

---

**Figure 1**

Approaches to calcium channel modulation in chronic pain. To date, targeting trafficking of VGCCs has been the favoured approach to modulating channel activity. The gabapentinoids (GBP) bind to an arginine motif of the α_2δ-1 and α_2δ-2 and inhibit axonal trafficking of α_2δ-1 and Rab11-dependent recycling of endosomal channels to the synapse (Bauer et al., 2009; Tran-Van-Minh and Dolphin, 2010). Novel approaches to reduce trafficking include utilizing CBD3 peptides to disrupt the interaction between VGCCs and CRMP2. Channel activity can be regulated via inhibitory GPCRs such as α_2 adrenoreceptors, GABA_β and μ-opioid receptors. Peptide blockers act by either altering gating by targeting voltage sensitive domains (such as ω-agatoxin) or by directly blocking the pore (ω-conotoxins) (Bourinet and Zamponi, 2016).
components leads to pain chronicity in a rat model of cancer-induced bone pain, with one consequence being a phenotypic change in the ratio of WDR-to-nociceptive specific (NS) neurones in the superficial dorsal horn. Chronic gabapentin not only inhibits enhanced frequencies of firing in this model but normalizes the ratio of neuronal response profiles (Donovan-Rodriguez et al., 2005). In comparison, spinal nerve-ligated rats do not display similar increases in neuronal firing frequency in the dorsal horn. Instead, an increase in population coding is proposed to mediate hypersensitivity which subsequently converges onto thalamic relays. In the ventral posterolateral (VPL) thalamus of SNL rats, mechanical- and cold-evoked responses, and to a lesser extent heat responses, of WDR and NS neurones are increased and pregabalin normalizes neuronal coding in a modality selective manner (mechanical and heat) at intensities evoking elevated responses (Patel and Dickenson, 2016). Mechanically evoked responses (brush and punctate stimuli) are inhibited to a greater extent compared with heat stimuli, and this corresponds relatively well with patient psychophysics (Attal et al., 1998), though the contrasting reversal of cold hypersensitivity observed in this latter study may relate to the chronic dosing regimen.

In healthy volunteers, gabapentin is ineffective against temporal summation of pain, and similarly with the analogous process in rats, electrically evoked wind-up of deep dorsal horn neurones is unaffected (Arendt-Nielsen et al., 2011). In contrast, in a surrogate model of central sensitization, gabapentin inhibits temporal summation (Arendt-Nielsen et al., 2007), and there is some evidence that wind-up is inhibited in animal models where central sensitization is likely to be present such as in cancer-induced bone pain and after sciatic nerve injury, though surprisingly not after spinal nerve injury (Donovan-Rodriguez et al., 2005; Curros-Criado and Herrero, 2007; Bee and Dickenson, 2008; Ding et al., 2014). Wind-up is a short-term process reflecting activity-dependent increases in neuronal excitability and can lead to features shared with central sensitization such as expansion of receptive field size, inducing wind-up at lower frequencies and overlapping pharmacological dependencies (e.g. reversal by NMDA antagonists) (Li et al., 1999). Thus, wind-up could act as a read out of sensitization state, and pharmacological agents that inhibit wind-up may also reverse central sensitization.

