# Inhaltsverzeichnis

## Abhandlungen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Autor</th>
<th>Titel</th>
<th>Seiten</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ahmad Al-Jallad and Michael C. A. Macdonald</td>
<td>A Few Notes on the Alleged Occurrence of the Group Name 'Ghassán' in a Safaitic Inscription</td>
<td>152-157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfonso Archi</td>
<td>The Tablets of the Throne Room of the Royal Palace G of Ebla</td>
<td>9-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilya Asher-Greve</td>
<td>Adorno to Žižek or in Search of the 'Essence of War' in Ancient Mesopotamia</td>
<td>57-66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julia Battini</td>
<td>Relecture de la plaquette IB 1956: les fonctions des plaquettes et l'échange entre productions populaire et officielle</td>
<td>67-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paola Coró</td>
<td>The Missing Link – Connections between Administrative and Legal Documents in Hellenistic Uruk</td>
<td>86-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicla De Zorzi</td>
<td>Sumerian and Akkadian Texts from the Josef Zepetzauer Collection in Bad Ischl, Austria I: Texts from the Third and Second Millennium BC</td>
<td>40-48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean-Jacques Glassner</td>
<td>Système de pensée en Mésopotamie</td>
<td>1-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Jursa</td>
<td>Sumerian and Akkadian Texts from the Josef Zepetzauer Collection in Bad Ischl, Austria II: Eine frühneubabylonische Landkaufurkunde aus Borsippa</td>
<td>49-51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yasuyuki Mitsuma</td>
<td>The Offering for Well-Being in Seleucid and Arsacid Babylon</td>
<td>117-127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John P. Nielsen</td>
<td>Taking Refuge at Borsippa: the Archive of Lâbâši, Son of Nādinu</td>
<td>93-109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robartus J. van der Spek</td>
<td>Madinatu = URUmeš, “Satrapy, Province, District, Country”, in Late Babylonian</td>
<td>110-116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David A. Warburton</td>
<td>Alexandria? or: Architecture in Archaeological World History</td>
<td>128-151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nathan Wasserman</td>
<td>On the Author of the Epic of Zimrī-Līm and Its Literary Context</td>
<td>52-56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Rezensionen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Autor</th>
<th>Titel</th>
<th>Seiten</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. F. Adali</td>
<td>The Scourge of God. The Uman-manda and Its Significance in the First Millennium BC (= SAAS 20) (Mario Liverani)</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Altavilla und C. B. F. Walker</td>
<td>Late Babylonian Seal Impressions on Tablets in the British Museum. Part I: Sippar (= Nisaba 20) (John MacGinnis)</td>
<td>159-160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Anastasi und F. Pomponio</td>
<td>Neo-Sumerian Girsu Texts of Various Content Kept in the British Museum (= Nisaba 18) (Tohru Ozaki)</td>
<td>361-368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y. Arbel</td>
<td>Ultimate Devotion. The Historical Impact and Archaeological Expression of Intense Religious Movements (Michaela Bauks)</td>
<td>440-442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Baratova, N. Schindel und R. Romsdorfer</td>
<td>Sylloge Nummorum Sasanidarum Usbekistan. Sasanidische Münzen und ihre Imitationen aus Bukhara, Termes und Chaghis (= Denkschriften der philosophisch-historischen Klasse 418; Veröffentlichungen der Numismatischen Kommission 51) (Rüdiger Schmitt)</td>
<td>450-452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. van Bekkum</td>
<td>From Conquest to Coexistence. Ideology and Antiquarian Intent in the Historiography of Israel's Settlement in Canaan (= CHANE 45) (Mario Liverani)</td>
<td>475-476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Böck</td>
<td>The Healing Goddess Nisaba. Towards an Understanding of Ancient Babylonian Medicine (= CHANE 67) (Mark J. Geller)</td>
<td>160-162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. A. Braun-Holzinger und E. Rehm (Hrsg.)</td>
<td>Orientalischer Import in Griechenland im frühen 1. Jahrtausend v. Chr. (= AOAT 328) (Dominique Collon)</td>
<td>404-406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y. S. Chen</td>
<td>The Primeval Flood Catastrophe. Origins and Early Development in Mesopotamian Traditions (Benjamin R. Foster)</td>
<td>163-164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. L. Cripps</td>
<td>Sargonic and Pre-sargonic Texts in the World Museum Liverpool (= BAR S2135) (Massimo Maiocchi)</td>
<td>164-169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. E. Curtis und N. Tallis (eds)</td>
<td>The Balawat Gates of Ashurnasirpal II (Barbara N. Porter)</td>
<td>406-409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Da Riva</td>
<td>The Inscriptions of Nabopolassar, Amēl-Marduk and Neriglissar (= SANER 3) (Laura Cousin)</td>
<td>169-172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. F. Del Monte</td>
<td>Le gesta di Suppliuluma. L’opera storiografica di Mursili II re di Hattusa (Jared L. Miller)</td>
<td>172-177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. G. Dercksen (ed.)</td>
<td>Anatolia and the Jazira during the Old-Assyrian Period (= OAAS 3; PIHANS 111) (Hervé Reculeau)</td>
<td>177-182</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D. Morandi Bonacossi (ed.), Urban and Natural Landscapes of an Ancient Syrian Capital. Settlement and Environment at Tell Mishrife-Qatna and in Central Western Syria. Proceedings of the International Conference Held in Udine 9-11 December 2004 (= Studi archeologici su Qatna 1; DAS XII) (Onhan Tunca) ........................................... 424-427