When ongoing pain scores are used as a clinical endpoint, the NNT for gabapentin and pregabalin is approximately 7, although this varies across different aetiologies (Finnerup et al., 2010; Finnerup et al., 2015). Thus, when assuming homogeneity amongst patients, most fail to achieve adequate relief. Post hoc sensory profiling analysis, however, reveals that the presence of pinprick hyperalgesia is a predictor of efficacy in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-induced neuropathy (Simpson et al., 2010) and correlates with surrogate models of sensitization in humans and neuronal characterizations in rodents as gabapentin and pregabalin reduce mechanical hypersensitivity (Werner et al., 2001; Dirks et al., 2002; Segerdahl, 2006; Chizh et al., 2007; Patel and Dickenson, 2016). In CPP assays, neither gabapentin nor pregabalin has rewarding properties in the absence of injury (Andrews et al., 2001), whereas in neuropathic and inflammatory models, gabapentin has been demonstrated to activate reward pathways (Xie et al., 2014; Griggs et al., 2015; Park et al., 2016), though notably this occurs at 10-fold higher doses than required to inhibit spinal neuronal responses to evoked stimulation (Suzuki et al., 2005). The mechanisms that underpin ongoing pain may be distinct from those that mediate evoked pain. The neural mechanisms by which gabapentin provides relief from ongoing pain are poorly characterized. Despite reports of reductions in spontaneous spinal neuronal activity by gabapentin (Chapman et al., 1998; Suzuki and Dickenson, 2006; Dong et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013), pregabalin has no effect on aberrant spontaneous firing in the ventral posterolateral thalamus in SNL rats (Patel and Dickenson, 2016). Spontaneous and evoked activity in the right central nucleus of the amygdala is elevated following a peripheral nerve injury, which can be normalized by pregabalin, suggesting that gabapentinoids may modulate activity within corticolimbic pathways associated with affective dimensions of pain (Goncalves and Dickenson, 2012). Collectively, these data indicate that gabapentinoids poorly relieve ongoing pain in patients but are effective in reducing areas of secondary sensitization and mechanical hyperalgesia. This difference could contribute to high NNTs if these sensory modalities were not subdivided in clinical studies. Importantly, patients have pain scores well above the pain threshold. As gabapentinoids attenuate the firing of spinal and thalamic sensory neurones to noxious intensities at doses that would fully reverse behavioural hypersensitivity to lower intensity stimuli, these may act as a better predictor of their anti-hyperalgesic effect.

Collapsin response mediator protein 2 (CRMP2)

Even though gabapentinoids can be effective for the management of pain, they are not without adverse effects such as ataxia, nausea, somnolence and dizziness. Thus, new approaches to target channel trafficking have been explored. CaV2.2 channels present in the presynaptic terminals of primary afferent fibres form part of a large complex of proteins and other molecules including a regulatory protein CRMP2. This protein has been shown to enhance membrane trafficking of CaV2.2 channels, and CRMP2 knockdown reduces calcium currents and transmitter release, suggesting that CaV2.2 channels require the presence of this protein for normal function (Chi et al., 2009). CBD3 is a 15-amino acid peptide region (ARSRLAELRGVPRGL) of CRMP2 that binds to CaV2.2 channels, and a CBD3 and TAT (HIV-1 trans-activator of transcription) conjugated brain penetrant peptide has been shown to block the interaction of CRMP2 and CaV2.2 channels (Francois-Moutal et al., 2015). Electrophysiological studies in rat spinal cord splices demonstrated that perfusion with TAT-CBD3 reduces CGRP release (Brittain et al., 2011). Unlike the gabapentinoids, TAT-CBD3 does not display pathological state-dependent activity and inhibits acute nociception and inflammation-induced hypersensitivity but not nerve injury-induced hypersensitivity (Wilson et al., 2011; Francois-Moutal et al., 2015). At effective analgesic doses, TAT-CBD3 does not produce motor impairment, paralysis or cognitive deficits in rats (Wilson et al., 2011). A similar peptide, R9-CBD3-A6K, has no aversive or rewarding properties in sham rats but activates reward pathways in a neuropathic state.
channels mediate release of neurotransmitters such as glutamate, substance P and CGRP from primary afferent fibres causing the activation of second-order neurones (Santicioli et al., 1992; Luebke et al., 1993; Terashima et al., 2013). CaV1 and CaV2.3 channels on the other hand are associated with post-synaptic excitability; the former appears to underlie the ability of a subset of dorsal horn neurones to mount significant trains of post-discharge (Morisset and Nagy, 1999), whereas the genetic ablation of the latter demonstrates little role in basal nociception but a reduction of inflammatory hypersensitivity (Saegusa et al., 2000).

ω-agatoxin IVA. In trigeminal second-order neurones, ω-agatoxin IVA has a small inhibitory effect on cold-evoked responses but enhances spontaneous activity in a GABA_A receptor-sensitive manner (Ebersberger et al., 2004), consistent with a projection to both excitatory and inhibitory interneurones in the dorsal horn (Westenbroek et al., 1998). Spinally application of the CaV2.1 channel blocker, ω-agatoxin IVA, has a low inhibitory effect on spinal WDR neuronal responses to innocuous and noxious mechanical stimulation in normal rats (Nebe et al., 1997; Matthews and Dickenson, 2001a) and has a similar magnitude of effect in SNL rats (Matthews and Dickenson, 2001a). In contrast, the potency of ω-agatoxin IVA is enhanced after an acute inflammatory insult (Nebe et al., 1997) and supports a role of CaV2.1 channels in the development of sensitization but argues against a pathophysiological role in a chronic neuropathic state.

CaV3 channel blockers. Likewise, in uninjured conditions, the CaV3 channel blocker ethosuximide modestly inhibits WDR responses to mechanical and heat stimulation and exhibits similar potency after peripheral nerve injury (Matthews and Dickenson, 2001b), again arguing against a pathophysiological role of spinal CaV3 channels at least. Unlike CaV2.1 and CaV2.2 channels, CaV3 channels activate at voltages close to resting membrane potential and the gating properties permit regulation of oscillations and burst firing. Intrathalamic delivery of Z944, an activation state-dependent CaV3.2 blocker, reduces burst firing in the VPL of neuropathic rats, an observation that correlates with the establishment of CPP when Z944 is dosed systemically (LeBlanc et al., 2016). Peripherally expressed CaV3.2 channels may also be important as they modulate excitability of a subset of mechanoreceptors (Shin et al., 2003), and CaV3-mediated currents are enhanced in injured dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurones (Jagodic et al., 2008). In SNL rats, the peripherally acting state-dependent blocker, ABT-639, reduces spinal WDR neuronal responses to low intensity mechanical stimulation (Jarvis et al., 2014).

ω-conotoxin GVIA. Studies have shown that, α1B (CaV2.2) deficient mice have a normal life span and normal behaviour, however have impaired basal nociception and development of neuropathy (Hatakeyama et al., 2001; Saegusa et al., 2001). The role this channel plays in the transmission of nociceptive stimuli is further supported by electrophysiological studies demonstrating that ω-conotoxin GVIA inhibits mechanical- and heat-evoked responses and wind-up of spinal WDR neurones consistent
with a role in mediating peptide release and consequently post-synaptic hyperexcitability. Dural afferents also express Ca\textsubscript{v}2.2 channels, and blockade reduces cold-evoked and spontaneous activity of trigeminal nucleus neurones (Ebersberger et al., 2004). The increased potency of \(\omega\)-conotoxin GVIA after SNL indicates injury-induced neuroplastic changes in channel expression and/or regulation in the dorsal horn (Matthews andDickenson, 2001a). In contrast, no change in the effect size of \(\omega\)-conotoxin GVIA is observed on spinal WDR neurones innervating the knee following knee inflammation (Neugebauer et al., 1996). Intrathecal \(\omega\)-conotoxin MVIIA can induce CPP in SNL rats demonstrating an alleviation of ongoing pain and correlates well with clinical observations (King et al., 2009).

\(\omega\)-Conotoxins are small peptides derived from the venom of marine cone snails and block a series of ion channels. These peptides are thought to act by physical occlusion of the \(\alpha\)1 ion conducting pore (Bourinet andZamponi, 2016). In animal models, conotoxins such as GVIA, MVIIA and CVID have analgesic properties against inflammatory and neuropathic pain; however, they have also been shown to have a series of negative side effects in patients such as dizziness, nausea, nystagmus, gait abnormality, urinary retention, memory impairment and hallucinations. Some of them such as GVIA have irreversible effects once they bind to the pore, which complicates its usage. MVIIA, also known as ziconotide has been the first \(\omega\)-conotoxin to enter clinical trials and was approved in 2004 by the FDA, for the management of chronic pain. Initial clinical trials conducted in patients with chronic pain, cancer-related or AIDS-related neuropathy refractory to opioid treatment reported improvement of VAS (visual analogue scale) scores (from a mean baseline of >70/100) by 14 to 53\%, while the proportion of responders ranged from 16 to 50\% (Sanford, 2013). The dosage was often titrated to tolerable levels which may account for some of the variability in effect size. Even though ziconotide does not produce tolerance or addiction like morphine does, it has adverse neurological side effects that need to be taken into account before prescribing the drug to a patient. In addition, its large amino acid sequence has that need to be taken into account before prescribing the drug to a patient. In addition, its large amino acid sequence has some limitations. For instance, manufacturing the drug is challenging and expensive. Due to its large size, ziconotide poorly crosses the brain–blood barrier, thus needs to be delivered straight into the spinal cord or in the surrounding cerebrospinal fluid for the treatment of pain.