S. Mühl, Siedlungs geschichte im mittleren Ostgriechenland. Vom Neolithikum bis in die neusprachliche Zeit (= ADOG 28) (Ariel M. Baggi) .......................................................... 431-433


D. I. Owen, Cuneiform Texts Primarily from Irra-Sagrig/Al-Sarrakh and the History of the Ur III Period (= Nisaba 15) (Tohru Ozaki) .......................................................... 304-317


S. Paulus, Die babylonischen Kudurrus-Inschriften von der kassitischen bis zur frühebabylonischen Zeit. Untersucht unter besonderer Berücksichtigung gesellschafts- und rechtshistorischer Fragestellungen (= AOAT 51) (Michaella Weszeli) .......................................................... 324-360

P. Pfälzner, H. Niehr, E. Pernicka and A. Wissing (eds), (Re-)Constructing Funerary Rituals in the Ancient Near East (= QS Suppl. 1) (Anna Kurmangaliev) .......................................................... 428-431


A. H. Podany, Brotherhood of Kings. How International Relations Shaped the Ancient Near East (Mario Liverani) .......................................................... 323-324


S. Richardson (ed.), Rebellions and Peripheries in the Cuneiform World (= AOS 91) (Elena Devecchi) .......................................................... 368-369

W. Röllig, Land- und Viehwirtschaft im Unteren Hābūr in mittellässischer Zeit (= BATSH 9 / Texte 3) (Claudio Saporetti) .......................................................... 369-370

R. Rollinger, Alexander und die großen Städte. Die Flussüberquerungen im Lichte altorientalischer Pioniertecniken (Schwimmhämäle, Kelken und Pontonbrücken) (= Cleo 7) (Johannes Bach) .......................................................... 370-372


R. Schmitt and G. Wittmann, Iranisches Personennamenbuch VIII: Iranische Namen in ägyptischer Nebenüberlieferung (= Sitzungsberichte der philosophisch-historischen Klasse 842; Iranische Onomastik 13) (Jan Tavernier) .......................................................... 470-472


M. Sigrist and T. Ozaki, Neo-Sumerian Administrative Tablets from the Yale Babylonian Collection. Part One (= BPOA 6) (J. Cale Johnson) .......................................................... 375-378