Due to the limitations of ziconotide, there is a need to develop newer blood–brain barrier penetrable small molecule calcium channel blockers with fewer adverse effects as well as drugs that are orally available. Several new activation state-dependent blockers have been developed and tested in animal models with some progressing to clinical trials.

**Activation state-dependent channel blockers**

**ZC88.** ZC88 \((N\{-[1-(2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-3-pentene-1-yl]-1-(5-bromo-furfuryl)-1-piperidyl-4-amine dihydrochloride\}) is a non-peptide, state-dependent, blocker of Ca\textsubscript{v}2.2 channels and does not affect calcium currents mediated by Ca\textsubscript{v}1.1, Ca\textsubscript{v}2.1 or Ca\textsubscript{v}2.3 channels in cultured DRG neurones (Zhang et al., 2015). Behavioural studies in a rat chronic constriction injury model of neuropathic pain show a dose-dependent decrease of mechanical hypersensitivity after oral administration of ZC88 (Meng et al., 2008). However, ZC88 administration has no effect on acute nociception in normal mice in the hot plate test but enhances morphine analgesia. This synergy may arise as opiates can inhibit Ca\textsubscript{v}2.2 via G\textsubscript{i/o} protein-coupled \(\mu\)-opioid receptors. As chronic treatment with morphine is associated with tolerance and addiction, Meng et al., (2008) tested the effects of ZC88 in animals that had been chronically treated with morphine. In isolation, ZC88 does not produce CPP in uninjured mice. However, in combination with morphine, ZC88 reverses tolerance to chronic morphine in the hot plate test without affecting morphine-induced CPP. These results are promising, as ZC88 could be a potential drug against neuropathic pain that could be administered together with morphine or used alone.

\(\omega\)-Conotoxins are small peptides derived from the venom of marine cone snails and block a series of ion channels. These peptides are thought to act by physical occlusion of the \(\alpha\)1 ion conducting pore (Bourinet and Zamponi, 2016). In animal models, conotoxins such as GVIA, MVIIA and CVID have analgesic properties against inflammatory and neuropathic pain; however, they have also been shown to have a series of negative side effects in patients such as dizziness, nausea, nystagmus, gait abnormality, urinary retention, memory impairment and hallucinations. Some of them such as GVIA have irreversible effects once they bind to the pore, which complicates its usage. MVIIA, also known as ziconotide has been the first \(\omega\)-conotoxin to enter clinical trials and was approved in 2004 by the FDA, for the management of chronic pain. Initial clinical trials conducted in patients with chronic pain, cancer-related or AIDS-related neuropathy refractory to opioid treatment reported improvement of VAS (visual analogue scale) scores (from a mean baseline of >70/100) by 14 to 53\%, while the proportion of responders ranged from 16 to 50\% (Sanford, 2013). The dosage was often titrated to tolerable levels which may account for some of the variability in effect size. Even though ziconotide does not produce tolerance or addiction like morphine does, it has adverse neurological side effects that need to be taken into account before prescribing the drug to a patient. In addition, its large amino acid sequence has some limitations. For instance, manufacturing the drug is challenging and expensive. Due to its large size, ziconotide poorly crosses the brain–blood barrier, thus needs to be delivered straight into the spinal cord or in the surrounding cerebrospinal fluid for the treatment of pain.

Due to the limitations of ziconotide, there is a need to develop newer blood–brain barrier penetrable small molecule calcium channel blockers with fewer adverse effects as well as drugs that are orally available. Several new activation state-dependent blockers have been developed and tested in animal models with some progressing to clinical trials.