M. Sigrist and T. Ozaki, Neo-Sumerian Administrative Tablets from the Yale Babylonian Collection. Part Two (= BPOA 7) (J. Cale Johnson) .......................................................... 375-378

N. Sims-Williams, Iranisches Personennamenbuch II: Mitteliranische Personennamen. Fasz. 7: Bactrian Personennamen (= Sitzungsberichte der philosophisch-historischen Klasse 806; Iranische Onomastik 7) (Rüdiger Schmitt) .......................................................... 464-468


A. L. Slotsky and R. Wallenfels, Tallies and Trends. The Late Babylonian Commodity Price Lists (Reinhard Pirngruber) .......................................................... 378-381


J. Stökl, Prophecy in the Ancient Near East: A Philological and Sociological Comparison (= CHANE 56) (Matthijs J. de Jong) .......................................................... 383-386
Inhaltsverzeichnis

M. Streck (Hrsg.), Prinz, Prinzessin - Samug (= RA 11) (Alfonso Archi) ......................................................... 386-390
M.P. Streck (Hrsg.), šumuḫ - Spine (= RA 12) (Alfonso Archi) ................................................................. 386-390
K. R. Veenhof, Letters in the Louvre (= Abb IV) (Dominique Charpin) ......................................................... 390-392
K. Volk, A Sumerian Chrestomathy. With the collaboration of S. Votto and J. Baldwin (= SILO 5) (Ingo Schrakamp). 393-396
P. Werner, Der Sīn-Samaš-Tempel in Assur (= WVDOG 122) (Önhan Tunca) ................................................... 439-440
R. Zadok, Catalogue of Documents from Borsippa or Related to Borsippa in the British Museum I (= Nisaba 21) (Caroline Waerzeggers) ..................................................................................................................... 397-398
R. Zadok, Iranianisches Personenmanubiebn VII: Iranische Namen in semitischen Nebenüberlieferungen. Fasz. 1B: Iranische Personennamen in der neus- und spätbabylonischen Nebenüberlieferung (Sitzungsberichte der philosophisch-historischen Klasse 777; Iranische Onomastik 4) (Jan Tavernier) ................................................................................. 472-474
A. E. Zernecke, Gott und Mensch in Klagegebieten aus Israel und Mesopotamien: Die Handerhebungsgebete Ištar 10 und Ištar 2 und die Klagepsalmen Ps 38 und Ps 22 im Vergleich (= AOAT 387) (Bruce Wells) ......................................................... 399-401

Inhaltsanzeigen

R. Boucharlat et E. Haerink, Tombes d’époque parthe. Chantiers de la ville des artisans (= MDP 35) (Reinhard Pirngruber) ..................................................................................................................................................... 478
B. Dunsch und K. Ruffing (Hrsg.), Herodots Quellen – Die Quellen Herodots. Unter Mitarbeit von K. Droß-Krüpe (= CLEO 6) (Reinhard Pirngruber) ................................................................................................................................. 479-480
G. B. Lanfranchi, D. Morandi Bonacossi, C. Pappi und S. Ponchia (eds), Leggo! Studies Presented to Frederick Mario Fales on the Occasion of His 65th Birthday (= LAS 2) (Melanie Groß) ................................................................................................................................. 480-481
T. Mattern und A. Vött, Mensch und Umwelt im Spiegel der Zeit: Aspekte geographischer Forschungen im östlichen Mittelmeergebiet (= Philippika 1) (Anna Kurmangaliev) ......................................................................................................................................... 482
M. Weippert, Götterwelt in Menschenmund. Studien zur Prophetie in Assyrien, Israel und Juda (= Forschungen zur Religion und Literatur des Alten und Neuen Testaments 252) (Reinhard Pirngruber) ......................................................................................................................... 485