**Activation state-dependent channel blockers**

**ZC88.** ZC88 \((N\{-[1-(2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-3-pentene-1-yl]-1-(5-bromo-furfuryl)-1-piperidyl-4-amine dihydrochloride\}) is a non-peptide, state-dependent, blocker of Ca\textsubscript{v}2.2 channels and does not affect calcium currents mediated by Ca\textsubscript{v}1.1, Ca\textsubscript{v}2.1 or Ca\textsubscript{v}2.3 channels in cultured DRG neurones (Zhang et al., 2015). Behavioural studies in a rat chronic constriction injury model of neuropathic pain show a dose-dependent decrease of mechanical hypersensitivity after oral administration of ZC88 (Meng et al., 2008). However, ZC88 administration has no effect on acute nociception in normal mice in the hot plate test but enhances morphine analgesia. This synergy may arise as opiates can inhibit Ca\textsubscript{v}2.2 via G\textsubscript{i/o} protein-coupled \(\mu\)-opioid receptors. As chronic treatment with morphine is associated with tolerance and addiction, Meng et al., (2008) tested the effects of ZC88 in animals that had been chronically treated with morphine. In isolation, ZC88 does not produce CPP in uninjured mice. However, in combination with morphine, ZC88 reverses tolerance to chronic morphine in the hot plate test without affecting morphine-induced CPP. These results are promising, as ZC88 could be a potential drug against neuropathic pain that could be administered together with morphine or used alone.

**A-1264087.** A-1264087 \((N^2\text{-methyl-N-\((3\text{aR,4S,6aS}-2\{-4-(\text{trifluoromethyl} \text{phenyl}) \text{octahydrocyclopenta}[\text{c}][\text{pyrrolo-4-yl]}-1\text{-leucinamide}\}\) is a state-dependent blocker of Ca\textsubscript{v}2.1, Ca\textsubscript{v}2.2 and Ca\textsubscript{v}3 channels. This drug has been shown to block Ca\textsubscript{v}2.2 channels in cultured rat DRG neurones as well as to reduce spontaneous and mechanical-evoked activity of WDR neurones in SNL animals. Systemic administration reduces mechanically evoked neuronal responses to low-intensity von Frey filaments as well as A\text{6}-fibre and C-fibre-evoked responses to electrical stimulation and subsequent wind-up, selectively in neuropathic rats (Xu et al., 2014). Correspondingly, using withdrawal reflexes as an endpoint, A-1264087 after oral administration in SNL rats and the iodoacetate model of osteoarthritis attenuates mechanical hypersensitivity (Xu et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2014). Both of these studies report a decrease in neuronal responses to mechanical stimulation. However, neither of these studies assessed changes in neuronal responses to other modalities or to more noxious intensities of stimulation where C-fibres are recruited. Thus, it would be interesting to further analyse the effects of A-1264087 on high intensities of stimulation. Interestingly, in SNL rats, spontaneous spinal neuronal activity is reduced by A-1264087 when dosed spinaly but not when delivered directly to the receptive field (Xu et al., 2014). It would be of value to investigate the effects in operant-based assays to examine whether A-1264087 alleviates ongoing as well as evoked pain.

**TROX-1.** N-triazole oxindole (TROX-1) is an orally bioavailable, blood–brain barrier penetrating, state-dependent blocker of the Ca\textsubscript{v}2 family. In cultured cell systems, blocking channels under depolarized conditions, TROX-1 has similar potency across the Ca\textsubscript{v}2 family, thus acting on Ca\textsubscript{v}2.1, Ca\textsubscript{v}2.2 and Ca\textsubscript{v}2.3 channels (Swensen et al., 2012). Behavioural studies demonstrate that in animal models of acute inflammatory pain, oral administration of TROX-1 reverses behavioural hypersensitivity (Abbadi et al., 2010). However, in Ca\textsubscript{v}2.2 knockout mice, TROX-1 is ineffective, suggesting that TROX-1 primarily mediates its effects through Ca\textsubscript{v}2.2 channels in inflammatory conditions, despite evidence that Ca\textsubscript{v}2.3 channels also contribute to spinal neuronal hyperexcitability after injury (Matthews et al., 2007).
TROX-1 is also anti-nociceptive in more severe chronic models of pain. Behavioural assessments have also shown that TROX-1, given by oral, subcutaneous and intrathecal routes, increases paw withdraw thresholds in SNL rats in a dose-dependent manner with no effect in sham-operated animals (Abbadi et al., 2010; Patel et al., 2015). In concordance with these behavioural results, in vivo electrophysiological recordings in SNL rats showed a reduction of neuronal-evoked responses to low intensity and supra-threshold mechanical stimulation with von Frey filaments after spinal and systemic administration of TROX-1 (Patel et al., 2015). This effect was modality selective; brush-, heat- and cold-evoked responses were unaffected by TROX-1 in the SNL model. Unlike α-conotoxin GVIA, TROX-1 had no effect on neuronal wind-up. This is despite displaying use dependency in cell lines in vitro using comparable stimulus parameters, which highlights the importance of physiological assays when assessing drug actions.

As described earlier, rodent models of osteoarthritis can share common features with neuropathy resulting from peripheral nerve damage, for example, concurrent time-dependent increases in descending facilitatory drive and loss of descending noradrenergic inhibition (Rahman et al., 2009; Burnham and Dickenson, 2013). Hence, the effects of TROX-1 were additionally examined in the rat intra-articular iodoacetate model of osteoarthritis, where treatment with TROX-1 reversed behavioural hypersensitivity and weight-bearing behaviour (Abbadi et al., 2010). In vivo electrophysiological studies have also assessed the efficacy of TROX-1 in the iodoacetate model of osteoarthritis. Spinal administration of TROX-1 inhibits evoked WDR neuronal responses to dynamic brush, heat and mechanical stimulation in a dose-dependent manner (Rahman et al., 2015). Systemic administration of this drug reduces supra-threshold mechanically evoked responses to von Frey filaments, but does not affect heat and dynamic brush responses, perhaps because a more local administration of the drug (spinal administration) allows for attenuation of these modalities. In sham animals, TROX-1 does not affect the neuronal responses after evoked stimulation. As observed in SNL rats, TROX-1 does not reduce wind-up in arthritic rats; the differential effects of A-1264087 and TROX-1 on wind-up may relate to the selectivity profiles of the drugs. Inactivation of the rostral ventromedial medulla with lidocaine in osteoarthritis rats induces CPP and reveals the presence of ongoing pain (Havelin et al., 2016); as with A-1264087 and ZCB8, it would be of interest to examine whether TROX-1 modulates affective dimensions of pain. Together, these results suggest that the use of state-dependent blockers for the treatment of osteoarthritic pain with neuropathic features could be a good alternative to current treatments. The differences between the actions of TROX-1 in different pain conditions might be due to differences in state-dependency of the channel such as the depolarizing effect of ionotropic facilitatory 5-HT3 receptors on pre-synaptic terminals, altered G-protein-mediated regulation by adrenoceptors, GABA- and metabotropic 5-HT receptors, or changes in the up-regulation of C-terminus splice variants of calcium channels which might contribute to changes in biophysical properties of the channel.

When new drugs are developed, the implications of alternative splicing and the effects it has on channel activity are rarely considered. Multiple variants are predicted to exist, but in particular, mutually exclusive exons e37a and e37b of CaV2.2 are highly enriched in DRG neurones. These exons confer different properties to the channel, for instance e37a-CaV2.2 exhibits larger calcium currents, increased channel open time and slower inactivation than e37b-CaV2.2 (Castiglioni et al., 2006). VGCCs with these gating properties could be more amenable to block by state-dependent blockers. However, the role of splice variants in the pathophysiology of neuropathic pain is complex. RNA knockdown has shown that the e37a isoform mediates the transmission of mild mechanical stimulation and heat stimulation and prevents the development of mechanical hypersensitivity in neuropathic and inflammatory models (Altier et al., 2007). Paradoxically, following peripheral nerve injury, there is a decrease in the expression of the e37a isoform (Altier et al., 2007). Neuroplastic shifts in splice variant expression with differences in drug sensitivity and sensitivity to G-proteins could affect the efficacy of analgesics after injury, including morphine (Jiang et al., 2013), but also, the gabapentinoids as the predominant αδ-1 splice variant up-regulated after nerve injury has reduced affinity for gabapentin (Lana et al., 2014).