Nachrufe

Dem Gedächtnis der Toten (Rykle Borger, Mark A. Brandes, Burchard Brentjes, Elena Cassin, Anthony R. Green, William W. Hallo, Martha Haussperger, Ingeborg Hoffmann, Harry Angier Hoffner, Jr., Philo H. J. Houwink ten Cate, Victor Avigdor Hurowitz, Burkhard Kienast, Oswald Loretz, Joseph Naveh, Heinrich Otten, Franca Pecchioli Daddi, Jean Perrot, Giovanni Pettinato, Klaus Schmidt) ................................................................. 487-524

Bibliographien und Register

Old Assyrian Bibliography 3. May 2009 - June 2015 (Cécile Michel) ........................................................................ 525-559
Assyriologie, Register (M. Wesseli und M. Jursa, unter Mitarbeit von H. Hunger, N. De Zorzi, J., Pfiztner, R. Pirngruber, M. Schmidt) ......................................................................................................................... 561-
Mesopotamien und Nachbarsgebiete (M. Wesseli und M. Jursa, unter Mitarbeit von H. Hunger, N. De Zorzi, D. Niedermayer, J., Pfiztner, R. Pirngruber, M. Schmidt) ......................................................................................... -
p. 148 In H07 we have the only example from Sippar of a seal owned by a woman.

p. 165 The name of the šangû in the first year of Xerxes is to be read Nadin-ahi, and not Šum-uṣur as previously supposed.

p. 170 In one case (C02) it can be suggested that the seal was inherited, having passed from Ki-Nabû down to his nephew Ubällissu-Gula (cf. also p. 136); in other cases it appears that a seal has come back into use though the evidence is insufficient to say whether or not this was through inheritance.

In summary this is a truly exceptional work. The level of detail given to identifying and grouping the sealings is extraordinary and the photographic archive of impressions is a model of its kind. Undoubtedly this work will serve as a foundation for further research. On the iconographic front this resource offers a treasure trove of dated images which will make a significant contribution to art history. This will be all the more important as, extraordinarily as it may seem, the finds sent back by Rassam unfortunately included no actual seals. This is a circumstance which is inconceivable given the scale of his excavations (the explanation for this may be that the seals were stolen by his workmen), and the loss is doubly unfortunate as it has robbed us of the opportunity to compare the sealings with actual seals from the site. Any opportunity to redress this is highly welcome.

As stated, in the opinion of the reviewer it is a shame that the authors did not go on to produce reconstructions of each seal – admittedly it could be argued that this is outside the aims of a catalogue stricto sensu but having paved the way so superbly it seems a shame not to go this one extra step. The significance on the Assyriological side is even more fundamental. The opportunities for analysis of seal usage are huge and anyone taking on the challenge is likely to be richly rewarded. It is difficult to thank the authors sufficiently for the effort and intelligence which they have put into creating this exceptional resource.


Unlike an Agatha Christie novel, it is occasional-ly useful to read a book from back to front, since one can see where the author is leading us. In this particular case, the author concludes unequivocally that Babylonian medicine “cannot be considered a science” but was “based on religious conceptions or experience to control pain and cure symptoms” (p. 194). This conclusion hardly advances the subject beyond what the great medical historian Henry Sigerist decided some 65 years ago, that Babylonians possessed “a system of medicine which was dominated by magic and religion” (Sigerist 1951: 1, 490). However, if one focuses primarily on a healing goddess to understand Babylonian medicine, the end result is likely to be a view of Babylonian medicine as being rooted in religion rather than in science. In fact, Babylonian medicine is much more multi-faceted than the discussion in this book might lead one to believe.

Is it even legitimate to use the goddess Gula to reflect generally upon the nature of Babylonian medicine? This book argues that various incantations, medical recipes, and even materia medica reflect Gula’s personal involvement in general therapy, childbirth, or disease prognosis. The assumption is that the goddess patronised various disciplines behind the healing professions, i.e., exorcism, medical therapy, surgery, and midwifery.