Are calcium channels a good target for chronic pain?

Due to their critical role in transmitter release, calcium channels are regarded as an important target in preventing sensory transmission. However, the ubiquitous nature of expression and multitude of processes controlled by calcium channels has complicated the use of blockers for chronic pain (reviewed in detail by Zamponi et al., 2015). Although spinally delivered state-independent blockers can reduce behavioural and spinal hyperexcitability, micro-injection of peptide blockers into brainstem regions mediating descending control of pain reveals complex pro- and anti-nociceptive roles of calcium channels and could confound usage of blockers via a systemic route (Figure 3). Targeting nociceptor specific splice variants to improve specificity and reduce side effects seems unlikely to yield positive results given the notorious difficulty in identifying selective low MW blockers of ion channels, let alone selective blockers for splice variants that differ only by small sequences. In theory, state-dependent blockers would circumvent the confounds of blocking calcium channels by targeting high-frequency neuronal firing associated with neuropathic pain. In this aspect, compounds such as CNV2197944 (CaV2.2), ABT-639 (CaV3.2) and Z160 (CaV2.2), which exhibit activation state dependency, were generally well tolerated in phase I clinical trials. Unfortunately, Z160 (NCT1655849) and ABT-639 (NCT01345045) failed to show analgesic efficacy in phase II trials, whereas results for CNV2197944 have not been reported yet (NCT01848730 and NCT01893125).

Z160 is anti-nociceptive in a range of animal models when examined against withdrawal reflexes (Lee and
Snutch, 2013) but failed in phase II trials with ongoing pain as the primary endpoint. It is conceivable that a failure to consider heterogeneity in patients during trial design led to an overall negative outcome. Drawing parallels with anticonvulsant sodium channel blockers which are more efficacious in patients with the irritable nociceptor phenotype, that is, where peripheral afferents are hyperexcitable and patients have evoked hypersensitivity, a state-dependent calcium channel blocker could also be efficacious in this subgroup (Bouhassira and Attal, 2016; Baron et al., 2017). Likewise, ABT-639 failed to ameliorate ongoing pain in diabetic polyneuropathy patients (Ziegler et al., 2015). Interestingly, ABT-639 has no effect on spontaneous firing of WDR neurones in SNL rats but inhibits mechanically evoked firing (Jarvis et al., 2014). Diabetic polyneuropathy is most commonly associated with the ‘sensory loss’ phenotype, whereas a smaller proportion are classified under the ‘mechanical hyperalgesia’ phenotype (Baron et al., 2017); it is possible that ABT-639 may have had higher efficacy in this subgroup in this trial (Ziegler et al., 2015). The inability of ABT-639 to cross the blood–brain barrier could also have contributed to an overall negative result.

Conclusion

Despite disappointing clinical trials to date, it would be premature to consign calcium channels as a chronic pain target to the history books. A progressive approach to clinical trial design will be key to the success of future therapeutic approaches. Therapeutic agents displaying pathological state dependency would be preferable to avoid perturbing normal sensory function and to limit side effects. Poly-pharmacy could be an alternative approach as gabapentin and ω-conotoxins have demonstrated synergy with morphine (Matthews and Dickenson, 2002; Kolosov et al., 2011). It would be of interest to examine whether state-dependent calcium channel blockers also exhibit similar synergistic effects. In the short term, patients receiving intrathecal ziconotide may benefit from improved analgesia and fewer side effects with combination therapy.

Nomenclature of targets and ligands

Key protein targets and ligands in this article are hyperlinked to corresponding entries in http://www.guidetopharmacology.org, the common portal for data from the IUPHAR/BPS Guide to PHARMACOLOGY (Southan...
et al., 2016), and are permanently archived in the Concise Guide to PHARMACOLOGY 2015/16 (Alexander et al., 2015a,b).
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