Apart from the detailed philological discussions, the main theoretical underpinnings of the argument are based upon the use of metaphor in Gula texts, an approach which is quite sober as far as it goes, based on standard theory (such as Lakoff and Johnson 2003). However, the use of metaphor both within āšipūtu and asītu does not prove very much, since it essentially served as a rhetorical device to encourage the patient during therapy. A thorough survey of metaphors in this book which refer to Gula all deal specifically with medical problems and disease, rather than with other issues relevant to magic in general, such as misfortune, loss of property, general distress, etc. The point is that Gula’s role even within incantations was firmly allied with the discipline of asītu, rather than with exorcism and āšipūtu. This clear delineation of Gula’s role in healing is essential for unravelling the picture of medicine in this book.

Relatively little attention has been paid to an important hymn addressed to Gula composed by Bullussa-rabi, a scholar whose name appears among a catalogue of sages (see Lambert 1967: 107); only the relevant lines of the hymn referring to Gula as a physician are translated (pp. 2-3). It is understandable why so little attention has been given to this hymn, which casts praise upon Gula (with her various syncretic names drawn from other Sumerian gods) and her husband Ninurta. One might think that Gula’s reputation as divine physician would feature prominently, but the reality is that only eight lines from a 200-line hymn clearly praise Gula’s medical interests (ll. 79-87), while the rest of the text is very general, lacking reference to healing and certainly no allusions to magic or incantations. The lines translated by Böck refer to the fact that Gula comes along with her drugs (šam-mû), as well as her leather bag (tukanu) with medical incantations (šīpūt balāṭi) and her scalpel (ll. 80-82, l. 180); this conforms with the iconography of Gula appearing with a scalpel in one hand and a tablet in the other hand (rather than a swab or bandage, as suggested by Böck on p. 22). Gula’s ‘incantations of healing’ mentioned in the hymn were to be employed together with drugs and rudimentary (probably dermatological) surgery, but these
asîtu-spells have no connection with ašipātu-exorcism. The only other link between Gula and healing occurs in one later line of the hymn (l. 183), partially translated by Böck (p. 3): asûku bârâku ašîpâk ša ina arê hîtâku sattakku mamma ul uštâpi, “I am a physician (asî), harassment (bârâ) and exorcist (ašipu), (meaning) that I am an expert in maths and no one has had to clarify cuneiform (for me).” The inference is that Gula has all relevant professional qualifications and is fully literate, but that is all. In fact, the importance of the Bullussa-rabi hymn is how it does not depict Gula primarily in her role as healing goddess, and even her iconic dog who always accompanies her is not mentioned in this hymn. What we find is a description of a goddess of anodyne character, as in l. 65, “I am a daughter, I am a bride, I am a spouse, I am a housekeeper.”

Nevertheless, the Gula Hymn was popular in the first millennium (judging by its many copies, even in late Seleucid or Parthian period manuscripts), which means that it was being read and copied at the same time that most of the medical texts in which Gula appears were being composed, copied, and studied. Bullussa-rabi’s Gula hymn is aware of her credentials as a divine physician (not exorcist), when she is depicted with her scalpel and prescriptions, nor is it a coincidence that she shares the spotlight with her spouse Ninurta. Ninurta and Gula are the pair of gods most often invoked in their letters by physicians of the Assyrian court, as discussed by Böck (p. 69). This practice stands in contrast to royal exorcists, who usually invoke Nabû and Marduk in their letters, and in fact, the appearance of Ninurta and Gula within the introductory formula is one way of identifying the writer of a letter as an asî.

A similar picture results from examining a šuil-la-prayer to Gula, republished by Lenzi 2011: 243-259, which makes no reference at all to Gula’s healing capabilities. It is understandable why a book on Gula as a healing goddess would avoid dwelling on texts with so little to contribute towards the central theme of the book, but these texts nevertheless raise serious questions regarding her prominence as a goddess in relation to other gods and goddesses, such as Marduk and Ištar, who feature prominently within incantations. The lengthiest corpus of healing incantations, Utukku lemmâtu, makes no mention of Gula, and in fact the goddess makes little impression on technical incantation literature (ašipâtu) of the first millennium BCE, judging by available sources. Those healing spells surveyed in Chapter Four of the book under review are all related to a specific disease, e.g., Samana, or to a magical-medical procedure muššu’a “massage” (see pp. 94-98), or to dog bite, parasites, skin disease, or some other specific medical condition. Hardly any of these texts represent classic ašîpâtu in the broader sense. Furthermore, one can speculate that Gula’s interest in scalpels and surgery only extended to skin disease (simmu), since this seems to be an area of her forte (see Böck’s discussion on pp. 109-113), and as such might reflect the professional role of the gallâbu-barber, in addition to the asî or ašipu/mašmašu.

Based on this lack of data from incantations, we turn now to medical contexts, where Gula makes regular appearances in her role as divine physician. Böck has done a good job in surveying Gula’s role within the Diagnostic Handbook (Sakkikû), together with her spouse Ninurta, but here is where we begin to encounter problems of interpretation. Her argument centres around the expression qāt Gula or qāt Ninurta, ‘hand of Gula’ and ‘hand of Ninurta,’ referring to the ‘hand’ of a god as a diagnostic term. The implication is that these terms represent the personal involvement of the deity in the health of the patient, or “the attribution of the symptoms to the action of supernatural agents” (p. 47). She proceeds to catalogue all instances in which the ‘hands’ of Gula and Ninurta appear within the Diagnostic Handbook, which are not many, compared with ‘hands’ of other gods; Gula’s ‘hand’ is associated with nosebleed, and symptoms from the midriff and belly. Otherwise Gula features repeatedly in one tablet the Diagnostic Handbook referring to paediatric symptoms (Tablet XL); the majority of gods and demons mentioned in this tablet are female (e.g., Lamaštû and Ištar), and this may be a factor why Gula appears as well, although it remains unclear what her role is. The only other passage of relevance mentioning Gula’s (and Ninurta’s) ‘hand’ is a unique tablet of Simmu šiškinšu, identifying technical disease symptoms and names; the text was incorporated into the Diagnostic Handbook as Tablet XXXIII (see Heeßel 2000: 357-358). Böck’s treatment (p. 54) fails to capture the unique tabular layout of the text, which provides a table (as an appendix) of technical disease names, each corresponding to a ‘hand of a DN’ label. Within this table, the ‘hand of Gula’ corresponds to seven diseases, the ‘hand’ of Gula’s spouse Ninurta corresponds to at least two diseases, the ‘hand of Šamaš’ to at least nine diseases, the ‘hand of Marduk’ to two diseases, ‘hand of Ištar’ to at least four diseases, etc.

Böck’s interpretation of this text follows her magical-religious assessment of Babylonian medicine, since her argument appears to assume that the seven technical disease names actually indicate that the ‘hand of Gula’ was at play whenever these diseases appear (pp. 55-62); the same would presumably also apply to nine diseases corresponding to the ‘hand of Šamaš,’ etc. In fact, the opposite is the case, that the technical names of diseases are intended to replace the ‘hand of a DN’ nomenclature, since the primary value is the disease name, not the deity [see the review of Heeßel, KAL 5 in this volume]. In other words, whenever the ‘hand of Gula’ appears in the Diagnostic Handbook, it really stands for one of at least seven possible diseases known by their technical names, rather than Gula’s personal involvement in the disease.

Nevertheless, there is one further point to be clarified regarding the ‘hand’ of a god or demon. The Diagnostic
Handbook is a rather mixed genre, because it generally deals with matters of asûtu but employs the methodology of divination. The first two tablets of the Diagnostic Handbook consist of unprovoked omens reminiscent of Šumma ālu, and in the other tablets the casuistic formulations (protasis-apodosis) of the symptoms reflect classic omen formats. Moreover, the idiomatic use of ‘hand of a god/demon’ as a term for calamity is found in both divination and diagnostic omens, but only rarely in therapeutic medical recipes or healing incantations, except in the expression ŠU GEDIM, ‘hand of a ghost’ as a designation of a disease (see Scurlock 2006). A good example of a ‘hand of a DN’ apodosis occurs in an extract from Assur of the standard bûrîtu-series (Heeßel 2012: No. 1), which mentions the ‘hands’ of Marduk, Pappunanki, Ištar, Nanaya, Dilbat, Šamaš, Tašmetum, Adad, Zababa, Enlil, and even Ninkarrak (i.e., Gula), among others. In most cases we suspect the malevolent indications of these ‘hands’, in line with one omen which explicitly states that “Ištar is full of anger towards the man” and “his illness will be prolonged” (Heeßel 2012: 36, No. 1 i 48-49). The crucial question is whether the ‘hand of the god/demon’ idiom could have originated in diagnostic omens? As a diagnostic term, ‘hand of a god’ is not entirely satisfactory in identifying a disease because of its lack of specificity; one cannot, for instance, clearly associate the ‘hand of Ištar’ with venereal disease, or the ‘hand of Gula’ with skin disease, which is why therapeutic texts and even incantations generally prefer technical disease names. With omens, however, the primary consideration was the protasis and its precise portentous sign, followed by an apodosis which was general rather than specific (war, famine, epidemic), for which the designation ‘hand of a god’ would suffice as a prediction of calamity; the expression was prognostic rather than diagnostic in such contexts. It seems likely, therefore, that in first millennium learned circles, references to gods (such as Gula) in medical contexts were being systematically replaced by technical disease names, which were much more specific and precise than a ‘hand of a god’ label. This is not to argue that Babylonian medicine was an atheistic enterprise and that its practitioners and adherents were lacking in religious sentiment, but that methods of observation became more systematic over time. No less a figure than Galen believed that he had once been healed by the god Asclepius and that gods communicated with mortals through dreams (Mattern 2013: 26 and 38), but this had little influence on his quest for rational explanations of disease and treatments, without reference to gods.

We now turn to Böck’s opinions regarding Gula’s association with plants, for which the logical leap of associating Gula with a common medical plant known as lišān kalbi, “dog’s tongue,” with the assumption being that the use of this plant is somehow associated with Gula or the ‘hand’ of Gula, or a disease caused by Gula. The next step is to associate Gula with a condition of the nose and mouth called buʾšānu, which can be treated by a plant called buʾšānu-plant (usually rendered by a Sumerogram ‘iHAB). The connection with Gula (see p. 131) is based upon a single reference in a vademecum (BAM 1: iii 20-21 = Attia and Buisson 2012: 28) referring to this plant by another name, ‘dog of Nin-gizibarra,’ an obscure goddess associated with Gula in Bullussa-rabi’s hymn mentioned above. The remainder of the argument consistently follows the same pattern, that the drug buʾšānu was used to treat diseases presumed to be brought about by Gula, which includes everything from liver disease, cough, erectile dysfunction, kidney stones, and fevers, among others. The logic speaks for itself; any inferred associations between Gula and drugs are based upon the flimsiest of evidence, since it is clear from medical recipes that drugs have numerous applications against different diseases determined through methods which are as yet impossible to define.

The fact that the present reviewer disagrees with the basic premises of the book does not mean that the study lacks value. As a collection of sources about Gula in medical texts, the book advances our knowledge of Gula and her activities within healing contexts, and this is certainly commendable. Gula’s role within magic is more questionable, and the background arguments about the religious components of Babylonian medicine will certainly be taken up again in future studies.

Berlin.

Mark J. Geller.
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